Comparing the predictive power of machine learning and semi-mechanistic models of endemic measles dynamics

Max SY Lau^{a,*}, Alex Becker^b, Lance Waller^a, Jessica Metcalf^b, Bryan Grenfell^b

^aDepartment of Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University,
 USA; ^bDepartment of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Princeton University, USA

7 * *Corresponding author*

8 Abstract

3

9 Measles is one the best-documented and most-mechanistically-studied non-linear infectious 10 disease dynamical systems. However, systematic investigation into the comparative performance 11 of traditional mechanistic models and machine learning approaches in forecasting the 12 transmission dynamics of this pathogen are still rare. Here, we compare one of the most widely 13 used semi-mechanistic models for measles (TSIR) with a commonly used machine learning 14 approach (LASSO), comparing performance and limits in predicting short to long term outbreak 15 trajectories and seasonality for both regular and less regular measles outbreaks in England and 16 Wales (E&W) and the United States. First, our results indicate that the proposed LASSO model 17 can efficiently use data from multiple major cities and achieve similar short-to-medium term 18 forecasting performance to semi-mechanistic models for E&W epidemics. Second, interestingly, 19 the LASSO model also captures annual to biennial bifurcation of measles epidemics in E&W 20 caused by susceptible response to the late 1940s baby boom. LASSO may also outperform TSIR 21 for predicting less-regular dynamics such as those observed in major cities in US between 1932-22 45. Although both approaches capture short-term forecasts, accuracy suffers for both methods as 23 we attempt longer-term predictions in highly irregular, post-vaccination outbreaks in E&W. 24 Finally, we illustrate that the LASSO model can both qualitatively and quantitatively reconstruct 25 mechanistic assumptions, notably susceptible dynamics, in the TSIR model. Our results 26 characterize the limits of predictability of infectious disease dynamics for strongly immunizing 27 pathogens with both mechanistic and machine learning models, and identify connections

28 between these two approaches.

29 Introduction

30 Mechanistic and semi-mechanistic models have been foundational in developing an

- 31 understanding of the spread of infectious diseases in human and wildlife populations. These
- 32 models approximately depict how pathogen transmission is shaped by population dynamics (e.g.,
- 33 how transmission is reduced by herd immunity). Such modeling approaches are essential for
- 34 understanding the natural history of pathogens transmission and providing insights into
- 35 designing effective control strategies. While models such as the Susceptible-Infected-Recovered
- 36 framework are mechanistically well-understood, calibrating them against stochastic, and often
- 37 partially unobserved, incidence or mortality data is a steep statistical challenge. The primary
- 38 focus of mechanistic models has been *understanding and characterizing* the natural history of
- 39 transmission. In contrast to their mechanistic counterparts, implementations of statistical and
- 40 machine learning techniques in infectious disease modeling have primarily focused on improving
- 41 *forecasting* accuracy without the explicit aim of inferring transmission dynamics. Such

42 approaches have grown in popularity in recent years¹⁻⁵, and they also have a long pedigree in 43 terms of using statistical approaches to study measles dynamics ^{6,7}.

- 44 Patterns of pre- and post-vaccination measles incidence are among the most well-documented,
- 45 and well-studied, non-linear systems in ecology. A suite of analyses using deterministic and
- 46 stochastic (semi-) mechanistic models have illuminated how the interplay between seasonal
- 47 forcing and susceptible recruitment shape dynamics in large urban populations ⁸, ranging from
- 48 simple limit cycles to coexisting attractors ^{8,9}, and even chaos with the domination of stochastic
- 49 extinction in small highly vaccinated populations^{10,11}. A focus of previous analysis has been
- 50 detailed weekly spatio-temporal notifications of measles from England and Wales (E&W),
- 51 interpreted with the TSIR model and other inferential approaches, notably particle filtering ^{12,13}.
- 52 While partially mechanistic approaches for measles dynamics are being explored ^{14–16}, a more
- 53 comprehensive comparison between mechanistic and fully statistical approaches is still lacking.
- 54 Such comparisons would yield insight into the choice of most appropriate modeling techniques
- 55 given different patterns of data. Measles is an excellent test bed for these questions, given that
- we have both rich historical notification time series and successful applications of mechanistic
- 57 and semi-mechanistic models.
- 58 In this paper, we explore and compare forecasting capability of these two contrasting approaches

