Protocol for the British Paediatric Surveillance Study of

Neonatal Stroke in the United Kingdom and the Republic of

Ireland in babies in the first 90 days of life

Author

T'ng Chang Kwok¹, Robert A Dineen^{2, 3}, William Whitehouse¹, Richard M Lynn⁴, Niamh McSweeney⁵, Don Sharkey¹

Affiliation

- Centre for Perinatal Research, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, E floor, East Block, Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham, NG7 2UH.
- 2. Radiological Sciences, Mental Health & Clinical Neuroscience, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham, NG7 2UH.
- 3. NIHR Nottingham Biomedical Research Centre, Nottingham
- Population, Policy and Practice Research and Teaching Department, University College London Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, 30 Guilford Street, London, WC1N 1EH.
- Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, Cork University Hospital, Wilton, Cork, T12 DC4A, Ireland.

Corresponding Author

Professor Don Sharkey, Centre for Perinatal Research, Population and Lifespan Sciences,

School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, E floor, East Block, Queen's Medical Centre,

Nottingham, NG7 2UH, United Kingdom.

Email: Don.sharkey@nottingham.ac.uk

Conflict of Interest Statement

The study is funded by the 2019 Sir Peter Tizard award to TCK from the British Paediatric Surveillance Unit. No competing interests were disclosed.

Word count: 3,219 words

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

Synopsis

Study question

The neonatal stroke active surveillance study aims to explore the incidence and two-year outcome of neonatal stroke in the UK and Ireland.

What is already known?

Neonatal stroke is a rare but often devastating condition with lifelong consequences including cerebral palsy, epilepsy and cognitive delay. There are no contemporary, prospective multinational population studies on the presentation and outcomes of neonatal stroke. Whilst often the aetiology is multifactorial further information on underlying aetiology may help to identify potential future preventative treatments leading to improved outcomes.

What does this study add?

International collaboration is required to understand the epidemiology, management and outcomes of rare diseases or conditions. This is the first multinational surveillance study delivered via a purpose-built integrated case notification-data collection online platform and data safe haven, presenting practical and ethical challenges. The study will describe the burden of neonatal stroke while providing parents/carers and healthcare professionals with up-to-date information about the condition including the two-year outcomes.

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

Abstract

Background

Neonatal stroke is a devastating condition that causes brain injury in babies and often leads to lifelong neurological impairment. Recent, prospective whole population studies of neonatal stroke are lacking. Neonatal strokes are different from those seen in older children and adults. A better understanding of the aetiology, current management and outcomes of neonatal stroke could reduce the burden of this rare condition. Most healthcare professionals see only a few cases of neonatal stroke in their careers, so population-based prospective studies are needed.

Objectives

To explore the incidence and two-year outcomes of neonatal stroke across an entire population in the UK and the Republic of Ireland.

Population

Any infant presenting with neonatal stroke in the first 90 days of life.

Design

Active national surveillance study using a purpose-built integrated case notification-data collection online platform.

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

Methods

Over a 13-month period, British and Irish clinicians will notify any cases of neonatal stroke electronically via the online platform monthly. Clinicians will complete a primary questionnaire via the platform detailing clinical information, demographic details and investigations, including neuroimaging for detailed analysis and classification. An outcome questionnaire will be sent at two years of age via the platform. Appropriate ethical and regulatory approvals have been received from England, Wales, Scotland, Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland.

Conclusion

The neonatal stroke study represents the first multinational population surveillance study delivered via a purpose-built integrated case notification-data collection online platform and data safe haven, overcoming the challenges of setting up the study.

Keywords

Infant, Neonatal Stroke, Population Surveillance

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

Background

Neonatal stroke is a devastating condition that causes brain injury and often leads to lifelong neurological impairment¹ including cerebral palsy^{2, 3} and global developmental delay in particular language and cognitive delay ^{4, 5}. A common presenting symptom is focal seizures in the neonatal period with a later incidence of 15-25% of epilepsy depending on the extent and location of the injury⁶. Infants with neonatal stroke will continue to depend on healthcare services throughout childhood. It is estimated that each case of neonatal stroke will cost the healthcare services at least £26,000 (~31,000 EUR; 34,000 USD) for the initial admission and a further £40,000 (~48,000 EUR; 52,000 USD) during the first five years of life⁷. There will also be an increasing need for education services to support survivors of neonatal stroke⁸. The impact on family life and loss of economic productivity due to neonatal stroke is also known to be significant^{9, 10}.

Neonatal strokes are different from strokes seen in older children and adults¹¹. In babies, the brain is immature with greater capacity for plasticity in response to brain injury and hence greater potential for recovery¹². However, the susceptibility of the developing newborn brain may make it more prone to injury leading to long-term impairment compared to older children. A better understanding of neonatal stroke will guide further research in identifying new interventions to prevent and treat neonatal stroke¹³.

Although stroke is more common in the first 28 days of life than in older children¹⁴, the true contemporary incidence of neonatal stroke is still unclear. Previous Canadian¹⁴ and UK

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

studies¹⁵ from over 20 years ago reported the incidence of neonatal stroke between 5.2 – 10.2 per 100,000 live births whereas a retrospective case-control study using neuroimaging¹⁶ found an incidence of 37 per 100,000 live births. Previous retrospective case-control studies^{17, 18} were based on infants born in a province or small geographical area, with data collected over 20 years ago, limiting the generalisability of the findings.

As most clinicians may see only a few cases of neonatal stroke in their career, there is a variation in practice and guidance in the management of neonatal stroke¹⁹. This is unlike stroke in adults where much more is known. Hence, there is a need for an active surveillance study with follow-up to have a better understanding of the condition. The challenges of setting up a multinational electronic surveillance system as well as the regulatory approval process across multiple nations will also be discussed.

Aims

This active surveillance study aims to explore the burden of neonatal stroke in the UK and the Republic of Ireland (ROI) to better allocate resources and improve the care of babies with neonatal stroke.

Primary Objectives

 To estimate the incidence of stroke presenting in infants in the first 90 days of life in the UK and the ROI.

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

2. To determine the two-year outcome of neonatal stroke, including

neurodevelopmental outcome.

Secondary Objectives

- 1. To analyse the proportion of the different types of neonatal stroke (arterial ischaemia, venous thrombosis, haemorrhage) and their impact on presentation and outcome
- 2. To analyse known predisposing maternal and infant factors for neonatal stroke
- 3. To analyse the presentation of infants with neonatal stroke
- 4. To analyse the variation in clinical investigations used in neonatal stroke
- 5. To describe findings of investigations, particularly neuroimaging
- To analyse the variation in management of neonatal stroke including the follow-up pathway

Methods

Study design

The study represents the first multinational population surveillance study using the British Paediatric Surveillance Unit (BPSU) active surveillance approach delivered via a purposebuilt integrated case notification-data collection online platform and data safe haven, developed by BPSU in collaboration with the University of Dundee Health Informatics Centre (HIC) (**Figure 1**).

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol




Figure 1: Timeline depicting the launch of the neonatal stroke surveillance study from the conceptualisation of the research idea. Study progress was halted for a year in March 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. BPSU = British Paediatric Surveillance Unit. HIC = Health Informatics Centre. HRA = Health Research Authority. CAG = Confidentiality Advisory Group. PBPP = Public Benefit and Privacy Panel. DPIA = Data Protection Impact Assessment. PAC = Privacy Advisory Committee of Northern Ireland.

