1 2 3 4	Effectiveness of shared medical appointments delivered in primary care for improving health outcomes in patients with long-term conditions: a systematic review of randomised controlled trials
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 21 22 23 24	¹ Mei Yee Tang, meiyee.tang@newcastle.ac.uk ^{*+} ² Fiona Graham, fiona.graham@newcastle.ac.uk ⁺ ² Amy O'Donnell, amy.odonnell@newcastle.ac.uk ³ Fiona Beyer, fiona.beyer@newcastle.ac.uk ³ Catherine Richmond, catherine.richmond@newcastle.ac.uk ^{2,4} Falko Sniehotta, falko.sniehotta@medma.uni-heidelberg.de ¹ Eileen Kaner, eileen.kaner@newcastle.ac.uk
	 ¹ School of Psychology, Dame Margaret Barbour Building, Wallace Street, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE2 4DR,UK ²NIHR Policy Research Unit in Behavioural Science, Population Health Sciences Institute, Baddiley-Clark Building, Richardson Road, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE2 4AX, UK ³Population Health Sciences Institute, Baddiley-Clark Building, Richardson Road, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE2 4AX, UK ⁴Department of Public Health, Preventive and Social Medicine, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, DE
24 25 26 27 28	*Corresponding author ⁺ Joint co-first authors
29 30	KEYWORDS : shared medical appointments, long-term conditions, systematic review, meta-
30 31 32 33 35 36 37 39 41 42 43 44 50 51 52 54	WORD COUNT 4417

55 ABSTRACT

56 **Objectives**

57 Shared medical appointments (SMAs) have the potential to address interlinked challenges of 58 limited capacity in primary healthcare and rising prevalence of patients with multiple long-59 term conditions (LTCs). This review aimed to examine the effectiveness of SMAs compared 60 to one-to-one appointments in primary care at improving health outcomes and reducing 61 demand on healthcare services.

62

63 Methods

64 We searched for randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of SMAs involving patients with LTCs 65 in primary care across six databases from 2013-2020 and added eligible papers identified 66 from previous relevant reviews. Data were extracted and outcomes narratively synthesised, 67 meta-analysis was undertaken where possible.

68

69 Results

70 Twenty-three unique trials were included. SMA models varied in terms of components, mode 71 of delivery and target population. Most trials recruited patients with a single LTC, most 72 commonly diabetes (n=12), although eight trials selected patients with multiple LTCs. There 73 was substantial heterogeneity in outcome measures which we categorised into health 74 outcomes (biomedical indicators, psychological and well-being measures), healthcare 75 utilisation, and cost and resource use. Meta-analysis showed that participants in SMA 76 groups had lower diastolic blood pressure than those in usual care (d=-0.123, 95% CI = -77 0.22, -0.03, n=8). No statistically significant differences were found across other outcomes. 78 Compared with usual care, SMAs had no significant effect on healthcare service use.

79

80 Conclusions

81 SMAs were at least as effective as usual care in terms of health outcomes and did not lead 82 to increased healthcare service use in the short-term. To strengthen the evidence base, 83 future studies should target standardised behavioural and health outcomes and clearly 84 report SMA components so key behavioural ingredients can be identified. Similarly, 85 transparent approaches to measuring costs would improve comparability between studies. 86 To better understand SMA's potential to reduce demand on healthcare services, further 87 investigation is needed as to how SMAs can be best incorporated in the patient care 88 pathway.

89

90 **PROSPERO protocol registration:** *CRD42020173084*

- 91
- 92 299/300
- 93
- 94
- 95
- 96
- 97
- 98
- 99
- 100
- 100
- 101
- 102

105	
104	STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS
105	Focus on randomised controlled trials, highest quality evidence of the effectiveness
106	of SMAs in primary care for long term conditions
107	Robust search strategy, based on previous high-quality review; refined by
108	information specialists to focus on primary care
109	Rapidly evolving area of practice and publications and the most recent evidence may
110	be missing.
111	Small number of studies reported resource use and costs limiting conclusions
112	regarding efficacy of SMAs in primary care.
113	
114	FUNDING
115	This paper is independent research commissioned and funded by the NIHR PRU in
116	Behavioural Science (Award: PR-PRU-1217-20501). The views expressed in this publication
117	are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the National Institute for
118	Health Research, the Department of Health and Social Care or its arm's length bodies, and
119	other Government Departments. The funders had no role in the design of the study,
120	collection, analysis or interpretation of data or in the writing of the manuscript.
121	
122	COMPETING INTERESTS
123	None to declare.
124	
125	CHECKLIST
126	See supplementary material for PRISMA checklist.
127	
128	
129	
130	
131	
132	
133	
134	
135	
136	
137	
138	

139

140 **INTRODUCTION**

141 Shared Medical Appointments (SMAs), also known as group consultations, are a model of 142 care with the potential to address the interlinked challenges of limited capacity in primary 143 care and rising prevalence of patients with multiple long-term conditions (LTCs)[1.2]. SMAs 144 are longer appointments (typically 60-120 minutes) whereby patients with the same LTCs 145 meet with their physician together[3]. SMAs are typically co-led and/or facilitated by 146 healthcare professionals, such as nurses, pharmacists, psychologists, and physiotherapists. 147 The group typically consists of between 6-15 patients and may include family members and 148 caregivers[4,5]. There are various models of SMA but generally they retain some features of 149 a standard one-to-one appointment such as physical examinations and personalised review 150 of medical charts[2]. In addition, SMAs provide participants an opportunity to ask questions 151 of clinicians and other patient and receive formal education and counselling during the group 152 session. SMAs have been used to deliver care for a range of health conditions including 153 diabetes, hypertension, and chronic pain; though there is potential for wider application, 154 including multimorbidity[6].

155

156 A recent synthesis of qualitative literature found that most patients and primary care 157 practitioners regarded SMAs positively[6]. Key benefits included improved patient self-158 confidence and motivation for self-management; whilst practitioners felt that SMAs had the 159 potential to provide a more efficient and effective way of delivering care[7]. Previous reviews 160 of effectiveness were inconclusive but evidence, largely from the United States (US) and 161 Australia, reported a promising effect of SMAs for some biomedical measures. For example, 162 improvements in glycated haemoglobin A1C (HbA1C) and systolic blood pressure (SBP) 163 were greater in patients attending SMAs compared to usual care for diabetes[8,9]. However, 164 previous reviews include studies that evaluate the use of SMAs in secondary care settings 165 as follow-up appointments to specialist treatment [4]. A mixed-methods review of SMAs in 166 primary care settings for non-specialist treatment concluded that SMAs can yield 167 improvements in patient satisfaction and some biophysical markers of disease [5]. However, 168 this review conducted in 2015 included studies of SMAs for non-LTCs. It remains unclear 169 whether SMAs are effective in supporting improved ongoing management of LTCs in 170 primary care.

171

This review examined the effects of SMAs delivered in primary care on health outcomes and
healthcare service use in patients with LTCs. We sought to answer two overarching
research questions:

- Are SMAs effective in improving health outcomes for patients with one or more
 long-term conditions?
- Do SMAs reduce healthcare service use by patients with one or more long term conditions?

180 **METHOD**

- This systematic review follows Cochrane Handbook Guidance[10] and the Preferred
 Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines[11].
- 183

184 **Protocol and registration**

This study was registered on PROSPERO (<u>CRD42020173084</u>). Regarding protocol changes, we proposed coding of Behaviour Change Techniques Taxonomy V1 (BCTTv1)[12] used in SMAs and associated with changes in outcomes. However, most included studies did not report the required detail and so instead we narratively described this information.

190

191 Inclusion/exclusion criteria

- 192 Studies were included if they met the criteria outlined in Table 1. The focus of this review
- 193 was on models of SMAs that include one-to-one time for every patient in attendance as per
- the description of group consultations reported previously [1,2].
- 195
- 196 Table 1: Inclusion and exclusion criteria

PICOS Criterion	Description
Population	Adult patients (\geq 18 years of age) with one or more long-term conditions who have attended at least one shared medical appointment (SMA) in a primary care setting were eligible for inclusion.
	Patients in primary care settings who were seen by a secondary care specialist during the SMA were excluded.
Intervention (Model of care)	All countries were eligible for inclusion. SMAs/group consultations/group visits conducted in primary care setting, delivered by a primary care healthcare professional (e.g. nurse, doctor, pharmacist), were eligible for inclusion.
	The present review considered SMAs to be clinical encounters in which groups of patients with the same/similar long-term condition meet with a healthcare professional for routine care.
Comparison/control	The SMA must have included one-to-one time for every patient in attendance. Therefore, peer support groups were excluded. No restrictions – usual care, active control (e.g., another SMA model).
Outcomes	Behavioural outcomes – e.g., healthcare utilisation, physical activity, medication adherence. Disease-specific measures – e.g., Haemoglobin A1C (HbA1C). Biophysical health indicators – e.g., cholesterol, weight, BMI.

Description

Study design Only randomised controlled trials that were published in peer-reviewed			Cost/resource use.
	_	Study design	Only randomised controlled trials that were published in peer-reviewed journals were eligible for inclusion.

- 197
- 198

199 Search strategy

200 A comprehensive search strategy was developed, based on the approach described in 201 Booth et al.[13], to search for trials published after their search, namely the period 2013-202 2020. Key changes included the removal of the terms "group outpatient", "GMV" or "GMA", 203 "group processes" and "Group care" to improve the sensitivity and specificity of the search 204 (see S1). The search strategy was first used to search MEDLINE (via OVID) and then 205 translated for the following databases: EMBASE (via OVID), Science citation index (via Web 206 of Knowledge) Social Science Citation Index (via Web of Knowledge), Cumulative Index to 207 Nursing and Allied Health Literature (via EBSCOhost), Cochrane Central Register of 208 Controlled Trials (Wiley), DARE, NHS EED, and HTA (Centre for Reviews and 209 Dissemination). Any relevant pre-2013 trials identified by forward and backward citation 210 searches of the included trials, including those in relevant systematic reviews[4,6,14,15], 211 were also included in the review.

212

213 Screening

Screening and data extraction was facilitated using the systematic review management tool, Covidence[16]. Two reviewers independently screened all titles and abstracts against the inclusion criteria, and a third reviewer adjudicated any disagreements. This process was also applied to the screening of full-text papers.

218

219 Data extraction

Information relating to the study design, population, and intervention were extracted based on a framework on form of delivery[17] (e.g. experience/training of the providers and facilitators), outcomes, and results were extracted from all relevant papers using a data extraction form (see S2). All information was double-extracted by two researchers, with disagreements resolved through discussion or third-party moderation.

225

Where data were reported for several time-points, the data-point closest to the end of the SMA intervention was used to calculate the effect size as this would be when the largest effects attributable to the SMA is expected. If available, intention-to-treat data were used to calculate effect sizes.

231

232

233 **Quality assessment**

Two researchers independently assessed the quality of all included studies using the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool[18]. Percentages of judgements (high, low, or unclear) for each domain was calculated across the studies.

237

238 Data analysis/synthesis

We mapped all reported outcomes into the following categories agreed by the wider research team to best reflect SMA effectiveness and efficiency: health outcomes (biomedical indicators, psychological and well-being measures), healthcare utilisation and cost and resource use.