59 for both regularly periodic and relatively irregular recurrent measles epidemics in England and

60 Wales between 1944-1994 and in the US between 1932-45. We consider both a stochastic semi-

- 61 mechanistic TSIR model and a fully-statistical model using a popular machine learning (ML)
- 62 approach (Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator, the LASSO).
- 63 Our results suggest that the proposed LASSO model, compared to the TSIR model, can
- 64 efficiently use data from multiple major cities and achieve similar short-to-medium term
- 65 forecasting performance for more regular measles outbreaks in E&W during the pre-vaccination
- 66 era (1944-1964). Strikingly, even when trained solely on data with an annual cycle, forecasts in
- 67 our LASSO framework capture the characteristic annual to biennial bifurcation 1950 driven by a
- decline in birth rates. When important demographic information (such as the birth rate data) is
- not included, the LASSO model still performs reasonably well, likely due to the fact these
- 70 dynamics may have been implicitly incorporated within the approach (see Models and Methods).
- 71 LASSO may also outperform the TSIR for predicting less-regular dynamics such as those
- 72 observed in major cities in the US between 1932-45. Although both approaches capture short-
- term forecasts, accuracy suffers for both methods as we attempt longer-term predictions in highly
- 74 irregular, post-vaccination epidemics in E&W. Overall, our results show that fitting a LASSO
- model may both qualitatively and quantitatively rediscover major mechanistic assumptions in the
- 76 TSIR model. These insights inform the limits of predictability, and the connections of both
- approaches in infectious disease dynamics for fully-immunizing pathogens.
- 78

79 Results

80 Forecasting measles outbreaks in England and Wales

81 Measles dynamics pre- and post- the introduction of mass-vaccination program are particularly

- 82 well-documented from historical notifications in England and Wales ¹⁷. Before widespread
- 83 vaccination in the late 60s, measles epidemics in E&W were characterized by highly regular

- 84 periodic (often biennial) cycles in large cities (see Figure 1 showing outbreaks in London).
- Analyses often focus on populations at, or above, the Critical Community Size (i.e., the endemic
- threshold, CCS) of approximately 300,000 individuals ¹⁸. We follow this precedent here and
- 87 focus on modeling eight major cities, each with a population above the CCS: namely, London,
- 88 Liverpool, Birmingham, Manchester, Nottingham, Bristol, Leeds and Sheffield. Although
- 89 dynamics were highly-consistent in the pre-vaccine era, the introduction of measles vaccination
- 90 in 1968 led to reduction in both epidemic size and regularity.



92 Figure 1: Long-term dynamics of measles in London. Bi-week incidence of measles cases in

- London (1944-94) and time series of vaccination. Following the widespread vaccination in the
 late 60s, the epidemics shifted from highly regular cycles to largely irregular dynamics.
- 95 We fit both the LASSO model and the TSIR model (see *Models and Methods*) to the measles
- 96 epidemics in those major cities in the pre-vaccination era, using the data from 1944-51 for model
- 97 training. We illustrate our findings by comparing two approaches in predicting epidemics in
- 98 London. Figure 2 and Figure S1 shows that the TSIR model can predict the epidemic reasonably
- 99 accurately in short to medium-term. In particular, while both approaches are able to capture the
- 100 trends of the epidemic trajectories, their accuracy generally decline from 8-biweeks in the future.
- 101 Although direct inference of a single location (e.g., London) is relatively straightforward in the
- 102 TSIR framework, incorporating incidence from multiple locations is challenging ^{9,17}. The
- 103 LASSO model, when incorporating all the available incidence data from the major cities, is able
- to achieve similar performance (Figure S3 also shows that TSIR clearly outperforms LASSO
- 105 when only London data is used for model training).
- 106
- 107 Birth rate is an important variable for mechanistic models including the TSIR model as it
- 108 governs the rate of the replenishment of susceptible population (see also Models and Methods).
- 109 One common feature of the E&W dataset is an observed bifurcation from annual to
- 110 predominantly biennial dynamics due to the "Baby Boom" in the late 40s. The impact of this
- 111 demographic shift was particularly strong; dynamics remained biennial until the transient post-
- 112 vaccination era starting in the late 60s. We found that the LASSO model forecasts identified and
- captured the bifurcation reasonably well (Figure 3). Interestingly, we find that the ability of
- 114 LASSO in predicting the bifurcation remains very similar even without the knowledge of births,
- the primary causal driver of this dynamic perturbation (Figure S2). By heuristically deriving the
- 116 connections between TSIR and LASSO (see Models and Methods), we show that the impacts of
- 117 births and susceptibles may have been implicitly incorporated by the LASSO.
- 118 Turning to the post-1968 vaccine era, both approaches appear to be able to predict highly
- 119 irregular epidemic trajectories in the short-term among the highly vaccinated populations, but
- 120 struggle beyond 4-biweek ahead predictions (Figure 4).