Active surveillance

Over a 13-month period, anonymised notifications of the stroke cases seen presenting in babies in the first 90 days of life will be provided to the BPSU using the BPSU Orange e-Card delivered via the BPSU–HIC platform. The BPSU Orange e-Card has a list of rare disorders under active surveillance which is sent electronically every month to over 4,200 British and Irish consultant paediatricians and other specialities. Information leaflets and study

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

newsfeeds will be circulated to all clinicians receiving the BPSU Orange e-Card during the study period.

Clinicians will indicate any cases of stroke seen by them in babies up to 90 days of age that meet the case definition, or 'nothing to report' on the monthly BPSU e-orange card system and a response is expected. The 'active surveillance' is an important feature of the surveillance scheme as it allows the compliance of the system to be continually monitored, ensuring good coverage of the paediatric surveillance scheme across the UK and ROI²⁰. The reporting compliance rate in 2019 was 90% ensuring that the proposed study methodology will have high case ascertainment, validity and reliability.

The notifying clinician will then be directed to an online initial questionnaire (**Appendix 1**) via the BPSU–HIC platform and complete the electronic questionnaire. The initial questionnaire (**Appendix 1**) will seek information about the presentation of neonatal stroke, demographic details, investigations including neuroimaging, and treatment received. On completion of the initial questionnaire, the reporting clinicians will be asked to retain the patient details for a follow-up outcome questionnaire, which will be sent at two years of age (**Appendix 2**) through the BPSU–HIC platform (**Figure 2**). Each questionnaire will be date-and time-stamped on completion and specific to the notifying clinician. Separate questionnaires will be generated for Northern Ireland clinicians (**Appendix 3 and 4**) due to differences in regulatory requirements.

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol




Figure 2: Flow diagram depicting the notification and data flow during the neonatal stroke

surveillance study.

Case definition

Inclusion criteria

Any infant from birth till 90 days of age, of any gestation, with neonatal stoke defined as:-

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

1. Developing any neurological symptoms (including seizure, neurological deficit,

lethargy, abnormal tone, poor feeding)

AND fulfilment of either 2a OR 2b:

- 2a. Neuroimaging (such as MRI or CT) showing disruption or evidence of disruption of cerebral blood flow. The radiology findings include either:
 - Arterial ischaemia: defined as the presence of: (i) partial or complete occlusion of the cerebral artery(ies) in relation to a focal brain lesion; or (ii) brain lesion pattern with imaging that can only be explained by occlusion of a specific cerebral artery(ies);

OR

ii. Venous thrombosis: defined as the presence of a thrombus within the cerebral vein(s) or venous sinus(es), with partial or complete occlusion.

OR

- iii. Haemorrhage: defined as the presence of a haemorrhage in the parenchyma of the brain.
- 2b. Neuropathologic studies (such as post-mortem) showing disruption or evidence of disruption of cerebral blood flow

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

Exclusion criteria

- 1. Hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy
- 2. Germinal matrix haemorrhage/intraventricular haemorrhage or periventricular leukomalacia in preterm infants (defined as below 37 weeks of gestational age)
- 3. Metabolic injury such as kernicterus and hypoglycaemia
- 4. Encephalitis (bacterial and viral)
- 5. Accidental or non-accidental injury
- 6. Vascular anomalies such as arteriovenous malformation
- 7. Brain tumour

The eligibility criteria above are based on the 2006 international National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) and the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS) workshop²¹ which defined perinatal stroke as "a group of heterogeneous conditions in which there is focal disruption of cerebral blood flow secondary to arterial or cerebral venous thrombosis or embolization, between 20 weeks of fetal life through the 28th postnatal day, confirmed by neuroimaging or neuropathologic studies". The radiological definition is based on Sebire et al²² used in the International Paediatric Stroke Study² and Govaert et al²³. The exclusion criteria will ensure that the study finding is comparable to other reports published nationally²⁴ and internationally²³.

As this study is focused on neonatal stroke rather than fetal stroke, the age definition for the study is from birth. The age range extends till 90 days of age to capture infants that present late with either neuroimaging or neuropathologic studies, but which suggested

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

stroke occurring in the perinatal period. The number of cases between 28 and 90 days of age is expected to be minimal¹⁴. As we cannot be completely certain that the neuroimaging or neuropathologic studies accurately date the neonatal stroke to have occurred in the first 28 days, we will separate the cases of neonatal stroke presenting within 28 days and those presenting between 28 to 90 days.

Data collection

The online questionnaire was developed and delivered using the BPSU–HIC platform. Data entered by notifying clinicians will be stored within the HIC safe haven server which is ISO 270001 standard certified (https://www.dundee.ac.uk/hic/hicsafehaven/). If clinicians are unable to use the online system, questionnaires will be sent out in electronic format to the reporting clinicians via a secure email account (ISO 9001 Standards certified). As a last resort, a paper questionnaire form will be sent. It will be marked confidential and double enveloped for data collection with a self-addressed envelope to be returned to the research team via registered mail. In the unlikely event of email or paper questionnaires being completed, the study team will immediately transcribe the information onto the HIC safe haven server on behalf of the notifying clinicians and the questionnaires destroyed thereafter.

All the requested information will be obtained using data recorded in case notes and laboratory/radiology results only, without further direct contact or active participation with patients. No extra investigations will be sought. De-identified images from neuroimaging

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

studies will be uploaded by the notifying clinicians onto the HIC safe haven server where possible. Images can also be sent using an encrypted CD, which are then uploaded on the HIC safe haven server immediately and the CDs destroyed by the research team. If the images are not available, a copy of the de-identified neuroimaging report will be requested.

Limited patient identifiable data will be obtained for study validity reasons to identify duplicate cases and track follow-up. Each case will be pseudonymised through a unique computer-generated identifier number. The minimal identifiable data collected for study validity purposes will be removed as soon as it is practically possible and will be kept separate from the rest of the anonymised clinical data linked by the unique identifier number. All patient identifiable data collected for identifying duplicate cases will be destroyed once the data collection process is complete.

All data will be collected using opt-out consent. Public information leaflets and flyers will be disseminated to participating clinicians and on the BPSU website. These will provide information about the neonatal stroke study as well as how families can opt-out of babies' medical information from being used for research by informing their attending clinician. In the unlikely event that families decide to opt-out, a small amount of identifiable information will be held for the duration of the study to ensure that no further clinical information is collected.

Data management and security

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

All study data will be collected, analysed and held securely on the HIC safe haven server, with access restricted by user login identifiers and passwords, which are encrypted using a one-way encryption method. The HIC safe haven server is ISO 270001 certified and is externally audited twice yearly to ensure compliance and is overseen by the HIC Information Governance Committee, with National Health Service (NHS) and legal membership. Only aggregate results of the analysis ready for publication will be downloaded from the HIC safe haven server. In keeping with standard practice²⁵, all anonymised data will be archived within HIC safe haven server for 20 years.