243

244 Meta-analyses were performed in StatalC 15. Given the heterogeneity between studies, a 245 random-effects model was used[19]. Meta-analyses were conducted where there were at 246 least two studies reporting a specific outcome [20]. Outcome effect sizes were calculated as 247 Cohen's d (standardised mean difference). Heterogeneity was assessed using Higgins I-248 Square (ℓ), whereby 50-90% was considered as representing substantial heterogeneity[21]. 249 Authors were contacted for additional information if data needed to calculate effect sizes 250 were not sufficiently reported in the published paper(s). Where this information could not be 251 obtained from authors, p-values and confidence intervals were used to calculate effect 252 sizes[10]. Only studies in which the comparator was usual care were pooled into the meta-253 analysis.

254

Using meta-regression, sensitivity analyses were conducted to explore whether resultsdiffered according to sources of bias identified from the risk of bias assessment.

257

258 Studies that were too heterogeneous to perform meta-analysis, and where the comparator 259 was not usual care, were synthesised narratively. Extracted data was tabulated by outcome 260 measure to enable comparisons and relationships across studies to be more easily 261 examined [22]. For each outcome measure, evidence of an effect was determined by the p-262 values reported in the papers. To assess the certainty of the evidence, number of study 263 participants, confidence intervals and the consistency of effects across studies, the risk of 264 bias of the studies, how directly the included studies address the planned question 265 (directness) were taken into consideration.

266

267 Patient and Public Involvement

- 268 The PRU BehSci Public Patient Involvement group provided their patient perspective about
- 269 outcome measures of interests.
- 270

271 **RESULTS**

- 272 <Figure 1 PRISMA diagram of study selection process here>
- 273

274 Characteristics of the included studies

- 275 Twenty-three unique trials (reported in thirty-four papers) were identified, for PRISMA details
- 276 see Figure 1 and Table 2. See S3 for list of included papers.

277 Table 2: Characteristics of included studies grouped by health condition(s)

Study	Condition(s)	Country	Setting	Sample size (N)	Model	Comparator
Scott (2004)[23] Coleman (2001)[24]	^Chronic conditions	USA	Large health maintenance organisation	295	CHCC model-'group visits'	Usual care
Gardiner (2017)[25] Gardiner (2019)[26]	Chronic pain and depression	USA	Community Health Centres serving low-income, racially and ethnically diverse populations	159	Integrated medical group visit	Usual care
Berry (2016)[27]	Diabetes (Type 2)	USA	Community-based health center serving low-income population who are working	80	Group visits	Usual care
Clancy 2003a[28] Clancy 2003b[29]	Diabetes (Type 2)	USA	University Primary Care Center serving uninsured or inadequately insured patients	120	CHCC model-'group visits'	Usual care
Clancy (2007a)[30] Clancy (2007b)[31] Clancy 2008[32]	Diabetes (Type 2)	USA	University Primary Care Center serving mainly minority, inadequately insured patients	186	CHCC model-'group visits'	Usual care
Cole (2013)[33]	Diabetes (Prediabetes)	USA	Military health system	65	SMA	Individual counselling
Drake (2018)[34]	Diabetes (Type 2)	USA	Family medicine centre	33	SMA + personalised health planning	SMA
Ee (2020)[35]	Diabetes (Type 2)	Australia	University primary care center	18	SMA	Usual care
Liu (2012)[36]	Diabetes (Type 2)	China	General practices	208	CHCC model-'group visits'	Usual care
Naik (2011)[37]	Diabetes (Type 2)	USA	Veterans Affairs Medical Centres	87	Group clinic	**Enhanced usual care
Schillinger (2008)[38] Schillinger (2009)[39] Wallace (2013)[40]	Diabetes (Type 2)	USA	University affiliated-Safety net settings- community health network	339	Group medical visit	Automated telephone self- management Usual care
Vaughan (2017)[41]	Diabetes (Prediabetes/ Type 2)	USA	Community health clinic serving low-income Hispanic adults	62	Group visit	After 6 months, the control group received the intervention
Vaughan (2020)[42]	Diabetes (Type 2)	USA	Community health clinic serving low-income Hispanic adults	89	Group visits	Usual care

Page 9 of 25

Wagner (2001)[43]	Diabetes (Type 1 & 2)	USA	Primary care practices in health maintenance organisation	708	Chronic care clinic	Usual care
Cohen (2011)[44]	Diabetes (Type 2) and cardiovascular risk	USA	Veterans Association Medical Center	99	SMA programme (2 phases)	Usual care
Taveira (2014)[45]	Diabetes and cardiovascular risk	USA	Veterans Association Medical Center	200	Group medical visit	CRRC Individual clinic
Wu (2018)[46]	Diabetes (Type 2) and cardiovascular risk	USA	Veterans Health Administration Hospital primary care services	250	Group medical visit	Usual care
Taveira (2011)[47]	Diabetes (Type 2) and depression	USA	Veterans Affairs Medical Centres	88	SMA/ Group medical appointment	Usual care
Yancy (2020) [48] Crowley (2017)[49]	Diabetes (Type 2) and overweight	USA	Veterans Association Medical Center	263	Group medical visit with IWM	Group medical visit
Edelman (2010)[50] Crowley (2013)[51] Crowley (2014)[52] Eisenberg (2019)[53]	Diabetes (Type 2) and hypertension	USA	Veterans Association Medical Center	239	Group clinic	Usual care
Gao (2015)[54]	Hypertension	China	Community Health Care Centre	1346	CHCC model+ 'group visits'	Usual care
Simon (2015)[55]	Hypertension	Germany	Physician practices	48	CHCC model 'group medical visit'	Usual care
Baqir (2020)[56]	Osteoporosis	UK	General practices	158	Group consultation	Usual care

Multiple papers for the same trial were found therefore we bolded the one used as the index paper throughout the rest of the paper. ^Chronic conditions (including asthma, COPD, heart failure, diabetes, arthritis, deafness, blindness). **Enhanced usual care- patients required to attend 2 diabetes group education sessions. CRRC- Cardiovascular Risk Reduction Clinic, IWM- intensive weight management, SM- Self-management, SMA- Shared medical appointment, UC- usual care.

Fifteen trials (70%) were for a single LTC, of these: 12 were for diabetes[27,29,30,33– 38,41–43], two for hypertension[54,55]; and one was for osteoporosis[56]. Eight trials considered multiple LTCs: three were diabetes and hypertension/cardiovascular risk[44– 46,50]; one was diabetes and depression[47], one was for overweight patients with diabetes [48]; one was chronic pain and depression[26]; and one included multiple LTCs including: arthritis, hypertension, cancer, deafness and diabetes[23]. Overall, 20/23 (87%) of trials focused on patients with diabetes.

288

289 Eighteen trials (83%) were conducted in the US[23,26,27,29,30,33,34,37,38,41-48,50], two 290 in China[36,54] and one each in Australia[35], Germany[55] and the UK[56]. Eleven trials 291 were measured the effectiveness, impact or efficacy of SMAs compared to usual 292 care[23,26,27,30,33,36,37,42,43,46,50], Eight trials examined feasibility 293 parameters [28, 34, 35, 38, 41, 42, 47, 54], and two trials were non-inferiority/superiority 294 trials[48,49,56].

295

296 In eight trials (35%) participants were veterans or military personnel[33,37,44-48,50]. 297 Participants were from low-income communities in four trials[26,27,41,42], and uninsured 298 communities in three US trials[29,30,38]. Two trials were tailored for non-English speaking 299 participants, where written materials were available in Spanish[38,42]. The majority of 300 participants were over 50 years old, the mean age of participants ranged between 301 50.5[25,26] to 74 years old[56]. Two trials were specifically for older patients over 55 or 60 302 years respectively [23,55], and two trials excluded patients over 75[48] and 80 years [36]. 303 Twelve trials (52%) had a majority of female participants [23, 27, 28, 36, 41, 42, 54, 56]. Ten trials 304 had majority of male participants[33-35,37,44-48,50]. One trial did not report the gender 305 balance of participants[55]. Five studies had a majority White population[26,33,43,46,47], six 306 trials had a majority Black population [27,29,30,34,48,50], two trials had a majority Hispanic 307 population[41,42], two trials had a majority Asian population[35,36], and one trial had a 308 majority White-Latino population [38]. Five studies did not report the ethnicity of 309 participants[23,44,54-56].

310

Most trials (*n*=17, 78%) had a two-arm design that compared SMAs with usual care, typically a one-to-one (1:1) appointment with primary care physician[23,26,27,29,30,35,36,41– 47,50,54,56]. In three other two-arm trials, the comparator was a 1:1 appointment plus two diabetes group education sessions[37], an SMA without an integrated weight management programme[48] or an SMA with a personal health planning component (PHP)[34]. Two trials had a delayed six-month waitlist control design[41,42]. Two trials had a three-arm design: one examined the effectiveness of a cardiovascular risk reduction clinic compared with

318 group medical visits and usual care[45]; and a second compared an automated telephone 319 self-management service with SMAs and usual care[38]. Where descriptions of usual care 320 were available, usual care was delivered by a primary care provider, typically a physician/GP 321 or nurse practitioner [57-62]and, in some cases, a pharmacist [62,63]or dietician [64]. A 322 review of medication and chronic disease monitoring (e.g., measures of blood pressure and 323 HbA1c) commonly took place in these sessions [58,59,63,65,66]. In some of the SMAs for 324 diabetes, the usual care sessions included some form of individualised diabetes self-325 management education[58,62,64] or referrals were made available to see a diabetes 326 educator dietician [59,67].

327

328 SMA components and mode of delivery

There was much variation in the SMAs models reported by studies (see S9 for detailed description). Key features of SMA models were: facilitated group discussion or group question and answer session (15 trials)[19,22,25,26,29,30,32–34,37–39,40,43,46,50], 'group education' (14 trials)[19,23,25,31–33,37,38,42,43,44,46,50], and the opportunity to socialise (11 trials)[19,22,25,26,29,32,34,37,38,46,50].

334

335 SMAs were delivered face-to-face in all trials, although three SMA models included digital 336 technologies, namely website and telephone support[26] and phone calls or text message 337 support and/or reminders[41,42]. In three trials[37,45,56] SMAs were delivered by a single 338 healthcare professional though mostly they were delivered by multidisciplinary teams. 339 Professionals most commonly involved were family physicians[23,27,28,34-340 38,43,48,50,54,55], nurse practitioners[27,30-33,36,48,49] or nurses[23,24,43,44,46,50-341 54]. It was not always possible to tell what role each member of staff had in the delivery of 342 the SMA. Provider characteristics other than profession or role were rarely reported, though 343 two trials involving a majority Hispanic/Latino participants reported that the community health 344 worker and or physician were bilingual[38,42]. Four trials reported that the same 345 interventionists attended all visits for a particular group[44,48,50,55]. One trial of diabetes 346 SMAs reported that group assignments were maintained for all SMAs to facilitate peer 347 interactions and relationships within groups[37]. The consistency in group composition in 348 terms of patient and interventionists attending each session was not reported by most 349 studies.

350

Trial outcome measures varied across trials though most included biomedical indicators, psychological and well-being measures, healthcare service use and cost and resource use.

