

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

TITLE PAGE

Changing temporal trends in patient volumes in a pediatric emergency department during
a COVID-19 pandemic lockdown: a retrospective cohort study.

1st Author: Paul C. Mullan, MD, MPH; Children’s Hospital of the King’s Daughters,
Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Emergency Medicine,
Eastern Virginia Medical School.

2nd (Last) Author: Turaj Vazifedan, MS; Children’s Hospital of the King’s Daughters,
Department of Pediatrics,

Corresponding Author: Paul C. Mullan –

Corresponding Author Email: paul.mullan@chkd.org; Phone +1-713-855-4827.

22 **ABSTRACT**

23

24 Objective:

25 Emergency department (ED) teams have had to adjust limited staffing resources to meet
26 the fluctuating levels of patient volume and acuity during the COVID-19 pandemic.

27 Historically, Mondays have had the highest reported ED volumes. We are unaware of any
28 studies reporting on the change of this Monday effect during the COVID-19 pandemic.

29

30 Methods:

31 This retrospective, observational study of a single pediatric ED compared a pandemic
32 lockdown period (3/23/2020-11/1/2020) with a seasonally comparative period (3/25/2019-
33 11/3/2019). We compared the mean number of patients who arrived on Monday versus
34 any other specific weekday (Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, or Friday) and the
35 aggregate of other weekdays (Tuesday to Friday) for both study periods. Secondary
36 analyses investigated overall mean volumes, admission rates, and differences in triage
37 acuity levels.

38

39 Results:

40 There were 31,377 and 18,098 patients in the comparative and pandemic periods. The
41 mean number of ED visits on Mondays in the comparative period was significantly more
42 than any other weekday and the aggregate of weekdays (latter $p < 0.001$). In contrast, there
43 were no significant differences in the mean number of ED visits on Mondays in the
44 pandemic period relative to any other weekday and the aggregate of weekdays (all
45 $p > 0.05$). The pandemic period had significantly lower mean volumes, higher admission
46 rates, and more patients with higher acuity levels.

47 Conclusion:

48 The previously experienced Monday effect of increased relative ED patient volumes was
49 not seen during the pandemic period. This change has operational implications for
50 scheduling ED staffing resources. Larger database studies are needed to determine the
51 generalizability of these findings.

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70 INTRODUCTION

71 Understanding temporal trends in the volume of patients arriving at emergency
72 departments (EDs) is critical in the operational planning of resources during this period of
73 the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic as well as during non-pandemic periods.
74 By predicting the anticipated ED staffing needs according to the hour of the day, day of the
75 week, or month of the year, leadership can appropriately determine staff rosters, offer
76 surge moonlighting opportunities, flex rooming areas, and plan financial budgets. Optimal
77 planning of these resources can support ED care that is timely, efficient, safe, and
78 effective. Prior studies have identified other temporal factors that were associated with
79 changes in ED patient volumes including seasonality, day of the week, time of day, and
80 holidays.^{1,2}

81 The initial spread of the novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus
82 (SARS-CoV-2) to the United States (U.S.) in early 2020, and the resulting pandemic that
83 followed, led to lockdowns with the closure of schools and daycare facilities. These
84 lockdowns were associated with significant decreases in patient volumes in U.S. adult and
85 pediatric emergency departments.³⁻⁵ In one U.S. based, multi-center ED study, this
86 lockdown period was associated with significant ED revenue decreases that led to a 15%
87 reduction in ED physician hours when compared to a pre-pandemic period.^{6,7} Given the
88 economic vulnerability of U.S. EDs, which are primarily reimbursed on a fee-for-service
89 model, it is critical that ED staff scheduling can anticipate temporal changes in patient
90 volumes.