Analysis

Primary analyses will be based on all cases that meet the analytic case definition. The patterns of availability of data and timing of data collection will be summarised, including differentiation of fully, or partially completed variables from those completely missing. The aggregation of data variables will be described. Descriptive statistics will be presented as numbers and percentages with 95% confidence intervals (CI) estimated using the binomial exact method, for binary and categorical variables; means with standard deviations for normally distributed continuous variables; and medians with interquartile range for skewed continuous variables. Neuroimages collected will be reviewed by paediatric neuroradiologists within the research team (RD) to identify concordance with the reported findings entered by reporting clinicians.

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

Estimation of incidence will include the first month of surveillance based on the date of diagnosis. Incidence rates (IR) will be estimated for the whole sample and by gender. Birth statistics used for the denominators for incidence estimation will be obtained by gender from:

- Office for National Statistics (ONS; England and Wales).
- National Records of Scotland (NRS; Scotland).
- Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency (NISRA; Northern Ireland).
- Central Statistics Office (CSO; Republic of Ireland)

Patient and public involvement

The neonatal stroke surveillance study was designed in partnership with Bliss, the national charity for families of babies born sick or premature as well as lay representatives of the BPSU scientific committee. Bliss is supportive of the research question and the need to collect information about neonatal stroke to improve the support and care provided to the babies and families affected.

Regulatory approval

The study had received ethical approval from the Nottingham 1 Research Ethics Committee (Reference 21/EM/0110) supported by the Health Research Authority on advice from the Confidentiality Advisory Group (England and Wales) (Reference 21/CAG/0061); the Public Benefit and Privacy Panel (Scotland) (Reference 2122-0006 Kwok); and the chair of the Privacy Advisory Committee (Northern Ireland).

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

Dissemination

The study is in the process of being registered with ClinicalTrials.gov. Study findings will be disseminated to the scientific community via peer-reviewed publications and conference presentations. The research team will also disseminate the findings to clinicians, parents/carers and the public via the partnership with Bliss, British Association of Perinatal Medicine and British Paediatric Neurology Association. Anonymised aggregate data will be made available at the time of peer-reviewed scientific publications either via online supplementary material or the University of Nottingham research data repository.

Comment

Sample size

It is estimated that our study will detect 150 cases of neonatal stroke per year. The Canadian paediatric stroke registry¹⁴ reported the incidence of neonatal arterial ischaemic stroke to be 10.2 per 100,000 live births between January 1992 to December 2001 while the 2001 UK surveillance study¹⁵ identified 31 neonatal stroke cases annually (5.2 per 100,000 live births²⁶). Both studies^{14, 15} collected data over 20 years ago and when diagnostic imaging and reporting of neonatal stroke was not as advanced. The recent retrospective populationbased cohort study using the national neonatal electronic database^{24, 27} reported a neonatal stroke incidence of 11 – 15 per 100,000 live births in England between 2012 to 2017. However, the study^{24, 27} excluded babies cared for outside the neonatal unit and only contains basic information on babies, without distinguishing between the different types of

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

neonatal stroke and two-year outcome. A population-based birth prevalence of diseasespecific perinatal stroke in Alberta by Dunbar et al²⁸ included prospective data collection between 2008 and 2017 and retrospective data between 1990 and 2008. They reported an overall prevalence of neonatal stroke of 1 in 1,100 of which 1 in 3,000 was neonatal arterial ischemic stroke (NAIS), 1 in 7,900 for presumed NAIS, 1 in 6,000 for periventricular venous infarction, 1 in 9,100 for cerebral sinovenous thrombosis, and 1 in 6,800 for neonatal haemorrhagic stroke²⁸.

Opt-out consent

The collection of a minimum dataset of identifiable patient information using opt-out consent is part of the conventional active surveillance methodology used by BPSU to prevent case ascertainment bias in the surveillance of a rare condition. Minimal identifiers are required to match duplicate cases reported to the study. If clinicians do not use the same reference number system, then more than one identifier, such as date of birth and gender, might be needed for matching. Some identifiers are also important pieces of clinical data in newborn infants, for example, the exact date of birth is required to calculate the age at diagnosis in days or weeks.

The incidence of neonatal stroke can only be estimated if information about all cases which occur within a specified period can be collected. As neonatal stroke is a rare condition, failure to obtain consent from only a few patients would make the incidence estimates inaccurate and under-ascertain cases. This may lead to incorrect conclusions being drawn, especially if the failure to obtain consent and data occurred in a single region or in babies

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

with a less severe form of neonatal stroke. Furthermore, opt-out consent was found to be an acceptable method by clinicians and parents/carers in neonatal studies, including clinical trials²⁹.

Collaborative standardised regulatory approval

Section 251 of the National Health Service (NHS) Act 2006 in the UK was established to allow confidential patient information to be used for essential NHS activities and important medical research where the use of anonymised information is not possible and seeking consent was not practical³⁰. Hence, careful regulation of this process is needed to protect the interest of the patients and the public while facilitating appropriate use of the information. At present, England and Wales, Scotland, as well as Northern Ireland, have different pathways to seeking regulatory approvals for the use of patient information. A collaborative and standardised approach is needed across the four nations in the UK to avoid research wastage in completing different regulatory paperwork used in each nation while ensuring the research is carried out to the highest standard in minimising the disclosure risk while protecting confidentiality and interest of the patients and public.

Study impact

Clinical practice

This study will raise awareness of neonatal stroke among healthcare professionals looking after babies. This is important as most healthcare professionals will see only a few cases of neonatal stroke in their career, leading to potential delay in recognising the often-subtle

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

initial signs of neonatal stroke. This study will also explore the variation of practice across the UK and Ireland to standardise the investigation and management of neonatal stroke.

Patients and families

This study will provide families caring for babies with neonatal stroke with up-to-date information about the condition including outcome at 2 years of age. This will consolidate information given by healthcare professionals and alleviate some anxiety families may have when first being informed of the diagnosis and caring for babies with neonatal stroke.

Public health

This study will estimate the burden of neonatal stroke. Healthcare professionals and policy developers will be able to use this information to better allocate resources in supporting babies with neonatal stroke.

Scientific community

Neonatal stroke is a distinct entity from childhood or adult stroke. It is multifactorial due to an interplay between maternal and fetal circulation as well as postnatal factors. There is much to learn on both the mechanism of injury and recovery³¹. Earlier detection may improve outcomes. This study will provide further insight into the epidemiology of neonatal stroke and help inform future studies looking at prevention and treatment options.

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

From a surveillance study methodological point of view, the study will evaluate the feasibility and success of using a dedicated online platform for the collection of data on rare paediatric conditions.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank our study partners Bliss and BPSU for their support in designing the

study as well as for publicising the study alongside the British Association of Perinatal

Medicine and the British Paediatric Neurology Association. We are extremely grateful for

the support from the Health Informatics Centre (University of Dundee) in developing the

online platform used in the delivery of our questionnaires. We would also like to thank all

researchers and clinicians who have provided feedback to the BPSU–HIC online platform.

Full details of the study could be found on the BPSU website

(https://www.rcpch.ac.uk/work-we-do/bpsu/neonatal-stroke).

References

1. Dunbar M, Kirton A. Perinatal stroke: mechanisms, management, and outcomes of early cerebrovascular brain injury. *Lancet Child & Adolescent Health*. 2018; 2:666-676.

