Genome-wide association analysis and Mendelian randomization proteomics identify drug targets for heart failure

Authors:

Danielle Rasooly, PhD*1,2, Gina M Peloso, PhD*2,3, Alexandre C Pereira, MD, PhD^{4,5}, Hesam Dashti, PhD^{1,6}, Claudia Giambartolomei, PhD^{7,8}, Eleanor Wheeler, PhD⁹, Nay Aung, MD, PhD^{10,11}, Brian R Ferolito, MS², Maik Pietzner, PhD^{9,12,13}, Eric H Farber-Eger, BS¹⁴, Quinn Stanton Wells, MD¹⁵, Nicole M Kosik, MPH², Liam Gaziano, MPhil, PhD^{2,16}, Daniel C Posner, PhD², A Patrícia Bento, PhD¹⁷, Qin Hui, PhD^{18, 19}, Chang Liu, MPH¹⁸, Krishna Aragam, MD^{2,6,20}, Zeyuan Wang, MPH¹⁸, Brian Charest MS, MPH², Jennifer E Huffman, PhD², Peter W.F. Wilson, MD^{19, 21}, Lawrence S Phillips, MD^{19, 22}, John Whittaker, PhD²³, Patricia B Munroe, PhD^{24,25}, Steffen E Petersen, MSc, MPH, MDRes, Dphil^{11, 26}, Kelly Cho, PhD, MPH^{1,2}, Andrew R Leach, DPhil¹⁷, María Paula Magariños, PhD¹⁷, John Michael Gaziano, MD, MPH^{1,2}, VA Million Veteran Program²⁷, Claudia Langenberg, MD, PhD^{9, 12, 13†}, Yan V Sun, PhD, MS,^{18, 19, 28†}, Jacob Joseph, MBBS, MD^{29†}, Juan P Casas, MD, PhD^{1,2†}

*These authors contributed equally.

[†]These authors jointly supervised this work.

Institutions:

¹ Division of Aging, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, 75 Francis St., Boston, MA 02130, USA.

² Massachusetts Veterans Epidemiology Research and Information Center (MAVERIC), VA Boston Healthcare System, 150. S. Huntington Ave, Boston, MA 02130, USA.

³ Department of Biostatistics, Boston University School of Public Health, 801 Massachusetts Ave Crosstown Centre, Boston, MA 02118 USA.

⁴ Laboratory of Genetics and Molecular Cardiology, Heart Institute, University of São Paulo, Av Dr Eneas de Carvalho Aquiar 54, São Paulo 5403000, Brazil.

⁵Genetics Department, Harvard Medical School, Harvard University, 77 Avenue Louis Pasteur, Boston, MA 02115, USA,

⁶ Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, 415 Main St., Cambridge, MA 02142, USA.

⁷ Health Data Science Centre, Human Technopole, V.le Rita Levi-Montalcini, 1, Milan, 20157. Italv.

⁸ Central RNA Lab, Non-coding RNAs and RNA-based Therapeutics, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Via Morego 30, 16163 Genova, Italy.

⁹ MRC Epidemiology Unit, Institute of Metabolic Science, University of Cambridge, Addenbrookes Hospital, IMS, Box 285, Cambridge, CB2 0QQ, UK.

¹⁰ William Harvey Research Institute, Barts and The London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, London, EC1M 6BQ, UK.

¹¹ Barts Heart Centre, St Bartholomew's Hospital, Barts Health NHS Trust, West Smithfield, London, UK.

¹² Computational Medicine. Berlin Institute of Health (BIH) at Charité -Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Kapelle Ufer 2, Berlin 10117, Germany,

¹³ Precision Healthcare University Research Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK.

¹⁴ Vanderbilt Institute for Clinical and Translational Research, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN

¹⁵Vanderbilt University Med. Ctr., Departments of Medicine (Cardiology), Biomedical Informatics, and Pharmacology, Nashville TN

¹⁶BHF Cardiovascular Epidemiology Unit, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Worts Causeway, Cambridge, CB1 8RN, UK.

¹⁷ Department of Chemical Biology, European Molecular Biology Laboratory, European Bioinformatics Institute, Wellcome Genome Campus, Hinxton, CB10 1SD, UK.

¹⁸ Department of Epidemiology, Emory University Rollins School of Public Health, 1518 Clifton Rd NE, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA.

¹⁹ Atlanta VA Health Care System, 1670 Clairmont Road, Decatur, GA 30033, USA.

²⁰ Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA 02114, USA.

²¹ Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, 1639 Pierce Dr NE, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA.

²² Division of Endocrinology, Emory University, 101 Woodruff Circle, WMRB 1027, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA.

²³ MRC Biostatistics Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB2 0SR, United Kingdom.

²⁴ William Harvey Research Institute, Barts and The London Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, Charterhouse Square, London, EC1M 6BQ, UK.

²⁵National Institute for Health Research, Barts Biomedical Research Centre, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK.

²⁶ William Harvey Research Institute, NIHR Barts Biomedical Research Centre, Queen Mary University of London, Charterhouse Square, London, EC1M 68Q, UK.

²⁷ Million Veteran Program membership is provided in the Supplementary Material.

²⁸ Department of Biomedical Informatics, Emory University School of Medicine, 1639 Pierce Dr NE, Atlanta GA, 30332, USA.

²⁹ Cardiology Section, VA Providence Healthcare System, 830 Chalkstone Avenue, Providence, RI 02908.

Corresponding Authors:

Dr. Danielle Rasooly, <u>drasooly@bwh.harvard.edu</u>

Dr. Jacob Joseph, jacob.joseph@va.gov

Abstract (150 words):

We conduct a large-scale meta-analysis of heart failure genome-wide association studies (GWAS) consisting of over 90,000 heart failure cases and more than 1 million control individuals of European ancestry to uncover novel genetic determinants for heart failure. Using the GWAS results and blood protein quantitative loci, we perform Mendelian randomization and colocalization analyses on human proteins to provide putative causal evidence for the role of druggable proteins in the genesis of heart failure. We identify 39 genome-wide significant heart failure risk variants, of which 18 are previously unreported. Using a combination of Mendelian randomization proteomics and genetic cis-only colocalization analyses, we identify 10 additional putatively causal genes for heart failure. Findings from GWAS and Mendelian randomization-proteomics identify seven (*CAMK2D*, *PRKD1*, *PRKD3*, *MAPK3*, *TNFSF12*, *APOC3* and *NAE1*) proteins as potential targets for interventions to be used in primary prevention of heart failure.

INTRODUCTION

Heart failure (HF) is one of the most important threats to the sustainability of health systems for the United States¹. Despite major improvements in the understanding of risk factors for incident HF², this knowledge has not yet been fully translated into effective interventions for primary prevention of HF, except for blood pressure (BP) lowering medications³ and statins⁴. Due to the inherent attributes of human genetics that minimize the risk of residual confounding and reverse causation⁵, large-scale genomic analyses provides an opportunity to uncover putative causal mechanisms for complex phenotypes such as HF⁶. Recent genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of HF by the Heart Failure Molecular Epidemiology for Therapeutic Targets (HERMES) and the Million Veteran Program (MVP)⁷ have identified 26 genomic loci associated with HF⁸. This emerging knowledge has served to identify novel biological mechanisms associated with incident HF and may inform the development of novel interventions for the primary prevention of HF.

Novel technological developments can simultaneously measure thousands of human proteins in a single blood sample. The SOMAscan V4 assay includes 5207 aptamers capable of measuring 4988 unique human proteins, of which 514 are the target of drugs licensed or in clinical phase, 1153 are the target of compounds in pre-clinical phase, and 1377 are proteins predicted to be druggable ^{9,10}. This offers a unique opportunity for translating the genomic findings of HF into novel interventions for the primary prevention of HF. Given that human proteins account for the majority of targets for approved drugs to date and that expression or activity is central to the development of human disease¹¹, leveraging GWAS data of HF and protein quantitative trait loci (pQTL) offers an opportunity to provide mechanistic insight into the causal pathways involved in the emergence of HF as well as to inform novel therapeutic targets.

Here, we conduct a meta-analysis of GWAS on HF from the MVP and the HERMES consortium and leverage our GWAS of HF with pQTLs from the Fenland study to conduct Mendelian randomization (MR) and genetic colocalization analyses on human proteins covered by SOMAscan V4¹². We then perform extensive downstream analyses covering HF risk factors, cardiac MRI traits, -omics, and downstream transcriptomics analyses to investigate the biological credibility of our genetic findings.

RESULTS

Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies 18 novel loci for HF

We meta-analyzed GWAS on HF from the HERMES consortium and MVP (Supplementary Data 1) and identified variants at GW-significance ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) (Figure 1). The quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plot of the meta-analysis is shown in Supplementary Figure 1. We performed follow-up analysis of the newly discovered HF variants to identify the likely causal gene for each signal and to investigate associations with 15 HF risk factors and 9 left ventricular (LV) cardiac MRI traits.

We performed meta-analyses of genome-wide association results for HF from two n_{controls}=258,943) (n_{cases}=47.309: studies: MVP (n_{cases}=43,344; and HERMES n_{controls}=930.014). After guality control, we obtained association results for 10.227.138 genetic variants with HF. We observed 39 variants with genome-wide significant signals with HF, of which 18 variants were > 500KB from a previously reported indexed variant (Figure 2 and Supplementary Data 2). We performed fine-mapping using GWAS summary statistics (Supplementary Figure 2). We determined the gene closest to the indexed SNP, as well as the gene with the highest score from Polygenic Priority Score (PoPs)¹³ within a 500KB region of the indexed SNP (**Table 1**). PoPs takes genome-wide features into account while the nearest gene is based on local information, providing complementary information for annotation of indexed variants (see Methods). For all the genes suggested by the nearest gene and PoPS, we retrieved the results from geneburden tests using putative Loss-of-Function (pLoF) variants from the Genebass-UK Biobank resource (see Methods)¹⁴. *RFX4* and *UBC*, both suggested by PoPs, showed the most significant gene-based p-values with HF (p-values of 9.12 x 10⁻⁴ and 4.6 x 10⁻³ , respectively). From herein, we used genes suggested by PoPs as default to describe the distinct variants.

