1	
2 3	Assessing the effectiveness of newborn resuscitation training and skill retention program on neonatal outcomes in Madhesh Province, Nepal
4	
5	Newborn resuscitation training and neonatal outcomes in Nepal
6	
7	
8	Mala Chalise ^{1¶} , Ranjan Dhungana ^{2&} , Michael K Visick ^{3&} , Robert B Clark ^{4¶}
9	
10	
11	
12	¹ Children's Medical Mission, Utah, USA
13	² Safa Sunaulo Nepal, Kathmandu, Nepal
14	³ University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, USA
15	⁴ Brigham Young University, Provo, USA
16	
17	
18	*Corresponding Author
19	E-mail: robclarkmd@outlook.com (RC)
20	
21	
22	[¶] These authors contributed equally to this work.
23	& These authors also contributed to this work.
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

29 Abstract

Background: Intrapartum events leading to asphyxia at birth is one of the leading causes of 30 neonatal morbidity and mortality in Nepal. In response to this, the Nepal Ministry of Health and 31 Population adopted Helping Babies Breathe (HBB) as a tool to improve neonatal resuscitation. 32 The effectiveness of HBB trainings in developing newborn resuscitation knowledge and skills of 33 service providers have been well established. However, challenges remain in maintaining skills 34 35 over time. Safa Sunaulo Nepal (SSN), with support from LDS Charities designed an initiative for scaling up newborn resuscitation training and maintaining skills over time. This paper reports on 36 the implementation of SSN's model of newborn resuscitation trainings and skill retention, and 37 38 changes in perinatal outcomes that occurred during the program.

Methods: The program capacitated facility-based trainers for scale up and maintaining resuscitation skills in 20 facilities in Madhesh Province, Nepal. A single external mentor coached and assisted the facility-based trainers, provided general support, and monitored progress.
Prospective outcome monitoring tracked changes in health metrics for a period of 14 months. To analyze changes over the time, the neonatal mortality, morbidity, and stillbirths at the baseline (first two months) of the program was compared with the endline (last two months) measures.

Results: Data was gathered on neonatal health outcomes of 68,435 vaginal deliveries and 9,253
cesarean sections. Results indicate decreases in <24 hours neonatal deaths (p<0.001), intrapartum
stillbirths (p<0.001), and number of sick newborns transferred from the maternity unit (p<0.001).
During the program, facility-based trainers taught resuscitation skills to 231 medical personnel and
supported skill retention.

50 Conclusions: SSN's model is a low-cost, evidence-based program focusing on facility-based
51 trainers, who are mentored and supported to scale-up and sustain resuscitation skills over time.

52 Findings from the report are suggestive that the model had a substantial influence on critical 53 neonatal outcomes. Future programs focused on improving neonatal outcomes may benefit by 54 incorporating program elements of SSN model.

55 Introduction

The world has made phenomenal progress in reducing child mortality over the past three decades,
but global progress was insufficient to universally achieve Millennium Development Goal (MDG)
4. Only one third of MDG countries, which included Nepal, reduced under-five mortality by twothirds(1).

60 While the worldwide under-five mortality has declined considerably (2), the reductions in neonatal mortality rate have lagged, leading to an increased proportion of overall deaths attributed to the 61 first month of life. Nearly 37% of the estimated child death in 1990 was attributable to neonatal 62 deaths(3), compared with 45% in 2015(4). The UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality 63 Estimation anticipates that neonatal deaths will account for nearly 52% of child deaths by 2030(4). 64 65 In Nepal, while the under-five mortality decreased from 133 deaths per 1000 live births in 1991 to 39 deaths per 1000 live births in 2016, neonatal mortality only dropped from 50 deaths per 1000 66 live births in 1991 to 21 in 2016(5), causing the Neonatal Mortality Rate (NMR) to rise to 61% of 67 the under-five mortality rate(6). 68

Most neonatal deaths occur within the first week of birth(1). The first day of life is the most vulnerable period of child survival with more than a quarter (27%) of neonatal death occurring in the first day of life in Nepal(7). Intrapartum Hypoxic Events (IHE) continue to be one of the leading causes of neonatal morbidity and mortality in low-income countries, including Nepal(8–

10). To achieve the Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) of reducing neonatal mortality rate to
12 per 1000 live births, averting preventable deaths is a high priority.

75 Strengthening the capacity and competency of healthcare workers to provide timely and 76 appropriate newborn resuscitation is essential to addressing newborn deaths(11). To this end, the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) and other partners developed Helping Babies Breathe 77 78 (HBB) to empower providers with life-saving techniques through simulation-based training(12). Multiple studies have documented the effectiveness of HBB in reducing newborn mortality(13-79 80 15)(16,17). Retention of these skills over time is critical but HBB follow-up studies have shown a 81 decline in knowledge and skills over time(11,18-20). Thus, a major challenge in upgrading newborn resuscitation has been maintaining skills over time, as skills training alone is not 82 sufficient. Successful programs have carefully incorporated refresher training, mentoring, and 83 various Quality Improvement (QI) approaches (11,17,20)(21-23) to accomplish continuous 84 learning and retention. These have provided guidance on strategies needed to both improve and 85 86 maintain resuscitation skills.

HBB is a cost-effective, simplified neonatal resuscitation curriculum(24) focusing on acquiring 87 and implementing basic resuscitation skills. These skills are sufficient to support the transition of 88 99% of newborns in low-resource settings, with the help of basic clinical equipment(25). After 89 HBB was successfully piloted in Nepal in 2013(14), the then Child Health Division of the Ministry 90 of Health and Population (MoHP) incorporated the HBB training curriculum into the in-service 91 92 training package of Skilled Birth Attendants in 2015. The MoHP has been encouraging the dissemination of improved resuscitation techniques using HBB in other settings as well. Newborn 93 94 resuscitation using HBB has been supported by multiple child health partners in Nepal. For 95 instance, UNICEF employed HBB to strengthen the resuscitation skills in a portfolio of hospitals

during two clinical trials(26,27). The National Health Training Center (NHTC), a nodal agency of
MoHP for training, is responsible for disseminating approved in-service provider education
throughout the country. NHTC has orchestrated HBB training-of-trainer (TOT) courses in Nepal
since 2012 in collaboration with Latter-day Saints (LDS) Charities, the humanitarian arm of The
Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints (28) and Safa Sunaulo Nepal (SSN).