122 *Figure 2: Out of sample predictions for measles in London. A subset of* 1 *to* 52th*-biweek ahead* 123 out-of-sample (i.e., period excluding data in the training set) predictions from our LASSO model 124 and the TSIR model, for pre-vaccination measles epidemics in London from 1944-64. For kth-125 step ahead predictions, the incidence at a particular time point t was predicted using the LASSO model or TSIR model conditional on observations up between time $t - k - t_{lag}$ and t - k126 where we use $t_{lag} = 130$ (see Models and Methods). Data between 1944-51 (from 8 places 127 128 whose average population sizes are greater than the critical community size 300,000) are used 129 to train a model. Dots indicate the observed incidences. (a) Comparisons of predicted epidemic 130 trajectories; (b) Comparisons of the mean absolute prediction error ¹⁹ in ratio (i.e., the absolute

- *difference between the predicted and observed value divided by the observed value); (c)*
- *Comparisons of the trends (summarized by the correlation) between the predicted and observed*
- *trajectories.* Although predictions made by the TSIR appear to be more volatile, performance of
- 134 the two approaches are comparable and they both show the general trend of decreasing
- *performance as steps increases.*



Figure 3: Power spectra of the out of sample predictions for measles in London using the LASSO
model. Here we use data between 1944-46 data to train the LASSO models. LASSO successfully
captures both measles periodicity and bifurcation timing (1950). For each figure, red indicates
regions of identified periodicity. Black contours indicate 95% confidence intervals. Notably, the

144 LASSO model captures the 1950 bifurcation from annual to biennial dynamics starting in 1950.





146 Figure 4: 1 to 8th-biweek ahead out-of-sample predictions from our LASSO model and the TSIR

147 model, for measles epidemics in London during the vaccination era from 1985-94. Data between

148 1970-80 (from 8 places whose average population sizes are greater than the critical community

size 300,000) are used to train a LASSO model. Note that here we do not compare the prediction

150 error via a ratio (see Figure 2) because observed incidences (the denominator) are often zero in

the time period. 151

152

153 Forecasting pre-vaccination measles outbreaks in the US

154 In the previous section we illustrated how both the TSIR and the LASSO model can successfully

155 capture key traits (e.g., epidemic size and periodicity) of the observed E&W time series data.

156 However, a rich analytical literature has illustrated the relative dynamic stability of the E&W

157 data (i.e., Lyapunov Exponent < 0)^{8,9}. We now turn our attention to a set of incidence data

158 corresponding to more challenging chaotic dynamics (i.e., Lyapunov Exponent > 0). One such 159 source of data comes from the United States. In contrast to E&W, the US city pre-vaccination

160 measles data exhibit signatures of deterministic chaos¹¹. Here, we examine the comparative

ability for our LASSO model to predict chaotic dynamics. Fitting both models to the US data, we

found the LASSO model may outperform the TSIR model's ability to capture both the amplitude

and trend for the outbreak in New York (Figure 5). Similar results can be found for other US

164 major cities (Figure S4).



