Title

Trust in the attending rheumatologist, health-related hope, and medication adherence among Japanese patients with systemic lupus erythematosus: the TRUMP²-SLE project

Noriaki Kurita, MD, PhD^{1,2}; Nao Oguro, MD, PhD^{1,3}; Yoshia Miyawaki, MD, PhD, MPH^{4,5}; Chiharu Hidekawa, MD⁶; Natsuki Sakurai, MD⁶; Takanori Ichikawa, MD, PhD^{2,7}; Yuichi Ishikawa, MD^{8,9}; Keigo Hayashi, MD, PhD⁴; Kenta Shidahara, MD, PhD⁴; Dai Kishida, MD, PhD⁷; Ryusuke Yoshimi, MD, PhD⁶; Ken-ei Sada, MD, PhD¹⁰; Yasuhiro Shimojima, MD, PhD⁷; Nobuyuki Yajima, MD, PhD, MPH^{3,11,12}

¹Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Graduate School of Medicine, Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima, Japan

²Department of Innovative Research and Education for Clinicians and Trainees (DiRECT), Fukushima Medical University Hospital, Fukushima, Japan

³Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Showa University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

⁴Department of Nephrology, Rheumatology, Endocrinology and Metabolism, Okayama University Graduate School of Medicine, Dentistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Okayama, Japan

⁵Center for Innovative Clinical Medicine, Okayama University Hospital, Okayama, Japan

⁶Department of Stem Cell and Immune Regulation, Yokohama City University Graduate School of Medicine, Yokohama, Japan

⁷Department of Medicine (Neurology and Rheumatology), Shinshu University School of Medicine, Matsumoto, Japan

⁸The First Department of Internal Medicine, University of Occupational and Environmental

Health

⁹Graduate School of Health Innovation, Kanagawa University of Human Services, Yokosuka,

Japan

¹⁰Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Kochi Medical School, Kochi University, Nankoku

¹¹Department of Healthcare Epidemiology, School of Public Health in the Graduate School

of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

¹²Center for Innovative Research for Communities and Clinical Excellence, Fukushima

Medical University, Kyoto, Japan

Corresponding author:

Noriaki Kurita, MD, PhD, FACP

Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Graduate School of Medicine

Fukushima Medical University

1 Hikarigaoka, Fukushima City, Fukushima 960-1295, Japan

Tel: +81-24-547-1470

Fax: +81-24-547-1468

E-mail: kuritanoriaki@gmail.com

Word count: 3,173 words

Abstract

Objectives

Poor medication adherence among patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is a critical problem associated with adverse outcomes. This study examined the relationship between trust in one's physician and goal-oriented thinking, hope, and medication adherence among Japanese patients with SLE who were ethnically matched to their physicians.

Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted in the rheumatology outpatient clinics at five academic centers. Patients with SLE who were prescribed oral medications were included. The main exposure was trust in one's physician measured via the 5-item Japanese version of the Wake Forest Physician Trust Scale and the 18-item Health-related Hope Scale, with each score ranging from 0 to 100 points. The outcome was medication adherence measured using the 12-item Medication Adherence Scale with scores ranging from 5 to 60 points. A general linear model was created after adjusting for demographics, socioeconomic status, disease activity, disease duration, basic health literacy, depression, medication variables, experiencing adverse effects, and concerns regarding lupus medications.

Results

Altogether, 373 patients with SLE were included. The mean age of the patients was 46.4 years, and among them, 329 (88.2%) were women. Both trust in one's physician (per 10-point increase: 0.88, 95% confidence interval [95%CI]: 0.53 to 1.24) and the Health-related Hope score (per 10-point increase: 0.64, 95%CI: 0.33 to 0.95) were associated with better medication

adherence.

Conclusions

Physician communication to build trust and coaching on self-management to maintain or achieve what is important in the patient's life and to enhance hope may lead to better medication adherence.

Keywords:

medication adherence, physician trust, health-related hope, systemic lupus erythematosus

Key messages

What is already known on this topic

The possible association of loss of trust in the attending physician with medication adherence in systemic lupus erythematosus has been conflicting in previous research, in which the effect of physician–patient racial mismatch has not been considered. The protective role of hope and goal-oriented thinking for mental symptoms has been suggested among patients with SLE.

What this study adds

This study revealed that both trust in one's physician and health-related hope were associated with better medication adherence in Japanese patients who were ethnically matched to their physicians.

How this study may affect research, practice, or policy

The results indicated that physician communication to build trust and coaching on self-management to maintain or achieve what is important in the patient's life may lead to better medication adherence.

INTRODUCTION

Medication non-adherence in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) remains a substantial problem in terms of long-term management of the disease. Frequent non-adherence rates of 43%–75%, as well as treatment discontinuations have been reported.[1] Since medication non-adherence is associated with increased visits to the emergency department[2, 3] and hospitalizations due to causes specific and non-specific to SLE[3, 4] and severe renal disease,[5] understanding the causes of non-adherence is important for developing effective strategies to maintain medication adherence among patients in clinical practice.

