

TITLE: Epstein-Barr Virus DNAemia and Post-Transplant Lymphoproliferative Disorder in Pediatric Solid Organ Transplant Recipients

AUTHORS: Yeh-Chung Chang¹, Rebecca R. Young¹, Alisha M. Mavis², Eileen T. Chambers³, Sonya Kirmani⁴, Matthew S. Kelly¹, Ibukunoluwa C. Kalu¹, Michael J. Smith¹, Debra J. Lugo¹

AFFILIATIONS

¹Department of Pediatrics, Division of Infectious Diseases, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America;

²Department of Pediatrics, Division of Gastroenterology, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America;

³Department of Pediatrics, Division of Nephrology, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America;

⁴Department of Pediatrics, Division of Cardiology, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR ORCID: 0000-0003-3073-4980

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR AND EMAIL: Yeh-Chung Chang, dan.chang@duke.edu

The Duke University Health System Institutional Review Board of the Duke University School of Medicine gave ethical approval for this work.

Abstract

Background: Pediatric solid organ transplant (SOT) recipients commonly have Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) DNAemia and are at risk of developing post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder (PTLD). EBV DNAemia has not been analyzed on a continuous scale in this population.

Methods: All children ≤ 18 years of age who underwent SOT at a single center between January 1, 2007 and July 31, 2018 were included in this retrospective study. Transplant episodes in which PTLD occurred were compared to transplant episodes without PTLD. Multivariable logistic regression was used to identify factors associated with the development of EBV DNAemia and maximum height of EBV DNAemia. A Cox proportional hazards model was used to calculate hazard ratios for time to PTLD.

Results: Of 275 total transplant recipients and 294 transplant episodes, there were 14 episodes of PTLD. Intestinal and multivisceral transplant were strongly associated with PTLD ($p=0.002$). Risk factors for the development of EBV DNAemia include donor and recipient positive EBV serologies ($p=0.001$) and older age ($p=0.001$). Maximum level of EBV DNAemia was significantly associated with development of PTLD ($p<0.0001$). Every one log (\log_{10}) increase in the maximum level of EBV DNAemia was associated with a more than doubling of the hazard on developing PTLD (HR: 2.18, 95% CI 1.19-3.99).

Conclusions: Transplant type was strongly associated with development of PTLD in pediatric SOT recipients. EBV serologies and age were associated with the development of EBV DNAemia and height of DNAemia. High levels of EBV DNAemia were strongly associated with an increased hazard of PTLD.

Introduction

Post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder (PTLD) is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in pediatric solid organ transplantation (SOT) recipients. Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) DNAemia plays a key role in the pathophysiology of EBV-related PTLD but characteristics of pediatric SOT recipients who develop EBV DNAemia and patterns of EBV DNAemia have not been well defined.¹ Many centers monitor EBV DNAemia with established protocols for interventional measures such as decreasing immunosuppression.² These protocols may differ between centers and between different types of organ transplant recipients.³ More data is needed to guide clinical decisions in specific scenarios.

There have been many studies on risk factors for PTLD in SOT recipients.¹⁻⁴ Overall, risk factors for development of PTLD within the first 12 months of transplant (early PTLD) include: type of transplant, T-cell depleting therapies such as anti-thymocyte globulin (ATG), and young age. Early PTLD is also strongly influenced by donor and recipient serologies as donor-derived EBV infection is a strong risk factor for PTLD. Risk factors for development of PTLD after 12 months post-transplant (late PTLD) include length and duration of immunosuppression, and type of organ transplanted. Intestinal transplant recipients have been found to have the highest risk for PTLD, followed by lung, then heart, then liver, and lastly, kidney transplant recipients.

There is a higher incidence of PTLD in pediatric SOT recipients when compared to adult SOT recipients.¹ One reason is that primary EBV infection has a higher risk of causing PTLD.⁴ One pediatric SOT study found EBV viral load was significantly higher in cases of PTLD, when matched to controls.⁵ Another study reviewing only pediatric patients found the following risk factors to be significant in a univariate model: age at transplant, use of basiliximab, steroid intensification, ATG, median peak EBV value level, and chronically elevated EBV DNAemia.⁶ However, in the multivariable model, only age, use of steroids, and peak EBV value were significant.