In 2018, SSN, with financial and technical support from LDS Charities, designed a newborn resuscitation training, scale-up, and skill retention program centered on developing and maintaining the capacity of facility-based trainers to sustain the skills required to manage newborn emergencies. The goals of the program were to:

- Utilize evidence-based strategies for scaling up and maintaining resuscitation skills
- Implement a cost-effective program for the scale-up and skill retention
- Monitor the process with key indicators

Compared to other provinces, Madhesh Province is the province in Nepal with the poorest performance in key health indicators including nutrition, teenage pregnancy, family planning coverage, newborn deaths and vaccination coverage(5). The NMR of the province in 2016 was estimated at 30 per 1000 live births against the national average of 21, with the province accounting for the highest proportion of neonatal deaths in the country(5). These data emphasized the pressing need for targeted interventions to achieve sustainable progress in key health indicators, including interventions targeted at reducing neonatal mortality.

Unfortunately, protracted political unrest that persisted in the province since 2007 resulted into a non-conducive environment for training and quality improvement programs to penetrate, thrive, and be sustained, as in other provinces. Hence, LDS Charities was reluctant to implement the

program in Madhesh province until 2020 when relative political stability was evident. The fact that Madhesh province had a high number of neonatal deaths, was an underserved area, and one of the only remaining areas with little exposure to HBB, influenced NHTC's decision request assistance in implementing newborn resuscitation scale-up and retention program in the province. The purpose of this paper is to describe the implementation of SSN's newborn resuscitation training, scale-up, and skill retention program in Madhesh province of Nepal and report changes in newborn outcomes that occurred during the time of program implementation.

125

126 Methods

127 Study Design

We used a prospective cohort design to compare outcomes of birth cohorts in 20 hospitals pre-post
implementation of the facility-based newborn resuscitation program in the Madhesh province.
Data collection spanned from October 2020 to November 2021 for a period of fourteen months.
Inclusion criteria included facilities that provided delivery services 24 hour for 7 days a week and
represented a significant proportion of the births in Madhesh province.

To assess the effectiveness of the resuscitation skills scale-up and retention program, the first two months (October- November 2020) and the last two months (October- November 2021) of data collection were considered as baseline period and end line period, respectively. In the baseline period, newborn resuscitation scale-up and support mechanisms were just beginning and included the HBB ToT, while the end line period had the scale-up and support mechanisms well established.

138 Study Population and Setting

- 139 The newborn resuscitation training and retention program was conducted in Madhesh province,
- 140 one of the seven provinces under the new federal structure in Nepal. An overview of the
- 141 province is provided in Table 1.

142 Table 1. Overview of Madhesh Province.

Overview of Madhesh Province						
Total number of districts	8ª					
Total Population	5,404,145 ^a					
Total number of public hospitals	13 ^a					
(Secondary and Tertiary Hospital)						
Neonatal Mortality Rate	30 per 1000 live births ^b					

- ¹⁴³ ^aData from Madhesh Province Profile(29)
- ^bData from Nepal Demographic Health Survey 2016(5)

145 Madhesh province lies in the southern plain of the country. The province is less topographically

146 challenged in comparison to other provinces that have complex terrain. However, the province

lags far behind other provinces in terms of key health indicators due to the concerns previouslymentioned.

149 Description of Intervention

- 150 The newborn resuscitation training and skill retention program was implemented in three phases
- 151 (Fig 1). Details of each phase are presented below:
- 152 Figure 1. Newborn resuscitation training and skill retention program algorithm.

153 ToT Phase

The ToT phase focused on facility-based trainers with the two ToT courses held on 27th- 30th November and the second ToT course from 2-5th December 2020. NTHC selected the facilities and trainers in coordination with the Provincial Health Directorate. The HBB ToT was facilitated by 10 Nepali trainers who used the HBB and BABC ¹learning resource package, developed by NHTC and SSN, as a field trial.

A mix of public and private health institutions with large delivery services in Madhesh province 159 participated in the ToT program to improve and sustain neonatal resuscitation skills. 88 facility-160 based trainers representing 37 facilities attended. Seventy-one out of 88 trainers (81%) were nurses 161 by profession with the majority (45%) of the trainers working in their profession for one to five 162 years. Forty-three out of 84 participants (51%) were from Secondary Hospitals. Forty-six out of 163 84 trainers (55%) indicated they personally attended up to 25 deliveries in an average month. 164 Seventy-two out of 84 (86%) trainers mentioned they resuscitated babies with a bag and mask as 165 part of their current job. 166

Validated, standardized tools were used to conduct pre and post knowledge tests of the trainers. The knowledge test had 18 questions with a passing score of 15. The average knowledge pre-test score was 16 while an average post-test score was 17 with 6% change in pre to post knowledge test. 82% of participants had a passing score or higher in pre-test while it was 94% in post-test. SSN and LDS Charities provided the new facility-based trainers with hard and soft copies of

¹ BABC is a module of the Helping Mothers Survive training curriculum developed by JHPIEGO. The module is designed to reduce maternal deaths due to post-partum hemorrhage (PPH). The module builds the skills of healthcare providers working in clinical settings of communication and teamwork, active management of the third stage of the labor, early detection and basic management of PPH, and advanced PPH care skills

instructional materials such as flipcharts, provider guide books, and testing materials. Training equipment consisted of NeoNatalie manikins, Penguin suction devices, bag and masks, and stethoscopes. Limited clinical equipment, such as bags and masks, suction devices, and stethoscopes for the delivery rooms were also supplied.

The facility-based trainers were empowered to facilitate scale-up of resuscitation training to all physicians and nurses/midwives in their facility\ies and were expected to create an enabling environment for retention of learnt skills.