165

166 *Figure 5: Short-term predictability for irregular dynamics.* 1 to 8th-biweek ahead out-of-sample

167 predictions from our LASSO model and the TSIR model, for measles epidemics in New York from

168 1932-45. Data between 1932-40 (from 7 major cities which also have non-missing incidence

- 169 *data during this period) are used to train the LASSO.*
- 170

172 Reconstructing the TSIR mechanism via the LASSO model

173

174 Our E&W results show that our LASSO model may reconstruct/rediscover some of the

175 underlying assumptions in the TSIR model. The TSIR model (see also Models and Methods)

assumes that the mean incidence at time t is governed by the product of incidence and S_{t-1} the

177 number of susceptibles at the previous time step, i.e.,

178
$$E(I_t) = \beta_t I_{t-1}^{\alpha} S_{t-1},$$

179 where β_t is a seasonally repeating contact rate with 26 biweekly points per year. The exponent α

of the TSIR model captures heterogeneities in mixing, which is typically slightly less than 1 (e.g., 0.98). This formulation implies that I_t is expected to be largely positively associated with I_{t-1} , and less so with I_{t-k} for k > 1 (as larger I_{t-k} in general lead to larger degree of susceptible depletion and hence smaller S_{t-1}). Secondly, I_t and $I_{t-n\times 26}$ is also expected to have a positive association due the seasonality assumption embedded in β_t . Our LASSO model estimates appear to be able to largely capture these trends (i.e., susceptible depletion and seasonality) implied by the TSIR model (Figure 6). In particular, and our associated LASSO coefficient (of incidence at

187 the 1-biweek lag) is quantitatively capturing the exponent α in the TSIR model (Figure 6a). We

- 188 provide additional insights in the *Models and Methods* regarding how the LASSO model and the
- 189 TSIR model are interconnected.

190



191

192 Figure 6: Coefficients associated with lagged incidences in the LASSO model (Equation 4 in

193 Models and Methods), from fitting the one-step ahead model to the pre-vaccination E&W data.

194 Only non-zero LASSO coefficients are shown for clarity. The estimated LASSO model resembles

195 and discovers the TSIR model. (a) Coefficient for the most recent lagged incidence is

196 significantly larger (and positive) and most of the coefficients within one year (26 biweeks) are

197 *negative, which is consistent with the TSIR assumptions; moreover, the coefficient of the most*

198 recent lag is also quantitatively consistent with the value of the exponent parameter (slightly less

than 1) in the TSIR model. (b) Coefficients at 2 and 3-year lags are significantly larger (and

200 positive) compared to coefficients at other lags, consistent with the seasonality assumption of the

201 TSIR model. Coefficient at 1-year does not appear to have a positive association, possibly

202 because it is counterbalanced by the effect of susceptible depletion. Lagged incidences beyond 3

203 years do not appear to have systematic positive associations.

204

205 Discussion

206 Transmission of infectious diseases at the population-level is characterized by inherent, and often 207 complex, non-linear dynamics that are driven by intrinsic and extrinsic factors such as infectivity 208 of pathogens, human behaviors and public health interventions, notably variable contact patterns 209 and vaccinations among host populations, and even environmental factors. Mechanistic and 210 semi-mechanistic models provide biologically plausible and directly interpretable frameworks 211 for modeling such complex dynamics. In contrast, machine learning approaches primarily focus 212 on identifying patterns within the data to improve prediction and forecasting; they include no 213 specific mechanistic framing, and often lack biological interpretability. While machine learning 214 approaches have shown success in forecasting complex epidemiological systems (e.g., dengue 5). 215 comprehensive and long-term data for these pathogen-human interactions are often lacking, 216 making detailed methodological comparisons challenging. Here, we leverage unique time-series 217 data and a large body of work on semi-mechanistic modeling to develop a full comparison

218 between these approaches using measles as a test case.

219 Our results indicate that a LASSO-based machine learning model can efficiently leverage the

220 detailed historical measles incidence data from multiple locations in E&W to achieve short to

221 medium-term forecasting accuracy that is comparable to one of the mostly commonly used

mechanistic model for measles (the TSIR model). Interestingly, our results show that the LASSO

model performs similarly even without the knowledge of births that are required by the TSIR
 model. This suggests that the correlation/dependence structure between birth and incidence can

be "absorbed" by a parsimonious LASSO model that only considers historical incidence to infer

changes in temporal patterns without explicit knowledge of the cause of these changes (e.g.,

here, the impact of births on the underlying susceptible population size). As a result, the LASSO

228 model appears to be able to capture the bifurcation in dynamics in 1950, one of the key

properties of the measles outbreaks in E&W, without requiring the data driving the change in

230 pattern. We do, however, find that the LASSO forecasts are comparable to those from TSIR only

when all data from the major cities are used for model training (Figure S3). Moreover, while

both the LASSO model and the TSIR model do not work well for the highly chaotic dynamics

beyond short-term prediction, the LASSO approach may outperform TSIR in the scenarios with

a mixture of seasonal and mildly chaotic dynamics (as observed in historical outbreaks in the

major cities of US 11). Finally, our results also show that the LASSO model can

reconstruct/discover the mechanistic assumptions of the TSIR model (Figure 6).

