

1 **Stairway visual contrast enhancement to reduce fall-related events**

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

Sara A. Harper ^{1,2}, Christopher Long ¹, Samantha Corbridge ¹, Tyson S. Barrett ³, Alex Braeger ¹,
Brevin J. Zollinger ¹, Amy E. Hale ¹, Chayston B. Brown ¹, Kenneth Harrison ¹, Shandon L.
Poulsen ¹, Travis Boman ¹, Christopher J. Dakin ^{1,2*}

¹ Department of Kinesiology and Health Science, Utah State University, Logan, UT, USA

² Sorenson Legacy Foundation Center for Clinical Excellence, Utah State University, Logan, UT,
USA

³ Department of Psychology, Utah State University, Logan, UT, USA

Running Head: Stairway visual contrast enhancement

Manuscript Type: Research Article

Acknowledgements:

We would like thank Emmalee Rolfe, McKay Wilding, and Erika Larson for their assistance coding video observations and Utah State University Facilities for installing the video cameras and striping intervention.

The research support was provided by Utah State University Undergraduate Research & Creative Opportunity (URCO) Award (2020 – Christopher Long, Samantha Corbridge), American Heart Association (Postdoctoral Fellowship 20POST34990005 Sara A. Harper).

Correspondence:
Christopher J. Dakin
chris.dakin@usu.edu
Tel.: 435-797-7324

32 **ABSTRACT**

33 Falls on stairs occur frequently and pose a significant health and financial risk. Laboratory
34 research has found that fall frequency can be reduced through contrast enhancement of the stairs
35 by applying vinyl striping to the first and last steps' faces, and all the steps' top edges. Here we
36 sought to determine if such step contrast enhancement can reduce the probability of fall-related
37 events such as loss of balance, slips, trips, and falls in public university staircases. Cameras were
38 used to capture stair users' ascent and descent on contrast enhanced ('striped') and control
39 stairways. Observed age group, observed gender, traverse direction (ascent, descent), fall-related
40 events, and walking speed (m/s) were recorded. Fall-related events were reduced for the striped
41 stairway compared to the control stairway (odds ratio = 2.87, average marginal effect = 0.002, p
42 = .023) when controlling for observed gender, age category, and traverse direction. These results
43 suggest contrast enhancement of first and last steps' face and all steps' edges may reduce fall-
44 related events in public settings. Adding contrast enhancement to public stairways is a simple
45 and cost-effective way to reduce the loss of balance, slips, and trips that could lead to
46 catastrophic falls on stairs as well as the health and financial burden associated with such falls.

47 **KEYWORDS:** Slips and falls; Accidents, human error; Interventions; Experimental Design;
48 Motor Behavior

49

50 **PRECIS:** Contrast enhancement of stairway features may be a simple and cost-effective way to
51 reduce falls on stairs. We show that monochrome striping on the first and last steps' faces and
52 black stripes on steps' top edges reduce the probability of fall-related events in public stairways.

53

54 INTRODUCTION

55 Approximately 3,000 people injure themselves falling on stairs every year in the United
56 States, resulting in annual fall-related medical costs of approximately \$92 billion (Blazewick et
57 al., 2018). Moreover, individuals who have experienced one such fall are prone to fall again thus
58 increasing the likelihood of serious injury (Masud & Morris, 2001). Thus, it is crucial that fall
59 prevention strategies be researched and implemented to reduce the financial and health burden
60 associated with falls on stairways.

61 The likelihood of a fall depends on a variety of factors affecting balance that are
62 accentuated by visual, hearing, and muscular strength deficits (Elliott et al., 2015) associated
63 with aging. Visual deficits, in particular, increase the likelihood of a fall on stairs (Brundle et al.,
64 2015; Simoneau, Cavanagh, Ulbrecht, Leibowitz, & Tyrrell, 1991) because vision provides
65 information about the environment that is necessary to adjust foot placement and foot clearance
66 (Buckley, Timmis, Scally, & Elliott, 2011; Graci, Elliott, & Buckley, 2010). Often interventions
67 such as strength and balance training are undertaken to prevent falls. However, these
68 interventions typically require a significant commitment from the individual (Mian et al., 2007)
69 and take time to meaningfully reduce the likelihood of falls (El-Khoury et al., 2013). In contrast,
70 an environmental intervention, such as improving the visibility of features of the stairs, avoids
71 the financial, time, and physical burdens associated with training interventions. In addition,
72 environmental interventions such as stairway visibility improvements are often easily
73 implemented in a public setting (Foster, Hotchkiss, Buckley, & Elliott, 2014).