179 Scale-up and Skill Retention Phase

Following the ToT, 20 of the 37 facilities were selected for the active assistance with scale up and 180 181 retention, based primarily on high delivery volume. An HBB-trained medical officer with research 182 experience was recruited to serve as a mentor, trainer, monitor of facility-based indicators, and administrative liaison for the cohort of 20 facilities. The scale-up and skill retention phase focused 183 on facility-based trainers cascading resuscitation skills to staff in all 20 facilities, and then working 184 to retain the learnt skills. The facility-based trainers were expected to set up and encourage 185 utilization of a functional practice corner with the help of the equipment and materials provided 186 by SSN and LDS Charities during the training of trainers. 187

In the cohort of 20 facilities, the dedicated external mentor/monitor assisted with facility-level scale-up of resuscitation training to all physicians and nurses/midwives. The mentor also assisted with a) practice sessions, review meetings, and refresher training b) on-site coaching c) monitoring key indicators and d) liaison with administration. The mentor was the driver for scaling up newborn resuscitation in the cohort of facilities through utilization of evidence-based methods to develop staff capacity.

Vaginal delivery (normal and assisted) was provided by all 20 facilities in the mentoring cohort, and 17 provided cesarean section delivery. The labor units in the hospitals were led by physicians and midwives and equipped to provide neonatal resuscitation at birth. Pediatricians led neonatal care units at Tertiary hospitals, while in Primary and Secondary Hospitals, sick newborns were managed at the pediatric unit, which was led by either medical officers or nurses/midwives.

199 The facility-based trainers, under the supervision of the mentor, conducted facility-level HBB trainings to physicians and nurses/midwives in the labor and neonatal care unit. Training also 200 bridged newborn resuscitation knowledge and skill gaps among new staff due to staff turnover. 201 202 The facility-based trainers encouraged daily practice sessions using low-dose high-frequency bag and mask ventilation practice in their respective facilities, recording the practice performance, 203 establishment of functional practice corners, regular supervision of facility staff, and refresher 204 training. Other interventions used by facility-based trainers for skill retention included weekly 205 review meeting where resuscitation debriefing was done. 206

The HBB mentor reviewed the practice logs and conducted Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCEs)² and bag and mask skill check drills during a monthly monitoring visit. The HBB mentor also conducted a weekly telephone meeting with facility-based trainers and monthly in-person meetings with facility-based trainers, nursing in-charge, and medical superintendent to review the progress of HBB training and conduct further planning. Other activities included assessment of required support such as practice and clinical equipment and

² OSCEs are formal clinical performance assessments that evaluate learner's clinical competence by using simulated scenarios in which learners' skills are rated by an observer while they demonstrate their skills. OSCEs and the B&M skill checks are standard assessment tools of HBB.

liaising with hospital administration to create conducive environment for skill retention. Thementor was also responsible for obtaining monthly program data from the cohort of facilities.

The facility-based trainer, in coordination with the mentor and the hospital nursing supervisor, observed the HBB skills of staff using a neonatal simulator during the mentor's regular and unannounced site visits; reviewed the practice logbook; provided on-site coaching; reviewed the delivery and newborn logbook; and provided refresher training.

219 **Post Scale-up and Retention Phase**

During the post-intervention phase, the facility-based trainers continued with interventions from
the scale-up and retention phase. However, mentor did not support facility-based trainers in doing
so. The mentor obtained monthly program data during this phase.

Data Management

224 Ethical Consideration

225 Ethical approval was obtained from the Nepal Health Research Council (Registration number

226 797/2018) for the newborn resuscitation scale-up and retention program, including data

collection from the entire cohort of facilities under the program.

228 Outcomes

The primary outcomes included the pre-post difference in a) the intrapartum stillbirths and b) neonatal death within the first 24 hours of life. Stillbirths were considered fresh if they were not macerated. Secondary outcomes included differences in neonatal deaths after first 24 hours of life, the utilization of bag and mask ventilation, the number of sick newborns transferred from the maternity unit, and the percentage of staff trained with HBB.

234 Data collection

235 Monthly facility-level metrics included the number of vaginal deliveries, number of caesarean 236 sections, number of intrapartum (fresh) and macerated stillbirths, number of babies not breathing 237 at birth, and number of neonatal deaths less than 24 hours. These were MoHP mandated metrics. In the tertiary level facilities, monthly facility-level data were derived from the health information 238 239 department, which tabulated and reported MoHP-mandated metrics. In smaller facilities, the mentor gathered data from registers or logs maintained in the labor room and in the maternity 240 ward. The data thus gathered was certified from the concerned health institution to ensure data 241 quality. 242

Additional facility level metrics that were not MoHP mandated included number of sick newborns transferred from the maternity unit and the number of babies receiving assisted ventilation with bag and mask (B&M). Sick newborns transferred from the maternity unit was a proxy for all-cause newborn morbidity during the first days of life. These data were gathered from registers or logs maintained in the labor room and in the maternity ward.

The hospitals in the cohort had no prior system of recording number of babies receiving B&M for the pre-intervention period although the hospitals reported practicing B&M. As these hospitals started recording the metric only after HBB ToT, the sum of the measure from the first two months of the scale-up and retention phase (December 2020 and January 2021) was compared with the sum of measures from the post-intervention period for this metric only. Personal data of patients, such as demographic information, were not collected.

Monthly monitoring reports generated by the mentor documented the scale-up, facility support, and facility-level metrics, including the number of providers trained/ retrained, maintenance of an

12

equipped practice corner, low-dose high-frequency practice logs, completion of self-evaluation
forms, review meetings held, and review of delivery and newborn logs. Since these metrics were
not mandated by MoHP, the mentor collected these data on a monthly basis from the facility-based
trainer, who was responsible for record keeping.

260 Data Analysis

Facility-level metrics were analyzed by comparing the baseline and endline measurements. The baseline measurements were the sum of data for the initial two months (ToT phase) and the endline measurements were the sum of data from the final two months (post scale-up and skill retention phase). A Paired T-Test was conducted to determine if baseline and endline values were significantly different. The number of deliveries and C-sections were analyzed as potential confounding factors.

Interhospital differences in perinatal outcomes were also analyzed by the type of the hospital. After the mentor collected data into monthly reports, the mentor conducted data quality and integrity checks. The monthly reports were forwarded to SSN and to LDS Charities with SSN conducting an initial review, analysis, and preparation of mandated reports. A Nepal based research assistant combined the data into a master file, clarified missing variables with the mentor, deleted variables missing significant data, changed text into appropriate numerical values, and conducted data analysis using SPSS version 21.

274 **Results**

275 Facility Profile

The 20 facilities in the newborn resuscitation and scale-up program cohort represented a mix of public and private hospitals. The Government of Nepal has categorized public hospitals into different categories according to the level of government.(30) Accordingly, the facilities in the study cohort consisted of four different facility types: Primary Hospital (5), Secondary Hospital (10), Tertiary Hospital (3) and Private Hospital (2).