91 Higher volumes of ED patients have been historically been reported to occur on
92 Mondays.⁸⁻¹⁰ Given this trend, we have historically scheduled additional physician and
93 nurse staffing coverage on Mondays to manage the workload. This study aims to
94 characterize the weekday variability in patient volume in a U.S. pediatric emergency

95 department during a pandemic period and a comparative pre-pandemic period. We
96 hypothesize that there was a significantly higher proportion of ED weekday patient
97 volumes on Mondays in the pre-pandemic period and that this difference was no longer
98 present during the pandemic period.

99

100 **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

101 Study design and setting

102 This study was a retrospective, observational cohort study of ED visits at a 31-bed
103 freestanding, academic children's hospital. This hospital is the state's only dedicated
104 Level-I pediatric trauma center and the only freestanding children's hospital in the state,
105 with a catchment area population of approximately two million people. This report was
106 written according to the Strengthening The Reporting of Observational Studies in
107 Epidemiology guideline statement.¹¹

108 Methods and measurements

109 The patients were identified with a patient report generated from our electronic
110 health record. Each patient was designated as arriving on a particular day of the week
111 based on the patient's ED arrival time.

112 The study period was evaluated based on historical events. The first reported
113 SARS-CoV-2 infection in Virginia was on March 7, 2020 and the governor declared a state
114 of emergency on March 12, 2020 to start public health interventions aimed to change
115 behaviors and limit the infectious spread of SARS-CoV-2.¹² The governor closed schools
116 statewide on Monday, March 23, 2020 for the academic school year through to June 2020;
117 the majority of schools in the region and state remained fully remote in the Fall of 2020.¹³
118 The first surge in COVID-19 cases in the region occurred in July and August 2020; the
119 second surge started in November 2020. This study's pandemic period of analysis was a
120 period of 32 weeks (3/23/2020-11/1/2020) from the initial school closures until the second

121 surge when more in-person schooling started to become available. This pandemic period
122 was compared with a seasonally comparative period in 2019 (3/25/2019-11/3/2019). All
123 ED patients from both periods were eligible for inclusion.

124 Outcomes and Analyses

125 The primary outcome was to determine if there was a difference in the proportion
126 of weekday patients who arrived on a Monday versus another weekday in each study
127 period. For the primary outcome, Generalized Linear Model (GLM) was conducted for
128 comparing the mean number of all ED visits on Mondays versus the mean number of all
129 ED visits on each of other weekdays (that is, Monday versus Tuesday; Monday versus
130 Wednesday; Monday versus Thursday; Monday versus Friday) and in aggregate (that is,
131 Monday versus Tuesday-through-Friday) during the two study periods in 2019 and 2020. A
132 box plot was created to graphically display all ED visit numbers in each period.

133 Secondary analyses used GLM for comparing the Emergency Severity Index (ESI)
134 triage level proportions between the 2019 and 2020 periods; any patients without an
135 assigned ESI level were excluded from this analysis. Continuous variables were presented
136 as mean and Standard Deviation (SD). Categorical variables were presented as frequency
137 and percentage. A Chi-square test was used for comparing the percentage of ED visits
138 that arrived on a weekday versus a weekend day of the week between 2019 and 2020. All
139 statistical tests were performed using SPSS 26 (Chicago, IL). All statistical tests were two-
140 sided, and $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. The study was reviewed and
141 deemed exempt from full review by the institutional review board.

142

143

144

145

146 **RESULTS**

147 There were 31,377 and 18,098 patients included in the 2019 comparative period
 148 and 2020 pandemic period, respectively (Table 1). In the 2020 pandemic period, the mean
 149 number of patients per week significantly declined versus the 2019 comparative period.
 150 The 2020 pandemic period also had a significantly higher admission rate. There were no
 151 differences in the percentage of patients who arrived on weekdays (Monday to Friday) in
 152 the 2019 comparative period (73.4%) and 2020 (73.5%) pandemic period.

