Differences in Dietary Intake Exist Among U.S. Adults by Diabetic Status Using NHANES 2009-2016

Luotao Lin¹, Fengqing Zhu², Edward J. Delp², Heather A. Eicher-Miller^{1*}

- ¹Department of Nutrition Science, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, United States;
- ²School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, United

5 States.

1 2 3

6 7

10

13 14

15

16

*Correspondence:

- 8 Heather Eicher-Miller
- 9 heicherm@purdue.edu
- Number of words: 3557Number of tables: 8
 - Keywords: insulin takers, food frequency, energy contribution, food category, diet choice, diabetes.
- 17 Abstract
- 18 Objectives:
- 19 Insulin takers' diets have rarely been described although insulin dosing is highly dependent on
- 20 dietary intake. The objective of this paper was to determine the most frequently consumed food
- or beverage items, food subcategories, and food categories, and those that contributed most to
- 22 total energy intake among U.S. insulin takers, those with type 2 diabetes (T2D) not taking insulin
- and those without diabetes; the groups were also compared at the broad food category level.
- 24 Methods:
- 25 The Laboratory tests and questionnaires of the NHANES 2009–2016 classified 774 insulin
- takers, 2,758 T2D not taking insulin, and 17,796 participants without diabetes. USDA assigned
- 8-digit food codes identifying each food item and its membership to a category and subcategory
- of foods were used to categorize foods based on the WWEIA categories. Raw and weighted
- 29 frequency and energy contributions of each food item, food subcategory, and food category were
- 30 calculated and ranked. Comparisons among groups by broad food category used the Rao-Scott
- 31 modified chi-square test.
- 32 Results:
- 33 Diet soft drinks ranked as the 4th and 7th most consumed food subcategory in insulin takers and
- T2D not taking insulin, respectively. Soft drinks ranked as the 8th and 6th most consumed food
- subcategory in T2D not taking insulin and those without diabetes, and contributed 5th and 2nd
- 36 most to energy, respectively. Protein foods represented 4 of the top 10 highest energy
- 37 contributing food subcategories among insulin takers, 3 of the top 10 food subcategories among
- those with T2D not taking insulin, and only 1 subcategory among those without diabetes. Insulin
- 39 takers had higher consumption frequency of grains, and lower consumption frequency of sweets
- and alcohol, and a larger share of energy comprising protein, vegetables, and grains, and a
- 41 smaller share of energy comprising beverages and alcohol compared to participants without
- 42 diabetes.
- 43 Conclusions:

Differences in dietary intake exist among U.S. adults by diabetic status. Insulin takers are likely to consume more protein foods and less regular soft drinks compared to other 2 groups. Lists of the most frequently reported foods and foods contributing most to energy may be helpful for nutrition education, prescribing diets, and digital-based dietary assessment for insulin takers.

Introduction 1

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

51

52

53

54 55

56

60

75

76

84

Diabetes is a chronic disease highly influenced by diet where individuals either do not produce enough insulin to regulate blood glucose level or they are not able to use the insulin they produce to effectively move blood glucose into body cells (1). Over time, diabetes can cause additional serious health problems including heart disease, vision loss, and kidney disease. About 34.2 million U.S. individuals (10.5% of the U.S. population) diabetes including type 1 (T1D), type 2 (T2D), and gestational diabetes in 2020 (2).

Both those with T1D and T2D may use injectable or oral insulin to control their blood glucose

57 levels. Proper insulin dosing to control blood glucose levels and reduce risk of severe

58 consequences is dependent on dietary intake, particularly the frequency and energy from

59 macronutrients: carbohydrate, protein, and fat (3,4). Thus, quantification of the foods comprising

dietary intake may be used to inform insulin dosing algorithms to enhance blood glucose level

control (5,6) and knowledge of the foods comprising overall dietary intake may also aid the 61

creation of meal plans, inform substitutions, and other interventions. Furthermore, it is likely that 62

63 diabetes status may differentiate food and beverage choice and intake among those using insulin,

64 T2D not using insulin, and those without diabetes as one strategy for glucose control is through 65

the avoidance of certain foods and monitored intake of carbohydrates, for example sugar-

66 sweetened soft drinks (7). However, very little information on the food intake of those taking 67

insulin or those with T2D not taking insulin is available (8–10).

Therefore, the objectives of this study were to (1) determine the most frequently consumed food 68 69

items, food subcategories, and food categories and the food items, food subcategories, and food

categories that contributed most to energy intake, and (2) compare the differences of the most 70 71

frequently consumed broad food categories and the broad food categories that contributed most

72 to energy intake among insulin takers, T2D participants not taking insulin, and participants 73

without diabetes among U.S. adults aged 18 years old or older using data from the National 74 Health And Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2009–2016.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 **Participants and Dataset**

- NHANES is a cross-sectional survey that is conducted by the National Center for Health 77
- 78 Statistics (NCHS) of the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to assess the health
- 79 and nutritional status of the non-institutionalized civilian population in the U.S. (11).
- 80 Participants' sociodemographic information was obtained via questionnaires during the in-person
- 81 household interview, which included age, sex, race/ethnicity, and poverty to income ratio (PIR).
- 82 The NCHS Research Ethics Review Board approved the survey and all participants consented to participate (12). 83

Analytic Sample 2.2

- NHANES 2009-2016 was used to include the most recent available dietary data and sufficient 85
- sample size. Adults in the United States aged 18 or older who had a reliable first 24-hour dietary 86
- recall, reliable laboratory test results, and valid answers to diabetic-related questions made up the 87
- 88 analytic sample.

89 NHANES diabetic-related questions and laboratory test results were used to divide the sample 90 into three groups: insulin takers, participants with diabetes not taking insulin, and participants without diabetes. The number of insulin takers was determined by the question "Are you/ is the 91 sample person now taking insulin?" Those participants with diabetes who were not taking 92 93 insulin were identified by subtracting those using insulin from the total number of participants 94 with diabetes. Diabetes was classified by self-reporting being told they had diabetes by a doctor 95 or taking medications that lowered glucose, or by fasting plasma glucose concentration ≥ 126 96 mg/dL or hemoglobin A1c \geq 6.5% (13). Since T1D was classified when a participant reported being diagnosed with diabetes before the age of 30 years old and reporting continuous insulin 97 98 use since diagnosis (14), insulin takers encompass all those with T1D, so participants with 99 diabetes not taking insulin is equal to participants with T2D not taking insulin. Those 100 participants without diabetes were identified by subtracting the number of participants with 101 diabetes from the sample. As a result, the study sample included 774 insulin takers, 2,758 T2D 102 who did not use insulin, and 17,796 participants who did not have diabetes.

Sociodemographic characteristics 2.3

103 104 Sociodemographic characteristics included survey year (2009-2010, 2011-2012, 2013-2014, and 105 2015-2016) and self-reported sex (male or female), race/ethnicity (grouped as Hispanic, non-106 Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, and other including multi-race), age (grouped as 18-34, 35-107 49, and 50-80 years), and PIR which was the reported household income divided by the federal 108 poverty guideline for household income, and grouped as 0-0.99 (below poverty threshold), 1-109 1.99, 2-2.99, 3-3.99, and 4-5 (15).

Dietary Assessment

110 The USDA Automated Multiple-Pass Method (16) was used to collect a dietary recall recorded 111 during the physical health examination where participants reported all of the foods consumed 112 113 during the previous 24-hour period, including information on the time of intake, amount and type 114 of each food, and detailed food descriptions (17). Each reported food item was then linked to the 115 USDA's Food and Nutrient Database for Dietary Studies (FNDDS) (18–21) to assign an 8-digit 116 food codes. The USDA food codes were also used to sort the reported foods into the What We 117 Eat in America food categories and subcategories (22). 118

2.5 **Statistical Analysis**

124

125

126

127 128

129

130 131

132

119 The Rao-Scott modified chi-square test was used to compare sociodemographic variables created 120 from the survey data among the three groups.

121 The weighted frequencies of reported foods were computed by determining the weighted sum of the number of food items, food subcategories, and food categories: 122

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (R_i W_i)$$

where n= the sample size, i= each participant, R_i = # of reports of individual food code for the ith participant, W_i = dietary day 1 sample weight for the ith participant (23.24). The number of reports of foods for each participant was multiplied by the dietary day 1 sample weight, and then summed to yield the total weighted frequency of the particular food or beverage for each respective group, which represented how often that food or beverage was consumed by the respective group in the U.S. in one day. The weighted frequency of the food or beverage was also divided by the total weighted frequency of all foods or beverages reported in a single day to get the weighted percent of the frequency of that food or beverage being consumed out of all reported foods and beverages consumed.

