1	Application of neighborhood-scale wastewater-based epidemiology in
2	low COVID-19 incidence situations
3	
4	Authors
5	Chamteut Oh ^{1,*} , Aijia Zhou ¹ , Kate O'Brien ² , Yusuf Jamal ³ , Hayden Wennerdahl ⁵ , Arthur R
6	Schmidt ¹ Joanna L. Shisler ⁴ , Antarpreet Jutla ³ , Arthur R Schmidt IV ¹ , Laura Keefer ⁵ , William
7	M. Brown ⁶ , Thanh H. Nguyen ^{1,7}
8	
9	*Corresponding author: Chamteut Oh (co14@illinois.edu)
10	
11	1) Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Illinois Urbana-
12	Champaign, United States
13	2) School of Integrative Biology, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, United States
14	3) Department of Environmental Engineering Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville,
15	United States
16	4) Department of Microbiology, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, United States
17	5) Illinois State Water Survey, Prairie Research Institute, University of Illinois Urbana-
18	Champaign, United States
19	6) Department of Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Illinois
20	Urbana-Champaign, United States
21	7) Institute of Genomic Biology, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, United States
22	
23	







27

29

Abstract

30 Wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE), an emerging approach for community-wide COVID-19 31 surveillance, was primarily characterized at large sewersheds such as wastewater treatment 32 plants serving a large population. Although informed public health measures can be better 33 implemented for a small population, WBE for neighborhood-scale sewersheds is less studied and 34 not fully understood. This study applied WBE to seven neighborhood-scale sewersheds (average 35 population of 1,471) from January to November, 2021. Community testing data showed an 36 average of 0.004% incidence rate in these sewersheds (97% of monitoring periods reported two 37 or fewer daily infections). In 92% of sewage samples, SARS-CoV-2 N gene fragments were below the limit of quantification. We statistically determined $10^{-2.6}$ as the threshold of the SARS-38 39 CoV-2 N gene concentration normalized to pepper mild mottle virus (N/PMMOV) to alert high 40 COVID-19 incidence rate in the studied sewershed. This threshold of N/PMMOV identified 41 neighborhood-scale outbreaks (COVID-19 incidence rate higher than 0.2%) with 82% sensitivity 42 and 51% specificity. Importantly, neighborhood-scale WBE can discern local outbreaks that 43 would not otherwise be identified by city-scale WBE. Our findings suggest that neighborhood-44 scale WBE is an effective community-wide disease surveillance tool when COVID-19 incidence 45 is maintained at a low level.

Keywords: Wastewater-based epidemiology; neighborhood-scale sewersheds; SARS-CoV-2
variant-specific RT-qPCR assays; low COVID-19 incidence.

49 Introduction

50 Wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) has been used as a cost-effective epidemiological tool 51 for community-wide COVID-19 surveillance worldwide (Chen et al., 2020; Hart and Halden, 52 2020; Sherchan et al., 2021; Tiwari et al., 2021). For example, WBE has been shown to be able 53 to detect SARS-CoV-2 at an 0.01% incidence (1 infection in 10.000 people) when the influence 54 of wastewater treatment plants serving a community of more than 100,000 residents was 55 analyzed (Hata et al., 2021). In addition, the viral genome concentrations in sewersheds may be a 56 better proxy for actual disease incidence than the reported COVID-19 infection cases because 57 reported cases are significantly affected by temporal clinical testing capacity (Xiao et al., 2022). 58 Furthermore, WBE allows for longitudinal wastewater surveillance to predict disease outbreaks 59 in advance of clinical epidemiology because SARS-CoV-2 genes are shed before symptom onset 60 (Wu et al., 2022b).

61 Large-scale sewersheds (such as wastewater treatment plants serving hundreds of 62 thousands to millions of people) have routinely been surveilled for COVID-19 since early 2020 63 (Hata et al., 2021; Xiao et al., 2022). However, the large-sized sewersheds may not provide 64 detailed information for region-specific public health measures. For example, social determinants of COVID-19 risk, such as demographics and socioeconomic status, which are regional 65 66 characteristics (Abrams and Szefler, 2020; Jamal et al., 2022; Upshaw et al., 2021), may not be 67 reflected in the influent wastewater from large sewersheds. The heterogeneity of these social 68 determinants across the sewersheds is a possible explanation for discrepancies between state-69 wide and county-specific COVID-19 data (Messner and Payson, 2020). WBE also has been 70 applied to small-scale sewersheds, such as buildings on university campuses (Bivins and Bibby, 71 2021; Gibas et al., 2021; Karthikeyan et al., 2021). At this smaller scale, viruses are detected in

wastewater when there are COVID-19 infection cases in the buildings. However, building-scale
 monitoring is not practical for residential areas with high numbers of single-family homes.

74 Neighborhood-scale monitoring for COVID-19 has been conducted (Barrios et al., 2021; 75 Spurbeck et al., 2021). While this neighborhood-scale monitoring could allow for a more 76 targeted public health intervention in a specific community, SARS-CoV-2 N gene concentrations 77 presented lower correlation coefficients with COVID-19 infection cases as the catchment 78 populations decreased (Bitter et al., 2022; Rusiñol et al., 2021; Sangsanont et al., 2022). 79 Additionally, the probability of SARS-CoV-2 detection in wastewater samples at a given 80 COVID-19 incidence decreases with a decrease in the population size (Fitzgerald et al., 2021; 81 Wu et al., 2021). Thus, neighborhood scale monitoring strategies are a possible area of 82 improvement.

83 This study aims to develop a methodology for the neighborhood-scale WBE for 84 community-wide COVID-19 surveillance. We collected sewage samples from seven 85 neighborhood-scale sewersheds (average population of 1,471 people) across Champaign County, 86 IL, USA for eleven months. First, we analyzed the SARS-CoV-2 N gene, S:A570D mutation, 87 and S:P681R mutation. These two mutations are confirmed by in silico analysis and in vitro 88 experiments to be exclusive to the Alpha and Delta variants circulating in IL, USA, during our 89 monitoring period (Oh et al., 2022b). Then, we plotted these data onto the clinical COVID-19 90 testing data obtained from the neighborhood-scale sewersheds, allowing us to estimate high 91 COVID-19 incidence with WBE data. Our data uncovered local outbreaks that county-scale 92 WBE would not detect and the introduction of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern to the 93 sewersheds even at low COVID-19 incidence. Our findings suggest that the neighborhood scale 94 WBE will contribute to COVID-19 surveillance, especially when COVID-19 incidence is low.

95

96 Materials and Methods

97 Collection of raw sewage composite samples

98 We selected eleven sampling points of manholes across Champaign County, IL, USA. Among 99 these, four sampling points (C1, C2, C3, and C4) receive sewage discharged from Champaign 100 and Urbana cities, and three sampling points (R1, R2, and R3) receive sewage discharged 101 Rantoul town. The areas of these seven neighborhood-scale sewersheds vary from 0.09 to 1.70 102 km^2 . The populations in these sewersheds ranged from 853 to 2402 in 2020 (Fig. 1). We 103 monitored these seven sewersheds (C1, C2, C3, C4, R1, R2, and R3) from January 2021 to 104 November 2021, during which we collected 254 sewage samples in total. We also collected 105 wastewater from a food processing plant. The amount of human fecal matter released in this 106 wastewater is much smaller than the processing water from slaughtering and meatpacking. For 107 this reason, we used this wastewater for process control, evaluating cross-contamination among 108 the sewage samples during the sample processing.

109 In 2022, we chose another three sampling points in rural areas of Champaign County (S1, 110 S2, and S3), which were less accessible to clinical testing, to supplement community-wide 111 COVID-19 surveillance. Because the sanitary sewer was not connected to most houses in these 112 areas (S1, S2, and S3 served populations of 9094, 3547, and 5547 people, respectively), we 113 collected sewage from local schools that could represent COVID-19 incidence in these areas. It 114 has been shown recently that surveillance at school gave insight into community transmission 115 (Castro-Gutierrez et al., 2022). We also examined wastewater from the Urbana-Champaign 116 Sanitary District (IL, USA), serving 144,097 people living in the cities of Champaign and 117 Urbana and adjacent areas. Although there were two city-scale wastewater treatment plants in

- this district, we collected wastewater from one of these two plants (City) with the assumption
- that each wastewater treatment plant represents the entire sewershed. We analyzed 44 samples
- 120 from the four sewersheds (S1, S2, S3, and City) from January 2022 to March 2022.
- 121



Fig. 1. Map of sewersheds in (A) Champaign and Urbana cities and (B) Rantoul town in Illinois.
Values in parenthesis are population estimates using block-level US Census 2020 data.

