1	Title: - Comprehensive assessment of Age-Specific Mortality Rate and its incremental changes
2	using a composite measure: A sub-national analysis of rural Indian women
3	Short title- Age-Specific Mortality Rate of rural Indian women
4	Authors- Divya Sharma <sup>1</sup> , Tanvi Kiran <sup>1</sup> , KP Junaid <sup>1</sup> , Vineeth Rajagopal <sup>1</sup> , Saraswati Sharma <sup>1</sup>
5	<sup>1</sup> Department of Community Medicine and School of Public Health, Postgraduate Institute of
6	Medical Education and Research (PGIMER), Chandigarh, India
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

#### 23 Abstract

Background- Diverse socio-economic and cultural issues contribute to adverse health outcomes
and increased mortality rates among rural Indian women across different age categories. The
present study aims to comprehensively assess age-specific mortality rates and their temporal trends
using a composite measure at the sub-national level for rural Indian females to capture cross-state
differences.

Methods- A total of 19 states were included in the study to construct a composite age-specific mortality index for 2011 (base year) and 2018 (reference year) and examine the incremental changes in the index values across these years at the sub-national level in India. Sub-index values were calculated for each component age group and were subsequently used to compute the composite ASMR index using the geometric mean method. Based on the incremental changes, the performance of states was categorized into four different typologies.

Results- Improvement in mortality index scores in the 0-4 years age group was documented for all states. The mortality rates for the 60+ age group were recorded to be high for all states. Kerela emerged as the overall top performer in terms of mortality index scores, while Bihar and Jharkhand were at the bottom of the mortality index table. The overall mortality composite score has shown minor improvement from base year to reference year at all India level.

Conclusions- An overall reduction in the mortality rates of rural Indian women has been observed
over the years in India. The success of public health interventions to reduce the under-five
mortality rate is evident as the female rural mortality rates have reduced sizably for all states.
Nevertheless, there is still sizable scope for reducing mortality rates for other component age

groups. Additionally, there is a need to divert attention toward the female geriatric (60+ years)
population as the mortality rates are still high.

#### 46 Introduction

Nearly 65% of the Indians predominantly reside in rural areas [1], and half of them fall below the 47 poverty line [2]. Several studies conducted in developing countries have indicated the presence of 48 a strong association between low socio-economic status, poor health, and inaccessible health care 49 facilities [3]. Due to the unfavorable socio-economic conditions, the rural population faces a 50 recurring struggle for survival and succumbs to it most of the time [4]. Disparities in the life span 51 of rural and urban inhabitants have also been documented by many studies globally [5–7]. It can 52 be explained by the disproportionate distribution of public resources toward healthcare in urban 53 and rural areas, thus increasing the gap in terms of healthcare facilities [8]. The low investment in 54 the health infrastructure of rural areas leads to management issues, a shortage of dedicated cadre 55 of the health workforce, and insufficient training of healthcare workers, which contribute to poor 56 health services at large. The other factors accounting for poorer survival of rural residents include 57 inefficient illness management, remote and inaccessible healthcare facilities, lack of preventive 58 and screening measures, and low levels of knowledge and awareness [7]. 59

Significant health inequalities and disparities in health status are also seen within India, leading to differences in the mortality rates of vulnerable populations like women and children. These inequalities affect populations at the national and sub-national levels [9]. The discrepancies in the health status resulting in high mortality are majorly reported in the Empowered Action Group (EAG) states of India, which are socioeconomically backward and lag in the demographic transition [10]. Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Jharkhand, Chhattisgarh, and Uttar Pradesh are the few EAG states and are usually found at the bottom of the tables in most of the developmental

parameters [11]. The major reasons for the poor performances of these states, specifically in terms
of health outcomes and high mortality rates, include poverty, overpopulation, low literacy levels,
gender discrimination, and poor health infrastructure [12].

Though biological differences exist between the genders however [13]; the health outcome 70 differences and inequalities are more evident in the case of rural Indian women. Women face 71 diverse socio-economic and cultural issues attributed in terms of gender discrimination, such as 72 female infanticide, child marriage, dowry, domestic violence, lack of education, and unavailability 73 of proper sanitation and healthcare facilities [14–16]. These indicators contribute to adverse health 74 outcomes and increased mortality rates among rural women across different age categories [10]. 75 76 Various targeted public health programmes have been implemented to reduce mortality rates, especially in the EAG states. Age-specific interventions have also been launched to improve 77 nutrition among women [17] and reduce mortality, particularly among infants and under-five 78 79 children [18]. The programmes have successfully reduced mortality to a great extent, but the progress has been uneven. There is still a striking difference between the age-specific mortality 80 rates at the sub-national level in India, especially concerning women residing in rural areas [19.20]. 81

There is a gap in the literature on assessing differences in the Age-Specific Mortality Rates 82 (ASMR) pertaining to rural women across different Indian states. To the best of our knowledge, 83 no published study in India has been conducted to quantify and transform the age-specific 84 mortality values into a single composite value at the sub-national level, especially for rural 85 females. The present study addresses the lacuna by constructing age-specific mortality index for 86 four major component age groups and a composite 'Age-specific mortality index' for rural Indian 87 88 women at the sub-national and national levels for base and reference years to examine the temporal 89 changes, if any. The proposed composite measure includes mortality values of all component age

groups within its ambit to capture India's cross-state differences. In this backdrop, the specific 90 objectives of the study can be defined as follows; a) to comprehensively quantify the mortality 91 values at the sub-national level in India by constructing a composite age-specific mortality index 92 for rural Indian women using a rigorous methodology involving historical benchmark values for 93 mortality; b) to examine the incremental changes in mortality index scores from base year to 94 reference year at the sub-national level.; c) to map and categorize Indian states into not improved, 95 least, moderately and highly improved classification based on the incremental changes of the 96 mortality index scores. Thus, examining the state-specific and age-specific determinants leading 97 98 to sizable mortality rates among rural Indian women is essential to address the health inequalities. The identification of determinants necessitates the assessment of age-specific mortality rates and 99 their temporal trends in quantifiable terms at the sub-national level for rural Indian females, which 100 101 forms the very rationale and aim of the present study.

102 Methods

#### 103 A. Data source

The secondary data on age-specific mortality rates of states was extracted from Sample 104 Registration System (SRS) statistical reports available on the web portal of the office of 105 the Registrar General and Census Commissioner under the Indian Ministry of Home 106 Affairs. The Census of India generates data on population statistics, including vital 107 statistics and census [21]. A total of 19 states have been included in the study to construct 108 a composite age-specific mortality index for 2011 (base year) and 2018 (reference year) 109 and examine the incremental changes in the index values across these years at the sub-110 national level in India. 111

#### **B.** Components of Age-Specific Mortality Rate

114	Age-Specific Mortality Rate (ASMR) is a mortality rate confined to a particular age group
115	[22]. The SRS statistical reports publish ASMR by sex and residence for four-component
116	age groups, i.e., 0-4 years, 5-14years, 15-59 years, and 60 years and above. Since the
117	present study aimed to construct a composite ASMR index for rural females, the data
118	pertaining to all the aforementioned component age groups of rural females were extracted
119	for the years commencing from 1971 to 2018 for the identification of benchmark values
120	required for data normalization.