Except for rs6945340/*HIP1* and rs79682748/*SGIP1*, all other distinct variants for HF had an association (defined as 0.01/number of secondary traits, $p < 1 \ge 10^{-4}$) with at least one HF risk factor (**Figure 3A**). Five variants had the largest number of associations with HF risk factors: rs9352691/*PHIP* (blood pressure, body mass index (BMI), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), alcohol consumption, and atrial fibrillation (AF)), rs12992672/*TMEM18* (BMI, HDL-C, type-2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), AF and smoking), rs4755720/ HSD17B12 (BMI, HDL-C, T2DM and CAD), rs233806/*BANK1* (blood pressure, HDL-C and BMI) and rs959388/*PRKD1* (BMI, smoking, and blood pressure), details in **Supplementary Data 3**. We observed that the directionality of the associations with HF risk factors was concordant with the findings on HF risk in 32 out of the 42 (76%) associations. HDL-C and diastolic BP accounted for nine of the 10 discordant associations (**Supplementary Figure 3**). We did not find associations with troponin, NTproBNP, and IL-6 (**Supplementary Data 3**).

Only three variants (rs3820888/SPATS2L, rs4755720/HSD17B12 and rs72688573/FAF1) showed at least one association ($p < 1 \times 10^{-4}$) with LV cardiac-MRI traits (**Supplementary Figure 4** and **Supplementary Data 3**). The rs3820888/SPATS2L variant was associated with six LV cardiac-MRI traits and AF; all these associations were

directionally concordant with the HF findings. The rs4755720/HSD17B12 variant was associated with LV end-diastolic volume indexed to body surface area and four HF risk factors, and rs72688573/FAF1 was associated with LV mass to end-diastolic volume ratio and two HF risk factors, see details in **Supplementary Data 3**. In the African-American sub-population from the MVP GWAS (**Supplementary Data 4**), we found none of our 39 genome-wide significant distinct variants with HF in the European datasets achieved genome-wide significance (**Supplementary Data 5**)."

MR Proteomics and colocalization identifies 10 genes for HF

Using the GWAS data on SOMAscan V4 proteomics, we selected conditionally independent *cis*-variants, defined as any variant within a Mb region of the proteinencoding gene, that associated with plasma levels of SOMAscan proteins ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$). We propose that these variants are instrumental variables for measured SOMAscan proteins and conducted two-sample MR analyses using our European-descent GWAS meta-analysis of HF from the MVP and HERMES consortium. We conducted several analyses to minimize confounding and biases. For the MR results that passed our significance threshold (FDR < 5%), we performed genetic colocalization analysis to ensure the MR results were unlikely to be confounded by linkage disequilibrium (LD). For the MR results with evidence of colocalization, we conducted MR and colocalization analyses against HF risk factors and cardiac MRI traits and *cis*-eQTL searches. Then, we conducted a novel multi-step analytical approach to reduce the risk of horizontal pleiotropy.

We used 2,900 *cis*-pQTLs across 1,557 genes from the Fenland study as proposed instrumental variables for conducting two-sample MR of proteomics with HF. We found 16 genes passed our MR threshold (FDR < 5%), of which 10 genes also showed suggestive evidence of colocalization between HF and pQTL signals (posterior probably of Hypothesis 4 (PP.H4): one common causal variant > 0.5) for at least one of the instruments, and of which 3 genes show strong evidence of colocalization (PP.H4 > 0.8), see details in **Table 2 and Supplementary Data 6**. Except for *ENPEP*, no other gene that colocalized was within 500KB of a known HF GWAS loci. For genes with more than one instrument, we did not observe any evidence of heterogeneity based on Cochran's Q statistic according to the IVW model or by MR-Egger intercept test, **Table 2**. This lack of heterogeneity suggests that average directional horizontal pleiotropy may not explain these findings.

Except for *ENPEP*, *TNXB*, and *SIRPA*, all the other genes that passed thresholds for MR and colocalization with HF also showed an association (defined as MR $p < 1 \times 10^{-4}$ and colocalization: PP.H4 > 0.5) with at least one of the 15 HF risk factors (**Figure 3B**, **Supplementary Data 7**). We observed that the directionality of the MR associations with HF risk factors was concordant with the MR findings on HF in 10 out of the 14 (71%) associations. HDL-C, LDL-C and systolic BP accounted for discordant associations. Only the *TNFSF12* gene showed an association, see details on **Supplementary Data 7** and **Supplementary Data 8**. We investigated if the *cis*-pQTL instruments for the 10 MR genes were also *cis*-eQTLs ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$). Twelve of the 18 proposed instruments were

also *cis*-eQTLs in at least one tissue. None of the *cis*-pQTLs used as proposed instruments for *TNXB*, *APOC3*, and *APOH* genes showed a *cis*-eQTL association (**Supplementary Data 9**).

In our assessment of horizontal pleiotropy (see Methods and Supplementary Figure 5). the 18 proposed instruments for the 10 MR genes were associated ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) with 251 proteins or gene-expression using SOMAscan V4, Fenland study and eQTLGen, respectively (Supplementary Data 10). For 217 of the 251 proteins/gene-expression, we identified at least one *cis*-pQTL or *cis*-eQTL at p< 5 x 10⁻⁸ associated with protein levels based on the SOMAscan V4 Fenland study, or gene expression based on eQTLGen. We then conducted two-sample MR of these secondary proteins/genes expression against HF and identified four genes (TP53, ZNF259, ACVR2A, and MYRF) that passed multiple testing thresholds (0.05/217, p < 2 x 10^{-4} , Supplementary Data 10). These four secondary genes correspond to the following genes identified by MR proteomics as hits for HF: TNXB (ACVR2A and MYRF), APOH (TP53) and APOC3 (ZNF259). TP53 and ACVR2A were in a different biological pathway than APOH and TNXB, respectively, suggesting potential horizontal pleiotropy. ZNF259 and MYRF did not retrieve any biological pathways; hence, it is unknown if these are due to horizontal pleiotropy. We then determined protein-protein interaction (PPI) networks for APOH and TNXB proteins using Enrichr and GPS-Prot databases. The Enrichr's PPI Hub Protein pathways reported interactions between APOH and CDC42, AKT1, TP53, and GRB2 (adjusted p-values < 0.04), while the GPS-Prot showed that the APOH protein is directly connected to TP53 with a confidence > 0.6 (Supplementary Figure 6). No significant interaction was identified for the TNXB and ACVR2A proteins.

Genetic correlation estimates

Estimates of the genetic correlation between HF and 15 HF risk factors are reported in **Supplementary Data 11**. Results that pass multiple testing at 5% FDR are denoted, including a positive between HF and BMI of 0.56 (0.03) and with AF of 0.11 (0.02), as well as a negative between HF and HDL-C of -0.36 (0.03) (**Supplementary Data 11**).

Polygenic risk score validation

To test the PRS for HF in an out-of-sample cohort, we used data from 75,119 participants of European-descent from the BioVU, of which 5,845 participants had HF. Individuals with a 1-standard deviation increase in the PRS had a 1.28 higher odds of HF (95% confidence interval (CI), 1.24-1.31; p < 2 x 10⁻¹⁶). Participants in the top decile had a 1.82-fold (95% CI, 1.60-2.06; p < 0.0001) higher odds of HF compared to those in the bottom PRS decile.

Pathway enrichment analysis recovers pathways relevant to HF

We used previously published and our newly identified HF GWAS variants (n=40) together with the 18 proposed instruments for the 10 MR-proteomics genes associated with HF and conducted gene pathway enrichment analysis using GTEx V8. These 58 variants associated with 1,605 GTEx V8 *cis*-eQTLs ($p < 1 \times 10^{-4}$), corresponding to a total of 165 unique genes (see **Supplementary Data 12**). After restricting the analysis to pathways described in Gene Ontology, KEGG, and Reactome, we observed 56 enriched pathways (FDR < 5%). Biological pathways include muscle adaptation (adjusted p-value)

= 0.03), ventricular system development (p = 0.03), sarcomere organization (p = 0.04), regulation of vasculature development (p = 0.04), and aldosterone-regulated sodium reabsorption (p = 0.04), details on **Supplementary Figure 7** and **Supplementary Data 13**.

For the 18 GWAS distinct variants on HF, we determined the differential gene expression associated with the novel HF variants ($p < 1 \times 10^{-4}$) in each GTEx V8 tested tissue (heart atrial, heart ventricle, artery aorta, adipose, liver, kidney, and whole-blood tissues, and transformed cultured fibroblasts). We then used the set of differentially expressed genes to conduct over-representation analysis on a per-tissue basis (**Supplementary Figure 8**). A total of 605 enriched pathways had at least two differentially expressed genes, with heart-left ventricle being the tissue with the most significantly enriched pathways (n=393). The rs6945340/*HIP1* variant showed the largest number of enriched pathways (n=391, all tissues) with heart left-ventricle being the primary tissue. Pathways to highlight for this variant include Krebs cycle, respiratory electron transport chain (both with p= 4.8 x 10⁻³⁰) and oxidative phosphorylation ($p = 3.2 \ 10^{-5}$). Further details are available in **Supplementary Data 14**. For 8 of the MR-proteomics genes, we identified 77 reported associations with HF-related medical terms according to the EpiGraphDB database (**Supplementary Data 15**).

Mouse knock-out models for novel genes identified by GWAS or MR-proteomics

We queried for knock-out (KO) mouse models, using the Mouse Genomics (MGI) resource, for evidence that modification of the target produces a phenotype relevant to HF. In thirteen genes (8 GWAS and 5 MR-proteomics genes), we retrieved evidence of a KO associated with cardiovascular abnormalities. KO models on *CAMK2D*, *PRKD1*, *MAPK3*, *NAE1*, *SLC39A8*, *PHIP*, *RFX4*, *SCARB1* and *TNXB* showed phenotypes such as myocardial abnormalities, dilated cardiomyopathy, abnormal response to cardiac infarction, and cardiac hypertrophy, suggesting an intrinsic role in heart function regulation (Supplementary Data 16).