133 The weighted energy contribution of reported foods items, food subcategories, and food

categories were computed by determining the weighted sum as:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (E_i W_i)$$

where n= the sample size, i= each participant E_i =total energy for an individual food code for the

 i^{th} participant, W_i = dietary day 1 sample weight for the i^{th} participant (23,24). The energy

contributed by a particular food or beverage was first summed for each participant and then

- multiplied by the dietary day 1 sample weight of the participant, then summed for all participants
- in the sample for each group, which represented how much energy that food or beverage
- 141 contributed to the total energy for the respective group in the U.S. in one day. The weighted
- energy of the particular food or beverage was also divided by the total weighted energy from all
- reported foods and beverages to get the weighted percent of energy from that food or beverage
- out of the energy estimated from all reported foods and beverages.
- The Rao-Scott modified chi-square goodness-of-fit tests were used to compare the frequency and
- energy contribution comparisons of food terms among the three groups. Significantly differences
- were indicated as when p < 0.05/42 or p < 0.0012 using a Bonferroni type adjustment for
- multiple comparisons for food intake among 14 broad food categories \times 3 groups. Survey
- weights, or the reciprocal of sample inclusion probability, were used in all computations to allow
- 150 for inference to the non-institutionalized U.S. population. Further adjustments were performed to
- account for the clustering and stratification inherent to the survey design. All analyses were
- completed in SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc).

3 Results

3.1 Sociodemographic characteristics

- The sociodemographic characteristics of participants taking insulin, with T2D not taking insulin,
- and participants without diabetes are shown in **Table 1**. Sex, age, race/ethnicity, and PIR were
- significantly different among the three groups.
- Most insulin takers and those with T2D who were not using insulin were ages 50 to 80 years.
- Participants without diabetes were more evenly distributed in three age groups (18-34, 35-49, 50-
- 160 80). About half of the group using insulin and with T2D but not taking insulin had PIR <2.
- furthermore, 28.1% of insulin takers had PIR below the poverty threshold (PIR<1). The group
- without diabetes had higher incomes with more than 25% of participants having a PIR greater
- 163 than 4.

164

176

134

137

138

153

154

3.2 The most frequently consumed food items, food subcategories, and food categories

- The top 10 most frequently reported food items, food subcategories, and food categories for
- insulin takers, those with T2D not using insulin, and participants without diabetes are shown in
- 167 Tables 2-4. Foods are presented in descending weighted frequency order by their FNDDS short
- food descriptions. In frequency lists, 7 food items (Table 2), 6 food subcategories (Table 3), and
- 8 food categories (Table 4) are common across the three groups but their rankings were varied.
- Notably, diet soft drink was the 4th most frequently consumed food subcategory among insulin
- takers, the 7th among those with T2D not taking insulin, and did not appear in the top 10 food
- subcategory frequency list among those without diabetes (Table 3). Regular soft drink did not
- appear in the top 10 food subcategory frequency list for insulin takers, but was the 8th most
- frequently consumed food subcategory among those with T2D not taking insulin, and the 6th
- most frequently consumed food subcategory among participants without diabetes (Table3).
 - 3.3 The highest energy contributing food items, food subcategories, and food categories

The top 10 highest energy contributing food items, food subcategories, and food categories for 177 178 insulin takers, T2D not using insulin, and participants without diabetes are shown in **Tables 5-7**. 179 Foods are presented in descending weighted order according to their energy contribution by their 180 FNDDS short food descriptions. In energy contribution lists, 5 food items (Table 5), 6 food subcategories (Table 6), and 5 food categories (Table 7) were similar among the three groups but 181 their rankings were different. Regular soft drinks did not rank in the top 10 most energy 182 contributing food subcategories list among insulin takers, but was ranked 5th among those with 183 T2D who did not use insulin, and 2nd among participants without diabetes (Table 6). 184 Furthermore, insulin takers consumed less energy from food subcategories with added sugars 185 compared with the other two groups (cakes and pies were 6th and cookies and brownies 7th 186 among insulin takers; cakes and pies were 3rd and cookies and brownies 6th among those with 187 T2D not taking insulin; cookies and brownies were 8th and cakes and pies 9th among participants 188 189 without diabetes). More protein food subcategories ranked high within the top 10 list (whole pieces of chicken ranked 3rd place, eggs and omelets ranked 4th place, meat mixed dishes ranked 190 5th place, cold cuts and cured meats ranked 10th place) among insulin takers compared to the 191 other two groups (whole pieces of chicken ranked 4th place, eggs and omelets 9th place, meat 192 mixed dishes 10th place in those with T2D not using insulin, and whole pieces of chicken ranked 193 194 5th place in participants without diabetes) (Table 6). 195

Broad Food Categories Intake by Frequency and Energy Contribution

The results of the comparison of the frequency and energy contribution of the broad WWEIA food or beverage categories among the three groups are shown in Table 8. Broad categories of grains and alcohol were statistically significantly different in both intake frequency and energy contribution across the three groups. From insulin takers to T2D not using insulin to participants without diabetes, grains exhibited a decreasing trend in consumption frequency and energy contribution, while alcohol showed an increasing trend. Snacks / sweets were statistically significantly different in consumption frequency among the three groups, which showed an increasing trend from insulin takers to T2D not using insulin to participants without diabetes. Protein foods, vegetables, beverages, water, and other were statistically significantly different in energy contribution across the three groups. From insulin takers to participants without diabetes, protein foods and vegetables exhibited a decreasing trend in energy contribution, while beverages, water, and other showed an increasing trend.

Discussion

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215 216

217 218

219

220

221

222

Previously, few studies have investigated the diet of insulin takers (8–10) nor included consideration of intake contributions by both energy and frequency. To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate and compare the diets of insulin takers, those who have T2D but do not use insulin, and participants without diabetes. Participants with varying diabetes and insulin using status showed significant differences in both the frequency of which certain foods or beverages are consumed and the energy contributions attributed to certain foods or beverages. implying that insulin using status may be linked to dietary behaviors and the importance of certain foods or beverages in the overall diet. In some broad WWEIA food categories, the statistically significantly differences observed in the frequency were also observed in energy contribution. Both consumption frequency and energy contribution of grains (wheat bread) and alcoholic beverages (beer) were statistically significantly different among the three groups. The results also included statistically significant findings where either frequency or energy contribution differed among the groups but not both. Beverages, water, and the "other" (nutrition powder) broad food categories did not exhibit significantly different frequency of intake across

223224

225

226

227228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263264

265

266267

the three groups, but the share of energy contributed by these broad food categories was lower among insulin takers compared to participants without diabetes. The share of energy contributed by protein (eggs and omelets, cold cuts and cured meats) and vegetables (tomatoes and lettuce) was also greater among insulin takers compared with participants without diabetes. Snacks / Sweets had lower consumption frequency among insulin takers compared with participants without diabetes, but the energy intake was not significantly different across all three groups. In this study, insulin takers exhibited a pattern of "higher diet quality" regarding the foods they consumed frequently and that contributed most to energy compared to those with T2D not using insulin and participants without diabetes. Since older adults accounted for the majority of the insulin takers, this finding is consistent with previous studies showing that older adults have higher-quality diets (10,23,25,26). In addition, that insulin takers have a higher diet quality is also supported by a previous study (10), suggesting that those with diabetes who are using insulin engage in dietary behaviors promoting a more healthful mix of dietary intake compared with those who do not have diabetes and those with T2D. The group using insulin reported a higher consumption frequency of grains, energy contribution from grains, protein foods and vegetables; and lower consumption frequency of alcohol and sweets/snacks and energy contributed by beverages and alcohol compared to participants without diabetes. One explanation is that grains, protein foods, and vegetables have been promoted as foods to consume to help manage blood glucose control for those taking insulin. Carbohydrate intake is highly related to postprandial glycemia, whole grains are a source of carbohydrates that have a favorable influence on postprandial blood glucose levels (27). Protein foods may also aid dietary compliance and weight loss maintenance, which is beneficial for diabetes (28,29). Vegetables consumption has been associated with the improvement of diabetes due to benefits offered by the bioactive compounds comprising them including dietary fiber, resistant starch, antioxidant vitamins, and minerals (30–32), which may explain why insulin takers select a higher percentage of their calories from vegetables compared with those who do not have diabetes. Furthermore, alcohol, sweets/snacks, and beverages (heavily influenced by sweetened beverages) are foods that those taking insulin may try to avoid due to their negative impact on glycemic control. These foods that may add solid fats or added sugars and intake among all Americans should be reduced according to the Dietary Guidelines for American, 2020-2025 (33,34). Previous studies found that those with diabetes drink less alcohol compared to those without diabetes (35,36), which supports the findings here that those who used insulin and those with T2D not taking insulin, consumed less alcohol than participants without diabetes. Even though the long-term effect of alcohol consumption on glycemic management remains to be investigated (37), heavy alcohol consumption is associated with an increased incidence of diabetes (37) and alcohol consumption may be a negative indicator of diabetes self-care behavior (38). The findings related to lower frequency of sweets/snacks and beverages among those using insulin compared to those without diabetes is supported by a previous study where participants with diabetes consumed lower amounts of sweets and beverage (juice) compared to those without diabetes (36). Sweets/snacks and beverages especially sweetened beverages that heavily add to energy intake, are typically high in added sugar. Added sugar intake is not only a significant contributor to weight gain that can lead to increased risk of diabetes, but also increased dietary glycemic load and fructose metabolism that leads to inflammation and insulin resistance (39). However, it should be noted that the literature reviewed here includes studies focused on those with diabetes, not specifically those using insulin, due to the extremely limited evidence of dietary intake among those using