153

154 **Table 1:** Description of Emergency Department (ED) patients in the 2019 comparative
 155 and 2020 pandemic period

	2019 (3/25/2019- 11/3/2019) n=31,377	2020 P (3/23/2020- 11/1/2020) n=18,098	Difference (95% Confidence Interval)	P value
Mean Number of patients per week (standard deviation)	980.5	565.6	414.9	<0.001
Number of admissions (%)	3,805 (12.13%)	2,867 (15.84%)	3.71% (3.07, 4.36)	<0.001
Weekday arrivals (%)	23,042 (73.4%)	13,297 (73.5%)	0.1% (-0.01, 0.01)	0.93
Weekend arrivals (%)	8,335 (26.6%)	4,801 (26.5)	0.1% (-0.01, 0.01)	0.93
Number (%) of Monday arrivals	5,126 (16.34%)	2,791 (15.42%)	0.92% (0.25, 1.58)	0.007
Number (%) of Tuesday arrivals	4,699 (14.98%)	2,665 (14.73%)	0.25% (-0.40, 0.9)	0.45
Number (%) of Wednesday arrivals	4,577 (14.59%)	2,637 (14.57%)	0.02% (-0.63, 0.66)	0.96
Number (%) of Thursday arrivals	4,407 (14.05%)	2,614 (14.44%)	0.4% (-1.04, 0.24)	0.22
Number (%) of Friday arrivals	4,233 (13.49%)	2,590 (14.31%)	0.82% (-1.46, -0.19)	0.01
Number (%) of Saturday arrivals	4,055 (12.92%)	2,362 (13.05%)	0.13% (-0.74, 0.49)	0.68
Number (%) of Sunday arrivals	4,280 (13.64%)	2,439 (13.48%)	0.16% (-0.46, 0.79)	0.61
Number (%) without a triage acuity level assigned	55 (0.16%)	25 (0.14%)	0.03% (-0.04, 0.10)	0.45

156

157 For the primary outcome, the mean number of ED visits on Monday was
 158 significantly more than any other weekday in the 2019 comparative period or in aggregate
 159 (Tuesday to Friday; $p < 0.001$; Table 2). In contrast, there was not any significant difference
 160 in the mean number of ED visits on Monday versus any other weekday during the 2020
 161 pandemic period or in aggregate ($p = 0.22$). The box plot of the number of visits on each
 162 weekday is displayed graphically (Fig. 1).

163 **Table 2:** Mean number of all Emergency Department (ED) visits by weekday in both study
 164 periods.

Year	Weekday Period	Mean (SD) number of all ED visits	Estimate of Difference	95% CI	p
2019	Monday ^a	160.2 (25.5)	-	-	-
	Tuesday	146.8 (26.5)	-13.3	(-23.7, -3.0)	0.011
	Wednesday	143.0 (25.7)	-17.2	(-27.5, -6.8)	0.001
	Thursday	137.7 (24.7)	-22.5	(-32.8, -12.2)	<0.001
	Friday	132.3 (21.5)	-27.9	(-38.2, -17.6)	<0.001
	Tuesday to Friday	140.0 (25.0)	-20.2	(-28.5, -11.9)	<0.001
2020	Monday ^a	87.2 (16.8)	-	-	-
	Tuesday	83.3 (17.6)	-3.9	(-14.2, 6.4)	0.45
	Wednesday	82.4 (19.2)	-4.8	(-15.1, 5.5)	0.36
	Thursday	81.7 (16.9)	-5.5	(-15.8, 4.8)	0.29
	Friday	80.9 (15.6)	-6.3	(-16.6, 4.0)	0.23
	Tuesday to Friday	82.1 (17.2)	-5.1	(-13.4, 3.1)	0.22

165 ^aMonday as reference Level

166 Abbreviations: CI (Confidence Interval), SD (Standard Deviation)

167

168 For the secondary analyses, 80 patients from the study periods did not have a
 169 triage acuity assigned and the proportion of these unassigned patients did not vary
 170 between periods. There was a significant difference in the distribution of ESI per day
 171 between the ED visits in each study period, such that the likelihood of a greater proportion
 172 of high (that is, sicker) acuity level patients was higher in 2020 than in 2019; this significant
 173 difference ($p < 0.001$) was present on the weekly levels (that is, all 7 days combined;
 174 $p < 0.001$) and at the level of each individual day of the week ($p < 0.001$) (Fig. 2).