121 C. Data cleaning

The extracted data was randomly chosen and rechecked to assess errors in data entry. Followed by that, the data was checked for the missing values. Out of 28 Indian states, the data was completely missing for 7 states, bringing the tally down to 21 states. Subsequently, data for two Indian states, namely; Uttarakhand, was available after 2014 and for Telangana for the year 2018 only. The historical values of these states were not available in the literature and thus, these states were excluded from the study.

128

#### **D.** Computation of composite Age-specific Mortality Index

129

#### i. Data normalization

The extracted ASMR data was normalized based on the standardized procedure by employing the 'Minimum-Maximum approach' used by international and national agencies and organizations to compute various composite indices [4-5]. Since the indicators in the study were negative in nature, where an actual lower value means better performance, therefore the values were normalized and scaled using the following formula [23]: -

136		<b>Normalized value (N<sub>i</sub>)</b> = <u>Maximum value - Actual value (X<sub>i</sub>) × 100</u>
137		Maximum value-Minimum value
138		The minimum and maximum values refer to the benchmark values identified using
139		the following procedure.
140	ii.	Identification of 'minimum and maximum' (benchmark values) using
141		historical data
142		The Census of India has been publishing annual SRS statistical reports since 2011,
143		before which these reports were published intermittently commencing from 1971.
144		The benchmark values were identified using historical ASMR values published in
145		SRS statistical reports from 1971 to 2018 for each component age group. The
146		historical data, being a crucial tool in statistical procedures, is the data often
147		disaggregated or in different measuring [25] units that have been preserved for a
148		long period [26] as it reflects information having substantial significance. Historical
149		data is mainly required to identify the thresholds, which are then used for data
150		normalization. For negative indicators like mortality, the maximum thresholds
151		should not be obtained from the data range for which the index has to be calculated
152		(2011 to 2018 in the present study) using the geometric mean approach [27,28]. The
153		rationale governing this principle is that despite having non-zero (positive) values
154		for the component/domains of the composite index, the entire index is rendered to
155		be zero in the event of a single domain registering a zero value (which is
156		transformed through normalization process explained above). The minimum and
157		maximum values (benchmarks) are given in the supplementary table (S1 Table).

#### 159 iii. Construction of composite Age-specific Mortality Index

- The normalized value computed for the four component age groups reflects the sub-160 index values for these age groups, which were subsequently used to compute the 161 composite ASMR index using the geometric mean method. The geometric mean 162 method has the upper hand over other measures as it is less altered by extreme 163 values, is robust and thus maintains an overall balance in data distribution. Further, 164 it is a standardized technique for index construction adopted by reputed 165 international agencies/organizations, including United Nations Development 166 Programme (UNDP) [24,29] 167
- 168 The index scores range from 0-to 100 for each indicator. A higher index score 169 (closer to 100) indicates a lower ASMR value, and a lower index score (closer to 170 zero) indicates a higher ASMR value.

#### 171 E. Incremental changes and classification of states into different typologies

172 Incremental change measures the change in the index score from 2011 (base year) to 2018 (reference year). The highest positive incremental change across all the component age 173 groups was 13.9, reported in the 60+ category. Based on the incremental changes, the states 174 are divided into four groups: 'Not improved' (<=0 incremental change), 'Least improved' 175 (0.01 to 3 points increase), 'Moderately improved' (3.01 to 6 points increase), and 'Highly 176 improved' (> 6 points increase). The categorization was done using the percentile method 177  $(P_{20} \text{ and } P_{40} \text{ determining cut off points for least improved and moderately improved,})$ 178 respectively) and is based on the approach followed by the National Institution for 179 180 Transforming India (NITI Aayog-the apex public policy 'think tank' of the Government of India) for categorizing health index scores. 181

F. Data sharing and Ethical Considerations- The study is purely based on secondary data, 182 which is freely available to the general public. The study does not involve any interaction 183 with the participants/human subjects. Hence, ethical approval was not required for this 184 study. Further, the excel files depicting the normalized values and computed composite 185 index score for age-specific mortality rates for rural Indian women across different Indian 186 states have already been uploaded to the Mendeley data repository [30] 187 (https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/v5gskzj78x/1) and can be easily accessed by the 188 interested users/researchers/ academicians and alike. 189

190 **Results** 

#### 191 Descriptive characteristics of component age groups of ASMR for rural Indian women

The means of AMSR values of the four component age groups for each state were calculated for a span of 8 years (2011-2018) (**Table 1**). At the all-India level, the highest mean death rate ( $41.63\pm0.42$ ) for rural Indian females was observed for the 60+ age group, while the lowest was for the 5-14 years age group ( $0.15\pm0.08$ ). In three out of four component age groups, barring 60+ years, Kerela recorded the lowest mean death rate values for rural Indian women.

In the age groups 0-4 years and 5-14 years, Madhya Pradesh reported the highest mean value  $(17.70\pm2.94 \text{ and } 1.14\pm0.36)$ , while Kerela  $(2.79\pm0.47 \text{ and } 0.15\pm0.08)$  registered the lowest mean death rates for the rural Indian females. Chhattisgarh recorded the highest  $(3.76\pm0.47)$  and Kerala the lowest mean death rates  $(1.56\pm0.34)$  in 15-59 years of age. In the 60+ age group, Bihar documented the highest mean value  $(53.48\pm5.55)$ , while Jammu & Kashmir (J&K) reported the lowest mean death rate  $(27.64\pm5.1)$ . Furthermore, estimates of the coefficient of variation showed the highest variation in the 5-14 years age group and lowest variation in the 60+ years age group.

		Coeff	ficient of v	variation	(%)			
States		Death rates	(rural females)		Deat	h rates (r	ural fema	ıles)
	0-4 vears	5-14 years	15-59 vears	60+ vears	0-4	5-14	15-59	60+
	0 + years	5 14 years	15 57 years	00+ years	years	years	years	years
Andhra Pradesh	10.51±0.87	0.49±0.22	3.34±0.18	39.04±1.43	8.25	44.45	5.53	3.66
Assam	16.18±2.17	0.90±0.38	3.30±0.49	46.76±4.51	13.43	42.00	14.93	9.63
Bihar	11.66±1.51	0.74±0.24	2.35±0.3	53.48±5.55	12.99	32.36	15.26	10.37
Chhattisgarh	13.10±1.65	0.83±0.39	3.76±0.47	49.33±5.94	12.56	47.50	12.46	12.04
Gujarat	11.63±1.64	0.65±0.15	2.51±0.17	32.70±2.66	14.08	23.26	6.87	8.12
Haryana	11.61±1.11	0.56±0.38	2.45±0.19	36.65±2.94	9.56	67.15	7.87	8.03
Himachal Pradesh	7.86±1.96	0.45±0.25	1.88±0.35	33.48±3.05	24.88	55.71	18.86	9.12
Jammu&								
Kashmir**	8.51±1.85	0.55±0.18	2.14±0.21	27.64±5.19	21.77	32.23	9.98	18.76
Jharkhand	11.33±1.86	0.98±0.63	3.05±0.42	50.88±5.08	16.45	64.34	13.69	9.98
Karnataka	8.49±0.94	0.45±0.18	3.11±0.32	44.44±2.18	11.08	39.40	10.37	4.91
Kerala	2.79±0.47	0.15±0.08	1.56±0.34	34.16±3.28	16.77	50.40	21.89	9.61
Madhya Pradesh	17.70±2.94	1.14±0.36	2.88±0.32	46.16±2.23	16.60	31.85	11.12	4.83
Maharashtra	5.75±0.41	0.38±0.10	2.66±0.50	38.04±3.65	7.14	27.60	18.72	9.59
Odisha	14.28±2.08	1.00±0.38	3.19±0.30	41.94±3.43	14.58	37.80	9.55	8.17
Punjab	7.78±1.94	0.35±0.19	2.73±0.34	36.34±2.99	24.90	52.90	12.37	8.23
Rajasthan	14.91±2.67	0.70±0.24	2.16±0.23	34.89±1.35	17.91	34.15	10.47	3.88
Tamil Nadu	5.13±0.61	0.48±0.16	2.93±0.26	39.10±2.13	11.97	33.29	8.91	5.45
Uttar Pradesh	16.76±2.46	1.01±0.20	3.46±0.41	45.05±3.85	14.68	19.35	11.85	8.54
West Bengal	7.05±1.05	0.50±0.21	2.31±0.19	41.59±2.51	14.95	42.76	8.15	6.04
India	12.11±1.60	0.75±0.12	2.83±0.12	41.63±0.42	13.21	15.94	4.12	1.02