268 insulin (31,32,36). Therefore, the findings here should be further explored among those taking 269 insulin. 270 Limitations of this analysis may be due to the aggregation of foods to subcategories and 271 categories that may obscure specific food items or types of food that could be responsible for much of the differences among groups. The addition or removal of specific foods or beverages 272 273 from a food category has the potential to change statistically significant comparisons so the 274 results and interpretation should be viewed as one perspective on the dietary intake among these 275 three groups keeping in mind that analysis of alternative food categorization, splitting to 276 subcategories or itemization may yield differential results. However, the application of the 277 WWEIA food categories lends standardization and consistency with other reporting by USDA 278 and other researchers (40–42). In addition, the ranking lists (table 2-7) were generated from the 279 foods and beverages reported over all times of the day, so separating out the most frequently 280 consumed foods and foods that highly contributed to energy intake for different times or meals 281 of the day may be helpful to inform interventions or dosing algorithms as the timing of dietary intake and combinations of foods consumed at the same time influences glucose metabolism 282 283 (43–47). Furthermore, the NHANES dietary data this study used is only a one-day dietary recall 284 of the participants, which may not be accurate due to participants' memory and may not represent the usual dietary intake (48). Moreover, misreported dietary intake may have been 285 presented in this study sample resulting in errors in energy, frequency, food item, subcategory, 286 287 and category representation and comparisons (49). Those with diabetes and insulin takers may 288 exhibit different patterns of misreporting compared with other population groups (36). Previous 289 studies have shown that dietary intake misreporting and especially underreporting is more 290 prevalent in overweight and obese individuals compared to normal weight individuals (50–52), 291 and prevalence of underreporting in diabetic patients may be even higher (53), considering that 292 obesity is a risk factor of diabetes that 81% of diabetic patients are obese (54). Another previous 293 study pointed out that energy misreports are prone to misreport foods that highly contributed to 294 glycemic index and glycemic load such as underreporting foods including sugars, cookies, and 295 milk products and overreporting foods including fruit and vegetables (52). For future study, the 296 differences in misreporting by diabetic status should be evaluated. 297 There are several implications and applications for the results of this study. Diabetes is a chronic 298 disease that is highly related to diet (55). The lists of foods generated in this study may be used 299 to fill the gap in knowledge of the diets of U.S. adult insulin takers or diabetic patients (8,9), 300 specifically, menus and dietary interventions developed to improve diabetes management may be 301 informed by the lists. Although frequently consumed foods and those contributing most to 302 energy may not satisfy the preferences of every person, they are generally accepted as indicated 303 by their selection in the population. Those using insulin may be more likely to adhere to a diet 304 they accept, thus forming healthier eating habits. Second, the findings of this study may inform 305 future studies to gain a greater knowledge of the diets of those using insulin. For example, the 306 list of frequently consumed foods and those most contributing to energy may inform the 307 prioritization of foods and beverages to include in food frequency questionnaires. Third, the findings of this study may aid the development of nutrition assessment tools as researchers 308 309 should concentrate efforts on developing the most accurate identification methods for the foods 310 and beverages that are consumed the most frequently and contribute the most to total energy 311 intake in the group they are tailored for (23).

5 Conclusions

- Differences in dietary intake exist among U.S. adults by diabetic status using NHANES 2009-
- 314 2016. Insulin takers consumed grains more frequently, while consuming snack/sweet and alcohol
- 315 less frequently compared to participants without diabetes. Protein, grains, and vegetables
- 316 contributed more to insulin takers' daily total energy intake compared to participants without
- diabetes, whereas beverage and alcohol contributed less. Frequently consumed foods and those
- 318 contributing to energy may inform diabetes control and management, tailored food recipe,
- 319 consumer education, questionnaire design, and dietary assessment.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Author Contributions

HAE-M and LL designed research. LL analyzed data and drafted the manuscript. HAE-M, FZ, and ED critically reviewed and edited the manuscript. HAE-M had primary responsibility for final content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

8 Funding

This study was supported by Eli Lilly and Company.

- 359 Reference:
- 360 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. What is Diabetes? (2020).
- https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/basics/diabetes.html [Accessed July 14, 2021].
- 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Diabetes Statistics Report (2020).
- https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pdfs/data/statistics/national-diabetes-statistics-report.pdf
- 364 [Accessed July 14, 2021].
- 365 3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The Insulin Resistance Diabetes Connection
- 366 (2020). https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/basics/insulin-resistance.html [Accessed July 14,
- 367 2021].
- 368 4. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Types of Insulin (2021).
- https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/basics/type-1-types-of-insulin.html [Accessed July 14, 2021].
- 5. Joslin EP, Kahn CR. Joslin's Diabetes Mellitus. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins (2005).
- 371 1244 p.
- 372 6. Gillespie SJ, Kulkarni KD, Daly AE. Using Carbohydrate Counting in Diabetes Clinical
- 373 Practice. J Am Diet Assoc (1998) 98(8):897 905.
- 374 7. Schulze MB, Manson JE, Ludwig DS, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, et al. Sugar-
- 375 Sweetened Beverages, Weight Gain, and Incidence of Type 2 Diabetes in Young and
- 376 Middle-Aged Women. JAMA (2004) 292(8):927 34.
- 8. McClure ST, Schlechter H, Oh S, White K, Wu B, Pilla SJ, et al. Dietary intake of adults
- with and without diabetes: results from NHANES 2013 2016. BMJ Open Diabetes Res
- 379 Care (2020) 8(1):e001681.
- 380 9. Maffeis C, Tomasselli F, Tommasi M, Bresadola I, Trandev T, Fornari E, et al. Nutrition
- habits of children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes changed in a 10 years span. Pediatr
- 382 Diabetes. (2020) 21(6):960 8.
- 383 10. Muñoz-Pareja M, León-Muñoz LM, Guallar-Castillón P, Graciani A, López-García E,
- Banegas JR, et al. The Diet of Diabetic Patients in Spain in 2008 2010: Accordance with
- the Main Dietary Recommendations—A Cross-Sectional Study. PLOS ONE (2012)
- 386 7(6):e39454.
- 387 11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. NHANES National Health and Nutrition
- Examination Survey Homepage (2021). https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/index.htm
- 389 [Accessed July 14, 2021].
- 390 12. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. NHANES NCHS Research Ethics Review
- Board Approval (2019). https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/irba98.htm [Accessed July 14,
- 392 2021].

- 393 13. American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus.
- 394 Diabetes Care (2014) 37(Supplement 1):S81 90.
- 395 14. Nelson KM, Reiber G, Boyko EJ. Diet and Exercise Among Adults With Type 2 Diabetes:
- Findings from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III).
- 397 Diabetes Care (2002) 25(10):1722 8.
- 398 15. The United States Census Bureau. How the Census Bureau Measures Poverty (2021).
- https://www.census.gov/topics/income-poverty/poverty/guidance/poverty-measures.html
- 400 [Accessed October 15, 2021].
- 401 16. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service. AMPM USDA
- 402 Automated Multiple-Pass Method (2021). https://www.ars.usda.gov/northeast-
- area/beltsville-md-bhnrc/beltsville-human-nutrition-research-center/food-surveys-research-
- group/docs/ampm-usda-automated-multiple-pass-method/#what [Accessed October 15,
- 405 2021].
- 406 17. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. NHANES Dietary Data (2021).
- https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/Search/DataPage.aspx?Component=Dietary [Accessed
- 408 July 14, 2021].
- 409 18. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service. USDA Food and Nutrient
- Database for Dietary Studies, 5.0. Food Surveys Research Group Home Page (2012).
- http://www.ars.usda.gov/ba/bhnrc/fsrg [Accessed July 14, 2021].
- 412 19. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service. USDA Food and Nutrient
- Database for Dietary Studies 2011-2012. Food Surveys Research Group Home Page
- 414 (2014). http://www.ars.usda.gov/ba/bhnrc/fsrg [Accessed July 14, 2021].
- 415 20. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service. USDA Food and Nutrient
- Database for Dietary Studies 2013-2014. Food Surveys Research Group Home Page
- 417 (2016). http://www.ars.usda.gov/nea/bhnrc/fsrg [Accessed July 14, 2021].
- 418 21. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, USDA Food and Nutrient
- Database for Dietary Studies 2015-2016. Food Surveys Research Group Home Page
- 420 (2018). https://www.ars.usda.gov/northeast-area/beltsville-md-bhnrc/beltsville-human-
- 421 nutrition-research-center/food-surveys-research-group/docs/fndds-download-databases/
- 422 [Accessed July 14, 2021].
- 423 22. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service. What We Eat in America
- 424 Food Categories 2015-2016 (2021).
- https://www.ars.usda.gov/ARSUserFiles/80400530/pdf/1516/Food categories 2015-
- 426 2016.pdf [Accessed July 14, 2021].
- 427 23. Eicher-Miller HA, Boushey CJ. How Often and How Much? Differences in Dietary Intake
- by Frequency and Energy Contribution Vary among U.S. Adults in NHANES 2007 2012.
- 429 Nutrients. (2017) 9(1):86.