353 Full details of all outcome measures reported by the studies are presented in S4.

355	
356	Risk of bias
357	Risk of bias item across the studies was generally low across the items, except for 'Blinding
358	of participants and personnel' (83% of trials high, 17% unclear) (See S5).
359 360	Sensitivity analyses
361	There were no differences for any of the outcomes according to the risk of bias assessment
362	criteria relating to random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding,
363	incomplete outcome data, and selected reporting (see S5).
364	
365	Effectiveness of SMAs
366	
367	Biomedical indicators
368	
369	Glycated haemoglobin A1C (HbA1c) (%)
370	Of the 14 trials measuring HbA1c (%) which compared SMA to usual care, nine
371	trials[27,30,35,38,42,45-47] were included in a meta-analysis. No statistically significant
372	difference between SMAs and usual care was found for HbA1c (%) at follow-up (d=-0.098,
373	95%Cl = -0.34, 0.14, n=9) (p=0.420) (see Figure 2a). Substantial heterogeneity was
374	observed (l^2 = 70.9%). Of four other SMA trials reporting HbA1c (%) but not in the meta-
375	analysis[29,43,44,50], only one reported significant between group differences, whereby the
376	SMA group had significantly higher odds of attaining HbA1c goals (< 7%) compared to usual
377	care[27]. However, this was a high risk of bias study, scoring 'unclear' across the six
378	domains.
379	
380	Diastolic blood pressure
381	Of 11 studies which reported diastolic blood pressure (DBP)[27,30,33-
382	36,38,41,42,45,50,54], eight were included in meta-analysis[27,33,35,38,41,42,45,54]. A
383	very small statistically significant pooled effect was found at follow-up ($d=-0.123$, $95\%CI = -$
384	0.22, -0.03, $n=8$) (p=0.008), whereby participants in the SMA group had lower DBP than
385	those in usual care (see Figure 2b).
386	
387	Of the three studies not included in the meta-analysis[30,36,50], one trial of SMAs for
388	diabetes and hypertension reported that mean DBP was lower in the SMA group (78.3
389	mmHg) than in the usual care group (82.1 mmHg) at 12 months[50].
390	
391	Systolic blood pressure

Of 13 trials reporting SBP[27,30,33,35,36,38,41,42,44–46,50,54], nine could be metaanalysed[27,33,35,38,41,42,45,46,54]. No statistically significant difference between SMAs and usual care was found for SBP at follow-up (d=-0.018, 95%*Cl* = -0.11, 0.08, *n*=9) (*p*=0.709), with lows level of heterogeneity observed (t^2 = 3.8%) (see Figure 2c). Of the four trials not in the meta-analysis[30,36,44,50], two moderately robust studies reported statistically significant between group differences in SBP at follow-up[36,50], whereby the SMA group showed greater decreases in SBP compared to usual care.

399

400 No statistically significant effect of SMAs compared to usual care was found for other
401 biomedical health outcomes including: total cholesterol, high density lipoprotein cholesterol,
402 low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, weight, and BMI (see S6).

403

404 Trials with non-usual care comparators

405 Three trials had enhanced SMAs as their comparator[34,37,48], all of which found greater 406 reductions in the enhanced SMA group compared to the standard SMA group [64,68,69]. 407 Drake et al. (2018) reported that there significantly greater improvements in HbA1c (%) were 408 observed in the PHP SMA group compared to the standard SMA group at follow-up[68]. 409 Naik et al. (2011) found that HbA1c (%) was significantly lower in the SMA group than the 410 traditional diabetes group education group immediately following the active interventions at 411 three months, and the between group differences remained clinically and statistically 412 significant at one year follow-up[64]. Yancy et al. (2020) found that the mean reduction in 413 HbA1c (%) was significantly greater in the enhanced SMA group compared to the standard 414 GMV group at 16 and 32 weeks. However, at 48 weeks, no between group differences in 415 HbA1c (%) were observed[69].

416

Further, Drake et al., (2018) reported that participants in the PHP SMA group had lower DBP (*M*=86 mmHg) at 8 months follow-up compared to participants in the standard SMA group (*M*=79.8 mmHg)[34]. Yancy et al. (2020) reported patient weight loss in the SMAs with intensive weight management was comparable to weight loss amongst patients attending SMAs but statistical significance was unclear[48]. It is possible that other studies could not detect statistically significant differences between arms due to small sample sizes.

423

424 <Insert Figure 2 a,b,c, here>

425

426 Psychological and well-being measures

427

428 Quality of life

429 Six trials reported quality of life (QoL) outcomes[23,26,35,38,44,46] of which two trials 430 reported significant between group differences[23,38]. One trial of SMAs for chronically ill 431 patients with multiple LTCs found that participants in the SMA group (M=7.2, SD=1.8) 432 reported significantly better QoL than the usual care group (M=6.3, SD=2.0) (p=.002) at 24 433 months[23]. Schillinger et al., (2008) measured QoL using the short form (SF)-12 instrument 434 which comprised of mental health and physical health subscales. Improvements in SF-12 435 mental health was observed for SMA group compared to SMA (effect size=0.31, p=0.03) 436 and usual care (effect size=0.18, p=0.2)[38]. However, this was considered as a high risk of 437 bias study, with high/unclear judgements across four out of six domains.

438

439 Patient satisfaction

Four trials measured patient satisfaction[23,34,43,56]. Scott et al. (2004) reported significant
differences at follow-up, with SMA patients reporting higher satisfaction with practitioner
discussions compared to controls[23]. The other three studies found no between group
differences.

444

445 Patient self-efficacy

Self-efficacy was measured in nine trials[23,27,36,38,44,47,50,54], of which five studies were included in meta-analysis[23,26,27,38,54]. No statistically significant effect was found (d=0.167, 95%Cl = -0.08, 0.41, n=5) (p=0.182) (See S7). High levels of heterogeneity were observed (l^2 =78.9%). Of the four other studies not included in meta-analysis[36,44,47,50], two reported that SMA patients had significant improvements in self-efficacy to manage diabetes compared to usual care[36,50].

452

453 No statistically significant effect of SMAs compared to usual care was found for other454 depression, the only other psychological and well-being measure identified (see S7).

455

456 Trials with non-usual care comparator

457 Drake et al. (2018) reported significant improvements in self-efficacy, as measured using the
458 Diabetes Empowerment Scale, for the PHP SMA group compared to the standard SMA
459 group[30]. Naik et al. (2011) did not find any differences in diabetes self-efficacy scores
460 between the SMA group and the traditional diabetes group education group[37].

- 461
- 462 Healthcare service utilisation
- 463
- 464 Hospital admissions

Seven trials reported hospital admissions within six to 24 months[23,27,29,43,45,47,50] and three were included in a meta-analysis[23,27,45]. There was no difference between SMAs and usual care in terms of hospital admissions at follow-up (d=-0.016, 95%Cl = -0.38, 0.35, n=3; (p=0.931) (see Figure 3a). Substantial heterogeneity was observed (l^2 = 71.1%). None of the other four trials[29,43,47,50] reported significant between group differences for hospital admissions at follow-up.

472

473 Emergency department use

474 Of eight relevant trials[23,26,27,29,43,45,47,50], four were included in a meta-475 analysis[23,27,43,45]. No difference between SMAs and usual care was found for 476 admissions to emergency departments at follow-up (d=-0.083, 95%CI = -0.30, 0.13, n=4, 477 p=0.453 (Figure 3b). Considerable heterogeneity was observed (l^2 = 61.7%).

478

479 Of four trials not in the meta-analysis [26,29,47,50], only Edelman et al. (2010) reported 480 significant between group differences in emergency department use favouring SMAs with 481 0.4 (95% CI = 0.20, 0.70) fewer emergency care visits than the usual care group over the 12-482 month study period [50].

483

484 *Primary care visits*

Four trials reported the number of primary care visits participants made during the study period[23,43,47,50]. Three were pooled in a meta-analysis[23,43,47] showing no statistically significant difference (d=0.034, 95%CI = -0.09, 0.16, n=3, p=0.575) (see Figure 3c).

488

Edelman et al. (2010), which could not be included into the meta-analysis, reported that
SMA participants had significantly fewer primary care visits than controls (5.3 vs. 6.2 per
patient-year) at 12 months[50].

492

493 No statistically significant effect of SMAs compared to usual care were found for other
494 behavioural outcomes including medication adherence and physical activity (see S8)

495

496 <Insert Figure 3a,b,c here>

497

498 Cost and resource use

499 Few studies reported the costs involved in the delivery of the SMA and those that did were

500 unclear about cost parameters (i.e whether scheduling and preparation time was included or

501 not) or how the costs were attributed. One trial of diabetes SMAs reported that overall costs

502 per patient were higher in SMAs than those in usual care group for the study period of six 503 months[29]. However, another trial found no significant difference between SMA and usual 504 care in terms of total costs incurred during the 24 months study period, but showed a 505 positive effect of the SMA at 13 months post-study where cost decreased by 6% for the SMA 506 but increased by 13% for usual care p < 0.01[43]. The SMA trial for osteoporosis reported that 507 the costs incurred during the study period were lower for the SMA group compared to control 508 groups[56]. A trial of chronic condition SMAs (reported that total costs incurred by the SMA 509 group were lower than the usual care group[23].

510

511

512 **DISCUSSION**

513 Our systematic review identified 23 unique RCTs comparing SMAs for one or more LTCs to 514 usual care or an enhanced SMA. We found that SMAs significantly improved diastolic blood 515 pressure for diabetes patients. In line with the findings of previous reviews[15], no harm was 516 observed for the use of SMAs across these outcomes and there was not enough evidence of 517 an effect on healthcare service use compared to usual care. This indicates that whilst SMAs 518 may not be superior to usual care in terms of most health outcomes or reducing demand on 519 services, they do not appear to increase demand at least in the short-term. Evidence 520 reporting costs is too heterogeneous to draw firm conclusions.

521

522 Comparison with previous literature

Like previous reviews of SMAs for LTCs[4,15,70], more than half of the included RCTs included patients with diabetes, and as such the most commonly reported outcome measure was HbA1C. However, unlike previous reviews[71,72], we did not observe any significant improvements in HbA1c. This may be because previous reviews included trials in secondary care. Our meta-analysis showed that SMA participants had lower DBP compared to patients who received usual care. [54]

529

530 Previous systematic reviews have been inconclusive with regards to the impact of SMAs on 531 healthcare utilisation. Edelman et al.'s (2012) review [72] of SMAs for patients with chronic 532 medical conditions in older adults found a lower pattern of healthcare utilisation, whilst Booth 533 et al. (2015)[4] reported a mixed pattern of changes. Our meta-analyses show that SMAs do 534 not differ from usual care in terms of healthcare utilisation. There is no evidence in the 535 present data to suggest that patients compensate for a lack of privacy by returning to 536 primary care or that they risk hospitalisation because issues are not adequately addressed 537 during the SMA session(s). However, it should be noted that the key source of bias across 538 the included studies was the lack of blinding of participants and personnel. Therefore,

possible selection bias may result in recruitment of SMA participants with less concern aboutsharing their personal/medical information.

541 In comparison to biomedical outcomes and psychological outcomes, healthcare service use 542 and costs and resource use and other behavioural outcomes were less frequently reported 543 by studies. This echoes the findings of Edelman et al. (2015)[46] which found there to be 544 limited data on key patient-centred outcomes such as patient satisfaction. Behavioural 545 outcomes such as medication adherence are important across many LTCs and are key to 546 understanding how patients are self-managing their conditions. In line with Kelly et al.'s 547 (2017)[12] recommendation, future studies should report outcome effectiveness measures 548 that are common or comparable across different LTCs such as physical activity, self-549 efficacy, medication adherence, and quality of life. It would be advantageous to agree a 550 Core Outcome Set (COS), consisting of a standardised group of outcomes, to be reported 551 by all SMA trials. This can help with future evaluations of SMAs through reducing 552 heterogeneity and facilitating meta-analysis and ensuring that outcome measures are 553 relevant to key stakeholders[73].