## Table 1: Descriptive characteristics of component age groups of Age-specific mortality rates of rural Indian women at the sub-national and national levels from 2011-2018

207 \*\* J&K was an Indian state till 2019 and is now administered as a union territory by India

208

### 210 Age-specific Mortality Index scores of component age groups and its comparison between

#### 211 the base year and reference year for rural Indian women

The study computed sub-indices for mortality with regard to each component age group and 212 compared their index scores for the base year (2011) and reference year (2018) (Table 2 & 3). 213 Compared to the base year, index scores of mortality of rural women for the age group of 0-4 years 214 215 increased for all the Indian states. Kerala accounted for the highest index score for 0-4 years in the base year (98.8) and in the reference year (99.8), and Madhya Pradesh documented the lowest 216 index scores (80.5 and 87.5) in both the years. In terms of the incremental change between the 217 218 base year and reference year for the age group 0-4 years, the highly improved (HI) states with the highest incremental changes include Madhya Pradesh (7.0) and Rajasthan (7.0). In contrast, the 219 least improved states with the lowest incremental changes were Maharashtra (0.5), Kerala (1.0), 220 221 and Tamil Nadu (1.6) (Fig. 1).

### Fig. 1: Mapping of Indian states based on incremental changes in index scores for each component age group

In the age group 5-14 years, the index scores of states were relatively higher than other component age groups. However, there was a decline in the mortality index score for states that registered high index values in the base year. Fourteen states reported an increase in the mortality score in the reference year for this particular age group. A remarkable improvement was seen in Chhattisgarh (13.8) and Odisha (10.8) (**Fig. 1**). Meanwhile, Himachal Pradesh (-3.1), Jammu & Kashmir (-3.1), Karnataka (-1.6), and Maharashtra (-1.6) recorded negative changes in the mortality scores.

#### Table 2: Incremental changes in mortality Index scores of age groups 0-4 and 5-14 years

#### 233 for rural Indian women from the base year to reference year

	0-4 years			5-14 years		
State	Index score Base year (2011)	Index score Reference Year (2018)	Incremental change	Index score Base year (2011)	Index score Reference Year (2018)	Incremental change
Andhra Pradesh	90.9 (9)	92.7 (10)	1.8 (LI)	86.2 (7) *	93.8 (3) *	7.6 (HI)
Assam	83.5 (17)	89.1 (16)	5.6 (MI)	89.2 (5) *	96.9 (1)	7.7 (HI)
Bihar	88.9 (10)	93.1 (8)	4.2 (MI)	81.5 (9) *	87.6 (7)	6.1 (HI)
Chhattisgarh	87.2 (14)	91.3 (13)	4.1 (MI)	80.0 (10)	93.8 (3) *	13.8 (HI)
Gujarat	87.9 (12)	92.8 (9)	4.9 (MI)	87.7 (6) *	92.3 (4) *	4.6 (MI)
Haryana	88.8 (11)	92.1 (12)	3.3 (MI)	93.8 (3)	95.3 (2)	1.5 (LI)
Himachal Pradesh	92.5 (5)	97.0 (3)	4.5 (MI)	96.9 (1)	93.8 (3) *	-3.1 (NI)
Jammu & Kashmir**	91.0 (8)	95.8 (6)	4.8 (MI)	95.4 (2) *	92.3 (4) *	-3.1 (NI)
Jharkhand	87.4 (13)	92.3 (11)	4.9 (MI)	87.7 (6) *	92.3 (4) *	4.6 (MI)
Karnataka	92.2 (6)	94.4 (7)	2.2 (LI)	92.3 (4)	90.7 (5) *	-1.6 (NI)
Kerala	98.8 (1)	99.8 (1)	1.0 (LI)	96.9 (1)	96.9 (1)	0 (NI)
Madhya Pradesh	80.5 (19)	87.5 (18)	7.0 (HI)	86.2 (7) *	90.7 (5) *	4.5 (MI)
Maharashtra	96.0 (2)	96.5 (4) *	0.5 (LI)	95.4 (2) *	93.8 (3) *	-1.6 (NI)
Odisha	85.1 (15)	90.7 (15)	5.6 (MI)	81.5 (9) *	92.3 (4) *	10.8 (HI)
Punjab	91.5 (7)	96.5 (4) *	5.0 (MI)	89.2 (5) *	92.3 (4) *	3.1 (MI)
Rajasthan	83.8 (16)	90.8 (14)	7.0 (HI)	84.6 (8)	89.2 (6)	4.6 (MI)
Tamil Nadu	95.9 (3)	97.5 (2)	1.6 (LI)	89.2 (5) *	95.3 (2) *	6.1 (HI)
Uttar Pradesh	81.7 (18)	87.7 (17)	6.0 (MI)	81.5 (9) *	86.1 (8)	4.6 (MI)
West Bengal	93.3 (4)	96.3 (5)	3.0 (LI)	87.7 (6) *	95.3 (2) *	7.6 (HI)
India	87.6	92.1	4.5 (MI)	86.2	90.7	4.5 (MI)

234 NI' = Not Improved (<=0); LI' = Least Improved (0-3); MI' = Moderately Improved (3.01-6); HI' = Highly Improved235 (>6.01)

**236** *The ranks for each Indian state have been displayed in the parentheses of the table.* \* *Denotes tied ranks.* 

237 \*\* J&K was an Indian state till 2019 and is now administered as a union territory by India.

