

Title: Ultrarapid Targeted Nanopore Sequencing for Fusion Detection of Leukemias

Authors:

Cecilia CS Yeung, MD^{1,2}; Olga Sala-Torra MD¹; Shishir Reddy³; Ling-Hong Hung, PhD³; Jerry Radich, MD^{1,2}; Ka Yee Yeung, PhD³

¹Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA

²University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

³University of Washington, Tacoma, WA, USA

Corresponding author: Cecilia CS Yeung, MD; email:Cyeung@fredhutch.org

Abstract:

Acute leukemia (AL), a cancer of the blood, has continued high mortality rates despite a plethora of available treatments. Variable prognosis, treatment response and survival are largely based on distinct cytogenetic and molecular aberrations that characterize AL subtypes. Fast and cost-effective methods of detecting AL fusions and mutations are needed to improve clinical outcomes for patients. We report preliminary data on an ultrarapid and portable nanopore long-read sequencing assay with a same-day turnaround time of ~6-7 hours for identifying key leukemic fusions. Leukemias frequently present with recurrent fusions that impact risk stratification and therapy choice, our assay can help oncologists overcome time related challenges to get patients on treatments faster.

Introduction: Advancement of sequencing technologies have enabled large-scale and rapid molecular profiling of patients exemplified by a recent pilot study in which a genetic diagnosis of 12 critical-care patients were obtained in approximately 8-18 hours using ultrarapid whole genome sequencing¹. Here, we report our preliminary data on a portable, ultrarapid nanopore based long-read sequencing assay with a same-day

turnaround time of ~6-7 hours for identifying key leukemic fusions that can help in the management of patients. Leukemias frequently present with recurrent fusions that impact risk stratification and therapy choice². Clinically defining or significant fusion genes are present in approximately 30% of acute myeloid leukemias³ and 30% of acute lymphoblastic leukemias⁴. These fusion genes serve a critical role driving prognosis as well as treatment, for example the use of ATRA and arsenic⁵ in acute promyelocytic leukemia and the addition of tyrosine kinase inhibitors in Philadelphia chromosome positive leukemia⁶. To achieve our ultrarapid assay design, we combined CRISPR-cas9 based enrichment of a targeted fusion gene panel for sequencing on Nanopore flow cells with a GPU (Graphical Processing Unit)-enabled data analysis pipeline on the cloud, that allows simultaneous analyses while sequencing is occurring on the nanopore sequencer. Our integrated solution demonstrated that diagnoses can be fast and inexpensive by reducing total data generated, resources, sample requirements, and time to clinical diagnosis⁷. We present three representative cases for fusion detection in leukemia with our targeted assay and cloud-based software pipeline⁸ that takes ~3.5 hours for DNA extraction and library preparation, after which libraries are loaded directly onto the Nanopore sequencers where the portable palm sized sequencers are run attached to computers.

Methods: Mononuclear cells were isolated using Ficoll® reagent (Millipore-Sigma) and frozen, from primary peripheral blood or bone marrow specimens from three patients, one each with CML, APL and AML. DNA was extracted with PureGene (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA) following standard protocol. cRNA guides were designed to

direct Cas9 to cut in the genomic proximity of each of the genes involved in each one of the translocations studied. When the target region was large, guides were tiled across the region to maximize coverage. Guides were designed to capture PML-RARA, BCR-ABL1, and KMT2A-AF4. We used 5000 ng of DNA as input and the average DNA integrity number (DIN) was 8.95 (range: 7.76-9.8). Briefly, library preparation includes an initial dephosphorylation step that renders the 5' ends of the DNA inaccessible to adapter ligation and is followed by the addition of directional, target specific RNA guides complexed with tracrRNA and Cas9 enzyme to generate double strand DNA breaks on both ends of our region of interest. The Cas9 complex remains bound and the resulting new DNA ends contain a phosphorylated 5' end that is available for dA tailing. This results in preferential adapter ligation to these new ends. Libraries are sequenced on a MinION version 9.4. (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford, UK) sequencer where sequences are generated by DNA molecules passing through nanopores. We retrospectively took timed data packets which are binned into each read and simulated a real-time experiment where data would be streamed to our analytic pipeline on the cloud for fusion detection (where confirmation was declared when three fusions calls were seen).

Results: Total data analysis time to confirm if a patient sample has a fusion was ~271 seconds from basecalling, alignment, fusion detection, and visualization of fusion calls. This enables a sample to fusion reporting workflow that takes an average of 5.85 hours (ranging from 5.4 to 6.3 hours), see Figure 1.

Figure 1. Turnaround time of our targeted, optimized assay and computational pipeline for fusion detection.

The run times in minutes of DNA extraction, library preparation, sequencing, and computational processing are shown. Reliable fusion detection is achieved when 3 fusion reads are detected. Since the basecalling, alignment and fusion detection of the first two reads occur simultaneously with sequencing, the computational times shown below the lab components include time required to basecall, align and detect the third fusion read. The basecaller's (ONT Guppy) wrap up time is included as well. With our targeted assay, our GPU-enabled computational pipeline takes approximately 4.5 minutes after sequencing is complete. The top right grey table shows the times for sample to fusion calling charted in the bar graph (left), whereas the blue table in the bottom right corner shows a more detailed breakdown of specific times for the basecalling, alignment, fusion detection steps in our data analysis pipeline. For each of the three patients (CML, AML, APL), the total turnaround time from DNA extraction to fusion detection was 5.4 hours, 5.7 hours and 6.3 hours respectively. Our turnaround times are substantially faster than any current fusion detection assays used in clinical laboratories.