281 According to the Health Infrastructure Development Standards 2017, Primary Hospitals in Nepal are mandated to provide normal delivery and comprehensive emergency obstetric and neonatal 282 care (CEONC)(30). However, in practice, availability of CEONC services in the Primary Hospital 283 284 depends upon the availability of physicians. Only two out of the five Primary Hospitals in the study cohort provided CEONC service. Besides providing normal delivery and CEONC services, 285 both Secondary and Tertiary Hospitals have dedicated departments and wards for providing 286 gynecological and obstetric services. Unlike Secondary Hospitals, Tertiary Hospitals have 287 advanced infrastructures and human resources for providing maternal and newborn care, including 288 289 a higher number of beds, neonatal care units, and pediatricians. Delivery services are provided by obstetrician-gynecologists in both Secondary and Tertiary Hospitals. 290

A total of 68,435 vaginal deliveries and 9,253 Cesarean deliveries took place at the health facilities during the study period. Tertiary hospitals accounted for more than half of the vaginal deliveries (51.54%), C-section deliveries (58.94%), neonatal deaths <24 hours (53.59%), neonatal deaths > 24hours (59.32%) and intrapartum stillbirths (62.14%). Sick newborns transferred from the maternity unit (40.49%), babies not breathing at birth (41.72%) and babies receiving bag and mask (44.44%) were also higher in tertiary hospitals as compared to other facility types. Outcomes according to facility type are listed in Table 2.

298 Table 2. Outcomes by facility type.

299

Facility Type	Primary Hospital		Secondary Hospital		Tertiary Hospital		Private Hospital		Total
Indicators	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Number of deliveries (except C/S) ^a	8818	12.89	21198	30.98	35269	51.54	3150	4.60	68435
Number of C/S	764	8.26	2403	25.97	5454	58.94	632	6.83	9253
Number of neonatal deaths (<24 hours)	54	11.76	134	29.19	246	53.59	25	5.45	459
Number of neonatal deaths (>24 hours)	18	10.17	45	25.42	105	59.32	9	5.08	177
Number of intrapartum stillbirths	92	10.69	194	22.53	535	62.14	40	4.65	861
Number of sick newborns transferred from the maternity unit	1026	17.88	2040	35.56	2323	40.49	348	6.07	5737
Number of babies not breathing at birth	1071	16.01	2401	35.90	2790	41.72	426	6.37	6688
Number of babies receiving bag and mask Cesarean section	632	16.51	1273	33.25	1701	44.44	222	5.80	3828

300 ^a Cesarean section

301 Effect of newborn resuscitation scale-up and skill retention program on 302 perinatal outcomes

To test the hypothesis that baseline and endline means for perinatal outcomes were equal, a dependent samples t-test was done. Before preforming the analysis, the assumption of normally distributed difference scores was done to examine whether the assumption was satisfied. Data for each perinatal outcome satisfied the assumption of normally distributed pre-post difference scores as the skewness was <|2.0| and kurtosis <|9.0|.(31)

Since correlation between baseline and endline measures were significant at p-value <.001 (Table
3), the dependent sample t-test was considered an appropriate statistical test for all perinatal
outcomes.

Perinatal outcomes	Baseline Mean (n=20)	Standard deviation	Endline Mean (n=20)	Standard deviation	correlation	t-score
Vaginal Delivery	479.25	567.19	471.30	132.15	0.990	0.418(19)
					(p<0.001)	(p=0.681)
C/S	28.05	105.25	60	85.87	0.977	1.279(19)
					(<0.001)	
Number of neonatal	5	5.73	2.2	4.71	0.966	6.212 (19)
deaths (<24 hours)					(p<0.001)	(p<0.001)
						*

311 Table 3. T-test and suitability of dependent sample t-test.

Number of neonatal	1.7	2.68	1.05	1.79	0.848	1.942 (19)
deaths (>24 hours)					(p<0.001)	(p= 0.067)
Intrapartum stillbirths	10	14.07	4.3	9.58	0.987	5.225(19)
					(p<0.001)	(p<0.001)
						*
Number of sick newborns	54.65	41.45	36.45	30.71	0.955	5.358 (19)
transferred from the					(p<0.001)	(p<0.001)
maternity unit						*
Number of babies not	46.80	48.22	38.40	33.37	0.877	1.514(19)
breathing at birth					(p<0.001)	(p=0.146)
Number of babies	32.55	39.23	30.15	27.79	0.853	0.505 (19)
receiving bag and mask					(p<0.001)	(p=0.619)

312 ** statistically significant observation

The null hypothesis of equal baseline and endline means was rejected for neonatal deaths <24hours t(19)= 6.212, P<0.001, intrapartum stillbirths t(19)= 5.225, p<0.001, and sick newborns transferred from the maternity/pediatric unit t(19)= 5.358, p<0.001. Thus, the endline means for neonatal deaths <24 hours, intrapartum stillbirths and sick newborn transferred from the maternity unit were statistically lower than the baseline means suggesting the potential impact of newborn resuscitation and scale-up program in the observed association.

The first day neonatal mortality showed the declining trend, (Fig 2) with a decrease of approximately 56% (p<0.001) neonatal deaths. Overall, there were 100 neonatal deaths during the baseline period, compared to 44 in the endline period.

Fig 2. The run chart depicting the association of HBB program on neonatal mortality after 24 hours birth over the program period.

- 324
- 325 During the study period, overall intrapartum stillbirths decreased by 57% (p<0.001), from 200
- during the baseline period to 86 in the follow up period (Fig 3).

Fig 3. The run chart depicting the association of HBB program on intrapartum still birth over the program period.

- 329
- 330 Sick newborns transferred from the maternity unit included their transfer to another unit of the
- hospital or to another hospital or discharged home. Sick infants transferred from the maternity unit
- 332 was a proxy for all-cause newborn morbidity during the first days of life. Overall, sick newborns
- transferred from the maternity unit decreased by approximately 33% over time from 1093 in
- baseline period to 729 in endline period (p < 0.001) (Fig 4).

Fig 4: The run chart depicting the association of HBB program on sick newborns transferred from the maternity/pediatric unit over the program period.