126 We installed autosamplers (Teledyne ISCO, USA) programmed to collect a 1 to 2 L 127 composite sample of sewage pumped at 4-hour intervals for four days. First, the composite 128 samples were transferred to sterile sampling bags (14-955-001, Fisher Scientific, USA), and 20 129 mL of 2.5 M MgCl₂ was added to the samples (i.e., final MgCl₂ concentrations from 25 to 50 130 mM) to coagulate solids including virus particles (Ahmed et al., 2020b; Oh et al., 2022a). Next, 131 samples were transported on ice to a laboratory at the University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign 132 within three hours. All contact material was sanitized with 10% bleach and 70% ethanol to 133 cross-contamination whenever handling different minimize samples. The minimum 134 recommended meta-information on sewage samples is summarized in **Table S1**.

135

136 Sample processing and viral nucleic acid extraction

137 Upon arrival at the laboratory, supernatants from each composite sample were discarded. The 138 remaining 35 mL of sewage, in which solid particles were concentrated, were transferred to a 50 mL tube (12-565-271, Fisher Scientific, USA). Next, we added 350 µL of 10⁵ gene copies 139 140 (gc)/µL of bovine coronavirus (BCoV; 16445-1, Merck Animal Health, USA) to the 35 mL of 141 concentrated sewage. After a 10 minutes incubation at room temperature, samples were 142 centrifuged at 10,000 g for 30 minutes (Sorvall[™] RC 6 Plus, Thermo Scientific, USA). 143 Supernatants were then discarded and a portion of the concentrated sludge (100 μ L) was 144 transferred to a sterile 1.5 mL tube (1415-2600, USA Scientific, USA). Total RNA and DNA, 145 including SARS-CoV-2 RNA, were extracted from the sludge with QIAamp Viral RNA mini kit 146 (Oiagen, German) following the manufacturer's procedure with a minor modification. The final 147 volume of extracts was 100 µL. Sewage collection and processing were conducted on the same 148 day, and the RNA samples were stored at $-80 \Box$ until downstream analysis.

149

150 Analysis of viral genomes

Total RNA was diluted serially 10-fold, and six reverse transcriptase quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) assays (**Table S2**) were used to analyze samples for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA. We quantified the N gene (i.e., N1 assay with 2019-nCoV RUO kit, Integrated DNA Technologies, USA) to identify a total SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentration. We also analyzed samples for the presence of the S:A570D and S:P681R mutations in the Alpha and Delta variants, as these were dominant variants in our study area during our sample collection (Oh et al., 2022b). For the data quality assurance, we quantified pepper mild mottle virus

(PMMOV), Tulane virus (TV), and BCoV, which were used to normalize SARS-CoV-2 to
human fecal matter, determine the impact of PCR inhibitors, and calculate SARS-CoV-2
recovery efficiency, respectively.

161 The details for RT-qPCR assays are summarized in Table S3, following MIQE 162 guidelines (Bustin et al., 2009). We analyzed five targets (i.e., N gene, S:A570D, S:P681R, 163 PMMOV, and TV) by Taqman-based RT-qPCR assays. We designed two duplex RT-qPCR 164 assays and one singleplex RT-qPCR to analyze these five targets. We used the first duplex RT-165 qPCR assay to detect total SARS-CoV-2 RNA (i.e., N gene) and the S:A570D mutation. The 166 second was used to analyze the S:P681R mutation and PMMoV. To validate the two duplex RT-167 qPCR assays, we compared Cq values of serial dilutions of synthetic RNA/DNA standard 168 controls determined by duplex RT-qPCR and its corresponding singleplex RT-qPCR. We used singleplex RT-qPCR to measure TV RNA. The Taqman-based RT-qPCR started with mixing 5 169 170 µL of RNA sample, 5 µL of Taqman Fast Virus 1-step Master Mix (4444432, Applied 171 Biosystems, USA), 1 µL of primers/probe mixture for each assay (i.e., final concentrations of 172 400 nM for primers and 200 nM for probes), and various volumes of nuclease-free water that fill 173 up the mixture to 20 μ L. For example, we added 1 μ L of primer/probe for the N gene, 1 μ L of primer/probe for the S:A570D mutation, and 8 µL of nuclease-free water to the RT-qPCR 174 175 cocktail for the first duplex RT-qPCR assay while 1 μ L of primer/probe for TV and 9 μ L of 176 nuclease-free water to the RT-qPCR cocktail for the singleplex RT-qPCR assay. The PCR 177 cocktail was placed in 384-well plates (4309849, Thermofisher Scientific, USA) and analyzed by 178 QuantStudio 7 Flex (Thermofisher, USA) with a thermal cycle of 5 minutes at $50\Box$, 20 seconds 179 at 95 \square followed by 45 cycles of 15 seconds at 95 \square and 60 seconds at 60 \square . The PCR standard 180 curves were obtained for every RT-qPCR analysis with 10-fold serial dilutions of synthetic RNA

or DNA controls, and average PCR efficiencies for RT-qPCR were 92% (**Fig. S1**). Four replicates of nuclease-free water were run for every RT-qPCR analysis to identify the contamination of reagents or cross-contamination among reactions. These non-template controls tested negative in all RT-qPCR analyses represented in our dataset. We also determined the limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) for each assay with 20 replicates of serial dilutions of synthetic controls (**Table S4, S5, and S6**), following a previous study (Oh et al., 2022b).

188 We analyzed BCoV RNA by a SYBR-based RT-qPCR assay. The RT-qPCR mixture for 189 SYBR-based RT-qPCR assay included 3 µL of RNA sample, 0.3 µL of 10 µM forward and 190 reverse primer, 1.275 µL of molecular biology grade water (Corning, NY, USA), 5 µL of 2×iTaq 191 universal SYBR green reaction mix, 0.125 µL of iScript reverse transcriptase from the iTaqTM 192 Universal SYBR® Green One-Step Kit (1725151, Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA). The PCR 193 cocktail was placed in 96-well plates (4306737, Applied Biosystems, USA) and analyzed by an 194 RT-qPCR system (QuantStudio 3, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The RT-qPCR reaction was 195 performed with a thermocycle of $50\Box$ for 10 minutes and $95\Box$ for 1 minute, followed by 40 196 cycles of 95 \square for 10 seconds and 60 \square for 30 seconds. Melting curves were analyzed while the 197 temperature increased from 60°C to 95°C. The melting curves showed that the primers were 198 specifically bound to the target genome. The SYBR signal was normalized to the ROX reference 199 dye. The cycles of quantification (Cq) were determined by QuantStudio Design & Analysis 200 Software (v1.5.1). The numbers of technical replicates were 4 for synthetic RNA controls and 201 from 3 to 5 for sewage samples. The PCR efficiencies for RT-qPCR were higher than 85% 202 $(R^2 > 0.99).$

203 Once RNA concentrations in the final extracts were determined, we calculated RNA 204 concentrations in sewage samples using Eqs. 1-4.

205

206
$$C_{sludge} = C_{RNA\,extract} \times \frac{V_{RNA\,extract}}{V_{sludge}}$$
 (Eq. 1)

207
$$RE = \frac{C_{BCOV \text{ in sludge}} \times V_{sludge}}{C_{BCOV \text{ in stock solution}} \times V_{stock solution}}$$
(Eq. 2)

$$208 CF = \frac{V_{sludge}}{V_{initial \, sewage}} (Eq. 3)$$

209
$$C_{initial \, sewage} = C_{sludge} \times \frac{CF}{RE}$$
 (Eq. 4)

210

211 Where $C_{RNA \ extract}$, C_{sludge} , and $C_{initial \ sewage}$ indicate RNA concentration in RNA extract, sludge, 212 and initial sewage, respectively. $V_{RNA \ extract}$, V_{sludge} , and $V_{initial \ solution}$ mean volume of RNA extract, 213 sludge, and initial sewage, respectively. RE and CF represent recovery efficiency and 214 concentration factor, respectively.

215

216 **Testing for PCR inhibitors**

We evaluated the impacts of potential remaining PCR inhibitors in the RNA extracts by spiking RNA extracts with TV RNA. TV is a calicivirus that infects rhesus monkeys, so the TV should not be present in our collected sewage samples. We added 1 μ L of 10⁶ gc/ μ L TV RNA to 10 μ L RNA extracts and 10 μ L molecular biology-grade water (Millipore Sigma, Burlington, MA, USA). We assumed that if an RNA extract included PCR inhibitors, a Cq value for TV in the RNA extract would be higher by 1 Cq value or more than in inhibition-free water.

224 **Presentation of viral genome concentrations**

If one of the technical replicates for RT-qPCR is undetermined until 45 PCR cycles, then viral genome concentrations are not quantitatively reliable (Safford et al., 2022; **Fig. S2A**). Hewitt et al. (2022) and **Fig. S2B** showed that positivity/negativity (Eq. 5) is a better indicator for the actual genome concentrations than the Cq values when samples have undetermined RT-qPCR results. We used standard curves to convert Cq values to concentrations when none of the technical RT-qPCR replicates were undetermined. On the other hand, when undetermined data was reported, we used positivity to estimate viral RNA concentrations (Eq. 6).