2. Kirton A, Deveber G. Life after perinatal stroke. *Stroke*. 2013; 44:3265-3271.

3. Kirton A. Advancing non-invasive neuromodulation clinical trials in children: Lessons from perinatal stroke. *Eur J Paediatr Neurol*. 2017; 21:75-103.

4. Lai PT, Reilly JS. Language and affective facial expression in children with perinatal stroke. *Brain Lang.* 2015; 147:85-95.

5. Reilly JS, Wasserman S, Appelbaum M. Later language development in narratives in children with perinatal stroke. *Dev Sci.* 2013; 16:67-83.

6. Suppiej A, Mastrangelo M, Mastella L, Accorsi P, Grazian L, Casara G, et al. Pediatric epilepsy following neonatal seizures symptomatic of stroke. *Brain Dev*. 2016; 38:27-31.

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

7. Gardner MA, Hills NK, Sidney S, Johnston SC, Fullerton HJ. The 5-year direct medical cost of neonatal and childhood stroke in a population-based cohort. *Neurology*. 2010; 74:372-378.

8. Shepherd E, Salam RA, Middleton P, Han S, Makrides M, McIntyre S, et al. Neonatal interventions for preventing cerebral palsy: an overview of Cochrane Systematic Reviews. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2018; 6:CD012409.

9. Bemister TB, Brooks BL, Dyck RH, Kirton A. Predictors of caregiver depression and family functioning after perinatal stroke. *BMC Pediatr*. 2015; 15:75.

10. Bemister TB, Brooks BL, Dyck RH, Kirton A. Parent and family impact of raising a child with perinatal stroke. *BMC Pediatr*. 2014; 14:182.

11. Hart AR, Connolly DJA, Singh R. Perinatal arterial ischaemic stroke in term babies. *Paediatrics and Child Health*. 2018; 28:417-423.

12. Walther M, Juenger H, Kuhnke N, Wilke M, Brodbeck V, Berweck S, et al. Motor cortex plasticity in ischemic perinatal stroke: a transcranial magnetic stimulation and functional MRI study. *Pediatr Neurol*. 2009; 41:171-178.

13. Rutherford MA, Ramenghi LA, Cowan FM. Neonatal stroke. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. 2012; 97:F377-384.

14. deVeber GA, Kirton A, Booth FA, Yager JY, Wirrell EC, Wood E, et al. Epidemiology and Outcomes of Arterial Ischemic Stroke in Children: The Canadian Pediatric Ischemic Stroke Registry. *Pediatr Neurol*. 2017; 69:58-70.

15. Kirkham F, Williams A, Aylett S, Ganesan V. Cerebrovascular disease/stroke and like illness. *British Paediatric Surveillance Unit – Annual Report 2002-2003*. 2003; 10. <u>https://www.rcpch.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2018-06/17th_annual_report.pdf</u> (accessed on 02/02/2022).

16. Agrawal N, Johnston SC, Wu YW, Sidney S, Fullerton HJ. Imaging data reveal a higher pediatric stroke incidence than prior US estimates. *Stroke*. 2009; 40:3415-3421.

17. Armstrong-Wells J, Johnston SC, Wu YW, Sidney S, Fullerton HJ. Prevalence and predictors of perinatal hemorrhagic stroke: results from the kaiser pediatric stroke study. *Pediatrics*. 2009; 123:823-828.

18. Cole L, Dewey D, Letourneau N, Kaplan BJ, Chaput K, Gallagher C, et al. Clinical Characteristics, Risk Factors, and Outcomes Associated With Neonatal Hemorrhagic Stroke: A Population-Based Case-Control Study. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2017; 171:230-238.

19. Ferriero DM, Fullerton HJ, Bernard TJ, Billinghurst L, Daniels SR, DeBaun MR, et al. Management of Stroke in Neonates and Children: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. 2019; 50:E51-E96.

20. Knowles RL, Smith A, Lynn R, Rahi JS, Unit BPS. Using multiple sources to improve and measure case ascertainment in surveillance studies: 20 years of the British Paediatric Surveillance Unit. *J Public Health (Oxf)*. 2006; 28:157-165.

21. Raju TN, Nelson KB, Ferriero D, Lynch JK, Participants N-NPSW. Ischemic perinatal stroke: summary of a workshop sponsored by the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development and the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke. *Pediatrics*. 2007; 120:609-616.

22. Sébire G, Fullerton H, Riou E, deVeber G. Toward the definition of cerebral arteriopathies of childhood. *Curr Opin Pediatr*. 2004; 16:617-622.

23. Govaert P, Ramenghi L, Taal R, de Vries L, deVeber G. Diagnosis of perinatal stroke I: definitions, differential diagnosis and registration. *Acta Paediatrica*. 2009; 98:1556-1567.

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

24. Gale C, Statnikov Y, Jawad S, Uthaya SN, Modi N, group Blew. Neonatal brain injuries in England: population-based incidence derived from routinely recorded clinical data held in the National Neonatal Research Database. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. 2018; 103:F301-F306.

25. Medical Research Council. MRC ethics series Good research practice: Principles and guidelines. July

2012. https://www.ukri.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/MRC-0208212-Good-researchpractice_2014.pdf

(accessed on 02/02/2022).

26. Office for National Statistics – Births, 2001: Summary of key live birth statistics. <u>https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukgwa/20110116054739/http://www.statistics.gov.uk/STATBASE/xsdataset.asp?vlnk=5672%26More=Y</u> (accessed on 02/02/2022).

27. Gale C, Jeyakumaran D, Ougham K, Jawad S, Uthaya S, Modi N. Brain injury occurring during or soon after birth: annual incidence and rates of brain injuries to monitor progress against the national maternity ambition 2016 and 2017 data. London: National Data Analysis Unit, Imperial College London2019.

28. Dunbar M, Mineyko A, Hill M, Hodge J, Floer A, Kirton A. Population Based Birth Prevalence of Disease-Specific Perinatal Stroke. *Pediatrics*. 2020; 146.

29. McLeish J, Alderdice F, Robberts H, Cole C, Dorling J, Gale C, et al. Challenges of a simplified opt-out consent process in a neonatal randomised controlled trial: qualitative study of parents' and health professionals' views and experiences. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed.* 2021; 106:244-250.

30. NHS Health Research Authority. FAQs about the law. <u>https://s3.eu-west-</u> 2.amazonaws.com/www.hra.nhs.uk/media/documents/cag-frequently-asked-questions-<u>1.pdf</u> (accessed on 02/02/2022).

