1	Title: The relationship between wild-type transthyretin amyloid load and ligamentum flavum						
2	thickness in lumbar stenosis patients						
3							
4	Authors: Andy Y. Wang, A.B. ^a ; Harleen Saini, M.S. ^a ; Joseph N. Tingen, B.S. ^a ; Vaishnavi						
5	Sharma, B.A. ^a ; Alexandra Flores, B.S. ^a ; Diang Liu, M.S. ^a ; Michelle Olmos, B.A. ^a ; Ellen D.						
6	McPhail, M.D. ^b ; Mina G. Safain, M.D. ^a ; James Kryzanski, M.D. ^a ; Knarik Arkun, M.D. ^{c,a} ; Ron I						
7	Riesenburger, M.D ^a						
8							
9	Affiliations: ^a Department of Neurosurgery, Tufts Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, USA						
10	^b Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA						
11	^c Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Tufts Medical Center, Boston,						
12	Massachusetts, USA						
13							
14	Corresponding author:						
15	Ron I. Riesenburger						
16	Department of Neurosurgery						
17	Tufts Medical Center						
18	800 Washington St, Boston, MA 02111, USA						
19	Email: rriesenburger@tuftsmedicalcenter.org						
20							
21	Key words: wild-type transthyretin amyloid; lumbar ligamentum flavum burden; ligamentum						
22	flavum; thickness; amyloid load; machine learning; Trainable Weka Segmentation						
23	Short title: Amyloid and ligamentum flavum thickness						

Background: One key contributor to lumbar stenosis is thickening of the ligamentum flavum

24 ABSTRACT

25

26 (LF), a process still poorly understood. Wild-type transthyretin amyloid (ATTRwt) has been 27 found in the LF of patients undergoing decompression surgery, suggesting that amyloid may play 28 a role. However, it is unclear whether within patients harboring ATTRwt, the amount of amyloid 29 is associated with LF thickness. 30 Methods: From an initial cohort of 324 consecutive lumbar stenosis patients whose LF 31 specimens from decompression surgery were sent for analysis (2018-2019), 33 patients met the 32 following criteria: (1) Congo red-positive amyloid in the LF; (2) ATTRwt by mass spectrometry-33 based proteomics; and (3) an available preoperative MRI. Histological specimens were digitized, 34 and amyloid load quantified through Trainable Weka Segmentation (TWS) machine learning. LF 35 thicknesses were manually measured on axial T2-weighted preoperative MRI scans at each 36 lumbar level, L1-S1. The sum of thicknesses at every lumbar LF level (L1-S1) equals "lumbar 37 LF burden." **Results:** Patients had a mean age of 72.7 years (range 59-87), were mostly male (61%) and white 38 39 (82%); and predominantly had surgery at L4-L5 levels (73%). Amyloid load was positively 40 correlated with LF thickness (R=0.345, p=0.0492) at the levels of surgical decompression. 41 Furthermore, amyloid load was positively correlated with lumbar LF burden (R=0.383, 42 p=0.0279). 43 **Conclusions:** Amyloid load is positively correlated with LF thickness and lumbar LF burden

across all lumbar levels, in a dose-dependent manner. Further studies are needed to validate these
findings, uncover the underlying pathophysiology, and pave the way towards using therapies that
slow LF thickening.

47

Keywords: wild-type transthyretin amyloid; lumbar ligamentum flavum burden; ligamentum
flavum; thickness; amyloid load; machine learning; Trainable Weka Segmentation

- 50
- 51

52 INTRODUCTION

53 Lumbar stenosis is one of the most common spine disorders afflicting older patients, and one key pathophysiological contributor is thickening of the ligamentum flavum (LF). Despite the 54 55 ubiquity of lumbar stenosis, the underlying pathophysiological process is still poorly 56 understood.¹ There has been increasing interest in the role of amyloid, misfolded protein 57 aggregates that commonly deposit in the ligamentum flavum of older individuals.^{2, 3} The amyloid 58 deposited in LF is almost exclusively wild-type transthyretin amyloid (ATTRwt), a type derived from wild-type transthyretin precursor protein. ATTRwt is also found as a form of systemic 59 60 amyloidosis. Many diagnosed ATTRwt patients present with heart failure due to cardiac 61 amyloidosis, and many of these patients have an antecedent history of carpal tunnel syndrome.⁴ 62 Recent research has suggested that patients with ATTRwt amyloidosis may also present with an 63 antecedent history of lumbar stenosis, with amyloid deposition in the LF hypothesized as possibly leading to its thickening.^{3, 5-8} As ATTRwt is found in the LF of many older individuals 64 65 who ultimately do not develop cardiac amyloidosis, it may be of interest outside of systemic 66 amyloidosis as a factor in the pathophysiology of lumbar stenosis.