According to the World Health Organization, causes of non-adherence are classified into five categories: socioeconomic factors, treatment-related factors, patient-related factors, disease-related factors, and healthcare system/healthcare team-related factors. As emergent factors for non-adherence demonstrated in SLE, socioeconomic factors include educational history[1] and economic status;[6] treatment-related factors include complex treatment regimens[3] and concerns regarding side effects;[6] and disease-related factors include disease activity[2, 3] and depression.[1, 2] However, physician–patient relationship, which is the most important among healthcare team-related factors, and patient-related factors, such as the influence of motivation to manage the disease on adherence, have not been fully examined among patients with SLE.[7]

Despite the recent emphasis on trust in one's physician, which is central to the physician–patient relationship, as a source of empowerment in rheumatology, [8] a possible association between loss of trust in one's physician and medication adherence has been reported with only few conflicting results.[9, 10] Moreover, the effects of a physician–patient

racial mismatch on distrust have not been considered.[3, 9, 10] Separately, having hope is an important coping strategy and a useful means of navigating the uncertainty of the disease course,[11] and is proposed as a component of patient-centered care in chronic illness.[12] Hope has been identified as an important source of motivation for medication adherence in other chronic diseases.[13-15] As hope enables patients to find pathways to their goals and sustain motivation to pursue them,[16, 17] those with increased hope may be better at coping with the burden of medication adherence and pursuing improved health.[15] However, only the influence of hope on mental health symptoms has been examined in patients with SLE.[18]

Therefore, we examined whether trust in one's physician and hope were associated with medication adherence, using data from the Trust Measurement for Physicians and Patients with SLE (TRUMP²-SLE) Study among Japanese patients with SLE, who were ethnically matched to their physicians. Japan is a good research setting to investigate associations involving trust in one's physician.

METHODS

Study design and settings

This cross-sectional study used baseline data from the TRUMP²-SLE Study, an ongoing multicenter cohort study conducted at five academic medical centers (Showa University Hospital, Okayama University Hospital, Shinshu University Hospital, Yokohama City University Hospital, and Yokohama City University Medical Center). This study adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice guidelines and was approved by the Ethics Review Boards of Showa University (number 22-002-A) and Fukushima Medical University (ippan 2022-044).

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) patients with SLE aged ≥20 years determined according to the revised 1997 American College of Rheumatology classification criteria; (2) received rheumatology care at the participating center; (3) ability to respond to the questionnaire survey; and (4) prescribed any oral medications described in the "Measurement of covariates" section. Patients with dementia and complete blindness were excluded.

Exposures

Trust in one's physician: Trust in one's physician was measured using the 5-item Japanese version of the Wake Forest Physician Trust Scale: "Interpersonal Trust in Physician" scale.[19, 20] It is composed of five items, which are scored on a 5-point Likert scale. Patients were asked to select one response for each item, ranging from "strongly disagree" (1 point) to "strongly agree" (5 points). After inverting the score for a negatively worded item, the sum of the scores was converted to a scale ranging from 0 to 100. The construct validity of the scale has been demonstrated, with a coefficient alpha of 0.85.[20]

Hope: Hope was measured using the Health-related Hope (HR-Hope) scale, which assesses hope related to health among persons with chronic conditions.[21] The scale consists of 18 items and is uni-dimensional. Through structural validation, three subdomains can be scored: "something to live for" (5 items), "health and illness" (6 items), and "role and connectedness" (7 items). Responses to each item were scored on a 4-point Likert scale, with the scores ranging from 1 point ("I don't feel that way at all") to 4 points ("I strongly feel that way"). After obtaining the average score for the total domains and each subdomain, the scores were converted to a scale ranging from 0 to 100. Patients without a family were exempted from

answering two items (both in the "role and connectedness" subdomain). The scale has been demonstrated to have sufficient reliability (coefficient alpha: 0.93), and criterion and construct validities have been demonstrated.[21]

Outcomes

The main outcome was medication adherence, which was measured using the original Japanese version of the Medication Adherence Scale (MAS) for patients with chronic disease.[22] The MAS is a unidimensional construct that includes 12 items, scored using a 5-point Likert scale. The MAS captures four subdomains: medication compliance (3 items), collaboration with healthcare providers (3 items), willingness to access and use information about medication (3 items), and acceptance to take medication and how taking medication fits the patient's lifestyle (3 items) (Supplementary Table). The patients were asked to score each item on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 and 5 corresponding to "never" and "always," respectively. After reversing the scores on the negatively worded items, each domain was added up to a score of 5 to 15, and the total score was calculated by adding the scores for all 12 items. Higher scores indicate higher medication adherence. The MAS has been validated and demonstrated to have good reliability (overall coefficient alpha of 0.78) and construct validity.[22]

Measurement of covariates

Confounding variables included those that were suspected to determine medication adherence, trust in physicians, and health-related hope, and were based on evidence in the literature and expert medical knowledge. The variables included age, sex, marital status, final education, household income, disease activity, duration of illness, basic health literacy, depressive state, regularly prescribed oral medications (corticosteroids, other immunosuppressants, hydroxychloroquine, medications for dyslipidemia, medications for

hypertension, medications for diabetes, medications for osteoporosis, or medications to prevent *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia [PCP]), adverse effects, and concern regarding the number of medications.