554

555 Strengths and limitations

556 Although previous reviews have explored the effectiveness of SMAs in improving health 557 outcomes, this review provides a focus on primary care which is key to managing LTCs. We 558 found ten additional trials with 1160 participants since the comprehensive work by Booth et 559 al. (2015)[4] indicating a rapidly growing field. We used robust methods whereby our search 560 strategy was developed with input from Information Specialists through an iterative process 561 and key stages of the review (including screening, data extraction, and quality appraisal) 562 were undertaken independently by two reviewers. We included studies regardless of type of 563 LTC so that we were able to summarise all the available evidence on effectiveness of SMAs 564 for LTCs in primary care in one analysis. However, evidence of an effect was determined by 565 p = < .0.5 in the papers. This assumes that studies were adequately powered, which may not 566 be the case, particularly for some of the secondary outcomes of the included studies.

567

568 Limitations of evidence base and implications for future research

Despite using a form of delivery framework to extract relevant study information[17], some important contextual factors, such characteristics of the healthcare professionals delivering the SMA, may not have been captured as this information was missing from the authors' descriptions of the SMAs. Similar components may be described differently by different authors or, conversely, similar descriptions are used to describe different components. Using standardised taxonomies for describing form of delivery and intervention content when designing the intervention/SMA content could help to identify important behavioural

576 components and key implementation processes that contribute to intervention effectiveness, 577 allowing for replication. However, for this to be possible, it is also important that 578 interventionists clearly specify which target behaviours (e.g. to increase physical activity) the 579 SMAs aim to change. None of the included studies included measures of fidelity which is 580 also important for determining whether the session(s) are delivered as intended to achieve 581 optimum effects[74]. Further, theoretical underpinnings were lacking in the included SMA 582 interventions, making it difficult to identify 'mechanisms of action' through which 583 interventions bring about change[75]. Future SMAs interventions should be theory-based 584 and be explicit in reporting its theoretical underpinnings.

585

586 Where multiple healthcare professionals are involved in the SMA, their key role and purpose 587 in the SMA were rarely clearly defined. There was also limited reporting on the composition 588 of the SMA groups across some of the included studies (i.e. how patients were selected for 589 recruitment and size). Therefore, it is unclear which groups of patients might benefit from 590 attending the same SMAs together and what implications SMAs may have for intervention-591 generated health inequalities. One third of the included studies were conducted on US 592 veterans and one third of studies have involved participants from low-income/uninsured 593 population groups. Generalisability of these groups to other healthcare settings in other 594 countries is unclear. There needs to be further examination into how SMAs have been 595 implemented into typical NHS practice. It was envisaged that "they are not an addition to 596 one-to-one appointments – they replace them." (p.65, Clay & Stern, 2015). However, there is 597 anecdotal evidence that SMAs are being used in addition to usual care models of chronic 598 disease management rather than as replacements. Further investigations into SMAs for 599 patients with one or more LTCs is required, including a wider variety of LTCs (such as 600 asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) and with more diverse population 601 groups. For example, including low-income and disadvantaged groups in other countries, 602 including the UK.

603

604 Conclusions

This review is the first to examine the effects of SMAs delivered in primary care on health outcomes and healthcare service use in patients with one or more LTCs. Our review suggests that SMAs are unlikely to result in less favourable outcomes to patients with LTCs compared to usual care. To identify key intervention components that contribute to effectiveness, future studies will benefit from using standardised taxonomies to report intervention content. The use of an evaluation framework, with a core outcome set, is recommended to improve evidence in this field.

- 613
- 614
- 615
- 616

617 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

618 EK and FS designed the study. MYT, FG, CR, and FB developed the search strategy and 619 undertook the searches. FG and MYT carried out the screening, data extraction, and 620 analysis. All authors contributed to the interpretation. MYT and FG wrote the manuscript that 621 all authors contributed to and approved.

622 623 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

624 We would like to thank the PRU BehSci Public Patient Involvement group for providing their 625 patient perspective about outcome measures of interests. Professor Kaner is supported via 626 an NIHR Senior Investigator award.

627

628 ETHICS STATEMENT

Ethical approval was not required due to the present study being a systematic review. 630

631 AVAILABILITY OF DATA

As this study is a systematic review, all data reported has been previously published and isin the public domain.

- 634
- 635

636 SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

637 PROSPERO PROTOCOL

638 PRISMA checklist

639 Supplementary File 1- Search strategy for Ovid MEDLINE

640 Supplementary File 2- Data Extraction Form

641 Supplementary File 3- List of included peer-reviewed papers

642 Supplementary File 4- Outcomes reported by studies

643 Supplementary File 5- Quality assessment of included studies with sensitivity analyses

644 Supplementary File 6- Additional biomedical measures examined with forest plots

- 645 Supplementary File 7- Additional psychological and well-being measures examined with 646 forest plots
- 647 Supplementary File 8- Additional behavioural outcomes examined with forest plots
- 648 Supplementary File 9- SMA delivery, dose and components
- 649
- 650
- 651
- 652

653

654

655

656

657 658

659

660

661

- 663
- 664
- 665
- 666 667

668 669 670		
672	DEEE	
672		Clov H. Storn R. Making time in general practice: freeing CR conseity by reducing
674	1	burgaucracy and avoidable consultations, managing the interface with bospitals and
675		ovploring now wove of working 2015
676	2	Havboo B Verma A Kumar S Shared medical appointments <i>BML (Online)</i>
677	2	2017: 358 :10_1_doi:10.1136/bmi i/03/
678	3	Iones T. Darzi A. Egger G. et al. Process and Systems: A systems approach to
679	5	embedding group consultations in the NHS. Future Healthcare Journal 2010;6:8–16
680		doi:10.7861/futurehosp.6-1-8
681	4	Booth A Cantrell A Preston L et al What is the evidence for the effectiveness
682	7	appropriateness and feasibility of group clinics for patients with chronic conditions? A
683		systematic review Health Services and Delivery Research 2015:3:1–194
684		doi:10.3310/hsdr03460
685	5	Clav H. Stern R. Making Time in General Practice, 2015.
686	U U	https://www.primarycarefoundation.co.uk/images/PrimaryCareFoundation/Downloadin
687		g Reports/PCF Press Releases/Making-Time-
688		in General Practice FULL REPORT 28 10 15.pdf
689	6	Wadsworth KH, Archibald TG, Payne AE, et al. Shared medical appointments and
690		patient-centered experience: A mixed-methods systematic review. BMC Family
691		Practice 2019;20:1–13. doi:10.1186/s12875-019-0972-1
692	7	Graham F, Tang MY, Jackson K, et al. Barriers and facilitators to implementation of
693		shared medical appointments in primary care for the management of long-term
694		conditions: a systematic review and synthesis of qualitative studies. BMJ Open
695		2021; 11 :e046842. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-046842
696	8	Edelman D, Gierisch JM, McDuffie JR, et al. Shared Medical Appointments for
697		Patients with Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review. Journal of General Internal
698	-	<i>Medicine</i> 2015; 30 :99–106. doi:10.1007/s11606-014-2978-7
699	9	Booth A, Cantrell A, Preston L, et al. What is the evidence for the effectiveness,
700		appropriateness and feasibility of group clinics for patients with chronic conditions? A
701		systematic review. Health Services and Delivery Research 2015;3:1–194.
702	10	dol:10.3310/hsdr03460
703	10	Higgins J, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al. Systematic Reviews of Interventions. In:
704		Deeks J, Higgins J, Allman D, eds. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of
705	11	Page MI McKenzie JE Ressult DM et al The PPISMA 2020 statement: An undated
700		quideline for reporting systematic reviews. The RM12021:372 doi:10.1136/bmi.p71
708	12	Michie S. Richardson M. Johnston M. et al. The behavior change technique taxonomy
709	12	(v1) of 93 hierarchically clustered techniques: Building an international consensus for
710		the reporting of behavior change interventions. Annals of Behavioral Medicine
711		2013: 46 :81–95. doi:10.1007/s12160-013-9486-6
712	13	Booth A. Cantrell A. Preston L. <i>et al.</i> What is the evidence for the effectiveness.
713		appropriateness and feasibility of group clinics for patients with chronic conditions? A
714		systematic review. Health Services and Delivery Research 2015;3:1–194.
715		doi:10.3310/hsdr03460
716	14	Kirsh SR, Aron DC, Johnson KD, et al. A realist review of shared medical
717		appointments: How, for whom, and under what circumstances do they work? BMC
718		Health Services Research 2017;17:1–13. doi:10.1186/s12913-017-2064-z
719	15	Kelly F, Liska C, Morash R, et al. Shared medical appointments for patients with a
720		nondiabetic physical chronic illness: A systematic review. Chronic Illness 2019;15:3–
721		26. doi:10.1177/1742395317731608
722	16	Veritas Health Innovation. Covidence systematic review software. 2021.