For the 15-59 age group (Table 3), the mortality score of rural Indian women ranged from 68.0 to 238 95.1. Kerela reported the highest index scores in base (93.4) and reference years (95.1), while 239 Chhattisgarh reported the lowest scores in both years (76.2 & 68.0). There was no change in 240 Karnataka, Maharashtra, and Rajasthan index scores from base year to reference year. Based on 241 the incremental changes, Bihar showed the highest improvement (8.2), while Odisha (5.0) and 242 Madhya Pradesh (4.9) improved moderately in the age category 15-59 years (Fig. 1). The states 243 with negative changes in the mortality scores included Chhattisgarh (-8.2), Punjab (-4.9), Jammu 244 & Kashmir (-1.6), and Uttar Pradesh (-1.6) 245

A wide disparity of mortality scores for rural women was seen in the 60+ years age group ranging 246 from 37.4 to 92.2 in the reference year. Kerela (81.5) registered the highest index score in the base 247 year and Jammu & Kashmir (92.2) in the reference year. Jharkhand (50.2) and Bihar (37.4) 248 recorded the lowest index scores in the base year and reference year in this particular age group. 249 250 Ten states showed improvement in index scores from base year to reference year. Jammu & Kashmir recorded the highest improvement (13.9) in this age group. It was followed by Odisha 251 (9.6) and Gujarat (9.0) (Fig. 1). In contrast, Bihar (-27.5), Chhattisgarh (-16.0), and Tamil Nadu 252 253 (-6.1) documented notable negative changes in the mortality index values for this age group. At all India level, the mortality scores sizably increased for both 0-4 (4.5) and 5-14 years age groups 254 (4.5), whereas it slightly improved and decreased for 15-59 (1.6) and 60+ (-0.8) age groups, 255 respectively for rural women. 256

257

258

#### 260 Table 3: Incremental changes in mortality Index scores of age groups 15-59 and 60+ years

#### 261 for rural Indian women from the base year to reference year

		15-59 years		60+ years			
State	Index score Base year (2011)	Index score Reference Year (2018)	Incremental change	Index score Base year (2011)	Index score Reference Year (2018)	Incremental change	
Andhra Pradesh	77.0 (11)	77.9 (13)	0.9 (LI)	73.7 (8) *	77.7 (6)	4.0 (MI)	
Assam	73.8 (13)	76.2 (14)	2.4 (LI)	59.2 (15)	67.2 (13)	8.0 (HI)	
Bihar	81.1 (9)	89.3 (3)	8.2 (HI)	64.9 (12)	37.4 (19)	-27.5 (NI)	
Chhattisgarh	76.2 (12) *	68.0 (15)	-8.2 (NI)	64.4 (13)	48.4 (18)	-16.0 (NI)	
Gujarat	82.0 (8)	86.1 (6) *	4.1 (MI)	79.4 (2)	88.4 (2)	9.0 (HI)	
Haryana	84.4 (6)	86.1 (6) *	1.7 (LI)	75.7 (5)	76.3 (8)	0.6 (LI)	
Himachal Pradesh	89.3 (2)	90.2 (2)	0.9 (LI)	76.2 (4)	79.7 (5)	3.5 (MI)	
Jammu & Kashmir**	88.5 (3) *	86.9 (5)	-1.6 (NI)	78.3 (3)	92.2 (1)	13.9 (HI)	
Jharkhand	80.3 (10) *	82.0 (10)	1.7 (LI)	50.2 (17)	49.6 (17)	-0.6 (NI)	
Karnataka	82.8 (7)	82.8 (9)	0 (NI)	71.5 (9)	66.1 (15)	-5.4 (NI)	
Kerala	93.4 (1)	95.1 (1)	1.7 (LI)	81.5 (1) *	77.6 (7)	-3.9 (NI)	
Madhya Pradesh	80.3 (10) *	85.2 (7)	4.9 (MI)	58.9 (16)	66.4 (14)	7.5 (HI)	
Maharashtra	86.1 (4) *	86.1 (6) *	0 (NI)	73.7 (8) *	82.3 (3)	8.6 (HI)	
Odisha	76.2 (12) *	81.2 (11)	5.0 (MI)	62.0 (14)	71.6 (11)	9.6 (HI)	
Punjab	88.5 (3) *	83.6 (8) *	-4.9 (NI)	74.0 (7)	73.6 (10)	-0.4 (NI)	
Rajasthan	86.1 (4) *	86.1 (6) *	0 (NI)	81.5 (1) *	81.4 (4)	-0.1 (NI)	
Tamil Nadu	80.3 (10) *	83.6 (8) *	3.3 (MI)	75.4 (6)	69.3 (12)	-6.1 (NI)	
Uttar Pradesh	80.3 (10) *	78.7 (12)	-1.6 (NI)	69.0 (10)	64.1 (16)	-4.9 (NI)	
West Bengal	85.2 (5)	88.5 (4)	3.3 (MI)	68.5 (11)	74.4 (9)	5.9 (MI)	
India	82.0	83.6	1.6 (LI)	70.7	69.9	-0.8 (NI)	

262 NI'= Not Improved (<=0); 'LI'= Least Improved (0-3); 'MI'= Moderately Improved (3.01-6); 'HI'= Highly

**263** *Improved* (>6.01)

264 *The ranks for each Indian state have been displayed in the parentheses of the table.* \* *Denotes tied ranks.* 

265 \*\* J&K was an Indian state till 2019 and is now administered as a union territory by India.

# Composite Age-specific Mortality Index (ASMI) scores of component age groups and its comparison between the base year and reference year for rural Indian women

- A composite age-specific mortality index for rural Indian women was developed by aggregating
- sub-indices for all component age groups using the geometric mean method (Table 4). The highest
- mortality index score in the base year was 92.4, while the lowest was 74.6. In the reference year,
- the index score range was 72.3 to 91.9. Kerala reported the highest composite index scores in the
- base year (92.4) and reference (91.9). Jharkhand (74.6) and Bihar (72.3) documented the lowest
- 274 composite index scores in base and reference years, respectively. Fifteen states recorded an
- increase in the index scores, out of which three states improved highly, four reported moderate
- improvement, and eight made least improvements in the mortality scores (Fig. 2).

## Fig. 2: Mapping of Indian states into typologies based on incremental changes of composite Age-Specific Mortality Index scores

Table 4: Composite Age-specific Mortality Index scores for rural Indian women and its
Incremental changes from the base year to reference year

	<b>Composite Age-specific Mortality Index</b>				
State	Index score (2011)	Index score (2018)	Incremental change		
Andhra Pradesh	81.7 (11)	85.2 (10)	3.5 (Moderately Improved)		
Assam	75.5 (16)	81.6 (14)	6.1 (Highly Improved)		
Bihar	78.6 (12)	72.3 (18)	-6.3 (Not Improved)		
Chhattisgarh	76.5 (14)	72.9 (17)	-3.6 (Not Improved)		
Gujarat	84.2 (8) *	89.9 (3) *	5.4 (Moderately Improved)		
Haryana	85.4 (6)	87.2 (6)	1.8 (Least Improved)		
Himachal Pradesh	88.4 (2)	89.9 (3) *	1.5 (Least Improved)		
Jammu & Kashmir**	88.1 (3)	91.7 (2)	3.6 (Moderately Improved)		
Jharkhand	74.6 (17)	76.7 (16)	2.1 (Least Improved)		

Karnataka	84.2 (8) *	82.8 (12)	-1.4 (Not Improved)
Kerala	92.4 (1)	91.9 (1)	-0.5 (Not Improved)
Madhya Pradesh	75.7 (15) *	81.9 (13)	6.2 (Highly Improved)
Maharashtra	87.3 (4)	89.5 (4)	2.2 (Least Improved)
Odisha	75.7 (15) *	83.5 (11)	7.8 (Highly Improved)
Punjab	85.5 (5)	86.0 (8)	0.5 (Least Improved)
Rajasthan	84.0 (9)	86.8 (7)	2.8 (Least Improved)
Tamil Nadu	84.8 (7)	85.7 (9)	0.9 (Least Improved)
Uttar Pradesh	77.9 (13)	78.6 (15)	0.7 (Least Improved)
West Bengal	83.2 (10)	88.2 (5)	5.0 (Moderately Improved)
India	81.3	83.6	2.3 (Least Improved)

281 *The ranks for each Indian state have been displayed in the parentheses of the table.* \* *Denotes tied ranks.* 

282 \*\* J&K was an Indian state till 2019 and is now administered as a union territory by India.