74 By improving the visibility of the stairs, stair users' gait can be altered to reduce the
75 likelihood of fall. Some results of these gait alterations include increased toe clearance, increased
76 heel clearance, faster walking speed, or reduced kinematic variance (Cohen & Sloan, 2016; den

77 Brinker et al., 2005; D. B. Elliott, Foster, Whitaker, Scally, & Buckley, 2015; David B. Elliott,
78 Vale, Whitaker, & Buckley, 2009; Foster, Buckley, Whitaker, & Elliott, 2016; Foster et al.,
79 2014; Foster, Whitaker, Scally, Buckley, & Elliott, 2015; Jacobs, 2016; Schofield, Curzon-Jones,
80 & Hollands, 2017; Shaheen et al., 2018; Skervin et al., 2021; Thomas et al., 2021; Zietz,
81 Johannsen, & Hollands, 2011). In fact, Elliott, Foster and colleagues have demonstrated that
82 vertical, monochrome striping on the face of the bottom and top steps can increase vertical foot
83 clearance 0.8 cm for young adults and up to 2.1 cm for older adults (D. B. Elliott et al., 2015;
84 David B. Elliott et al., 2009; Foster et al., 2016; Foster et al., 2015; Skervin et al., 2021), with 1.0
85 cm, a 17.5% improvement, in toe clearance observed in older adults. Importantly, introducing the
86 vertical monochrome striping intervention to both the top and bottom steps maximized the
87 vertical toe clearance effect, compared to no vertical striping, striping only the bottom step, or
88 striping only the top step (D. B. Elliott et al., 2015; Foster et al., 2015). In addition, the effect of
89 the monochrome vertical striping is also maximized when the spatial frequency of the stripes is
90 approximately 12 cycles or stripes per meter compared to four, eight, 16 and 20 cycles per step
91 (D. B. Elliott et al., 2015; Foster et al., 2015; Skervin et al., 2021).

92 Heel clearance can also be increased [$(3.2 \pm 1.9$ cm to 4.7 ± 1.4 cm; effect size 1.07 (3)]
93 by adding high contrast visual strips along the top front edge of all the steps (Cohen & Sloan,
94 2016; den Brinker et al., 2005; D. B. Elliott et al., 2015; Foster et al., 2014; Thomas et al., 2021;
95 Zietz et al., 2011). The addition of high contrast edging to each step has been shown to increase
96 heel clearance during descent (<5 mm) and reduce the number of times the foot accidentally
97 contacts steps' edges (D. B. Elliott et al., 2015). Importantly, while these two environmental
98 interventions are independently effective, their combined effect may be greater than each
99 intervention on their own (Foster et al., 2015).

100 Implemented together, these visual interventions represent a promising way to reduce
101 locomotor behaviors that increase the likelihood of a fall. However, since these observations
102 largely derive from laboratory settings, the size of these environmental interventions' effect in
103 public environments remains unclear. Specifically, laboratory investigations lack the wide range
104 of influences and distractions present in public environments and it is unclear how large an effect
105 the changes in toe and heel clearance observed in the lab have on the probability of a fall on
106 public stairs (Edwards, Dulai, & Rahman, 2019). Therefore, public validation is an essential next
107 step in determining the efficacy of such a visual, environmental intervention on reducing the
108 probability of a fall.

109 Here, we sought to determine if a) enhancing contrast of the steps' edges using black
110 strips, and b) enhancing the visibility of the first and last steps' face by adding vertical striping
111 would reduce the probability of fall-related events such as loss of balance, slips, trips, and falls in
112 a public setting. We compared the probability of observing fall-related events between a stairway
113 with the contrast enhancements and a stairway without the contrast enhancements. We
114 hypothesized that the probability of fall-related events (e.g., loss of balance, slips, trips, and falls)
115 would be reduced in the intervention stairway compared to the control stairway.

116

117 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

118 **Participants**

119 To test the efficacy of the contrast enhancement intervention, users of the East and West
120 stairways in Utah State University's Health, Physical Education and Recreation (HPER) building
121 were recorded on video. The sample consisted largely of individuals attending Lifetime Wellness
122 or Kinesiology courses (e.g., dance, yoga, and laboratory). It is important to note that the study

123 was planned prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, when normally the HPER building has extensive
124 programming, open to community members, including water aerobics, employee wellness
125 aerobic and weight room, racquetball courts, and gymnasiums. Because data collection took
126 place between September 2020 and February 2021, during the COVID-19 pandemic, the sample
127 was skewed more toward university students than would usually be the case. Stair users
128 appearing under the age of 18 were excluded from data collection (n = 2, See supplemental table)
129 and the subsequent analysis. The study was approved by the institutional review board at Utah
130 State University (Protocol #10773).