175

176 DISCUSSION

177 In this single-center study, the historical increase in the relative number of ED
 178 patient visits on Mondays was not seen during our pandemic lockdown period. The overall
 179 number of ED visits was dramatically decreased in this pandemic period compared to a
 180 seasonally comparative period in the prior year. The 14% relative increase in the
 181 proportion of weekday visits on Mondays that we reported during the 2019 comparative

182 period, relative to other weekdays (i.e., Tuesday through Friday), was comparable to the
183 12% to 17% relative increases reported in other ED studies.⁸⁻¹⁰ We are unaware of any
184 other ED studies that have reported this disappearance of the historical Monday effect
185 associated with a pandemic lockdown period. One United Kingdom database study has
186 reported that there is no longer an increase in the number of ED fracture visits presenting
187 on weekends during the pandemic period as compared to a pre-pandemic period.¹⁴

188 During the pandemic, the greatest decrease in pediatric ED visits has been
189 reported in communicable conditions (76% reduction) while non-infectious diagnoses have
190 seen a smaller reduction of only 36%.¹⁵ Given that 28% of U.S. pediatric emergency
191 department diagnoses are related to infectious conditions, this dramatic decrease in
192 overall ED volume is expected with children having fewer opportunities to spread
193 contagious infections to one another while out of school and daycare during the periods of
194 lockdown.¹⁶ The specific decrease in the proportion of Monday ED visits, versus other
195 weekdays, might be due to various factors and is potentially linked to the start of the
196 workweek, as an Israeli ED study has reported Sunday (i.e., the first day of their traditional
197 workweek) as the busiest day of ED visits.² One possibility is that part of the Monday
198 increase has historically been attributed to the return of families from a weekend trip away
199 who then seek care the following day.¹⁷ With the lockdown period and its associated
200 reductions in traveling, this effect might have been dampened.¹⁸ Another contributor to the
201 Monday effect has been attributed to the increased number of Monday referrals from
202 primary care providers who return to the office after the weekend off. The significant
203 decrease in primary care visits during the pandemic might have diminished this
204 phenomenon as well.¹⁹ Additionally, the pandemic's shift to the virtual workplace for many
205 workers and the muddles schedules of each workday in the week might have also
206 contributing to the decrease in this Monday effect. More workers are working from home or
207 after-hours to afford flexibility in balancing their workload demands at-home virtual

208 schooling, job site closures, and other pandemic-related challenges.²⁰ The increase in the
209 availability and access to virtual healthcare visits during the pandemic, as a more
210 convenient source of care for caregivers, might have also contributed to the decrease in
211 the Monday effect for ED visits.

212 Whether the explanation for this vanishing Monday effect is due to one or multiple
213 factors, the trend has implications for appropriately scheduling ED staffing resources
214 optimally, a goal with heightened importance in the face of pandemic-related staffing
215 shortages.²¹ The appropriate balancing of workloads across shifts is one strategy that
216 might be effective in addressing the emotional burnout of healthcare providers in the
217 pandemic.⁶ With optimal staffing, both the mental health of workers as well as the financial
218 health of an ED can be supported.⁷ In our own ED, we typically scheduled an additional
219 ED physician shift on Monday (as well as Tuesday) evenings, in addition to the other 35
220 weekly shifts. These two shifts, early on in the week, represent an additional 5.7% of
221 scheduled weekly physicians hours, which might be necessary during traditional periods
222 but might be avoidable in the future if a similar, extended lockdown period occurs.