31. Dunbar M, Kirton A. Perinatal Stroke. *Semin Pediatr Neurol*. 2019; 32:100767.

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

Appendices

Appendix 1: Initial questionnaire

Surveillance of	
• BPSU •	Case notification form - Strictly Confidential Neonatal Stroke
	the questionnaire to the best of your ability. Do not worry if you do not have all the
the patient detai	stionnaire will be sent when the child is 2 years old. Please keep a record of is for the follow-up questionnaire. If you wish, you could download a paper w-up questionnaire as a reminder.
Case definition (Please tick Box 1 definition.)	on: 1 AND either Box 2a or Box 2b. If unable to do so, your case may not fulfil the case
Any child from b	oirth till 90 days of age, of any gestation, with neonatal stoke defined as:-
	rological symptoms (including seizure, neurological deficit, lethargy, al tone, poor feeding)
	AND either
	aging (such as MRI or CT) showing disruption or evidence of disruption of blood flow
2b Neuropa	athologic studies (such as post-mortem) showing disruption or evidence of on of cerebral blood flow
	e germinal matrix haemorrhage/intraventricular haemorrhage or leukomalacia in preterm infants or hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy.
Section A:	Reporter details
1.1 Date of o	completion of questionnaire:
1.2 Consulta	Int responsible for case:

1.3	Hospital name:	
1.4	Telephone number:	Email:
1.5	Has the patient been referred to/from another centre?	Yes: No: Not known:
	If yes: 1) Please nam	e centre:
	2) Please nam	e consultant
1.6	Name of person completing form	(if not 1.2)
Se	ction B: Case details	
2.1	NHS number (or equivalent Scottish Health & Social Care number):	
2.2	Postcode:	Town of birth (if ROI):
2.3	Sex: M: 🗌 F: 🗌	Date of birth:
2.4	Was baby born at term? (≥37 weeks of gestation) Ye	s No Gestation at birth: (e.g. 37+1)

2.5 Birthweight _____g Ethnicity*: _____Specify if any 'Other *Please choose the correct ethnicity code from Appendix A overleaf

Version 1.0 (11/04/2021)

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

<<Page intentionally left blank so it can be detached and held separately for confidentiality>>

Version 1.0 (11/04/2021)

Page 2 of 6

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

Appendix A: Coding for Ethnic Group (ONS 2011 for UK wide data collection)

	Ethnicity Code	
A White	1	English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British
	2	Irish
	3	Gypsy or Irish Traveler
	4	Any other White background, please write in Section B
B Mixed/ Multiple Ethnic Groups	5	White and Black Caribbean
	6	White and Black African
	7	White and Asian
	8	Any other Mixed / Multiple ethnic background, please write in Section B
C Asian / Asian British	9	Indian
	10	Pakistani
	11	Bangladeshi
	12	Chinese
	13	Any other Asian background, please write in Section B
D Black / African / Caribbean / Black British	14	African
	15	Caribbean
	16	Any other Black / African / Caribbean background, please write in Section B
E Other ethnic group	17	Arab
	18	Any other ethnic group, please write in Section B

Version 1.0 (11/04/2021)

Page 3 of 6

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

_	
S	ection C: Presentation/clinical features
3.1	Date when diagnosis made based on case definition:
3.2	Was the patient in a neonatal unit when the diagnosis of neonatal stroke was made? Yes: No: Not known:
3.3	Date of onset of symptoms:
3.4	Did the patient have any of the following symptoms? (Please tick Yes/No/Not Known)
	Yes No Not known
	Clinical seizure*
	Focal neurology / hemiparesis
	Abnormal tone
	If yes, is the tone (Please tick one) Increased Decreased
	Lethargy / altered level of consciousness
	Poor feeding
	Apnoea / bradycardia / desaturation / dusky episode
	Asymptomatic
	Other (please specify)
	*Include cases where the event is felt to most likely represent a true seizure, as opposed to another paroxysmal event.
3.5	Did the patient have any of the following conditions? (Please tick Yes/No/Not Known)
	Yes No Not Date of diagnosis
	Sepsis*
	Congenital cardiac defect
	If yes, please specify which defect:
	Thrombophilia / Prothrombotic defect
	If yes, please specify which defect:
	Other (please specify)
	*Sepsis is defined as microbiologically confirmed or clinically suspected sepsis with the clinical intention to administer intravenous antimicrobials (excluding prophylaxis) for ≥5 days.
S	ection D: Pregnancy/birth details
	Delivery mode: Vaginal – spontaneous: Vaginal – forceps / ventouse:
4.1	(Please tick one) Elective C-section: Emergency C-section: Not known:
4.2	Vitamin K Yes: No: Not If yes, which IM: oral:
4.3	Multiple pregnancy: Yes: No: Not known:
4.4	Nulliparous: Yes: No: Not known: (Is this the first pregnancy?)
4.5	Apgar score:at 5 minsat 10 mins Not known:
4.6	Lowest cord pH (either arterial or venous):
4.7	Did mother have any of the following during pregnancy? (Please tick Yes/No/Not Known)

Version 1.0 (11/04/2021)

Page 4 of 6

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

4.8 4.9	Pre-eclampsia: Meconium liquor: Thrombophilia / Prothrombotic defect: Smoking: Significant resuscitation: (more than 1 set of inflation: Family history of childhood: the first-degree relative: Significant resuscitation: (more than 1 set of inflation: Family history of childhood: Family history of childhood: The first-degree relative: Statement of the first-degree relative: St	l stroke i	n Yes:	Diabetes: Maternal fever: Prolonged ruptu of membrane: Substance misu (excluding alcoh No: No:	se:	No Known
	ction E: Diagnoses ar		-			
5.1 5.2	Does your unit have neona		e guideline	E	No:	Not known:
5.2	Was neuroimaging perform If yes, please answer the fo		usetione:	Yes:	NO:	Not known:
	Date neuroimaging perfe		Jucationa.			
	Modality of neuroimagin (Please tick one only)	g:	Computer	d Tomography c	ontrast:	no contrast:
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Magnetic	Resonance c	ontrast:	no contrast:
			Cranial U	2	Not known	
	Neuroimaging findings			ease specify):		hrombosis²:
	Type of stroke: (Please tick one only)		Haemort		Not know	
	Side affected:		Right:	Left:	Bilateral:	Not known:
	Other findings (Please specify):					
	Please send de-identified neuroimages via safe haven link (where possible) or encripted CD using the pre-paid return envelope. CD cost will be re-imbursed. If unavailable, please send the de-identified report via safe haven link (where possible) or email via nhs.net to tkwok@nhs.net. ¹ Arterial ischaemia defined as: (i) partial or complete occlusion of the cerebral artery(ies) in relation to a focal brain lesion; or (ii) brain lesion pattern with imaging that can only be explained by occlusion of a specific cerebral artery(ies);. ² Venous thrombosis defined as thrombus within the cerebral vein or venous sinus. ³ Haemorrhage defined as haemorrhage in the parenchyma of the brain.					rsed. here artery(ies) in only be explained nus.
5.3	Please indicate if any of the		No	t		
	Floatsoppophalassa	Yes	No know	vn Date		Result
	Electroencephalogram: Cerebral function					
	analysing monitor(CFAM):					
	Echocardiogram: Full blood count: (state					
	Hb, white cell & platelet)					
	Coagulation screen:					
	CSF culture: for CNS infection					
	Genetic studies: for genetic polymorphism					

Version 1.0 (11/04/2021)