 ingredient in behaviour change interventions. <i>British Journal of Health Psychology</i> 2016;21:733–40. doi:10.1111/bjh.pri.2203 Higgins JPT, Altman DG, Getzsche PC, <i>et al.</i> The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. <i>BMJ (Online)</i> 2011;343:1–9. doi:10.1136/bmj.d5928 Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. CRD's guidance for undertaking reviews in health care. York: 2009. Valentine JC, Pigott TD, Rothstein HR. How many studies do you need? A primer on statistical power for meta-analysis. <i>Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics</i> 2010;35:215–47. doi:10.3102/1076998609346961 Deeks J, Higgins J, Altman D. Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In: Higgins J. Thomas J. Chandler J, <i>et al.</i>, eds. <i>Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions</i>. Cochrane 2021. Popay J, Roberts H, Sowden A, <i>et al.</i> Educator on the Conduct of Narrative Synthesis in Systematic Reviews A Product from the ESRC Methods Programme Peninsula Medical School, Universities of Exeter and Plymouth. 2006. Scott JC, Conner DA, Venohr I, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of a group outpatient visit model for chronically ill older health maintenance organization members: A 2-year randomized trial of the Cooperative Health Care Clinic. <i>J Am Geriat Soc</i> 2004;52:1463–70. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52408.x Coleman EA, Elertsen TB, Kramer AM, <i>et al.</i> Reducing emergency visits in older adults with chronic illness. A randomized, controlled trial of group visits. <i>Eff Clin Pract</i> 2001;4:49–571. Gardiner P, Lestoquoy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, <i>et al.</i> Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depression. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> 2017;54:25–35. doi:10.1016/j.cc.2016.12.013 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic p	723	17	Dombrowski SU, O'Carroll RE, Williams B. Form of delivery as a key 'active
 2016;21:733–40. doi:10.1111/bjp.1203 Higgins JPT, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC, <i>et al.</i> The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. <i>BMJ (Online)</i> 2011;343:1–9. doi:10.1136/bmj.d5928 Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. CRD's guidance for undertaking reviews in health care. York: 2009. Valentine JC, Pigott TD, Rothstein HR. How many studies do you need? A primer on statistical power for meta-analysis. <i>Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics</i> 2010;35:216–47. doi:10.1302/17G998609346961 Deeks J, Higgins J, Altman D, Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In: Higgins J, Thomas J, Chandler J, <i>et al.</i>, eds. <i>Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions</i>. Cochrane 2021. Popay J, Roberts H, Sowden A, <i>et al.</i> Guidance on the Conduct of Narrative Synthesis in Systematic Reviews A Product from the ESRC Methods Programme Peninsula Medical School, Universities of Exter and Plymouth. 2006. Scott JC, Conner DA, Venohr I, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of a group outpatient visit model for chronically ill older health maintenance organization members: A 2-year randomized trial of the Cooperative Hack Cace Clinic. <i>J Am Geriatr Soc</i> 2004;52:1463–70. doi:10.1111/j.15325415.2004.52408.x Coleman EA, Eilertsen TB, Kramer AM, <i>et al.</i> Reducing emergency visits in older adults with chronic illness. A randomized, controlled trial of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Gardiner P, Lestoquoy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, <i>et al.</i> Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Gardiner P,	724		ingredient' in behaviour change interventions. British Journal of Health Psychology
 Higgins JPT, Altman DG, Getzsche PC, <i>et al.</i> The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. <i>BMJ (Online)</i> 2011;343:1–9. doi:10.1136/bmj.d5928 Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. CRD's guidance for undertaking reviews in health care. York: 2009. Valentine JC, Pigott TD, Rothstein HR. How many studies do you need? A primer on statistical power for meta-analysis. <i>Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics</i> 2010;35:215–47. doi:10.3102/1076998609346961 Deeks J, Higgins J, Altman D. Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In: Higgins J, Thomas J, Chandler J, <i>et al.</i>, eds. <i>Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions</i>. Cochrane 2021. Popay J, Roberts H, Sowden A, <i>et al.</i> Guidance on the Conduct of Narrative Synthesis in Systematic Reviews A Product from the ESRC Methods Programme Peninsula Medical School, Universities of Exeter and Plymouth. 2006. Scott JC, Conner DA, Venohr I, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of a group outpatient visit model for chronically ill older health maintenance organization members: A 2-year randomized trial of the Cooperative Health Care Clinic. J Am Geriar Soc 2004;52:1463–70. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52408 x Coleman EA, Eliertsen TB, Kramer AM, <i>et al.</i> Reducing emergency visits in older adults with chronic illness. A randomized, controlled trial of group visits. <i>Eff Clin Pract</i> 2011;4:49–47. Gardiner P, Lestoquoy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, <i>et al.</i> Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depression. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i>:2017;54:25–33. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2016.12.013 Gardiner P, Leu M, D'Amico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W,	725		2016; 21 :733–40. doi:10.1111/bjhp.12203
 assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. <i>BMJ (Online)</i> 2011;343:1–9. doi:10.1136/bmj.d592 Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. CRD's guidance for undertaking reviews in health care. York: 2009. Valentine JC, Pigott TD, Rothstein HR. How many studies do you need? A primer on statistical power for meta-analysis. <i>Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics</i> 2010;35:215–47. doi:10.1302/107698609346961 Deeks J, Higgins J, Altman D. Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In: Higgins J, Thomas J, Chandler J, <i>et al.</i>, eds. <i>Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions</i>. Cochrane 2021. Popay J, Roberts H, Sowden A, <i>et al.</i> Guidance on the Conduct of Narrative Synthesis in Systematic Reviews A Product from the ESRC. Methods Programme Peninsula Medical School, Universities of Exter and Plymouth. 2006. Sott JC, Conner DA, Venohr I, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of a group outpatient visit model for chronically ill older health maintenance organization members: A 2-year randomized trial of the Cooperative Health Care Clinic. <i>J Am Geriat Soc</i> 2004;52:1463–70. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52408.x Coleman EA, Eilertsen TB, Kramer AM, <i>et al.</i> Reducing emergency visits in older adults with chronic illness. A randomized, controlled trial of group visits. <i>Eff Clin Pract</i> 2001;4:49–57. Gardiner P, Lestoquy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, <i>et al.</i> Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trials 2017;54:25–35. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2016.12.013 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96–107. doi:10.1377/journal.pon	726	18	Higgins JPT, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for
 do::10.1136/mj.d5928 Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. CRD's guidance for undertaking reviews in health care. York: 2009. Valentine JC, Pigot TD, Rothstein HR. How many studies do you need? A primer on statistical power for meta-analysis. <i>Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics</i> 2010;35:215-47. doi:10.3102/107698609346961 Deeks J, Higgins J, Itmam D. Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In: Higgins J, Thomas J, Chandler J, <i>et al.</i>, eds. <i>Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions</i>. Cochrane 2021. Popay J, Roberts H, Sowden A, <i>et al.</i> Guidance on the Conduct of Narrative Synthesis in Systematic Reviews A Product from the ESRC Methods Programme Peninsula Medical School, Universities of Exeter and Plymouth. 2006. Scott JC, Conner DA, Venohr I, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of a group outpatient visit model for chronically III older health maintenance organization members: A 2-year randomized trial of the Cooperative Health Care Clinic. <i>J Am Geriatr Soc</i> 2004;52:1463-70. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52408.x Coleman EA, Eilertsen TB, Kramer AM, <i>et al.</i> Reducing emergency visits. <i>Ell Clin Pract</i> 2001;4:49-57. Gardiner P, Lestoquoy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, <i>et al.</i> Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depression. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> 2017;54:25-35. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2016.12.013 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depression symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;44:1-20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Imbeding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits in nunisured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>With Acade 2003;29:292-203.</i> doi:10.1177/014572170299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating concordan	727		assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ (Online) 2011;343:1–9.
 Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. CRD's guidance for undertaking reviews in health care. York: 2009. Valentine JC, Pigott TD, Rothstein HR. How many studies do you need? A primer on statistical power for meta-analysis. <i>Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics</i> 2010;35:215-47. doi:10.3102/1076998609346961 Deeks J, Higgins J, Altman D, Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In: Higgins J, Thomas J, Chandler J, <i>et al.</i>, eds. <i>Contrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions</i>. Cochrane 2021. Popay J, Roberts H, Sowden A, <i>et al.</i> Guidance on the Conduct of Narrative Synthesis in Systematic Reviews A Product from the ESRC Methods Programme Peninsula Medical School, Universities of Exeter and Plymouth. 2006. Sott JC, Conner DA, Venohr I, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of a group outpatient visit model for chronically ill older health maintenance organization members: A 2-year randomized trial of the Cooperative Health Care Clinic. <i>J Am Geriatr Soc</i> 2004;92:1463-70. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52406.x Coleman EA, Eilertsen TB, Kramer AM, <i>et al.</i> Reducing emergency visits in older adults with chronic illness. A randomized, controlled trial of group visits. <i>Elf Clin Pract</i> 2001;14:49-57. Gardiner P, Lusotyu AS, Gergen-Barnett K, <i>et al.</i> Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depression. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> 2017;54:25-35. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2016.12.013 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1-20. doi:10.11371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Ithedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032-6. doi:10.2037/diacare.26.7.2032 Clan	728	4.0	doi:10.1136/bmj.d5928
 Palentin Carle, York 2009. Valentine JC, Pigott TD, Rothstein HR. How many studies do you need? A primer on statistical power for meta-analysis. <i>Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics</i> 2010;35:215–47. doi:10.3102/1076998609346961 Deeks J, Higgins J, Altman D. Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In: Higgins J, Thomas J, Chandler J, <i>et al.</i>, eds. <i>Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions.</i> Cochrane 2021. Popay J, Roberts H, Sowden A, <i>et al.</i> Guidance on the Conduct of Narrative Synthesis in Systematic Reviews A Product from the ESRC Methods Programme Peninsula Medical School, Universities of Exeter and Plymouth. 2006. Scott JC, Conner DA, Venohr I, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of a group outpatient visit model for chronically ill older health maintenance organization members: A 2-year randomized trial of the Cooperative Health Care Clinic. <i>J Am Geriatr Soc</i> 2004;52:1463–70. doi:10.1111/1.1532-5415.2004.52408.x Coleman EA, Ellertsen TB, Kramer AM, <i>et al.</i> Reducing emergency visits in older adults with chronic illness. A randomized, controlled trial of group visits. <i>Eff Clin Pract</i> 2001;4:49–57. Gardiner P, Lestoquoy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, <i>et al.</i> Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits In a community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96–107. doi:10.1177/1045721716450202 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.1077/114572177045721701457217029266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Ass	729	19	Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. CRD's guidance for undertaking reviews in
 Valentine JC, Pigott ID, Konstein HR, How Marky studies to you need A primer on statistical power for meta-analysis. <i>Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics</i> 2010;35:215–47. doi:10.3102/1076998609346961 Deeks J, Higgins J, Ithman D. Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In: Higgins J, Thomas J, Chandler J, <i>et al.</i>, eds. <i>Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions</i>. Cochrane 2021. Popay J, Roberts H, Sowden A, <i>et al.</i> Guidance on the Conduct of Narrative Synthesis in Systematic Reviews A Product from the ESRC Methods Programme Peninsula Medical School, Universities of Exeter and Plymouth. 2006. Scott JC, Conner DA, Venohr I, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of a group outpatient visit model for chronically ill older health maintenance organization members. A 2-year randomized trial of the Cooperative Health Care Clinic. <i>J Am Geriatt Soc</i> 2004;52:1463–70. doi:10.1111/1.1532-5415.2004.52408.x Coleman EA, Ellettsen TB, Kramer AM, <i>et al.</i> Reducing emergency visits in older adults with chronic liness. A randomized, controlled trial of group visits. <i>Ell Clin Pract</i> 2001;4:49–57. Gardiner P, Lestoquoy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, <i>et al.</i> Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depression. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> 2017;54:25–35. doi:10.1016/j.ctt.2016.12.013 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96– 107. doi:10.1177/014572171626022 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient popula	730	00	nealth care. York: 2009.
 Statistical power for fitteralarysis. <i>Softworks</i> 2010;35:215–47. doi:10.3102/1076998609346961 Deeks J, Higgins J, Attman D. Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In: Higgins J, Thomas J, Chandler J, <i>et al.</i>, eds. <i>Cochrane Handbook for Systematic</i> <i>Reviews of Interventions</i>. Cochrane 2021. Popay J, Roberts H, Sowden A, <i>et al.</i> Guidance on the Conduct of Narrative Synthesis in Systematic Reviews A Product from the ESRC Methods Programme Peninsula Medical School, Universities of Exeter and Plymouth. 2006. Scott JC, Conner DA, Venohr I, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of a group outpatient visit model for chronically ill older health maintenance organization members: A 2-year randomized trial of the Cooperative Health Care Clinic. <i>J Am Geriatr Soc</i> 2004;52:1463–70. doi:10.1111/j.15325415.2004.524045.x Coleman EA, Eilertsen TB, Kramer AM, <i>et al.</i> Reducing emergency visits in older adults with chronic illness. A randomized, controlled trial of group visits. <i>Eff Clin Pract</i> 2001;4:49–57. Gardiner P, Lestoquoy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, <i>et al.</i> Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depression. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> 2017;54:25–35. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2016.12.013 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96– 107. doi:10.1177/0145721715620022 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>e</i>	131	20	valentine JC, Pigott TD, Rothstein HR. How many studies do you need? A primer on
 Deeks J, Higgins J, Altman D. Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In: Higgins J, Thomas J, Chandler J, <i>et al.</i>, eds. <i>Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions</i>. Cochrane 2021. Popay J, Roberts H, Sowden A, <i>et al.</i> Guidance on the Conduct of Narrative Synthesis in Systematic Reviews A Product from the ESRC Methods Programme Peninsula Medical School. Universities of Exeter and Plymouth. 2006. Scott JC, Conner DA, Venohr I, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of a group outpatient visit model for chronically ill older health maintenance organization members: A 2-year randomized trial of the Cooperative Health Care Clinic. <i>J Am Geriatr Soc</i> 2004;52:1463–70. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52408.x Coleman EA, Eilertsen TB, Kramer AM, <i>et al.</i> Reducing emergency visits in older adults with chronic illness. A randomized, controlled trial of group visits. <i>Eff Clin Pract</i> 2001;4:49–57. Gardiner P, Lestoquoy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, <i>et al.</i> Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depression. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> 2017;54:25–35. Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96–107. doi:10.1177/0145721715620022 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Uniotrology</i> 203;26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.267.2032 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/d	732		2010.35.215_47 doi:10.3102/1076998609346961
 Higgins J, Thomas J, Chandler J, <i>et al.</i>, eds. <i>Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions</i>. Cochrane 2021. Popay J, Roberts H, Sowden A, <i>et al.</i> Guidance on the Conduct of Narrative Synthesis in Systematic Reviews A Product from the ESRC Methods Programme Peninsula Medical School, Universities of Exeter and Plymouth. 2006. Scott JC, Conner DA, Venohr I, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of a group outpatient visit model for chronically ill older health maintenance organization members: A 2-year randomized trial of the Cooperative Health Care Clinic. <i>J Am Geriatr Soc</i> 2004;52:1463–70. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52408.x Coleman EA, Ellertsen TB, Kramer AM, <i>et al.</i> Reducing emergency visits in older adults with chronic illness. A randomized, controlled trial of group visits. <i>Elf Clin Pract</i> 2001;4:49–57. Gardiner P, Lestoquoy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, <i>et al.</i> Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depression. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> 2017;54:25–35. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2016.12.013 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Anico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1377/j0urtal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Know</i> 2016;42:96–107. doi:10.1177/014572171520022 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 203;26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/diacere.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating encordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Sina englistica andiaguately insured patient population. Diabete</i>	734	21	Deeks J Higgins J Altman D Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In:
 Reviews of Interventions: Cochrane 2021. Popay J, Roberts H, Sowden A, <i>et al.</i> Guidance on the Conduct of Narrative Synthesis in Systematic Reviews A Product from the ESRC Methods Programme Peninsula Medical School, Universities of Exeter and Plymouth. 2006. Scott JC, Conner DA, Venohr I, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of a group outpatient visit model for chronically ill older health maintenance organization members: A 2-year randomized trial of the Cooperative Health Care Clinic. <i>J Am Geriatr Soc</i> 2004;52:1463–70. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52408.x Coleman EA, Eilertsen TB, Kramer AM, <i>et al.</i> Reducing emergency visits in older adults with chronic illness. A randomized, controlled trial of group visits. <i>Eff Clin Pract</i> 2001;4:49–57. Gardiner P, Lestoquoy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, <i>et al.</i> Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depression. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> 2017;54:25–35. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2016.12.013 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96– 107. doi:10.1177/0145721715620022 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2003;29:292–203. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting	735	21	Higgins J. Thomas J. Chandler J. et al. eds. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic
 Popay J, Roberts H, Sowden A, <i>et al.</i> Guidance on the Conduct of Narrative Synthesis in Systematic Reviews A Product from the ESRC Methods Programme Peninsula Medical School, Universities of Exeter and Phymouth. 2006. Scott JC, Conner DA, Venohr I, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of a group outpatient visit model for chronically ill older health maintenance organization members: A 2-year randomized trial of the Cooperative Health Care Clinic. J <i>Am Geriatr Soc</i> 2004;52:1463–70. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52408.x Coleman EA, Eilertsen TB, Kramer AM, <i>et al.</i> Reducing emergency visits in older adults with chronic illness. A randomized, controlled trial of group visits. <i>Eff Clin Pract</i> 2001;4:49–57. Gardiner P, Lestoquoy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, <i>et al.</i> Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depression. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> 2017;54:25–35. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2016.12.013 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96– 107. doi:10.1177/1145721707299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes</i> <i>Educ</i> 2003;29:292–203. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Chyager DE, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Inter</i>	736		Reviews of Interventions. Cochrane 2021.
 Synthesis in Systematic Reviews A Product from the ESRC Methods Programme Peninsula Medical School, Universities of Exeter and Plymouth. 2006. Scott JC, Conner DA, Venohr I, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of a group outpatient visit model for chronically ill older health maintenance organization members: A 2-year randomized trial of the Cooperative Health Care Clinic. <i>J Am Genital Soc</i> 2004;52:1463–70. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52408.x Coleman EA, Eilertsen TB, Kramer AM, <i>et al.</i> Reducing emergency visits in older adults with chronic illness. A randomized, controlled trial of group visits. <i>Eff Clin Pract</i> 2001;4:49–57. Gardiner P, Lestoquoy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, <i>et al.</i> Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depression. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> 2017;54:25–35. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2016.12.013 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96– 107. doi:10.1107/10145721707209266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2003;29:292–203. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes through group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.037/diacenz-26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Inter</i>	737	22	Popay J. Roberts H. Sowden A. et al. Guidance on the Conduct of Narrative
 Péninsula Medical School, Universities of Exeter and Plymouth. 2006. Scott JC, Conner DA, Venohr I, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of a group outpatient visit model for chronically ill older health maintenance organization members: A 2-year randomized trial of the Cooperative Health Care Clinic. <i>J Am Geriatr Soc</i> 2004;52:1463–70. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52408.x Coleman EA, Eilertsen TB, Kramer AM, <i>et al.</i> Reducing emergency visits in older adults with chronic illness. A randomized, controlled trial of group visits. <i>Eff Clin Pract</i> 2001;4:49–57. Gardiner P, Lestoquoy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, <i>et al.</i> Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depression. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> 2017;54:25–35. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2016.12.013 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96– 107. doi:10.1177/104572171620022 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes</i> <i>Educ</i> 2003;29:292–203. doi:10.1177/014572170729266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes</i> <i>Educ</i> 2003;26:203–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, et al. Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabe	738		Synthesis in Systematic Reviews A Product from the ESRC Methods Programme
 Scott JC, Conner DA, Venohr I, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of a group outpatient visit model for chronically ill older health maintenance organization members: A 2-year randomized trial of the Cooperative Health Care Clinic. <i>J Am Geriatr Soc</i> 2004;52:1463–70. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52408.x Coleman EA, Eilertsen TB, Kramer AM, <i>et al.</i> Reducing emergency visits in older adults with chronic illness. A randomized, controlled trial of group visits. <i>Eff Clin Pract</i> 2001;4:49–57. Gardiner P, Lestoquoy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, <i>et al.</i> Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depression. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> 2017;54:25–35. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2016.12.013 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits In a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96– 107. doi:10.1177/0145721715620022 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes</i> <i>Educ</i> 2003;29:292–203. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes through group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes suidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, <i>et al.</i> Further evaluating the accepta	739		Peninsula Medical School, Universities of Exeter and Plymouth. 2006.
 for chronically ill older health maintenance organization members: A 2-year randomized trial of the Cooperative Health Care Clinic. <i>J Am Geriatr Soc</i> 2004;52:1463–70. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52408.x Coleman EA, Eilertsen TB, Kramer AM, <i>et al.</i> Reducing emergency visits in older adults with chronic illness. A randomized, controlled trial of group visits. <i>Elf Clin Pract</i> 2001;4:49–57. Gardiner P, Lestoquoy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, <i>et al.</i> Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depression. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> 2017;54:25–35. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2016.12.013 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96– 107. doi:10.1177/10145721715620022 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes</i> <i>Educ</i> 2003;29:292–203. doi:10.1177/10145721707299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes. <i>Care</i> 2003;26:203–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes <i>Jouenal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Huang P, et al. Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:032–6. doi:10.20337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, <i>et al.</i> Further evaluat	740	23	Scott JC, Conner DA, Venohr I, et al. Effectiveness of a group outpatient visit model
 randomized trial of the Cooperative Health Care Clinic. J Am Geriatr Soc 2004;52:1463–70. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52408.x Coleman EA, Eilertsen TB, Kramer AM, et al. Reducing emergency visits in older adults with chronic illness. A randomized, controlled trial of group visits. <i>Eff Clin Pract</i> 2001;4:49–57. Gardiner P, Lestoquoy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, et al. Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depression. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> 2017;54:25–35. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2016.12.013 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, et al. Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, et al. Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96– 107. doi:10.11177/0145721715620022 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, et al. Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes</i> <i>Educ</i> 2003;29:292–203. doi:10.1177/10145721707299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, et al. Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes through group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.2037/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, et al. Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007;s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, et al. Jung visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007;s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, et al. Do Diabetes	741		for chronically ill older health maintenance organization members: A 2-year
 2004;52:1463–70. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52408.x Coleman EA, Eilertsen TB, Kramer AM, et al. Reducing emergency visits in older adults with chronic illness. A randomized, controlled trial of group visits. <i>Eff Clin Pract</i> 2001;4:49–57. Gardiner P, Lestoquoy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, et al. Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depression. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> 2017;54:25–35. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2016.12.013 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, et al. Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, et al. Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96– 107. doi:10.1177/1014572171701457217107299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, et al. Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes</i> <i>Educ</i> 2003;29:292-203. doi:10.1177/10145721707299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, et al. Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes through group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, et al. Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, et al. Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>With uncontrolled</i> type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007;33:309–14. doi:10.1177/10145721707299266 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, et al. Further	742		randomized trial of the Cooperative Health Care Clinic. J Am Geriatr Soc
 Coleman EA, Eilertsen TB, Kramer AM, <i>et al.</i> Reducing emergency visits in older adults with chronic illness. A randomized, controlled trial of group visits. <i>Eff Clin Pract</i> 2001;4:49–57. Gardiner P, Lestoquoy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, <i>et al.</i> Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depression. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> 2017;54:25–35. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2016.12.013 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96– 107. doi:10.1177/0145721715620022 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes</i> <i>Educ</i> 2003;29:29:20-203. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes through group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.2037/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, <i>et al.</i> Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007;33:309–14. doi:10.11717/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Jeager DE, Huang P, <i>et al.</i> Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately	743		2004; 52 :1463–70. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52408.x
 adults with chronic illness. A randomized, controlled trial of group visits. <i>Eff Clin Pract</i> 2001;4:49–57. Gardiner P, Lestoquoy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, <i>et al.</i> Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depression. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> 2017;54:25–35. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2016.12.013 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96–107. doi:10.1177/0145721715620022 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2003;29:292–203. doi:10.1177/10145721707299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes through group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.107/s1166-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, <i>et al.</i> Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2003;39:344–55. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Pasonalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients wi	744	24	Coleman EA, Eilertsen TB, Kramer AM, et al. Reducing emergency visits in older
 2001;4:49–57. 25 Gardiner P, Lestoquoy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, <i>et al.</i> Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depression. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> 2017;54:25–35. 26 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 27 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96–107. doi:10.1177/0145721715620022 28 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2003;29:292–203. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 29 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Sociation standards of care for type 2 diabetes through group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.1037/diacare.26.7.2032 76 30 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 31 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, <i>et al.</i> Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population thu uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. Nutrition Shared Medical Care Charges? <i>American Journal of Managed Care</i> 2008;14:39–44. 32 Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. The Diabetes Educator 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 34 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appoint	745		adults with chronic illness. A randomized, controlled trial of group visits. Eff Clin Pract
 Gardiner P, Lestoquoy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, <i>et al.</i> Design of the integrative medical group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depression. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> 2017;54:25–35. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2016.12.013 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96–107. doi:10.1177/0145721715620022 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2003;29:292–203. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes through group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, <i>et al.</i> Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2008;14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Reducator</i> 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southern Medical Lower</i>	746		2001; 4 :49–57.
 group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and depression. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> 2017;54:25–35. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2016.12.013 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96– 107. doi:10.1177/0145721715620022 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2003;29:292–203. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes through group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, <i>et al.</i> Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007;33:309–14. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Do Diabetes Group Visits Lead to Lower Medical Care Charges? <i>American Journal of Managed Care</i> 2008;14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized	747	25	Gardiner P, Lestoquoy AS, Gergen-Barnett K, et al. Design of the integrative medical
 depression. <i>Contemporary Clinical Trials</i> 2017;54:25–35. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2016.12.013 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96– 107. doi:10.1177/0145721715620022 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2003;29:292–203. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes through group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, <i>et al.</i> Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007;33:309–14. doi:10.1177/145721707299266 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Do Diabetes Group Visits Lead to Lower Medical Care Charges? <i>American Journal of Managed Care</i> 2008;14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.11777/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with T	748		group visits randomized control trial for underserved patients with chronic pain and
 doi:10.1016/j.cct.2016.12.013 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96– 107. doi:10.1177/0145721715620022 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2003;29:292–203. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes through group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, <i>et al.</i> Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007;33:309–14. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Do Diabetes Group Visits Lead to Lower Medical Care Charges? <i>American Journal of Managed Care</i> 2008;14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southerm</i> <i>Medical. Lower</i> 2018;411:674–822. doi:10.14233/S	749		depression. Contemporary Clinical Trials 2017; 54 :25–35.
 Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96– 107. doi:10.1177/0145721715620022 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes</i> <i>Educ</i> 2003;29:292–203. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes through group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, <i>et al.</i> Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007;33:309–14. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Diabetes Group Visits Lead to Lower Medical Care Charges? <i>American Journal of Managed Care</i> 2008;14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southern</i> <i>Medical Lower</i> 2014,214:574–82. doi:10.14423/SML 000000000000020 	750		doi:10.1016/j.cct.2016.12.013
 in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96–107. doi:10.1177/0145721715620022 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2003;29:292–203. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes through group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, <i>et al.</i> Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007;33:309–14. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Do Diabetes Group Visits Lead to Lower Medical Care Charges? <i>American Journal of Managed Care</i> 2008;14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Southern Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Southern Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Southern Medical Appointments for Patients	/51	26	Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, et al. Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits
 2019;14:1-20. doi:10.13/1/journal.pone.0225940 Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, <i>et al.</i> Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96– 107. doi:10.1177/0145721715620022 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2003;29:292–203. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes through group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, <i>et al.</i> Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007;33:309–14. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Do Diabetes Group Visits Lead to Lower Medical Care Charges? <i>American Journal of Managed Care</i> 2008;14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southern</i> <i>Medical. Appointments</i> for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southern</i> 	752		in chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. PLoS ONE
 Visits Into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016;42:96–107. doi:10.11777/0145721715620022 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, et al. Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2003;29:292–203. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, et al. Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes through group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, et al. Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, et al. Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007;33:309–14. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, et al. Do Diabetes Group Visits Lead to Lower Medical Care Charges? <i>American Journal of Managed Care</i> 2008;14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, et al. Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, et al. Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southerm Medical</i> 2008;04:39–44. 	753 754	07	2019;14:1–20. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225540
 Visits into a Community-Based Medical Setting. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2016,42:36– 107. doi:10.1177/0145721715620022 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes</i> <i>Educ</i> 2003;29:292–203. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes through group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, <i>et al.</i> Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007;33:309–14. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Do Diabetes Group Visits Lead to Lower Medical Care Charges? <i>American Journal of Managed Care</i> 2008;14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southerm</i> <i>Medical Lournal</i> 2018;111:674–82. doi:10.1147/21/SML00000000000000020022 	754 755	27	Visite Inte a Community Based Medical Setting. The Diabates Educator 2016:42:06
 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, et al. Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes</i> <i>Educ</i> 2003;29:292–203. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, et al. Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes through group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, et al. Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, et al. Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007;33:309–14. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, et al. Do Diabetes Group Visits Lead to Lower Medical Care Charges? <i>American Journal of Managed Care</i> 2008;14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, et al. Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, et al. Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southern</i> <i>Medical Lournal</i> 2018:111:674–82. doi:10.1423/SML 000000000000000000000000000000000000	755		VISILS INTO A COMMUNITY-DASED MEDICAL SETTING. THE DIADETES EDUCATOR 2010,42.90-
 Fish 20 Chancy DE, Oope DW, Magruder IV, et al. Evaluating glodp Visits in an uninsured of inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes</i> <i>Educ</i> 2003;29:292–203. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes through group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, <i>et al.</i> Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007;33:309–14. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Do Diabetes Group Visits Lead to Lower Medical Care Charges? <i>American Journal of Managed Care</i> 2008;14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southern</i> <i>Medical Lowrnal</i> 2018;141:674–82. doi:10.11423/CML 000000000000000000000000000000000000	757	28	Clancy DE Cone DW Magruder K et al Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or
 <i>Educ</i> 2003; 29:292–203. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes through group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003; 26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007; 22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, <i>et al.</i> Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007; 33:309–14. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Do Diabetes Group Visits Lead to Lower Medical Care Charges? <i>American Journal of Managed Care</i> 2008; 14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2013; 39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southern</i> <i>Medical Lowrnel</i> 2018; 141:674–82. doi:10.14/23/SML 000000000000000000000000000000000000	758	20	inadequately insured nation to population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. Diabetes
 Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, et al. Evaluating concordance to American Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes through group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, et al. Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, et al. Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007;33:309–14. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, et al. Do Diabetes Group Visits Lead to Lower Medical Care Charges? <i>American Journal of Managed Care</i> 2008;14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, et al. Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, et al. Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southerm</i> <i>Medical Lournal</i> 2018:111:674–82. doi:10.14423/SML 000000000000000000000000000000000000	759		Educ 2003: 29 :292–203 doi:10.1177/0145721707299266
 Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes through group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, <i>et al.</i> Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007;33:309–14. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Do Diabetes Group Visits Lead to Lower Medical Care Charges? <i>American Journal of Managed Care</i> 2008;14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southern Medical, Journal</i> 2018:111:674–82. doi:10.14423(SML J000000000000000000000000000000000000	760	29	Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder KM, et al. Evaluating concordance to American
 uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003;26:2032–6. doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, <i>et al.</i> Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007;33:309–14. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Do Diabetes Group Visits Lead to Lower Medical Care Charges? <i>American Journal of Managed Care</i> 2008;14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southern</i> <i>Medical Lournal</i> 2018:111:674–82. doi:10.1423/SMI.000000000000000000000000000000000000	761		Diabetes Association standards of care for type 2 diabetes through group visits in an
 doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, et al. Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. Journal of General Internal Medicine 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, et al. Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007;33:309–14. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, et al. Do Diabetes Group Visits Lead to Lower Medical Care Charges? <i>American Journal of Managed Care</i> 2008;14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, et al. Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, et al. Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southern</i> <i>Medical Lowral</i> 2018:111:674–82. doi:10.14423/SML000000000000000000000000000000000000	762		uninsured or inadequately insured patient population. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2003: 26 :2032–6.
 Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to diabetes guidelines. <i>Journal of General Internal Medicine</i> 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, <i>et al.</i> Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007;33:309–14. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Do Diabetes Group Visits Lead to Lower Medical Care Charges? <i>American Journal of Managed Care</i> 2008;14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southern</i> <i>Medical Lournal</i> 2018;111:674–82. doi:10.14423/SML1000000000000000000000000000000000000	763		doi:10.2337/diacare.26.7.2032
 diabetes guidelines. Journal of General Internal Medicine 2007;22:620–4. doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, et al. Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Educ 2007;33:309–14. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, et al. Do Diabetes Group Visits Lead to Lower Medical Care Charges? American Journal of Managed Care 2008;14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, et al. Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. The Diabetes Educator 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, et al. Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Southerm Medical Lournal 2018;111:674–82. doi:10.14423/SML000000000000020 	764	30	Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, et al. Group visits: Promoting adherence to
 doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, <i>et al.</i> Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007;33:309–14. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Do Diabetes Group Visits Lead to Lower Medical Care Charges? <i>American Journal of Managed Care</i> 2008;14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southern Medical Lournal</i> 2018;111:674–82. doi:10.14423/SML000000000000000000000000000000000000	765		diabetes guidelines. Journal of General Internal Medicine 2007;22:620-4.
 767 31 Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, <i>et al.</i> Further evaluating the acceptability of group visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007;33:309–14. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 770 32 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Do Diabetes Group Visits Lead to Lower Medical Care Charges? <i>American Journal of Managed Care</i> 2008;14:39–44. 772 33 Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 775 34 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southern</i> <i>Medical Lournal</i> 2018;111:674–82. doi:10.14423/SML000000000000000000000000000000000000	766		doi:10.1007/s11606-007-0150-3
 visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007;33:309–14. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Do Diabetes Group Visits Lead to Lower Medical Care Charges? <i>American Journal of Managed Care</i> 2008;14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southern</i> <i>Medical Lournal</i> 2018;111:674–82. doi:10.14423/SML00000000000022 	767	31	Clancy DE, Yeager DE, Huang P, et al. Further evaluating the acceptability of group
 type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007;33:309–14. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Do Diabetes Group Visits Lead to Lower Medical Care Charges? <i>American Journal of Managed Care</i> 2008;14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southerm</i> <i>Medical Lournal</i> 2018;111:674–82. doi:10.14423/SML0000000000022 	768		visits in an uninsured or inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled
 Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, <i>et al.</i> Do Diabetes Group Visits Lead to Lower Medical Care Charges? <i>American Journal of Managed Care</i> 2008;14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southern</i> <i>Medical, Journal</i> 2018;111:674–82. doi:10.14423/SMJ.0000000000022 	769		type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes Educ</i> 2007; 33 :309–14. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266
 Lower Medical Care Charges? American Journal of Managed Care 2008;14:39–44. Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, et al. Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. The Diabetes Educator 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, et al. Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Southern Medical Journal 2018;111:674–82. doi:10.14423/SML00000000000022 	770	32	Clancy DE, Dismuke CE, Magruder KM, et al. Do Diabetes Group Visits Lead to
 772 33 Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition 773 Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 774 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 775 34 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized Health Planning and 776 Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southern</i> 777 <i>Medical Journal</i> 2018;111:674–82. doi:10.14423/SMJ.0000000000022 	771		Lower Medical Care Charges? American Journal of Managed Care 2008;14:39–44.
 Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. <i>The Diabetes Educator</i> 2013;39:344–53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 34 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southern</i> Medical Journal 2018;111:674–82. doi:10.14423/SML0000000000022 	112	33	Cole RE, Boyer KM, Spanbauer SM, et al. Effectiveness of Prediabetes Nutrition
 2013;39:344-53. doi:10.1177/0145721713484812 775 34 Drake C, Meade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. <i>Southern</i> 777 Medical Journal 2018;111:674-82. doi:10.14423/SML0000000000022 	113		Shared Medical Appointments: Prevention of Diabetes. The Diabetes Educator
 775 34 Drake C, Ivieade C, Hull SK, <i>et al.</i> Integration of Personalized Health Planning and 776 Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Southern 777 Medical Journal 2018;111:674–82 doi:10.14/23/SMI.000000000000000000000000000000000000	114 775	24	2013; 39 :344–53. 00:10.11///0145/21/13484812
777 Shareu Weulcal Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Weilitus. Southern 777 Medical Journal 2018: 111 :674–82 doi:10.14/23/SMI.00000000000000000	115 776	34	Diake U, Weade U, Hull SK, et al. Integration of Personalized Health Planning and Shared Medical Appointments for Patients with Type 2 Disbates Mellitus, Southern
	777		Shared Neuroal Appointments for Fatients with Type 2 Diabetes Weillus. Southern Medical Journal 2018: 111 :674_82 doi:10.14422/SMI.0000000000000002