- 337
- 338 Inter-hospital difference in perinatal outcomes was observed for <24 hours neonatal deaths,
- intrapartum stillbirths and sick newborns transferred from the maternity unit. (Table 4).

Table 4. Perinatal outcomes by hospital.

Perinatal outcomes	Primary Hospital	Secondary Hospital	Tertiary Hospital	Private Hospital
Number of neonatal deaths (<24 hours)	0.0577*	0.0239*	0.0796	0.2951
Number of neonatal deaths (>24 hours)	0.2048	0.2048	0.3498	0.7117

Intrapartum stillbirths	0.0276*	0.0696	0.3498	0.0136*
Number of sick newborns transferred from	0.0651	0.8687	0.0060*	0.1387
maternity, pediatric unit				
Number of babies receiving bag and mask	0.0903	0.2951	0.0925	0.1281

341 *Statistically significant observation

Neonatal death <24 hours was found to be significantly lower in Primary Hospitals (p= 0.0577) 342 and Secondary Hospitals (p=0.0239) during the endline period as compared to the baseline period. 343 The decline was approximately 73% (p=0.0577) in Primary Hospitals and nearly 64% (p=0.0239) 344 in Secondary Hospitals. The intrapartum stillbirths were observed to be significantly lower in 345 346 Primary Hospital (p=0.0276) and private hospitals (p=0.0136). There was approximately 79% (p=0.0276) decrease in the number of intrapartum stillbirths during endline period (n=29) as 347 compared to the baseline period (n=6) in the five Primary Hospitals. The number of intrapartum 348 349 stillbirths in the two private hospitals decreased by approximately 92% (p=0.0313) from 13 in baseline to 1 in the endline period. Similarly, sick newborns transferred from the maternity unit 350 decreased by nearly 27% (p= 0.0060) over time in Tertiary Hospitals. 351

352 HBB scale-up and support

The monthly HBB scale-up and support measures were largely comprised of qualitative indicators. The scale-up of HBB resulted in 231 providers receiving the training during active mentoring. The facility-based trainers, with the assistance of the mentor, cascaded the training in their facilities by training existing and new staff during the program, and holding refresher training sessions.

At the end of the reporting period, all facilities reported fully functional practice corners that were equipped and utilized, held periodic review meetings, conducted self-evaluation surveys, and maintained both delivery and newborn logs.

360 **Discussion**

SSN, with support from LDS Charities, set up a program to scale-up and maintain newborn resuscitation skills in Madhesh province of Nepal. This paper reports on the initiative, compares the newborn metrics and HBB scale-up activities from a cohort of facilities from Madhesh province, and analyzes the changes associated with improving the quality of newborn resuscitation at the facility level.

Analysis of baseline and endline data from this cohort of facilities suggests that the SSN program for the scale up and retention of newborn resuscitation skills was associated with decreases in the number of < 24 hours neonatal death, intrapartum stillbirths and number of sick newborns transferred from the maternity unit. Findings of this initiative correspond with several previous studies that have noted significant declines in intrapartum stillbirth(14,15,32–35) and neonatal mortality before 24 hours of birth(14,15,32,36,37), in part due to HBB's focus on identification and resuscitation of seemingly lifeless neonates at birth.

As observed in other studies, neonatal deaths after 24 hours did not change significantly after the implementation scale-up and skill retention(13). A plausible explanation could be that HBB focuses more on interventions to aid stimulation of spontaneous breathing right after birth than on interventions to enhance postnatal survival. Appropriate ventilatory support will assist the apneic infant to commence breathing and support the breathing of infants who are not breathing well. Unfortunately, it does not completely reverse the effects of severe asphyxia, or the pathology of

pulmonary prematurity, infections, malformations, or other newborn complications. Therefore, in
severely compromised infants, resuscitation may lead to survival of asphyxiated babies during the
first day of life only. Interventions that address the other causes of mortality in neonatal period are
necessary to reduce neonatal mortality after 24 hours of birth(13).

383 Analysis of inter-hospital differences in perinatal outcomes suggest that resuscitation scale-up and 384 retention had greater impact in Primary Hospital in terms of reduction in 24 hours neonatal death 385 and intrapartum stillbirths as compared to other hospitals. Secondary, Tertiary and private hospitals may have more advanced technologies for newborn care and/or more specialized 386 387 providers than Primary Hospitals. While the care in secondary and tertiary hospitals is primarily provided by nurses/ midwives, they may also have greater experience in newborn resuscitation 388 due to frequent exposure to compromised infants based on both volumes and referral center status. 389 390 In Primary Hospitals, newborn care is provided in low-resource settings by lower cadre health professionals- mostly by midwives- who may not have prior knowledge and skills in newborn 391 resuscitation. Hence, the greater effectiveness of scale-up and skill retention in Primary Hospitals 392 393 may be a reasonable expectation. A study that compares perinatal outcomes in a first-level referral hospital before and after the implementation of HBB training has also shown that HBB training is 394 effective in a local first-level referral hospital with few resources, such as Primary Hospitals in the 395 context of Nepal, in improving key perinatal outcomes(33). Similarly, private hospitals, where 396 training opportunities are generally lower as compared to public hospitals, showed considerable 397 398 improvement in reduction of intrapartum stillbirths, suggesting the potential impact for of newborn 399 resuscitation training and skill retention program in private hospitals.

400 Notably, 86% of facility-based trainers in the ToTs were already resuscitating babies in their401 current job at the time of training. Further, the pre-test scores demonstrated a good understanding

of newborn compromise and resuscitation. Nevertheless, neonatal outcomes improved in spite of
the prior knowledge and experience. This emphasizes that training alone is insufficient to achieve
gains in neonatal outcomes and needs to be followed by regular practice, mentorship, retraining,
and monitoring, the main focus of our efforts.

Run charts tracking perinatal outcomes over time showed considerable improvements towards the 406 407 end of post scale-up and skill-retention phase, indicating the possibility of continued improvements even after the external support for newborn resuscitation scale-up and skill retention ended. 408 409 However, it is difficult to establish the long-term sustainability of newborn resuscitation program from this study as the post scale-up and retention phase follow up was condcuted for only two 410 411 months. Towards the end of the program, the mentor focused on transitioning to internal mentoring efforts through selection of one facility-based trainer per hospital as a focal person to continue the 412 institutionalization of skill-retention and activities such as ensuring functionality of the practice 413 corner, training new staff, encouraging daily practice sessions and conducting refresher trainings. 414 415 All 20 hospitals in the study cohort had a focal person appointed by the end of the program. Success of the internal mentoring efforts in achieving sustainability of the newborn resuscitation scale-up 416 and skill retention program would also depend on continuous support from hospital clinical 417 418 leadership.