Page 5 of 6

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

	Placental histology: for placental abnormality	, 🗆 (
S	ection F: Manageme	nt				
6.1	Please indicate if any of	the followin Yes No	Not	ts were given: <i>(Ple</i> Start Date		/No/Not Known) e of medication
	Anti-convulsant:					
	Anti-thrombotic: (e.g. heparin)					
	Anti-platelet: (e.g. aspirin)					
	Theraperutic hypothermia:) No	ot Applicable
S	ection G: Outcomes					
7.1	What was the final outc	ome? (Plea	se tick one	only) Date of event		
	Discharged home:				(Go to 7.2
	Transferred: (e.g. anoth hospital)	er 🗌			(Go to 7.3
	Still admitted:				Question	naire completed
	Died:				0	Go to 7.4
	Not known: If discharged home, ple	ase indicate] .ifan voft	Not Applicable		naire completed
7.2	are ogranised on discha			to following readment	to are contine	
	Treatment	Yes No	Not known	Follow up	Yes	No Not known
	Anti-convulsant:			Paediatric Neurologist:		
	Anti-thrombotic:			Neonatologist:		
	Anti-platelet:			Community Paediatrician:		
				Physiotherapist:		
				Other services (Please specify):		
7.3	If transferred, location tr	ransferred:				
7.4	If died, was neonatal str on post-mortem?:	roke found	Yes:	No:	Not	t known:
	Thank you for taking			-		
lf r	not completed online, plea	se print and			e self-addres	sed envelope to:
T'ng Chang Kwok, Division of Child Health, Obstetrics & Gynaecology, E floor, East block, Queen's Medical Centre, Derby Road, Nottingham, NG7 2UH, United Kingdom. If you have any questions about the study please email the investigators at <u>tkwok@nhs.net</u> .						

Version 1.0 (11/04/2021)

Page 6 of 6

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

Appendix 2: Two-year follow-up questionnaire

• BPSU • Study ID number: BPSU ID number: • Case notification form - Strictly Confidentia	
Neonatal Stroke – 2 year follow up	
Please complete the questionnaire to the best of your ability. Do not worry if you do not have all the requested information.	
Case definition:	
Any child from birth till 90 days of age, of any gestation, with neonatal stoke defined as:-	
 Any neurological symptoms (including seizure, neurological deficit, lethargy, abnormal tone, poor feeding) 	
AND either 2a Neuroimaging (such as MRI or CT) showing disruption or evidence of disruption of cerebral blood flow 2b Neuropathologic studies (such as post-mortem) showing disruption or evidence of disruption of cerebral blood flow	
Please exclude germinal matrix haemorrhage/intraventricular haemorrhage or periventricular leukomalacia in preterm infants or hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy	
Section A: Reporter details	
 1.1 Date of completion of questionnaire: 1.2 Consultant responsible for case: 	_
	-
	_
1.4 Telephone number: Email:	_
1.5 Has the patient been referred to/from another centre? Yes: No: Not known:	
If yes: 1) Please name centre:	
2) Please name consultant	
1.6 Name of person completing form (if not 1.2)	
Section B: Case details	٦.
2.1 NHS number (or equivalent Scottish CHI or Northern Irish Health & Social Care number):	
2.2 Sex: M: F: Date of birth: D/ ////	

Version 1.0 (11/04/2021)

Page 1 of 4

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

Study ID number:

BPSU ID number:

<<Page intentionally left blank so it can be detached and held separately for confidentiality>>

Version 1.0 (11/04/2021)

Page 2 of 4

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

	Study ID number: BPSU ID number:
S	ection C: Outcome at 2 year
3.1	Has the patient died before 2 years of age? Yes: No: Not known:
	If yes, what is the date of death and proceed to Section D:
3.2	Date when outcome assessment was made*:
	*Please use the assessment which was made as close as possible to 2 years of chronological age. Assessment should ideally be made between 21 – 27 months of age.
3.3	Did the patient have a delay in the development of yes: No: Not known:
	If yes, how delayed is the development? <3months 3 - 6 months
	(Please tick one) >6 months Not known
3.4	Did the patient have any of the following concerns? (Please tick Yes/No/Not Known)
	Yes No Not known
	Difficulty in walking (e.g. not able to walk)
	Hearing impairment
	Visual impairment
	Difficulty with speech and language (e.g. >3 months delay)
	Seizure in last 12 months*
	If yes, how often were the seizures: (Please tick one only)
	Daily Weekly Monthly Yearly Not known
	*Include cases where the event is felt to most likely represent a true seizure, as opposed to another paroxysmal event.
3.5	Was a formal developmental assessment tool used? Yes: No: Not known:
	If yes, which tool was used? (Please tick all that applies)
	Parent Report of Children's Abilities – Revised (PARCA-R)
	Bayley Scales of Infant Development
	Griffiths Mental Developmental Scale
	Schedule of Growing Skills
	Other (please specify)
3.6	Please indicate if the patient received any of the following treatment at 2 years of age:
	Yes No Not Name of medication
	Anti-convulsant:
	Anti-thrombotic (e.g. heparin):
	Anti-platelet (e.g. aspirin):

Version 1.0 (11/04/2021)

Page 3 of 4

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

		Study ID number	r:		BPSU ID number:
S	ection D: Usage of health car	e service			
4.1	Further neuroimaging since diagno	sis:)	/es:	No: 1	Not known:
	If yes, please answer the following Date neuroimaging performed:	questions:			
	Modality of neuroimaging: (Please tick one only)	Computed To	mograph	y contrast:	no contrast:
	(Flease lick one only)	Magnetic Res	onance	contrast:	no contrast:
		Cranial Ultras	ound:	Not kno	wn:
		Other (Please	e specify):		
	Neuroimaging findings	_		_	_
		Yes:	No:	<u> </u>	Not known:
		Arterial ischaen Haemorrhage ³ :		Not know	hrombosis ² :
	Side affected:	Right: 🗌 l	.eft:	Bilateral:	Not
	Other findings (Please specify):				
42	encripted CD using the pre-paid of If unavailable, please send the de possible) or email via nhs.net to "New lession of Arterial ischaemia in relation to a focal brain lesion; or explained by occlusion of a specific cerebral vein or venous sinus); Hae not found at diagnosis. Please indicate if the patient require	e-identified rep tkwok@nhs.ne a ¹ ((i) partial or o (ii) brain lesion cerebral artery amorrhage ³ (ha	oort via sa complete pattern v (ies); Ver eemorrhag	afe haven link (occlusion of the vith imaging that nous thrombosi ge in the parenci	where cerebral artery(ies) can only be is ² (thrombus within hyma of the brain)
4.2	services since discharge:	Ver			Yes/No/Not Known)
	Dhuaiathanana	Yes	No	Not known	Ongoing follow-up
	Physiotherapy:		Н		
	Occupational therapy: Speech and language therapy:		Н	- H	
	Neonatology:		Н	H	
	Paediatric Neurology/Neurodisabilit	v. O	Н	Ä	Ä
	Community Paediatrics:	, .	Н	Ä	Ä
	Other (please specify)		0	0	- C
	Other (please specify)				
	Thank you for taking the time	e to complet	te the Q	uestionnaire	
lfr	not completed online, please print an	d return the con	npleted fo	orm in the self-ad	Idressed envelope to:
		T'ng Char			
		Child Health, O			
	E floor,	East block, Qu	een's Mea		L
If	E floor,	East block, Qu , Nottingham, N	een's M eo IG7 2UH,	dical Centre, United Kingdom	

Version 1.0 (11/04/2021)

Page 4 of 4

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

Appendix 3: Initial questionnaire (Northern Ireland)