223 The absolute number of ED patients is only part of the equation when determining
224 the staffing needs for an ED. In this study, we also saw a significant increase in the triage
225 acuity of patients and an increase in our admission rates. Caring for higher acuity patients
226 is associated with longer ED length of stay for each patient and can require more staffing
227 per patient to match the increased resource utilization for this sicker population. Similar
228 increases in acuity and admission rates have been described in other ED settings across
229 the globe.^{15,22} This higher proportion of high acuity patients has contributed to a decline in
230 the performance of many traditional ED throughput measures of quality including longer
231 length of stay, longer time to perform lab and radiological studies, and delayed time to
232 antibiotic administration.²³

233 This study had several limitations. First, as a single-center, pediatric ED, our
234 findings might not be generalizable to other settings. Second, these findings might not be
235 applicable to future pandemics or future surges within the current pandemic, as the various
236 factors (e.g. the degree of school, daycare, and work closures) that impact this decrease
237 might be present to differing degrees. Lastly, there were some missing data on the triage
238 acuity level assignments; however this was a relatively small (<1%) proportion, and the
239 proportion did not change between the two periods.

240 **CONCLUSIONS**

241 In conclusion, our ED visit volume no longer showed a significant increase in
242 Monday visits compared to the other weekdays during this lockdown period of the COVID-
243 19 pandemic. Future studies are needed to determine if this observed decrease in the
244 Monday effect is present more widely using national database studies. If we can increase
245 our understanding of the impact of societal factors, such as pandemic lockdown periods,
246 on ED volumes, then we can continue to plan the judicious use of our limited ED
247 resources.⁷

248 **Abbreviations:** ED (Emergency Department). Emergency Severity Index (ESI),
249 Generalize Lineaer Model (GLM). Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus
250 (SARS-CoV-2)

251 **Ethical Approval:** This study was exempted from our institutional review board Human
252 Subjects Research review (IRB #20-12-NH-0281)

253 **Competing Interests:** No authors have any financial or non-financial competing interests.

254 **Acknowledgement:** The authors thank Dr. Faiqa Qureshi, MD for her review and
255 feedback on the manuscript.

256


257

258 REFERENCES

- 259 1. Sun Y, Heng BH, Seow YT, Seow E. Forecasting daily attendances at an emergency
260 department to aid resource planning. *BMC Emerg Med.* 2009;9:1.
- 261 2. Rotstein Z, Wilf-Miron R, Lavi B, Shahar A, Gabbay U, Noy S. The dynamics of patient visits
262 to a public hospital ED: a statistical model. *Am J Emerg Med.* 1997;15(6):596-599.
- 263 3. Haddadin Z, Blozinski A, Fernandez K, et al. Changes in Pediatric Emergency Department
264 Visits During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Hosp Pediatr.* 2021;11(4):e57-e60.
- 265 4. Pines JM, Zocchi MS, Black BS, et al. Characterizing pediatric emergency department visits
266 during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2021;41:201-204.
- 267 5. DeLaroche AM, Rodean J, Aronson PL, et al. Pediatric Emergency Department Visits at US
268 Children's Hospitals During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Pediatrics.*
269 2021;147(4):e2020039628.
- 270 6. Sharifi M, Asadi-Pooya AA, Mousavi-Roknabadi RS. Burnout among Healthcare Providers of
271 COVID-19; a Systematic Review of Epidemiology and Recommendations. *Arch Acad Emerg
272 Med.* 2021;9(1):e7.
- 273 7. Pines JM, Zocchi MS, Black BS, et al. The Effect of the COVID-19 Pandemic on the
274 Economics of United States Emergency Care. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2021;78(4):487-499.
- 275 8. Erkamp NS, van Dalen DH, de Vries E. Predicting emergency department visits in a large
276 teaching hospital. *Int J Emerg Med.* 2021;14(1):34.
- 277 9. Castner J, Yin Y, Loomis D, Hewner S. Medical Mondays: ED Utilization for Medicaid
278 Recipients Depends on the Day of the Week, Season, and Holidays. *J Emerg Nurs.*
279 2016;42(4):317-324.
- 280 10. McHale P, Wood S, Hughes K, Bellis MA, Demnitz U, Wyke S. Who uses emergency
281 departments inappropriately and when - a national cross-sectional study using a
282 monitoring data system. *BMC Med.* 2013;11(1):258.
- 283 11. Vandembroucke JP, von Elm E, Altman DG, et al. Strengthening the Reporting of
284 Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE): explanation and elaboration. *Int J Surg.*
285 2014;12(12):1500-1524.
- 286 12. Documenting Virginia's path to recovery from the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic,
287 2020-2021 - Ballotpedia. Accessed January 20, 2022.
288 [https://ballotpedia.org/Documenting_Virginia%27s_path_to_recovery_from_the_coronavirus_\(COVID-19\)_pandemic,_2020-2021](https://ballotpedia.org/Documenting_Virginia%27s_path_to_recovery_from_the_coronavirus_(COVID-19)_pandemic,_2020-2021)
289
- 290 13. COVID-19 pandemic in Virginia. In: *Wikipedia.* ; 2022. Accessed January 20, 2022.
291 [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=COVID-
292 19_pandemic_in_Virginia&oldid=1064752543](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=COVID-19_pandemic_in_Virginia&oldid=1064752543)
- 293 14. Ferraro CF, Findlater L, Morbey R, et al. Describing the indirect impact of COVID-19 on
294 healthcare utilisation using syndromic surveillance systems. *BMC Public Health.*
295 2021;21:2019.