Newborn resuscitation training using HBB was first launched in Nepal in early 2012 under the
sponsorship of LDS Charities. The program introduced the HBB curriculum to physicians,
midwives, and hospital leaders under the MOHP(38). Since then, HBB TOTs have been held in
all provinces of the country with various methods of skill retention(39).

A deterioration over time in the retention and application of new life-saving skills by the providers
has been a major challenge mainly due to lack of motivation by, and lack of support for ToT

graduates to scale-up HBB after initial training. We focused on assisting facility-based trainers to
take the lead in gradually scaling up and sustaining the skills through on-the job cascade trainings
to the service providers, encouraging regular practice sessions, and refresher trainings.

The external mentor used evidence-based strategies for supporting and scaling up HBB training. These included monthly monitoring visits to review of practice logs; conducting OSCEs and bag and mask skill checks; supportive supervision; weekly telephone meeting with facility-based trainers; and monthly in-person meetings with hospital clinical leadership to facilitate HBB skill retention of providers through necessary equipment and training support.

To our knowledge, the interventions rolled out under this program for skill retention effort are 433 unique to the country and offers numerous advantages to resource-limited settings like Nepal. First, 434 435 the SSN and LDS Charities model of newborn resuscitation scale-up program enabled improved assisted ventilation by encouraging low-dose high- frequency practice with retention of learnt 436 skills. The model focused on the role of an external mentor assisting the facility-based trainers in 437 cascading HBB training to all providers; and holding practice sessions, on-site coaching, and 438 refresher trainings. Evidence from low and middle-income countries demonstrated that HBB 439 training with frequent refresher training and on-the-job practice can positively impact the retention 440 of knowledge and skills on newborn resuscitation and yield remarkable improvement in neonatal 441 mortality outcomes(40). 442

The model also emphasized the role of the mentor in collaboration with local clinical leadership to establish a system for ongoing mentorship, supervision, and fostering policies that support institutionalization of HBB training. The crucial role of a dedicated mentor in quality-improvement and scale-up has been acknowledged in the scale-up of various maternal and child health interventions(41).

23

The SSN and LDS Charities model of newborn resuscitation scale-up proved to be a low-cost intervention. The cascade training approach by facility-level providers incurred much lower direct cost to SSN and LDS Charities by avoiding travel costs and Daily Subsistence Allowance (DSA) expenses. Similarly, indirect costs - such as loss of work hours by the hospital as part of sending providers for off-site training, was also remarkably reduced as the facility-level providers were trained while they were still on-the job. Further, the expense of the single, full-time mentor/monitor was diffused among 20 facilities.

Finally, a strength of the program was its reach from tertiary level hospitals to peripheral level and private health institutions with high volumes of deliveries in the province. These volumes constituted a huge proportion of deliveries in the province, thereby influencing the clinical practice of newborn resuscitation in these facilities at large scale.

The resuscitation scale-up and skill retention efforts faced challenges due to the COVID-19 459 pandemic. The program took place when Nepal was hard hit by the global COVID-19 pandemic. 460 All the Tertiary Hospitals in the study cohort were designated as COVID-19 hospitals for the 461 province. Similarly, Primary and Secondary hospitals also faced the challenges of managing the 462 cases of COVID-19 and providing essential health care services without concomitant increases in 463 staffing. As part of the structural adjustment to the challenges posed by COVID-19, the facility-464 based trainers as well as providers in the study cohort underwent task sharing and restructuring to 465 other units of the hospital. As a result, cascade training to facility-based providers occurred very 466 sporadically, if at all, during three months of the scale-up and retention phase, from December 467 2020 to February 2021. Hence, the number of facility-level providers trained in the study period 468 469 was lower than in other similar programs(42). Yet, improvement in major perinatal outcomes such as <24 hours neonatal mortality, intrapartum stillbirths and number of sick newborns transferred 470

was observed despite irregular practice, mentorship and cascade training during those threemonths.

Another challenge related to the data collection process itself. The program monitored progress by 473 474 using limited indicators, most of which were tracked by the facilities for routine reporting to the MoHP and therefore less prone to error - neonatal deaths being one of those metrics. However, 475 476 even though most of the facilities in the cohort tracked stillbirths, misclassification and 477 underreporting of fresh stillbirths was widespread. Accordingly, delivery logs had to be reviewed 478 to confirm accuracy in the classification of fresh stillbirths. Similarly, since the facilities did not 479 track and report on resuscitation data to the MoHP, delivery logs had to be reviewed to obtain such data. Sick newborns transferred from the maternity unit - a proxy indicator for all-cause morbidity-480 were also not tracked by facilities as part of routine reporting to the MoHP. This necessitated a 481 review of newborn logs to determine the number of sick infants transferred. 482

Due to the design as a skill training and retention program, rather than a clinical study, there was 483 484 no control/comparison group, which restricted the ability to establish the causality of the program on observed perinatal outcomes. This report describes the changes associated with newborn 485 resuscitation scale-up on perinatal outcomes using routinely collected data, without establishing 486 the causality, as in clinical trials. With this, we are unable to confirm whether the observed 487 outcomes were solely attributable to the newborn resuscitation scale-up and quality improvement 488 489 program or to other changes at the facilities during the time period. Hence, the findings from this report should be interpreted and generalized with caution. 490

This report highlights that the SSN model of newborn resuscitation scale-up and skill retention could be a potential evidence-based, cost-effective model for improving perinatal outcomes in low-resource settings through building and sustaining in-facility capacity for resuscitation.