Druggability

A total of seven novel genes from the GWAS (*CAMK2D*, *PRKD1* and *PRKD3*) and MRproteomics (*MAPK3*, *TNFSF12*, *APOC3* and *NAE1*) were identified to encode proteins that are predicted to be druggable (*CAMK2D*) or targets for 14 unique drugs that are either licensed or in the clinical phase (*PRKD1*, *PRKD3*, *MAPK3*, *TNFSF12*, *APOC3* and *NAE1*). Except for drugs targeting Apolipoprotein C-III mRNA, Volanesorsen and AKCEA-APO-CIII-L_{Rx} evaluated for familial chylomicronemia syndrome, all the other 12 drugs are either licensed or under clinical investigation for cancer (n=10 (*MAPK3*, *PRKD1*, *PRKD3* and *NAE1*)) or autoimmune disorders (n=2, (*TNFSF12*)). In four of the seven druggable genes, we were able to use our MR findings to infer the type of pharmacological action (agonist versus antagonist) needed to prevent HF and compared this against the pharmacological action of the existing drugs with a single target (which are most likely to reproduce genetic findings). Through this process, we observed a match in one gene (*APOC3*); and for the other druggable genes (*MAPK3*, *NAE1*, and *TNFSF12*), the existing drugs were an inhibitor/antagonist, while MR suggested an agonist, details on **Supplementary Data 17**.

In-silico trials

We searched for genetic associations for the GWAS hits and conducted two-sample MR for the MR proteomics hits to evaluate safety and efficacy outcomes relevant for the primary prevention trials on HF. Seven of the 18 GWAS distinct variants and two of the 10 MR-proteomics genes were additionally associated ($p < 1 \times 10^{-4}$) with efficacy outcomes (CAD, T2DM) in the same direction as HF (**Supplementary Data 18**). None of the 18 distinct GWAS variants or 10 MR-proteomics genes showed an association ($p < 1 \times 10^{-4}$) with the following safety traits: cancers (lung, prostate, colorectal, breast), chronic kidney disease, Alzheimer's disease, liver enzymes, or creatinine.

Comparison with Global Biobank Meta-analysis Initiative (GBMI) on HF

An unpublished study from the GBMI reporting a multi-ancestry HF GWAS (68,408 HF cases and 1,286,331 controls) identified 11 potentially novel loci for HF¹⁵. We compared these associations with our HERMES-MVP GWAS and determined that seven of the 11 GBMI variants were associated ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) in our HF meta-analysis. None of these variants were associated ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) in the HF GWAS in MVP African-Americans dataset (**Supplementary Data 19**). Two GBMI loci correspond to the same variants (rs10455872/*PLG* and rs600038/ *SURF1*) previously reported by HERMES or MVP, and an additional five loci were in LD (r^2 range: 0.39 to 1) with our findings (**Supplementary Data 19**). Finally, two GBMI GWAS variants (rs17035646 and rs61208973) showed suggestive evidence of association in our HF GWAS (p < 0.003). In a replication study of the 18 novel loci, findings from the HF GWAS in the GBMI multi-ancestry excluding UK Biobank indicate 33.3% (6 of 18) of variants are significant (p-value < 0.05/18), 61.1% (11 of 18) are nominally significant (p-value < 0.05), and 100% have a beta estimate that is directionally concordant with our meta-analysis (**Supplementary Data 20**).

DISCUSSION

Our genetic analysis on HF consisting of 90,653 cases identified 18 distinct HF variants through GWAS and an additional 10 putatively causal genes for HF through MR and colocalization using proteomic instruments. Our study expands the knowledge on the biological pathways associated with all HF risk loci discovered to date and identifies seven druggable genes as potential drug targets for primary prevention of HF.

We conducted several strategies to provide biological credibility to our 18 distinct GWAS variants. First, 16 of the 18 variants showed genetic associations with HF risk factors that were directionally concordant with the HF findings, and several LV cardiac MRI traits. Second, over-representation analysis using differentially expressed genes by each GWAS variant identified the heart LV myocardium as the most significantly enriched tissue and recovered several pathways of HF relevance. Third, systematic querving on KO mouse models identified CAMK2D, PRKD1, PHIP, RFX4, SLC39A8 and SCARB1, genes found by our GWAS, with phenotypes relevant to HF. Novel variants to highlight include rs3820888/SPATS2L and rs4755720/HSD17B12 that showed associations with HF risk factors and LV cardiac MRI traits. The rs3820888/SPATS2L variant showed evidence of colocalization with six cardiac MRI traits, including LVEF, LV mass to enddiastolic volume ratio, and AF, all of which were directionally concordant with the HF findings. Previous GWAS have also indicated that the same variant was also associated with QT interval¹⁶. The rs4755720/HSD17B12 variant colocalized with LV end-diastolic volume indexed to BSA and HF risk factors that were directionally concordant with the HF findings, all showing a protective effect. Previous GWAS indicated that this variant, as well as others in strong LD, associated with a reduction in adiposity measures and an increase in lung function metrics, suggesting that cardiometabolic fitness may explain the association with HF¹⁷⁻¹⁹.

We conducted MR-proteomic analyses to uncover the putative causal role of human proteins in HF. Ten genes passed our genetic colocalization test, of which nine were also not in LD with a previously reported HF variant, minimizing the probability of confounding by LD. Seven of the 10 genes showed associations with at least one HF risk factor, and in the majority (71%) of these associations, the point estimate was directionally concordant with the MR findings on HF.

Four (MAPK3, PRKD1, CAMK2D and PRKD3) of the seven druggable genes identified by our analyses encode proteins with serine/threonine kinase activity. These four genes associated with HF risk factors in a manner that is concordant with the findings on HF. CAMK2D also showed a suggestive association ($p = 9 \times 10^{-4}$) with LV mass. In support of our findings, a mouse model with deletion of MAPK3/MAPK1 genes developed cardiac hypertrophy and ventricular dilation followed by reduced ventricular performance²⁰. CAMK2D, PRKD1, PRKD3 are calcium/calmodulin dependent protein kinases known to be associated with cardiac pathophysiology. Protein Kinase-D, encoded by PRKD1 gene, appears to be a regulator of myocardial structure and function. Mice with a deletion of PRKD1 in cardiomyocytes were reported to be resistant to stress induced hypertrophy in pressure overload, angiotensin-II and adrenergic activation²¹. response to Calcium/Calmodulin-Dependent Protein Kinase II (CamKII) is composed of four chains, one of which, delta (δ), is encoded by the CAMK2D gene. CamKII- δ is largely expressed

in cardiac tissue (confirmed by our pathway enrichment analysis), where it regulates proteins involved in calcium handling, excitation-contraction coupling, activation of hypertrophy, cell death and inflammation²². Several case-control studies have shown an upregulation of cardiac CamKII- δ expression and activity in patients with HF, dilated cardiomyopathy and diabetic cardiomyopathy. In support of this, several experimental studies in animal models of dilated cardiomyopathy and HF have shown that chemical inhibition of CamKII led to protection from cardiac dysfunction, adverse cardiac remodeling, and cardiac arrhythmias²². More recently, administration of a novel ATP-competitive CaMKII- δ oral inhibitor (RA306) in a dilated cardiomyopathy mouse model led to an improvement of ejection fraction²³. This oral inhibitor offers the opportunity to test the causal role of CamKII- δ through clinical trials for the prevention of HF. Interestingly, *CAMK2D* gene was also associated with AF, confirming an association demonstrated by in-vitro and animal models of AF²².

Additional druggable genes identified were APOC3, TNFSF12, and NAE1. The APOC3 gene, which achieved the highest level of evidence in our analyses (FDR 5% and PP.H4>0.8), is known for its associations with lipids, and CAD, which were confirmed in our analysis. Apolipoprotein C-III mRNA is targeted by two different antisense oligonucleotides (ASO), Volanesorsen and AKCEA-APO-CIII-L_{Rx}, evaluated for familial chylomicronemia syndrome. Phase 3 trials on Volanesorsen have shown an increase in LDL-C levels and thrombocytopenia, which make it an unlikely candidate for prevention of HF.²⁴ AKCEA-APO-CIII-L_{Rx} is an ASO liver specific that appears to have a better safety profile, and may be more suitable for long-term use²⁵. TNFSF12 gene encodes for the TNF superfamily member 12 protein; increased levels of this protein were associated with a risk reduction in HF according to our MR and colocalization findings. Similar, directionally concordant, findings were reported by recent MR proteomics (using various proteomics platforms) against ischemic stroke²⁶. These results are consistent with the finding that TNFSF12 is MR associated and colocalized with AF, a risk factor for both ischemic stroke and HF. In addition, we observed a clear reduction in LV mass to enddiastolic volume ratio and a suggestive ($p = 2 \times 10^{-3}$) increase in LVEF, both directionally concordant with a risk reduction in HF. Transgenic mice and adenoviral-mediated gene expression models have also pointed to a role of TNFSF12 in the development of dilated cardiomyopathy and severe cardiac disfunction²⁷. NAE1 gene encodes NEDD8 activating enzyme E1 subunit 1 protein, and our MR and colocalization findings showed this gene was associated with lower values of blood pressure, which coincides with the reduced risk on HF.