Many previous studies in pediatric solid organ transplants have categorized EBV DNAemia into three categories: 1) chronic high load (CHL) carriers, 2) chronic low viral load carriers, and 3) sustained undetectable EBV levels, with chronic defined as a time period > 6 months.⁷⁻¹⁰ In pediatric heart transplant recipients, CHL carriers are predisposed to PTLD, and pediatric intestinal transplant recipients are at intermediate risk.^{7,8} In the pediatric liver and kidney populations, CHL carriers are not at higher risk of PTLD.^{9,10} Despite low sample sizes within studies, this difference suggests that transplant type and immunosuppression play a large role in the development of PTLD.

There have been many studies on trying to categorize cutoffs for EBV DNAemia and risk for PTLD, as well as establishing a predictive model for PTLD.^{6,11,12} While many of these studies have tried to categorize a certain EBV quantitative cutoff level for when patients are at risk for PTLD, there are confounding risk factors such as patient age, type of transplant and type of immunosuppression and not all models have been well validated.

The epidemiology of PTLD has been changing in recent years.^{13,14} In addition, EBV monitoring has provided a wealth of data that has not been studied in a detailed manner. While EBV DNAemia has been categorized in previous studies, it has not yet been studied on a continuous scale and available pediatric literature has not linked maximum height of EBV DNAemia to PTLD on a granular level. Therefore, we undertook a comprehensive retrospective descriptive study at a large pediatric SOT center to specifically evaluate risk factors associated with EBV DNAemia. We also wanted to investigate the predictive value of continuous EBV monitoring for PTLD in order to demonstrate its ongoing utility.

Material and Methods

We performed a retrospective study of patients ≤ 18 years of age who received a solid organ transplant at Duke University Medical Center between January 1, 2007 and July 31, 2018. Events were recorded by

transplant episode. The population included heart, kidney, liver, lung, intestinal, and multivisceral transplant recipients. Characterization of the pediatric SOT population was performed using the United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS) database, and data was also extracted from the center's electronic health records using the program Duke Enterprise Data Unified Content Explorer (DEDUCE).¹⁵ The diagnosis of PTLD was identified by diagnosis code and verified through chart review of pathology results and progress notes in the electronic health record.

At our center, each pediatric solid organ transplant program has developed their own methods of screening for EBV DNAemia. However, in general, quantitative whole blood EBV polymerase chain reaction (PCR) studies are done monthly for the first year post-transplant, then spaced out to every 3 months for the second and third year post-transplant, then either every 6 months or yearly afterwards if there are no issues. If EBV DNAemia is detected, quantitative PCRs are obtained more frequently.

EBV DNAemia values were extracted from the electronic health record, including accompanying chart review for missing values. For most of the study period, the quantitative unit of EBV DNAemia was reported as copies/ μ L. Starting in mid-2017, this was changed to international units/mL (IU/mL), and a conversion factor was used to normalize these values in concordance with the previous quantification. Patients who developed EBV DNAemia after transplant were compared to patients who did not develop DNAemia. Logistic regression was performed with the variables of age, race, type of transplant, type of induction immunosuppression, and donor and recipient EBV serology.

EBV DNAemia was defined as any detection of EBV virus by quantitative polymerase reaction (PCR). EBV donor and recipient serologies were based on what was entered into the patient's chart at time of transplant. Demographic and clinical characteristics were compared between the two groups, using Chi square and Fisher's exact tests for categorical factors and Mann-Whitney U tests for continuous factors.

The diagnosis of PTLD was confirmed by chart review. All cases of PTLD had accompanying histologic diagnoses which included EBER staining and description of atypical cells seen on pathology. Sources of biopsy tissue included lymph nodes, tonsils and adenoids, and the transplanted organ.