232

233
$$P_S = \frac{\text{The number of positive replicates}}{\text{Total number of replicates}}$$
 (Eq. 5)

$$234 C_S = C_L \times P_S (Eq. 6)$$

235

Where C_S represents the N gene concentration of samples containing undetermined qPCR results in technical replicates. C_L indicates the lowest N gene concentration from samples that do not have undetermined qPCR results. In addition, as suggested in previous studies (Simpson et al., 2021; U.S. CDC, 2022a; Wolfe et al., 2021; Zhan et al., 2022), we presented SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentrations normalized to PMMOV, an indicator for human fecal matter, for comparisons with clinical epidemiology.

242

243 Using BCoV spiked experiments to determine sample composite period

We put 35 mL of sewage sample and 350 μ L of 10⁵ gc/ μ L BCoV particles in a 50 mL sterile tube. The five tubes of the sewage samples containing BCoV were incubated in a water bath where the temperature was maintained at 25 \Box . We collected samples every 24 hours for five

days. The number of BCoV RNA was determined by the extraction method and RT-qPCR assays
mentioned above. We assumed that the RNA decay follows the first-order decay model (Eq. 7).

250 $C_t = C_0 e^{-kt}$ (Eq. 7)

 $251 \quad lnC_t = lnC_0 - kt \qquad (Eq. 8)$

252

Where C_t and C_0 are the concentration of BCoV RNA at time t and zero, k is the first-order decay rate constant. The rate constant is equal to the slope of ln C and the time graph (Eq. 8), which was determined by the linear least-squares regression model.

256

257 Clinical epidemiology

258 The Champaign-Urbana Public Health District (CUPHD) provided daily COVID-19 cases with 259 spatiotemporal information for the eight sewersheds (the Urbana-Champaign Sanitary District 260 (UCSD), C1, C2, C3, C4, R1, R2, and R3). Note that there are two wastewater treatment plants 261 in the UCSD, including the one monitored in this study (i.e., City). We assumed that the 262 incidence rates on the City sewershed were the same as that on the entire UCSD sewershed. With 263 the daily COVID-19 cases, we determined the 7-day average and relative infection case. The 264 relative infection case refers to the number of patients actively contributing to sewage viral load. 265 The relative infection case is determined by multiplying temporal daily COVID-19 cases by a 266 virus shedding model (He et al., 2020; Hewitt et al., 2022). We determined the COVID-19 267 incidence rate for each sewershed by normalizing the COVID-19 occurrence (cases/day) to the 268 corresponding populations.

270 Incidence rate (%) =
$$\frac{COVID-19 \text{ occurrence (cases/day)}}{population in a sewershed (people)} \times 100$$
 (Eq. 9)

271

The CDC uses a criterion of 200 people or more COVID-19 cases per 100,000 people for 7 days as one of the metrics to issue a warning of the high level of COVID-19 cases (U.S. CDC, 2022b). According to the virus shedding model, one infected case is assumed to shed viruses 3 days before and 9 days after the symptom onset date. The total virus number of one case shed during this active 13 days equals that of 7.06 people shed on the symptom onset date. Thus, the CDC's metric is equivalent to a 0.2% incidence rate (Eq. 9).

We analyzed 138 sequences from the Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data (GISAID), which were reported from Champaign County in 2021 using an algorithm, PRIMES developed by Oh et al. (2022b), to determine the temporal prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 variants in Champaign County.

282

283 Statistical analysis

284 We conducted various statistical analyses to support the credibility of data comparisons. 285 Specifically, BCoV RNA concentrations in sewage with different incubation times were 286 analyzed by Mann-Whitney U Test and a linear least-squares regression model (Fig. S3). 287 PMMOV and BCoV RNA concentrations were not normally distributed, so Kruskal Wallis 288 ANOVA was used to compare these data across the seven sewersheds (Fig. S4 and Fig. S5). The 289 Cq values of serial dilutions determined by multiplex and singleplex RT-qPCR assays were 290 compared by F-tests (Fig. S7). In addition, N/PMMOV was not normally distributed, so we used 291 Spearman's rank correlation to compare it to clinical epidemiology (**Table S7**). A receiver 292 operating characteristics (ROC) curve summarizes sensitivity and specificity with a series of

N/PMMOV values to indicate COVID-19 incidence rates higher than 0.2%. Sensitivity and
specificity were calculated for each N/PMMOV value with Eqs. 10-11.

295

296 Sensitivity =
$$\frac{True \ positives}{True \ positives + Flase \ negatives}$$
 (Eq. 10)

297
$$Specificity = \frac{True \ negatives}{True \ negatives + Flase \ positives}$$
 (Eq. 11)

298

299 True positives refer to the number of monitoring periods that show high PMMOV and high 300 incidence levels while true negatives refer to the number of monitoring periods showing low 301 PMMOV and incidence levels. False positives indicate the number of monitoring periods that 302 show high PMMOV but low incidence levels while false negatives represent the number of 303 monitoring periods that show low PMMOV but high incidence levels. These statistical analyses 304 were performed using OriginPro (version 2019b). Furthermore, we analyzed the possibility of 305 detecting the SARS-CoV-2 N gene at a given COVID-19 incidence by a binary logistic 306 regression model (Fig. 5). This statistical analysis was performed using the *statsmodels* library in 307 Python (version 3.8.8) (Seabold and Josef Perktold, 2010) and the algorithm is deposited at 308 https://github.com/Nguyen205/Logistic regression.

309

310 **Results and Discussion**

311 **Quality assurance and quality control**

We first identified an appropriate sample composite period to establish an efficient WBE procedure by spiking samples with known amounts of bovine coronavirus (BCoV) RNA and examining its stability over time. **Fig. S3** used controlled laboratory conditions and showed the concentrations of BCoV RNA as the incubation time increased. The reduction in BCoV RNA

concentrations followed first-order decay kinetics ($R^2=1.00$) with a first-order decay rate 316 317 constant of 0.22 day⁻¹, which is within the range of previously reported rate constants for SARS-318 CoV-2 and PMMOV (Roldan-Hernandez et al., 2022). Under these conditions, BCoV RNA 319 concentrations were not significantly different from initial concentrations at four days post-320 incubation (Mann-Whitney U Test; p>0.05). Ahmed et al. (2020a) found similar results when 321 using SARS-CoV-2. The temperatures measured at our sampling sites were lower than $25\Box$ 322 throughout the monitoring period. Therefore, we were assured that coronavirus RNA genomes 323 would remain stable when we collected 4-day composite sewage samples.

324 PMMOV RNA concentrations were quantified for each composite sample as an indicator 325 that fecal material was present in a composite sample (D'Aoust et al., 2021). The PMMOV RNA 326 concentrations for all collected samples across the seven collection sites are different (Kruskal 327 Wallis ANOVA; p<0.05, Fig. S4). This observation was expected because of the heterogeneity 328 in the sewage composition. Median values of PMMOV RNA concentrations from the seven sewersheds ranged from $10^{6.41}$ (C2) to $10^{7.05}$ gc/L (R1), which were similar to those previously 329 330 reported (Feng et al., 2021). Since PMMOV was detected from all collected sewage samples, our 331 sampling method successfully contained human fecal matter from sewer distribution systems.

We used the recovery efficiency for BCoV to evaluate the sample processing procedures (e.g., sludge concentration and RNA extraction). The mean, median, minimum, and maximum recovery efficiencies across the seven locations were 3.4%, 1.5%, 0.02%, and 64%, respectively. The recovery efficiencies differed significantly (Kruskal Wallis ANOVA; p<0.05, **Fig. S5**). A wide range of recovery efficiency was also reported previously (Feng et al., 2021), and the differences were probably attributed to different sewage characteristics. Pecson et al. (2021) investigated the reproducibility and sensitivity of 36 virus concentration methods performed by

32 laboratories across the U.S. They suggested a threshold of 0.01% of recovery efficiency for
the exclusion of poorly processed samples. Based on this criterion, none of the samples were
screened out due to the low quality of sample processing.

342 Sewage samples routinely contain PCR inhibitors (Rački et al., 2014). Thus, it is essential 343 to determine the impact of remaining PCR inhibitors in the final RNA extracts. We conducted 344 experiments to determine the presence of RNA inhibitors by spiking samples with Tulane Virus 345 (TV) RNA. Results are shown in **Fig. S6**. We found that all Δ Cq values were within 1.0, 346 confirming that PCR inhibitors' impacts in our RNA samples were negligible after 10-fold 347 dilution.

348 We used multiplex RT-qPCR assays to quantify the SARS-CoV-2 N gene, the S:A570D 349 mutation for the Alpha variant, the S:P681R mutation for the Delta variant, and PMMOV 350 replication gene in the final RNA extracts to save samples, reagents, and time. Fig. S7 shows that 351 the Cq values from singleplex and multiplex RT-qPCR were not significantly different for the 352 two sets of multiplex RT-qPCR assays (F-test; p>0.05). Thus, the fluorescence from FAM dye 353 did not affect the HEX channel and vice versa. For the final negative control, we applied the 354 same procedures, including sample collection, processing, and analysis, to wastewater 355 discharged from a food processing plant and sewage samples. We did not detect a SARS-CoV-2 356 RNA signal (i.e., Cq values were undetermined by 45 cycles of RT-qPCR) from any of the food 357 processing wastewater samples throughout the monitoring period. This finding suggests no 358 cross-contamination among samples from different sites occurred, so it can be assumed that the 359 positive Cq values were true positives.