Strengths of the current analysis are multiple. First, the large number of HF cases included in our analysis led us to identify new variants and putatively causal genes for HF through GWAS and MR proteomics. Second, we used three complementary strategies— nearest gene (local method), PoPs (global method) and pLoF— to assign the most likely gene responsible for the GWAS signal with HF. Through this process, we observed agreements in 11 of 18 GWAS variants, which provided some degree of confidence in the gene-prioritization. However, we acknowledge that PoPs method will miss variants that do not act through various mechanisms captured by PoPs¹³, highlighting the challenge in assigning the gene responsible for GWAS loci^{28–30}. Third, we provide

biological credibility for most of our genetic findings through extensive and complementary analysis covering HF risk factors, LV cardiac MRI, and -omics. Fourth, in seven MR hits for HF, we showed that our proposed instruments, in addition to associations with HF risk factors or LV cardiac MRI traits, were also associated with gene expression, and protein levels all acting in *cis*. Fifth, KO models of thirteen genes identified through GWAS and MR developed highly relevant phenotypes to HF and in some cases (CAMK2D), specific pharmacological inhibition showed reversibility of the HF-phenotypes. Six, the lack of associations between the distinct GWAS loci and MR genes with safety outcomes used in the primary prevention trials of HF provides some reassurance on target safety profiles.

The degree of credibility on the causality of proteins identified by MR depends on whether the MR assumptions are valid. First, our colocalization analysis on HF, risk factors for HF and LV cardiac MRI traits makes confounding by LD unlikely. The selection of cis-variants as proposed instruments minimizes the chances of horizontal pleiotropy. To further minimize chances of horizontal pleiotropy, we developed a novel analysis that attempted to empirically test the relevant conditions needed for horizontal pleiotropy to invalidate MR. First, we looked for secondary proteins or gene-expression associated with our MR protein hits, and then evaluated if those secondary proteins/gene-expression were associated with HF and fall in a biological or PPI pathway outside our protein hits. After doing this, only TNXB showed some evidence of horizontal pleiotropy. Interestingly, cispQTLs used as instruments for TNXB were not associated with *cis*-eQTLs. HF risk factors or LV cardiac MRI traits. Although we used multiple lines of evidence to determine putative causal genes, the pathway enrichment analysis identifies pathways linked to cardiac biology, but may not point to specific insights for HF, and we did not functionally validate any of our results, which remains as the highest level of evidence to support causal roles for the hits, especially those that pass the suggestive MR and coloc thresholds of FDR 5% and PP.H4>0.5.

Although most of our variants and genes showed associations with HF risk factors that were biologically concordant with HF risk, some discordant associations were observed. HDL-C and diastolic BP accounted for most of these discordant associations. It has been reported that higher levels of diastolic BP may be protective on HF^{31,32}, instead of deleterious as we assumed, while the HDL-C association with HF seems to be non-linear³², which was not accounted for in our MR analysis that included HDL-C as a co-variable. We validated seven of the 11 variants reported in an unpublished multi-ancestry HF GWAS by GBMI¹⁵. Another limitation is that our analysis was restricted to individuals of European ancestry. While this does reduce the potential bias caused by population stratification, our results may not apply to populations of other ancestral groups. Future HF GWAS meta-analysis including larger releases of MVP, All of US and GBMI will not only provide chances for replication of variants identified in Europeans, but also to include non-white populations to further increase the discovery of genetic determinants of HF.

Although the absence of HF sub-types in this analysis most certainly decreased our ability to detect signals specific to HF sub-types, it does not invalidate the ones identified. Evidence from primary prevention trials using HF as an outcome (as our genetic study)

that uncovered the benefits of BP lowering therapies and statins indicates the plausibility for translation of our genetic findings. Future genomic analysis should extend to different HF subtypes, with focus on HF with preserved ejection fraction, a major unmet need in medicine. Although our design attempted to emulate a primary prevention trial on HF, further studies with access to individual participant data that reliably recreate eligibility criteria and outcome ascertainment that cover efficacy (including HF sub-types) and safety outcomes are needed.

In conclusion, we discovered a total of 18 distinct novel HF-associated variants and 10 putatively causal genes for HF through GWAS and MR-proteomics with evidence of biological plausibility. The new mechanisms and pathways together with the seven druggable genes discovered provide a tractable path for the translation of our genomic findings for the primary prevention of HF.

METHODS

Clinical and demographic characteristics

The study population for the meta-analysis consisted of 1,279,610 participants, of which 302,287 were from MVP (43,344 cases and 258,943 controls) and 977,323 were from HERMES Consortium (47,309 cases and 930,014 controls). The clinical and demographic features of the participants are summarized in **Supplementary Data 1**. Detailed breakdown of clinical and demographic characteristics according to each study included in the HERMES Consortium has been previously published⁸. The population characteristics of the BioVU PRS cohort can be found in **Supplementary Data 21**.

Genotyping, Quality Control, and Imputation of Genetic Data

For the data obtained from the Million Veteran Program (MVP), DNA was extracted from participants' blood and genotyped using the MVP 1.0 Genotyping Array, which is enriched for both common and rare genetic variants of clinical significance. Imputation performance was assessed, and variants that had poor quality as determined by were removed from further analyses. All studies included in the HERMES Consortium utilized high-density genotyping arrays. A detailed table summarizing the genotyping, quality control, imputation, and analysis across the 29 distinct datasets included in the HERMES Consortium has been previously described⁸. For quality control, the per variant call rate and the per sample call rate across all studies was at least greater than 90⁸. The MAF threshold ranged from >0% to 1% across studies⁸. Further details can be found in the Supplementary Information.

Phenotyping of heart failure

Across all 26 cohorts of the HERMES Consortium, cases with HF were identified by a clinical diagnosis of HF of any etiology, as determined by physician diagnosis or adjudication, ICD codes, and imaging, and controls were participants without a clinical diagnosis of HF. In the MVP, HF patients were identified as those with an International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-9 code of 428.x or ICD-10 code of I50.x *and* an echocardiogram performed within 6 months of diagnosis (median time period from diagnosis to echocardiography was 3 days, interquartile range 0-32 days). Further details can be found in the Supplementary Information.

Genome-wide association study for HF

We performed a fixed effects inverse-variance weighted meta-analysis HF from the published MVP (n=302,258) and HERMES (n=964,057)⁸ GWAS using METAL³³ (version release 2020-05-05) in a total of 1,266,315 individuals. We removed variants with a MAF < 0.5%, resulting in 10,227,138 associations.

We used FUMA³⁴ to annotate our results using the default settings. In accordance with the default FUMA parameters, we defined distinct variants to have an R2 < 0.6 and determined the associations that were > 500KB from a previously reported indexed variant in MVP and HERMES. We used the closest gene to the indexed variant and the top gene per locus identified by PoPs to prioritize genes for our GWA-significant (p < 5 x 10^{-8}) loci.

The PoPS method¹³ is a new gene prioritization method that identifies the causal genes by integrating GWAS summary statistics with gene expression, biological pathway, and predicted protein-protein interaction data. We applied the PoPS score because it has shown to nominate causal genes at non-coding GWAS loci with greater predictive confidence compared to other similarity-based or locus-based methods¹³. By leveraging a framework unbiased by previous trait-specific knowledge, the PoPs tool can prioritize causal genes and therefore highlight relevant biological pathways with greater confidence. First, as part of the PoPS analysis, we used MAGMA to compute gene association statistics (z-scores) and gene-gene correlations from GWAS summary statistics and LD information from the 1000 Genomes. Next, PoPS performs marginal feature selection by using MAGMA to perform enrichment analysis for each gene feature separately. The model is fit by generalized least squares (GLS), and MAGMA results are used to perform marginal feature selection, retaining only features that pass a nominal significance threshold (p < 0.05). Then, PoPS computes a joint enrichment of all selected features simultaneously in a leave one chromosome out (LOCO) framework. The gene features employed by PoPS are listed here: https://github.com/FinucaneLab/gene features. The PoPs method uses data from gene expression datasets, protein-protein interaction networks, and pathway databases; however, variants that act through mechanisms not captured by PoPs model would not be identified. Finally, PoPS computes polygenic priority scores for each gene by fitting a joint model for the enrichment of all selected features. The PoP score for a gene is independent of the GWAS data on the chromosome where the gene is located. The PoPS analysis returned scores for a total of 18,383 genes per set of GWAS datasets. We then annotated our GWAS loci with the Ensembl genes in a 500kb window and selected the highest PoP score gene in the locus as the prioritized gene. For all the genes suggested by the nearest gene and PoPS, we conducted gene burden tests derived using a genebased (mean) approach in a mixed model framework using the Genebass-UK Biobank resource (see Supplementary Information).

Genome-wide association study in African-Americans MVP subpopulation

We conducted a GWAS of HF in the African-American MVP subpopulation and performed lookups for our novel HF variants as well as the previously described HF variants. The African-American subpopulation in the MVP is composed of 11,399 cases with heart failure and 69,726 controls, of which 94.9% cases and 85.4% controls were male with a mean age of 63.82 (9.92) and 56.39 (12.20) for the cases and controls, respectively (**Table S4**).

Associations of HF GWAS variants with HF risk factors and LV cardiac MRI traits

For genetic variants that passed the GWAS threshold for HF ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$), we determined genetic associations for 15 HF risk factors and 9 LV cardiac MRI traits derived from available GWAS. Data on HF risk factors was obtained from European-descent GWAS studies: BMI³⁵, smoking³⁶, alcohol intake frequency³⁷, AF³⁸, diastolic and systolic BP ³⁹, T2DM⁴⁰, CAD⁴¹, LDL-C⁴², HDL-C⁴², estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR)²⁹, and chronic obstructive airways disease (COPD)³⁶, and troponin I cardiac muscle, N-terminal pro-BNP (NT-proBNP), and interleukin-6 (IL-6).

For LV cardiac MRI traits, we determined genetic associations from two separate publications. Seven LV cardiac MRI measurements in 36,041 participants of the UK Biobank from Pirruccello *et al*⁴³ and LV mass and LV mass to end-diastolic volume ratio from cardiac MRI in 42,157 UK-Biobank participants from Aung *et al (unpublished)* using automated CMR analysis techniques and LV GWAS techniques^{44,45}.

We used $p < 1 \ge 10^{-4}$ (0.01/number of secondary to HF traits tested in the manuscript) to account for multiple testing. For associations that passed our p-value threshold, we evaluated whether the directionality of HF risk factors associations was concordant with findings on HF; for example, for a variant that showed an increased risk of HF, we expect a positive association with a deleterious risk factor.

