

1 **Reduced antibody acquisition with increasing age following vaccination with**
2 **BNT162b2: results from a large study performed in the general population**
3 **aged 12 to 92 years**

4 Lotus L. van den Hoogen¹, Mardi C. Boer¹, Abigail Postema¹, Lia de Rond¹, Mary-lène de Zeeuw-
5 Brouwer¹, Inge Pronk¹, Alienke J. Wijmenga-Monsuur¹, Elske Bijvank¹, Caitlyn Kruiper¹, Lisa Beckers¹,
6 Marjan Bogaard-van Maurik¹, Ilse Zutt¹, Jeffrey van Vliet¹, Rianne van Bergen¹, Marjan Kuijer¹, Gaby
7 Smits¹, W. M. Monique Verschuren, H. Susan J. Picavet, Fiona R.M. van der Klis¹, Gerco den Hartog¹,
8 Robert S. van Binnendijk¹, Anne-Marie Buisman¹

9

10 Affiliations:

- 11 1. Centre for Immunology of Infectious Diseases and Vaccines, National Institute for Public
12 Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands
13 2. Centre for Prevention and Health Services Research, National Institute for Public Health and
14 the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands

15 **Abstract**

16 Vaccine-induced protection of the population against severe COVID-19, hospitalization and death is
17 of utmost importance, especially in the elderly. However, limited data are available on humoral
18 immune responses following COVID-19 vaccination in the general population across a broad age
19 range. We performed an integrated analysis of the effect of age, sex and prior SARS-CoV-2 infection
20 on Spike S1-specific (S1) IgG concentrations up to three months post BNT162b2 vaccination. 1·735
21 persons, eligible for COVID-19 vaccination through the national program, were recruited from the
22 general population (12 to 92 years old). Sixty percent were female and the median vaccination
23 interval was 35 days (interquartile range, IQR: 35-35). All participants had seroconverted to S1 one
24 month after two doses of vaccine. S1 IgG was higher in participants with a history of SARS-CoV-2
25 infection (median: 4·535 BAU/ml, IQR: 2·341-7·205) compared to infection-naïve persons (1·842
26 BAU/ml, 1·019-3·116) after two doses, $p < 0.001$. In infection-naïve persons, linear mixed effects
27 regression showed a strong negative association between age and S1 IgG one month after the first
28 vaccination ($p < 0.001$) across the entire age range. The association was still present after the second
29 vaccination, but less pronounced. Females had higher S1 IgG than males after both the first and
30 second vaccination ($p < 0.001$); although this difference was lower after the second dose. In persons
31 with an infection history, age nor sex was associated with peak S1 IgG. As IgG decreased with age
32 and time since vaccination, older persons may become at risk of infection, especially with escape
33 variants such as Omicron.

34 Introduction

35 Understanding and monitoring immune responses following COVID-19 vaccination is essential to
36 protect the population against severe COVID-19. The mRNA BNT162b2 vaccine was the first COVID-
37 19 vaccine to be approved by the FDA and EMA, and is currently used in 159 countries around the
38 world [1]. Generally, the vaccination strategy for BNT162b2 consists of a primary series of two
39 vaccinations 3-6 weeks apart. Early studies on BNT162b2 demonstrated high vaccine efficacy against
40 COVID-19 infection after completing the full vaccination schedule [2]. This has subsequently been
41 confirmed by real-world data, considering protection against severe COVID-19 [3, 4].

42 The elderly population is especially at risk for severe COVID-19, hospitalization and death. Age-
43 related changes of the immune system, referred to as immunosenescence, contribute to the
44 increased susceptibility to infectious diseases and reduced efficacy of vaccination in elderly persons
45 [5, 6]. Others have shown impaired immune responses following COVID-19 vaccination when
46 comparing data from elderly nursing home residents to those from younger healthcare workers [7,
47 8]. However, apart from difference in age range, these specific groups differ considerably from the
48 general population in terms of health status. Further data for elderly people outside of nursing home
49 settings are lacking [9]. Thus, data on the peak antibody concentrations post vaccination in the
50 general population as a whole remains limited [10, 11]. This information however is essential for
51 further COVID-19 vaccination strategies to be able to better protect vulnerable groups, like the
52 elderly, against severe disease. In addition, immunity of the general population as a whole tempers
53 virus transmission which further lowers the overall disease burden.