Disease activity was measured using the Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index 2000 (SLEDAI-2K), as determined by the attending physician. Basic health literacy, the ability to read and understand instructions and leaflets from healthcare providers, hospitals, and pharmacies, was measured using the five items of the Japanese version of the Functional Communicative Critical Health Literacy scale.[23] Patients were asked to score each item on a scale of 1 ("not at all") to 4 ("often"), which was calculated into an average score ranging from 1 (low health literacy [HL]) to 4 (high HL).

Depressive state was measured using the single item "Depressed" in the Japanese version of LupusPRO following the question "During the past 4 weeks, how often did you feel because of your lupus that you were...", and was defined by a choice of "Some of the time" or more frequently.[24, 25] Adverse effects and concern regarding the number of lupus medications were measured using the single items "Lupus medication(s) bothersome side effects" and "concern regarding the number of medications prescribed for lupus," respectively, in the aforementioned questionnaire following the question "In the past 4 weeks, how often did you experience the following due to your lupus?", and the patient responded with "A little of the time" or more and "Some of the time" or more, based on their experiences of adverse effects and concern regarding the number of lupus medications, respectively.

Corticosteroid dose was collected as prednisolone equivalents. Other immunosuppressants were considered present if any of the following were prescribed: mycophenolate mofetil,

mizoribine, methotrexate, azathioprine, tacrolimus, cyclosporine, and other immunosupressants. Prescription of hyperlipidemic drugs was considered to be present if any of the following were prescribed: statins, fibrates, cholesterol absorption inhibitors, eicosapentaenoic acid/docosahexaenoic acid, and others. Prescription of hypertension medications was considered present if any of the following were prescribed: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, calcium channel blockers, beta-blockers, anti-aldosterone drugs, diuretics, or others. Prescription of PCP prophylaxis was considered to be present if any of the following were prescribed: trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole or atoyaquone. Prescription of anti-diabetic medications was considered to be present if any of the following were prescribed: DPP4 inhibitors (including GLP-1 agonists), glinides, alpha GI, thiazolidinedione derivatives, sulfonylureas, SGLT-2 inhibitors, biguanides, insulin, and others. Prescription of osteoporosis medications was considered present if any of the following were prescribed: bisphosphonates, teriparatide, vitamin D, calcium agents, selective estrogen receptor modulators, and others.

The questionnaire was administered at each facility, and the patients were asked to complete it either in the waiting room or at home. The questionnaire included assurances that the responses would not be viewed by the attending physician and would only be used for tabulations at the central facility.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using Stata/SE, version 16.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). Patient characteristics are described as frequency and proportion for categorical variables and median and interquartile range for continuous variables. A histogram

of the MAS scores was generated. To exploratively examine the extent to which the

aforementioned covariates were associated with health-related hope, a general linear model

was fit with the covariates as explanatory variables. Next, the association of the MAS scores

with the aforementioned patient characteristics, HR-Hope score, and trust in one's physician

was analyzed using a general linear model. A multiple imputation approach was used to

address all variables with missing values. Twenty imputations were performed by multiple

imputation with chained equations, assuming that the analyzed data were missing at random. P

< 0.05 was considered significant for all analyses.

Patient and public involvement

Neither the general public nor patients with SLE were involved in the planning, recruitment

and conduct of this study.

RESULTS

Study flow

Initially, among 386 patients with SLE who were registered in the TRUMP2-SLE project, 373

patients were included in the analysis after exclusion of those who were suspected of not taking

oral medication.

Patient characteristics

Patient characteristics in the primary analysis are presented in Table 1. The median age was 45

years (IQR 35.8–55), and 329 (88.2%) patients were women. The median disease activity as

determined by the SLEDAI-2K scale was 4.0 (IQR 2.0–8.0) points, and 218 (60.6%) patients had a \geq 10-year history of SLE. The median basic HL score was 3.5 (IQR 3.0–4.0), and the median prednisolone dosage was 6.0 mg (IQR 4–10). Approximately 64.3% and 24.7% of the participants took other immunosupressants and hydroxychrologuine, respectively. Regarding lupus medication, the participants frequently reported experiencing adverse events (37.5%) and concern regarding the number of medications (31.3%).