Surveil	lance or
• BP	SU Case notification form - Strictly Confidential Neonatal Stroke
	complete the questionnaire to the best of your ability. Do not worry if you do not have all the ted information.
the pat	w-up questionnaire will be sent when the child is 2 years old. Please keep a record of tient details for the follow-up questionnaire. If you wish, you could download a paper f the follow-up questionnaire as a reminder.
	definition: e tick Box 1 AND either Box 2a or Box 2b. If unable to do so, your case may not fulfil the case on.)
Any ch	ild from birth till 90 days of age, of any gestation, with neonatal stoke defined as:-
1	Any neurological symptoms (including seizure, neurological deficit, lethargy, abnormal tone, poor feeding)
20	AND either
Za	Neuroimaging (such as MRI or CT) showing disruption or evidence of disruption of cerebral blood flow
2b	Neuropathologic studies (such as post-mortem) showing disruption or evidence of disruption of cerebral blood flow
	e exclude germinal matrix haemorrhage/intraventricular haemorrhage or entricular leukomalacia in preterm infants or hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy.
Sec	ction A: Reporter details
1.1	Date of completion of questionnaire:
1.2	Consultant responsible for case:
1.3	Hospital name:
1.4	Telephone number: Email:
1.5	Has the patient been referred Yes: No: Not known:

1.2	Consultant re	esponsible for case:					
1.3	Hospital nam	ne:					
1.4	Telephone n	umber:	Email:				
1.5	Has the patient been referred to/from another centre?		Yes:		No:	Not known:	
	If yes:	1) Please name	centre:				
		2) Please name	consultan	t			
1.6	Name of per	rson completing form (i	if not 1.2)				

Section B: Case details

2.1	Postcode (First half):
2.2	Month and year when diagnosis made based on case definition:
2.3	Sex: M: F: Year of birth:
2.4	Was baby born at term? Yes No Gestation at birth: (e.g. 37+1) (i)
2.5	Birthweightg Ethnicity*:Specify if any 'Other' background:
	*Please choose the correct ethnicity code from Appendix A overleaf

Final Version 1.0 (12/08/2021)

Page 1 of 6

1

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

<< Page intentionally left blank so it can be detached and held separately for confidentiality>>

Final Version 1.0 (12/08/2021)

Page 2 of 6

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

Appendix A: Coding for Ethnic Group (ONS 2011 for UK wide data collection)

	Ethnicity Code	
A White	1	English / Welsh / Scottish / Northern Irish / British
	2	Irish
	3	Gypsy or Irish Traveler
	4	Any other White background, please write in Section B
B Mixed/ Multiple Ethnic Groups	5	White and Black Caribbean
	6	White and Black African
	7	White and Asian
	8	Any other Mixed / Multiple ethnic background, please write in Section B
C Asian / Asian British	9	Indian
	10	Pakistani
	11	Bangladeshi
	12	Chinese
	13	Any other Asian background, please write in Section B
D Black / African / Caribbean / Black British	14	African
	15	Caribbean
	16	Any other Black / African / Caribbean background, please write in Section B
E Other ethnic group	17	Arab
	18	Any other ethnic group, please write in Section B

Final Version 1.0 (12/08/2021)

Page 3 of 6

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

_	
S	ection C: Presentation/clinical features
3.1	Age of baby when diagnosis made based on case definition (Day of birth is Day 1):
3.2	Was the patient in a neonatal unit when the diagnosis of neonatal stroke was made? Yes: No: Not known:
3.3	Age of baby on onset of symptoms (Day of birth is Day 1):
3.4	Did the patient have any of the following symptoms? (Please tick Yes/No/Not Known)
	Yes No Not known
	Clinical seizure*
	Focal neurology / hemiparesis
	Abnormal tone
	If yes, is the tone (Please tick one) Increased Decreased
	Lethargy / altered level of consciousness
	Poor feeding
	Apnoea / bradycardia / desaturation / dusky
	Asymptomatic
	Other (please specify)
	*Include cases where the event is felt to most likely represent a true seizure, as opposed to another paroxysmal event.
3.5	Did the patient have any of the following conditions? (Please tick Yes/No/Not Known)
	Yes No Not Age of baby known (Day of birth is Day 1)
	Sepsis*
	Congenital cardiac defect
	If yes, please specify which defect:
	Thrombophilia /
	Prothrombotic defect days
	If yes, please specify which defect:
	Other (please specify) *Sepsis is defined as microbiologically confirmed or clinically suspected sepsis with the
	clinical intention to administer intravenous antimicrobials (excluding prophylaxis) for \geq 5 days.
S	ection D: Pregnancy/birth details
4.1	Delivery mode: Vaginal – spontaneous: Vaginal – forceps / ventouse:
	(Please tick one) Elective C-section: Emergency C-section: Not known:
4.2	Vitamin K Yes: No: Not If yes, which IM: oral:
4.3	Multiple pregnancy: Yes: No: Not known: (Is there >1 fetus during pregnancy?)
4.4	Nulliparous: Yes: No: Not known: (Is this the first pregnancy?)
4.5	Apgar score:at 5 minsat 10 mins Not known:
4.6	Lowest cord pH (either arterial or venous):
4.7	Did mother have any of the following during pregnancy? (Please tick Yes/No/Not Known)

Final Version 1.0 (12/08/2021)

Page 4 of 6

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

4.8 4.9	Yes N Pre-eclampsia:	h) Known	Diabetes: Maternal fever: Prolonged rupture of membrane: Substance misuse: (excluding alcohol) No: No:	Yes No Not □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ Not known: □				
S	Section E: Diagnoses and investigations							
5.1 5.2	Does your unit have neonatal strol Was neuroimaging performed?: If yes, please answer the following Age of baby when neuroimagin performed (Day of birth is Day Modality of neuroimaging: <i>(Please tick one only)</i>	guestions: g 1): Computed Magnetic Cranial UI	Yes: No: days I Tomography contrast: Resonance contrast: trasound: No sase specify):	0 0				
	Type of stroke: Arterial ischaemate. Verious unonibosist. (Please tick one only) Haemorrhage3: Not known: Side affected: Right: Left: Bilateral: Not known: Other findings (Please specify): Please send de-identified neuroimages via safe haven link (where possible) or encripted CD using the pre-paid return envelope. CD cost will be re-imbursed. If unavailable, please send the de-identified report via safe haven link (where possible) or email via nhs.net to tkwok@nhs.net. 'Arterial ischaemia defined as: (i) partial or complete occlusion of the cerebral artery(ies) in relation to a focal brain lesion; or (ii) brain lesion pattern with imaging that can only be explained by occlusion of a specific cerebral artery(ies);. ² Venous thrombosis defined as haemorrhage in the parenchyma of the brain.							
5.3	Please indicate if any of the follow	ing tests wer	e performed: (Please	tick Yes/No/Not Known)				
	Yes Electroencephalogram: Cerebral function analysing monitor(CFAM): Echocardiogram: Full blood count: (state Hb, white cell & platelet) Coagulation screen: CSF culture: for CNS infection Genetic studies: for genetic polymorphism			sssssssssssssss				

Final Version 1.0 (12/08/2021)