For the analysis of the height of EBV DNAemia, the maximum single EBV value was identified. That value was then \log_{10} transformed and an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to evaluate associations between the maximum EBV value and demographic and clinical factors. After categorization and taking the average of the \log_{10} transformed values, the data was back transformed, establishing the geometric mean. Logarithmic comparison of quantitative EBV values has been well described in the literature.^{16,17}

A Cox proportional hazards model was used to link clinical risk factors to time to PTLD. The event of PTLD, death, and the event of a subsequent transplant were used as censoring criteria. The last observed value was carried forward. A robust variance (sandwich) estimator was used to control for the fact that some children were included more than once, due to multiple transplants. Adjusted models incorporated variables that were significant in the unadjusted model as well as risk factors that were denoted to be clinically significant a priori.

Results

We reviewed 275 pediatric patients who underwent 294 transplant episodes (Table 1). The median (interquartile range) age of patients was 4 (IQR 0, 14) years. The most common transplant type was liver (46%), followed by heart (25%), kidney (13%), multivisceral (7%), lung (7%), and intestinal (3%). For EBV serologies, donor positive/recipient negative (D+/R-) and donor positive/recipient positive (D+/R+) were the most common (33% and 31% respectively), followed by donor negative/recipient negative (D-/R-) (14%), and then donor negative/recipient positive (D-/R+) (11%), with the remaining unknown (11%). A

- 133 total of 55 children (18.7%) received T cell depleting therapy including anti-thymocyte globulin (ATG) or
134 alemtuzumab (Campath) at induction.

Table 1: Characteristics of all children in the study, regardless of PTLD or EBV status

		n	%
Total number of transplants		294	
Total number of children		275	
Race/Ethnicity			
	American Indian or Alaska Native	3	1.1%
	Asian	6	2.2%
	Black	84	30.6%
	Hispanic	35	12.7%
	Multiracial	6	2.2%
	White	141	51.3%
Gender			
	Male	148	53.8%
	Female	127	46.2%
Transplant Type			
	Heart	73	24.8%
	Intestine	10	3.4%
	Kidney	38	12.9%
	Liver	134	45.6%
	Lung	19	6.5%
	Multivisceral	20	6.8%
EBV Serology at Transplant			
	D+/R+	98	33.3%
	D+/R-	92	31.3%
	D-/R+	32	10.9%
	D-/R-	40	13.6%
	Unknown	32	10.9%
Transplant Number			
	First	274	93.2%
	Second	16	5.4%
	Third	4	1.4%
EBV Status Post Transplant			
	EBV Positive	160	54.4%
	EBV Negative	111	37.8%
	No testing	23	7.8%
Induction Immunosuppression			
	ATG or high dose steroids	55	18.7%
	Not ATG or high dose steroids	231	78.6%

	Missing	8	2.7%
		median	(p25, p75)
Year of Transplant		2013	(2011, 2016)
Age at Transplant (years)		4	(0, 14)

135

136 All children who developed PTLD were EBV positive, and thus, only EBV positive children were included

137 in following analyses (Table 2). Fourteen children developed PTLD after transplant, for an overall

138 incidence rate of 4.8%. Transplant type was significantly associated with PTLD ($p=0.001$). Intestinal and

139 multivisceral transplant recipients accounted for 21% and 14% of PTLD cases respectively, while only

140 accounting for 2% and 3% of the total population that was EBV positive. There was also an association

141 between PTLD and race ($p=0.04$) as there were a total of six Asian children in the study who were EBV

142 positive, and two developed PTLD. Asian children comprised 14% of the PTLD cases, but only 2.2% of the

143 study population. Induction immunosuppression and age were not associated with PTLD in our study

144 ($p=0.18$ and $p=0.17$, respectively). For the nine patients who developed PTLD within the first year, EBV

145 values were plotted over time (Figure 1). For most patients, the general pattern was a steady increase in

146 EBV values, followed by diagnosis of PTLD, and then a rapid decline.

Table 2. The characteristics of children who had PTLD at any time post-transplant are compared to children who had no PTLD. This is limited to the children who had any EBV DNAemia after transplant. The incidence of PTLD is shown for each demographic group.