67

68 Our group has previously reported that lumbar stenosis patients harboring ATTRwt in their LF
69 have thicker LF at the symptomatic level that required surgery, when compared to stenosis

70	patients without ATTRwt.9 Subsequent analysis inclusive of asymptomatic lumbar levels also
71	revealed an increased LF thickness in all lumbar levels when harboring ATTRwt. ¹⁰ This total
72	sum of lumbar LF thicknesses is termed lumbar LF burden. These studies demonstrate that the
73	presence of ATTRwt in the LF is associated with an increase in LF thickness, when compared to
74	lumbar stenosis patients who do not harbor ATTRwt. However, it is still unclear whether within
75	patients harboring ATTRwt, whether the amount of amyloid is associated with a dose-dependent
76	increase in thickness. Only one previous paper studied this, reporting a strong relationship
77	between the transthyretin amyloid load and LF thickness. ⁸ However, their method of quantifying
78	amyloid deposits in histological specimens of ligamentum flavum acquired from lumbar
79	decompression was not explained.
80	
81	In this study, we aim to explore the relationship between transthyretin amyloid load and LF
82	thickness in lumbar stenosis patients harboring ATTRwt through a precise machine learning
83	quantification method. We have previously described this method as superior to existing
84	methods that are subjective and non-reproducible. ¹¹ Through exploring the relationship between
85	amyloid load and LF thickness, we hope to elucidate the role that ATTRwt deposition in LF has
86	in LF thickening and lumbar stenosis.
87	
88	MATERIALS AND METHODS

89 *Patient selection*

Between 2018–2019, we investigated 324 consecutive patients who underwent decompression
surgery for lumbar stenosis. Inclusion in the study required meeting the following criteria: (1) LF
specimen that contained Congo red-positive amyloid; (2) ATTRwt amyloid by mass

93	spectrometry-based proteomics; and (3) an available preoperative MRI. Each LF specimen was					
94	stained with Congo red for apple-green birefringence under polarized light. The Congo red-					
95	positive amyloid deposits were typed by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-					
96	MS/MS, Mayo Clinic Laboratories, Rochester, MN, USA) using previously published					
97	methods. ¹² By LC-MS/MS, all specimens were of ATTR type, and all lacked mutant peptides					
98	indicative of pathogenic mutations and thus were consistent with ATTRwt. Out of this entire					
99	cohort, 33 patients met the above criteria. Since some patients had multiple specimens stained,					
100	we include 46 LF histology slides in this paper. Congo red stained slides were scanned and					
101	digitized using VENTANA DP 200 slide scanner (Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland) at					
102	a 20x magnification and 1 focus layer.					
103						
104	Amyloid Quantification					
104 105	Amyloid Quantification Amyloid deposits were quantified through the Trainable Weka Segmentation (TWS) plugin					
104 105 106	Amyloid Quantification Amyloid deposits were quantified through the Trainable Weka Segmentation (TWS) plugin through Fiji/ImageJ as previously described. ¹¹ This machine learning segmentation method					
104 105 106 107	<i>Amyloid Quantification</i> Amyloid deposits were quantified through the Trainable Weka Segmentation (TWS) plugin through Fiji/ImageJ as previously described. ¹¹ This machine learning segmentation method utilized small sets of human-directed annotations to learn and recognize characteristics of					
104 105 106 107 108	<i>Amyloid Quantification</i> Amyloid deposits were quantified through the Trainable Weka Segmentation (TWS) plugin through Fiji/ImageJ as previously described. ¹¹ This machine learning segmentation method utilized small sets of human-directed annotations to learn and recognize characteristics of amyloid deposits. Classes of interest were selected to include amyloid, glass slide, calcifications,					
104 105 106 107 108 109	<i>Amyloid Quantification</i> Amyloid deposits were quantified through the Trainable Weka Segmentation (TWS) plugin through Fiji/ImageJ as previously described. ¹¹ This machine learning segmentation method utilized small sets of human-directed annotations to learn and recognize characteristics of amyloid deposits. Classes of interest were selected to include amyloid, glass slide, calcifications, and tissue (Figure 1A). User-directed annotations on the digital specimens provided training data					
104 105 106 107 108 109 110	<i>Amyloid Quantification</i> Amyloid deposits were quantified through the Trainable Weka Segmentation (TWS) plugin through Fiji/ImageJ as previously described. ¹¹ This machine learning segmentation method utilized small sets of human-directed annotations to learn and recognize characteristics of amyloid deposits. Classes of interest were selected to include amyloid, glass slide, calcifications, and tissue (Figure 1A). User-directed annotations on the digital specimens provided training data provided training data for TWS to build a classifier to recognize each of these classes,					
104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111	<i>Amyloid Quantification</i> Amyloid deposits were quantified through the Trainable Weka Segmentation (TWS) plugin through Fiji/ImageJ as previously described. ¹¹ This machine learning segmentation method utilized small sets of human-directed annotations to learn and recognize characteristics of amyloid deposits. Classes of interest were selected to include amyloid, glass slide, calcifications, and tissue (Figure 1A). User-directed annotations on the digital specimens provided training data provided training data for TWS to build a classifier to recognize each of these classes, particularly the amyloid deposits (Figure 1B, 1C). The classifier was trained on 15 total					
104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112	<i>Amyloid Quantification</i> Amyloid deposits were quantified through the Trainable Weka Segmentation (TWS) plugin through Fiji/ImageJ as previously described. ¹¹ This machine learning segmentation method utilized small sets of human-directed annotations to learn and recognize characteristics of amyloid deposits. Classes of interest were selected to include amyloid, glass slide, calcifications, and tissue (Figure 1A). User-directed annotations on the digital specimens provided training data provided training data for TWS to build a classifier to recognize each of these classes, particularly the amyloid deposits (Figure 1B, 1C). The classifier was trained on 15 total specimens, each building upon the previous set of training data. Any additional annotation was					
104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113	<i>Amyloid Quantification</i> Amyloid deposits were quantified through the Trainable Weka Segmentation (TWS) plugin through Fiji/ImageJ as previously described. ¹¹ This machine learning segmentation method utilized small sets of human-directed annotations to learn and recognize characteristics of amyloid deposits. Classes of interest were selected to include amyloid, glass slide, calcifications, and tissue (Figure 1A). User-directed annotations on the digital specimens provided training data provided training data for TWS to build a classifier to recognize each of these classes, particularly the amyloid deposits (Figure 1B, 1C). The classifier was trained on 15 total specimens, each building upon the previous set of training data. Any additional annotation was added due to suboptimal amyloid recognition from application of the previous classifier. The					
104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114	<i>Amyloid Quantification</i> Amyloid deposits were quantified through the Trainable Weka Segmentation (TWS) plugin through Fiji/ImageJ as previously described. ¹¹ This machine learning segmentation method utilized small sets of human-directed annotations to learn and recognize characteristics of amyloid deposits. Classes of interest were selected to include amyloid, glass slide, calcifications, and tissue (Figure 1A). User-directed annotations on the digital specimens provided training data provided training data for TWS to build a classifier to recognize each of these classes, particularly the amyloid deposits (Figure 1B, 1C). The classifier was trained on 15 total specimens, each building upon the previous set of training data. Any additional annotation was added due to suboptimal amyloid recognition from application of the previous classifier. The final trained classifier was then applied all specimens without further annotations, including the					