131 **Protocol**

132 Four security cameras were placed in both the East and West stairways of the HPER
133 building to capture stair users' ascent and descent of the respective stairways. Security cameras
134 (Lorex cameras, Lorex Technology Inc., Markham, CA, USA) were set to record based on
135 motion detected prior to entry into the stairway and therefore video was captured for the entirety
136 of the stair negotiation process. Signage was posted at the entry to both stairways indicating that
137 security cameras were recording activity in the stairway. Video was recorded on a digital video
138 recorder and recordings were transferred multiple times per week, via a secure universal serial
139 bus (USB) device, to a secure cloud storage unit (Box, Inc. Redwood City, CA, USA). The East
140 stairway consisted of 11 steps (0.16 – 0.17 m step height, 0.32 – 0.33 m in tread depth, with an
141 overall hypotenuse length of 3.75 – 3.77 m) and the West stairway consisted of 13 steps (0.16 –
142 0.17 m step height, 0.32 – 0.33 m tread depth, and 4.49 – 4.53 m hypotenuse length).

143 **Intervention**

144 Monochrome striping of the stair face consisted of 19 black vertical vinyl stripes (12
145 cycles/1 meter) on a white background along the face of the bottom and top stairs. Contrast

146 enhancement of each steps' edge consisted of 5.5 cm black vinyl strips (stair tread depth) applied
147 to the top front edges of each step as done previously (D. B. Elliott et al., 2015; Foster et al.,
148 2014; Foster et al., 2015). The control stairway was unaltered and used to compare to the
149 contrast enhanced intervention stairway. After 5,000 individual stair users were coded, the
150 stairway used as the intervention and control stairways were switched (counter-balanced)
151 (**Figure 1**). The cost of the vinyl striping material was approximately \$287.59 (USD) for the two
152 stairways including counter-balancing.



153
154 **Figure 1. Study stairway locations.** Schematic of the Health, Physical Education, and Recreation (HPER) building.
155 Note: red arrows indicate the West and East stairways (upper middle). Visual representations of the control stairway
156 condition (top left) and striping intervention (top right). Halfway through data collection, the intervention and
157 control stairways were switched. The top front edge of steps in the intervention stairway were covered with a 5.5 cm
158 black strip (bottom left) whereas the face of the first and last step of the intervention stairway were covered in a
159 monochrome striping pattern with a spatial frequency of 12 stripes/meter (bottom right). The two stairways were
160 approximately 112m apart.
161

162 In total, 12,285 individual observations were coded and balanced across each condition
163 (intervention, control) and stairway (East, West). After accounting for incomplete observations,
164 the complete data set included 2,500 individual observations coded for each of the conditions

165 and stairways (i.e., East intervention, East control, West intervention, and West control each had
166 2,500 observations).

167 **Measures**

168 Each outcome variable was assessed by stairway location (East stairway, West stairway)
169 and condition (striping intervention, control). Both stairway light values were comparable
170 between the upper and lower portions (approximately ~19 lux average absolute difference). We
171 coded stairway users based on their observed gender (men, women), estimated age range (18-40,
172 41-60, and 61+ years old), navigation direction (ascent, descent), date and time of day (24:00
173 clock), walking speed (m/s), and fall-related event severity (mild, moderate, and severe). A mild
174 fall-related event represented a relatively subtle trip or slip with minimal recovery action (**Figure**
175 **2A**). A moderate fall-related event involved a loss in balance that required more substantial
176 recovery such as a handrail reach (**Figure 2B**). A severe fall-related event represented a
177 complete loss in balance, resulting in a fall (**Figure 2C**). Figure 2 has been removed aligned with
178 pre-print policy. We encourage readers to contact the corresponding author to request access to
179 **Figure 2**.

180 Stair users, if traversing the stairs in a group, were documented as being in a group. To
181 account for multiple repeat observations of stair users, we recorded time of day and date of each
182 observation (further details below in Data Analysis). Data were recorded and encoded using
183 Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA).

184 **Quality Analysis**

185 To assess inter-coder reliability during data collection and encoding, each week one of
186 the researchers would randomly select and evaluate ten percent of the data collected for that

187 week. If an error was present, a second coder reviewed all data recorded by the first coder for the
188 week in question and the second coder made a determination on the final coding record.

189 **Data Cleaning**

190 Completed data entry consisted of 12,285 individual observations. In total, 1,148
191 observations were removed and are described in the supplemental information with 11,137
192 observations remaining for the final analysis. All observations were reviewed for missing or
193 incomplete data. Next, observations were sorted by the time it took to traverse the stairs. All
194 observations that took less than two seconds, or equal or greater than ten seconds to traverse the
195 stairs were subject to additional review and removal to filter out unusual walking speeds. Next,
196 individuals captured on video that were flagged for atypical events were reviewed and removed
197 for the following reasons: use of assistive walking devices (e.g., walking boot, crutches, or knee
198 brace), they were recorded outside of the designated data collection period (e.g., 17:01-6:59), or
199 they did not use the stairs. In addition, all observations that involved stopping or pausing on the
200 stairs or any unusual stair walking behaviors were removed (see Supplemental Information).