Table 1. Patients' characteristics (n= 373)

	Total
	n = 373
Age, (y)	45 [35.8–55]
Women, n (%)	329 (88.2%)
Marrital status	
Married	206 (58.5%)
Divorced/widowed	24 (6.8%)
Unmarried	122 (34.7%)
missing, n	21
Education, n (%)	
Junior high school or lower	19 (5.5%)
High school/college	248 (71.9%)
University/graduate school	78 (22.6%)
missing, n	28
Household income, n (%)	
<1,000,000 yen	29 (9.7 %)
1,000,000 to <5,000,000 yen	133 (44.5 %)

5,000,000 to <10,000,000 yen	115 (38.5 %)
>10,000,000 yen	22 (7.4 %)
Missing, n	74
Disease duration, n (%)	
<5 y	65 (18.1%)
5 y to <10 y	77 (21.4%)
10 y to <20 y	131 (36.4%)
>20 y	87 (24.2%)
Missing, n	13
SLEDAI-2K, point	4 [2–8]
Depressive symptom, n (%)	54 (17.4%)
Missing, n	63
Experience of ADE within 1 mo, n (%)	114 (37.5%)
Missing, n	69
Concern regarding medication number, n (%)	95 (31.3%)
Missing, n	69
Basic health literacy	3.5 [3–4]
Missing, n	6
Prednisolone dosage, mg	6 [4–10]
Missing, n	0
Other immunosuppressants	240 (64.3%)
Hydroxychloroquine	92 (24.7%)
Prescription for dyslipidemia	95 (25.5%)
Prescription for hypertension	125 (33.5%)
Prescription for diabetes	23 (6.2%)

Prescription for osteoporosis prevention 302 (81%)

Prescription for PCP prevention 105 (28.2%)

Continuous variables are summarized as median and interquartile range (in square brackets).

Categorical variables are summarized as frequencies and proportions (in parentheses).

SLEDAI-2K: Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index, ADE: adverse drug

event, PCP: Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia.

Trust in their rheumatologist, HR-Hope score, and patient characteristics associated

with the HR-Hope score

to 0.12) was insufficient.

The median score of trust in one's physician was 80 (IQR 70–95), and the median HR-Hope score was 59.3 (IQR 44.4–70.4). Table 2 presents the association of the HR-Hope score with trust in one's physician and patient characteristics. The HR-Hope score was positively associated with higher trust in one's physician (per 10-point increase, 3.22 [95% confidence interval [CI] 1.98 to 4.45]) and basic HL (per 1-point increase, 5.86 [95% CI 2.70 to 9.01]). The HR-Hope score was inversely associated with being unmarried (vs. married, –5.55 [95% CI –10.52 to –0.58]), depression (–13.3, 95% CI –19.5 to –7.22). Evidence that the HR-Hope score was associated with concern regarding the number of medications (–5.97, 95% CI –12.1

Table 2. Associations of hope with trust in their rheumatologists and patient characteristics † (n = 373)

						Mean difference, point estimate (95%CI)	P-value
Trust	in	one's	physician,	per	10-point	3.22 (1.98 to 4.45)	< 0.001

increase		
Basic health literacy, per 1-point increase	5.86 (2.7 to 9.01)	<0.001
Age, per 10-y increase	0.01 (-1.81 to 1.84)	0.987
Women vs. men	-0.55 (-7.52 to 6.41)	0.876
Marital status		
Married	Reference	
Divorced/widowed	-3.38 (-12.7 to 5.97)	0.475
Unmarried	-5.55 (-10.5 to -0.58)	0.029
Education		
Junior high school or lower	Reference	
High school/college	-3.33 (-13.5 to 6.8)	0.515
University/graduate school	-3.84 (-15.1 to 7.38)	0.498
Household income		
<1,000,000 yen	Reference	
1,000,000 to <5,000,000 yen	4.08 (-4.7 to 12.9)	0.357
5,000,000 to <10,000,000 yen	8.41 (-0.93 to 17.8)	0.077
>10,000,000 yen	7.68 (-3.36 to 18.7)	0.171
Disease duration		
<5 y	Reference	
5 y to <10 y	-1.22 (-7.8 to 5.37)	0.716
10 y to <20 y	-0.87 (-6.88 to 5.13)	0.775
>20 y	-0.76 (-7.54 to 6.01)	0.825
SLEDAI-2K, per 1-point increase	0.22 (-0.22 to 0.66)	0.325
Depressive symptoms	-13.3 (-19.5 to -7.22)	<0.001
Experience of ADE within 1 month	4.49 (-0.91 to 9.89)	0.102

Concern for medication number	-5.97 (-12.1 to 0.12)	0.054
Prednisolone dosage, per 1-mg increase	-0.14 (-0.44 to 0.17)	0.387
Other immunosuppressants	1.32 (-3.03 to 5.67)	0.550
Hydroxychroloquine	0.41 (-4.21 to 5.02)	0.863
Prescription for dyslipidemia	-1.81 (-6.9 to 3.29)	0.485
Prescription for hypertension	1.18 (-3.25 to 5.62)	0.599
Prescription for diabetes	-2.16 (-11.3 to 7.01)	0.642
Prescription for osteoporosis prevention	-0.62 (-5.8 to 4.57)	0.815
Prescription for PCP prevention	-0.58 (-5.41 to 4.26)	0.815

[†]General liner model was fit with inclusion of all variables listed above.