360

361 Comparisons of clinical epidemiology and wastewater-based epidemiology data

362 WBE has been evaluated based on the goodness of fit to clinical epidemiology determined by 363 statistical models such as a Pearson correlation coefficient or a Spearman rank correlation 364 coefficient (Barua et al., 2022; Li et al., 2021), depending on the data normality. Similarly, we 365 compared N/PMMOV ratios to COVID-19 incidence rates determined by three epidemiological 366 parameters: daily COVID-19 cases (Xiao et al., 2022), 7-day average COVID-19 cases 367 (Catherine Hoar et al., 2022), and relative infection COVID-19 cases (Hewitt et al., 2022). Then, 368 we evaluated the goodness of fit by Spearman rank correlation (Table S7) between the 369 N/PMMOV ratios and these epidemiological parameters. We found that the relative infection 370 cases yielded higher correlation coefficients than daily cases and 7-day averages at six 371 sewersheds (C1, C2, C3, C4, R1, and R2). Therefore, we used relative infection cases to 372 determine the COVID-19 incidence rate.

The correlation coefficient between COVID-19 incidence rate and N/PMMOV ranged from 0.08 (R3) to 0.72 (C1) across the seven sewersheds (C1, C2, C3, C4, R1, R2, and R3) (**Table S7**). Overall, the correlation coefficients showed a considerable variation among the sewersheds. There are several possible explanations for the lack of uniform correlations.

First, the average COVID-19 incidence rate was 0.004% throughout the monitoring period, equivalent to only a few daily COVID-19 cases in neighborhood-scale sewersheds serving populations of around 1,400 people. **Fig. 2A** shows that no COVID-19 patients were reported at the seven sewersheds for 221 days out of 301 days of average monitoring periods (i.e., 73.4%). One, two, and three or more patients were reported at 18.7, 4.9, and 3.0%, respectively. Individual patients have significant differences in clinical symptoms, viral shedding, and access to COVID-19 tests. For example, Ke et al. (2022) found substantial person-to-person variations

in viral load and virus shedding duration among COVID-19 patients. A time lag between 384 385 symptom onset and testing date also varies because people in different locations have different 386 access to COVID-19 testing. The fact that the different time lags significantly impact the 387 correlation to the WBE data is demonstrated by the highest correlation coefficient (0.72) at 388 location C1. Most residents of location C1 were undergraduate students enrolled at the 389 University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, which required students to undergo routine COVID-19 390 testing. For example, graduate students were required to get tested once a week until August 391 2021. Undergraduate students were required to get tested twice a week until May 2021 and once 392 a week from May to August 2021. While the mandatory COVID-19 testing was lifted for fully 393 vaccinated individuals in September 2021, many residents in campus town still got weekly 394 testing. Therefore, compared to other sites, the reported COVID-19 cases at C1 were much 395 closer to the actual infection cases. The individual heterogeneity in clinical symptoms, including 396 virus shedding and access to COVID-19 tests, will become more significant as the number of 397 COVID-19 cases decreases.

398 Second, SARS-CoV-2 RNA was present at a low concentration in sewage samples. The 399 SARS-CoV-2 N gene concentrations are summarized in three groups (Fig. 2B); the N gene was 400 not detected; the N gene was detected in at least one of the qPCR replicates, but the 401 concentrations were below LOQ; and the N gene concentrations were above the LOQ. We found 402 that the N gene was detected in 77% of the sewage samples, which agrees with the detection rate 403 of composite wastewater samples determined by meta-analyses (a mean of 70% and a 95% -CI of 404 [47%; 94%]) (Mantilla-Calderon et al., 2022). However, 92% of sewage samples showed 405 concentrations below the LOQ. By definition, the concentrations below LOQ are not 406 quantitatively reliable and may differ from the actual virus concentrations. Therefore, we

407 concluded that the unclear relationship between the WBE and the clinical epidemiological data
408 was attributed to a low number of COVID-19 cases in sewersheds and low SARS-CoV-2
409 concentrations in sewage.

410



411

Fig 2. Stacked histograms for (A) COVID-19 daily cases in sewersheds and (B) SARS-CoV-2
 concentrations in sewage.

414

415 Application of wastewater-based epidemiology to neighborhood-scale sewersheds with low 416 COVID-19 incidence rates

We categorized WBE (i.e., N/PMMOV) and clinical epidemiological data (i.e., incidence rate) into two groups and compared the two epidemiological data sets. Firstly, 200 or more new COVID-19 cases per 100,000 populations in the past 7 days is one of the metrics that the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) uses to decide on the high-risk COVID-19 transmission (U.S. CDC, 2022b), which is equivalent to a 0.2% incidence rate (Eq. 9). We adopted the threshold of 0.2% to categorize incidence rates into high and low levels. Monitoring periods with incidence rates higher or lower than the threshold of 0.2% are shaded by red and

424 blue in Fig. 3. The incidence rates were higher than the threshold 158 times (i.e., high incidence 425 rate) and lower 95 times (i.e., low incidence rate) in the seven sewersheds. We investigated if 426 WBE data can estimate these high and low COVID-19 incidence periods. Thus, secondly, we 427 statistically determined a threshold of N/PMMOV, grouping them into a high or low level. The 428 ROC curve summarizes sensitivity and specificity with a series of thresholds of N/PMMOV (Fig. 4). We chose $10^{-2.6}$ as the unbiased optimal threshold of N/PMMOV because it gives the highest 429 430 geometric mean (G-mean) (Gour and Jain, 2022). The threshold of N/PMMOV is the horizontal 431 dashed line in Fig. 3. The N/PMMOV values were higher than the threshold 174 times and lower 79 times. With the threshold of $10^{-2.6}$, we can predict the COVID-19 incidence rate higher than 432 433 0.2% with a sensitivity of 0.82 and a specificity of 0.51. Note that there were probably 434 unreported COVID-19 cases in our sewersheds. For example, the CDC estimates that actual 435 COVID-19 infections are 6 to 24 times higher than reported cases (Havers et al., 2020). These 436 unreported COVID cases might have accounted for the false-positive scenarios where the high 437 N/PMMOV was detected from sewage samples while the COVID-19 incidence rate was low. If 438 so, the true specificity becomes higher than 0.51. These findings suggest that the threshold of N/PMMOV of 10^{-2.6} can be used to indicate the high risk of COVID-19 transmission in the 439 440 neighborhood-scale sewersheds.

441



Fig. 3. Comparisons between clinical epidemiology (COVID-19 incidence rate) and wastewaterbased epidemiology (Log₁₀ SARS-CoV-2/PMMOV). Open symbols represent WBE results (i.e.,

446 black rectangles represent the N gene, red circles represent the S:A570D mutation (potentially 447 indicating the Alpha variant), and blue triangles represent S:P681R (potentially representing the Delta variant). The horizontal dashed lines show the threshold N/PMMOV of $10^{-2.6}$. We assigned 448 449 about 10⁻⁴ of N/PMMOV to samples tested negative for the N gene to differentiate these samples 450 from samples that were not measured. Black solid curves show the COVID-19 incidence rates. 451 The monitoring period is separated either into the high (red) or the low level (blue) with the 452 criteria of a 0.2% incidence rate. Vertical dashed lines indicate the monitoring period from May 453 28 to July 12 when the incidence rate on a county-scale was below 0.05%.

454

455



456

457 Fig. 4. ROC curve with a series of N/PMMOV values when a COVID-19 incidence rate is 0.2%.
458 The area under the curve (AUC) is 0.66 with 95% CI[0.59; 0.73]. The sensitivity and specificity
459 with N/PMMOV of 10^{-2.6} are 0.82 and 0.51, respectively. The dashed line indicates a 1:1 line.
460

We determined a sensitivity of our WBE procedure, defined as the COVID-19 incidence rate at which viruses in sewage are detected at 50% probability. The data from C1 was used for a binary logistic regression analysis because the COVID-19 incidence rate is most reliable in C1 due to the mandatory COVID-19 testing. We found that the COVID-19 incidence rate is a

465 reliable predictor to explain the probability of SARS-CoV-2 N gene detection (McFadden; 466 p < 0.001). Based on the logistic regression curve in Fig. 5, we determined 0.05% for the WBE 467 sensitivity (or one infection case out of 2000 residents). Fig. 6 shows the COVID-19 incidence 468 rate in Champaign County, which includes all seven sewersheds during the same monitoring 469 period as our WBE program. We found the incidence rate was less than the WBE sensitivity 470 (0.05%) from May 27 to July 12 on a county-scale, suggesting that viruses would not have been 471 detected from sewage if the WBE had been applied to the entire county during this low incidence 472 rate period.