283

# Top and bottom performing Indian states based on Incremental changes in composite AgeSpecific Mortality Index scores for rural Indian women

Odisha reported the highest incremental change (7.8) from base year to reference year. Substantial 286 improvements were also observed in Madhya Pradesh (6.2) and Assam (6.1). On the contrary, 287 composite ASMI scores of Bihar (-6.3), Chhattisgarh (-3.6), Karnataka (-1.4), and Kerela (-0.5) 288 reported a decline. At all India level, the incremental change in the composite mortality index score 289 for rural women has witnessed a slight improvement (2.3). The incremental changes in the 290 composite index are depicted in Fig. 3. The top five performing states (Fig. 3) with regard to 291 composite mortality scores for rural Indian women have more or less remained the same, except 292 293 that West Bengal has replaced the state of Punjab in the reference year (S2 and S3 map). The bottom five performing states included Chhattisgarh, Assam, and Jharkhand in base and reference 294 years. Madhya Pradesh and Odisha in the base year were replaced by Uttar Pradesh and Bihar in 295 the reference year (Fig. 3) (S2 and S3 map). 296

### **Fig. 3: Positive and Negative Incremental changes in composite Age-Specific Mortality Index**

#### scores along with top and bottom performing states for rural Indian women

299

#### 300 Discussion

The study computed mortality index scores for four major component age groups and a composite 301 302 Age-specific mortality index for rural Indian women at the sub-national and national levels. Rural Indian women face several difficulties due to gender disparity and discrimination. Issues 303 concerning lack of educational opportunities, financial inclusion, proper medical care, hygiene, 304 305 and sanitation are widespread in rural areas and are encountered more by women than men [14,15]. Incremental changes in the index scores from the base to reference years have also been calculated. 306 Based on the incremental changes, the performance of states was categorized into four levels for 307 each component age group. 308

309 Out of the four age groups, the highest mean death rate was observed for the 60+ age group, while the lowest was for 5-14 years. The increase in mortality with age can be attributed to many factors. 310 Irrespective of gender, the possibility of getting a chronic disease and disability increases with age, 311 immunity, and strength also dwindle gradually, thus making the elderly more vulnerable to health 312 problems and increasing the risk of mortality [31–33]. Further, studies on treatment-seeking 313 behaviour in India revealed that the large majority of the elderly rural Indian population has unmet 314 healthcare needs, thereby further substantiating the high mean death rates for elderly females as 315 revealed by the present study [34]. Most deaths in the age group 5-14 years generally result from 316 317 communicable diseases. However, a rapid decline in mortality due to infectious diseases in this age group has contributed to lower death rates [35]. Further, a study revealed that females have 318 better survivorship in the under-five age group in India [36]. 319

320 Looking at the sub-national level, the state of Madhya Pradesh documented the highest mean death rates among the states in the age groups 0-4 and 5-14 years, which can be attributed to women's 321 low literacy and the common practice of child marriage, especially in the rural areas of this state. 322 The state is among the backward states and trails behind the rest of India with regard to the 323 demographic transition [10]. Low literacy leads to a lack of awareness and affects the utilization 324 of Antenatal Care (ANC) services [37,38]. The prevalence of child marriage being interlinked with 325 low literacy levels is significantly associated with abortions, premature deliveries, and low birth 326 weight infants resulting in high child mortality [38]. 327

Chhattisgarh topped mean death rates among rural females in the 15-59 years age group. It has been reported that 42% of deaths caused by malaria in India are from Chhattisgarh alone [39]. Rural populations, particularly females, were relatively at higher risk than their male counterparts as far as malaria mortality figures are concerned in this state [40]. The maternal mortality rates are also comparatively high in the state, thus adding to death rates in the 15-59 age group [39].

In the 60+ age group, Bihar documented the highest mean death rate value. Bihar is one of India's most populous and poorest states. It is usually placed at the lower end of all major Indian states as far as most socio-economic development parameters are concerned [11]. The prevalence and incidence of anemia were considerably high among females [41], particularly in the geriatric population [42]. With a lack of proper infrastructure and health services, the elderly population in rural areas succumb to these illnesses due to the inaccessibility of good healthcare services [43].

Jammu & Kashmir (J&K) reported the lowest mean death rate in the 60+ years. An increase in life expectancy in J&K has been noted from 1990 to 2016 [44]. In comparison to males, the life expectancy for females increased considerably by 10.86 years during the said period [44]. The

determinants contributing to increased life expectancy include better healthcare delivery services,
improved health infrastructure, development of supply chain mechanisms, and quality medical
education in the state [45,46].

The study calculated the sub-indices for all component age groups. The index scores for 0-4 years 345 of all states increased from base year to reference year. It indicates that the mortality rates for the 346 age group 0-4 decreased from 2011 to 2018, which suggested better performance as far as death 347 rates in this early age group are concerned. This trend can be attributed to the reduction in the 348 under-5 mortality rate (U5MR), which has noticeably reduced from 66 deaths to 41 rural female 349 deaths per 1000 live births [47] from 2011 to 2018 in India. Further, the neonatal mortality rate 350 (NMR) has reportedly gone down by 38%, almost during a similar time period. The identifiable 351 reason behind this is the strengthened immunization programme incorporating an umbrella of 352 vaccines targeted to prevent fatal health outcomes[10,48,49]. 353

Kerala was the top mortality index scorer for all component age groups in both years except for 354 355 60+ years in the reference year. Similar outcomes were seen for mean death rates, where Kerela reported the lowest mean for all age groups barring 60+ years. According to the Health Index 356 report (2019-2020) of the National Institution for Transforming India (NITI) Aayog, which is the 357 Indian government's apex think tank, Kerela emerged as the top performer on multiple health-358 related indicators among the larger states [50]. The state's performance in recording lower rural 359 female death rates can be associated with various economic and health-related factors. Kerela has 360 registered enormous improvement in income level in the last decade [51], and it presently falls 361 under the high per capita income and growth rate category [52]. The health infrastructure of Kerela 362 363 has shown extensive expansion between 2005-2019, and the state has witnessed a considerable increase in the number of Community Health Centres (CHCs) in rural areas. The number of 364

allopathic doctors at the Primary Healthcare Centres (PHCs) has also increased significantly [12].
To add to it, it is a front runner in female literacy rates [53] and maternal education in India [54].
In context to the present study results, Kerela registered high mortality index scores, but the
incremental change from base to reference year for each age group has been negligible [50]. The
reason could be the low mortality rates have already reached the saturation level, and only marginal
reduction is possible [55,56].