54 In the Netherlands, COVID-19 vaccinations were offered from early 2021 onwards. Initially, priority
55 was given to frontline healthcare workers and individuals at high risk for severe COVID-19 due to
56 long-term health conditions. Afterwards, the general population was invited for vaccination,
57 descending by age. Currently, everyone 5 years or older has access to free COVID-19 vaccination.
58 The most commonly used vaccine for all ages is BNT162b2, except those aged 60-64. This group
59 mostly received Vaxzevria as their primary vaccination series due to national policies at the time.

60 In this article we discuss COVID-19 serological findings across 1.735 persons in the Dutch general
61 population with an age range of 12 to 92 years following a primary series of BNT162b2. We
62 determined the effect of age and sex on antibody acquisition up to one month following one and
63 two doses of BNT162b2, and stratified results by SARS-CoV-2 infection history. Subsequently, the
64 decay in antibody concentrations from one to three months following two doses of BNT162b2
65 vaccination were analysed for persons aged 50 and over.

66

67 **Methods**

68 ***Study population***

69 Data from two observational, longitudinal COVID-19 vaccination cohort studies with the same study
70 design were combined: one focusing on adolescents and adults (12 to 60 years old) and one on the
71 ageing population (50+ years old). Participants were included in the study if they planned to receive
72 COVID-19 vaccination or completed the primary vaccination series within the last 28 days, as this
73 was the primary endpoint of the study. See Supplementary Material for further details on study
74 design, recruitment and inclusion and exclusion criteria.

75 ***Sample collection***

76 Finger-prick blood samples and questionnaires were taken at four time points; prior to COVID-19
77 vaccination (Pre-vacc), 28 days after the first vaccination (Dose 1), 28 days after the second
78 vaccination (Dose 2), and three months after the second vaccination (Month 3). Month 3 data was
79 only available for the 50+ cohort. Questionnaires covered demographic factors, COVID-19
80 vaccination information (brand and dates), and SARS-CoV-2 testing information (if applicable).
81 Finger-prick blood samples were self-collected in microtubes and returned by mail. Once received at
82 the laboratory at the National Institute of Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), the
83 Netherlands, serum was isolated from each sample by centrifuge and stored at -20°C until sample
84 processing.

85 ***Immunoglobulin G detection***

86 Total immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibody concentrations to Spike S1 and Nucleoprotein were
87 measured simultaneously using a bead-based assay as previously described [12]. IgG concentrations
88 were expressed as binding antibody units per mL (BAU/ml) using 5-parameter logistic interpolation
89 of the International Standard for human anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulin (20/136 NIBSC standard)
90 [13]. The threshold for seropositivity was set at 10.1 BAU/ml for Spike S1 [14] and 14.3 BAU/ml for
91 Nucleoprotein [15].

92 ***Statistical analyses***

93 All statistical analyses were performed in RStudio (version 4.1.3) [16]. Age in years at first
94 vaccination was used. Persons who received one or two doses of BNT162b2 were selected. Persons
95 who received BNT162b2 in a heterologous schedule were excluded from analysis. Samples were
96 included if they were within -7 or +7 days from day 28 (Dose 1), -14 or +14 days from day 28 (Dose

97 2), and -14 or +14 days from three months (Month 3). Persons with serological evidence of a SARS-
98 CoV-2 infection history (i.e., seropositive to Spike S1 at Pre-vacc) and/or a self-reported positive
99 SARS-CoV-2 test performed by local health authorities prior to their vaccination schedule were
100 analysed separately. SARS-CoV-2 confirmatory testing was available free of charge to anyone with
101 COVID-19 related symptoms or those who were in close contact with someone who had tested
102 positive according to national guidelines in the Netherlands. Persons who reported a positive SARS-
103 CoV-2 test during – or following, for the 50+ cohort – their vaccination schedule were excluded.
104 Participants who were seronegative for Spike S1 but seropositive for Nucleoprotein at Pre-vacc were
105 also excluded as their infection-status was considered non-conclusive. Flowcharts of the number of
106 participants and available samples are shown in Supplementary Figure 1.