- 430 24. Eicher-Miller HA, Boushey CJ, Bailey RL, Yang YJ. Frequently Consumed Foods and
- Energy Contributions among Food Secure and Insecure U.S. Children and Adolescents.
- 432 Nutrients. (2020) 12(2):304.
- 433 25. Hiza HAB, Casavale KO, Guenther PM, Davis CA. Diet Quality of Americans Differs by
- Age, Sex, Race/Ethnicity, Income, and Education Level. J Acad Nutr Diet. (2013)
- 435 113(2):297 306.
- 436 26. Forshee RA, Storey ML. Demographics, not beverage consumption, is associated with diet
- 437 quality. Int J Food Sci Nutr. (2006) 57(7 8):494 511.
- 438 27. Fung TT, Hu FB, Pereira MA, Liu S, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, et al. Whole-grain intake
- and the risk of type 2 diabetes: a prospective study in men. Am J Clin Nutr. (2002)
- 440 76(3):535 40.
- 28. Clifton P. High-protein and low-glycaemic diets improve dietary compliance and
- maintenance of weight loss in overweight adults who have lost weight on a low-calorie diet.
- 443 BMJ Evid-Based Med. (2011) 16(4):112 3.
- 444 29. Westerterp-Plantenga MS, Nieuwenhuizen A, Tomé D, Soenen S, Westerterp KR. Dietary
- Protein, Weight Loss, and Weight Maintenance. Annu Rev Nutr. (2009) 29(1):21 41.
- 446 30. Dias JS. Nutritional Quality and Health Benefits of Vegetables: A Review. Food Nutr Sci.
- 447 (2012) 3(10):1354 74.
- 448 31. Carlos J, Dias J, Imai S. Vegetables Consumption and its Benefits on Diabetes. J Nutr Ther.
- 449 (2017) 6:1 10.
- 450 32. Platel K, Srinivasan K. Plant foods in the management of Diabetes mellitus: Vegetables as
- potential hypoglycaemic agents. Food Nahr. (1997) 41(2):68 74.
- 452 33. Nicklas TA, O'Neil CE. Development of the SoFAS (Solid Fats and Added Sugars)
- 453 Concept: The 2010 Dietary Guidelines for Americans. Adv Nutr. (2015) 6(3):368S-375S.
- 454 34. U.S. Department of Agriculture and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2020-2025. 9th Edition (2020).
- https://DietaryGuidelines.gov [Accessed July 14, 2021].
- 457 35. Mackenzie T, Brooks B, O'Connor G. Beverage Intake, Diabetes, and Glucose Control of
- 458 Adults in America. Ann Epidemiol. (2006) 16(9):688 91.
- 459 36. Nöthlings U, Boeing H, Maskarinec G, Sluik D, Teucher B, Kaaks R, et al. Food intake of
- individuals with and without diabetes across different countries and ethnic groups. Eur J
- 461 Clin Nutr. (2011) 65(5):635 41.
- 462 37. Howard AA, Arnsten JH, Gourevitch MN. Effect of Alcohol Consumption on Diabetes
- 463 Mellitus. Ann Intern Med. (2004) 140(3):211 9.

- 464 38. Ahmed AT, Karter AJ, Liu J. Alcohol consumption is inversely associated with adherence to diabetes self-care behaviours. Diabet Med. (2006) 23(7):795 802.
- 466 39. Malik VS, Popkin BM, Bray GA, Després J-P, Hu FB. Sugar-Sweetened Beverages,
- Obesity, Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus, and Cardiovascular Disease Risk. Circulation. (2010)
- 468 121(11):1356 64.
- 469 40. Hess JM, Cifelli CJ, Agarwal S, Fulgoni VL. Comparing the cost of essential nutrients from
- different food sources in the American diet using NHANES 2011 2014. Nutr J. (2019)
- 471 18(1):68.
- 472 41. Murphy MM, Scrafford CG, Barraj LM, Bi X, Higgins KA, Jaykus L-A, et al. Potassium
- chloride-based replacers: modeling effects on sodium and potassium intakes of the US
- 474 population with cross-sectional data from NHANES 2015 2016 and 2009 2010. Am J
- 475 Clin Nutr. (2021) 114(1):220 30.
- 476 42. Slining MM, Popkin BM. Trends in intakes and sources of solid fats and added sugars
- among U.S. children and adolescents: 1994 2010. Pediatr Obes. (2013) 8(4):307 24.
- 478 43. Lowden A, Moreno C, Holmbäck U, Lennernäs M, Tucker P. Eating and shift work —
- effects on habits, metabolism, and performance. Scand J Work Environ Health. (2010)
- 480 36(2):150 62.
- 44. Aqeel M, Forster A, Richards EA, Hennessy E, McGowan B, Bhadra A, et al. The Effect of
- Timing of Exercise and Eating on Postprandial Response in Adults: A Systematic Review.
- 483 Nutrients. (2020) 12(1):221.
- 484 45. Johnston JD. Physiological responses to food intake throughout the day. Nutr Res Rev.
- 485 (2014) 27(1):107 18.
- 486 46. Jacobs DR Jr, Steffen LM. Nutrients, foods, and dietary patterns as exposures in research: a
- framework for food synergy. Am J Clin Nutr. (2003) 78(3):508S-513S.
- 488 47. Winham DM, Hutchins AM, Thompson SV. Glycemic Response to Black Beans and
- Chickpeas as Part of a Rice Meal: A Randomized Cross-Over Trial. Nutrients. (2017)
- 490 9(10):1095.
- 491 48. Klesges RC, Eck LH, Ray JW. Who underreports dietary intake in a dietary recall?
- 492 Evidence from the Second National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. J Consult
- 493 Clin Psychol. (1995) 63(3):438 44.
- 494 49. Freedman LS, Commins JM, Moler JE, Arab L, Baer DJ, Kipnis V, et al. Pooled Results
- From 5 Validation Studies of Dietary Self-Report Instruments Using Recovery Biomarkers
- 496 for Energy and Protein Intake. Am J Epidemiol. (2014) 180(2):172 88.

- Lissner L, Troiano RP, Midthune D, Heitmann BL, Kipnis V, Subar AF, et al. OPEN about obesity: recovery biomarkers, dietary reporting errors and BMI. Int J Obes. (2007)
 31(6):956 61.
- 500 51. Ocké MC, Larrañaga N, Grioni S, van den Berg SW, Ferrari P, Salvini S, et al. Energy
 501 intake and sources of energy intake in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer
 502 and Nutrition. Eur J Clin Nutr. (2009) 63(4):S3 15.
- 503 52. Livingstone MBE, Black AE. Markers of the Validity of Reported Energy Intake. J Nutr. (2003) 133(3):895S-920S.
- 505 53. Salle A, Ryan M, Ritz P. Underreporting of food intake in obese diabetic and nondiabetic patients. Diabetes Care. (2006) 29(12):2726-7.
- 507 54. Gregg EW, Cheng YJ, Narayan KMV, Thompson TJ, Williamson DF. The relative contributions of different levels of overweight and obesity to the increased prevalence of diabetes in the United States: 1976 2004. Prev Med. (2007) 45(5):348 52.
- 510 55. Nuttall FQ. Diet and the Diabetic Patient. Diabetes Care. (1983) 6(2):11.

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of U.S. adults 18 y or older taking insulin, with Type 2 diabetes but not taking insulin, and without diabetes as drawn from the NHANES, 2009-2016¹.