	PTLD		No PTLD		
	n	%	n	%	p-value
Total	14		146		
Type of Transplant					
Heart	1	7.1%	36	24.7%	0.001**
Intestine	3	21.4%	3	2.1%	
Kidney	3	21.4%	22	15.1%	
Liver	4	28.6%	77	52.7%	
Lung	1	7.1%	3	2.1%	
Multivisceral	2	14.3%	5	3.4%	
EBV Serology at Transplant					
D+/R+	4	28.6%	53	36.3%	0.47

D+/R-	4	28.6%	56	38.4%	
D-/R+	1	7.1%	14	9.6%	
D-/R-	2	14.3%	10	6.8%	
Unknown	3	21.4%	13	8.9%	
Race/Ethnicity					
American Indian/Alaskan	0	0%	5	3.4%	0.04**
Asian	2	14.3%	2	1.4%	
Black	2	14.3%	50	34.2%	
Hispanic	3	21.4%	19	13.0%	
Multiracial	0	0%	4	2.7%	
White	7	50.0%	66	45.2%	
Gender					
Female	3	21.4%	67	45.9%	0.078
Male	11	78.6%	79	54.1%	
Transplant Number					
1	14	100%	133	91.1%	0.51+
2	0	0%	10	6.9%	
3	0	0%	3	2.1%	
Induction Immunosuppression					
ATG or High Dose Steroids	4	28.6%	26	15.4%	0.18
Not ATG or High Dose Steroids	9	64.3%	136	80.5%	
Unknown/Missing	1	7.1%	7	4.1%	
Age (years) at transplant Median (!QR)		2 (0, 9)		4 (0, 14)	0.17

*p<0.05

+ By Fisher's-Exact Test

147

148 Risk factors for EBV DNAemia were examined (Table 3). Significant risk factors for EBV DNAemia
149 included: EBV serology at the time of transplant, age, and type of transplant in the unadjusted model,
150 and only EBV serology and age in the adjusted model (Table 4). Heart transplant recipients were at
151 lower odds for EBV DNAemia, with OR 0.44 (95% CI 0.22-0.89). Lung transplant recipients were similarly
152 at lower odds, but sample size was limited. Donor positive/recipient negative (D+/R-) and donor
153 positive/recipient positive (D+/R+) transplant recipients were at increased odds of developing EBV
154 DNAemia compared to donor negative/recipient negative (D-/R-) transplant recipients, with OR 3.90

155 (95% CI 1.55-9.80), and OR 4.83 (95% CI 2.02-11.55), respectively. Older children were also associated
156 with an increased risk, with every one year increase in age associated with an OR of 1.10 (1.04-1.16).

157 Table 3. The characteristics of children who had any EBV DNAemia after transplant are compared to
158 those who did not have any EBV DNAemia
159

		EBV		No EBV		p-value
		n	%	n	%	
Total		160	59%	111	41%	
Type of Transplant						
	Heart	37	23.1%	31	27.9%	0.14 ⁺
	Intestine	6	3.8%	3	2.7%	
	Kidney	25	15.6%	12	10.8%	
	Liver	81	50.6%	47	42.3%	
	Lung	4	2.5%	7	6.3%	
	Multivisceral	7	4.4%	11	9.9%	
EBV Serology at Transplant						
	D+/R+	57	35.6%	29	26.1%	0.001*
	D+/R-	60	37.5%	28	25.2%	
	D-/R+	15	9.4%	15	13.5%	
	D-/R-	12	7.5%	26	23.4%	
	Unknown	16	10.0%	13	11.7%	
Race						
	American Indian/Alaskan	5	3.1%	0	0.0%	0.11
	Asian	4	2.5%	0	0.0%	
	Black	52	32.5%	33	29.7%	
	Hispanic	22	13.8%	14	12.6%	
	Multiracial	4	2.5%	1	0.9%	
	White	73	45.6%	63	56.8%	
Sex						
	Female	70	43.8%	50	45.0%	0.83
	Male	90	56.3%	61	55.0%	
Transplant Number						
	1	147	91.9%	106	95.5%	0.28
	2	10	6.3%	5	4.5%	
	3	3	1.9%	0	0.0%	
Induction Immunosuppression						
	ATG or High Dose Steroids	27	17.0%	25	22.5%	0.26
	Not ATG or High Dose Steroids	131	83.0%	86	77.5%	

		median	(p25, p75)	median	(p25, p75)	
Year of Transplant		2014	(2011, 2016)	2012	(2010, 2015)	0.58
Age at Transplant (years)		7	(1, 14)	1	(0, 10)	0.001*