473 However, local outbreaks with incidence rates higher than 0.2% were reported from 474 Locations C2 and C3 from May 27 to July 12 when the county-scale incidence rate was below 475 the WBE sensitivity (0.05%). These examples show that incidence rates on neighborhood-scale 476 sewersheds could be different from those on a county-scale sewershed. We found that the N/PMMOV values were higher than the threshold of $10^{-2.6}$ at Locations C2 and C3, which means 477 478 the neighborhood-scale WBE correctly indicates these local outbreaks. More importantly, the 479 introduction of the Delta variant to Champaign County (i.e., Locations C3 and R3) was first 480 reported by our wastewater surveillance on June 6. The detection of the Delta variant by 481 neighborhood scale WBE preceded the clinical epidemiology data. Three cases of Delta variant 482 infections (GISAID ID: EPI_ISL_2885447, EPI_ISL_2885451, and EPI_ISL_2885452) were 483 first confirmed by clinical tests on June 27 and reported on July 9 in Champaign County (Fig. 484 **S8**). Also, these local outbreaks associated with the emerging variants would not have been 485 identified if WBE was applied to county-scale sewershed because of the incidence rate below the 486 WBE sensitivity.



488

Fig. 5. Probability of detecting SARS-CoV-2 N gene in sewage samples from location C1. Black closed-circles represent COVID-19 incidence rates on the x-axis. Either 1 or 0 was given to the y-value when sewage samples tested positive or negative for SARS-CoV-2, respectively. Logistic regression curves with a 95% confidence interval are represented by a red solid line and shade. McFadden's Pseudo R-squared of the regression analysis was 0.34 (p<0.001), which is considered a good fit (McFadden, 2021). The Black dotted line indicates that the 0.05% incidence rate results in a 50% probability.

496



497

498 Fig. 6. COVID-19 incidence rate in Champaign County. The red dashed line indicates a 0.05% of incidence rate with which viruses in sewage discharged from a county-scale sewershed are expected to be detected with 50% probability. The gray shade represents the monitoring period showing the incidence rates lower than 0.05%.

503 To elucidate the effect of a sewershed size on SARS-CoV-2 N gene detection, we 504 monitored sewage samples from another set of sewersheds, including three neighborhood 505 schools (S1, S2, and S3) and one city-scale sewershed (City), from January to March 2022. This 506 monitoring period presents a decreasing tendency of incidence rate after the outbreak caused by 507 the Omicron variant. Fig. 7A shows WBE and clinical epidemiology data from city-scale 508 sewershed. The incidence rate decreased steadily and became lower than 1% in February 2022. 509 The detection rate of the N gene was 55% during the entire monitoring period (6 positives out of 510 11 samplings) but decreased to 29% (2 positives out of 7 samplings) in February 2022. The 511 reduction of the N gene detection rate is expected due to the low incidence rate on a city-scale. 512 The National Wastewater Surveillance System (NWSS) provides information on the detection 513 of SARS-CoV-2 from а wastewater treatment plant Champaign rate in city 514 (https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#wastewater-surveillance; sewershed 655) (a blue 515 dashed line in Fig. 7A). The detection rate by NWSS agrees with our wastewater surveillance 516 program, showing a decreasing detection rate from February 2022. On the other hand, the 517 average N gene detection rate from the three smaller-scale sewersheds was stable regardless of 518 the city-scale incidence rate, showing a 64% of detection rate (21 positives out of 33 samplings) 519 during the entire period and 62% during the low incidence rate from February 2022 (13 positives 520 out of 21 samplings). Location B2 reported positives for N/PMMOV throughout the monitoring 521 period with especially a high detection rate of 82% (6 positives out of 7 samplings) when the 522 incidence rate on a city-scale was low (Fig. 7B). These findings corroborate that wastewater 523 surveillance should be performed at a smaller-scale sewershed to discern local outbreaks when 524 the COVID-19 incidence rate is low.



526

Fig. 7. COVID-19 surveillance data at (A) one city-scale sewershed and (B) three neighborhood
schools. A solid red line indicates the COVID-19 incidence rate from a city-scale sewershed. A
blue dashed line represents the detection rate of the N gene published by the National
Wastewater Surveillance System (NWSS).

531

532 Implication

We suggested using a threshold of N/PMMOV to indicate a high level of the COVID-19 incidence rate. The ROC curve (**Fig. 4**) shows that sensitivity increased from 0.54 to 0.82 while the specificity decreased from 0.66 to 0.49 when N/PMMOV lowered from $10^{-2.0}$ to $10^{-2.7}$. Although we selected an unbiased threshold of $10^{-2.6}$ based on the highest geometric mean, different thresholds of N/PMMOV can be applied to the other sites considering regional

characteristics such as local public health policies and the community's acceptance of the 538 539 COVID-19 risk (Dryhurst et al., 2020; Turska-Kawa and Pilch, 2022). Intensive care unit (ICU) 540 occupancy rate, influenced by vulnerable populations and ICU bed capacity, may be one of the 541 regional characteristics that should be considered to determine a threshold of N/PMMOV. If 542 some regions had experienced a high ICU occupancy rate during the COVID-19 pandemic, 543 COVID-19 put enormous stress on the local medical systems. Similar scenarios could happen 544 again in the future. According to CDC, the maximum ICU occupancy rate significantly differs 545 from site to site (http://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker). For example, in Illinois (USA), some 546 counties, such as Cook County and Champaign County, have managed ICU beds well during the 547 COVID-19 pandemic, showing 35% of maximum ICU bed occupancy. On the other hand, other 548 counties, including Rock Island County (85%) and Effingham County (70%), experienced a 549 more significant burden on ICU beds during the COVID-19 pandemic. Therefore, these counties 550 may need to be more vigilant about the COVID-19 outbreak by setting a lower threshold.

551 Applying WBE to neighborhood-scale sewersheds may cost more than large-size 552 sewersheds for monitoring the entire administrative area. To efficiently monitor multiple 553 neighborhood-scale sewersheds, the WBE can be deployed to some representative places of 554 diverse societal characteristics, such as socioeconomic status, demographics, or land use 555 category, so that we can minimize the number of monitoring sites while obtaining information 556 about the COVID-19 incidence representative of the entire area. The COVID-19 pandemic 557 disproportionally has impacted people depending on demographic and socioeconomic status 558 (Bassett et al., 2020; Krieger, 2020). Thus, those underserved regions could be chosen for 559 wastewater surveillance. For example, we chose the nine sewersheds (Locations C2, C3, C4, R1, 560 R2, R3, S1, S2, and S3) based on areas that reported fewer cases of COVID-19 testing than the

average testing in Champaign County to attempt to supplement clinical testing data, while we selected another sewershed to represent the highly tested campus area (location C1) (**Table S8**).
In addition, sample collection frequency can be optimized to operate WBE within a budget.
Schoen et al. (2022) found that a sampling frequency of once every 4 days is not significantly different from daily sampling in estimating clinical epidemiology data. Therefore, we collected 4-day composite samples with a less frequent sampling frequency, once every 8.2 days.

567 We suggest that the low correlation coefficients between WBE and the clinical data can 568 be explained by the fact that heterogeneity of clinical symptoms becomes significant with a low 569 COVID-19 incidence rate in neighborhood-scale sewersheds. However, these correlation 570 coefficients may become higher if our wastewater surveillance protocol is more sensitive to 571 detecting the N gene from sewage samples. Although the median of our LODs was $3.78 \log_{10}$ 572 gc/L, which is within the reasonable range compared to other studies (3.0 \log_{10} gc/L (10th 573 percentile) to 6.1 log₁₀ gc/L (90th percentile) (Pecson et al., 2021), the WBE sensitivity could be 574 getting better as WBE is getting matured. Then, the correlation coefficients could be higher, and 575 it could be possible to quantitatively estimate the COVID-19 incidence rate with N/PMMOV.

576 Our findings on the neighborhood-scale WBE have significant implications for public 577 health. COVID-19 might be moving from a pandemic to an endemic phase (Veldhoen and Pedro 578 Simas, 2021), which involves a difference in disease surveillance strategy. In an endemic phase, 579 we may not be able to get as accurate statistics on COVID-19 by the clinical diagnosis as we did 580 in the pandemic phase because people will be less likely to visit hospitals to get tested or medical 581 support. This is because the illnesses may be self-limiting (Veldhoen and Pedro Simas, 2021). 582 People also may have less accessibility to testing sites and use rapid COVID-19 test kits at home 583 (Kost, 2022). In this context, WBE will play a pivotal role in the COVID-19 surveillance

584 because WBE provides unbiased information about all residents in the sewershed. Lak et al. 585 (2021) and Shi et al. (2021) discovered that local COVID-19 outbreaks could spread through the 586 surrounding regions resulting in other outbreaks. Rader et al. (2020) explained the fast virus 587 transmission to the surrounding areas with frequent contact among residents. These studies 588 highlight the necessity of early detection of local COVID-19 outbreaks (Cariti et al., 2022; Wu et 589 al., 2022a). Our study shows that WBE should be applied to neighborhood-scale sewershed to 590 identify covert local outbreaks in advance and the introduction of emerging SARS-CoV-2 591 variants, which provides a warning for large-scale outbreaks.