SLEDAI-2K: Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index, ADE: adverse drug event, PCP: *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia.

Association between the HR-Hope score, trust in one's physician, and MAS score

Figure 1 presents a histogram of the MAS score. The median MAS score was 49 points [interquartile range 46–53]. Table 3 presents the association between the HR-Hope score, trust in one's physicians, and the MAS score. The MAS score increased with higher HR-Hope score (per 10-point increase: 0.64 [95%CI 0.33 to 0.95]) and higher trust in one's physicians (per 10-point increase: 0.89 [95%CI 0.53 to 1.24]).

Longer disease duration, especially those with >20 years, was also positively associated with a higher MAS score (vs. <5 years, 1.9 [95%CI 0.09 to 3.78]). Experiencing lupus medication-related adverse effects and depression were also positively associated with the MAS score (2.28 [95%CI 0.98 to 3.58] and 1.96 [95%CI 0.34 to 3.59], respectively). Concern regarding the number of lupus medications was inversely associated with the MAS score (-1.4 [95%CI -2.89 to -0.004]).

Table 3. Associations of medication adherence with the Health-related Hope score, trust in physician, and covariates † (n = 373)

	Corresponding	Mean difference, point	D value
	standardized ES	estimate (95%CI)	P-value
Health-related Hope, per 10-point	0.12	0.64 (0.33 to 0.95)	<0.001
increase	0.12	0.04 (0.55 to 0.55)	10.001
Trust in one's physician, per 10-point	0.17	0.00 (0.52 to 1.24)	<0.001
increase	0.17	0.88 (0.53 to 1.24)	<0.001
Basic health literacy, per 1-point	0.04	0.00 (1.10 (0.70)	0.444
increase	-0.06	-0.33 (-1.18 to 0.52)	0.441
Age, per 10-y increase	-0.02	-0.12 (-0.6 to 0.36)	0.624
Women vs. men	0.29	1.53 (-0.2 to 3.26)	0.083
Marital status			
Married		Reference	
Divorced/widowed	0	0.03 (-2.21 to 2.26)	0.982
Unmarried	0.22	1.15 (-0.16 to 2.46)	0.085
Education			
Junior high school or lower		Reference	
High school/college	0.03	0.16 (-2.51 to 2.82)	0.907
University/graduate school	0.09	0.46 (-2.31 to 3.24)	0.742
Household income			
<1,000,000 yen		Reference	
1,000,000—<5,000,000 yen	0.11	0.57 (-1.39 to 2.52)	0.569
5,000,000-<10,000,000 yen	0.19	0.98 (-1.05 to 3)	0.342

>10,000,000 yen	0.15	0.78 (-1.94 to 3.5)	0.574
Disease duration			
<5 y		Reference	
5 y to <10 y	0.19	1.02 (-0.78 to 2.82)	0.267
10 y to <20 y	0.18	0.95 (-0.7 to 2.61)	0.259
>20 y	0.37	1.93 (0.09 to 3.78)	0.040
SLEDAI-2K, per 1-point increase	0	0 (-0.12 to 0.11)	0.967
Depressive symptom	0.37	1.96 (0.34 to 3.59)	0.018
Experience of ADE within 1 month	0.43	2.28 (0.98 to 3.58)	0.001
Concern for medication number	-0.28	-1.45 (-2.89 to -0.004)	0.049
Prednisolone dosage, per 1-mg increase	0	0 (-0.07 to 0.08)	0.918
	0 -0.13	0 (-0.07 to 0.08) -0.66 (-1.82 to 0.5)	0.918 0.264
increase			
increase Other immunosuppressants	-0.13	-0.66 (-1.82 to 0.5)	0.264
increase Other immunosuppressants Hydroxychroloquine	-0.13 0.04	-0.66 (-1.82 to 0.5) 0.24 (-0.98 to 1.45)	0.264 0.703
increase Other immunosuppressants Hydroxychroloquine Prescription for dyslipidemia	-0.13 0.04 -0.01	-0.66 (-1.82 to 0.5) 0.24 (-0.98 to 1.45) -0.03 (-1.3 to 1.24)	0.264 0.703 0.965
increase Other immunosuppressants Hydroxychroloquine Prescription for dyslipidemia Prescription for hypertension	-0.13 0.04 -0.01 -0.04	-0.66 (-1.82 to 0.5) 0.24 (-0.98 to 1.45) -0.03 (-1.3 to 1.24) -0.2 (-1.37 to 0.97)	0.264 0.703 0.965 0.733

[†]General liner model was fit with inclusion of all variables listed above. To calculate the corresponding standardized ES (Cohen's d), point estimate was divided by the standard deviation of the medication adherence scale score.