*p<0.05

+By Fisher's-Exact Test

160

161 Table 4. The association between demographic characteristics and EBV DNAemia. Only significant risk
162 factors are included in this table.

Factors are included in this table.							
		EBV (n)	No EBV (n)	p-value		OR of EBV DNAemia (95% CI)	p-value
Total		160	111				
Type of Transplant							
	Heart	37	31	0.14		0.44 (0.22, 0.89)	0.02
	Intestine	6	3			2.07 (0.44, 9.76)	0.36
	Kidney	25	12			0.57 (0.22, 1.43)	0.23
	Liver	81	47			Reference	
	Lung	4	7			0.08 (0.02, 0.36)	0.001
	Multivisceral	7	11			0.47 (0.16, 1.36)	0.16
EBV Serology at Transplant							
	D+/R+	57	29	0.001		3.90 (1.55, 9.80)	0.004
	D+/R-	60	28			4.83 (2.02, 11.55)	0.0005
	D-/R+	15	15			2.48 (0.85, 7.19)	0.096
	D-/R-	12	26			Reference	
	Unknown	16	13			2.50 (0.87, 7.17)	0.089
		Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)				
Age at Transplant		5.6 (0.8, 15.1)	4.1 (0.8, 13.8)			1.10 (1.04, 1.16) (Per Every One Year Increase)	0.0005

163

164 An analysis of the geometric mean of the highest value of EBV DNAemia (Table 5) showed that in the
165 unadjusted model, liver transplant recipients had a higher geometric mean of the maximum EBV value
166 79.8 units/uL (95% CI 47-135.5) compared to heart transplant recipients at 14.6 units/uL (95% CI 6.6-
167 32.1), and kidney transplant recipients 8.6 units/uL (95% CI 3.3-22.6), p=0.007 and p<0.001 respectively.
168 In the adjusted model, liver transplant recipients had a higher geometric mean of the maximum EBV

value at 53 units/ μ L (95% CI 26.3, 106.9) compared to intestinal transplant recipients at 6.4 units/ μ L (95% CI 1.2, 31.0), $p=0.005$. Lastly, children who developed PTLD had higher geometric mean values of EBV, 211.6 (56.7, 790.1) copies/ μ L, compared to children without PTLD, who had a geometric mean EBV value of 27.3 (18.0, 41.2) copies/ μ L ($p<0.0001$). Type of transplant was not significant in the adjusted model ($p=0.14$).

Table 5: The geometric mean maximum EBV value (Mean of Max EBV) in copies/uL is shown for each demographic group in an unadjusted model, and an adjusted model.