All Indian states recorded an improvement in the female mortality index scores for the 0-4 age 371 group. Therefore, despite having a noticeable appreciation in the index score from the base to the 372 reference year, Madhya Pradesh documented the lowest index score for both years. The health 373 374 index report by NITI Aavog also reported deterioration in performance by the state for NMR and U5MR [50]. The states of Himachal Pradesh, Jammu & Kashmir, Karnataka, and Maharashtra, 375 with high index scores in the base year, showed a decline in the reference year for the 5-14 age 376 377 group. A plausible explanation for this trend is that these states had recorded relatively high mortality index scores in the reference year and had considerably reduced mortality to a great 378 extent for this age group. Subsequently, most public health interventions and programs were 379 directed toward reducing female mortality in other age groups [57]. 380

In the age group 15-59, Chhattisgarh reported the lowest index score for the base year, further decreasing in the reference year. A decline in three of four health outcomes has also been witnessed for Chhattisgarh in the NITI Aayog report [50]. Similarly, for the age group 60+ years, both Chhattisgarh and Bihar witnessed a worsening of their performance in the reference year, as revealed by their respective substantial decremental changes in female mortality index scores. These states are poverty-stricken states, with most poverty concentrated in their rural areas [51]. Huge gender disparities in education levels have been recorded [58,59], wherein both these states

are plagued with low female literacy levels, resulting in mass poverty [58]. The states' health infrastructure is weak, with an acute shortage of doctors at PHCs [12]. Poverty makes the population more vulnerable to diseases, and the lack of healthcare services to address health problems results in high mortality rates.

The composite Age-specific Mortality Index (ASMI) exhibited results consistent with the sub-392 indices. In terms of overall index scores, the top five performers included Kerela, Himachal 393 Pradesh, Jammu & Kashmir, Maharashtra, and Punjab in the base year. Other studies pertaining 394 primarily to the overall health index have reported results on similar lines [23.50]. West Bengal 395 replaced Punjab in the reference year in the top five performers. The deterioration of performance 396 397 by Punjab in the age group 15-59 might have caused the change in overall rank. It could be a consequence of high maternal mortality in the region [60]. Punjab is also among the high HIV 398 incidence states leading to higher female deaths in the state [60]. Another study reported weakened 399 400 performance of Punjab in terms of a downward shift in various health outcomes [23].

401 The bottom five performing states were Chhattisgarh, Assam, Jharkhand, Madhya Pradesh, and Odisha in the base year. Chhattisgarh performed poorly for age groups 15-59 and 60+years and 402 403 thus showed a decline in overall score from base year to reference year. In contrast, Bihar and Uttar Pradesh documented a decline in the performances in the reference year and entered the 404 405 bottom five performing states category, replacing the states of Odisha and Madhya Pradesh, which recorded highly improved incremental performance in terms of composite female rural mortality 406 407 scores. There was a drastic decline in the index scores of Bihar in 60+ years, causing an impact on the overall performance. The NITI Aayog health index also reported a decline in Bihar's 408 409 performance and a downward transition in all health outcomes, including institutional deliveries, Total Fertility Rates (TFR), Low Birthweight (LBW), success in treating tuberculosis, and 410

healthcare facilities and services [23]. Uttar Pradesh had also recorded decremental changes in
index scores for both 15-59 and 60+years, resulting in its entry into the bottom five performing
states in the reference year.

Kerela has remained at the top of its game, thereby emerging as the best-performing state in terms of overall rural female mortality scores in both the base and reference years. The probable reasons for this consistent performance have already been discussed earlier in this study. Additionally, the overall mortality composite score has shown minor improvement from base year to reference year at all India level. It indicates that there is still room for improvement as the composite score achieved by India (83.6.) in the reference year is still noticeable points away from the maximum potential (100) achievable.

421 The current study faces a few limitations. Due to the non-availability of data for all states, 19 out of 28 states were included in the study, leaving the scope for future studies to be conducted 422 423 incorporating the left-over states as and when the data is made available by the Indian government. 424 Since the data on age-specific mortality groups (0-4, 5-14, 15-59, 60+) from 1971 to 2001 was disaggregated into various small age groups (5-9, 10-14, 15-19, etc.), the average values of the 425 death rates were taken to concise them into four major component age groups to identify the 426 threshold values for data normalization. Therefore, these threshold values for specific component 427 age groups need to be used with caution in similar future research work. 428

Nevertheless, the present study has some strengths to offer. The 'composite Age-specific Mortality Index' is a pioneer endeavor to comprehensively quantify the mortality levels pertaining to rural Indian women at the sub-national level. The scope of usage of this unique 'composite index' is vast as it provides concise information from the complex and extensive data, which is more convenient

to communicate and report for policy plans. The index summarizes the overall performances of 433 the states and performances specific to component age groups, thus highlighting those age groups 434 which need attention from the policymakers and the government. This will facilitate the reduction 435 in mortality rates of rural Indian women at the national level as well. Further, the study has 436 categorized the Indian states into different typologies (not improved, least/moderately/highly 437 improved) based on their temporal performance from base to reference year. It will facilitate the 438 laggard states to initiate customized policies/interventions to follow the performance trajectory of 439 the frontrunner states in terms of lower rural female mortality scores. Furthermore, the threshold 440 441 minimum and maximum values have also been identified for each component age group using the historical mortality data at the Indian sub-national level, which shall serve as benchmark values to 442 be used and referred to in future academic and research endeavors. 443

#### 444 Conclusions

An overall reduction in the mortality rates of rural Indian women has been observed over the years 445 in India. The success of public health interventions to reduce under five mortality rate is evident 446 as the female rural mortality rates have reduced sizably for all states. However, there is still 447 significant scope for improvement for all states in terms of reduction in mortality rates for other 448 component age groups. Further, there is a need to divert attention toward the female geriatric (60+ 449 years) population as the mortality rates are still high. Based on the incremental performance, the 450 gap between the top and bottom performing states in term of feinequalities mortality rates in the 451 rural areas is mainly attributed to multiple factors emerging from literature like, immunization 452 453 coverage, the proportion of registered Antenatal checkups (ANCs), institutional deliveries, total fertility rate, the number of healthcare providers, presence of dedicated health workforce and alike. 454 Robust policies and interventions should be developed at the state level to identify and address the 455

- 456 state-specific determinants, which will help in lowering the rural female mortality rates at the
- 457 national level.
- 458 Acknowledgements- Not applicable
- 459 References: -
- 460 1 The World Bank. Rural population (% of total population) | Data.
- 461 https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.RUR.TOTL.ZS (accessed 18 Apr 2022).
- 462 2 Chandramouli DC. Rural Urban Distribution of population: Census 2011. Census India
- 463 2011 2011;:1–40.https://censusindia.gov.in/2011-prov-
- 464 results/paper2/data\_files/india/Rural\_Urban\_2011.pdf
- 3 Saikia N., Bora J., Luy M. Socioeconomic disparity in adult mortality in India. *Genus*2019:75.
- 467 4 Patil AV, Somasundaram K V., Goyal RC. Current health scenario in rural India. *Aust J*468 *Rural Health* 2002;10:129–35. doi:10.1046/j.1440-1584.2002.00458.x
- 469 5 Hall SE, Holman CDAJ, Threlfall T, et al. Lung cancer: an exploration of patient and
- 470 general practitioner perspectives on the realities of care in rural Western Australia. Aust J