ES: effect size, SLEDAI-2K: Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index, ADE: adverse drug event, PCP: *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia

Discussion

The findings of our study revealed that both trust in one's physician and health-related hope were associated with better medication adherence scores. Combined with the evidence that increased trust in one's physician is associated with increased health-related hope, the results indicate that medical interviews that address the patient's future-oriented psychological state, with the establishment and maintenance of a good trusting relationship as the core value, may contribute to the maintenance of medication adherence.

The association between trust in one's physician and medication adherence demonstrated in this study supports the findings of previous studies. One such study conducted in the United States involving white and African American patients with SLE failed to demonstrate such an association in each racial group.[9] A study in the UK involving ethnically diverse patients with SLE indicated an association between trust in one's physician and medication adherence; however, the association might have been confounded by economic status, basic health literacy, and beliefs regarding medications.[10] Furthermore, both studies did not consider the impact of physician–patient racial mismatches.[3] Meanwhile, this study provides a more robust finding because it demonstrated the association between trust in one's physician and medication adherence after adjusting for more confounding factors among patients who comprised largely of a single ethnic group matched to their physicians.[26] To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to demonstrate the potential role of hope in enhancing behavioral processes such as medication adherence. To date, only a preventive role of hope for mental status, such as depression and anxiety, has been noted in patients with SLE.[18] Considering that high levels of hope may contribute to lower burden on

self-management and better blood pressure control among patients with chronic kidney disease,[15] health-related hope may serve as a psychological factor that drives continuing successful self-management, regardless of the type of chronic disease.

This study has several implications for rheumatologists and researchers. First, this study indicates that patient adherence may be boosted through fostering trust in their rheumatologists through an enhanced attitude of listening and acceptance of their patients' concerns and sharing of personalized medical information. For example, rheumatologists can practice open communication regarding treatment options and expected outcomes, as indicated by the items in the scale used in this study.[20] Additionally, promoting patient confidence that their physical and psychological symptoms can be explained by SLE,[27] or preventing distrust by being attentive to misdiagnosis episodes[26, 27] could lead to patients being reassured regarding their treatment plan proposed by their rheumatologist, and their willingness to adhere to it in the long term. Second, hope-based interventions such as empowerment through education and coaching can improve medication adherence by increasing the patient's level of hope. For example, psychological intervention therapy, which is aimed to make patients aware of how their willpower and motivation to achieve their valued goals is affected by their healthy behaviors, could be expected to increase hope.[28] Third, health-related hope may be modifiable by non-psychological interventions as well. The association between basic health literacy, which is the ability to read and comprehend healthcare information, and hope indicates that hope may be enhanced by visual reading aids and assistance for understanding by those close to the patient. Moreover, the association between trust in one's physician and hope suggest that fostering trust is essential to improve hope. A qualitative study on SLE has suggested that losing trust in healthcare providers can be more psychologically damaging than the illness itself and can make it more difficult to accept what has been lost due to the illness, and to look forward.[27] Fourth, the findings indicate the need for rheumatologists to listen to whether patients believe that the number of lupus medications is excessive for them to take in order to improve medication adherence. The finding that concern regarding the number of lupus medications is negatively associated with medication adherence scores reinforces the importance of polypharmacy as a determinant of non-adherence.[1] Furthermore, further research on the psychological burden associated with polypharmacy is needed, as concerns regarding the number of lupus medications may undermine health-related hope.

This study has several strengths worthy of mention. First, in response to the suggestion that measuring financial constraint, comprehension, patient concern, distrust, and perception of adverse effects is important for a comprehensive understanding of their impact on medication adherence, [2] we evaluated economic status, basic health literacy, concern regarding the number of lupus medications, trust in physicians, and experience with adverse effects as variables affecting medication adherence. This study demonstrated the association between trust in physicians and medication adherence after eliminating racial differences by examining a single race and adjusting for the disadvantageous education history and economic status observed in minorities. Second, the findings are generalizable because they were obtained from multi-academic rheumatology centers. Third, we were able to analyze the association between hope and medication adherence, independent of depression. This finding indicates that hopelessness is a distinct entity from depression and has distinct consequences compared with those from depression. [29, 30] Furthermore, this perspective may be clinically important since loss of hope can also be observed in the absence of depression. [31]