107 The Mann-Whitney-test was used to compare antibody concentrations between groups. A linear
108 mixed effects regression model with a random intercept per participant was used to determine the
109 effect of timepoint, age and sex on S1 IgG concentrations using *lme4* (version 1.1.28 [17]). A model
110 with these explanatory variables as well as an interaction term between timepoint and age and
111 timepoint and sex was created. Separate models were built for infection-naïve persons and those
112 with a history of SARS-CoV-2 infection. The Pre-vacc timepoint was not included in the model for
113 infection-naïve participants as we assumed no effect of age and sex on seronegative IgG S1
114 concentrations. Backward stepwise model selection was performed and the model with the lowest
115 Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) was selected using the *step* function within *lmerTest*. S1 IgG
116 concentrations were log₁₀-transformed for regression models. Two additional models were built to
117 assess antibody production following first vaccination, and then to assess decay following
118 completion of the primary vaccination series. The former model used data from infection-naïve
119 participants with measurements at both Dose 1 and Dose 2, and the latter model used data from
120 infection-naïve participants with measurements at both Dose 2 and Month 3. In addition to age and
121 sex, we explored the effect of antibody concentrations produced at a previous timepoint on the fold-
122 change to the next timepoint; e.g. the effect of IgG S1 concentrations at Dose 1 on the fold-change
123 of antibody concentrations between Dose 1 and Dose 2. Fold-change was calculated with IgG S1
124 concentrations on the linear scale. Linear regression was conducted using age, sex and IgG
125 concentration as explanatory variables, as well as the interactions between these variables. Final
126 model selection was based on the AIC, as described above.

127 ***Ethics statement***

128 Ethical approval was obtained through The Medical Research Ethics Committee Utrecht for both the
129 50+ population cohort (NL74843.041.21, EudraCT: 2021-001976-40), and for the adolescent and

130 adult cohort (12-60 years) (NL76440.041.21, EudraCT: 2021-001357-31). All participants provided
131 written informed consent. In the case of participants aged 12 till 16, both parents also provided
132 written informed consent.

133

134 **Results**

135 ***Study population***

136 A total of 1·735 BNT162b2-vaccinated participants were included and 4·925 measurements were
137 available: 1·377 at Pre-vacc, 1·429 at Dose 1, 1·425 at Dose 2 and 694 at Month 3 (50+ cohort only).
138 As vaccines were rolled-out per age group from old to young according to the national vaccination
139 campaign, older persons were less likely to have a pre-vaccination measurement. Twenty-two
140 participants dropped out of the study after enrolment. Overall, more females than males were
141 included (1·036; 60% vs 687; 40%). The median interval between the two vaccination doses was 35
142 days (interquartile range, IQR: 35-35) and did not differ between age groups (Supplementary Table
143 1). In the ages 20-59 years old, approximately 20% had a SARS-CoV-2 infection prior to vaccination,
144 followed by 12% for the ages 12-19, 5% for the ages 60-79 and 0% in 80+ (Supplementary Table 1).

145 ***Higher Spike S1-specific IgG in persons with a history of SARS-CoV-2 infection***

146 At Dose 1, 97% (1·204/1·235) were seropositive among infection-naïve persons and 100% (193/194)
147 among those with an infection prior to vaccination. Seropositivity at Dose 1 decreased with age
148 (Supplementary Table 2). Seropositivity was 100% at Dose 2 irrespective of age and prior infection
149 status. For all ages, median S1 IgG in infection-naïve increased from 0 BAU/ml Pre-vacc (IQR: 0-1), to
150 146 BAU/ml at Dose 1 (72-290; Pre-vacc vs. Dose 1: $p<0.001$), and further to 1·842 BAU/ml at Dose 2
151 (1·019-3·116; Dose 1 vs. Dose 2: $p<0.001$), Figure 1. Persons who experienced a SARS-CoV-2 infection
152 prior to vaccination increased from 73 BAU/ml Pre-vacc (29-147), to 3·293 BAU/ml at Dose 1 (1·191-
153 5·751; Pre-vacc vs. Dose 1: $p<0.001$) and 4·535 BAU/ml at Dose 2 (2·341-7·205; Dose 1 vs. Dose 2:
154 $p=0.002$); their S1 IgG was higher compared to infection-naïve participants at each timepoint
155 ($p<0.001$).