Mendelian randomization on 1557 proteins and HF *Selection of proposed pQTL instruments*

We obtained pQTLs from a genome-proteome-wide association study in the Fenland study of 10,708 participants of European-descent¹² (retrieved from www.omiscience.org). The genome-proteome-wide association study was conducted using 10.2 million genetic variants and plasma abundances of 4,775 distinct protein targets (proteins targeted by a least one aptamer) measured using the SOMAscan V4 assay¹². Significant genetic variant pQTLs were defined as passing a Bonferroni p-value threshold of p < 1.004 × 10⁻¹¹. Approximate conditional analysis was performed to detect secondary signals for each genomic region identified by distance-based clumping of association statistics¹². To diminish the likelihood of horizontal pleiotropy, we restricted proposed instrumental variables to (lead and secondary signals) *cis*-pQTLs using a p-value threshold of p < 5 x 10⁻⁸ in marginal statistics, where *cis* is defined as any variant within a Mb region of the protein-encoding gene. A total of 2,900 *cis*-pQTLs across 1557 genes (mean=1.9, min=1, max=14) covering an equal number of proteins from the Fenland study were used as proposed instrument variables for conducting two-sample MR of proteomics against HF.

Mendelian Randomization and colocalization

We performed two-sample MR using the TwoSampleMR packade in R (https://mrcieu.github.io/TwoSampleMR/) ⁴⁶. The Wald Ratio was used for instruments with one variant and the inverse-variance weighted MR method was used for instruments with two or more variants. We tested the heterogeneity across variant-level MR estimates, using the Cochrane Q method (mr heterogeneity option in TwoSampleMR package) and plotted the effects of the variants on the proteins against the effects of the variants on HF to validate our instruments when more than one variant was included. We defined significant MR results using a False Discovery Rate (FDR) of 0.05 calculated by the Benjamini-Hochberg method (corresponding p-value = 5×10^{-4}). We used the MR-Egger intercept test to detect potential directional pleiotropy, and report the Egger intercept and corresponding standard error and p-value for genes with three or more variants, where the MR-Egger intercept can be interpreted as an estimate of the average horizontal pleiotropic effect of the genetic variants ⁴⁷.

MR assumes the SNP influences the outcome only through the exposure. To help guard against the existence of distinct but correlated causal variants for the exposure and outcome, for results that passed our MR threshold (FDR<0.05), we performed colocalization using the COLOC package⁴⁸ in R. Colocalization assesses the probability of a shared causal variant (PP.H4) or distinct causal variants (PP.H3) between the HF GWAS and *cis*-pQTL instruments for the protein of interest. We performed conditional analysis on the pQTL data to identify conditionally distinct pQTL signals and performed colocalization using marginal (unadjusted) pQTL results as well as results conditional on each of the instruments used in the MR. Statistically significant MR hits with a posterior probability of a shared causal variant (PP.H4) > 0.5 for at least one instrumental variant were then investigated further. Colocalization was performed using with default priors (prior probability of initial trait association is 1×10^{-4} , prior probability of shared causal variant across two traits is 1×10^{-5}). We also investigated if the *cis*-pQTL instruments for genes that passed both MR and colocalization thresholds were also *cis*-eQTLs (p < 5 x10⁻⁸). Tissues used were whole blood from eQTLGen and heart atrial, heart ventricle, artery aorta, adipose, liver, kidney tissues, and transformed cultured fibroblasts from GTEx V8.

MR and colocalization for HF risk factors and cardiac MRI traits

For proteins that passed both MR and colocalization thresholds, we conducted twosample MR analyses of these proteins, using *cis*-pQTLs from the Fenland study as proposed instrumental variables, against 15 HF risk factors and 9 cardiac MRI traits described in the previous section (see **Supplementary Material** for details on traits and datasets). For the MR results that passed a p-value threshold of $p < 1 \times 10^{-4}$, we conducted colocalization analyses as previously described. We defined significant findings as those that passed thresholds for MR ($p < 1 \times 10^{-4}$) and colocalization (PP.H4 > 0.5).

Assessment of horizontal pleiotropy

For statistical findings that passed the MR and colocalization thresholds, we evaluated the possibility that horizontal pleiotropy may invalidate our findings. The pipeline of analysis is depicted in **Supplementary Figure 5**. Step-1: We determined if our cis-pQTLs were associated ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) with other proteins levels included in SOMAscan V4 or with gene expression using data from eQTLGen. Step-2: We gueried if the genes (including genes that encode SOMAscan proteins) identified in *Step-1* were within 1MB of the risk loci for HF identified by GWAS conducted to date. Step-3: We conducted two-sample MR to identify if the secondary genes/proteins (identified in Step-1) were associated with HF, using a Bonferroni-corrected p-value (0.05/number of unique genes/proteins identified in Step-1). We leveraged as proposed instruments the lead *cis*-pQTL ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) from the Fenland study, and if it was not available, we used the lead *cis*-eQTL ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) identified from eQTLGen. Step-4: We then mapped all secondary genes/proteins identified in Step-3 to Reactome/KEGG pathways; and compared if these pathways are on the same (vertical pleiotropy) or different (horizontal pleiotropy) pathway as that associated with the primary genes identified through MR proteomics for HF. To further investigate the physiological functionalities of our findings retrieved in Step-4, we queried two databases: the Enrichr^{49–51}, an interactive gene knowledge discovery database, and

the GPS-Prot server⁵², a platform with aggregated information about protein-protein interactions.

LD score regression

We used LD Score regression⁵³ (LDSC) to estimate genetic correlations between heart failure and 15 cardiovascular traits. We estimated using European LD scores obtained from the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 data for the HapMap2 SNPs. We used MungeSumstats to perform standardization of association statistics⁵⁴.

Polygenic risk score analysis

A polygenic score for heart failure was calculated using the HF meta-analysis using the PRS-CS package⁵⁵, which utilizes a Bayesian regression framework to calculate posterior SNP effect sizes under a continuous shrinkage prior. We used the LD reference panel constructed using the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 data. We conducted these analyses in Python, using the packages scipy and h5py. The PRS was evaluated in the Vanderbilt University Medical Center (VUMC) BioVU, a biobank that links the de-identified electronic medical record (EMR) system containing phenotypic data to discarded blood samples from routine clinical testing for the extraction of genetic data⁵⁶. A full description of the BioVU resource has been previously published⁵⁶. Participants with heart failure were identified by a modified version of the eMERGE definition for heart failure, which includes International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10) codes, where age was defined as age at heart failure for cases and age at last medical visit for controls. To determine the ability of PRS to stratify heart failure cases from controls, we used a logistic regression model, adjusting for age, sex, and three principal components of ancestry in the BioVU. We assessed enrichment in the more extreme tail of the PRS distribution by evaluating the odds ratio for individuals in the top PRS decile compared to individuals in the bottom PRS decile. In the top decile of PRS, there were 723 participants with HF and 6788 controls, and in the bottom decile, there were 416 participants with HF and 7096 controls.

Pathway enrichment analysis

We conducted enrichment analysis to identify biological pathways associated with HF risk loci (established and novel) that passed the GWAS p-value thresholds. For each locus, we selected the top variant and then identified *cis*-eQTLs (within a 1Mb region) from GTEx V8 in any tissue associated with the top variants and extracted all genes with a $p < 1 \times 10^{-4}$. We merged all retrieved genes to a gene set that was then used for inquiry for the enriched pathways. This set of genes was set forth to an over-representation analysis using the pathways described in Gene Ontology, KEGG and Reactome. Selected pathways were those significantly enriched at an FDR < 0.05.

Additionally, we explored the downstream transcriptional consequences associated with the distinct variants identified by our GWAS on HF and those not previously reported. We used the distinct variants and conducted a differential gene-expression analysis (using a dominant model) for all transcripts available in GTEx V8 for heart atrial, heart ventricle, artery aorta, adipose, liver, kidney, transformed cultured fibroblasts and whole-blood tissues. After fitting models for our variants, we retrieved all genes differentially expressed

at a $p < 1 \times 10^{-4}$ and conducted an enrichment pathway analysis (through an overrepresentation analysis, as described above). Enrichment analyses were performed using the R packages clusterProfiler and enrichplot⁵⁷.

EpiGraphDB queries

To investigate the current knowledge about the biomedical functions of the hit genes in association with HF, we used the EpiGraphDB database⁵⁸. We queried the biomedical and epidemiological relationships curated in the database to identify associations between the genes we identified and cardiovascular related outcomes and risk factors (see *Supplementary Methods*).

Querying the MGI database:

We queried the Mouse Genome Informatics (MGI, <u>http://www.informatics.jax.org/</u>) resource for all candidate genes from our novel GWAS hits list or those suggested as causal from our MR/colocalization approach. MGI uses a standardized nomenclature, and controlled vocabularies such as the Mouse Developmental Anatomy Ontology, the Mammalian Phenotype Ontology and the Gene Ontologies. As MGI extracts and organizes data from primary literature, we have parsed all system abnormalities associated with models on all of the queried genes⁵⁹. For models that displayed cardiovascular abnormalities, we have hand-curated the abnormalities and organized them into 3 distinct groups associated with (1) congenital heart malformations, (2) myocardial abnormalities, and (3) vascular abnormalities.

Druggability annotations

Proteins encoded by genes identified in the GWAS and MR analyses for HF were annotated with drug tractability information based on information provided by OpenTargets^{10,60,61} (release 2021-03-08). OpenTargets tractability system stratified drug targets into nine mutually exclusive groups (termed "buckets") based on the drug type and the stage of the drug discovery pipeline. For easier interpretation, we regrouped the original buckets into four mutually exclusive groups, as follows: *Licensed drugs*: bucket-1 for antibodies, small molecules and other modalities. *Drugs in clinical development:* buckets 2 and 3 for antibodies, small molecules, and other modalities. *Compounds in preclinical phase*: buckets 4 and 5 for small molecules. *Predicted druggable*: buckets 6 to 8 for small molecules plus buckets 4 and 5 for antibodies. The remaining proteins were considered non-druggable. For genes that were the target of licensed drugs, we checked whether the disease indication was also a risk factor for HF, as this may introduce a bias analogous to confounding by indication in MR.