Nevertheless, this study had several limitations. First, as in most previous studies, a reverse causality might have occurred. Patients may experience psychological distress associated with being overwhelmed by the amount of medication, and be concerned of the

adverse effects due to poor disease control or the inability to reduce medication dosage as a result of non-adherence. Consequently, patients may lose hope and develop distrust in their physicians. Second, since the questionnaires were self-reported, the medication adherence data might have some inaccuracies. Objective measurements of medication adherence are available through electronic monitoring of medication administration, such as that using the Medication Event Monitoring System (MEMS) and drug concentrations.[32] However, although the MEMS may be accurate, its high cost and amount of support hinder its implementation in large population studies, such as this study.[32] While measurement of drug concentrations is useful for patients who are prescribed a single medication, it is not suitable for patients with SLE who are prescribed various medications to control their disease activity, to cope with co-morbidities, and to prevent adverse effects. Self-reporting of medication adherence may be subject to recall bias and desirability bias; however, as in a prior study, we diligently informed the patients that their answers will not be circulated to their attending physicians but instead mailed to a central facility.[9]

In conclusion, increased health-related hope and greater trust in physicians may be associated with better medication adherence in patients with SLE. Future prospective cohort studies are needed to examine the aforementioned causal relationships.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would especially like to thank Hiroko Nagasato, Kumi Sasaki, Yukari Hosaka (Showa University), and Miyuki Sato (Fukushima Medical University) for their clerical support. Part of this study was presented at the 66th Annual General Assembly and Scientific Meeting of the

Japan College of Rheumatology.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the JSPS KAKENHI (grant number: JP 19KT0021). The funder

had no role in the study design, analyses, or interpretation of the data; writing of the

manuscript; or the decision to submit it for publication.

COMPETING INTERESTS

NK is a member of the Committee on Clinical Research, Japan College of Rheumatology and

has received grants from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, consulting fees from

GlaxoSmithKline K.K., and payment for speaking and educational events from Chugai

Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd, Sanofi K.K., Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation, Japan College

of Rheumatology. KS has received a research grant from Pfizer Inc. and payment for speaking

and educational events from GlaxoSmithKline K.K. Other authors declare no competing

interests.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the study are available from the

corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

- Mehat P, Atiquzzaman M, Esdaile JM, AviÑa-Zubieta A, De Vera MA. Medication
 Nonadherence in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus: A Systematic Review. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken).
 2017; 69:1706-1713.
- Julian LJ, Yelin E, Yazdany J, Panopalis P, Trupin L, Criswell LA, et al. Depression, medication adherence, and service utilization in systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum. 2009; 61:240-246.
- 3. Sun K, Eudy AM, Criscione-Schreiber LG, Sadun RE, Rogers JL, Doss J, et al. Racial Disparities in Medication Adherence between African American and Caucasian Patients With Systemic Lupus Erythematosus and Their Associated Factors. ACR Open Rheumatol. 2020; 2:430-437.
- 4. Feldman CH, Yazdany J, Guan H, Solomon DH, Costenbader KH. Medication Nonadherence Is Associated With Increased Subsequent Acute Care Utilization Among Medicaid Beneficiaries With Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2015; 67:1712-1721.
- 5. Petri M, Perez-Gutthann S, Longenecker JC, Hochberg M. Morbidity of systemic lupus erythematosus: Role of race and socioeconomic status. Am J Med. 1991; 91:345-353.
- 6. Garcia Popa-Lisseanu MG, Greisinger A, Richardson M, O'Malley KJ, Janssen NM, Marcus DM, et al. Determinants of treatment adherence in ethnically diverse, economically disadvantaged patients with rheumatic disease. J Rheumatol. 2005; 32:913-919.
- 7. World Health Organization. Adherence to long-term therapies: evidence for action. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2003.
- 8. Décary S, Toupin-April K, Légaré F, Barton JL. Five Golden Rings to Measure Patient-Centered Care in Rheumatology. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2020; 72 Suppl 10:686-702.
- 9. Mosley-Williams A, Lumley MA, Gillis M, Leisen J, Guice D. Barriers to treatment adherence among African American and white women with systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2002; 47:630-638.
- 10. Georgopoulou S, Nel L, Sangle SR, D'Cruz DP. Physician–patient interaction and medication adherence in lupus nephritis. Lupus. 2020; 29:1168-1178.