156 ***Age is a strong indicator of Spike S1-specific IgG acquisition following vaccination***

157 BNT162b2-induced S1 IgG upon vaccination decreased in concentration with age, with the highest
158 concentrations in the age group 12-19 years old (Dose 1: median 526 BAU/ml, 282-770 and Dose 2:
159 median 4·198 BAU/ml, 2·692-6·131). We observed stepwise drops per age decade to a median
160 concentration of 45 BAU/ml (16-113) for Dose 1 and a median concentration of 672 BAU/ml (366-
161 1·304) for Dose 2 in the 80-92 age group (see Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 2). There was a

162 strong negative association between age and IgG concentration at Dose 1 and Dose 2 (Table 1 and
163 Figure 3). The strength of the negative association between age and S1 IgG concentration decreased
164 between Dose 1 and Dose 2, indicating greater antibody acquisition with increasing age between
165 Dose 1 and Dose 2 (coefficient of interaction term age*Dose 2: 0.004; $p < 0.001$), Table 1. Females
166 had higher IgG S1 concentrations compared to males at Dose 1 (0.093; $p < 0.001$), but this difference
167 was smaller at Dose 2 (coefficient of interaction term Female*Dose 2: -0.044; $p = 0.048$). Lower
168 concentrations of S1 IgG at Dose 1 resulted in greater fold-change between the first and second
169 vaccination dose (Supplementary Table 3 and Supplementary Figure 2). In persons with an infection
170 history, age nor sex were associated with peak S1 IgG concentrations (Figure 2, Supplementary
171 Figure 3, Supplementary Table 4).

172 ***Spike S1-specific IgG decay is reduced with increasing age in persons 50 years and over***

173 For infection-naïve persons in the 50+ cohort, median S1 IgG decreased from 1.304 (772-2.318) at
174 Dose 2 to 440 (239-736) at Month 3 but all participants were still seropositive at Month 3 (Figure 3C
175 and Supplementary Table 2). The strength of the negative association between age and S1 IgG was
176 lower at Month 3 compared to Dose 2, indicating slower antibody decay with increasing age
177 between Dose 2 and Month 3 (coefficient for interaction term age*Month 3: 0.004; $p < 0.001$, Figure
178 3D and Supplementary Table 5). The coefficient for higher S1 IgG in females compared to males
179 remained the same between Dose 2 and Month 3 (0.102; $p < 0.001$). For antibody loss – i.e., the fold-
180 change between one and three months following the second vaccination – higher concentrations of
181 IgG S1 at Dose 2 resulted in a greater loss (Figure 4 and Supplementary Table 6). For females,
182 antibody loss was faster than for males at high concentrations of Dose 2 IgG S1 but slower at low
183 concentrations of Dose 2 IgG S1. In persons with an infection history, neither age nor sex were
184 associated with S1 IgG concentrations at both post-vaccination timepoints (Supplementary Figure 3
185 and Supplementary Table 5).

186

187 **Discussion**

188 Here we show high peak IgG responses to Spike S1 following two doses of BNT162b2 in infection-
189 naïve persons from the general population across a wide age range of 12 to 92 years. At the time of
190 writing, this study is unique as it includes people of all ages, enabling direct comparison of S1
191 antibodies in adolescents, adults and the elderly. The large numbers of participants in this study
192 allowed us to demonstrate a strong negative association between IgG S1 concentrations and age
193 after the first dose of BNT162b2. This association was less pronounced but still present after the

194 second dose. In general, the primary vaccination series resulted in high peak antibody concentration
195 even in the oldest persons.