Page 5 of 6

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

	Placental histology: for placental abnormality			lays	
Section F: Management					
6.1	Please indicate if any of the following Yes No Anti-convulsant: Image: Constraint of the following Anti-convulsant: Image: Constraint of the following Anti-thrombotic: Image: Constraint of the following (e.g. heparin) Image: Constraint of the following Anti-platelet: Image: Constraint of the following (e.g. aspirin) Image: Constraint of the following Theraperutic Image: Constraint of the following hypothermia: Image: Constraint of the following	Not	Its were given: (Pla Age of baby (Day of birth is Da day day day day day day	y1) /s /s /s	Yes/No/Not Known) Name of medication
S	ection G: Outcomes				
7.1	What was the final outcome? (Please Discharged home: Transferred: (e.g. another hospital) Still admitted: Died: Not known: If discharged home, please indicate are organised on discharge. Treatment Yes Anti-convulsant: Image: Convert and the second and the se	(D) () () () () (Age of baby ay of birth is Day 1) days days days days days Not Applicable	Que	Not
7.3	If transferred, location transferred:				
7.4	If died, was neonatal stroke found on post-mortem?:	Yes:	No:		Not known:
	Thank you for taking the time				
If not completed online, please print and return the completed form in the self-addressed envelope to: T'ng Chang Kwok, Division of Child Health, Obstetrics & Gynaecology, E floor, East block, Queen's Medical Centre, Derby Road, Nottingham, NG7 2UH, United Kingdom. If you have any questions about the study please email the investigators at <u>tkwok@nhs.net</u> .					

Final Version 1.0 (12/08/2021)

Page 6 of 6

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

Appendix 4: Two-year follow-up questionnaire (Northern Ireland)

BPSU • Study ID number: BPSU ID number:					
د Case notification form - Strictly Confidential Neonatal Stroke – 2 year follow up					
Please complete the questionnaire to the best of your ability. Do not worry if you do not have all the requested information.					
Case definition:					
Any child from birth till 90 days of age, of any gestation, with neonatal stoke defined as:-					
 Any neurological symptoms (including seizure, neurological deficit, lethargy, abnormal tone, poor feeding) 					
AND either 2a Neuroimaging (such as MRI or CT) showing disruption or evidence of disruption of cerebral blood flow 2b Neuropathologic studies (such as post-mortem) showing disruption or evidence of disruption of cerebral blood flow					
Please exclude germinal matrix haemorrhage/intraventricular haemorrhage or periventricular leukomalacia in preterm infants or hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy.					
Section A: Reporter details					
1.1 Date of completion of questionnaire:					
1.2 Consultant responsible for case:					
1.3 Hospital name:					
1.4 Telephone number: Email:					
1.5 Has the patient been referred to/from another centre? Yes: No: Not known:					
If yes: 1) Please name centre:					
	-				
2) Please name consultant					
2) Please name consultant 1.6 Name of person completing form (if not 1.2)	-				
	-				
	_				
1.6 Name of person completing form (if not 1.2)	_				

Final Version 1.0 (02/08/2021)

Page 1 of 4

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

Study ID number:

BPSU ID number:

<< Page intentionally left blank so it can be detached and held separately for confidentiality>>

Final Version 1.0 (02/08/2021)

Page 2 of 4

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

	Study ID number: BPSU ID number:
S	ection C: Outcome at 2 year
3.1	Has the patient died before 2 years of age? Yes: No: Not known:
	If yes, what is the age of death and proceed to Section D:
3.2	Age of patient when outcome assessment was made*:
	*Please use the assessment which was made as close as possible to 2 years of chronological age. Assessment should ideally be made between 21 – 27 months of age.
3.3	Did the patient have a delay in the development of Yes: No: Not known:
	If yes, how delayed is the development? <3months 3 – 6 months (Please tick one) >6 months Not known
3.4	Did the patient have any of the following concerns? (Please tick Yes/No/Not Known)
	Yes No Not known
	Difficulty in walking (e.g. not able to walk)
	Hearing impairment
	Visual impairment
	Difficulty with speech and language (e.g. >3 months delay)
	Seizure in last 12 months*
	If yes, how often were the seizures: (Please tick one only)
	Daily Weekly Monthly
	Yearly Not known *Include cases where the event is felt to most likely represent a true seizure, as opposed to another paroxysmal event.
3.5	Was a formal developmental assessment tool used? Yes: No: Not known:
0.0	If yes, which tool was used? (Please tick all that applies)
	Parent Report of Children's Abilities – Revised (PARCA-R)
	Bayley Scales of Infant Development
	Griffiths Mental Developmental Scale
	Schedule of Growing Skills
	Other (please specify)
3.6	Please indicate if the patient received any of the following treatment at 2 years of age:
	Yes No Not Name of medication
	Anti-convulsant:
	Anti-thrombotic (e.g. heparin):
	Anti-platelet (e.g. aspirin):

Final Version 1.0 (02/08/2021)

Page 3 of 4

Neonatal stroke surveillance study protocol

		Study ID number:			BPSU ID number:	
S	ection D: Usage of health ca	re service				
4.1	Further neuroimaging since diagno	osis:	Yes:) No:	Not known:	
	If yes, please answer the following Age of patient when neuroimag			nonths		
	Modality of neuroimaging: (Please tick one only)	Computed To	omograph	y contrast:	no contrast:	
		Magnetic Re	sonance	contrast:	no contrast:	
		Cranial Ultras	sound:	Not kno	own:	
		Other (Pleas	e specify)	:		
	Neuroimaging findings			_		
	Recurrence of stroke* Type of stroke:	Yes:	No		Not known:	
	(Please tick one only)	Arterial ischaer Haemorrhage ³		Not kno	thrombosis ² :	
	Side affected:	Right:	Left:	Bilateral: (Not known:	
	Other findings (Please specify):					
	encripted CD using the pre-paid return envelope. CD cost will be re-imbursed. If unavailable, please send the de-identified report via safe haven link (where possible) or email via nhs.net to <i>tkwok@nhs.net</i> . *New lession of Arterial ischaemia ¹ ((i) partial or complete occlusion of the cerebral artery(ies) in relation to a focal brain lession; or (ii) brain lession pattern with imaging that can only be explained by occlusion of a specific cerebral artery(ies); Venous thrombosis ² (thrombus within cerebral vein or venous sinus); Haemorrhage ³ (haemorrhage in the parenchyma of the brain) not found at diagnosis.					
4.2	Please indicate if the patient requir services since discharge:	red any of the fo	llowing	(Please tick	Yes/No/Not Known)	
		Yes	No	Not known	Ongoing follow-up	
	Physiotherapy:					
	Occupational therapy:					
	Speech and language therapy:					
	Neonatology:					
	Paediatric Neurology/Neurodisabili	ity:				
	Community Paediatrics:					
	Other (please specify)					
	Other (please specify)					
	Thank you for taking the time to complete the Questionnaire					
lf	not completed online, please print ar			orm in the self-a	ddressed envelope to:	
T'ng Chang Kwok, Division of Child Health, Obstetrics & Gynaecology,						
	E floor, East block, Queen's Medical Centre,					

Derby Road, Nottingham, NG7 2UH, United Kingdom.

If you have any questions about the study please email the investigators at tkwok@nhs.net.

Final Version 1.0 (02/08/2021)

Page 4 of 4