	Insulin takers	T2D w/o insulin	Participants w/o	P-value ²
	(n=774)	(n=2,758)	diabetes	
			(n=17,796)	
Sex				0.0003*
Male	403 (52.1%)	1416 (51.3%)	8578 (48.2%)	
Female	371 (47.9%)	1342 (48.7%)	9218 (51.8%)	
Age in Years				<0.0001*
18-34	44 (5.6%)	121 (4.4%)	6247 (35.1%)	
35-49	91 (11.8%)	470 (17.0%)	4633 (26.0%)	
50-80	639 (82.6%)	2167 (78.6%)	6916 (38.9%)	
Survey year				0.4
2009-2010	193 (24.9%)	766 (27.8%)	5005 (28.1%)	
2011-2012	181 (23.4%)	647 (23.5%)	4168 (23.4%)	
2013-2014	181 (23.4%)	660 (23.9%)	4387 (24.7%)	
2015-2016	219 (28.3%)	685 (24.8%)	4236 (23.8%)	
Race/Ethnicity				<0.0001*
Hispanic	205 (27.7%)	859 (31.1%)	4448 (25.0%)	
White	276 (35.7%)	905 (32.8%)	7517 (42.2%)	
Black	229 (29.6%)	692 (25.1%)	3640 (20.5%)	
Other	54 (7.0%)	302 (11.0%)	2191 (12.3%)	
Poverty Income				<0.0001*
Ratio				
0.00-0.99	201 (28.1%)	623 (25.0%)	3776 (23.2%)	
1.00-1.99	220 (30.7%)	748 (30.0%)	4219 (25.9%)	
2.00-2.99	106 (14.8%)	384 (15.4%)	2353 (14.4%)	
3.00-3.99	66 (9.2%)	277 (11.1%)	1793 (11.0%)	
4.00-5.00	123 (17.2%)	463 (18.5%)	4156 (25.5%)	

Total numbers do not always add to sample size due to missing values. Percentages do not always add to 100 due to rounding.

² Rao–Scott modified chi-square p-value is shown. Analyses were adjusted for survey design including stratification and clustering. Sample weights were appropriately constructed and applied to this analysis as directed by NCHS. Weights were rescaled so that the sum to the weights matched the survey population at the midpoint of the 8 years, 2009–2016. Statistical significance for differences among three groups when p < 0.05 is indicated by "*".

Table 2. Top 10 most frequently consumed foods items or beverages¹, unweighted and weighted frequency of reported foods items or beverages, percent of reported foods or beverages, and standard error of percent of reported foods or beverages among all reported foods or beverages for U.S. adults 18 y or older taking insulin, with Type 2 diabetes but not taking insulin, and without diabetes drawn from 2009–2016 NHANES data.

	I	nsulin takers	(n=774)		T2D w	o taking insu	ılin (n=2,758	3)	Particip	ants w/o diabe	tes (n=17,79	96)
Ran	What We	Weighted	Frequenc	Wtd	What We	Weighted	Frequenc	Wtd	What We	Weighted	Frequenc	Wtd
k	Eat In	Frequenc	y ⁴	% 35.~	Eat In	Frequenc	y^4	% 3.5.00	Eat In	Frequency ² ,	y^4	% 3.5.00
	America	$y^{2,3}$		^{3,5} (S	America	y ^{2,3}		^{3,5} (S	America	3		^{3,5} (S
	item¹			E)	item¹			E)	item ¹			E)
Tot		98,959,09	12,095			376,469,8	43,421			3,245,557,8	277,244	
al		4				86				52		
1	tap water	5,294,037	579	5.3 (0.4)	Tap water	20,867,32	2,231	5.5 (0.2)	Tap water	202,005,48	15,400	6.2 (0.2)
2	Unsweeten	4,341,351		(0.4)	Unsweeten	8		(0.2)	Unsweeten	3		(0.2)
	ed Bottled	4,341,331	600	4.4	ed bottled	15,815,99	2,157	4.2	ed bottled	114,654,42	11,820	3.5
	Water		000	(0.3)	water	5	2,137	(0.3)	water	114,034,42	11,620	(0.1)
3		2,867,410		2.9		10,982,63		2.9		1		2.9
	Coffee	2,007,110	336	(0.2)	Coffee	4	1,212	(0.1)	Coffee	92,688,711	6,906	(0.1)
4	Tomatoes	1,583,968	179	1.6	Lettuce		597	1.5	White		5,271	1.5
	Tomatoes		1/9	(0.2)	Lettuce	5,520,635	397	(0.1)	sugar	48,766,824	3,271	(0.1)
5	2% reduced fat milk	1,483,662	187	1.5 (0.2)	Tomatoes	5,499,873	598	1.5 (0.1)	Lettuce	45,653,422	3,684	1.4 (0.0)
6	Soft drink	1,367,532		(**=)	2%			(012)	~ ~ 1 : 1	,,		(313)
	(cola type,	, ,	155	1.4	reduced fat		613	1.4	Soft drink		4,172	1.3
	sugar free)			(0.2)	milk	5,377,691		(0.1)	(cola-type)	43,801,567	,	(0.1)
7		1,277,944			Soft drink							
	Lettuce		156	1.3	(cola-type,		344	1.2	Tomatoes		3,468	1.3
				(0.1)	sugar-free)	4,446,739		(0.1)		43,656,646		(0.0)
8	Soft drink	867,827			XX 71. :4 -				2% Fat			
	(cola-type, decaffeinat		86	0.9	White		633	1.1	reduced		3,298	1.2
	ed)			(0.2)	sugar	4,285,836		(0.1)	milk	37,409,699		(0.0)
9	Sugar	850,553		` /						, ,		
	substitute	-	124	0.9	Banana		530	1.0	Banana		2,737	1.0
	(saccharin- based)			(0.1)		3,928,098		(0.1)		31,398,643		(0.0)

1	Banana	818,469	125	0.8	Soft drink	2.726.500	460	1.0	Whole	22 (05 000	2,338	0.7
				(0.1)	(cola-type)	3,726,509		(0.1)	mılk	23,685,000	_,	(0.0)

¹6,772 food or beverage items were reported by participants from NHANES 2009-2016;

² The sum of the estimated weighted frequencies for all type foods or beverages reported in one day among adult participants \geq 18 years of NHANES 2009–2016;

³ Survey weights and adjustments for the complex survey design were applied to represent the non-institutionalized U.S. population; ⁴ The frequency that a food or beverages was reported without sample weights;

⁵ Derived from the weighted frequency of the individual food or beverage divided by the total weighted frequency of all foods and beverages (n) reported by whole sample in a single day, where n = 98,959,094 for insulin takers, n = 376,469,886 for T2D w/o taking insulin, and n = 3,245,557,852 for participants w/o diabetes. Estimated weighted percent has been abbreviated by "Wtd %"; SE stands for standard error.

Table 3. Top 10 most frequently consumed What We Eat in America foods or beverage subcategories¹, unweighted and weighted frequency of reported foods or beverage subcategories, percent of the reported foods or beverage subcategory out of the total, and standard error of the percent of reported foods or beverage represented by the subcategory among all reported foods or beverage subcategories for U.S. adults 18 y or older taking insulin, with Type 2 diabetes but not taking insulin, and without diabetes drawn from 2009–2016 NHANES data.

	It	nsulin takers	(n=774)		T2D w	o taking insu	ılin (n=2,758	8)	Particip	ants w/o diabe	tes (n=17,79	9 6)
Ran	What We	Weighted	Frequenc	Wtd	What We	Weighted	Frequenc	Wtd	What We	Weighted	Frequenc	Wtd
k	Eat In	Frequenc	y^4	%	Eat In	Frequenc	y^4	%	Eat In	Frequency ² ,	y^4	%
	America	y ^{2,3}		^{3,5} (S	America	$y^{2,3}$		^{3,5} (S	America	3		^{3,5} (S
	subcatego			E)	subcategor			E)	subcategor			E)
	ry ¹				y ¹				y ¹			
Tot		98,959,09	12,095			376,469,8	43,421			3,245,557,8	277,244	
al		4				86				52		
1	Tap water		585	5.4	Tap water	21,157,72	2,272	5.6	Tap water	205,305,22		6.3
	Tap water	5,356,421	363	(0.4)	Tap water	2	2,272	(0.2)	Tap water	6	15,673	(0.2)
2	Coffee		560	4.5	Coffee	17,061,04	2,097	4.5	Coffee	136,685,00		4.2
		4,409,221	300	(0.3)		7	2,077	(0.1)		4	11,227	(0.1)
3	Bottled		600	4.4	Bottled	15,815,99	2,157	4.2	Bottled	114,654,42		3.5
	water	4,341,351	000	(0.3)	water	5	2,137	(0.3)	water	1	11,820	(0.1)
4	Diet soft		391	3.8	Yeast	13,091,45	1,529	3.5	Cheese			3.0
	drinks	3,718,166	371	(0.4)	breads	0	1,525	(0.1)		95,913,887	7,342	(0.1)
5	Yeast		449	3.7	Cheese		969	2.5	Yeast			2.9
	bread	3,652,103	,	(0.2)	Circust	9,577,396	707	(0.1)	breads	95,137,901	8,275	(0.1)
6	Tea		293	2.6	Tea		1,070	2.5	Soft drinks			2.8
	100	2,584,194	273	(0.3)		9,519,279	1,070	(0.2)	Soft drinks	92,330,988	8,918	(0.1)
7	Cheese		265	2.3	Diet soft		685	2.2	Tea			2.3
	Cheese	2,261,411	200	(0.2)	drinks	8,358,603	002	(0.1)		73,796,069	6,327	(0.1)
8									Other			
	Sugar								vegetables			
	substitutes		326		Soft drinks		957		and			
	2 3.0 0 11 10 10 10			2.2				2.0	combinati			1.9
		2,220,376		(0.3)		7,651,814		(0.1)	ons	61,835,369	5,004	(0.0)
9	Eggs and		255	2.0	Other		823	2.0	Sugars and			1.9
	omelets	2,000,524		(0.2)	vegetables	7,539,753	0_0	(0.1)	honey	60,595,579	6,302	(0.1)

					and combinati ons							
10	Cold cuts				Cream and				Lettuce			
	and cured		222	2.0	cream		858	2.0	and lettuce			1.9
	meats	1,973,622		(0.2)	substitutes	7,361,081		(0.1)	salads	60,358,697	4,650	(0.0)