196 We showed that IgG concentrations one month after the first dose were negatively associated with
197 age. This may be due to an overall superior B cell function in younger persons, possibly involving a
198 broader repertoire of naïve B cells. These cells are more quickly activated upon exposure to the
199 novel antigen introduced by the vaccine. Additionally, antigen uptake, processing, presentation, and
200 signalling of innate cells, as well as recruiting T cell help are more efficient in the young. This may
201 contribute to higher antibody concentrations upon initial vaccination in younger persons [18, 19].
202 However, the increase in antibody concentrations induced by the second vaccine dose was greater
203 with increasing age, partly compensating the lower antibody acquisition after the first dose. One
204 explanation might be that high concentrations of circulating IgG – seen in younger persons – could
205 partially blunt the response to the second vaccine dose and thus limit restimulation of antibody
206 production following the second vaccine dose. Specifically, considering the relatively short
207 vaccination interval of five weeks. Another explanation might be that peak antibody production was
208 not yet achieved in older persons one month after the first vaccination dose. Interestingly, we also
209 demonstrated that antibody loss between one and three months following the second dose was
210 slower with older age in the 50+ cohort. This could be explained by IgG half-life which affects lower
211 IgG values in older persons less than higher IgG values in younger persons, and by differences in
212 underlying short-lived plasma cell responses and memory B cell formation across age ranges [19,
213 20].

214 Other studies have previously shown the inverse relationship between age and antibody
215 concentrations following one dose of BNT162b2 in the infection-naïve general population or
216 healthcare workers [7, 11]. However, observations of antibody concentrations following two doses
217 are contradictory, with some studies reporting limited to no effect [7, 9] and others strong
218 associations with age [10, 21-24]. The lack of an observed age-association after two doses reported
219 in some studies might be explained by the serological assay used, the time window of sampling since
220 the second dose, the time interval between the two doses, and/or the sample size of the study. The
221 sample size in this study was large across all ages with well-defined sampling times post-vaccination.
222 However, it should be noted that persons of 60-64 years old were underrepresented in our study
223 due to national guidelines to vaccinate with Vaxzevria. The pronounced age-effect demonstrated in
224 this study was still present one month after the second dose, and for the 50+ cohort at three months
225 following the second dose. This is in line with results from a recent pre-print showing lower vaccine
226 effectiveness against SARS-CoV-2 infection to the Delta and Omicron BA.1 variants with increasing
227 age in the Netherlands [25]. Nevertheless, infection risk is determined by a complex interaction of

228 infection pressure, behaviour, and the immune system's response to invasion of the virus, which of
229 course constitutes more than antibody concentrations alone.

230 To date, most data on antibody responses following BNT162b2 vaccination in the elderly (80+) were
231 derived from nursing home residents, the most frail group of older individuals [7, 8]. The ageing
232 population, however, shows a huge heterogeneity in health status, with early signs of ageing already
233 occurring in the 5th decade (40-50) of life [26]. The diversity between individuals is caused by a
234 complex interplay of physiological and maybe pathological changes in metabolism, organ function,
235 the innate and adaptive immune system, and differences in exposure to risk factors [18]. In nursing
236 home residents aged 80 and over the seroconversion rate for Spike S1 following the primary series
237 of BNT162b2 was 89% [8]. Here, we showed 100% seropositivity in the elderly general population,
238 outside of nursing home settings, up to three months following the second vaccine dose.

239 Reassuringly, this implies that in a large part of the older general population immune response is
240 better when compared to older persons in nursing homes. Similarly, Parry et al. also studied persons
241 ages 80 and over in the general population and showed a seroconversion rate of 96% after two
242 doses of BNT162b2 (n= 100) [9].