778	35	Ee C, de Courten B, Avard N, et al. Shared Medical Appointments and Mindfulness
779		for Type 2 Diabetes—A Mixed-Methods Feasibility Study. Frontiers in Endocrinology
780		2020; 11 :1–16. doi:10.3389/fendo.2020.570777
781	36	Liu S, Bi A, Fu D, et al. Effectiveness of using group visit model to support diabetes
782		patient self-management in rural communities of Shanghai: A randomized controlled
783	07	trial. <i>BMC Public Health</i> 2012; 12 :1. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-12-1043
784 705	37	Naik AD, Palmer N, Petersen NJ, et al. Comparative effectiveness of goal setting in
785		diabetes meilitus group clinics: Randomized clinical trial. Archives of Internal Medicine
700	20	2011;171:453–9. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2011.70 Schillinger D. Hommer H. Wong F. et al. Seeing in 2 D: Examining the reach of
788	30	diabates self management support strategies in a public health care system. Health
780		Education and Behavior 2008:35:664–82 doi:10.1177/1000108106206772
790	39	Schillinger D. Handley M. Wang F. et al. Effects of self-management support on
791	00	structure process and outcomes among vulnerable patients with diabetes: a 3-arm
792		randomized trial. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2009: 32 . doi:10.2337/dc08-0787.Clinical
793	40	Wallace A. Perkhounkova Y. Tseng H. <i>et al.</i> Influence of patient characteristics on
794		assessment of diabetes self-management support. Nursing Research 2013;62:106-
795		14. doi:10.1097/NNR.0b013e3182843b77
796	41	Vaughan EM, Johnston CA, Cardenas VJ, et al. Integrating CHWs as Part of the
797		Team Leading Diabetes Group Visits: A Randomized Controlled Feasibility Study.
798		Diabetes Educator 2017;43:589–99. doi:10.1177/0145721717737742
799	42	Vaughan EM, Hyman DJ, Naik AD, et al. A Telehealth-supported, Integrated care with
800		CHWs, and MEdication-access (TIME) Program for Diabetes Improves HbA1c: a
801		Randomized Clinical Trial. Journal of General Internal Medicine Published Online
802		First: 2020. doi:10.1007/s11606-020-06017-4
803	43	Wagner EH, Grothaus LC, Sandhu N, et al. Chronic care clinics for diabetes in
804		primary care: A system-wide randomized trial. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 2001; 24 :695–700.
805		doi:10.2337/diacare.24.4.695
806	44	Conen LB, Taveira TH, Knatana SAM, <i>et al.</i> Pharmacist-Led Shared Medical
807 000		Appointments for Multiple Cardiovascular Risk Reduction in Patients with Type 2 Dispetes. The Dispetes Educator 2011; 27 :001, 12, doi:10.1177/01/15721711/02000
800	15	Tayoira TH Mu WC Interventions to maintain cardiac risk control after discharge
810	45	from a cardiovascular risk reduction clinic: A randomized controlled trial Diabetes
811		Research and Clinical Practice 2014: 105 :327–35. doi:10.1016/i.diabres.2014.05.013
812	46	Wu WC Taveira TH Jeffery S <i>et al</i> Costs and effectiveness of pharmacist-led group
813	10	medical visits for type-2 diabetes: A multi-center randomized controlled trial <i>PLoS</i>
814		ONE 2018: 13 :1–14. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0195898
815	47	Taveira TH, Dooley AG, Cohen LB, et al. Pharmacist-Led Group Medical
816		Appointments for the Management of Type 2 Diabetes with Comorbid Depression in
817		Older Adults. Annals of Pharmacotherapy 2011;45:1346–55. doi:10.1345/aph.1Q212
818	48	Yancy WS, Crowley MJ, Dar MS, et al. Comparison of Group Medical Visits
819		Combined with Intensive Weight Management vs Group Medical Visits Alone for
820		Glycemia in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes: A Noninferiority Randomized Clinical Trial.
821		JAMA Internal Medicine 2020;180:70–9. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2019.4802
822	49	Crowley MJ, Edelman D, Voils CI, et al. Jump starting shared medical appointments
823		for diabetes with weight management: Rationale and design of a randomized
824		controlled trial. Contemporary Clinical Trials 2017;58:1–12.
825		doi:10.1016/j.cct.2017.04.004
826	50	Edelman D, Fredrickson SK, Melnyk SD, et al. Medical Clinics Versus Usual Care for
827	F 4	Patients with Both Diabetes. Annals of Internal Medicine 2010;152:689–96.
ŏ∠ŏ 020	51	Crowley IVIJ, IVIEINYK SD, COTTMAN CJ, et al. Impact of baseline insulin regimen on
029 830		givenine response to a group medical clinic intervention. <i>Diabetes Care</i>
000		2013, 30 .133 4 -00.401.10.2337/4612-1303