25

494 **Conclusions**

This study shows that implementation of the SSN program for newborn resuscitation scale-up and 495 skill retention is associated with reductions in 24 hours neonatal mortality, intrapartum stillbirths, 496 497 and sick newborns transferred from the maternity unit, and improved clinic practices in Madhesh province. The analysis confirmed that this approach to newborn resuscitation skill retention and 498 scale-up is a simple, low-cost program focusing on facility-based cascade training of newborn 499 resuscitation skills to providers using the HBB curriculum, practice, and subsequent mentorship. 500 The program also demonstrated the role of mentorship in capacity building, liaison with clinical 501 leaders, scale-up, monitoring, and quality enhancement with a single mentor supervising and 502 monitoring 20 facilities. To conclude, this model has the potential to improve perinatal outcomes 503 and could guide future programs to reduce perinatal outcomes for other resource-limited countries 504 505 like Nepal.

506 Acknowledgements

507 The authors are grateful to all the participating institutions and service providers who participated

in SSN's newborn resuscitation and skill retention program in Madhesh province.

509 **References**

- World Health Organization. Newborn Mortality [Internet]. [cited 2022 Mar 14]. Available
 from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/levels-and-trends-in-child mortality-report-2021
- World Health Organization. Global Observatory data: underfive mortality rate [Internet].
 2015. Available from: https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/themes/topics/topicdetails/GHO/child-mortality-and-causes-of-death

3. Wang H, Liddell C, Coates M, Mooney M, Levitz C, Schumacher A, et al. Global, regional, 516 517 and national levels of neonatal, infant, and under-5 mortality during 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet. 518 519 2014;384(9947):957-79. 520 4. UN Inter-agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation. Levels aLevels and trends in child mortality: report 2015, estimates developed by the United Nations inter-agency Group for 521 Child Mortality Estimationnd trends in child mortality: [Internet]. New York: United 522 523 Nations Children's Fund; 2015. Available from: https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/IGME Report Final2.pdf 524 5. Ministry of Health, Nepal, New ERA, ICF. Nepal Demographic and Health Survey 2016 525 526 [Internet]. Kathmandu, Nepal: Minisitry of Health, Nepal; 2017. Available from: 527 https://www.dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/fr336/fr336.pdf 6. Knoema. Nepal neonatal mortality rate, 1960-2018 [Internet]. 2020. Available from: 528 529 https://knoema.com//atlas/Nepal/Neonatal-mortality-rate 7. Erchick DJ, Lackner JB, Mullany LC, Bhandari NN, Shedain PR, Khanal S, et al. Causes 530 and age of neonatal death and associations with maternal and newborn care characteristics 531 in Nepal: a verbal autopsy study. Arch Public Health. 2022 Dec;80(1):26. 532 8. UNICEF Data: Monitoring the situation of children and women. Maternal and newborn 533 health disparities country profiles [Internet]. UNICEF; 2016. Available from: 534 535 https://data.unicef.org/resources/maternal-newborn-health-disparities-country-profiles/ 536 9. KC A, Wrammert J, Ewald U, Clark R, Gautam J, Baral G, et al. Incidence of intrapartum stillbirth and associated risk factors in tertiary care setting of Nepal: a case-control study. 537 538 Reprod Health. 2016;3(103):1–11. 10. World Health Organization. Children: reducing mortality [Internet]. World Health 539 540 Organization; 2020. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/factsheets/detail/children-reducing-mortality 541 11. Drake M, Bishanga DR, Temu A, Njozi M, Thomas E, Mponzi V, et al. Structured on-the-542 543 job training to improve retention of newborn resuscitation skills: a national cohort Helping Babies Breathe study in Tanzania. BMC Pediatr. 2019;19(1):51. 544 12. Kamath-Rayne BD, Thukral A, Visick MK, Schoen E, Amick E, Deorari A, et al. Helping 545 Babies Breathe, Second Edition: A Model for Strengthening Educational Programs to 546 547 Increase Global Newborn Survival. Glob Health Sci Pract. 2018 Oct 3;6(3):538–51. 13. Versantvoort, JMD, Bloemenkamp K, Vries W, Hoogen A. Helping Babies Breathe and its 548 effects on intrapartum-related stillbirths and neonatal mortality in low-resource settings: a 549 systematic review. Arch Child. 2020;105(2):127-33. 550 14. KC A, Wrammert J, Clark R, Ewald U, Vitrakoti R, Chaudhary P, et al. Reducing Perinatal 551 Mortality in Nepal Using Helping Babies Breathe. Pediatrics. 2016;137(6). 552

15. Msemo G, Massawe A, Mmbando D, Rusibamayila N, Manji K, Kidanto H. Newborn
mortality and fresh stillbirth rates in Tanzania after helping babies breathe training.
Pediatrics. 2013;131(2):e353–60.

- 16. Niermeyer S. Improving Global Newborn Survival: Building upon Helping Babies Breathe.
 Neonatology. 2020;117(2):211–6.
- 17. Dol J, Campbell-Yeo M, Murphy G, Aston M, McMillan D, Richardson B. The impact of
 the Helping Babies Survive program on neonatal outcomes and health provider skills: a
 systematic review. JBI Database Syst Rev Implement Rep. 2018;16(3):701–37.
- 18. Kc A, Wrammert J, Nelin V, Clark RB, Ewald U, Peterson S, et al. Evaluation of Helping
 Babies Breathe Quality Improvement Cycle (HBB-QIC) on retention of neonatal
 resuscitation skills six months after training in Nepal. BMC Pediatr. 2017;17(1):103.
- 19. Eblovi D, Kelly P, Afua G, Agyapong S, Dante S, Pellerite M. Retention and use of newborn resuscitation skills following a series of helping babies breathe trainings for midwives in rural Ghana. Glob Health Action. 2017;10(1):1387985.
- Tabangin M, Josyula S, Taylor K, Vasquez J, Kamath-Rayne B. Resuscitation skills after
 Helping Babies Breathe training: a comparison of varying practice frequency and impact on
 retention of skills in different types of providers. Int Health. 2018;10(3):163–71.
- 570 21. Evans CL, Bazant E, Atukunda I, Williams E, Niermeyer S, Hiner C, et al. Peer-assisted
 571 learning after onsite, low-dose, high-frequency training and practice on simulators to
 572 prevent and treat postpartum hemorrhage and neonatal asphyxia: A pragmatic trial in 12
 573 districts in Uganda. Målqvist M, editor. PLOS ONE. 2018;13(12):e0207909.
- Mduma E, Kvaløy JT, Soreide E, Svensen E, Mdoe P, Perlman J, et al. Frequent refresher
 training on newborn resuscitation and potential impact on perinatal outcome over time in a
 rural Tanzanian hospital: an observational study. BMJ Open. 2019;9(9):e030572.
- 23. Reisman J, Arlington L, Jensen L, Louis H, Suarez-Rebling D, Nelson B. Newborn
 Resuscitation Training in Resource-Limited Settings: A Systematic Literature Review.
 Pediatrics. 2016;138(2):e20154490.
- Little GA, Keenan WJ, Singhal N, Lawn, Joy E. Neonatal nursing and helping babies
 breathe: an effective intervention to decrease global neonatal mortality. Newborn Infant
 Nurs Rev. 2011;11(2):82–7.
- 583 25. Niermeyer S. From the neonatal resuscitation program to helping babies breathe: global
 584 impact of educational programs in neonatal resuscitation. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med.
 585 2015;20(5):300–8.
- 586 26. KC A, Ewald U, Basnet O, et al. Effect of a scaled-up neonatal resuscitation quality
 587 improvement package on intrapartum-related mortality in Nepal: A stepped-wedge cluster
 588 randomized controlled trial. PLoS Med. 2019;16(9):e1002900.