243 As previously described by others, we showed that females had slightly higher antibody
244 concentrations than males following BNT162b2 vaccination [10, 11, 22]. This has been hypothesized
245 to be due to sex steroid hormones or other genetic factors [5, 6]. Also in line with previous findings,
246 we showed higher antibody concentrations in participants with a history of SARS-CoV-2 infection
247 [10, 11, 27]. This finding has led to a national recommendation in The Netherlands of requiring a
248 single dose to complete the primary vaccine series in persons with previous SARS-CoV-2 infection.
249 We found that peak IgG S1 concentrations one month after the second dose were not affected by
250 age or sex in persons with an infection history. However, in the 50+ cohort at three months following
251 the second dose there was more variation in IgG concentrations, but also fewer observations
252 available across a smaller age range. Still, the persistence of antibodies may differ for those receiving
253 one or two doses following infection, or with age and sex.

254 In conclusion, we showed a strong negative association between BNT162b2-vaccination induced S1
255 IgG concentration and age. High concentrations of circulating antibodies are important for
256 neutralizing SARS-CoV-2 infection, as also recently exemplified by escape variants such as Omicron.
257 Monitoring the persistence or decay of immune responses following vaccination is pivotal,
258 specifically to evaluate antibody concentrations associated with immune protection in the elderly
259 population. Such knowledge could support vaccination strategies to sustain optimal population

260 immunity. Therefore, future work will focus on vaccine-induced SARS-CoV-2 humoral and cellular
261 responses over time across age, infection history, number of received doses and health status.

262

263 **Funding**

264 This work was funded by the Dutch Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sports.

265

266 **Acknowledgements**

267 We would like to thank all participants.

268 References

- 269 1. Shrotri, M., et al., *An interactive website tracking COVID-19 vaccine development*. Lancet
270 Glob Health, 2021. **9**(5): p. e590-e592.
- 271 2. Polack, F.P., et al., *Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine*. N Engl J
272 Med, 2020. **383**(27): p. 2603-2615.
- 273 3. Haas, E.J., et al., *Impact and effectiveness of mRNA BNT162b2 vaccine against SARS-CoV-2*
274 *infections and COVID-19 cases, hospitalisations, and deaths following a nationwide*
275 *vaccination campaign in Israel: an observational study using national surveillance data*.
276 Lancet, 2021. **397**(10287): p. 1819-1829.
- 277 4. Tartof, S.Y., et al., *Effectiveness of mRNA BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccine up to 6 months in a*
278 *large integrated health system in the USA: a retrospective cohort study*. Lancet, 2021.
279 **398**(10309): p. 1407-1416.
- 280 5. Ciabattini, A., et al., *Vaccination in the elderly: The challenge of immune changes with aging*.
281 Semin Immunol, 2018. **40**: p. 83-94.
- 282 6. Poland, G.A., I.G. Ovsyannikova, and R.B. Kennedy, *Personalized vaccinology: A review*.
283 Vaccine, 2018. **36**(36): p. 5350-5357.
- 284 7. Faro-Viana, J., et al., *Population homogeneity for the antibody response to COVID-19*
285 *BNT162b2/Comirnaty vaccine is only reached after the second dose across all adult age*
286 *ranges*. Nat Commun, 2022. **13**(1): p. 140.
- 287 8. Muller, L., et al., *Age-dependent Immune Response to the Biontech/Pfizer BNT162b2*
288 *Coronavirus Disease 2019 Vaccination*. Clin Infect Dis, 2021. **73**(11): p. 2065-2072.
- 289 9. Parry, H., et al., *mRNA vaccination in people over 80 years of age induces strong humoral*
290 *immune responses against SARS-CoV-2 with cross neutralization of P.1 Brazilian variant*.
291 Elife, 2021. **10**.
- 292 10. Wei, J., et al., *Antibody responses and correlates of protection in the general population after*
293 *two doses of the ChAdOx1 or BNT162b2 vaccines*. Nat Med, 2022.
- 294 11. Wei, J., et al., *Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in 45,965 adults from the general*
295 *population of the United Kingdom*. Nat Microbiol, 2021. **6**(9): p. 1140-1149.
- 296 12. den Hartog, G., et al., *SARS-CoV-2-Specific Antibody Detection for Seroepidemiology: A*
297 *Multiplex Analysis Approach Accounting for Accurate Seroprevalence*. J Infect Dis, 2020.
298 **222**(9): p. 1452-1461.
- 299 13. World Health Organization. *First WHO International Standard for anti-SARS-CoV-2*
300 *immunoglobulin (human)*. 2020 [cited 2021 7 June 2021]; Available from:
301 <https://www.nibsc.org/documents/ifu/20-136.pdf>.
- 302 14. Vos, E.R.A., et al., *Associations between measures of social distancing and SARS-CoV-2*
303 *seropositivity: a nationwide population-based study in the Netherlands*. Clin Infect Dis, 2021.
- 304 15. van den Hoogen, L.L., et al., *Seropositivity to Nucleoprotein to detect mild and asymptomatic*
305 *SARS-CoV-2 infections: A complementary tool to detect breakthrough infections after COVID-*
306 *19 vaccination?* Vaccine, 2022. **40**(15): p. 2251-2257.
- 307 16. R Core Team, *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. 2020, R Foundation
308 for Statistical Computing: Vienna, Austria.
- 309 17. Bates, D., et al., *Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4*. Journal of Statistical
310 Software, 2015. **67**: p. 1-48.
- 311 18. Pinti, M., et al., *Aging of the immune system: Focus on inflammation and vaccination*. Eur J
312 Immunol, 2016. **46**(10): p. 2286-2301.
- 313 19. Siegrist, C.A. and R. Aspinall, *B-cell responses to vaccination at the extremes of age*. Nat Rev
314 Immunol, 2009. **9**(3): p. 185-94.
- 315 20. Steenhuis, M., et al., *Dynamics of antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 in convalescent plasma donors*.
316 Clin Transl Immunology, 2021. **10**(5): p. e1285.
- 317 21. Bates, T.A., et al., *Age-Dependent Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 and P.1 Variant by Vaccine*
318 *Immune Serum Samples*. JAMA, 2021.