592

593 Conclusion

594 In this study, we applied WBE to seven neighborhood-scale sewersheds (an average catchment 595 population was 1471) for eleven months. We found that WBE data from neighborhood-scale 596 sewersheds were poorly correlated to clinical testing data when COVID-19 incidence was low. 597 Thus, we suggest a threshold of N/PMMOV to indicate a high level of COVID-19 incidence 598 rates, which can be assigned in collaboration with public health officials. We demonstrated that 599 this approach could discern local COVID-19 outbreaks that a county-scale WBE would not 600 detect due to the low disease incidence on a county scale. Our findings highlight that WBE 601 should be applied to neighborhood-scale sewersheds when COVID-19 incidence is maintained at 602 a low level.

603

604 Acknowledgment

We acknowledge the funding from the Grainger College of Engineering, the JUMP-ARCHES program of OSF Healthcare in conjunction with the University of Illinois, and the VinUni

607	Illinois Smart Health Center. We thank Brad Bennett and Bruce Rabe at the Urbana-Champaign
608	Sanitary District and Haley Turner and Travis Ramme at the Rantoul Wastewater Treatment
609	Plant for providing us with influent wastewater. The authors also acknowledge Kip Stevenson
610	for sampling deployment, and Yuqing Mao, Matthew Robert Loula, Aashna Patra, Kristin Joy
611	Anderson, Mikayla Diedrick, Hubert Lyu, Hamza Elmahi Mohamed, Jad R Karajeh, Runsen
612	Ning, Rui Fu, and Kyukyoung Kim for sewage sampling and processing. We also acknowledge
613	Dr. Awais Vaid for guidance on sampling site selection.
614	

- 615
- 616

617 **References**

- Abrams, E.M., Szefler, S.J., 2020. COVID-19 and the impact of social determinants of health.
 Lancet Respir. Med. 8, 659–661.
- 620 Ahmed, W., Bertsch, P.M., Bibby, K., Haramoto, E., Hewitt, J., Huygens, F., Gyawali, P.,
- 621 Korajkic, A., Riddell, S., Sherchan, S.P., Simpson, S.L., Sirikanchana, K., Symonds, E.M.,
- 622 Verhagen, R., Vasan, S.S., Kitajima, M., Bivins, A., 2020a. Decay of SARS-CoV-2 and
- surrogate murine hepatitis virus RNA in untreated wastewater to inform application in
 wastewater-based epidemiology. Environ. Res. 191, 110092.
- 625 Ahmed, W., Bertsch, P.M., Bivins, A., Bibby, K., Farkas, K., Gathercole, A., Haramoto, E.,
- 626 Gyawali, P., Korajkic, A., McMinn, B.R., Mueller, J.F., Simpson, S.L., Smith, W.J.M.,
- 627 Symonds, E.M., Thomas, K. V., Verhagen, R., Kitajima, M., 2020b. Comparison of virus
- 628 concentration methods for the RT-qPCR-based recovery of murine hepatitis virus, a 629 surrogate for SARS-CoV-2 from untreated wastewater. Sci. Total Environ. 739, 139960.
- 52) Sunogue foi 5/105 Cov 2 nom uniferied wastewater. Sei. Total Environ. 759, 159900.
- 630 Barrios, R.E., Lim, C., Kelley, M.S., Li, X., 2021. SARS-CoV-2 concentrations in a wastewater
- 631 collection system indicated potential COVID-19 hotspots at the zip code level. Sci. Total
 632 Environ. 800, 149480.
- Barua, V.B., Juel, M.A.I., Blackwood, A.D., Clerkin, T., Ciesielski, M., Sorinolu, A.J., Holcomb,
 D.A., Young, I., Kimble, G., Sypolt, S., Engel, L.S., Noble, R.T., Munir, M., 2022.
 Tracking the temporal variation of COVID-19 surges through wastewater-based
 epidemiology during the peak of the pandemic: A six-month long study in Charlotte, North
 Carolina. Sci. Total Environ. 814, 152503.
- Bassett, M.T., Chen, J.T., Krieger, N., 2020. Variation in racial/ethnic disparities in COVID-19
 mortality by age in the United States: A cross-sectional study. PLOS Med. 17, e1003402.

- 640 Bitter, L.C., Kibbee, R., Jiménez, G.C., Rmeci, B.O. , 2022. Wastewater Surveillance of SARS-
- 641 CoV-2 at a Canadian University Campus and the Impact of Wastewater Characteristics on
- 642ViralRNADetection.ACSES&TWater.643https://doi.org/10.1021/ACSESTWATER.2C00060.
- Bivins, A., Bibby, K., 2021. Wastewater Surveillance during Mass COVID-19 Vaccination on a
 College Campus. Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 8, 792–798.
- 646 Bustin, S.A., Benes, V., Garson, J.A., Hellemans, J., Huggett, J., Kubista, M., Mueller, R., Nolan,
- 647 T., Pfaffl, M.W., Shipley, G.L., Vandesompele, J., Wittwer, C.T., 2009. The MIQE
- 648 guidelines: Minimum information for publication of quantitative real-time PCR experiments.
- 649 Clin. Chem. 55, 611–622.
- 650 Cariti, F., Tuñ As Corzon, A., Fernandez-Cassi, X., Ganesanandamoorthy, P., Ort, C., Julian,
- T.R., Kohn, T., 2022. Wastewater Reveals the Spatiotemporal Spread of SARS-CoV-2 in
- the Canton of Ticino (Switzerland) during the Onset of the COVID-19 Pandemic. ACS
 ES&T Water. https://doi.org/10.1021/ACSESTWATER.2C00082
- 654 Castro-Gutierrez, V., Hassard, F.I., Vu, M.I., Leitao, R., Burczynska, B., WildeboerID, D.,
- 655 Stanton, I., Rahimzadeh, S., Baio, G., GarelickID, H., HofmanID, J., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B.,
- 656 KwiatkowskaID, R., Majeed, A., Priest, S., Grimsley, J., Lundy, L., SingerID, A.C., Di
- 657 CesareID, M., 2022. Monitoring occurrence of SARS-CoV-2 in school populations: A
 658 wastewater-based approach. PLoS One 17, e0270168.
- 659 Catherine Hoar, Francoise Chauvin, Alexander Clare, Hope McGibbon, Esmeraldo Castro,
- 660 Samantha Patinella, Dimitrios Katehis, J. Dennehy, J., Monica Trujillo, S. Smyth, D.,
- 661 I. Silverman, A., 2022. Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater during New York City's
- second wave of COVID-19: sewershed-level trends and relationships to publicly available

- 663 clinical testing data. Environ. Sci. Water Res. Technol. 8, 1021–1035.
- 664 Chen, Y., Chen, L., Deng, Q., Zhang, G., Wu, K., Ni, L., Yang, Y., Liu, B., Wang, W., Wei, C.,
- 665 Yang, J., Ye, G., Cheng, Z., 2020. The presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the feces of
- 666 COVID-19 patients. J. Med. Virol. 92, 833–840.
- 667 D'Aoust, P.M., Mercier, E., Montpetit, D., Jia, J.J., Alexandrov, I., Neault, N., Baig, A.T.,
- Mayne, J., Zhang, X., Alain, T., Langlois, M.A., Servos, M.R., MacKenzie, M., Figeys, D.,
- 669 MacKenzie, A.E., Graber, T.E., Delatolla, R., 2021. Quantitative analysis of SARS-CoV-2
- 670 RNA from wastewater solids in communities with low COVID-19 incidence and prevalence.
- 671 Water Res. 188, 116560.
- Dryhurst, S., Schneider, C.R., Kerr, J., Freeman, A.L.J., Recchia, G., van der Bles, A.M.,
 Spiegelhalter, D., van der Linden, S., 2020. Risk perceptions of COVID-19 around the
 world. J. Risk Res. 23, 994–1006.
- 675 Feng, S., Roguet, A., McClary-Gutierrez, J.S., Newton, R.J., Kloczko, N., Meiman, J.G.,
- 676 McLellan, S.L., 2021. Evaluation of Sampling, Analysis, and Normalization Methods for
- 677 SARS-CoV-2 Concentrations in Wastewater to Assess COVID-19 Burdens in Wisconsin
 678 Communities. ACS ES&T Water 1, 1955–1965.
- 679 Fitzgerald, S.F., Rossi, G., Low, A.S., McAteer, S.P., O'Keefe, B., Findlay, D., Cameron, G.J.,
- 680 Pollard, P., Singleton, P.T.R., Ponton, G., Singer, A.C., Farkas, K., Jones, D., Graham,
- D.W., Quintela-Baluja, M., Tait-Burkard, C., Gally, D.L., Kao, R., Corbishley, A., 2021.
- 682 Site Specific Relationships between COVID-19 Cases and SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load in
- 683 Wastewater Treatment Plant Influent. Environ. Sci. Technol. 55, 15276–15286.
- Gibas, C., Lambirth, K., Mittal, N., Juel, M.A.I., Barua, V.B., Roppolo Brazell, L., Hinton, K.,
- 685 Lontai, J., Stark, N., Young, I., Quach, C., Russ, M., Kauer, J., Nicolosi, B., Chen, D.,

