

1 **A community developed conceptual model for reducing long-term health problems in**
2 **children with intellectual disability in India**

3

4 Manisha Nair^{1*}; Mythili Hazarika^{2†}, M Thomas Kishore^{3†}; Nabarun Sengupta⁴; Ganesh
5 Sheregar⁵; Hayley MacGregor⁶; Mary Wickenden⁶; Neel Harit Kaushik⁷; Prarthana Saikia⁸;
6 Maureen Kelley⁹; Sasha Shepperd¹

7

8 ¹Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, UK

9 ²Gauhati Medical College and Hospital, Guwahati, Assam, India

10 ³National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences, Bengaluru, India

11 ⁴Meghalaya Parents Association for Disabled, Shillong, India

12 ⁵National Institute for the Empowerment of Persons with Intellectual Disabilities,
13 Secunderabad, India

14 ⁶Institute of Development Studies, University of Sussex, UK

15 ⁷Assam Don Bosco University, Sonapur, Assam, India

16 ⁸Indian Institute of Technology, Guwahati, Assam, India

17 ⁹Center for Ethics in Health Care and Department of Internal Medicine, Oregon Health &
18 Science University; Portland, Oregon, USA

19

20 *Corresponding author

21 Email: manisha.nair@npeu.ox.ac.uk

22 [†]The authors contributed equally

23

24

25 **Abstract**

26 Children with intellectual disability (ID) have a higher risk of long-term health problems in
27 adulthood. India has the highest prevalence of ID of any country with 1.6 million under-five
28 children living with the condition. Despite this, compared with other children, this neglected
29 population is excluded from mainstream disease prevention and health promotion
30 programmes. Our objective was to develop an evidence-based conceptual framework for a
31 needs-based inclusive intervention to reduce the risk of communicable and non-
32 communicable diseases among children with ID in India.

33 From April through to July 2020 we undertook community engagement and involvement
34 activities in ten States in India using a community-based participatory approach, guided by
35 the bio-psycho-social model. We adapted the five steps recommended for the design and
36 evaluation of a public participation process for the health sector.

37 Seventy stakeholders from ten States contributed to the project: 44 parents and 26
38 professionals who work with people with ID. We mapped the outputs from two rounds of
39 stakeholder consultations with evidence from systematic reviews to develop a conceptual
40 framework that underpins an approach to develop a cross-sectoral family-centred needs-
41 based inclusive intervention to improve health outcomes for children with ID. A working
42 theory of change (ToC) model delineates a pathway that reflected the priorities of the target
43 population. We discussed the models during a third round of consultations to identify
44 limitations, relevance of the concepts, structural and social barriers that could influence
45 acceptability and adherence, success criteria, and integration with existing health system
46 and service delivery.

47 There are currently no health promotion programmes focusing on children with ID in India
48 despite the population being at a higher risk of developing comorbid health problems.

49 Therefore, an urgent next step is to test the conceptual model to determine acceptance and

50 effectiveness within the context of socio-economic challenges faced by the children and their
51 families in the country.

52 **Introduction**

53 People with intellectual disability (ID) are at an increased risk of premature ageing and death
54 [1-3]. The adverse impact of risk factors such as malnutrition, infection, stress and anxiety,
55 can be far reaching, leading to poor health outcomes, a lower quality of life and higher
56 healthcare costs for people with this condition [4]. ID is “a group of etiologically diverse
57 conditions originating during the developmental period characterised by significantly below
58 average intellectual functioning and adaptive behaviour” [5]. Of all developmental
59 disabilities, this is the largest contributor to years-lived-with-disability [6]. Children with ID
60 have a 70-98% higher risk of multiple long-term health problems in adulthood [7].

61 India has the highest rate of ID of any country [6], a range of 1%-6.3% [8-11] is reported,
62 compared with 0.22-1.55% globally [12]. Applying a rate of 1% [8-11] to population figures
63 from Census 2011 [13], the total population living with ID would be at least 12 million
64 including about 1.6 million under-five children. Prevalence is higher in some States such as
65 the Northeast States[10]. As life expectancy of people with ID continues to increase in India
66 [15] and in other low-and-middle income countries (LMICs) [16], preventing and reducing
67 modifiable risk factors for long-term health problems from an early age is important to ensure
68 healthy ageing and to prevent premature death. Evidence suggests that compared with other
69 children, persons with ID are poor [17] and often neglected in mainstream disease
70 prevention and health promotion programmes [2]. They are disproportionately at higher risk
71 of developing multiple health problems due to lack of physical activity, unhealthy diet, social
72 exclusion, poverty and the adverse effects of prolonged pharmacological treatments for co-
73 morbidities such as epilepsy [3, 7, 18-20]. Our objective was to develop an evidence-based
74 conceptual framework for a needs-based inclusive intervention through community
75 consultations to reduce the risk of long-term health problems among children with ID in India.

76 **Methods**

77 From April through to July 2020 we undertook community engagement and involvement
78 (CEI) activities using a community-based participatory approach, guided by the bio-psycho-
79 social model. This approach underpins the World Health Organisation's (WHO's)
80 International Classification of Functioning Disability and Health (ICF) [21] to focus on
81 behaviour change factors and access to healthcare services which could influence
82 opportunities, capability and motivation of parents and children to engage with a health
83 related intervention designed to reduce risk factors for poor health outcomes [22, 23].
84 Working with stakeholders we developed a conceptual framework and a working model of a
85 'theory of change' (ToC) to delineate a pathway that reflected the priorities of the target
86 population [24]. We adapted the five steps recommended for the design and evaluation of a
87 public participation process for the health sector [25].