471 *Rural Health* 2008;**16**:355–62. doi:10.1111/J.1440-1584.2008.01016.X

- 472 6 Campbell NC, Elliott AM, Sharp L, *et al.* Rural factors and survival from cancer: analysis
- 473 of Scottish cancer registrations. *Br J Cancer* 2000;**82**:1863–6.
- 474 doi:10.1054/BJOC.1999.1079
- 475 7 Dobson A, McLaughlin D, Vagenas D, *et al.* Why are death rates higher in rural areas?
- 476 Evidence from the Australian Longitudinal Study on Women's Health. Aust. N. Z. J.
- 477 Public Health. 2010;**34**:624–8. doi:10.1111/j.1753-6405.2010.00623.x
- 8 Saikia N, Singh A, Jasilionis D, *et al.* Explaining the rural-urban gap in infant mortality in

113 $1100$ $100$	479	India. Demogr	Res 2013;29:473-506	6. doi:10.4054/DemRes.2013.29.	18
--	-----	---------------	---------------------	--------------------------------	----

- 480 9 Ahmad Malik M, Naaz Akhtar Affiliations S, Naaz Akhtar S. Inequalities and policy gaps
- 481 in maternal health among Empowered Action Group (EAG) States in India: Evidence
- 482 from Demographic Health Survey. *medRxiv* 2021;:2021.01.15.21249872.
- 483 doi:10.1101/2021.01.15.21249872
- 484 10 Liu L, Chu Y, Oza S, et al. National, regional, and state-level all-cause and cause-specific
- 485 under-5 mortality in India in 2000–15: a systematic analysis with implications for the
- 486 Sustainable Development Goals. *Lancet Glob Heal* 2019;7:e721–34. doi:10.1016/S2214-
- 487 109X(19)30080-4
- Balaji M. Negotiating Poverty Line-Study on Density Effect around the Poverty Line for
  Indian States. *Singapore Econ Rev* 2020;65:139–60.
- 490 doi:10.1142/S0217590819440041/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/S0217590819440041FIGF9
   491 .JPEG
- 492 12 Ministry of Health and Family Welfare; Government of India. Rural Health Mission

493 Statistics 2018-19. 2019;:180–92.https://nrhm-mis.nic.in/SitePages/HMIS-

- 494 Publications.aspx
- 495 13 Kushwaha S, Khanna P, Rajagopal V, et al. Biological attributes of age and gender
- 496 variations in Indian COVID-19 cases: A retrospective data analysis. *Clin Epidemiol Glob*
- 497 *Heal* 2021;**11**:100788. doi:10.1016/j.cegh.2021.100788
- 498 14 Bhattacharyya A. Rural Women In India : The invisible lifeline of rural community.
- 499 2016;:1-
- 500 10.https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/HRBodies/CEDAW/RuralWomen
- 501 /ArundhatiBhattacharyya.pdf

502 15 Endorsing Women Empowerment in Rural India | S M Sehgal Foundation.

- https://www.smsfoundation.org/womens-empowerment-in-rural-india/ (accessed 12 Apr
  2022).
- 505 16 Radiowala AA, S. Molwane M. A Study on the Challenges Faced by Rural Women in

506 Accessing Education. *J Sci Res* 2021;**65**:13–7. doi:10.37398/jsr.2021.650402

- 507 17 United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Delivering essential nutrition interventions to
   508 women in tribal pockets in India: A study from Odisha, Chhattisgarh and Jharkhand. *Nutr* 509 *Reports* 2017.
- 510 18 Kumar S, Sahu D, Mehto A, *et al.* Health Inequalities in Under-Five Mortality: An
- 511 Assessment of Empowered Action Group (EAG) States of India. J Heal Econ Outcomes

512 *Res* 2020;7:189–96. doi:10.36469/JHEOR.2020.18224

- Roy MP. Infant mortality in Empowered Action Group states in India: An analysis of
  sociodemographic factors. *J Dr NTR Univ Heal Sci* 2021;10:21.
- doi:10.4103/JDRNTRUHS.JDRNTRUHS 8 20
- 516 20 Mesceriakova-Veliuliene O, Kalediene R. Changes in mortality inequalities in urban and
- 517 rural populations during 1990–2018: Lithuanian experience. *Med* 2021;**57**.
- 518 doi:10.3390/medicina57080750
- 519 21 Foreign Ministry of Home Affairs. Census of India Website : Office of the Registrar
- 520 General & Census Commissioner, India. Lancet. 2016;**388**:1659–
- 521 724.https://censusindia.gov.in/%0Ahttp://censusindia.gov.in/ (accessed 10 Jan 2022).
- 522 22 Center for Disease Control (CDC). Principles of Epidemiology | Lesson 3 Section 3.
- 523 CDC. 2012.https://www.cdc.gov/csels/dsepd/ss1978/lesson3/section3.html (accessed 8
- 524 Feb 2022).

525	23	Niti Aayog. Healthy States Progressive India Report on the Ranks of States and Union
526		Territories Health Index. 2019. http://social.niti.gov.in/
527	24	Alkire S, Friedman M, Robles Aguilar G, et al. UNDP Human Development Report
528		Office Training Material for Producing National Human Development Reports. 2015.
529	25	Giuliano P, Matranga A. Historical Data: Where to find them, how to use them. Natl Bur
530		<i>Econ Res</i> 2020; <b>21</b> :1–9.
531	26	Simonton DK. Qualitative and Quantitative Analyses of Historical Data. Annu Rev
532		Psychol 2003;54:617-40. doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.54.101601.145034
533	27	Mazziotta M, Pareto A. Methods for constructing composite indicators: One for all or all
534		for one. Ital J Econ Demogr Stat 2013;67:67-
535		80.http://www.istat.it/en/files/2013/12/Rivista2013_Mazziotta_Pareto.pdf%0Ahttp://www
536		.sieds.it/listing/RePEc/journl/2013LXVII_N2_10_Mazziotta_Pareto.pdf
537	28	Gerstein HC, Ramasundarahettige C, Bangdiwala SI. Creating composite indices from
538		continuous variables for research: The geometric mean. Diabetes Care 2021;44:e85-6.
539		doi:10.2337/dc20-2446
540	29	United Nations Development Programme. 2020 Human Development Report Technical
541		Notes. 2020;:1-19.http://hdr.undp.org/sites/default/files/hdr2020_technical_notes.pdf
542	30	Sharma D, Kiran T, Junaid K, et al. Composite measure of Age-Specific Mortality Rate of
543		rural females at sub-national level in India - Mendeley Data.
544		2022.https://data.mendeley.com/drafts/v5gskzj78x (accessed 21 Apr 2022).
545	31	Wolinsky FD, Johnson RL, Stump TE. The risk of mortality among older adults over an
546		eight-year period. Gerontologist 1995;35:150-61. doi:10.1093/GERONT/35.2.150
547	32	Maia F de OM, Duarte YAO, Lebrão ML, et al. Risk factors for mortality among elderly