¹ The What We Eat in America Food Categories were applied to categorize all foods and beverages to 151 subcategories;

² The sum of the estimated weighted frequencies for all type foods or beverages reported in one day among adult participants \ge 18 years of NHANES 2009–2016;

Survey weights and adjustments for the complex survey design were applied to represent the non-institutionalized U.S. population;

⁴ The frequency that a food or beverages was reported without sample weights;

⁵ Derived from the weighted frequency of the food or beverage subcategories divided by the total weighted frequency of all foods and beverages subcategories (n) reported by whole sample in a single day, where n = 98,959,094 for insulin takers, n = 376,469,886 for T2D w/o taking insulin, and n = 3,245,557,852 for participants w/o diabetes. Estimated weighted percent has been abbreviated by "Wtd %"; SE stands for standard error.

Table 4. Top 10 most frequently consumed What We Eat In America food or beverage categories¹, unweighted and weighted frequency of reported food or beverage categories, percent of the reported foods or beverage category out of total, and standard error of the percent of reported foods or beverage represented by the category among all reported foods or beverage categories for U.S. adults 18 y or older taking insulin, with Type 2 diabetes but not taking insulin, and without diabetes drawn from 2009–2016 NHANES data.

	Ir	sulin takers ((n=774)		T2D w	/o taking ins	ulin (n=2,75	8)	Particip	ants w/o diabe	etes (n=17,79	96)
Ran	What We	Weighted	Frequenc	Wtd	What We	Weighted	Frequenc	Wtd	What We	Weighted	Frequenc	Wtd
k	Eat In	Frequency	y^4	%	Eat In	Frequency	y^4	%	Eat In	Frequency ² ,	y^4	%
	America	2,3		^{3,5} (S	America	2,3		^{3,5} (S	America	3		^{3,5} (S
	Category ¹			E)	Category ¹			E)	Category ¹			E)
Tot		98,578,50	12,039			374,707,9	43,155			3,232,070,6	275,796	
al		6				08				62		
1	Plain water		1,185	9.8	Plain	36,973,71	4.420	9.9	Plain	319,959,64	27,493	9.9
	Plain water	9,697,772	1,183	(0.4)	water	7	4,429	(0.3)	water	7	27,493	(0.2)
2	Wagatablag				Vegetable				Vegetable			
	Vegetables w/o white		982		s w/o		3,683		s w/o		22,064	
	potato		962	9.2	white	33,386,89	3,063	8.9	white	274,695,81	22,004	8.5
	potato	9,059,302		(0.6)	potato	8		(0.3)	potato	6		(0.1)
3	Coffee &		853	7.1	Coffee &	26,580,32	3,167	7.1	Coffee &	210,481,07	17,554	6.5
	tea	6,993,415	833	(0.3)	tea	6	3,107	(0.2)	tea	3	17,334	(0.1)
4	Fats & oil		799	7.1	Fats &	24,735,14	2,599	6.6	Fats &	196,833,74	15,227	6.1
	Tats & On	6,975,164	199	(0.4)	oils	8	2,399	(0.2)	oils	2	13,227	(0.1)
5	Breads,				Breads,				Breads,			
	rolls,		704	5.9	rolls,	21,868,81	2,632	5.8	rolls,	161,270,43	14,243	5.0
	tortillas	5,814,047		(0.3)	tortillas	8		(0.1)	tortillas	9		(0.1)
6	Fruits		604	4.6	Fruits	17,789,90	2,199	4.7	Fruits	150,617,26	12,803	4.7
	Truits	4,539,100	001	(0.3)		0	2,177	(0.2)		6	12,003	(0.1)
7	Diet				Condime				Condime			
	beverages		449	4.3	nts and	15,875,81	1,788	4.2	nts and	148,905,01	12,777	4.6
		4,191,552		(0.4)	sauces	7		(0.2)	sauces	4		(0.1)
8	Condiment								Sweetene			
	s and		470	4.2	Sugars	14,422,14	1,877	3.8	d	144,772,01	14,108	4.5
	sauces	4,117,112		(0.3)		1		(0.2)	beverages	3		(0.1)

9	Sugar	3,757,238	562	3.8 (0.3)	Milk	13,242,56	1,459	3.5 (0.2)	Sugars	110,810,63 8	10,222	3.4 (0.1)
10	Cured				Sweet							
	meats/poul		397	3.4	bakery	12,011,79	1,339	3.2	Milk	103,668,97	8,770	3.2
	try	3,366,292		(0.3)	product	0		(0.1)		7		(0.1)

¹ The What We Eat in America Food Categories were applied to categorize all foods and beverages to 46 categories;

² The sum of the estimated weighted frequencies for all type foods or beverages reported in one day among adult participants \geq 18 years of NHANES 2009–2016;

³ Survey weights and adjustments for the complex survey design were applied to represent the non-institutionalized U.S. population; ⁴ The frequency that a food or beverages was reported without sample weights;

⁵ Derived from the weighted frequency of the food or beverage categories divided by the total weighted frequency of all foods and beverages categories (n) reported by whole sample in a single day, where n = 98,578,506 for insulin takers, n = 374,707,908 for T2D w/o taking insulin, and n = 3,232,070,662 for participants w/o diabetes. Estimated weighted percent has been abbreviated by "Wtd %"; SE stands for standard error.

Table 5. Top 10 foods items or beverages contributing the most to total unweighted and weighted energy, percent of the total represented by the reported food or beverage item¹, and standard error of the percent of the total represented by the reported food or beverage item among all reported foods or beverage items for U.S. adults 18 y or older taking insulin, with Type 2 diabetes but not taking insulin, and without diabetes drawn from 2009–2016 NHANES data.

		Insulin takers	(n=774)		T2D	w/o taking insu	ılin (n=2,758	8)	Partic	ipants w/o diabe	tes (n=17,79	96)
Ran	What	Weighted	Frequenc	Wtd	What	Weighted	Frequenc	Wtd	What	Weighted	Frequenc	Wtd
k	We Eat	Energy	y^4	%	We Eat	Energy	y^4	%	We Eat	Energy	y^4	% 3,5(S
	In	Contribution		^{3,5} (S	In	Contribution		^{3,5} (S	In	Contribution ² ,		^{3,5} (S
	America	2,3		E)	America	2,3		E)	America	3		E)
	item ¹				item ¹				item ¹			
Tot		11,173,326,	10,655			45,994,398,				438,552,576,		
al		140				876	38,344			789	246,981	
1	2%				Soft				Soft			
	reduced				drink,				drink,			
	fat milk			1.5	cola-			1.4	cola-	7,727,219,65		1.8
		175,158,078	187	(0.3)	type	620,925,847	460	(0.1)	type	4	4,172	(0.1)
2	Wheat								_			
	or				2%				Beer			
	cracked			1.0	reduced			1.0	(include	7 (24 446 10		1.5
	wheat	107.505.626	0.7	1.0	fat milk	540 104 045	(12	1.2	ale)	7,624,446,18	1.640	1.7
	bread	107,505,626	85	(0.6)		542,124,845	613	(0.1)		9	1,643	(0.1)
3	Soft			0.9	Whole			1.1	French	4.526.464.10		1.0
	white	100 546 004	<i>5 4</i>		milk	405 105 225	2.45	1.1	fries	4,536,464,18	1 (00	1.0
4	roll	100,546,094	54	(0.2)		495,105,225	345	(0.2)		6	1,608	(0.0)
4	Regular											
	ice				Beer				2%			
	cream (not				(include				reduced			
	chocolat			0.9	ale)			1.0	fat milk	4,370,770,94		1.0
	e)	97,758,809	28	(0.3)		446,611,096	133	(0.2)		0	3,298	(0.1)
5	White	71,130,009	20	0.8	French	770,011,090	133	0.8	Beer,	4,119,958,97	3,470	0.1)
	bread	92,313,363	100	(0.1)	fries	390,088,888	171	(0.1)	lite	9	1,005	(0.1)
6	Whole	, 2,515,505	100	(0.1)	11105	2,0,000,000	1/1	(0.1)	Ice	,	1,000	(0.1)
	wheat			0.8	Banana			0.8	cream	3,839,785,91		0.9
	bread	88,551,645	59	(0.2)		370,150,703	530	(0.1)	(not	1	1,133	(0.1)