201 **Data Analysis**

202 All descriptive statistics are presented as the number of observations and a percentage of
203 the total observations. In order to assess differences by condition (intervention versus control), a
204 series of generalized linear mixed effects models were used. Two models were tested to assess
205 differences between the conditions. The first model assessed the main effect of condition on fall-
206 related events. The remaining model tested for interactions between conditions. Covariates, such
207 as gender, age category. All types of fall-related events were pooled, given their relative sparsity
208 in the sample, and were coded as either present (a fall-related event occurred) or not. Given fall-

209 related events were coded as binary, we used a Bernoulli (a special case of binomial) distribution
210 and logit link for those models. For the fall-related events, these models can be expressed as:

$$211 \quad Y_{it} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_{it})$$

$$212 \quad \text{logit}(p_{it}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \times \text{condition}_{it} + \sum_k \text{covariates}_{it} + \alpha_t$$

$$213 \quad \alpha_t \sim N(\mu_t, \sigma_t^2)$$

214 where Y_{it} is the binary outcome, p_{it} is the probability of a fall-related event occurring (i.e.,

215 $\text{Prob}(y_{it} = 1)$), β_1 is the estimate of interest, and α_t is the random intercept by hour of the day.

216 The random intercept by hour of the day was selected to account for the clustering of individuals

217 by certain time points as well as a proxy to help account for multiple observations of the same

218 stair user given the challenge of identifying multiple observations of the same individual.

219 Although imperfect, it explained variation in the outcome across models. Hypothesis

220 significance testing for variables of interest was performed by comparing nested (i.e., more

221 parsimonious) models using likelihood ratio tests (Hox, 2017). Estimates are highlighted in terms

222 of both the odds ratio (relative differences) and the average marginal effect (absolute

223 differences). Outcome measures from the model are presented using predicted probabilities. All

224 statistical analyses were performed using R version 4.0.2. (Team, 2020) using the rio, tidyverse,

225 janitor, lme4, lmerTest, furniture, and gtsummary packages (Barrett T, 2017; Chung-hong Chan,

226 2018; Daniel D. Sjoberg, 2020; Douglas Bates, 2015; Firke, 2020; Kuznetsova A, 2017;

227 Wickham, 2019).

228

229 **RESULTS**

230 Overall, most stair users appeared to be between the ages of 18-40 years (98.5%) with
231 only 0.82% being between the ages of 41-60 and 0.68% appearing older than 61 years old. From
232 this sample we observed a total of 20 fall-related events including 12 mild fall-related events, 4
233 moderate fall-related events, and 4 severe fall-related events. Of 11,137 complete observations,
234 12.82% (1,428/11,137) observations were verified twice. Across the sample, after accounting for
235 gender, age category, and ascending/descending, there were differences in walking speed by age
236 ($p < .001$), with observed young adults (18-40 years old) having the fastest stairway walking
237 speed. Observed men also tended to walk faster than observed women ($p < .001$). The
238 differences in walking speed did not depend on whether the individual was ascending or
239 descending the stairway ($p = .112$).


240 **Table 1.** Participant characteristics.

Outcome variables	Occurrences (percentage of total)
Observed 18 - 40 years old	10,970 (98.50 %)
Observed 41 - 60 years old	91 (0.82%)
Observed 61+ years old	76 (0.68%)
Observed women	7,458 (66.97%)
Observed men	3,679 (33.03%)
Mild fall-related event	12 (0.108%)
Moderate fall-related event	4 (0.036%)
Severe fall-related event	4 (0.036%)
Total fall-related event	20 (0.18%)

241
242 Our primary aim was to examine whether the striping intervention reduced the
243 probability of fall-related events. Likelihood ratio tests indicated there was a statistically
244 significant difference (odds ratio = 2.87, average marginal effect = 0.002, $p = .023$), between the
245 striped stairway ($n = 6$ fall-related events) and the control stairway ($n = 14$ fall-related events),
246 with the striped stairway observing fewer fall-related events, on average, after controlling for

247 observed gender, age category, and ascending/descending. None of the covariates were
248 significantly related to fall-related events. The estimated differences by condition are presented
249 in **Figure 3**, which shows the predicted probabilities of each condition experiencing a fall-related
250 event. This difference did not depend on whether the individual was ascending or descending (p
251 = .331).

252 **Figure 3. Stairway striping and fall-related events**



253
254 **Figure 3.** Data are presented as estimated marginal mean \pm 95% CI. Fall-related events decreased in the striped
255 intervention stairways compared to the control stairways. * indicates a statistically significant difference as
256 determined using likelihood ratio tests to compare the fit of the nested generalized linear mixed effects models $p <$
257 .05.
258

259 **DISCUSSION**

260 Here we sought to determine if contrast enhancement of features of the stairway can
261 reduce the probability of experiencing a fall-related event in a public setting. We found that

262 enhancing the edge and face contrast of stairs reduced fall risk factors compared to a control
263 stairway without the intervention. These results suggest that this intervention may be a cost-
264 effective way to reduce the loss of balance, slips, and trips that contribute to falls in public
265 environments.