237 Our results are consistent with recent work which shows that the TSIR model may be discovered

by some partially-mechanistic machine learning approaches that consider higher orders of

polynomial terms for transmission dynamics ¹⁴. Compared to their work, our work focuses on

out-of-sample prediction (as opposed to focusing on "discovery"). Also, while these approaches

require the knowledge of susceptible population (via some pre-processing procedures leveraging

TSIR), we do not require reconstructed susceptible population, creating a more explicit test of fully statistical approaches. The effect of susceptible depletion seems to be implicitly captured by

the non-positive LASSO coefficient values associated with the more recent lags within the

previous year (see Figure 6a and Models and Methods). This feature is likely to explain why the

246 LASSO model may perform reasonably well despite lacking explicit knowledge of

- 247 births/susceptibles.
- 248 This analysis represents an initial step and there are several clear directions for future work:
- while we compared one of the most successful mechanistic models for measles (the TSIR) with a
- 250 commonly used machine learning approach (the LASSO), other machine learning approaches
- 251 (e.g., neural-network based models) may yield different results. In particular, recent theoretical
- work ^{20–22} has demonstrated excellent predictability for simulated deterministic chaotic systems
- 253 using a network-based machine learning approach ("reservoir computing"). Such variants could
- also be extended to and tested on the stochastic measles outbreaks that we consider. Despite this,
- it is worth noting that the LASSO approach is relatively interpretable compared to many other
- 256 machine learning approaches, and thus seems a sensible starting point here. Finally, while our 257 preliminary simulation studies (Figure S5) further illustrate the predictive power of the LASSO
- 257 preliminary simulation studies (Figure S5) further illustrate the predictive power of the LASSO 258 model for measles epidemics, more extensive simulation studies including the investigations of
- explicitly leveraging spatial information of the epidemics may be considered for future
- 260 directions. These investigations may shed light on, for example, how machine learning
- approaches may best complement mechanistic models for modelling less populated places whose
- 262 dynamics are known to be more stochastically-driven.

263 Models and Methods

264 A mechanistic modelling approach: the TSIR model

We model the local measles dynamics using the time-series-Susceptible-Infected-Recovered
(TSIR) framework. Balancing births against disease transmission, the TSIR equations are given
as

268
$$E(I_{t+1}) = \beta_{t+1} \times I_t^{\alpha} \times S_t \quad (1)$$

- 269 and
- 270 $S_{t+1} = B_{t+1} + S_t I_{t+1} \quad (2),$

Where I_t and S_t are the number of incident and susceptible individuals in a given biweek t, and 271 272 B_t refers to the number of births in a given biweek, β_t is a seasonally repeating contact rate with 26 values per year, and the exponent α (typically slightly less than 1) captures heterogeneities in 273 mixing that were not explicitly modelled by the seasonality ^{23,2414,15} and the effects of 274 275 discretization of the underlying continuous time process. The TSIR estimates obtained in this 276 manuscript used the recently developed tsiR package ²⁵. Specifically, in our analysis, α is fixed to be 0.98²⁶ and a Gaussian process regression is performed between cumulative cases and 277 278 cumulative births. Parameter estimates were obtained for each location for each time period of 279 interest. A more extensive description of the TSIR fitting process in terms of theory and implementation can be found in ^{23,25}. 280

281 A Machine learning approach: the LASSO model

We consider modelling incidence *k*-step ahead of time *t* for place *i* (i.e., $I_{i,t+k}$) as a linear combination of log-transformed historical local incidence and births. Specifically, we consider

11

284
$$\log\left(E(I_{i,t+k})\right) = \eta + \sum_{J=1}^{T_{lag}} \psi_J \times \log(I_{i,t-J} + 1) + \gamma \times \log\left(\bar{B}_{i,(t-T_{lag}):t} + 1\right) \quad (4)$$

where $\bar{B}_{i,t-T_{lag}:t}$ denotes the average of births of the previous T_{lag} biweeks. We consider bi-285

weekly data and two-year forecasting windows k = 0, 1, ..., 52 and $T_{lag} = 130$. A separate 286