									chocolat			
<u> </u>									e)			
7	Soft				Ice							
					cream							
	drink,				(Not				Banana			
	cola-			0.8	chocolat			0.8		3,167,442,41		0.7
	type	85,779,001	77	(0.2)	e)	358,175,595	157	(0.1)		9	2,737	(0.0)
8	White			0.7	White			0.7	Whole	3,063,855,29	-	0.7
	potato	79,297,997	56	(0.1)	bread	339,050,117	328	(0.1)	milk	9	2,338	(0.0)
9					Soft				XX71 :4			
	Banana			0.7	white			0.6	White	3,028,693,27		0.7
		77,782,509	125	(0.1)	roll	293,239,277	242	(0.1)	bread	7	1,902	(0.0)
10									Soft			
					Wheat				drink,			
	XX 71 1				or				fruit-			
	Whole				cracked				flavored			
	milk				wheat							
				0.7	bread			0.6	caffeine	2,649,165,95		0.6
		77,426,678	85	(0.1)		267,359,710	275	(0.1)	free	1	2,007	(0.0)

¹ 6,772 food or beverage items were reported by participants from NHANES 2009-2016;

² The sum of the estimated weighted energy contribution for all type foods or beverages reported in one day among adult participants ≥18 years of NHANES 2009–2016;

³ Survey weights and adjustments for the complex survey design were applied to represent the non-institutionalized U.S. population;

⁴ The frequency that a food or beverages was reported without sample weights;

⁵ Derived from the weighted energy contribution of the individual food or beverage divided by the total weighted energy contribution of all foods and beverages (n) reported by whole sample in a single day, where n = 11,173,326,140 for insulin takers, n = 45,994,398,876 for T2D w/o taking insulin, and n = 438,552,576,789 for participants w/o diabetes. Estimated weighted percent has been abbreviated by "Wtd %"; SE stands for standard error.

Table 6. Top 10 highest energy contributing What We Eat in America food and beverage subcategories¹ contributing the most to unweighted and weighted energy, percent of the total represented by the reported food or beverage subcategory, and standard error of the percent of the total represented by the reported food or beverage subcategories for U.S. adults 18 y or older taking insulin, with Type 2 diabetes but not taking insulin, and without diabetes drawn from 2009–2016 NHANES data.

]	Insulin takers (n=774)		T2D v	v/o taking insul	lin (n=2,758	3)	Particip	oants w/o diabet	es (n=17,79	96)
Ran	What we	Weighted	Frequen	Wtd	What we	Weighted	Frequen	Wtd	What we	Weighted	Frequen	Wtd
k	Eat in	Energy	cy ⁴	%	Eat in	Energy	cy ⁴	%	Eat in	Energy	cy ⁴	%
	America	Contributio		^{3,5} (S	America	Contributio		^{3,5} (S	America	Contribution		^{3,5} (S
	subcatego	$n^{2,3}$		E)	subcatego	n ^{2,3}		E)	subcatego	2,3		E)
	ry ¹				ry ¹				ry¹			
Tot		11,173,326,				45,994,398,				438,552,576,		
al		140	10,655			876	38,344			789	246,981	
1	Yeast	542,059,39		4.9	Yeast	2,015,776,5		4.4	Pizza	17,155,217,1		3.9
	breads	5	449	(0.3)	breads	61	1,529	(0.2)		38	2,325	(0.2)
2	Pizza	352,519,43		3.2	Pizza	1,630,091,4		3.5	Soft	16,878,162,4		3.8
		9	74	(0.6)	1 1224	99	249	(0.5)	drinks	86	8,918	(0.1)
3	Chicken,				Cakes				Yeast			
	whole	344,627,97		3.1	and pies	1,406,511,6	• • •	3.1	breads	15,025,292,3		3.4
	pieces	8	182	(0.5)		77	386	(0.3)	0.100.00	71	8,275	(0.1)
4	Eggs and				Chicken,				_			
	omelets	322,535,34		2.9	whole	1,276,775,6	- 0.4	2.8	Beer	12,606,044,1	•	2.9
		7	255	(0.3)	pieces	27	731	(0.2)	G1 : 1	21	2,790	(0.1)
5	Meat	270 742 21		2.5	Soft	1.066.055.0		2.0	Chicken,	11 020 002 0		0.7
	mixed	279,742,21	105	2.5	drinks	1,266,355,0	0.55	2.8	whole	11,830,893,9	4.710	2.7
	dishes	8	105	(0.4)		47	957	(0.2)	pieces	25	4,719	(0.1)
6	Cakes	250 020 20		2.2	Cookies	1 122 (02 2		2.5	Nuts and	11 205 202 0		2.6
	and pies	258,928,28	0.1	2.3	and	1,132,693,3	620	2.5	seeds	11,385,283,9	2.025	2.6
<u> </u>		0	91	(0.4)	brownies	57	630	(0.2)		50	3,925	(0.1)
7	Cookies	245 466 62			Nuts and	1 120 067 0		2.5	Burritos	10.026.204.0		2.5
	and	245,466,83	105	2.2	seeds	1,129,967,8	5.63	2.5	and tacos	10,936,204,0	1 400	2.5
	brownies	1	185	(0.2)		99	563	(0.2)		42	1,480	(0.1)

8	Cheese	241,035,35	265	2.2 (0.3)	Burritos and tacos	1,116,149,5 48	203	2.4 (0.4)	Cookies and brownies	10,449,344,7 92	4,386	2.4 (0.1)
9	Burritos	238,780,28		2.1	Eggs and	1,062,625,0		2.3	Cakes	10,399,896,3		2.4
	and tacos	5	49	(0.5)	omelets	29	770	(0.1)	and pies	97	2,373	(0.1)
10	Cold cuts				Meat							
	and cured	218,354,39		2.0	mixed	980,422,00		2.1	Cheese	9,908,844,84		2.3
	meats	3	222	(0.4)	dishes	0	371	(0.2)		2	7,342	(0.1)

¹ The What We Eat in America Food Categories were applied to categorize all foods and beverages to 151 subcategories;

² The sum of the estimated weighted energy contribution for all type foods or beverages reported in one day among adult participants ≥18 years of NHANES 2009–2016;

³ Survey weights and adjustments for the complex survey design were applied to represent the non-institutionalized U.S. population;

⁴ The frequency that a food or beverages was reported without sample weights;

⁵ Derived from the weighted energy contribution of the food or beverage subcategories divided by the total weighted energy contribution of all foods and beverages subcategories (n) reported by whole sample in a single day, where n = 11,173,326,140 for insulin takers, n = 45,994,398,876 for T2D w/o taking insulin, and n = 438,552576,789 for participants w/o diabetes. Estimated weighted percent has been abbreviated by "Wtd %"; SE stands for standard error.

Table 7. Top 10 highest energy contributing What We Eat in America food and beverage categories¹ contributing the most to unweighted and weighted energy, percent of the total represented by the reported food or beverage category, and standard error of the percent of the total represented by the reported food or beverage categories for U.S. adults 18 y or older taking insulin, with Type 2 diabetes but not taking insulin, and without diabetes drawn from 2009–2016 NHANES data.