- 296 15. Kruizinga MD, Peeters D, van Veen M, et al. The impact of lockdown on pediatric ED visits
297 and hospital admissions during the COVID19 pandemic: a multicenter analysis and review
298 of the literature. *Eur J Pediatr*. 2021;180(7):2271-2279.
- 299 16. Hasegawa K, Tsugawa Y, Cohen A, Camargo CA. Infectious Disease-related Emergency
300 Department Visits Among Children in the US. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2015;34(7):681-685.
- 301 17. Wargon M, Guidet B, Hoang TD, Hejblum G. A systematic review of models for forecasting
302 the number of emergency department visits. *Emerg Med J*. 2009;26(6):395-399.
- 303 18. Abdullah M, Dias C, Muley D, Shahin Md. Exploring the impacts of COVID-19 on travel
304 behavior and mode preferences. *Transportation Research Interdisciplinary Perspectives*.
305 2020;8:100255.
- 306 19. Chatterji P, Li Y. Effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Outpatient Providers in the United
307 States. *Med Care*. 2021;59(1):58-61.
- 308 20. Dunatchik A, Gerson K, Glass J, Jacobs JA, Stritzel H. Gender, Parenting, and The Rise of
309 Remote Work During the Pandemic: Implications for Domestic Inequality in the United
310 States. *Gender & Society*. 2021;35(2):194-205.
- 311 21. CDC. Healthcare Workers. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Published February
312 11, 2020. Accessed January 20, 2022. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/mitigating-staff-shortages.html>
- 314 22. Finkelstein Y, Maguire B, Zemek R, et al. Effect of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Patient
315 Volumes, Acuity, and Outcomes in Pediatric Emergency Departments: A Nationwide Study.
316 *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2021;37(8):427-434.
- 317 23. Bae SJ, Chung HS, Namgung M, Choi YH, Min JH, Lee DH. Comparison of the Clinical
318 Process and Outcomes in Patients after Coronavirus Infection 2019 Outbreak. *Medicina*
319 (*Kaunas*). 2021;57(10):1086.
- 320

Fig. 1: Box plot of the number of visits by day of the week during the pandemic period (3/23/2020-11/1/2020) and comparative period (3/25/2019-11/3/2019).



print doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.07.22277359>; this version posted July 10, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Fig. 2: Histogram of the proportion of emergency department patients seen in each Emergency Severity Index (ESI) triage level, according to the day of week in the 2019 comparative period (3/25/2019-11/3/2019) and the 2020 pandemic (3/23/2020-11/1/2020) period.




Figure 2