88 **1. Representation of a range of community stakeholders**

89 We consulted with 70 key community stakeholders in ten States in India, eight in the
90 northeast region that have a high prevalence of ID (Assam, Arunachal Pradesh, Manipur,
91 Meghalaya, Nagaland, Sikkim, Mizoram, Tripura) and Delhi and Maharashtra. The
92 stakeholders included: i) parents of children with ID; ii) professionals from health, education
93 and social care who were engaged in caring for children and adults with ID; iii) policy makers
94 (including commissioners), lawyers, and members of parents' organisation and other non-
95 governmental organisations (NGOs) working with people with ID.

96 **2. A systematic approach to stakeholder interviews and** 97 **consultation**

98 We conducted three iterative rounds of one-to-one consultations with each of the 70
99 stakeholders. To overcome the constraints of social restrictions due to the COVID-19

100 pandemic, we followed guidance on e-consultations [26] to enable us to extend opportunities
101 to as many stakeholders as possible via emails, and through tele and video-conferencing.
102 We organised face-to-face meetings (mainly with parents) after the lockdown measures
103 were eased in June 2020. Two psychologists (MH and NHK), parents' representative (NS)
104 and a PhD student (PS) from India conducted the consultations.

105 In the first round of consultations, we used five case studies of children with ID to initiate
106 discussions. The case studies were compiled through an in-depth face-to-face discussion
107 with parents prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. They provided stakeholders with a general
108 understanding of the day-to-day living experiences, challenges, health and other concerns,
109 fears and hopes of parents/carers of people with ID. We explored broader and more general
110 perspectives on the:

- 111 • Day-to-day problems and needs of children with ID and their families.
- 112 • Support systems and structures available to the children and their families.
- 113 • Concerns about health and wellbeing of the children (current and future).

114 In round-2, we used a semi-structured topic guide to explore the stakeholders' perception of
115 risk factors for communicable and non-communicable diseases in children with ID and how
116 we could start to address them within the wider context of the structural and social barriers.

117 In round-3, we obtained critical feedback on a draft conceptual framework (described below)
118 and a ToC model developed from the findings of round 1 and 2. In particular, the
119 stakeholders were asked to identify limitations, assess the relevance of the concepts,
120 acceptability, success criteria, integration with existing care pathways and health system
121 (private and public), how these relate to existing health policies and who would be best
122 placed to deliver the intervention.

123 3. Information generated and iteratively reviewed

124 Consultations undertaken in local languages were transcribed and translated to English.

125 Transcripts of each of the 70 consultations were coded, using set and emergent themes with

126 constant comparison [27]. The themes were assessed by study investigators (MN, SS, MH,
127 NS, HM, MW, TKM), and ambiguous and uncertain themes were further explored with
128 stakeholders in subsequent rounds. An iterative team coding approach was used to check
129 interpretations and improve validity [28].

130 4. Developing a conceptual framework and theory of change

131 We mapped the findings from the consultations with evidence from systematic reviews to
132 develop a conceptual framework to inform the development of a health promotion and
133 disease prevention intervention that could reduce the known risk factors for long-term health
134 problems in children with ID while taking into account the broader socio-economic contexts
135 and barriers. The framework was co-developed by the study team from India and the UK
136 working with experts from the Indian government and non-government institutions including
137 three national bodies:

138 (i) National Institute for the Empowerment of Persons with Intellectual Disabilities (NIEPID),
139 Secunderabad under the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, Government of India;

140 (ii) National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences (NIMHANS), Bengaluru under the
141 Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India; and

142 (iii) PARIVAAR–National Confederation of Parents’ Organisations (NCPO) working with
143 persons with ID.

144 Using our findings we worked backwards to sketch out the pathways for potential change
145 and its preconditions, incorporating the contextual, structural and social shifts that could
146 facilitate the intended changes within a theory of change (ToC).

147 5. Triangulation of findings for decision-making

148 Information from the three rounds of inter-linked and iterative discussions were triangulated
149 to modify and refine the conceptual framework for a cross-sectoral family-centred
150 intervention for children with ID and their parents. This was undertaken by the study team

151 working with the same set of stakeholders who developed the initial conceptual framework
152 (step 4 above).

153 Ethics approval

154 CEI activities are exempt from research ethics review by the University of Oxford's ethics
155 committees and by the funder, National Institute for Health and Care Research (see
156 INVOLVE statement [https://www.invo.org.uk/posttypepublication/public-involvement-in-
157 research-and-research-ethics-committee-review/](https://www.invo.org.uk/posttypepublication/public-involvement-in-research-and-research-ethics-committee-review/)). This is because such activities involve
158 individuals in contributing to the design of a research study, but do not involve them as
159 research participants in the study. The community consultations were nonetheless
160 undertaken within the recommended ethical standards, including verbal consent to use
161 quotes from stakeholders without identifying them, and their right to withdraw this approval
162 any time without providing any reason. This work was also motivated by an ethical
163 commitment to engaging communities in study design to ensure that the study plan reflects
164 the priorities of the community and is responsive to the specific community context, including
165 contextual understandings of the potential risks and benefits of research.

166 Results

167 Despite the constraints of lockdown and social distancing regulations due to the COVID-19
168 pandemic in India, we achieved a good representation of parents (n=44) and other
169 stakeholders working with children and adults with ID (n=26) from the ten States (Table-1).