GBMI Replication of Novel Loci

We conducted a replication of the 18 novel loci in the Global Biobank Meta-analysis Initiative (GBMI) multi-ancestry GWAS on heart failure, which includes 859,141 controls and 60,605 cases from BioBank Japan, BioMe, BioVU, China Kadoorie Biobank, Estonian Biobank, FinnGen, Genes & Health, HUNT, Lifelines, Michigan Genomics Initiative, Partners Biobank, UCLA Precision Health Biobank, excluding UK Biobank⁶². Heart failure cases were ascertained by ICD code (phecode 428.2). We consider p < 0.05/18 as a level of significance for replication and p < 0.05 as a level of nominal significance.

Data Availability

The MVP GWAS summary statistics used in this study is available through dbGAP under accession code phs001672.v10 [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/gap/cgibin/study.cgi?study_id=phs001672.v10.p1]. The only restriction is that use of the data is limited to health/medical/biomedical purposes, and does not include the study of population origins or ancestry. Use of the data does include methods development research (e.g., development and testing of software or algorithms) and requesters agree to make the results of studies using the data available to the larger scientific community. The HERMES GWAS summary statistics used in this study are publicly available at the GCST009541 GWAS Catalog under accession code [https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/studies/GCST009541]. Fenland-SomaLogic protein GWAS data are available at https://omicscience.org/. GTEx project v.8 data are publicly available at https://gtexportal.org/home/. Mouse Genome Informatics (MGI) data is publicly available at http://www.informatics.jax.org/. The GWAS summary statistics for the risk factor analyses used in this study are deposited in the GWAS Catalog (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/) and the accession codes are as follows: body mass index (GCST006900), alcohol consumption (GCST007325), atrial fibrillation (GCST006414), systolic blood pressure (GCST006624), diastolic blood pressure (GCST006630), type 2 diabetes (GCST006867), and coronary artery disease (GCST005194) troponin (GCST005806), NT-pBNP (GCST005806) and IL-6 (GCST90012049). The GWAS summary statistics for smoking and chronic obstructive airways disease used in this study are available at https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk under GWAS ID ukb-b-5779 and ukb-b-13447, respectively, and the GWAS summary statistics for the traits examined in the in-silico trails are available at https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk using the GWAS IDs listed in the Supplementary Table. The GWAS summary statistics for the LDL-cholesterol and HDLcholesterol are publicly available in http://csg.sph.umich.edu/willer/public/glgclipids2021/results/ancestry specific/. The summary statistics for estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) are deposited in ://www.uni-regensburg.de/medizin/epidemiologiepraeventivmedizin/genetische-epidemiologie/gwas-summary-statistics/index.html. The cardiac MRI datasets provided by Pirruccello et al are deposited under Dataset Name "UK Biobank Cardiac MRI LV GWAS" on https://cvd.hugeamp.org/downloads.html. The Open Targets data are deposited in https://platform.opentargets.org/. The EpiGraphDB database used in this study is provided at: https://www.epigraphdb.org/.

Code Availability

We used publicly available software for the analyses, and all software used is listed and described in the Methods section of our manuscript. Statistical analyses were conducted in R version 3.6.3. Mendelian randomization analyses were conducted using the TwoSampleMR package in R version 0.5.3 (https://mrcieu.github.io/TwoSampleMR/), genetic colocalization analyses were conducted using the coloc package in R (https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/coloc/index.html and https://chr1swallace.github.io/coloc, using default priors), pathway enrichment analyses conducted clusterProfiler were usina the package in R (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22455463/) and the enrichplot R package, LD Score regression was conducting using LDSC (https://github.com/bulik/ldsc), and polygenic risk score was calculated using the PRS-cs package v1.0.0 (https://github.com/getian107/PRScs). Meta-analysis of GWAS summary statistics were prepared usina publicly available software. including METAL (https://genome.sph.umich.edu/wiki/METAL Documentation), version release 2020-05-05. Software used to annotate our results are described in the Methods section of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Roth, G. A. *et al.* Global Burden of cardiovascular diseases and risk factors, 1990-2019: Update from the GBD 2019 Study. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **76**, 2982–3021 (2020).
- Roger, V. L. Epidemiology of heart failure: A contemporary perspective. *Circ. Res.* 128, 1421–1434 (2021).
- Blood Pressure Lowering Treatment Trialists' Collaboration. Pharmacological blood pressure lowering for primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease across different levels of blood pressure: an individual participant-level data meta-analysis. *Lancet* 397, 1625–1636 (2021).
- Nissen, S. E. *et al.* Statin therapy, LDL cholesterol, C-reactive protein, and coronary artery disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 352, 29–38 (2005).
- Smith, G. D. & Ebrahim, S. Mendelian randomization: prospects, potentials, and limitations. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 33, 30–42 (2004).
- 6. Levin, M. G. *et al.* Genome-wide association and multi-trait analyses characterize the common genetic architecture of heart failure. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 6914 (2022).
- Joseph, J. *et al.* Genetic architecture of heart failure with preserved versus reduced ejection fraction. *bioRxiv* 2021.12.01.21266829 (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.12.01.21266829.
- 8. Shah, S. *et al.* Genome-wide association and Mendelian randomisation analysis provide insights into the pathogenesis of heart failure. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 163 (2020).

- Williams, S. A. *et al.* Plasma protein patterns as comprehensive indicators of health. *Nat. Med.* 25, 1851–1857 (2019).
- Ochoa, D. *et al.* Open Targets Platform: supporting systematic drug-target identification and prioritisation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, D1302–D1310 (2021).
- Santos, R. *et al.* A comprehensive map of molecular drug targets. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **16**, 19–34 (2017).
- Pietzner, M. *et al.* Mapping the proteo-genomic convergence of human diseases. *Science* 374, eabj1541 (2021).
- 13. Weeks, E. M. *et al.* Leveraging polygenic enrichments of gene features to predict genes underlying complex traits and diseases. *bioRxiv* (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.09.08.20190561.
- 14. Karczewski, K. J. *et al.* Systematic single-variant and gene-based association testing of thousands of phenotypes in 394,841 UK Biobank exomes. *Cell Genomics* 100168 (2022).
- 15. Wu, K.-H. H. *et al.* Polygenic risk score from a multi-ancestry GWAS uncovers susceptibility of heart failure. *bioRxiv* 2021.12.06.21267389 (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.12.06.21267389.
- Verweij, N. *et al.* The genetic makeup of the electrocardiogram. *Cell Syst.* **11**, 229-238.e5 (2020).
- 17. Karlsson, T. *et al.* Contribution of genetics to visceral adiposity and its relation to cardiovascular and metabolic disease. *Nat. Med.* **25**, 1390–1395 (2019).
- Hoffmann, T. J. *et al.* A large multiethnic genome-wide association study of adult body mass index identifies novel loci. *Genetics* **210**, 499–515 (2018).
- 19. Pulit, S. L. *et al.* Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies for body fat distribution in 694 649 individuals of European ancestry. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **28**, 166–174 (2019).
- 20. Kehat, I. *et al.* Extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1 and 2 regulate the balance between eccentric and concentric cardiac growth. *Circ. Res.* **108**, 176–183 (2011).
- Fielitz, J. *et al.* Requirement of protein kinase D1 for pathological cardiac remodeling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **105**, 3059–3063 (2008).

- 22. Swaminathan, P. D., Purohit, A., Hund, T. J. & Anderson, M. E. Calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II: linking heart failure and arrhythmias. *Circ. Res.* **110**, 1661–1677 (2012).
- Beauverger, P. *et al.* Reversion of cardiac dysfunction by a novel orally available calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II inhibitor, RA306, in a genetic model of dilated cardiomyopathy. *Cardiovasc. Res.* **116**, 329–338 (2020).
- 24. Witztum, J. L. *et al.* Volanesorsen and triglyceride levels in familial chylomicronemia syndrome. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **381**, 531–542 (2019).
- Esan, O. & Wierzbicki, A. S. Volanesorsen in the treatment of familial chylomicronemia syndrome or hypertriglyceridaemia: Design, development and place in therapy. *Drug Des. Devel. Ther.* 14, 2623–2636 (2020).
- 26. Chong, M. *et al.* Novel drug targets for ischemic stroke identified through Mendelian randomization analysis of the blood proteome. *Circulation* **140**, 819–830 (2019).
- Jain, M. *et al.* A novel role for tumor necrosis factor-like weak inducer of apoptosis (TWEAK) in the development of cardiac dysfunction and failure. *Circulation* **119**, 2058– 2068 (2009).
- Gallagher, M. D. & Chen-Plotkin, A. S. The post-GWAS era: From association to function.
 Am. J. Hum. Genet. **102**, 717–730 (2018).
- Stanzick, K. J. *et al.* Discovery and prioritization of variants and genes for kidney function in >1.2 million individuals. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 4350 (2021).
- Votava, J. A. & Parks, B. W. Cross-species data integration to prioritize causal genes in lipid metabolism. *Curr. Opin. Lipidol.* 32, 141–146 (2021).
- Uijl, A. *et al.* Risk factors for incident heart failure in age- and sex-specific strata: a population-based cohort using linked electronic health records. *Eur. J. Heart Fail.* 21, 1197–1206 (2019).
- Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration *et al.* Major lipids, apolipoproteins, and risk of vascular disease. *JAMA* 302, 1993–2000 (2009).