548		people. Rev Saude Publica 2006;40:1049-56. doi:10.1590/s0034-89102006005000009
549	33	Baker DW, Wolf MS, Feinglass J, et al. Health literacy, cognitive abilities, and mortality
550		among elderly persons. J Gen Intern Med 2008;23:723-6. doi:10.1007/s11606-008-0566-
551		4
552	34	Banerjee S. Determinants of rural-urban differential in healthcare utilization among the
553		elderly population in India. BMC Public Health 2021;21:1–18. doi:10.1186/S12889-021-
554		10773-1/TABLES/11
555	35	Fadel SA, Boschi-Pinto C, Yu S, et al. Trends in cause-specific mortality among children
556		aged 5-14 years from 2005 to 2016 in India, China, Brazil, and Mexico: an analysis of
557		nationally representative mortality studies. Lancet 2019;393:1119-27. doi:10.1016/S0140-
558		6736(19)30220-X
559	36	Bora JK, Saikia N. Neonatal and under-five mortality rate in Indian districts with
560		reference to Sustainable Development Goal 3: An analysis of the National Family Health
561		Survey of India (NFHS), 2015–2016. PLoS One 2018;13:e0201125.
562		doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0201125
563	37	Singh A, Pathak PK, Chauhan RK, et al. Infant and child mortality in India in the last two
564		decades: A geospatial analysis. PLoS One. 2011;6:6(11).
565		doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0026856
566	38	Brahmapurkar KP. High Under-five Mortality Rate in Madhya Pradesh, Time to identify
567		High-risk districts using National Family Health Survey-4 Data with Comparison to
568		Loww Under-five Mortality Rate in Rural Tamil Nadu, India. 2019.
569	39	Raza F. Hidden failures and a malnourished south: Health and nutrition in Chhattisgarh
570		ORF. Obs. Res. Found. 2018.https://www.orfonline.org/expert-speak/hidden-failures-

- 571 malnourished-south-health-nutrition-chhattisgarh-45474/ (accessed 24 Mar 2022).
- 572 40 Jana S, Fu SH, Gelband H, et al. Spatio-temporal modelling of malaria mortality in India
- 573 from 2004 to 2013 from the Million Death Study. *Malar J* 2022;**21**:1–10.
- 574 doi:10.1186/S12936-022-04112-X/FIGURES/5
- 575 41 Zakiuddin M, Ahmed M, Vadiraja N. A longitudinal study on incidence and
- 576 morphological types of anaemia in inhabitants of Katihar, Bihar, India Document Gale
- 577 OneFile: Health and Medicine. *J Evol Med Dent Sci*
- 578 2016;5.https://go.gale.com/ps/i.do?id=GALE%7CA470461639&sid=googleScholar&v=2.
- 579 1&it=r&linkaccess=abs&issn=22784748&p=HRCA&sw=w&userGroupName=anon~9ec
- 580 f3a9c (accessed 11 Apr 2022).
- 581 42 Kumar Barman S, Lata K, Ram R, et al. A study of morbidity profile of geriatric
- 582 population in an urban community of Kishanganj, Bihar, India. *WwwGjmedphOrg*
- 583 2014;**3**.www.gjmedph.org
- Soni S, Kumar M, Shukla M. A Study on Morbidity Profile Among Elderly in A Rural
  Population of Katihar , Bihar. *Paripex-Indian J Res* 2016;:14–7.
- 44 Qazi N, Zhao H, Bashir R. Contemporary trends in life expectancy in the State of Jammu
- and Kashmir: Insight from the Global burden of disease 2017. *JK Pract* 2019;**24**:11–3.
- 588 45 Department of Health & Medical Education Government of UT of J&K. INSPIRING New
- 589 Hope: Transforming Healthcare landscape in Jammu and Kashmir. 2020.
- 590 46 Shah SA. 'At 74 years, life expectancy in J&K 3rd best in country'. Gt. Kashmir.
- 591 2020.https://www.greaterkashmir.com/editorial/at-74-years-life-expectancy-in-jk-3rd-
- 592 best-in-country (accessed 24 Mar 2022).
- 593 47 Ministry of Home Affairs; Government of India. Sample Registration System Statistical

- 594 Report 2018. 2018.
- 595 48 Malik A, Haldar P, Ray A, et al. Introducing rotavirus vaccine in the Universal
- 596 Immunization Programme in India: From evidence to policy to implementation. *Vaccine*
- 597 2019;**37**:5817–24. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.07.104
- 598 49 Dandona R, Kumar A, Henry N, et al. Subnational mapping of under-5 and neonatal
- 599 mortality trends in India: the Global Burden of Disease Study 2000–17. *Lancet*
- 600 2020;**395**:1640–58. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30471-2
- 50 NITI Aayog. Health States Progressive India: Health Index Round IV 2019-20. Published
- 602 Online First: 2021.http://indiabudget.nic.in/ub2013-14/eb/sbe47.pdf
- 51 Nayak PK, Chattopadhyay SK, Kumar A, *et al.* Inclusive Growth and Its Regional
  Dimension. *Reserv Bank India Occas Pap* 2010;**31**:91–
- 605 156.http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eoh&AN=1725810&lang=fr
  606 & &site=ehost-live
- 607 52 Hanagodimath S. Spatial Income Inequalities in India: An Inter-State and Intra-State
- 608 Analysis. 2021;:1–55.https://ieawc2021.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/48f3089cd46e-
- Hanagodimath\_Shiddalingaswami\_Spatial\_Income\_Inequalities\_in\_India\_An\_Inter\_Stateand Intra State Analysis.pdf
- 611 53 Ministry of Home Affairs; Government of India. State of Literacy- Provisional Populatin
  612 Totals- Census India 2011.
- 613 54 Govindasamy P, Ramesh BM. Maternal education and the utilization of maternal and
  614 child health services in India. *Natl Fam Heal Surv Subj Reports* 1997;:1–28.
- 55 Dhirar N, Dudeja S, Khandekar J, et al. Childhood Morbidity and Mortality in India -
- 616 Analysis of National Family Health Survey 4 (NFHS-4) Findings. *Indian Pediatr*

- 617 2018;**55**:335–8. doi:10.1007/s13312-018-1276-6
- 618 56 Bahl A, Sharma D, Aggarwal AK, et al. Temporal Analysis of Under 5 Mortality Rate at
- 619 Sub- National Level in India. *Int J Heal Syst Implement Res* 2021;**5**:49–57.
- 620 57 Hill K, Zimmerman L, Jamison D. Mortality risks in children aged 5-14 years in low-
- 621 income and middle-income countries: A systematic empirical analysis. *Lancet Glob Heal*
- 622 2015;**3**:e609–16. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(15)00044-3
- 58 Singh R. Female literacy and economic development in India. *Rupkatha J Interdiscip Stud Humanit* 2016;8:64–70. doi:10.21659/rupkatha.v8n2.07
- 625 59 Pathania R. Literacy in India : Progress and Inequality. *Bangladesh e-Journal Sociol*
- **626** 2020;**17**:57–65.
- 60 NITI AYOG. SDG India Index & Dashboard 2020-21 report: Partnerships in the Decade
  628 of Action. 2021.
- https://niti.gov.in/writereaddata/files/SDG\_3.0\_Final\_04.03.2021\_Web\_Spreads.pdf
- 630 Supporting information-
- 631 S1 Table: Minimum and maximum benchmark values of Age-Specific Mortality Rates
- 632 S2 Map: Mapping of top five and bottom five states based on composite Age-Specific
- 633 Mortality index scores in base year (2011)
- 634 S3 Map: Mapping of top five and bottom five states based on composite Age-Specific
- 635 Mortality index scores in reference year (2018)







- Not Improved
- Least Improved
- Moderately Improved
- Highly Improved
- Not included



## Fig. 3