		Unadjusted Model			Adjusted Model		
		Mean of Max EBV	95% CI	p-value	Mean of Max EBV	95% CI	p-value
Type of Transplant							
	Heart	14.6	(6.6, 32.1)	0.007	39.8	(17.7, 89.5)	0.99
	Intestine	8.2	(1.2, 56.7)	0.224	6.4	(1.2, 31.0)	0.005
	Kidney	8.6	(3.3, 22.6)	<0.001	34.5	(13.3, 89.3)	0.17
	Liver	79.8	(47, 135.5)	Ref.	53	(26.3, 106.9)	Ref.
	Lung	7.4	(0.5, 114.7)	0.095	60	(5.0, 722.3)	0.5
	Multivisceral	50	(8.3, 300)	0.622	20.6	(4.4, 97.0)	0.58
EBV Serology at Transplant							
	D+/R+	11.2	(5.9, 21.2)	0.88	14.9	(6.5, 34.5)	0.605
	D+/R-	100.3	(54.1, 185.9)	0.056	62.8	(29.0, 136.0)	0.07
	D-/R+	42.9	(12.1, 152.5)	0.52	45.6	(13.4, 155.0)	0.26
	D-/R-	23.3	(5.9, 91.4)	Ref.	23.2	(6.3, 84.9)	Ref.
	Unknown	21.7	(6.6, 71)	0.94	20	(6.6, 60.9)	0.82
Age at Transplant (For every 1 year increase)		0.8	(0.76, 0.84)	<0.001	0.81	(0.76, 0.86)	<0.001
Induction Immunosuppression							
	ATG or High Dose Steroids	22.4	(8.5, 59.3)	Ref.	47	(17.5, 126.1)	Ref
	Not ATG or High Dose Steroids	34.1	(21.8, 53.3)	0.44	17.7	(9.32, 33.5)	0.1
PTLD							
	PTLD +	211.6	(56.7, 790.1)	Ref.	177.6	(58.6, 538.0)	Ref.

	PTLD -	27.3	(18.0, 41.2)	<0.001	15.2	(7.4, 31.2)	<0.001
--	--------	------	--------------	--------	------	-------------	--------

176

177 A final analysis was performed evaluating the association between risk factors and time to PTLD, and the
178 adjusted analysis is included (Table 6). Every \log_{10} increase in maximum EBV value was associated with a
179 hazards ratio (HR) of 2.18 for development of PTLD (95% CI 1.19-3.99, $p=0.02$). Transplant year was also
180 significant in this analysis. For every one year increase in transplant year, there was a decrease in the
181 hazard ratio, denoted at 0.71 (95% CI 0.53-0.95, $p=0.02$). Kidney transplant recipients also had higher
182 hazards ratios for PTLD compared to liver transplant recipients, HR 6.15 (95% CI 1.36-27.73, $p=0.02$).
183 There was also a trend toward association between type of induction immunosuppression, with ATG
184 and high dose steroids, with a hazards ratio of 10.1 (95% CI 0.994-103.8, $p=0.0506$), which was just out
185 of the range of significance.

186 Table 6: Adjusted hazards ratios (HR) for significant covariates for the outcome time to PTLD

Covariate		Reference	HR	95% CI	p-value
EBV DNAemia level		For every \log_{10} increase in max level of EBV DNAemia	2.18	(1.19, 3.99)	0.02
EBV Serology					
	D+/R+	D-/R-	0.37	(0.05, 2.92)	0.34
	D+/R-	D-/R-	0.21	(0.02, 3.00)	0.21
	D-/R+	D-/R-	N/A		
Type of Transplant					
	Heart Transplant	Liver Transplant	N/A		
	Intestine Transplant	Liver Transplant	1.34	(0.29, 6.25)	0.72
	Kidney Transplant	Liver Transplant	6.15	(1.36, 27.73)	0.02
	Lung Transplant	Liver Transplant	43.58	(0.97, 1957)	0.051
	Multivisceral Transplant	Liver Transplant	0.2	(0.01, 2.93)	0.24
Transplant Year		For every year increase	0.71	(0.53, 0.95)	0.02
Age at transplant (years)			0.98	(0.85, 1.13)	0.78

Induction Immunosuppression	ATG or high dose steroids	No T-cell depleting agents	10.1	(0.994, 103.8)	0.0506

187

188 Discussion

189 This is the first study to fully describe characteristics of post-transplant EBV DNAemia in the pediatric

190 solid organ transplant population. In our analysis of 275 SOT pediatric recipients, type of transplant and

191 race were significantly associated with higher risk for PTLD. Intestinal and multivisceral transplant

192 recipients had the highest risk of developing PTLD, which is supported by the literature. We interpret

193 the findings of race with caution, as the overall sample size is small, and only two out of four Asians with

194 EBV DNAemia developed PTLD. We were unable to find any other risk factors that were significantly

195 associated with PTLD in our population, including type of induction immunosuppression or age. This may

196 have been due to distinctions within our population or to limited sample size.