- 11. Berger Z. Navigating the Unknown: Shared Decision-Making in the Face of Uncertainty. J Gen Intern Med. 2015; 30:675-678.
- 12. Hudon C, Fortin M, Haggerty J, Loignon C, Lambert M, Poitras M-E. Patient-centered care in chronic disease management: a thematic analysis of the literature in family medicine. Patient Educ Couns. 2012; 88:170-176.
- 13. Berg CJ, Rapoff MA, Snyder CR, Belmont JM. The relationship of children's hope to pediatric asthma treatment adherence. J Posit Psychol. 2007; 2:176-184.
- 14. Santos FR, Sigulem D, Areco KC, Gabbay MA, Dib SA, Bernardo V. Hope matters to the glycemic control of adolescents and young adults with type 1 diabetes. J Health Psychol. 2015; 20:681-689.
- 15. Kurita N, Wakita T, Ishibashi Y, Fujimoto S, Yazawa M, Suzuki T, et al. Association between health-related hope and adherence to prescribed treatment in CKD patients: multicenter cross-sectional study. BMC Nephrol. 2020; 21:453.
- 16. Snyder CR, Harris C, Anderson JR, Holleran SA, Irving LM, Sigmon ST, et al. The will and the ways: development and validation of an individual-differences measure of hope. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1991; 60:570-585.
- 17. Buchanan CL, Lopez SJ. Understanding hope in individuals with disabilities. *The Oxford handbook of positive psychology and disability*. New York, NY, US: Oxford University Press; 2013:154-165.
- 18. Cui C, Li Y, Wang L. The Association of Illness Uncertainty and Hope With Depression and Anxiety Symptoms in Women With Systemic Lupus Erythematosus: A Cross-sectional Study of Psychological Distress in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Women. J Clin Rheumatol. 2021; 27:299-305.
- 19. Dugan E, Trachtenberg F, Hall MA. Development of abbreviated measures to assess patient trust in a physician, a health insurer, and the medical profession. BMC Health Serv Res. 2005; 5:64.
- 20. Oguro N, Suzuki R, Yajima N, Sakurai K, Wakita T, Hall MA, et al. The impact that family members' health care experiences have on patients' trust in physicians. BMC Health Serv Res. 2021; 21:1122.

- 21. Fukuhara S, Kurita N, Wakita T, Green J, Shibagaki Y. A scale for measuring Health-Related Hope: its development and psychometric testing. Ann Clin Epidemiol. 2019; 1:102-119.
- 22. Ueno H, Yamazaki Y, Yonekura Y, Park MJ, Ishikawa H, Kiuchi T. Reliability and validity of a 12-item medication adherence scale for patients with chronic disease in Japan. BMC Health Serv Res. 2018; 18:592.
- 23. Ishikawa H, Takeuchi T, Yano E. Measuring Functional, Communicative, and Critical Health Literacy Among Diabetic Patients. Diabetes Care. 2008; 31:874-879.
- 24. Inoue M, Shiozawa K, Yoshihara R, Yamane T, Shima Y, Hirano T, et al. The Japanese LupusPRO: A cross-cultural validation of an outcome measure for lupus. Lupus. 2016; 26:849-856.
- 25. Jolly M, Pickard AS, Block JA, Kumar RB, Mikolaitis RA, Wilke CT, et al. Disease-Specific Patient Reported Outcome Tools for Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2012; 42:56-65.
- 26. Suzuki R, Yajima N, Sakurai K, Oguro N, Wakita T, Thom DH, et al. Association of Patients' Past Misdiagnosis Experiences with Trust in Their Current Physician Among Japanese Adults. J Gen Intern Med. 2022; 37:1115-1121.
- 27. Sloan M, Naughton F, Harwood R, Lever E, D'Cruz D, Sutton S, et al. Is it me? The impact of patient–physician interactions on lupus patients' psychological well-being, cognition and health-care-seeking behaviour. Rheumatol Adv Pract. 2020; 4.
- 28. Berg CJ, Vanderpool RC, Getachew B, Payne JB, Johnson MF, Sandridge Y, et al. A
 Hope-Based Intervention to Address Disrupted Goal Pursuits and Quality of Life Among Young Adult
 Cancer Survivors. Journal of cancer education: the official journal of the American Association for
 Cancer Education. 2020; 35:1158-1169.
- 29. Everson SA, Kaplan GA, Goldberg DE, Salonen JT. Hypertension Incidence Is Predicted by High Levels of Hopelessness in Finnish Men. Hypertension. 2000; 35:561-567.
- 30. Kurita N, Wakita T, Fujimoto S, Yanagi M, Koitabashi K, Suzuki T, et al. Hopelessness and Depression Predict Sarcopenia in Advanced CKD and Dialysis: A Multicenter Cohort Study. J Nutr Health Aging. 2021; 25:593-599.

- 31. Valtonen M, Laaksonen DE, Laukkanen J, Tolmunen T, Rauramaa R, Viinamäki H, et al. Leisure-time physical activity, cardiorespiratory fitness and feelings of hopelessness in men. BMC Public Health. 2009; 9:204.
- 32. Nguyen T-M-U, Caze AL, Cottrell N. What are validated self-report adherence scales really measuring?: a systematic review. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2014; 77:427-445.

FIGURE LEGEND

Figure 1. Histogram for the Medication Adherence Scale (MAS) score.

Gray bars indicate frequency of the total MAS score (i.e., a higher score indicates better medication adherence). The left vertical axis illustrates frequency of each bar.