170 **Table-1: Characteristics of stakeholders who participated in the three rounds of**
171 **consultations**

Stakeholders and characteristics	Number
Parents (total)	44
Place of residence	

Living in rural areas	20
Living in urban areas	24
Socio-economic status	
Below poverty line*	15
Above poverty line	29
Education	
Primary and/ or secondary education	17
Higher education/ professional	27
Other stakeholders (total)	26
Teachers/ educators	5
Social workers	2
Occupational and rehabilitation therapist	1
Psychologists	8
Psychiatrists	8
Policy advocates including members of civil society organisations	2

172 *The Planning Commission of India defines 'Below Poverty Line' households as households (average
173 five family members) with per capita consumption expenditure of INR 672.8 on a monthly basis in
174 rural areas and INR 859.6 in urban areas at prices prevailing in 2009-10 (Planning Commission;
175 Government of India, 2012)

176 Round-1 outputs

177 Three key themes emerged from the first round of consultation, the most important being the
178 'future of the children', followed by 'inclusion' and 'support systems'. Almost all parents were
179 concerned about the future care and wellbeing of their children when they would no longer
180 be able to provide care. Marginalisation and exclusion were the factors driving insecurity
181 and exacerbated their worries about the future of their child.

182 *“I am a single parent, after my son’s birth my spouse divorced me and so we are*
183 *staying with my parents. But I am suffering with insecurity when I think about his*
184 *future life after my demise or in my old age. Please if it is possible you should*
185 *include this issue in you[r] programme.” (Parent of a child with ID)*

186 *“People should behave as normally as they behave with anyone else. That is the*
187 *bare minimum they can do. Moreover, I expect society to be more tolerant and*
188 *accommodating in general.” (Parent of a woman with ID)*

189 The majority of professionals (educators, health and social care workers) and members of
190 parents’ organisations felt that parents should be provided with adequate knowledge and
191 information, and be actively involved in educating and caring for the child at home. Active
192 involvement and regular communication with healthcare providers and educators could
193 reduce their anxiety, improve their understanding and acceptance of the child’s condition,
194 and help to improve their mental wellbeing through support. One of the project stakeholders
195 believed that parents are not adequately informed about their children’s condition and
196 provided suggestions on how support can be provided at home.

197 *“...they put the entire hope and aspiration on the schools and SSA [Sarva Shiksha*
198 *Abhiyan] functionaries.Empowering teachers with the right skills is necessary*
199 *and also empowering parents about their child’s condition and how to care at home*
200 *is equally important.” [Special educator, SSA (Inclusive education programme)]*

201 Improving access to and involvement with healthcare services in both the public and private
202 sectors were identified as important to improve the support system for children with ID and
203 improve physical and mental health of the children and their parents. The overarching
204 concern of parents about securing the future for the child beyond just putting food on the
205 table and day-to-day care was an important observation.

206 *“The benefit of parental and family involvement in the rehabilitation program has*
207 *never been understood better than now. Professionals are beginning to realise the*

208 *benefit of family approaches over merely child-centred ones. This point requires*
209 *consideration.” (Member of a parents’ organisation)*

210 Round-2 outputs

211 A few professionals were aware of a higher risk of communicable and non-communicable
212 diseases in people with ID, and suggested that there was a need to improve awareness
213 about the importance of early interventions to reduce future risk of diabetes, cardiovascular
214 diseases, mental health problems, tuberculosis, COVID-19 and other infections. An
215 increased risk of physical health problems was attributed to a range of factors that included
216 malnutrition, infection, poverty, poor access to healthcare services, societal factors such as
217 those related to stigma and low inclusion, behavioural and lifestyle factors, and a lack of
218 awareness and knowledge about health promotion and disease prevention among parents
219 and individuals with ID.

220 *“Children and persons with ID besides being faced with known health concerns, are*
221 *more vulnerable and susceptible to other illnesses due to lower immunity levels and*
222 *also because they are not aware and equipped with required knowledge to take*
223 *good care of their general health – both mental and physical.” (Deputy Director, Civil*
224 *Society organisation)*

225 *“Another critical concern is the lack of acknowledgment among parents and other*
226 *caregivers about the sexual needs, identities and expressions of children and*
227 *adolescents with ID. Championing for the same could be viewed with a lens of*
228 *censorship, when societal norms dictate that these are ‘private’ matters. As a result,*
229 *these are not addressed timely and adequately, thereby impacting the mental well-*
230 *being of people with ID and sometimes also resulting in unwanted changes in their*
231 *behaviours like resorting to violence and so on.” (Deputy Director, Civil Society*
232 *organisation)*

233 Parents of children with ID mostly reported not being aware of any increased risk of long-
234 term health problems, but mentioned that they would do everything required to prevent it.
235 The statements quoted above also point to a lack of awareness of inclusive approaches
236 amongst parents, professionals and the wider society. An educator raised concern about the
237 lack of health promotion and disease prevention programmes for children with ID.

238 *“Government has taken up education of these children as a priority, whereas health*
239 *[promotion] still remains to be addressed. Maybe it will be prioritised in the next*
240 *phase. NGOs are also focusing more on education than health. I would press for a*
241 *health related programme.” (Educator, inclusive education programme)*

242 The common recommendations for reducing the increased risk of long-term health problems
243 were to increase physical activity levels in children with ID by integrating this with daily
244 activities and to improve their nutrition. Social workers supporting children with ID suggested
245 that the intervention should be tailored to the needs of children from different socio-economic
246 backgrounds, and take into account the severity of disability and any co-existing conditions.
247 We were advised to keep the intervention simple, with separate components for
248 undernutrition and obesity, to ensure it is sustainable and build on the existing Early
249 Intervention Services for children 0-3 years offered by the Rashtriya Bal Swasthya
250 Karyakram of the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India [29]. This will
251 also facilitate an inclusive approach, as the intervention will be embedded in a mainstream
252 programme for all children. Experts from the three national bodies advised us to target the
253 age group of 4-10 years to support continuity of care and integration with the existing
254 policies and programmes.