686	Akella S	Tang W	Schlueter	I Munir	M 2021	Implementing	building-level SARS	5-
000	лкона. р.,	I ang. w.	. Schucici.	J., IVIUIIII.	1VI 2021.	munumenting	Dunume-icvci SAN	.)-

- 687 CoV-2 wastewater surveillance on a university campus. Sci. Total Environ. 782, 146749.
- 688 Gour, M., Jain, S., 2022. Automated COVID-19 detection from X-ray and CT images with
- stacked ensemble convolutional neural network. Biocybern. Biomed. Eng. 42, 27–41.
- Hart, O.E., Halden, R.U., 2020. Computational analysis of SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19
 surveillance by wastewater-based epidemiology locally and globally: Feasibility, economy,
 opportunities and challenges. Sci. Total Environ. 730, 138875.
- Hata, A., Hara-Yamamura, H., Meuchi, Y., Imai, S., Honda, R., 2021. Detection of SARS-CoV-
- 694 2 in wastewater in Japan during a COVID-19 outbreak. Sci. Total Environ. 758, 143578.
- Havers, F.P., Reed, C., Lim, T., Montgomery, J.M., Klena, J.D., Hall, A.J., Fry, A.M., Cannon,

696 D.L., Chiang, C.F., Gibbons, A., Krapiunaya, I., Morales-Betoulle, M., Roguski, K.,

- 697 Rasheed, M.A.U., Freeman, B., Lester, S., Mills, L., Carroll, D.S., Owen, S.M., Johnson,
- 598 J.A., Semenova, V., Blackmore, C., Blog, D., Chai, S.J., Dunn, A., Hand, J., Jain, S.,
- 699 Lindquist, S., Lynfield, R., Pritchard, S., Sokol, T., Sosa, L., Turabelidze, G., Watkins, S.M.,
- 700 Wiesman, J., Williams, R.W., Yendell, S., Schiffer, J., Thornburg, N.J., 2020.
- 701 Seroprevalence of Antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 in 10 Sites in the United States, March 23-
- 702 May 12, 2020. JAMA Intern. Med. 180, 1576–1586.
- 703 He, X., Lau, E.H.Y., Wu, P., Deng, X., Wang, J., Hao, X., Lau, Y.C., Wong, J.Y., Guan, Y., Tan,
- 704 X., Mo, X., Chen, Y., Liao, B., Chen, W., Hu, F., Zhang, Q., Zhong, M., Wu, Y., Zhao, L.,
- Zhang, F., Cowling, B.J., Li, F., Leung, G.M., 2020. Temporal dynamics in viral shedding
 and transmissibility of COVID-19. Nat. Med. 2020 265 26, 672–675.
- 707 Hewitt, J., Trowsdale, S., Armstrong, B.A., Chapman, J.R., Carter, K.M., Croucher, D.M., Trent,
- 708 C.R., Sim, R.E., Gilpin, B.J., 2022. Sensitivity of wastewater-based epidemiology for

- detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in a low prevalence setting. Water Res. 211, 118032.
- 710 Jamal, Y., Gangwar, M., Usmani, M., Adams, A., Wu, C.-Y., Nguyen, T.H., Colwell, R., Jutla,
- A., 2022. Identification of thresholds on population density for understanding transmission
- of COVID-19. GeoHealth e2021GH000449.
- 713 Karthikeyan, S., Nguyen, A., McDonald, D., Zong, Y., Ronquillo, N., Ren, J., Zou, J., Farmer, S.,
- Humphrey, G., Henderson, D., Javidi, T., Messer, K., Anderson, C., Schooley, R., Martin,
- 715 N.K., Knight, R., 2021. Rapid, Large-Scale Wastewater Surveillance and Automated
- Reporting System Enable Early Detection of Nearly 85% of COVID-19 Cases on a
 University Campus. mSystems 6.
- 718 Ke, R., Martinez, P.P., Smith, R.L., Gibson, L.L., Mirza, A., Conte, M., Gallagher, N., Luo, C.H.,
- Jarrett, J., Zhou, R., Conte, A., Liu, T., Farjo, M., Walden, K.K.O., Rendon, G., Fields, C.J.,
- 720 Wang, L., Fredrickson, R., Edmonson, D.C., Baughman, M.E., Chiu, K.K., Choi, H.,
- 721 Scardina, K.R., Bradley, S., Gloss, S.L., Reinhart, C., Yedetore, J., Quicksall, J., Owens,
- A.N., Broach, J., Barton, B., Lazar, P., Heetderks, W.J., Robinson, M.L., Mostafa, H.H.,
- 723 Manabe, Y.C., Pekosz, A., McManus, D.D., Brooke, C.B., 2022. Daily longitudinal
- sampling of SARS-CoV-2 infection reveals substantial heterogeneity in infectiousness. Nat.
- 725 Microbiol. 2022 75 7, 640–652.
- Kost, G.J., 2022. Diagnostic Strategies for Endemic Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID19)Rapid Antigen Tests, Repeated Testing, and Prevalence Boundaries. Arch. Pathol. Lab.
 Med. 146, 16–25.
- Krieger, N., 2020. ENOUGH: COVID-19, structural racism, police brutality, plutocracy, climate
 change-and time for health justice, democratic governance, and an equitable, sustainable
 future Am J. Public Health 110, 1620, 1622.
- 731 future. Am. J. Public Health 110, 1620–1623.

732	Lak, A., Sharifi, A., Badr, S., Zali, A., Maher, A., Mostafavi, E., Khalili, D., 2021. Spatio-
733	temporal patterns of the COVID-19 pandemic, and place-based influential factors at the
734	neighborhood scale in Tehran. Sustain. Cities Soc. 72, 103034.
735	Li, X., Kulandaivelu, J., Zhang, S., Shi, J., Sivakumar, M., Mueller, J., Luby, S., Ahmed, W.,
736	Coin, L., Jiang, G., 2021. Data-driven estimation of COVID-19 community prevalence
737	through wastewater-based epidemiology. Sci. Total Environ. 789, 147947.
738	Mantilla-Calderon, D., Huang, K., Li, A., Chibwe, K., Yu, X., Ye, Y., Liu, L., Ling, F., 2022.
739	Emerging investigator series: meta-analyses on SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA levels in
740	wastewater and their correlations to epidemiological indicators. Environ. Sci. Water Res.
741	Technol. 8, 1391–1407.
742	McFadden, D., 2021. Quantitative Methods for Analysing Travel Behaviour of Individuals .
743	Some Recent Developments. Behav. Travel Model. 279–318.
744	Messner, W., Payson, S.E., 2020. Variation in COVID-19 outbreaks at the US state and county
745	levels. Public Health 187, 15–18.
746	Oh, C., Kim, K., Araud, E., Wang, L., Shisler, J.L., Nguyen, T.H., 2022a. A novel approach to
747	concentrate human and animal viruses from wastewater using receptors-conjugated
748	magnetic beads. Water Res. 212, 118112.
749	Oh, C., Sashittal, P., Zhou, A., Wang, L., El-Kebir, M., Nguyen, T.H., 2022b. Design of SARS-
750	CoV-2 Variant-Specific PCR Assays Considering Regional and Temporal Characteristics.
751	Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 88.
752	Pecson, B.M., Darby, E., Haas, C.N., Amha, Y.M., Bartolo, M., Danielson, R., Dearborn, Y., Di
753	Giovanni, G., Ferguson, C., Fevig, S., Gaddis, E., Gray, D., Lukasik, G., Mull, B., Olivas,
754	L., Olivieri, A., Qu, Y., SARS-CoV-2 Interlaboratory Consortium, 2021. Reproducibility

- and sensitivity of 36 methods to quantify the SARS-CoV-2 genetic signal in raw wastewater:
- findings from an interlaboratory methods evaluation in the U.S. Environ. Sci. Water Res.