197 When we examine risk factors for EBV DNAemia, and for magnitude of EBV DNAemia, some common

198 themes emerge. For EBV DNAemia, EBV serologies of the donor and the recipient are an obvious risk

199 factor. Age is also a practical risk factor as the risk of being exposed to EBV increases with age. Type of

200 transplant is not associated with risk of EBV DNAemia in the adjusted model, but within the category,

201 we see that EBV DNAemia tended to be more common in liver transplant recipients.

202 Age was the most resilient predictor for the maximum height of EBV DNAemia, as it changed the least

203 from the unadjusted to the adjusted model. Intestinal transplants did not have a significantly different

204 height of EBV DNAemia, yet they still had a higher risk association with PTLD, which suggests that other

205 factors such as length and duration of immunosuppression should be considered. Ultimately, those who

206 developed PTLD had significantly higher maximum levels of EBV DNAemia, which has been seen in

207 previous studies.⁵ However, stratifying by type of transplant does matter; liver transplant recipients

were more likely to have a higher maximum level of DNAemia compared to heart and intestinal transplant recipients but were not more likely to develop PTLD.

Our final analysis is significant in that higher levels of EBV DNAemia are associated with decreased time to PTLD, even when adjusted for other risk factors. It is also important to note that an earlier date of transplant was associated with a higher hazards ratio for PTLD. This may have been due to better EBV monitoring over time and the development of protocols which have standardized practice at our center. The significance of a higher hazards ratio for kidney transplants is not clear at this time, but may reflect ongoing immunosuppression practices at our center. Lastly, type of induction immunosuppression may be important given the trend towards decreased time to PTLD with the use of alemtuzumab, ATG, or high dose steroids. These findings may help with stratifying patients who may be at higher risk for PTLD.

All pediatric SOT studies for PTLD and EBV are limited by small sample sizes and this single center study is no exception. The overall incidence of PTLD was relatively low. This may have be due to the quick responsiveness of clinicians to high EBV levels. One major limitation is that we were unable to adjust for time-varying exposures to immunosuppressive medications. Higher periods of immunosuppression could have led to increased incidence of PTLD, EBV DNAemia, and higher maximum EBV levels. We did have access to a wealth of data due to frequent EBV monitoring and were able to examine multiple risk factors for EBV DNAemia and the maximum height of EBV DNAemia. However, this study was not adequately powered to examine the numerous interactions between risk factors that may occur in predicting PTLD. Further prospective or multicenter studies are needed to link significant clinical factors and EBV DNAemia with PTLD and other clinical outcomes.

Conclusion

230 Type of transplant and age were associated with PTLD in pediatric SOT recipients. Age and EBV donor
 231 and recipient serology remain key risk factors in the development of EBV DNAemia and the maximum
 232 height of EBV DNAemia. Maximum level of EBV DNAemia, year of transplant, and possibly induction
 233 regimens were associated with time to PTLD in our population. While this study has shed some light on
 234 risk factors for EBV DNAemia in pediatric SOT recipients, further studies are needed in order to fully
 235 characterize the relationship between EBV levels and PTLD.