Discussion: While our preliminary data was performed on three patients and the computational aspect of the data analysis was simulated on retrospective data, we have demonstrated the feasibility of same-day diagnosis using our ultrarapid CRISPR-case9 enrichment-based library preparation protocol paired with our cloud-based data analysis pipeline. Implemented in emergency rooms and oncology clinics, same-day diagnosis and delivery of personalized oncology treatment are now possible.

Declarations:

The authors collectively declare that this research is conducted with approval from the Fred Hutch institutional review board and meets the requirements for ethics approval and consent to participate and consent for publication.

Data is available for review upon request to the corresponding author and the data will be made publicly available upon acceptance.

The authors report a provisional patent has been filed for this ultrarapid assay under (CY, OS, KYY, LHH, SR. and KY, LH has ownership in BwB as competing interests.

Funding is provided in part by NCCN young investigator award and the Hyundai Hope on Wheels Scholars Award, R01 CA175008-06, UG1 CA233338-02, R01GM126019, 75N91021C00022, and 75N91020C00009.

Authors' contributions: CY/OS conceived the idea, OS performed all wetbench work, LHH wrote the program for BFF, SR performed informatics analysis, LH and SR created the figure, KY/CY/JR provided funding, CY drafted initial manuscript, all authors contributed to data analysis and editing of the manuscript.


Acknowledgements: N/A

Conflicts of interests: LHH and KYY have equity interest in Biodepot LLC. LHH and KYY received compensation from NCI SBIR contracts 75N91020C00009 and 75N91021C00022. JR, OS, CY, SR have no financial disclosure which are relevant to this study.

References:

1. Gorzynski JE, Goenka SD, Shafin K, et al. Ultrarapid Nanopore Genome Sequencing in a Critical Care Setting. *N Engl J Med*. Feb 17 2022;386(7):700-702. doi:10.1056/NEJMc2112090
2. Arber DA. The 2016 WHO classification of acute myeloid leukemia: What the practicing clinician needs to know. *Semin Hematol*. Apr 2019;56(2):90-95. doi:10.1053/j.seminhematol.2018.08.002
3. Grimwade D, Hills RK, Moorman AV, et al. Refinement of cytogenetic classification in acute myeloid leukemia: determination of prognostic significance of rare recurring chromosomal abnormalities among 5876 younger adult patients treated in the United Kingdom Medical Research Council trials. *Blood*. Jul 22 2010;116(3):354-65. doi:10.1182/blood-2009-11-254441
4. Hunger SP, Mullighan CG. Redefining ALL classification: toward detecting high-risk ALL and implementing precision medicine. *Blood*. Jun 25 2015;125(26):3977-87. doi:10.1182/blood-2015-02-580043
5. Lancet JE, Moseley AB, Coutre SE, et al. A phase 2 study of ATRA, arsenic trioxide, and gemtuzumab ozogamicin in patients with high-risk APL (SWOG 0535). *Blood Adv*. Apr 28 2020;4(8):1683-1689. doi:10.1182/bloodadvances.2019001278
6. Yeoh AEJ, Lu Y, Chin WHN, et al. Intensifying Treatment of Childhood B-Lymphoblastic Leukemia With IKZF1 Deletion Reduces Relapse and Improves Overall Survival: Results of Malaysia-Singapore ALL 2010 Study. *J Clin Oncol*. Sep 10 2018;36(26):2726-2735. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.78.3050
7. Reddy S, Hung LH, Sala-Torra O, Radich JP, Yeung CC, Yeung KY. A graphical, interactive and GPU-enabled workflow to process long-read sequencing data. *BMC Genomics*. Aug 23 2021;22(1):626. doi:10.1186/s12864-021-07927-1
8. Hung LH, Hu J, Meiss T, et al. Building Containerized Workflows Using the BioDepot-Workflow-Builder. *Cell Syst*. Nov 27 2019;9(5):508-514 e3. doi:10.1016/j.cels.2019.08.007

Figure 1. Turnaround time of our targeted, optimized assay and computational pipeline for fusion detection.



	DNA extraction (Minutes)	Library Prep (Minutes)	Seq to minimum 3 fusion reads (Minutes)	base calling, alignment, fusion finder, and Visualization (Minutes)
CML1	35	180	47.33	4.52
AML1	35	180	63.78	4.52
APL2	35	180	98.27	4.52

	Basecalling (seconds)	Alignment (seconds)	Fusion-Finding (seconds)	Total time (seconds)	Total time (minutes)
CML1	260.876	10.349	0.004	271.229	4.520
AML1	260.638	10.263	0.004	270.905	4.515
APL2	260.729	10.282	0.005	271.016	4.517