255 *“Early childhood interventions are necessary and should be continued as part of the*
256 *programme. If a child does not know how to brush, how can you improve dental*
257 *hygiene?” (President, National parents’ organisation)*

258 Conceptual framework that underpins an intervention to reduce 259 risk factors for long-term health problems

260 A report on the state-level burden of disease in the general population highlights the triple-
261 burden of infectious and lifestyle related diseases, and mental health problems among the
262 adult population in India. These are associated with risk factors such as malnutrition, high
263 blood pressure, high blood sugar, stress and anxiety, which are in turn related to unhealthy
264 diet, tobacco, alcohol and drug use, socio-economic factors, and unsafe drinking water,
265 sanitation, and hygiene [30]. A review of systematic reviews indicated that interventions to
266 increase physical activity had a large beneficial effect on physical and psychosocial health
267 moderated by age and level of disability [31] although this evidence mainly came from high-
268 income countries. Sustained behaviour change was higher when combined with a healthy
269 lifestyle and supportive structural and socio-economic environments [32]. Community-based
270 programmes that provided meaningful parent participation (e.g. through having fun, role
271 models) reduced the burden of caregiving [33]. Integrated mental health counselling services
272 within a health promotion programme for children with ID was found to be effective in
273 reducing stress and anxiety among parents [34]. Evidence also supported collaboration with
274 adults with ID in all aspects of research to improve the implementation and sustainability of
275 programmes [35].

276 Combining the evidence with the findings from the stakeholder consultations, we developed
277 a conceptual framework that covered an enabling environment, structural and socio-
278 economic determinants, integration with health and social care, intermediate modifiable risk
279 factors and the long-term burden of multiple health problems in later life (Figure-1). This led
280 to the identification of five evidence-based core components to underpin a family-centred,
281 cross-sectoral, community-based intervention for children with ID aged 4 to 10 years:

- 282 i. A physical activity programme for the children.
- 283 ii. A guide to a healthy diet to provide good nutrition to the children using locally

- 284 available and affordable food.
- 285 iii. Training parents to continue regular adaptive behavioural and cognitive stimulation,
286 including in everyday activities alongside other children who do not require specialist
287 resources.
- 288 iv. Education and training to improve parent’s knowledge of accessing healthcare
289 services.
- 290 v. Group counselling for parents and children to increase their capability to address
291 behavioural issues, individual barriers to exercise and how to follow a healthy diet,
292 improve emotional and mental wellbeing, and to encourage social inclusion.

293

294 **Figure-1:** Conceptual framework for a community-based intervention for preventing and
295 reducing risk of long-term health problems in children with intellectual disability

296

297 We developed a ToC model (Figure-2) to identify what a change in risk for longer-term
298 health problems would mean for our target population of children and their parents, and the
299 necessary preconditions for this. This provided guidance for further refinement of the
300 concepts along with the stakeholders before testing their effect on the modifiable risk factors.

301 The cross-sectoral family-centred programme is not a standalone intervention, but will need
302 to be supported by an inclusive enabling environment (Figure-1). Therefore, it will be
303 essential to identify and mitigate social and health system barriers, and actively promote
304 community mobilisation to integrate the intervention within existing health and social care
305 services (Figure-2). While the intervention could achieve the immediate outcomes within a
306 short period (example improving physical activity, diet, and access to healthcare, and
307 reducing stress and anxiety), it will take longer to observe an impact on reducing the long-
308 term health problems in children with ID. This is clearly acknowledged by the accountability
309 ceiling and the plan to transfer ownership of the intervention to the three national apex
310 bodies engaged in developing the conceptual models. The ToC model will evolve as the

311 intervention is tested and implemented, along with growing partnerships and changes in
312 political will.

313 **Figure-2:** Theory of change (ToC) model

314 Round-3 outputs

315 Stakeholders voiced strong support for the conceptual framework that inform the intervention
316 to guide the planning and delivery of services for this population, and agreed that *“it will mark
317 a beginning”* (Educator, Inclusive education programme) and promote the *“value of life of the
318 child”* (Carer of a child with ID).

319 *“The programme would be a good start of a holistic intervention. Done with
320 perseverance, it can positively impact the child’s coping skills, health and well-being
321 and relieve stress in the family as the child begins to benefit from inputs received.”
322 (Deputy Director, Civil Society organisation)*

323 Importantly, it was suggested that we develop a contextually relevant intervention and an
324 enabling environment that will address the challenges and potential barriers to adherence to
325 the intervention components.

326 While several stakeholders suggested that grass-roots level public sector health and
327 nutritional workers such as Anganwadi workers (village nutrition worker) and community
328 health workers (Accredited Social Health Activist (ASHA)) should be trained to deliver the
329 intervention, others were worried about the negative impact on quality and sustainability if it
330 is delivered by this already over-burdened workforce. It was felt that care providers
331 employed by government and non-government institutions, such as the health system,
332 nutrition and social welfare sectors and schools for children with disabilities would be better
333 placed to deliver the intervention due to their understanding of the children’s complex needs.
334 The district disability rehabilitation centres [36] could coordinate outreach, delivery of the
335 intervention and follow-up. The trained workers in these centres are already working

336 towards improving societal awareness and attitudes, community inclusion of people with
337 disability, and school enrolment, and helping families access services, benefits, and support
338 for their children [37].