024	50	Crowley MI Meloyd CD. Optroff II. at al Can group medical clinics improve linid
031 022	52	Crowley MJ, Meinyk SD, Ostroff JL, <i>et al.</i> Can group medical clinics improve lipid
032 032		management in diabetes? American Journal of Medicine 2014;121:145-51.
000 001	50	Control 10. 10. 10/16/j. Control 13. 09. 027
034	55	Eisenberg A, Crowley MJ, Comman C, <i>et al.</i> Effect of a group medical clinic for
000		velerans with diabetes on body mass index. Chronic inness 2019,15.187–96.
030	F 4	00:10.11///1/4239531//53885
037	54	Gao J, Yang L, Junming D, et al. Evaluation of group visits for Uninese hypertensives
030		based on primary health care center. Asia-Pacific Journal of Public Health
839		2015; 27 :NP350-60. doi:10.1177/1010539512442566
840	55	Simon B, Sawicki PT. Quality improvement in chronic care delivery for patients with
041		arterial hypertension through Group Medical Visits: Patient acceptance and
04Z	50	attendance in the German pilot project. Quality in Primary Care 2015;23:106-112 /p.
843	56	Baqir W, Gray WK, Biair A, et al. Osteoporosis group consultations are as effective as
844		usual care: Results from a non-inferiority randomized trial. Lifestyle Medicine
845		2020;1:1–9. doi:10.1002/lim2.3
840	57	Gardiner P, Luo M, D'Amico S, et al. Effectiveness of integrative medicine group visits
847		In chronic pain and depressive symptoms: A randomized controlled trial. PLOS OINE
848	50	2019;14:1–20. doi:10.13/1/journal.pone.0225540
849	58	Berry DC, Williams W, Hall EG, et al. Imbedding Interdisciplinary Diabetes Group
850		Visits into a Community-Based Medical Setting. The Diabetes Educator 2016;42:96-
851	50	
852	59	Clancy DE, Cope DW, Magruder K, et al. Evaluating group visits in an uninsured or
853		Inadequately insured patient population with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes. Diabetes
854	<u> </u>	Educ 2003; 29 :292–203. doi:10.1177/0145721707299266
855	60	Clancy DE, Huang P, Okonofua E, <i>et al.</i> Group visits: Promoting adherence to
856		diabetes guidelines. Journal of General Internal Medicine 2007;22:620–4.
857	04	dol:10.1007/S11606-007-0150-3
858	61	Ee C, de Courten B, Avard N, <i>et al.</i> Shared Medical Appointments and Mindfulness
859		for Type 2 Diabetes—A Mixed-Methods Feasibility Study. Frontiers in Endocrinology
860	00	2020;11:1-16. doi:10.3389/fendo.2020.570777
000	62	vaugnan EM, Hyman DJ, Naik AD, <i>et al.</i> A Telenealth-supported, integrated care with
80Z		CHWS, and MEdication-access (TIME) Program for Diabetes Improves HDATC: a
003		Randomized Clinical That. Journal of General Internal Medicine Published Unline
004	60	FIIST 2020. doi:10.1007/S11606-020-06017-4
000	63	Baqir W, Gray WK, Blair A, <i>et al.</i> Osleoporosis group consultations are as effective as
000		usual care: Results from a non-interiority randomized that. <i>Litestyle Medicine</i>
007	64	2020,1:1-9. 001:10.1002/IIII2.3 Noik AD, Dolmar N. Betaraan N.L. at al. Comparative offectiveness of goal acting in
000	64	Naik AD, Paimer N, Peleisen NJ, et al. Comparative electiveness of goal setting in
009		2014.471.452 0 doi:10.1001/orobiotorm.od.2011.70
070	6E	2011, 171.455–9. doi: 10.1001/dichimennined.2011.70
071	60	Liu S, Di A, Fu D, et al. Ellectiveness of using gloup visit model to support diabetes
012 072		patient self-management in rural communities of Snangnal: A randomized controlled
013	66	(ITAL BING PUBLIC HEALTH 2012, 12:1. 001:10.1180/1471-2438-12-1043
074	00	crowiey MJ, Meinyk SD, Comman CJ, <i>et al.</i> Impact of baseline insulin regimen on
070		givenine response to a group medical clinic intervention. <i>Diabetes Care</i>
070	67	ZU13, 30 . 1954–60. doi: 10.2557/dC12-1905
0//	07	from a conditive could risk reduction clinic. A rendemized controlled trial. Disbates
070 970		Popoarch and Clinical Practice 2014: 105 :227, 25, doi:10.1016/j.diabros.2014.05.012
019	60	Research and Chiller Fractice 2014, 103 .327–35. doi:10.1010/j.ulables.2014.05.013
000 801	00	Share O, Weave O, Hull SN, et al. Integration Of Personalized Realth Planning and
882		Medical Journal 2018:111:674-82 doi:10.14422/SMI.000000000000000
883	60	Vancy MS Crowley ML Dar MS of al Comparison of Croup Medical Visite
000 881	09	Combined with Intensive Weight Management vs Crown Medical Visits Alans for
004		combined with intensive weight management vs Group Medical Visits Alone for