27. Gurung R, Jha A, Pyakurel S et al. Scaling Up Safer Birth Bundle Through Quality 589 590 Improvement in Nepal (SUSTAIN)—a stepped wedge cluster randomized controlled trial in public hospitals. Implement Sci. 2019;14(65). 591 28. Merrill R, Frutos A, Lyon J et al. The Role of Latter-day Saint Charities Towards the 592 593 Establishment of Needed National Neonatal Resuscitation Programmes in Resource Poor Countries. J Int Dev. 2020;33(1):3-15. 594 29. Province 2 Profile [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2022 Mar 22]. Available from: 595 https://un.org.np/sites/default/files/doc publication/2020-07/Province%202.pdf 596 597 30. Government of Nepal, Ministry of Health. Nepal Health Infrastructure Development Standars 2017 [Internet], Ramshahpath, Kathmandu; 2017 [cited 2022 Apr 3], Available 598 from: https://dohs.gov.np/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/Health-Infrastructure-Development-599 Standards-2074-BS-Unofficial-Translation-Volume-4.pdf 600 601 31. Posten H. Robustness of the Two-Sample T-Test. In: Robustness of Statistical Methods and Nonparametric Statistics Theory and Decision Library [Internet]. Dordrecht: Springer; 602 1984. p. 92–9. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-6528-7 23 603 32. Arabi AME, Ibrahim SA, Manar AR, Abdalla MS, Ahmed SE, Dempsey EP, et al. Perinatal 604 605 outcomes following Helping Babies Breathe training and regular peer-peer skills practice among village midwives in Sudan. Arch Dis Child. 2018;103(1):24. 606 33. Innerdal M, Simaga I, Diall H, Eielsen M, Niermeyer S, Eielsen O, et al. Reduction in 607 Perinatal Mortality after Implementation of HBB Training at a District Hospital in Mali. J 608 609 Trop Pediatr. 2020;66(3):315-21. 34. Patel A, Bang A, Kurhe K, Bhargav S, Prakash A, Arramraj S, et al. Comparison of perinatal 610 outcomes in facilities before and after Global Network's Helping Babies Breathe 611 Implementation Study in Nagpur, India. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2019;19(1):324. 612 613 35. Goudar S, Somannavar M, Clark R, Lockyer J, Revankar A, Fidler H, et al. Stillbirth and Newborn Mortality in India After Helping Babies Breathe Training. Pediatrics. 614 2013;131(2):e344-52. 615 36. Mduma E, Ersdal H, Svensen E, Kidanto H, Auestad B, Perlman J. Frequent brief on-site 616 simulation training and reduction in 24-h neonatal mortality—An educational intervention 617 study. Resuscitation. 2015;93:1-7. 618 37. Wrammert J, KC A, Ewald U, Målqvist M. Improved postnatal care is needed to maintain 619 gains in neonatal survival after the implementation of the Helping Babies Breathe initiative. 620 Acta Paediatr. 2017;106:1280-5. 621 38. Perlman JM, Velaphi S, Massawe A, Clarke R, Merali HS, Ersdal H. Achieving Country-622 Wide Scale for Helping Babies Breathe and Helping Babies Survive. Pediatrics. 623 2020;146(Supplement 2):S194-207. 624

- 39. Clark R, Davis S. Helping Babies Breathe in Nepal: Results of a Telephone Debriefing of
 Master Trainers. Ann Pediatr Child Health. 2018;6(3):1150.
- 40. Mubeen K, Baig M, Abbas S, Adnan F, Lakhani A, Bhamani SS, et al. Helping babies
 breathe: assessing the effectiveness of simulation-based high-frequency recurring training
 in a community-based setting of Pakistan. BMC Pediatr. 2021 Dec;21(1):555.
- 41. Smith JM, de Graft-Johnson J, Zyaee P, Ricca J, Fullerton J. Scaling up high-impact
 interventions: How is it done? Int J Gynecol Obstet. 2015 Jun;130:S4–10.
- 42. KC N, Dhungana R, Gamboa E, Davis S, Visick M, Clark R. Newborn resuscitation scale up
 and retention program associated with improved neonatal outcomes in western Nepal.
- 634


635 Figures and Tables

- 636 S1 Table. Overview of Madhesh Province. *S1_Table.docx*
- 637 S1 Fig. Newborn resuscitation training and skill retention program algorithm. *S1_Fig.tif*
- 638 S2 Table. Outcomes by facility type. S2_Table.docx
- 639 **S3** Table. T-test and suitability of dependent sample t-test. *S3_Table.docx*
- S2 Fig. The run chart depicting the association of HBB program on neonatal mortality after
 24 hours birth over the program period. S2_Fig.tif
- S3 Fig. The run chart depicting the association of HBB program on intrapartum still birth
 over the program period. *S3_Fig.tif*
- 644 **S4** Fig. The run chart depicting the association of HBB program on sick newborns 645 transferred from the maternity/pediatric unit over the program period. *S4 Fig.tif*

646




Neonatal deaths <24 hours




S2_Fig.tif

Intrapartum Stillbirths



Sick newborns transferred from maternity unit



S4_Fig.tif