339 **Discussion**

340 Through the extensive community engagement and involvement (CEI) activities we
341 developed a conceptual model for a community-based, cross-sectoral, family-centred
342 inclusive intervention to prevent long-term health problems in children with ID by targeting
343 modifiable risk factors from an early age (4-10 years), and address the stigma and social
344 exclusion that exacerbate the course and experience of health problems. The intervention
345 has the potential to address some of the modifiable risk factors by promoting a healthy
346 lifestyle, preventing under and over nutrition, and improving healthcare access for children,
347 and reducing stress and anxiety among the children and their parents in the short term.
348 Although stakeholders did not mention the inclusion of children with disability into the
349 mainstream health promotion activities, we added this to our conceptual model and ToC to
350 align with the sustainable and inclusive approach recommended by UNCRPD [38, 39].

351 Health promotion for children with ID can be implemented through the inclusive education
352 programme. However, this will require attention to the structural, social and health system
353 barriers, especially those related to stigma that limit inclusion. The conceptual framework
354 aligns with the recommendation on health and early intervention for children with ID included
355 in the India's National Policy for Persons with Disabilities (No.3-1/1993-DD.III, 2006), which
356 promotes an inclusive approach. Our ToC model outlines the pathways through which the
357 intervention together with efforts to creating an enabling environment could translate into
358 reducing communicable and non-communicable diseases, and mental health problems,
359 thereby preventing morbidity and mortality in people with ID in India in the longer term. The
360 framework can be adapted to other settings.

361 Wider activism for societal change, recognition of disability rights to an equal and inclusive
362 world and economic support through social grants, etc will be required to address the socio-
363 economic barriers to health. CEI being at the core of the work ensured acceptance and
364 strong support from the community, from parents/carers of children with ID, adults with ID,
365 educators, policy makers, clinicians, and civil society organisations.

366 People with ID are also socio-economically the most vulnerable population in India [12] and
367 other LMICs [17] due to the limited opportunities for employment and income. Many have co-
368 existing conditions (such as epilepsy) that require life-long treatment associated with extra
369 expense for families. It is estimated that disability increases the cost of living by more than
370 30-40% of average income [40]. Thus, developing further morbidities (communicable and
371 non-communicable diseases) would have far worse consequences for people with ID, than
372 for others. Considering that healthcare spending in India is mostly out-of-pocket [41] and
373 people with ID often have additional costs related to transportation and needing carers to
374 accompany them [2], developing long-term health problems would add to the burden of
375 ongoing costs resulting in further impoverishment of this vulnerable population. Therefore,
376 even a small effect of the intervention will have a large positive impact on individuals,
377 families and the society in the long term. However, the conceptual model needs to be tested
378 and further refined in order to develop an effective and sustainable intervention together with
379 an enabling inclusive environment that can be scaled-up across India and also adapted by
380 other LMICs. Although the alignment of the conceptual framework with India's National
381 Policy for Persons with Disabilities will support integration [42], further inclusion within a wide
382 range of existing government programmes would be needed to facilitate implementation,
383 ownership and sustainability [43].

384 The conceptual model targets behaviour change, using the bio-psycho-social model and
385 WHO's ICF [44], to support sustainable uptake. This will be further supported by the early
386 provision of the intervention, plus an element of standardisation of simple to deliver core
387 elements that maintain flexibility according to local circumstances. Research that tests cost-

388 effectiveness and implementation by parents and educators is required [45]. Another
389 important point in changing behaviour (in our case physical activity, diet, accessing
390 healthcare) is to set expectations that are ‘attainable’ and ‘reasonable’[45] within an enabling
391 environment.

392 Strengths and limitations

393 A community-based participatory approach was used for consulting and actively engaging
394 stakeholders in co-developing a ‘locally conceptualised’ needs-based intervention to achieve
395 meaningful results that maximises the benefits for children with ID and their parents. Our
396 structured approach could be used to undertake other CEI activities in India and other
397 LMICs, where communities and individual members of the public are not commonly engaged
398 in developing an intervention. Consultations are thought to be more effective if they are
399 conducted in open fora where the public can debate and challenge emerging concepts.
400 Although we could not reach out to a wider community because of the social restrictions
401 related to the pandemic, we minimised the chances of developing a biased model by
402 listening to and evaluating multiple perspectives along with experts from the three national
403 bodies who have several years of experience working with children and adults with ID in the
404 Indian context. Another potential limitation is that systematic reviews used to inform the
405 evidence base of the conceptual framework was from mainly high-income countries.
406 Nevertheless, the evidence was a necessary starting point. Evidence generated from a LMIC
407 context is required to strengthen the conceptual model, guide implementation and assess
408 how best to align with the “disability-inclusive development” framework [46] when substantial
409 socio-economic and health system challenges exist. Our work reinforces the United Nation’s
410 Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD) and the Sustainable
411 Development Goals’ (SDGs) commitment to “leave no one behind”. It also contributes
412 towards building inclusive societies and institutions and to the goals of ‘Envision disability
413 2020’ [47].

414 Conclusion

415 There is an urgent need for appropriate strategies to reduce long-term health problems in
416 children with ID as they move into earlier and later adulthood. Interventions guided by the
417 conceptual framework have the potential to reduce and prevent long-term health problems in
418 millions of children living with ID in India, and potentially a higher number globally.
419 Considering that there are no health promotion programmes, which proactively include
420 children with ID in LMICs, an urgent next step is to test the conceptual model together with
421 actions for improving the enabling environment in order to determine its acceptance and
422 effectiveness.

423

424 Acknowledgement

425 We thank all stakeholders who participated in the CEI activities and shared their views,
426 opinions, ideas, and reviewed the conceptual framework and the ToC model. We also thank
427 Professor Suresh Bada Math, Mr Arman Ali, Dr Shyamanta Das, Dr Mara Violato, Dr
428 Binukumar Bhaskarapillai, Dr Louise Linsell and Professor John Vijay Sagar Kommu who
429 were co-investigators for the wider project. They did not specifically contribute to the work
430 included in this paper, but provided broader perspectives for developing the overall project.