266 We found that the combined visual contrast enhancement of step face and edge reduced
267 the number of fall-related events compared to a control stairway without the intervention. The
268 fact that this effect was observed in our sample is notable since the sample was highly skewed
269 toward a higher proportion of observed young adults (18-40 years old) due to the COVID-19
270 pandemic. While fall injuries are often reported among older adults, unintentional falls in young
271 adults (18-35) represent the third most frequent causes of unintentional injury (Kool,
272 Ameratunga, & Jackson, 2009). Young adults, in addition to older adults, could therefore also
273 benefit from interventions meant to reduce fall-related events on stairways. Improvements in
274 step contrast have been observed to increase foot step edge clearance in younger adults. In
275 addition, younger adults with artificially induced visual impairments (20/200 vision) take smaller
276 steps, and descend slower on low contrast stairways compared to high contrast stairways (David
277 B. Elliott et al., 2009). Given that this intervention appears effective, at least to some degree, in
278 younger adults, it is reasonable to believe it may be more effective in older adults, especially
279 those with age-related declines in visual acuity (D. B. Elliott et al., 2015; Foster et al., 2014;
280 Salvi, Akhtar, & Currie, 2006; Sjöstrand, Laatikainen, Hirvelä, Popovic, & Jonsson, 2011;
281 Sjostrand, Bergman, & Popovic, 2004).

282 In a typical stairway, older adults with higher fall risk, determined by the Berg Balance
283 Scale, Modified Falls Efficacy Scale, and Stair Self-Efficacy Questionnaire criteria, had both
284 lower vertical tread clearance and lower step edge clearance compared to older adults with lower

285 fall risk. This suggests that older adults at higher fall risk may benefit further from contrast
286 enhancement than peers with lower fall risk. Interestingly, high visual contrast appears to not
287 only increased foot clearance, but also reduced vertical acceleration of the center of mass in older
288 adults with a higher likelihood of falling. Collectively, stairs with high visual contrast improved
289 foot step edge clearance in both young and older adults, and also led to improved metrics of
290 balance control in older adults with higher fall risk (Zietz et al., 2011).

291 One major benefit of recording stair users directly, compared to some prior fall
292 assessment studies, is that the video recordings allow for a more objective assessment of fall-
293 related events. With video, fall-related events can be assessed with greater resolution and without
294 the subjective interpretation of the event from the individual that experienced the fall. In
295 addition, through video review, smaller events that the individual experiencing the event might
296 forget or omit can be identified. However, valuable information has also been derived from self-
297 report of falls. For example, two studies have assessed falls in young adults using daily surveys
298 that asked participants whether they had slipped, tripped or fallen. When the past 24 hours were
299 queried (Cho, Heijnen, Craig, & Rietdyk, 2021; Heijnen & Rietdyk, 2016) 30% of students
300 reported falling (excluding winter weather conditions) with 14% of those falls occurring on stairs
301 (Heijnen & Rietdyk, 2016). In contrast when the prior 16-weeks were queried 52% of students
302 reported falling with 13% occurring on stairs (Cho et al., 2021). Compared to national health
303 surveys, 10.6% of adults ages 18-44 years old (Verma et al., 2016), and 18.5% of 20-45 years
304 old (Talbot, Musiol, Witham, & Metter, 2005) reported falling over a one year period. The
305 difference in percent of respondents reporting a fall between the daily surveys and the national
306 health surveys may reflect the strengths of daily surveys, which may catch events forgotten or
307 omitted from an annual report. In addition, our data suggest that falls may also be underreported.

308 We observed that individuals fell once out of every five fall-related events or 20% of the time a
309 fall related event occurred. In contrast, prior research indicates that students report falling, on
310 average, once out of every 18 perceived slips or trips, a rate of 5.55% (Heijnen & Rietdyk,
311 2016). Similarly, a more recent investigation reported that 6% of slips and 4% of trips resulted in
312 falls (Cho et al., 2021). Our observation appears in line with previous reports of discrepancies
313 between video and survey data. Specifically, a study examining falls in long term care facilities
314 found that video data and survey data tend to disagree about the cause of the fall 55.5% of the
315 time (Yang, Feldman, Leung, Scott, & Robinovitch, 2015). This discrepancy demonstrates the
316 value of incorporating video capture for documentation of fall-related events. While video
317 recordings may improve objective slip, trip, and fall identification and classification, challenges
318 using this medium still exist (Woolrych et al., 2015). Indeed, a considerable amount of personnel
319 and time were required to code video recordings in our investigation, which could increase the
320 cost of such video review compared to the use of surveys.