- 319 22. Levin, E.G., et al., *Waning Immune Humoral Response to BNT162b2 Covid-19 Vaccine over 6*
320 *Months*. N Engl J Med, 2021. **385**(24): p. e84.
- 321 23. Naaber, P., et al., *Dynamics of antibody response to BNT162b2 vaccine after six months: a*
322 *longitudinal prospective study*. Lancet Reg Health Eur, 2021. **10**: p. 100208.
- 323 24. Pellini, R., et al., *Initial observations on age, gender, BMI and hypertension in antibody*
324 *responses to SARS-CoV-2 BNT162b2 vaccine*. EClinicalMedicine, 2021. **36**: p. 100928.
- 325 25. Andeweg, S.P., et al., *Protection of COVID-19 vaccination and previous infection against*
326 *Omicron BA.1 and Delta SARS-CoV-2 infections, the Netherlands, 22 November 2021- 19*
327 *January 2022*. MedRxiv, 2022.
- 328 26. Herndler-Brandstetter, D., H. Ishigame, and R.A. Flavell, *How to define biomarkers of human*
329 *T cell aging and immunocompetence?* Front Immunol, 2013. **4**: p. 136.
- 330 27. van den Hoogen, L.L., et al., *SARS-CoV-2 Spike S1-specific IgG kinetic profiles following mRNA*
331 *or vector-based vaccination in the general Dutch population show distinct kinetics*. Sci Rep,
332 2022. **12**(1): p. 5935.

333

334

335 **Table 1: Linear mixed effects regression results for Spike S1 IgG concentrations up to one month**
336 **following two doses of BNT162b2 for infection-naïve persons.** Model results for 1,448 unique
337 participants with S1 IgG measurements available at one month after the first (Dose 1) or second
338 vaccination dose (Dose 2). Three persons reporting their sex as “other” were excluded from the
339 model.