431 References

- 432 1. Ali A, Hassiotis A, Strydom A, King M. Self stigma in people with intellectual disabilities and
433 courtesy stigma in family carers: a systematic review. *Research in developmental disabilities*.
434 2012;33(6):2122-40. Epub 2012/07/13. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2012.06.013. PubMed PMID: 22784823.
- 435 2. World Health Organisation. Disability and health: WHO; 2018 [cited 2020 03 August].
436 Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/disability-and-health>.
- 437 3. Tyrer F, Dunkley AJ, Singh J, Kristunas C, Khunti K, Bhaumik S, et al. Multimorbidity and
438 lifestyle factors among adults with intellectual disabilities: a cross-sectional analysis of a UK cohort.
439 *Journal of intellectual disability research : JIDR*. 2019;63(3):255-65. Epub 2018/11/30. doi:
440 10.1111/jir.12571. PubMed PMID: 30485584.
- 441 4. Sciences AoM. Multimorbidity: a priority for global health research. London: Academy of
442 Medical Sciences, 2018.
- 443 5. World Health Organisation. ICD-11 for Mortality and Morbidity Statistics (Version : 04 /
444 2019) Geneva: WHO; [cited 2019 16-December].

- 445 6. Olusanya BO, Davis AC, Wertlieb D, Boo N-Y, Nair MKC, Halpern R, et al. Developmental
446 disabilities among children younger than 5 years in 195 countries and territories,
447 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *The Lancet*
448 *Global Health*. 2018;6(10):e1100-e21. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30309-7.
- 449 7. Kinnear D, Morrison J, Allan L, Henderson A, Smiley E, Cooper SA. Prevalence of physical
450 conditions and multimorbidity in a cohort of adults with intellectual disabilities with and without
451 Down syndrome: cross-sectional study. *BMJ open*. 2018;8(2):e018292. Epub 2018/02/13. doi:
452 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018292. PubMed PMID: 29431619; PubMed Central PMCID:
453 PMC5829598.
- 454 8. Kishore M T, Udipi GA, Seshadri SP. Clinical practice guidelines for assessment and
455 management of intellectual disability. *Indian J Psychiatry*. 2019;61(Suppl S2):194-210.
- 456 9. Chavan BS, Rozatkar AR. Intellectual disability in India: Charity to right based. *Indian journal*
457 *of psychiatry*. 2014;56(2):113-6. doi: 10.4103/0019-5545.130477. PubMed PMID: 24891695.
- 458 10. Sagar R, Dandona R, Gururaj G, Dhaliwal RS, Singh A, Ferrari A, et al. The burden of mental
459 disorders across the states of India: the Global Burden of Disease Study 1990–2017. *The*
460 *Lancet Psychiatry*. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(19)30475-4.
- 461 11. Gururaj G, Varghese M, Benegal V, Rao GN, Pathak K, Singh LK, et al. National Mental Health
462 Survey of India, 2015-16: Summary. Bengaluru: National Institute of Mental Health and Neuro
463 Sciences, NIMHANS, 2016 Contract No.: Publication No. 128.
- 464 12. National Institute for the Empowerment of Persons with Intellectual Disabilities
465 (Divyangjan). 35th Annual Report 2018-19. Secunderabad, Telangana, India: Department of
466 Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan), Ministry of Social Justice and
467 Empowerment, Government of India, 2019.
- 468 13. Office of the Registrar General & Census Commissioner India. 2011 Census Data New Delhi:
469 Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India; [cited 2020 08 September].
- 470 14. Lakhan R, Ekundayò OT, Sharma M. Epilepsy, Behavioral Problems, and Intellectual Disability
471 among Children in India: Conundrums and Challenges. *J Neurosci Rural Pract*. 2018;9(1):1-2. doi:
472 10.4103/jnrp.jnrp_477_17. PubMed PMID: 29456334.
- 473 15. Menon DK, Kishore MT, Sivakumar T, Maulik PK, Kumar D, Lakhan R, et al. The National
474 Trust: A viable model of care for adults with intellectual disabilities in India. *Journal of intellectual*
475 *disabilities : JOID*. 2017;21(3):259-69. Epub 2017/08/17. doi: 10.1177/1744629517709832. PubMed
476 PMID: 28812964.
- 477 16. Evenhuis H, Henderson CM, Beange H, Lennox N, Chicoine B. Healthy Ageing - Adults with
478 Intellectual Disabilities: Physical Health Issues. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization,
479 2000.
- 480 17. World bank. Disability inclusion [updated 15 May 2020; cited 2020 01 September]. Available
481 from: <https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/disability#:~:text=Results->
482 [One%20billion%20people%2C%20or%2015%25%20of%20the%20world's%20population%2C,million](https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/disability#:~:text=Results-)
483 [%20people%2C%20experience%20significant%20disabilities.](https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/disability#:~:text=Results-)
- 484 18. Robertson J, Hatton C, Emerson E, Baines S. Prevalence of epilepsy among people with
485 intellectual disabilities: A systematic review. *Seizure*. 2015;29:46-62. doi:
486 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seizure.2015.03.016>.
- 487 19. van Timmeren EA, van der Schans CP, van der Putten AA, Krijnen WP, Steenbergen HA, van
488 Schroyen Lantman-de Valk HM, et al. Physical health issues in adults with severe or profound
489 intellectual and motor disabilities: a systematic review of cross-sectional studies. *Journal of*
490 *intellectual disability research : JIDR*. 2017;61(1):30-49. Epub 2016/05/28. doi: 10.1111/jir.12296.
491 PubMed PMID: 27228900.
- 492 20. Mitter N, Ali A, Scior K. Stigma experienced by families of individuals with intellectual
493 disabilities and autism: A systematic review. *Research in developmental disabilities*. 2019;89:10-21.
494 Epub 2019/03/16. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2019.03.001. PubMed PMID: 30875608.