757 Technol. https://doi.org/10.1039/d0ew00946f

- 758 Rački, N., Dreo, T., Gutierrez-Aguirre, I., Blejec, A., Ravnikar, M., 2014. Reverse transcriptase
- droplet digital PCR shows high resilience to PCR inhibitors from plant, soil and water
 samples. Plant Methods 2014 101 10, 1–10.
- 761 Rader, B., Scarpino, S. V., Nande, A., Hill, A.L., Adlam, B., Reiner, R.C., Pigott, D.M.,
- 762 Gutierrez, B., Zarebski, A.E., Shrestha, M., Brownstein, J.S., Castro, M.C., Dye, C., Tian,
- H., Pybus, O.G., Kraemer, M.U.G., 2020. Crowding and the shape of COVID-19 epidemics.
- 764 Nat. Med. 2020 2612 26, 1829–1834.
- Roldan-Hernandez, L., Graham, K.E., Duong, D., Boehm, A.B., 2022. Persistence of
 Endogenous SARS-CoV-2 and Pepper Mild Mottle Virus RNA in Wastewater-Settled
 Solids. ACS Environ. Sci. Technol. Water.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/ACSESTWATER.2C00003
- Rusiñol, M., Zammit, I., Itarte, M., Forés, E., Martínez-Puchol, S., Girones, R., Borrego, C.,
 Corominas, L., Bofill-Mas, S., 2021. Monitoring waves of the COVID-19 pandemic:
 Inferences from WWTPs of different sizes. Sci. Total Environ. 787, 147463.
- 772 Safford, H., Zuniga-Montanez, R.E., Kim, M., Wu, X., Wei, L., Sharpnack, J., Shapiro, K.,
- 773 Bischel, H.N., 2022. Wastewater-Based Epidemiology for COVID-19: Handling qPCR
- 774 Nondetects and Comparing Spatially Granular Wastewater and Clinical Data Trends. ACS
- 775 ES&T Water. https://doi.org/10.1021/ACSESTWATER.2C00053
- Sangsanont, J., Rattanakul, S., Kongprajug, A., Chyerochana, N., Sresung, M., Sriporatana, N.,
 Wanlapakorn, N., Poovorawan, Y., Mongkolsuk, S., Sirikanchana, K., 2022. SARS-CoV-2

- RNA surveillance in large to small centralized wastewater treatment plants preceding the
- third COVID-19 resurgence in Bangkok, Thailand. Sci. Total Environ. 809, 151169.
- 780 Schoen, M.E., Wolfe, M.K., Li, L., Duong, D., White, B.J., Hughes, B., Boehm, A.B., 2022.
- 781 SARS-CoV-2 RNA Wastewater Settled Solids Surveillance Frequency and Impact on
- 782 Predicted COVID-19 Incidence Using a Distributed Lag Model. ACS ES&T Water.
- 783 https://doi.org/10.1021/ACSESTWATER.2C00074
- Seabold, S., Josef Perktold, 2010. Statsmodels: Econometric and statistical modeling with python,
 in: Proceedings of the 9th Python in Science Conference. pp. 92–96.
- 786 Sherchan, S.P., Shahin, S., Patel, J., Ward, L.M., Tandukar, S., Uprety, S., Schmitz, B.W.,
- 787 Ahmed, W., Simpson, S., Gyawali, P., 2021. Occurrence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Six
- Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plants at the Early Stage of COVID-19 Pandemic in The
 United States. Pathog. (Basel, Switzerland) 10.
- Shi, J., Gao, X., Xue, S., Li, F., Nie, Q., Lv, Y., Wang, J., Xu, T., Du, G., Li, G., 2021. Spatiotemporal evolution and influencing mechanism of the COVID-19 epidemic in Shandong
 province, China. Sci. Reports 2021 111 11, 1–16.
- Simpson, A., Topol, A., White, B.J., Wolfe, M.K., Wigginton, K.R., Boehm, A.B., 2021. Effect
 of storage conditions on SARS- CoV-2 RNA quantification in wastewater solids. PeerJ 9,
 e11933.
- Spurbeck, R.R., Minard-Smith, A., Catlin, L., 2021. Feasibility of neighborhood and building
 scale wastewater-based genomic epidemiology for pathogen surveillance. Sci. Total
 Environ. 789, 147829.
- 799 Tiwari, A., Phan, N., Tandukar, S., Ashoori, R., Thakali, O., Mousazadesh, M., Dehghani, M.H.,
- 800 Sherchan, S.P., 2021. Persistence and occurrence of SARS-CoV-2 in water and wastewater

- 801 environments: a review of the current literature. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 1, 1–11.
- 802 Turska-Kawa, A., Pilch, I., 2022. Political beliefs and the acceptance of the SARS-CoV-2
- pandemic restrictions. The case of Poland. PLoS One 17, e0264502.
- 804 U.S. CDC, 2022a. Wastewater Surveillance Testing Methods. URL
- 805 https://www.cdc.gov/healthywater/surveillance/wastewater-surveillance/testing-
- 806 methods.html (accessed 8.6.22).
- 807 U.S. CDC, 2022b. COVID-19 Community Levels. URL https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019 808 ncov/science/community-levels.html (accessed 8.6.22).
- 809 Upshaw, T.L., Brown, C., Smith, R., Perri, M., Ziegler, C., Pinto, A.D., 2021. Social
- 810 determinants of COVID-19 incidence and outcomes: A rapid review. PLoS One 16,
 811 e0248336.
- 812 Veldhoen, M., Pedro Simas, J., 2021. Covid-19 will become endemic but with decreased potency
 813 over time, scientists believe. BMJ 372, n494.
- 814 Wolfe, M.K., Archana, A., Catoe, D., Coffman, M.M., Dorevich, S., Graham, K.E., Kim, S.,
- 815 Grijalva, L.M., Roldan-Hernandez, L., Silverman, A.I., Sinnott-Armstrong, N., Vugia, D.J.,
- 816 Yu, A.T., Zambrana, W., Wigginton, K.R., Boehm, A.B., 2021. Scaling of SARS-CoV-2
- 817 RNA in settled solids from multiple wastewater treatment plants to compare incidence rates
- 818 of laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 in their sewersheds. Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 8,
 819 398–404.
- 820 Wu, F., Lee, W.L., Chen, H., Gu, X., Chandra, F., Armas, F., Xiao, A., Leifels, M., Rhode, S.F.,
- 821 Wuertz, S., Thompson, J., Alm, E.J., 2022a. Making waves: Wastewater surveillance of
- SARS-CoV-2 in an endemic future. Water Res. 219, 118535.
- 823 Wu, F., Xiao, A., Zhang, J., Moniz, K., Endo, N., Armas, F., Bonneau, R., Brown, M.A.,

- 824 Bushman, M., Chai, P.R., Duvallet, C., Erickson, T.B., Foppe, K., Ghaeli, N., Gu, X.,
- 825 Hanage, W.P., Huang, K.H., Lee, W.L., Matus, M., McElroy, K.A., Nagler, J., Rhode, S.F.,
- 826 Santillana, M., Tucker, J.A., Wuertz, S., Zhao, S., Thompson, J., Alm, E.J., 2022b. SARS-
- 827 CoV-2 RNA concentrations in wastewater foreshadow dynamics and clinical presentation
- of new COVID-19 cases. Sci. Total Environ. 805, 150121.
- 829 Wu, F., Xiao, A., Zhang, J., Moniz, K., Endo, N., Armas, F., Bushman, M., Chai, P.R., Duvallet,
- 830 C., Erickson, T.B., Foppe, K., Ghaeli, N., Gu, X., Hanage, W.P., Huang, K.H., Lee, W.L.,
- 831 McElroy, K.A., Rhode, S.F., Matus, M., Wuertz, S., Thompson, J., Alm, E.J., 2021.
- 832 Wastewater surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 across 40 U.S. states from February to June 2020.
- 833 Water Res. 202, 117400.
- Xiao, A., Wu, F., Bushman, M., Zhang, J., Imakaev, M., Chai, P.R., Duvallet, C., Endo, N.,
- 835 Erickson, T.B., Armas, F., Arnold, B., Chen, H., Chandra, F., Ghaeli, N., Gu, X., Hanage,
- 836 W.P., Lee, W.L., Matus, M., McElroy, K.A., Moniz, K., Rhode, S.F., Thompson, J., Alm,
- E.J., 2022. Metrics to relate COVID-19 wastewater data to clinical testing dynamics. Water
 Res. 212, 118070.
- 839 Zhan, Q., Babler, K.M., Sharkey, M.E., Amirali, A., Beaver, C.C., Boone, M.M., Comerford, S.,
- 840 Cooper, D., Cortizas, E.M., Currall, B.B., Foox, J., Grills, G.S., Kobetz, E., Kumar, N.,
- Laine, J., Lamar, W.E., Mantero, A.M.A., Mason, C.E., Reding, B.D., Robertson, M., Roca,
- 842 M.A., Ryon, K., Schürer, S.C., Shukla, B.S., Solle, N.S., Stevenson, M., Jr, J.J.T., Thomas,
- 843 C., Thomas, T., Vidović, D., Williams, S.L., Yin, X., Solo-Gabriele, H.M., 2022.
- 844 Relationships between SARS-CoV-2 in Wastewater and COVID-19 Clinical Cases and
- 845 Hospitalizations, with and without Normalization against Indicators of Human Waste. ACS
- 846 ES&T Water. https://doi.org/10.1021/ACSESTWATER.2C00045

847