321 **Limitations**

322 It is important to note that this study was planned prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, when
323 normally the HPER building has extensive programming for all age groups and is open to
324 community members (e.g., water aerobics, employee wellness aerobic and weight room,
325 racquetball courts, and gymnasiums). However, data collection occurred during the COVID-19
326 pandemic, which reduced the number of mid-life and older adults that frequented the building
327 leading to a sample skewed more towards young adults than was initially planned. This also
328 likely led to fewer fall-related events. In addition, because our investigation observed stair users
329 in a building that houses the Kinesiology and Health Science Department students, staff, and
330 faculty, it is likely that the individuals we observed were more athletic on average, than non-

331 users of this building. As a result of these limitations, it is possible that the effect size we
332 observed is smaller than would be observed with a more heterogenous sample. Furthermore,
333 because each stair user could not be directly queried, we estimated stair users' age and gender in
334 addition to introducing a date and time factor to account for multiple observations. It is important
335 to note that because observed age and gender were estimated from video recordings, the
336 precision of these measures is lower than if stair users were queried for these variables directly.
337 Similarly, introducing a date and time variable is a less precise approach to account for multiple
338 observations compared to having and using each individual's identity. While our analysis did
339 assess the time of day of repeat observations of stair users, time of day only explained
340 approximately 1% of the variance in the data.

341

342 **Future directions**

343 Although our investigation addressed our initial research question, additional questions
344 now arise. Future work should consider observing a more heterogenous sample with a broader
345 distribution of age ranges, emphasizing middle-age and older-age adult inclusion. Since falls
346 disproportionately affect older adults, studies targeting older adults may provide additional insight
347 into whether real life application of visual contrast enhancement of stairs will assist those most in
348 need. In addition, future work could consider implementing machine learning fall detection
349 software or programming which may eliminate the personnel resource for monitoring and
350 detecting stair negotiation behavior and fall-related events (Shu & Shu, 2021).

351

352 **CONCLUSIONS**

353 Here we built upon visual contrast stair inventions that have been evaluated in the laboratory by
354 implementing such an intervention in a public setting (Edwards et al., 2019; D. B. Elliott et al.,
355 2015; Jacobs, 2016; Skervin et al., 2021). We found that the enhancement of visual contrast of
356 the first and last steps' face and all steps' edges reduced the number of fall-related events. Since
357 the effect was still observed in a sample of primarily young adults, our results suggest that such
358 visual contrast enhancements may represent a simple and inexpensive intervention to reduce loss
359 of balance, slips, and trips that can lead to catastrophic falls on stairs across all populations. Such
360 interventions could reduce the health and financial burden associated with falls on stairways.
361

362 **Supplemental Information.**

Removed observations (n=1,148)	Frequency (percentage)
Outside of time frame (17:01-6:59)	184 (16.03%)
Wrong camera angle (e.g., platform camera angle)	120 (10.45%)
Partial device use (e.g., phone, smart watch)	101 (8.80%)
Researcher in video (retrieving data files)	80 (6.97%)
Missing stair start time	71 (6.18%)
Reserve Officers' Training Corps on stairs	66 (5.75%)
Assistant walking devices (e.g., walking boot, crutches, knee brace, or limping)	62 (5.40%)
Looked or waved at a camera	48 (4.18%)
No stair use (did not step on stairs)	45 (3.92%)
Stopped or paused on stairs	45 (3.92%)
No individual in video (e.g., lighting change)	36 (3.14%)
Maintenance (e.g., cleaning, janitor)	21 (1.83%)
Jumped a portion of stairs	18 (1.57%)
Partial stair use or partial video recording of stair use	17 (1.48%)
Vending machine use	11 (0.96%)
Pretending to fall or have balance issues	7 (0.61%)
Stretched on stairs, sat on stairs	6 (0.52%)
Played tennis on stairs, bouncing paddle ball	4 (0.35%)
Reviewed stair striping	4 (0.35%)
Unusual stair behavior	3 (0.26%)
Slide down handrail	3 (0.26%)
Takes shoes off on stairs or in socks	3 (0.26%)
Dropped something on stairs	3 (0.26%)
Talking to someone not on stairs	2 (0.17%)
Observed age under 18 years old	2 (0.17%)
Unconfirmed phone use	2 (0.17%)
Switches phone use hand on stairs	1 (0.09%)
Video and description do not match	1 (0.09%)

363 Note. Duplicate codes were present.

364

365 **KEY POINTS**

- 366 • Contrast enhancement of features of stairways may be an effective way to reduce falls on
367 stairs.
- 368 • We show that monochrome striping on the first and last steps' faces and black stripes on
369 steps' edges reduced the probability of fall-related events in public stairways.