- 495 21. World Health Organisation. International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health
496 (ICF) Geneva: WHO; [cited 2019 16-December]. Available from:
497 <https://www.who.int/classifications/icf/en/>.
- 498 22. Higginbottom G, Liamputtong P. What is participatory research? why do it? In: Higginbottom
499 G, Liamputtong P, editors. Participatory Qualitative Research Methodologies in Health. 55 City Road,
500 London: SAGE Publications Ltd; 2015.
- 501 23. Hebert JR, Brandt HM, Armstead CA, Adams SA, Steck SE. Interdisciplinary, translational, and
502 community-based participatory research: finding a common language to improve cancer research.
503 Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the American Association for
504 Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology. 2009;18(4):1213-7.
505 Epub 2009/04/02. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.Epi-08-1166. PubMed PMID: 19336548; PubMed Central
506 PMCID: PMC2679168.
- 507 24. De Silva MJ, Breuer E, Lee L, Asher L, Chowdhary N, Lund C, et al. Theory of Change: a
508 theory-driven approach to enhance the Medical Research Council's framework for complex
509 interventions. *Trials*. 2014;15(1):267. doi: 10.1186/1745-6215-15-267.
- 510 25. Abelson J, Forest P-G, Eyles J, Smith P, Martin E, Gauvin F-P. Deliberations about deliberative
511 methods: issues in the design and evaluation of public participation processes. *Social Science &*
512 *Medicine*. 2003;57(2):239-51. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536\(02\)00343-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536(02)00343-X).
- 513 26. Medaglia R. eParticipation research: Moving characterization forward (2006–2011).
514 *Government Information Quarterly*. 2012;29(3):346-60. doi:
515 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2012.02.010>.
- 516 27. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*.
517 2006;3(2):77-101. doi: 10.1191/1478088706qp063oa.
- 518 28. Morse JM, Barrett M, Mayan M, Olson K, Spiers J. Verification strategies for establishing
519 reliability and validity in qualitative research. *International journal of qualitative methods*.
520 2002;1(2):13-22.
- 521 29. Ministry of Health & Family Welfare GoI. Rashtriya Bal Swasthya Karyakram (RBSK): Ministry
522 of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India; [cited 2021 10 June]. Available from:
523 <https://rbsk.gov.in/RBSKLive/>.
- 524 30. Indian Council of Medical Research, Public Health Foundation of India, Institute for Health
525 Metrics and Evaluation. India: Health of the Nation's States - The India State-Level Disease Burden
526 Initiative. New Delhi, India: ICMR, PHFI, IHME, 2017.
- 527 31. Kapsal NJ, Dicke T, Morin AJS, Vasconcellos D, Maiano C, Lee J, et al. Effects of Physical
528 Activity on the Physical and Psychosocial Health of Youth With Intellectual Disabilities: A Systematic
529 Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of physical activity & health*. 2019:1-9. Epub 2019/10/06. doi:
530 10.1123/jpah.2018-0675. PubMed PMID: 31586434.
- 531 32. Hassan NM, Landorf KB, Shields N, Munteanu SE. Effectiveness of interventions to increase
532 physical activity in individuals with intellectual disabilities: a systematic review of randomised
533 controlled trials. *Journal of intellectual disability research : JIDR*. 2019;63(2):168-91. Epub
534 2018/11/09. doi: 10.1111/jir.12562. PubMed PMID: 30407677.
- 535 33. Willis C, Girdler S, Thompson M, Rosenberg M, Reid S, Elliott C. Elements contributing to
536 meaningful participation for children and youth with disabilities: a scoping review. *Disability and*
537 *rehabilitation*. 2017;39(17):1771-84. Epub 2016/07/22. doi: 10.1080/09638288.2016.1207716.
538 PubMed PMID: 27442686.
- 539 34. Hinckson EA, Dickinson A, Water T, Sands M, Penman L. Physical activity, dietary habits and
540 overall health in overweight and obese children and youth with intellectual disability or autism.
541 *Research in developmental disabilities*. 2013;34(4):1170-8. doi:
542 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2012.12.006>.
- 543 35. Di Lorito C, Bosco A, Birt L, Hassiotis A. Co-research with adults with intellectual disability: A
544 systematic review. *Journal of applied research in intellectual disabilities : JARID*. 2018;31(5):669-86.
545 Epub 2017/12/13. doi: 10.1111/jar.12435. PubMed PMID: 29231285.