- Willer, C. J., Li, Y. & Abecasis, G. R. METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. *Bioinformatics* 26, 2190–2191 (2010).
- 34. Watanabe, K., Taskesen, E., van Bochoven, A. & Posthuma, D. Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1826 (2017).
- Yengo, L. *et al.* Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies for height and body mass index in ~700000 individuals of European ancestry. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 27, 3641–3649 (2018).
- Hemani, G. *et al.* The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. *Elife* 7, (2018).
- Karlsson Linnér, R. *et al.* Genome-wide association analyses of risk tolerance and risky behaviors in over 1 million individuals identify hundreds of loci and shared genetic influences. *Nat. Genet.* **51**, 245–257 (2019).
- Nielsen, J. B. *et al.* Biobank-driven genomic discovery yields new insight into atrial fibrillation biology. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 1234–1239 (2018).
- Evangelou, E. *et al.* Genetic analysis of over 1 million people identifies 535 new loci associated with blood pressure traits. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 1412–1425 (2018).
- 40. Xue, A. *et al.* Genome-wide association analyses identify 143 risk variants and putative regulatory mechanisms for type 2 diabetes. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 2941 (2018).
- 41. van der Harst, P. & Verweij, N. Identification of 64 novel genetic loci provides an expanded view on the genetic architecture of coronary artery disease. *Circ. Res.* **122**, 433–443 (2018).
- Graham, S. E. *et al.* The power of genetic diversity in genome-wide association studies of lipids. *Nature* **600**, 675–679 (2021).
- Pirruccello, J. P. *et al.* Analysis of cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in 36,000 individuals yields genetic insights into dilated cardiomyopathy. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 2254 (2020).

- 44. Bai, W. *et al.* Automated cardiovascular magnetic resonance image analysis with fully convolutional networks. *J. Cardiovasc. Magn. Reson.* **20**, 65 (2018).
- Aung, N. *et al.* Genome-wide analysis of left ventricular image-derived phenotypes identifies fourteen loci associated with cardiac morphogenesis and heart failure development. *Circulation* **140**, 1318–1330 (2019).
- Hemani, G., Tilling, K. & Davey Smith, G. Orienting the causal relationship between imprecisely measured traits using GWAS summary data. *PLoS Genet.* **13**, e1007081 (2017).
- Burgess, S. & Thompson, S. G. Interpreting findings from Mendelian randomization using the MR-Egger method. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* **32**, 377–389 (2017).
- 48. Giambartolomei, C. *et al.* Bayesian test for colocalisation between pairs of genetic association studies using summary statistics. *PLoS Genet.* **10**, e1004383 (2014).
- 49. Chen, E. Y. *et al.* Enrichr: interactive and collaborative HTML5 gene list enrichment analysis tool. *BMC Bioinformatics* **14**, 128 (2013).
- 50. Kuleshov, M. V. *et al.* Enrichr: a comprehensive gene set enrichment analysis web server 2016 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**, W90-7 (2016).
- 51. Xie, Z. et al. Gene set knowledge discovery with Enrichr. Curr Protoc 1, e90 (2021).
- 52. Fahey, M. E. *et al.* GPS-Prot: a web-based visualization platform for integrating hostpathogen interaction data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **12**, 298 (2011).
- 53. Bulik-Sullivan, B. K. *et al.* LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 291–295 (2015).
- Murphy, A. E., Schilder, B. M. & Skene, N. G. MungeSumstats: A Bioconductor package for the standardisation and quality control of many GWAS summary statistics. *Bioinformatics* 37, 4593–4596 (2021).
- 55. Ge, T., Chen, C.-Y., Ni, Y., Feng, Y.-C. A. & Smoller, J. W. Polygenic prediction via Bayesian regression and continuous shrinkage priors. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1776 (2019).

- 56. Roden, D. M. *et al.* Development of a large-scale de-identified DNA biobank to enable personalized medicine. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* **84**, 362–369 (2008).
- 57. Wu, T. *et al.* clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. *Innovation (N Y)* **2**, 100141 (2021).
- Liu, Y. *et al.* EpiGraphDB: a database and data mining platform for health data science.
 Bioinformatics 37, 1304–1311 (2021).
- Shaw, D. R. Searching the Mouse Genome Informatics (MGI) resources for information on mouse biology from genotype to phenotype. *Curr. Protoc. Bioinformatics* 56, 1.7.1-1.7.16 (2016).
- Brown, K. K. *et al.* Approaches to target tractability assessment a practical perspective.
 Medchemcomm 9, 606–613 (2018).
- Schneider, M. *et al.* The PROTACtable genome. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **20**, 789–797 (2021).
- Zhou, W. *et al.* Global Biobank Meta-analysis Initiative: Powering genetic discovery across human disease. *Cell Genom.* 2, 100192 (2022).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to all the MVP investigators; a list of MVP investigators can be found in Supplementary Information. This research is supported by funding from the Department of Veterans Affairs Office of Research and Development, Million Veteran Program Grant I01-CX001737 (PI: Phillips) and I01-BX004821 (PI: Wilson/Cho). This publication does not represent the views of the Department of Veterans Affairs or the United States Government. We also acknowledge the VA Merit Grant I01-CX001025 (PI: Wilson/Cho).

The Fenland study was approved by the National Health Service (NHS) Health Research Authority Research Ethics Committee (NRES Committee – East of England Cambridge Central, ref. 04/Q0108/19), and all participants provided written informed consent. We are grateful to all Fenland volunteers and to the General Practitioners and practice staff for assistance with recruitment. We thank the Fenland Study Investigators, Fenland Study Co-ordination team and the Epidemiology Field, Data and Laboratory teams. The Fenland Study (10.22025/2017.10.101.00001) is funded by the Medical Research Council (MC_UU_12015/1). We further acknowledge support for genomics from the Medical

Research Council (MC_PC_13046). Proteomic measurements were supported and governed by a collaboration agreement between the University of Cambridge and SomaLogic.

PBM and SEP acknowledge the support of the National Institute for Health and Care Research Barts Biomedical Research Centre (NIHR203330); a delivery partnership of Barts Health NHS Trust, Queen Mary University of London, St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and St George's University of London. NA acknowledges support from the NIHR Integrated Academic Training programme which supports his Academic Clinical Lectureship post. CG has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 754490 – MINDED project.

L.S.P. is supported in part by VA awards CSP #2008, I01 CX001899, I01 CX001737, and I01 BX005831; NIH awards R01 DK127083, R21 AI156161, UL1 TR002378, and U18DP006711; and a Cystic Fibrosis Foundation award PHILLI12A0. The sponsors had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; and preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript. L.S.P. is also supported in part by the Veterans Health Administration (VA). This work is not intended to reflect the official opinion of the VA or the U.S. government.

J.P.C. moved to work with Novartis Institute for Biomedical Research during the submission of this project.

Author Contributions Statement

J.P.C. conceived the study design, oversaw all analyses and interpretation, and wrote the manuscript. J.P.C., J.J., Y.V.S., and C.L. conceived of the project. D.R., G.M.P, A.C.P., H.D., C.G., and B.R.F. performed the formal analyses and visualizations, and wrote the manuscript. E.W., N.A., M.P., Q.H. contributed data. E.H.F.E. and Q.S.W. contributed data. E.H.F.E. performed analysis. N.M.K contributed to project administration. J.W. edited the manuscript. L.G., D.C.P., A.P.B., C.L., K.A., Z.W., B.C., J.E.H., P.W.F.W., L.S.P., P.B.M, S.E.P, K.C., A.R.L., M.P.M., and J.M.G. participated in the contribution of data or analysis tools. All authors critically reviewed the manuscript.

[†]These authors jointly supervised this work.

Competing Interests Statement

The authors declare no competing interests.

Table 1. Loci reported for HF in meta-analysis of HERMES and MVP HF GWAS datasets.

Genes that are druggable or predicted to be druggable are highlighted in bold.

			Nervert		CADD						
rsID	Chr	Pos	Nearest Gene	PoPs gene	Phred Score	NEA	EA	MAF	Beta	SE	P-value
Novel variants											
rs4755720	11	43628749	HSD17B12	HSD17B12	7.526	с	Т	0.400	-0.037	0.006	8.14E-11
rs7766436	6	22598259	HDGFL1	HDGFL1	1.902	С	т	0.286	0.037	0.006	5.18E-10
rs3820888	2	201180023	SPATS2L	SPATS2L	6.261	c	т	0.378	-0.034	0.006	1.20E-09
rs12992672	2	632592	TMEM18	TMEM18	1.061	G	Α	0.172	0.045	0.007	1.70E-09
rs10846742	12	125308682	SCARB1	UBC	0.277	G	Α	0.173	-0.046	0.008	1.73E-09
rs17620390	4	114384328	CAMK2D	CAMK2D	1.907	c	A	0.284	-0.037	0.006	1.90E-09
rs72688573	1	50746997	FAF1	FAF1	6.834	С	т	0.022	-0.122	0.021	4.31E-09
rs10938398	4	45186139	GNPDA2	N/A	1.094	G	A	0.421	0.033	0.006	4.50F-09
rs6945340	7	75100124	POM121C	HIP1	3.58	c	т	0.208	-0.040	0.007	5.89E-09
rs7564469	2	145258445	ZEB2	GTDC1	19.56	С	т	0.165	-0.043	0.007	6.66E-09
rs7977247	12	107259470	RIC8B	RFX4	1.868	C.	т	0.434	0.032	0.006	1.07F-08
rs1016287	2	59305625	FANCI	N/A	19.22	C.	т	0.280	0.037	0.006	1.11F-08
rs959388	14	30169987	PRKD1	PRKD1	0.596	G	т	0.417	-0.031	0.006	1.30F-08
rs233806	4	103212846	51 (3948	BANK1	9 713	c	т	0 207	-0.037	0.007	1.57E-08
rs17038861	2	37233265	HFATR5B		0 403	G	т	0 195	0.039	0.007	2 35F-08
rs9352691	- 6	79785607	РНІР	рнір	6 373	c	т	0 365	0.032	0.006	2.65E-08
rs1052031	19	/6327831	SVMPK		2.8/19	G	r C	0.059	0.032	0.000	2.05E 00
rs79682748	1	66989719	SGIP1	SGIP1	<i>A</i> 719	G	Δ	0.033	-0 155	0.013	3.00E-08
Previously reno	ted v	ariants	5011	50# 1	4.715			0.010	0.155	0.020	3.00L 00
rc7850727		22102165	CDKN2B	CDKN2A	1 1 1 8	c	Т	0 4 8 8	0.061	0.006	3 11F-20
rs2634071		111660220			1 622	c c	т	0.400	0.001	0.000	3.64E-29
rs11642015	16	53802404	FTINZ	RDGRID11	1.022	c c	т	0.213	0.079	0.007	2.60E-25
rc10455972	10	161010110		NFGNIFIL	4.820	c	1	0.432	0.058	0.000	2.09L-23
rc2176226	6	26647290			10.00	G	A	0.074	0.069	0.011	0.20L-23
155170520	1	100921511		CELSE2	10.00	c c	т	0.175	-0.008	0.007	E 10E 16
15002033	1	16221108	PSRC1	CELSK2	4 205	G	T	0.207	-0.054	0.007	7 805 15
rs17617227	10	121426994	C101J04	ZBIBI/	4.205	c c	т	0.331	-0.048	0.000	7.89E-15
rs600028	10	121420004	ARO		7.506	c c	т Т	0.210	-0.030	0.007	0.000-14
15000038	9	75 40 6012	ABU	SURFI	7.590	C C	1 T	0.215	-0.049	0.007	9.445-14
rs34163229	10	75406912	SYNPOZL	SEC24C	24	G	1	0.133	-0.056	0.008	7.40E-13
rs113437066	17	65836220	BPIF	BPTF	2.588	AIII T	A	0.197	0.061	0.010	1.81E-10
rs11746435	5	13/006/62	KLHL3	HNRNPAU	7.216	1 -	A	0.229	0.042	0.007	2.04E-10
rs2832275	21	30602994	BACH1	LINI	1.08		A	0.139	-0.047	0.008	2.90E-10
rs7795282	/	/412285/	GTF2T	GTF2IRD1	0.262	G	A	0.221	-0.042	0.007	7.69E-10
rs12933292	16	69566309	NFAT5	NFAT5	0.403	G	C	0.425	0.034	0.006	8.96E-10
rs216199	17	2200871	SMG6	SMG6	3.442	C	۲ ۲	0.388	-0.037	0.006	1.11E-09
rs2013002	12	112200150	ALDHZ	AIXN2	4.336	C	ſ	0.415	0.033	0.006	5.68E-09
rs17163345	1	222806218	MIA3	MIA3	7.541	G	A	0.270	-0.034	0.006	2.15E-08
rs3764351	17	37824339	PNMT	MED1	5.182	G	A	0.340	-0.033	0.006	2.27E-08