	Coefficient	95% CI	p-value
Timepoint			
- Dose 1	Ref.		
- Dose 2	0.891	0.822,0.960	<0.001
Age in years	-0.013	-0.014,-0.012	<0.001
Sex			
- Male	Ref.		
- Female	0.093	0.049,0.136	<0.001
Age*Dose 2	0.004	0.003,0.006	<0.001
Sex*Dose 2			
- Male*Dose 2	Ref.		
- Female*Dose 2	-0.044	-0.087,-0.000	0.049

340

341

342 **Figure legends**

343 **Figure 1: Spike S1-specific IgG kinetics following the primary series of BNT162b2 vaccination in**
344 **infection-naïve participants (A) and participants with a SARS-CoV-2 infection prior to vaccination**
345 **(B).** Boxplots show results for all participants at each timepoint, while dots and dashed grey lines
346 show measurements and their trajectory between timepoints per participant. Results are shown for
347 total of 1·500 infection-naïve participants and 235 participants with a SARS-CoV-2 infection history;
348 measurements were not available at every timepoint for each participant. Spike S1 IgG
349 concentrations were expressed in international binding antibody units (BAU) using the 20/136 NIBSC
350 standard and were taken prior to vaccination (Pre-vacc), one month following the first (Dose 1) and
351 one month following the second vaccination dose (Dose 2). The horizontal dashed line represents
352 the threshold for seropositivity to Spike S1. Asterisks indicate p-values from Wilcoxon-Mann
353 Whitney test (unpaired), when the Wilcoxon signed ranks test was performed in only paired
354 samples all p-values were <0.001. IgG: immunoglobulin G; BAU: binding antibody units; ***:
355 $p < 0.001$; **: $p = 0.002$.

356 **Figure 2: Spike S1-specific IgG kinetics by age category following the primary series of BNT162b2**
357 **vaccination in infection-naïve participants (A) and participants with a SARS-CoV-2 infection prior**
358 **to vaccination (B).** Boxplots show results by age group at each timepoint, while dots show individual
359 measurements. Results are shown for total of 1·500 infection-naïve participants and 235 participants
360 with a SARS-CoV-2 infection history; measurements were not available at every timepoint for each
361 participant (see Supplementary Table 2). Spike S1 IgG concentrations were expressed in
362 international binding antibody units (BAU) using the 20/136 NIBSC standard and were taken prior to
363 vaccination (Pre-vacc), one month following the first (Dose 1) and one month following the second
364 vaccination dose (Dose 2). The horizontal dashed line represents the threshold for seropositivity to
365 Spike S1. IgG: immunoglobulin G; BAU: binding antibody units.

366 **Figure 3: Spike S1-specific IgG by age in years per timepoint (A,B,D) and kinetics following the**
367 **primary series of BNT162b2 vaccination in infection-naïve participants up to three months**
368 **following the second vaccination dose (C).** In (A, B, D) fitted lines represent the linear association
369 between IgG concentration and age from linear mixed effects regression results (see Table 1 and
370 Supplementary Table 4), while dots represent individual measurements. Results are shown
371 separately for males (green) and females (yellow). In (C) boxplots show results for all participants at
372 each timepoint, while dots and dashed grey lines show measurements and their trajectory between
373 timepoints per participant. In (A-D) Spike S1 IgG concentrations were expressed in international
374 binding antibody units (BAU) using the 20/136 NIBSC standard and were taken prior to vaccination

375 (Pre-vacc), one month following the first (Dose 1), one month following the second (Dose 2) or three
376 months following the second vaccination dose (Month 3). In (A-B) results are shown for total of
377 1,448 unique infection-naïve participants across all ages with S1 IgG measurements available at Dose
378 1 and/or Dose 2, while in (C) results are shown for 749 unique infection-naïve participants in the 50+
379 cohort and in (D) results are shown for 725 unique infection-naïve participants in the 50+ cohort
380 with measurements available at Dose 2 and/or Month 3. The horizontal dashed line represents the
381 threshold for seropositivity to Spike S1. IgG: immunoglobulin G; BAU: binding antibody units.

382 **Figure 4: The fold-change in Spike S1-specific IgG to represent antibody loss between one and**
383 **three months following the second dose of BNT162b2 by IgG S1 concentration at one month**
384 **following the second dose.** Fitted lines represent the linear association between fold-change and
385 age from linear mixed effects regression results for four ages (see Supplementary Table 6), while
386 dots represent individual measurements. Results are shown separately for males and females. This
387 only includes participants who participated at both timepoints (i.e., one month and three months
388 following the second dose of BNT162b2); n=633.

389