- 546 36. World Health Organisation. Disability: Community-based rehabilitation (CBR) Geneva: WHO;
547 [cited 2020 13 September]. Available from:
548 [https://www.who.int/disabilities/cbr/en/#:~:text=Community%2Dbased%20rehabilitation%20\(CBR\)](https://www.who.int/disabilities/cbr/en/#:~:text=Community%2Dbased%20rehabilitation%20(CBR),%20was%20initiated%20by%20WHO%20following,ensure%20their%20inclusion%20and%20participation)
549 [%20was%20initiated%20by%20WHO%20following,ensure%20their%20inclusion%20and%20particip](https://www.who.int/disabilities/cbr/en/#:~:text=Community%2Dbased%20rehabilitation%20(CBR),%20was%20initiated%20by%20WHO%20following,ensure%20their%20inclusion%20and%20participation)
550 [ation](https://www.who.int/disabilities/cbr/en/#:~:text=Community%2Dbased%20rehabilitation%20(CBR),%20was%20initiated%20by%20WHO%20following,ensure%20their%20inclusion%20and%20participation).
551 37. Girmaji SC. Intellectual disability in India: the evolving patterns of care. International
552 Psychiatry. 2011;8(2).
553 38. Hashemi G, Kuper H, Wickenden M. SDGs, Inclusive Health and the path to Universal Health
554 Coverage. Disability and The Global South. Disability and The Global South. 2017;4(1):1088-111.
555 39. UN TU-. United Nations convention on the rights of persons with disabilities 2007 [cited
556 2022 08 June]. Available from: [https://www.un.org/development/desa/disabilities/convention-on-](https://www.un.org/development/desa/disabilities/convention-on-the-rights-of-persons-with-disabilities.html)
557 [the-rights-of-persons-with-disabilities.html](https://www.un.org/development/desa/disabilities/convention-on-the-rights-of-persons-with-disabilities.html).
558 40. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. Disability and Development
559 Report: Realizing the Sustainable Development Goals by, for and with persons with disabilities. New
560 York: United Nations, 2018.
561 41. Pandey A, Ploubidis GB, Clarke L, Dandona L. Trends in catastrophic health expenditure in
562 India: 1993 to 2014. Bull World Health Organ. 2018;96(1):18-28. Epub 2017/11/30. doi:
563 10.2471/BLT.17.191759. PubMed PMID: 29403097.
564 42. Government of India. National Policy for Persons with Disabilities (No.3-1/1993-DD.III). New
565 Delhi: Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment
566 43. NIMH and IIPA. Disability management in India: challenges and commitments. Mohapatra
567 CS, editor. Secunderabad: National Institute for the Mentally Handicapped; 2004.
568 44. Kostanjsek N. Use of The International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health
569 (ICF) as a conceptual framework and common language for disability statistics and health
570 information systems. BMC Public Health. 2011;11 Suppl 4(Suppl 4):S3-S. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-11-
571 S4-S3. PubMed PMID: 21624189.
572 45. Zucker GH. Intervention Strategies for Pre-School Students with Special Needs. Forum on
573 Public Policy, 2010.
574 46. UNDP. Disability Inclusive Development in UNDP: Guidance and entry points. New York:
575 United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), Bureau for Policy and Programme Support, 2018.
576 47. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs - Disability. #Envision2030: 17
577 goals to transform the world for persons with disabilities [cited 2020 22 June]. Available from:
578 <https://www.un.org/development/desa/disabilities/envision2030.html>.
- 579

580 **Author contributions**

581 MN co-developed the concept for the CEI work, analysed the information, conducted a
582 review of systematic reviews, co-developed the methodology, co-developed the conceptual
583 framework and the ToC model, and wrote the first draft of the paper. MH co-developed the
584 concept for the CEI work, facilitated stakeholder interviews, contributed to analysing the
585 information, co-developed the conceptual framework and the ToC model. MTK co-developed
586 the concept for the CEI work, co-developed the methodology, contributed to analysing the

587 information, co-developed the conceptual framework and the ToC model, and contributed to
588 writing the paper. NS co-developed the concept for the CEI work, conducted stakeholder
589 interviews, co-developed the conceptual framework and the ToC model, and edited the
590 paper. GS co-developed the concept for the CEI work, contributed to analysing the
591 information, co-developed the conceptual framework and the ToC model. HM and MW co-
592 developed the concept for the CEI work, co-developed the methodology, co-developed the
593 conceptual framework and the ToC model and edited the paper. NHK conducted stakeholder
594 interviews, contributed to analysing the information, and coordinated the CEI activities. PS
595 conducted stakeholder interviews and contributed to analysing the information. MK provided
596 ethical expertise to the project, contributed to analysing the information and edited the paper.
597 SS co-developed the concept for the CEI work, co-developed the methodology, contributed
598 to analysing the information, co-developed the conceptual framework and the ToC model,
599 and edited the paper.

600 **Funding**

601 The CEI work included in this paper was funded by a National Institute for Health and Care
602 Research (NIHR), RIGHT Call 3 Proposal and Partnership Development Award (Ref:
603 NIHR201720). MN is funded by a Medical Research Council Transition Support Award (Ref:
604 MR/W029294/1). The funders had no role in the study design, data collection, analysis, or
605 writing the paper.

606 **Data sharing**


607 Our qualitative data includes transcripts from a public engagement and involvement work.
608 Even if we remove the names and other personal details, the data would be still potentially
609 identifiable of the persons/organisations including government representatives whose views
610 might be considered professionally and politically sensitive. This is particularly true for our
611 work that focuses on intellectual disability and includes quotes related to stigma and social


612 injustice. So, to protect confidentiality we did not seek consent from the participants to share
613 data in an open access repository. Participants consented to including quotes in scientific
614 reports, publications and presentations, but not to have entire transcripts made publicly
615 available or available to a third party. We would be happy to consider requests for data from
616 interested individuals or organisations who approach the corresponding author provided we
617 could work out ways to share non-identifiable information.

618 **Competing interests statement**


619 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Factors influencing the enabling environment for impact





ACCOUNTABILITY CEILING FOR THE PROPOSED PROJECT & TRANSFER OF OWNERSHIP TO THE THREE NATIONAL APEX BODIES ENGAGED IN THE PROJECT



PPD phase complete

ID - Intellectual Disability; CVD - Cardiovascular disease; TB - Tuberculosis; SDGs - Sustainable Development Goal; PPD - Proposal and partnership development