370

REFERENCES

- 371 Barrett T, B. E. (2017). Furniture for quantitative scientists. *The R Journal*, 9(2), 142-148.
372 doi:10.32614/RJ-2017-037
- 373 Brundle, C., Waterman, H. A., Ballinger, C., Olleveant, N., Skelton, D. A., Stanford, P., & Todd,
374 C. (2015). The causes of falls: views of older people with visual impairment. *Health*
375 *Expect*, 18(6), 2021-2031. doi:10.1111/hex.12355
- 376 Buckley, J. G., Timmis, M. A., Scally, A. J., & Elliott, D. B. (2011). When is visual information
377 used to control locomotion when descending a kerb? *PLoS ONE*, 6(4), e19079.
378 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019079
- 379 Cho, H., Heijnen, M. J. H., Craig, B. A., & Rietdyk, S. (2021). Falls in young adults: The effect
380 of sex, physical activity, and prescription medications. *PLoS ONE*, 16(4), e0250360.
381 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0250360
- 382 Chung-hong Chan, G. C. C., Thomas J. Leeper, and Jason Becker (2018). rio: A Swiss-army
383 knife for data file I/O. (Version R package version 0.5.16.).
- 384 Cohen, H. H., & Sloan, G. D. (2016). The science behind codes and standards for safe pedestrian
385 walkways: lighting and visual cues. *Appl Ergon*, 52, 112-119.
386 doi:10.1016/j.apergo.2015.07.002
- 387 Daniel D. Sjoberg, M. C., Margie Hannum, Karissa Whiting and Emily C. Zabor (2020).
388 gtsummary: Presentation-Ready Data Summary and Analytic Result Tables. (Version. R
389 package version 1.3.5.). Retrieved from [https://CRAN.R-](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=gtsummary)
390 [project.org/package=gtsummary](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=gtsummary)
- 391 den Brinker, B. P. L. M., Burgman, L. J., Hogervorst, S. M. J., Reehorst, S. E., Kromhout, S., &
392 van der Windt, J. (2005). The effect of high-contrast marking of treads on the descent of
393 stairways by low-vision people. *International Congress Series*, 1282, 502-506.
394 doi:doi.org/10.1016/j.ics.2005.05.165
- 395 Douglas Bates, M. M., Ben Bolker, Steve Walker. (2015). Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models
396 Using Ime4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 67(1), 1-48. doi:doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01.
- 397 Edwards, N., Dulai, J., & Rahman, A. (2019). A scoping review of epidemiological, ergonomic,
398 and longitudinal cohort studies examining the links between stair and bathroom falls and
399 the built environment. *International journal of environmental research and public health*,
400 16(9), 1598. doi:10.3390/ijerph16091598
- 401 Elliott, D. B., Foster, R. J., Whitaker, D., Scally, A. J., & Buckley, J. G. (2015). Public Health
402 Research. In *Analysis of lower limb movement to determine the effect of manipulating the*
403 *appearance of stairs to improve safety: a linked series of laboratory-based, repeated*
404 *measures studies*. Southampton (UK): NIHR Journals Library.
- 405 Elliott, D. B., Vale, A., Whitaker, D., & Buckley, J. G. (2009). Does my step look big in this? A
406 visual illusion leads to safer stepping behaviour. *PLoS ONE*, 4(2), e4577.
407 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004577
- 408 Firke, S. (2020). janitor: Simple Tools for Examining and Cleaning Dirty Data. (Version R
409 package version 2.0.1.). Retrieved from <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=janitor>
- 410 Foster, R. J., Buckley, J. G., Whitaker, D., & Elliott, D. B. (2016). The addition of stripes (a
411 version of the 'horizontal-vertical illusion') increases foot clearance when crossing low-
412 height obstacles. *Ergonomics*, 59(7), 884-889. doi:10.1080/00140139.2015.1105304