- 287 model is fitted for each forecast window k, using Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection
- 288 Operator (LASSO) regression ²⁷. LASSO is a machine learning technique that simultaneously
- performs estimations of the regression coefficients $\mathbf{\theta} = (\eta, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{T_{lag}}, \gamma)$ and variable 289
- 290 selection by shrinking some of the smallest estimated coefficients towards zero. LASSO holds
- 291 the property of variable selection as it allows a coefficient to be shrunk to *exactly* zero. 292
- Compared to the traditional regression technique the Least Squares Estimates (LSE), this
- 293 shrinkage process has the effect of significantly reducing variance of model prediction and is the 294 key for improving model fit. We also consider a LASSO model without explicit inclusion of the
- 295 births (the last term in Equation 4).

296 In particular, LASSO estimates $\hat{\theta}$ are the values of coefficients that minimize an objective 297 function

298
$$\sum_{t=1}^{n} \left(y_{i,t+k} - \eta - \sum_{k=1}^{p} \theta_k \times x_{t,k} \right)^2 + \lambda \times \sum_{k=1}^{p} |\theta_k| \qquad (5)$$

where $y_{i,t+k}$ is the response variable, $p = 2 \times T_{lag}$ and *n* is the number of observations. Note 299

that for clarity we have used θ_k to denote the k^{th} coefficient in $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ (not including the intercept η) 300 and $x_{t,k}$ to denote the covariate associated with it. The penalty term $\lambda \times \sum_{k=1}^{p} |\theta_k|$ serves as the 301

302 machinery to allow shrinkage of the coefficient estimates, i.e., the larger the value of λ , the

303 greater the effect of shrinkage. Shrinkage significantly reduces variances of predictions, but at

304

the cost of slight increase in bias – and tuning of λ is critical for achieving an 'optimum' (often 305 measured by the test mean squared error) among this bias-variance trade-off. We used ten-fold

- 306 cross-validation to identify the optimal value of λ .

307 **Reconstructing the TSIR mechanism via the LASSO model**

308 In this section, we provide additional insights into how our LASSO model can

- 309 reconstruct/discover a TSIR model. Taking the log of both sides of TSIR model (Equation 1), we
- 310 have

$$\begin{split} log(l_{t+1}) &= log(\beta_{t+1}) + \alpha \times log(l_t) + log(S_t) \\ &= log(\beta_{t+1}) + \alpha \times log(l_t) + log\{\sum_{k=1}^{t-1} (B_k - I_k)\} \text{ (assuming } S_1 = 0) \\ &= log(\beta_{t+1}) + \alpha \times log(I_t) + log\{\sum_{k=1}^{t-1} B_k - \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} I_k\} \\ &= log(\beta_{t+1}) + \alpha \times log(I_t) + log\{\sum_{k=1}^{t-1} B_k \times (1 - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{t-1} I_k}{\sum_{k=1}^{t-1} B_k})\} \\ &= log(\beta_{t+1}) + \alpha \times log(I_t) + log\{\sum_{k=1}^{t-1} B_k\} + log\{1 - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{t-1} I_k}{\sum_{k=1}^{t-1} B_k}\} \\ &\approx log(\beta_{t+1}) + \alpha \times log(I_t) + log\{\sum_{k=1}^{t-1} B_k\} - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{t-1} I_k}{\sum_{k=1}^{t-1} B_k} \text{ (assuming } I_k \text{ is much smaller than } B_k) \\ &= log(\beta_{t+1}) + \alpha \times log(I_t) + c \times \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} I_k + log\{\sum_{k=1}^{t-1} B_k\}. \end{split}$$

312 Note that, under the TSIR, we have $\alpha \ge 0$ (and slightly less than 1) and $c \le 0$, which

313 respectively indicate positive association and negative association of the corresponding lagged

- 314 incidence I_k with the current incidence. The negative association indicated by the parameter c
- may implicitly capture the impact of susceptible depletion. Should the LASSO model (Equation

4) resemble the TSIR, we would expect to see a tendency towards positive coefficients ψ_J

317 associated with the most recent lagged incidence (corresponding to the positive α value) and

318 non-positive coefficients at other lagged incidence (corresponding to the non-positive *c* value).