885	Glycemia in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes: A Noninferiority Randomized Clinical Trial.
886	JAMA Internal Medicine 2020;180:70–9. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2019.4802

- 887 70 Edelman D, McDuffie JR, Oddone E, *et al.* Shared Medical Appointments for Chronic
 888 Medical Conditions : A Systematic Review. VA Evidence-based Synthesis Program
 889 Reports 2012.
- Housden L, Wong ST, Dawes M. Effectiveness of group medical visits for improving
 diabetes care: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 2013;**185**:E635–44. doi:10.1503/cmaj.130053
- Edelman D, Gierisch JM, McDuffie JR, *et al.* Shared Medical Appointments for
 Patients with Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 2015;**30**:99–106. doi:10.1007/s11606-014-2978-7
- 896 73 Webbe J, Sinha I, Gale C. Core Outcome Sets. Archives of Disease in Childhood:
 897 Education and Practice Edition 2018;103:163–6. doi:10.1136/archdischild-2016898 312117
- 899 74 Bellg AJ, Resnick B, Minicucci DS, *et al.* Enhancing treatment fidelity in health
 900 behavior change studies: Best practices and recommendations from the NIH Behavior
 901 Change Consortium. *Health Psychology* 2004;23:443–51. doi:10.1037/0278902 6133.23.5.443
- 903 75 Carey RN, Connell LE, Johnston M, *et al.* Behavior Change Techniques and Their
 904 Mechanisms of Action: A Synthesis of Links Described in Published Intervention
 905 Literature. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine* 2018;**53**:693–707. doi:10.1093/abm/kay078

906

907

908 FIGURE LEGENDS 909

- 910 Figure 1: PRISMA diagram of study selection process
- 911
- 912 Figure 2: Forest plot for a) HbA1C(%), b) diastolic blood pressure, c) systolic blood pressure
- 913
- 914 Figure 3: Forest plot for a) hospital admissions, b) emergency department use, c) primary
- 915 care visits