- 413 Foster, R. J., Hotchkiss, J., Buckley, J. G., & Elliott, D. B. (2014). Safety on stairs: influence of a
414 tread edge highlighter and its position. *Exp Gerontol*, *55*, 152-158.
415 doi:10.1016/j.exger.2014.04.009
- 416 Foster, R. J., Whitaker, D., Scally, A. J., Buckley, J. G., & Elliott, D. B. (2015). What you see is
417 what you step: the horizontal-vertical illusion increases toe clearance in older adults
418 during stair ascent. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*, *56*(5), 2950-2957. doi:10.1167/iovs.14-
419 16018
- 420 Graci, V., Elliott, D. B., & Buckley, J. G. (2010). Utility of peripheral visual cues in planning
421 and controlling adaptive gait. *Optom Vis Sci*, *87*(1), 21-27.
422 doi:10.1097/OPX.0b013e3181c1d547
- 423 Heijnen, M. J., & Rietdyk, S. (2016). Falls in young adults: Perceived causes and environmental
424 factors assessed with a daily online survey. *Hum Mov Sci*, *46*, 86-95.
425 doi:10.1016/j.humov.2015.12.007
- 426 Hox, J. J., Moerbeek, M., & Van de Schoot, R. . (2017). Multilevel analysis: Techniques and
427 applications. .
- 428 Jacobs, J. V. (2016). A review of stairway falls and stair negotiation: Lessons learned and future
429 needs to reduce injury. *Gait Posture*, *49*, 159-167. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2016.06.030
- 430 Kool, B., Ameratunga, S., & Jackson, R. (2009). The role of alcohol in unintentional falls among
431 young and middle-aged adults: a systematic review of epidemiological studies. *Injury*
432 *Prevention*, *15*(5), 341. doi:10.1136/ip.2008.021303
- 433 Kuznetsova A, B. P., Christensen RHB (2017). lmerTest Package: Tests in Linear Mixed Effects
434 Models.” *Journal of Statistical Software*, *82*(13), 1-26. doi:doi: 10.18637/jss.v082.i13
- 435 Salvi, S. M., Akhtar, S., & Currie, Z. (2006). Ageing changes in the eye. *Postgraduate medical*
436 *journal*, *82*(971), 581-587. doi:10.1136/pgmj.2005.040857
- 437 Schofield, A. J., Curzon-Jones, B., & Hollands, M. A. (2017). Reduced sensitivity for visual
438 textures affects judgments of shape-from-shading and step-climbing behaviour in older
439 adults. *Exp Brain Res*, *235*(2), 573-583. doi:10.1007/s00221-016-4816-0
- 440 Shaheen, A. F., Sourlas, A., Horton, K., McLean, C., Ewins, D., Gould, D., & Ghoussayni, S.
441 (2018). Effects of lighting illuminance levels on stair negotiation performance in
442 individuals with visual impairment. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol*, *39*, 8-15.
443 doi:10.1016/j.jelekin.2018.01.004
- 444 Shu, F., & Shu, J. (2021). An eight-camera fall detection system using human fall pattern
445 recognition via machine learning by a low-cost android box. *Scientific Reports*, *11*(1),
446 2471. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-81115-9
- 447 Simoneau, G. G., Cavanagh, P. R., Ulbrecht, J. S., Leibowitz, H. W., & Tyrrell, R. A. (1991).
448 The influence of visual factors on fall-related kinematic variables during stair descent by
449 older women. *J Gerontol*, *46*(6), M188-195. doi:10.1093/geronj/46.6.m188
- 450 Sjöstrand, J., Laatikainen, L., Hirvelä, H., Popovic, Z., & Jonsson, R. (2011). The decline in
451 visual acuity in elderly people with healthy eyes or eyes with early age-related
452 maculopathy in two Scandinavian population samples. *Acta Ophthalmol*, *89*(2), 116-123.
453 doi:10.1111/j.1755-3768.2009.01653.x
- 454 Sjostrand, J. B., Bergman, B., & Popovic, Z. (2004). Declining visual acuity in healthy eyes with
455 age. Calculation of loss of retinal ganglion cells in the elderly and old. *Investigative*
456 *Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, *45*(13), 5462-5462.
- 457 Skervin, T. K., Thomas, N. M., Schofield, A. J., Hollands, M. A., Maganaris, C. N., & Foster, R.
458 J. (2021). The next step in optimising the stair horizontal-vertical illusion: Does a

- 459 perception-action link exist in older adults? *Exp Gerontol*, 149, 111309.
460 doi:10.1016/j.exger.2021.111309
- 461 Talbot, L. A., Musiol, R. J., Witham, E. K., & Metter, E. J. (2005). Falls in young, middle-aged
462 and older community dwelling adults: perceived cause, environmental factors and injury.
463 *BMC Public Health*, 5, 86. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-5-86
- 464 Team, R. C. (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
465 Statistical Computing.
- 466 Thomas, N. M., Skervin, T. K., Foster, R. J., Parr, J. V., Carpenter, M. G., O'Brien, T. D., . . .
467 Hollands, M. A. (2021). Influence of step-surface visual properties on confidence,
468 anxiety, dynamic stability, and gaze behaviour in young and older adults. *Human*
469 *Movement Science*, 77, 102774. doi:doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2021.102774
- 470 Verma, S. K., Willetts, J. L., Corns, H. L., Marucci-Wellman, H. R., Lombardi, D. A., &
471 Courtney, T. K. (2016). Falls and Fall-Related Injuries among Community-Dwelling
472 Adults in the United States. *PLoS ONE*, 11(3), e0150939-e0150939.
473 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150939
- 474 Wickham. (2019). Welcome to the Tidyverse *Journal of Open Source Software*, 4(43), 1686.
475 Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686>
- 476 Woolrych, R., Zecevic, A., Sixsmith, A., Sims-Gould, J., Feldman, F., Chaudhury, H., . . .
477 Robinovitch, S. N. (2015). Using video capture to investigate the causes of falls in long-
478 term care. *Gerontologist*, 55(3), 483-494. doi:10.1093/geront/gnu053
- 479 Yang, Y., Feldman, F., Leung, P. M., Scott, V., & Robinovitch, S. N. (2015). Agreement
480 Between Video Footage and Fall Incident Reports on the Circumstances of Falls in Long-
481 Term Care. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*, 16(5), 388-394. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2014.12.003
- 482 Zietz, D., Johannsen, L., & Hollands, M. (2011). Stepping characteristics and Centre of Mass
483 control during stair descent: Effects of age, fall risk and visual factors. *Gait Posture*,
484 34(2), 279-284. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2011.05.017

485