Also note that historical births may be absorbed in the intercept term of the LASSO model.

320 We stress that we are not aiming to draw close equivalence between the TSIR and our LASSO

321 model. Instead, this framing aims to provide some insights into the question that how these two

322 modeling approaches may be interconnected heuristically.

323

311

324 References

- 325 1. Dairi, A., Harrou, F., Zeroual, A., Hittawe, M. M. & Sun, Y. Comparative study of machine
- 326 learning methods for COVID-19 transmission forecasting. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*
- **118**, 103791 (2021).
- 328 2. Guo, P. et al. Developing a dengue forecast model using machine learning: A case study in
- 329 China. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **11**, e0005973 (2017).
- 330 3. Kim, J. & Ahn, I. Infectious disease outbreak prediction using media articles with machine
- 331 learning models. *Sci Rep* **11**, 4413 (2021).

- 4. Jiang, F., Zhao, Z. & Shao, X. Time series analysis of COVID-19 infection curve: A change-
- 333 point perspective. *J Econom* (2020) doi:10.1016/j.jeconom.2020.07.039.
- 5. Chen, Y. et al. Neighbourhood level real-time forecasting of dengue cases in tropical urban
- 335 Singapore. *BMC Medicine* **16**, 129 (2018).
- 336 6. Ellner, S. P. et al. Noise and Nonlinearity in Measles Epidemics: Combining Mechanistic and
- 337 Statistical Approaches to Population Modeling. *The American Naturalist* **151**, 425–440
- 338 (1998).
- 339 7. Olsen, L. F. & Schaffer, W. M. Chaos versus noisy periodicity: alternative hypotheses for
- 340 childhood epidemics. *Science* **249**, 499–504 (1990).
- 8. Grenfell, B. T., Bjørnstad, O. N. & Finkenstädt, B. F. Dynamics of Measles Epidemics:
- Scaling Noise, Determinism, and Predictability with the Tsir Model. *Ecological Monographs*72, 185–202 (2002).
- 344 9. Becker, A. D., Wesolowski, A., Bjørnstad, O. N. & Grenfell, B. T. Long-term dynamics of
- 345 measles in London: Titrating the impact of wars, the 1918 pandemic, and vaccination. *PLOS*
- 346 *Computational Biology* **15**, e1007305 (2019).
- 347 10. Ferrari, M. J. *et al.* The dynamics of measles in sub-Saharan Africa. *Nature* 451, 679–684
 348 (2008).
- 349 11. Dalziel, B. D. et al. Persistent Chaos of Measles Epidemics in the Prevaccination United
- 350 States Caused by a Small Change in Seasonal Transmission Patterns. *PLOS Computational*
- 351 *Biology* **12**, e1004655 (2016).
- 352 12. Ionides, E. L., Bretó, C. & King, A. A. Inference for nonlinear dynamical systems.
- 353 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **103**, 18438–18443 (2006).

- 354 13. King, A. A., Nguyen, D. & Ionides, E. L. Statistical Inference for Partially Observed
- 355 Markov Processes via the R Package pomp. J. Stat. Soft. 69, (2016).
- 356 14. Horrocks, J. & Bauch, C. T. Algorithmic discovery of dynamic models from infectious
- 357 disease data. *Sci Rep* **10**, 7061 (2020).
- 358 15. Mangan, N. M., Kutz, J. N., Brunton, S. L. & Proctor, J. L. Model selection for
- 359 dynamical systems via sparse regression and information criteria. *Proceedings of the Royal*

360 Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 473, 20170009 (2017).

- 361 16. Brunton, S. L., Proctor, J. L. & Kutz, J. N. Discovering governing equations from data by
- 362 sparse identification of nonlinear dynamical systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
- 363 *Sciences* **113**, 3932–3937 (2016).
- 17. Lau, M. S. Y. et al. A competing-risks model explains hierarchical spatial coupling of
- 365 measles epidemics en route to national elimination. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 1–6 (2020)
- doi:10.1038/s41559-020-1186-6.
- 367 18. Bartlett, M. S. Measles Periodicity and Community Size. *Journal of the Royal Statistical*368 *Society. Series A (General)* 120, 48–70 (1957).
- 369 19. MAPE (mean absolute percentage error)MEAN ABSOLUTE PERCENTAGE ERROR
- 370 (MAPE). in *Encyclopedia of Production and Manufacturing Management* (ed. Swamidass, P.
- 371 M.) 462–462 (Springer US, 2000). doi:10.1007/1-4020-0612-8_580.
- 372 20. Pathak, J., Lu, Z., Hunt, B. R., Girvan, M. & Ott, E. Using Machine Learning to
- 373 Replicate Chaotic Attractors and Calculate Lyapunov Exponents from Data. *Chaos* 27,
- **374 121102** (2017).