	I	nsulin takers (r	n=774)		T2D	w/o taking insu	lin (n=2,75	8)	Partici	pants w/o diabe	tes (n=17,79	96)
Ran	What We	Weighted	Frequen	Wtd	What	Weighted	Frequen	Wtd	What	Weighted	Frequen	Wtd
k	Eat In	Energy	cy ⁴	%	We Eat	Energy	cy ⁴	%	We Eat	Energy	cy ⁴	%
	America	Contributio	-	^{3,5} (S	In	Contributio	•	^{3,5} (S	In	Contribution	•	^{3,5} (S
	category ¹	$n^{2,3}$		E)	America	n ^{2,3}		E)	America	2,3		E)
					category				category			
Tot		11,067,583,				45,507,634,				434,779,439,		
al		100	10,599			682	38,078			791	245,533	
1	Breads,				Breads,		-		Sweet			
	rolls,			8.3	rolls,	3,653,085,3		8.0	bakery	27,904,006,1		6.4
	tortillas	923,994,769	704	(0.4)	tortillas	99	2,632	(0.2)	product	31	9,010	(0.1)
2	Sweet				Sweet				Breads,			
	bakery			5.7	bakery	3,257,752,9		7.2	rolls,	27,497,056,9		6.3
	product	629,785,636	341	(0.5)	product	64	1,339	(0.4)	tortillas	87	14,243	(0.1)
3	Mixed				Sweeten				Sweeten			
	dishes-				ed				ed			
	sandwiche			5.0	beverage	2,041,686,3	4.740	4.5	beverage	26,867,482,2	4.4.0.6	6.2
	S	550,338,991	155	(0.6)	S	70	1,518	(0.2)	S	95	14,106	(0.1)
4	Mixed				Mixed				Alcoholi			
	dishes-				dishes-				c			
	meat,			4.4	sandwic	1 010 027 (4.2	beverage	21,928,960,7		5.0
	poultry, seafood	487,328,523	194	(0.5)	hes	1,918,027,6 05	477	(0.3)	S	36	5,463	(0.2)
5	Scarood	107,320,323	177	(0.5)	Mixed	0.5	7//	(0.5)		30	5,105	(0.2)
					dishes-				Mixed			
	Fats & oils				meat,				dishes-			
				4.1	poultry,	1,846,139,5		4.1	sandwic	18,966,260,4		4.4
		449,849,893	799	(0.3)	seafood	41	703	(0.3)	hes	77	3,297	(0.1)

6	Poultry	441,972,521	233	4.0 (0.5)	White potatoes	1,762,408,5 54	842	3.9 (0.2)	Mixed dishes- pizza	17,155,217,1 38	2,325	3.9 (0.2)
7	Cured meats/poul try	427,895,678	397	3.9 (0.4)	Plant based protein foods	1,711,721,0 04	1,041	3.8 (0.2)	Mixed dishes- Mexican	16,503,304,1 78	2,545	3.8 (0.2)
8	White potatoes	377,782,656	216	3.4 (0.4)	Poultry	1,654,562,1 56	915	3.6 (0.2)	Poultry	16,063,883,3 80	6,066	3.7 (0.1)
9	Mixed dishes- Mexican	362,937,339	96	3.3 (0.6)	Mixed dishes- pizza	1,630,091,4 99	249	3.6 (0.5)	Plant based protein foods	15,960,314,7 84	6,477	3.7 (0.1)
10	Sweetened beverages	361,977,279	336	3.3 (0.3)	Mixed dishes- Mexican	1,602,969,8 44	361	3.5 (0.4)	Mixed dishes- grain based	15,334,421,3 26	2,991	3.5 (0.1)

¹ The What We Eat in America Food Categories were applied to categorize all foods and beverages to 46 categories;

² The sum of the estimated weighted energy contribution for all type foods or beverages categories reported in one day among adult participants ≥18 years of NHANES 2009–2016;

³ Survey weights and adjustments for the complex survey design were applied to represent the non-institutionalized U.S. population;

⁴ The frequency that a food or beverages was reported without sample weights;

⁵ Derived from the weighted energy contribution of the food or beverage category divided by the total weighted energy contribution of all foods and beverage categories (n) reported by whole sample in a single day, where n = 11,067,583,100 for insulin takers, n = 45,507,634,682 for T2D w/o taking insulin, and n = 434,779,439,791 for participants w/o diabetes. Estimated weighted percent has been abbreviated by "Wtd %"; SE stands for standard error.

Table 8. Broad What We Eat In America food and beverage category¹ intake comparisons by frequency and energy among U.S. adults 18 y or older taking insulin, with Type 2 diabetes but not taking insulin, and without diabetes drawn from NHANES 2009–2016²

	Insulin tak	ers (n=774)		/o insulin ,758)		ithout diabetes 7,796)	X ² P-Value	
Broad WWEIA food category ¹	Wtd % ³ of reported foods (SE)	Wtd % ³ of reported energy (SE)	Wtd % ³ of reported foods (SE)	Wtd % ³ of reported energy (SE)	Wtd % ³ of reported foods (SE)	Wtd % ³ of reported energy (SE)	Frequency ⁴	Energy ⁴
Milk/Dairy ⁵	6.9 (0.4)	6.9 (0.5)	7.1 (0.2)	6.6 (0.3)	7.4 (0.1)	6.3 (0.1)	0.1	0.3
Protein ⁶	11.4 (0.5)	18.8 (1.0)	11.2 (0.2)	17.2 (0.4)	10.6 (0.1)	15.7 (0.2)	0.01	<0.0001*
Mixed Dish ⁷	6.9 (0.4)	22.2 (1.2)	6.9 (0.2)	21.7 (0.6)	7.5 (0.1)	22.7 (0.3)	0.004	0.3
Grain ⁸	9.7 (0.3)	15.2 (0.6)	9.6 (0.2)	14.3 (0.4)	8.8 (0.1)	12.1 (0.2)	<0.0001*	<0.0001*
Snack/Sweet ⁹	8.5 (0.4)	13.8 (0.8)	9.5 (0.2)	15.4 (0.3)	10.2 (0.1)	15.1 (0.2)	<0.0001*	0.2
Fruit ¹⁰	4.6 (0.3)	2.8 (0.2)	4.7 (0.2)	2.7 (0.1)	4.6 (0.1)	2.5 (0.1)	0.9	0.05
Vegetable ¹¹	11.0 (0.6)	6.1 (0.5)	11.0 (0.3)	6.3 (0.2)	10.4 (0.1)	5.4 (0.1)	0.1	0.0009*

Beverage ¹²	14.8 (0.3)	6.1 (0.4)	13.9 (0.3)	7.3 (0.3)	13.8 (0.1)	9.5 (0.2)	0.09	<0.0001*
Alcohol ¹³	0.9 (0.2)	2.4 (0.5)	1.2 (0.1)	2.9 (0.3)	2.1 (0.1)	5.0 (0.2)	<0.0001*	<0.0001*
Water ¹⁴	10.2 (0.4)	0.0 (0.0)	10.1 (0.4)	0.0 (0.0)	10.2 (0.2)	0.1 (0.0)	0.9	0.0011*
Fat/Oil ¹⁵	7.1 (0.4)	4.0 (0.3)	6.6 (0.2)	3.5 (0.1)	6.1 (0.1)	3.2 (0.1)	0.002	0.003
Cond 16/Sauce ¹⁷	4.2 (0.3)	0.9 (0.1)	4.2 (0.2)	0.9 (0.1)	4.6 (0.1)	1.0 (0.0)	0.09	0.6
Sugars ¹⁸	3.8 (0.3)	0.8 (0.1)	3.8 (0.2)	1.1 (0.1)	3.4 (0.1)	1.2 (0.0)	0.05	0.08
Other	0.2 (0.1)	0.1 (0.0)	0.2 (0.0)	0.1 (0.0)	0.4 (0.0)	0.3 (0.0)	0.006	<0.0001*

¹ The What We Eat in America broad food categories were applied to categorize all foods and beverages reported in a single day to 14 broad food groups;

² Survey weights and adjustments for the complex survey design were applied to represent the non-institutionalized U.S. population. Total numbers and percentages do not always add up to sample size due to missing values and rounding;

³ Wtd % stands for the estimated weighted percent of all reports of foods or beverages or energy from reported foods or beverages reported in a single day that are included in a food group;

⁴ p-values were calculated using the Rao – Scott modified chi-square statistic; "*" indicates p < 0.05/42 or p < 0.0012 using a Bonferroni type adjustment for multiple comparisons for food group intake among 14 broad food categories \times 3 groups;

⁵ Milk, flavored milk, dairy drinks and substitutes, cheese and yogurt;

⁶ Meats, poultry, seafood, eggs, cured meats/poultry, and plant-based protein foods;

⁷ Mixed dishes containing meat, poultry seafood; grain-based; Asian; Mexican; pizza; sandwiches, and soups;

- ⁸ Cooked grains, breads, rolls, tortillas, quick breads and bread products, ready-to-eat cereals, and cooked cereals; 700
- ⁹ Savory snacks, crackers, snack/meal bars, sweet bakery products, candy and other desserts; 701
- ¹⁰ Fresh fruits, dried fruits, and fruit salads; 702
- ¹¹ Vegetables and white potatoes; 703
- 12 100% juice, diet beverages, sweetened beverages, coffee and tea;
 13 Beer, wine, liquor and cocktails; 704
- 705
- ¹⁴ Plain water and flavored or enhanced water; 706
- ¹⁵ Butter and animal fats, margarine, cream cheeses, cream, mayonnaise, salad dressings and vegetable oils; 707
- 708 ¹⁶ Condiment;
- ¹⁷ Tomato-based, soy-based, mustard, olives, pickled vegetables, pasta sauces, dips, gravies, and other sauces; 709
- ¹⁸ Sugars, honey, jams, syrups, and toppings 710