236

237 Acknowledgments: None

References

1. Allen UD, Preiksaitis JK, AST Community of Practice. Post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorders, Epstein-Barr virus infection, and disease in solid organ transplantation: Guidelines from the American Society of Transplantation Infectious Diseases Community of Practice. Clin Transplant 2019;33(9): e13652. PMID 31230381
2. Green M, Michaels MG. Epstein-Barr virus infection and posttransplant lymphoproliferative disorder. Am J Transplant 2013;13 Suppl 3:41-54. PMID 23347213
3. San-Juan R, Manuel O, Hirsch HH, Fernández-Ruiz M, López-Medrano F, Comoli P, et al. Current preventive strategies and management of Epstein-Barr virus-related post-transplant lymphoproliferative disease in solid organ transplantation in Europe. Results of the ESGICH Questionnaire-based Cross-sectional Survey. Clin Microbiol Infect 2015;21(6): 604. PMID: 25686696
4. Green M, Webber S. Posttransplantation lymphoproliferative disorders. Pediatr Clin North Am 2003;50(6): 1471-91. PMID: 14710788
5. Allen UD, Farkas G, Hébert D, Weitzman S, Stephens D, Petric M, et al. Risk factors for post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder in pediatric patients: a case-control study. Pediatr Transplant 2005;9(4): 450-5. PMID 16048596.
6. Weintraub L, Weiner C, Miloh T, Tomaino J, Joashi U, Benchimol C, et al. Identifying predictive factors for posttransplant lymphoproliferative disease in pediatric solid organ transplant recipients with Epstein-Barr virus viremia. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 2014;36(8): e481-6. PMID: 24878618
7. Bingler MA, Feingold B, Miller SA, Quivers E, Michaels MG, Green M et al. Chronic High Epstein-Barr Viral Load State and Risk for Late-Onset Posttransplant Lymphoproliferative Disease/Lymphoma in Children. Am J Transplant. 2008;8(2): 442-5. PMID: 18211510

8. Lau AH, Soltys K, Sindhi RK, Bond G, Mazariegos GV, Green M. Chronic high Epstein-Barr viral load carriage in pediatric small bowel transplant recipients. *Pediatr Transplant* 2010;14(4): 549-53. PMID: 20102529
9. Green M, Soltys K, Rowe DT, Webber SA, Mazareigos G. Chronic high Epstein-Barr viral load carriage in pediatric liver transplant recipients. *Pediatr Transplant* 2009;13(3): 319-23. PMID: 18397216
10. Yamada M, Nguyen C, Fadakar P, Ganoza A, Humar A, Shapiro R, et al. Epidemiology and outcome of chronic high Epstein-Barr viral load carriage in pediatric kidney transplant recipients. *Pediatr Transplant* 2018;22(3): e13147. PMID: 29411474
11. Fellner MD, Durand KA, Solernou V, Bosaleh A, Balbarrey Z, García de Dávila MT, et al. Epstein-Barr virus load in transplant patients: Early detection of post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorders. *Rev Argent Microbiol.* 201;48(2): 110-8. PMID: 27157146.
12. Colombini E, Guzzo I, Morolli F, Longo G, Russo C, Lombardi A, et al. Viral load of EBV DNAemia is a predictor of EBV-related post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorders in pediatric renal transplant recipients. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2017;32(8): 1433-1442. PMID: 28280938.
13. Narkewicz MR, Green M, Dunn S, Millis M, McDiarmid S, Mazariegos G, et al. Decreasing incidence of symptomatic Epstein-Barr virus disease and posttransplant lymphoproliferative disorder in pediatric liver transplant recipients: report of the studies of pediatric liver transplantation experience. *Liver Transpl* 2013;19(7): 730-40. PMID: 23696264
14. Chinnock R, Webber SA, Dipchand AI, Brown RN, George JF, Pediatric Heart Transplant Study. A 16-year multi-institutional study of the role of age and EBV status on PTLD incidence among pediatric heart transplant recipients. *Am J Transplant* 2012;12: 3061- 3068. PMID: 23072522
15. Horvath MM, Winfield S, Evans S, Slopek S, Shang H, Ferranti J. The DEDUCE Guided Query tool: providing simplified access to clinical data for research and quality improvement. *J Biomed Inform* 2011; 44:266–76.

16. Niesters HG, Van Esser J, Fries E, Wolthers KC, Cornelissen J, Osterhaus AD. Development of a Real-Time Quantitative Assay for Detection of Epstein-Barr Virus. J Clin Microbiol 2000;38(2):712-5.
PMID: 10655372
17. Stevens SJ, Vervoort MB, van den Brule AJ, Meenhorst PL, Meijer CJ, Middeldorp JM. Monitoring of Epstein-Barr Virus DNA Load in Peripheral Blood by Quantitative Competitive PCR. J Clin Microbiol 1999;37(9): 2852–2857. PMID: 10449464