375	21.	Pathak, J., Hunt, B., Girvan, M., Lu, Z. & Ott, E. Model-Free Prediction of Large	
376	Spatiotemporally Chaotic Systems from Data: A Reservoir Computing Approach. Phys. Rev.		
377	Le	<i>tt.</i> 120 , 024102 (2018).	
378	22.	Pathak, J. et al. Hybrid Forecasting of Chaotic Processes: Using Machine Learning in	
379	Conjunction with a Knowledge-Based Model. Chaos 28, 041101 (2018).		
380	23.	Finkenstädt, B. F. & Grenfell, B. T. Time series modelling of childhood diseases: a	
381	dynamical systems approach. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series C (Applied		
382	Statistics) 49, 187–205 (2000).		
383	24.	Bjørnstad, O. N., Finkenstädt, B. F. & Grenfell, B. T. Dynamics of Measles Epidemics:	
384	Estimating Scaling of Transmission Rates Using a Time Series Sir Model. Ecological		
385	Monographs 72, 169–184 (2002).		
386	25.	Becker, A. D. & Grenfell, B. T. tsiR: An R package for time-series Susceptible-Infected-	
387	Recovered models of epidemics. PLOS ONE 12, e0185528 (2017).		
388	27.	Tibshirani, R. Regression Shrinkage and Selection via the Lasso. Journal of the Royal	
389	Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological) 58, 267–288 (1996).		
390	28.	Xia, Y. Measles metapopulation dynamics: a gravity model for epidemiological coupling	
391	and dynamics. AMER NATURALIST 164, 267–281 (2004).		
392	29.	Erlander, S. & Stewart, N. F. The Gravity Model in Transportation Analysis: Theory and	
393	Extensions. (VSP, 1990).		
394			

SI: Comparing the predictive power of machine learning and semi-mechanistic models of endemic measles dynamics

Max SY Lau^a, Alex Becker^b, Lance Waller^a, Jessica Metcalf^b, and Bryan Grenfell^b

^aDepartment of Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University

^bDepartment of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Princeton University, USA

Contents

1	Supplemetary Figures	1
	Figure S1: Out-of-sample predictions made by the LASSO model for the major cities in E&W	1
	Figure S2: Power spectra of the out of sample predictions for measles in London using the LASSO model	
	without using birth data.	1
	Figure S3: Out-of-sample predictions made by the LASSO model for London, using only data from London	
	for training.	1
	Figure S4: Out-of-sample predictions made by the LASSO model for the major cities in US	1
	Figure S5: Simulation studies	1

1 Supplementary Figures



Fig. S1: A subset of 1 to 52^{th} -biweek ahead *out-of-sample* (i.e. period excluding data in the training set) predictions from our LASSO model and the TSIR model, for pre-vaccination measles epidemics in 8 places whose the average population sizes are greater than the critical community size 300,000 from 1944-64.



Fig. S2: Power spectra of the out of sample predictions for measles in London using the LASSO model (without using birth data in the LASSO model).



Fig. S3: A subset of 1 to 52^{th} -biweek ahead *out-of-sample* (i.e. period excluding data in the training set) predictions from our LASSO model and the TSIR model, for pre-vaccination measles epidemics in London from 1944-64. Data between 1944-51 (*from London only*) are used to train the LASSO models.



Fig. S4: A subset of 1 to 8th-biweek ahead out-of-sample predictions from our LASSO model and the TSIR model, for measles epidemics in 7 major cities in US from 1932-45. Data between 1932-40 are used to train the LASSO models.



Fig. S5: Simulation studies. Our LASSO model is fitted to epidemics generated from a TSIR model. Specifically, local dynamics are simulated from the estimated TSIR model using the pre-vaccination E&W dataset. Using first half of the data for training, our LASSO model can reasonably well predict the outbreak trajectory.