Findings were identified using fixed effects inverse-variance weighted meta-analysis. Chromosomal position is based on GRCh37/hg19 reference. Gene names are italicized.

Abbreviations: CADD, Combined Annotation Dependent Depletion; NEA, non-effect allele; EA, effect allele; MAF, minor allele frequency; SE, standard error.

Table 2. Protein-hits for heart failure identified through Mendelian randomization that passed an FDR threshold of 5%. Genes that passed a colocalization threshold of *PP.H4>0.5* (suggestive threshold) are highlighted in bold, and *PP.H4>0.8* (strong threshold) are marked with an asterisk.

Protein Gene Name	Number of SNPs	Odds Ratio	95% CI	P-value	pHet†	FDR	MR-Egger Intercept (95% CI); P-value	Coloc PP.H4*	Druggability classification (Chemical Modality)
ITIH4*	2	1.13	(1.07, 1.17)	2.51E-07	0.66	3.90E-04	N/A	0.97	Non-druggable
APOC3*	1	1.19	(1.11, 1.28)	1.74E-06	NA	1.35E-03	N/A	0.99	Advanced Clinical Phase (Oligonucleotide)
МАРКЗ	3	0.95	(0.93, 0.97)	6.70E-06	0.41	3.48E-03	0.02 (-0.01, 0.05); 0.43	0.52	Advanced Clinical Phase (Small molecule)
TNFSF12	2	0.96	(0.94, 0.98)	1.78E-05	0.05	6.94E-03	N/A	0.79	Clinical Phase 1 (Antibody)
ABO	2	1.02	(1.01, 1.03)	2.89E-05	0.11	8.99E-03	N/A	0.01	Non-druggable
APOH*	2	0.96	(0.94, 0.98)	5.24E-05	0.83	1.36E-02	N/A	0.89	Non-druggable
B3GNT8	2	0.97	(0.96, 0.99)	9.35E-05	0.96	2.08E-02	N/A	0.48	Non-druggable
NTN4	2	1.08	(1.04, 1.13)	1.10E-04	0.68	2.14E-02	N/A	0.04	Non-druggable
DLL1	1	0.87	(0.8, 0.93)	1.53E-04	NA	2.65E-02	N/A	0.75	Non-druggable
MST1	3	1.02	(1.01, 1.03)	1.99E-04	0.11	3.10E-02	-0.20 (-0.38, -0.01); 0.29	0.37	Non-druggable
ENPEP	4	0.96	(0.94, 0.98)	3.12E-04	0.18	4.27E-02	0.01 (-0.02, 0.03); 0.62	0.74	Non-druggable
NAE1	1	0.82	(0.74, 0.91)	3.55E-04	NA	4.27E-02	N/A	0.6	Advanced Clinical Phase (Small molecule)
ΤΝΧΒ	1	1.03	(1.02, 1.05)	3.56E-04	NA	4.27E-02	N/A	0.61	Non-druggable
SIRPA	1	0.98	(0.97, 0.99)	3.94E-04	NA	4.39E-02	N/A	0.56	Non-druggable
EBI3	1	0.75	(0.64, 0.89)	4.44E-04	NA	4.61E-02	N/A	0.01	Non-druggable
IL27	1	0.75	(0.64, 0.89)	4.44E-04	NA	4.61E-02	N/A	0.4	Non-druggable

*Posterior probability of H4 (one common causal variant) from colocalization of pQTL and GWAS results.

**Previously reported HF GWAS gene for instruments in GWAS loci (within 500KB up or down from each loci).

[†]MR pHet were measured by Cochran's Q test for heterogeneity across individual-variant MR estimates within a genetic instrument; instruments containing one variant were not tested for heterogeneity.

Abbreviations: MR, Mendelian randomization; FDR, false discovery rate, PP.H4, posterior probability of H4. Gene names are italicized.

Significant MR results, FDR < 5%. MR estimates were calculated using Wald ratio for instruments with one variant and inverse-variance weighting and fixed effects for instruments that contained more than one variant. Note that an OR>1 indicates an increase in protein corresponding with an increase in HF risk or vice versa, suggesting that the therapeutic solution may be an inhibitor; an OR<1 indicates either a decrease in protein levels corresponding with an increase in HF risk or an increase in protein levels corresponding with a decrease in HF risk, suggesting the therapeutic solution may be an agonist.

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the datasets and analyses.

Abbreviations: HF, heart failure; MVP, Million Veteran Program cohort; GWAS genome-wide association study; pQTL, protein quantitative trait loci; PheWAS, phenome-wide association study; MR, Mendelian randomization; FDR, false discovery rate; PP.H4, posterior probability of H_4



Figure 2. Manhattan plots showing associations with HF from (a) GWAS meta-analysis on n= 1,266,315 individuals and (b) MR-wide proteomics. a. Manhattan plot showing the -log₁₀(P-value) of association for each SNP from the GWAS meta-analysis plotted on the y-axis against genomic position on the x-axis. The red dotted line corresponds to genome-wide significance threshold. The summary statistics of independent lead SNPs are noted in Supplementary Table 1. b. Manhattan plot showing the -log₁₀-transformed FDR-adjusted P-value of association for each gene plotted against genomic position on the x-axis. All tests were two-sided and adjusted for multiple comparisons. The blue line corresponds to an FDR threshold of 5% and points are color coded by drug tractability information based on data provided by OpenTargets; green for druggable genes. Abbreviations: FDR, false discovery rate.





Figure 3a. Genetic associations of 18 HF loci against risk factors for HF. The color of the bubble corresponds to the beta coefficient of the genetic association between the loci (x-axis) and trait (y-axis). Blue corresponds to a negative and red corresponds to a positive beta coefficient. The size of each bubble corresponds to the negative logarithm of the association p-value; larger size corresponds to lower p-values. Loci are grouped by druggable and non-druggable genes. All tests were two-sided without adjustment for multiple comparisons. Associations which passed the p-value threshold ($p < 1 \times 10^{-4}$) are denoted by a yellow diamond. Abbreviations: β , Beta coefficient; AC, alcohol consumption; AF, atrial fibrillation; BMI, body mass index; CAD, coronary artery disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; IL-6, Interleukin-6; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-BNP; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SMK, smoking; T2D, type 2 diabetes; TRP, troponin I cardiac muscle.



Figure 3b. MR and colocalization estimates of MR-proteomic genes-hits against HF risk factors. This bubble plot shows MR estimates for which $p < 1 \times 10^{-4}$. The size of each bubble corresponds to the posterior probability for hypothesis 4 derived from colocalization. The color of the bubble corresponds to the beta coefficient derived from MR. Blue corresponds to a negative association and red corresponds to a positive association; note that a positive β indicates either an increase in protein levels corresponding to an increase in HF risk or a decrease in protein levels corresponding to an increase in HF risk or an increase in protein levels corresponding to an increase in HF risk or an increase in protein levels corresponding to an increase in HF risk or an increase in protein levels corresponding to an increase in HF risk or an increase in protein levels corresponding to an increase in HF risk or an increase in protein levels corresponding to a decrease in HF risk. The intensity of the color corresponds to $-\log_{10}(P-value)$ for the strength of association in the MR. All tests were two-sided without adjustment for multiple comparisons. Loci are grouped by druggable and non-druggable genes. *TNXB*, *SIRPA* and *ENPEP* genes are not included as these had no MR estimates on HF risk factors that pass the p $< 1 \times 10^{-4}$ threshold. Abbreviations: β , Beta coefficient; PP.H4, posterior probability of H_4