- inspected for appropriate fit to the original images by three researchers. To calculate amyloid
- 117 load in each sample, the amyloid pixel count was divided by the sum of the amyloid,
- 118 calcifications, and tissue pixel counts (Figure 1E). When a patient had multiple specimens, the
- amyloid load of all available slides was quantified, and the arithmetic mean reported.
- 120





Figure 1. Quantification with TWS. A) A digitized image of the ligamentum flavum specimen is imported into Fiji and opened within the TWS graphical user interface. B) Manual annotations are drawn that correspond to each class of interest. C) The classifier is then trained to learn the characteristics of each class through these annotations and segments the rest of the image. An overlay is generated for the user to inspect the fit of the segmentation to the original image. Additional annotations may be added or prior annotations removed, re-training the algorithm as necessary until reaching desired fit. D) After satisfactory fit with the overlay, a final image is

generated that assigns the pixels of each class to different colors. E) Calculation of the numbersof pixels in each class then allows for quantification of the total area of each component.

131

132 Ligamentum flavum thickness measurements

Preoperative axial T2-weighted MRI scans were used to measure LF thickness at all lumbar 133 134 levels (L1-S1). A sagittal view was used to localize the lumbar level involved, and LF thickness 135 was measured in the MRI viewer at the facet joint of the lumbar level as previously described in Sakamaki et al.¹³ An example of how we quantified LF thickness is illustrated in Figure 2, 136 137 showing perpendicular measurements at the edge of the inferior articular process of the facet 138 joint. LF thickness was measured bilaterally at each level by measuring tools within the MRI 139 viewer, and the arithmetic mean was recorded. Lumbar LF burden is calculated as the sum of mean LF thicknesses across all lumbar levels from L1-L2 to L5-S1. Two reviewers (who were 140 uninvolved in the histological assessment of amyloid load) manually measured all specimens and 141 142 were unaware of amyloid status. To assess inter-observer reliability, we generated an intraclass coefficient (ICC).¹⁴ Sample sizes for inter-rater reliability were determined using Walter et al. 143 144 and Zou methods for estimations with $\rho 0 = 0.6$ assumed for minimal acceptable value of reliability,^{15, 16} and calculations were carried out using a subset of 34 measurements. The ICC 145 was found to be 0.89 (p < 0.0001, 95% CI 0.80-0.93), using ICC3. This finding is consistent 146 147 with good inter-rater reliability (good: 0.75-0.9; excellent: > 0.9). 148



Figure 2. Example of LF thickness measurement on preoperative T2-weighted MRI.

151

149

152 *Statistical Analysis*

153 Statistical analysis was conducted using R version 4.1.1 and figures were produced using the

154 package *ggpubr*. Pearson correlation assessed the correlation between amyloid load and

155 ligamentum flavum thickness, as well as between amyloid load and lumbar LF burden. A p-value

156 < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

157

158 RESULTS

- 159 Baseline patient characteristics are listed in Table 1. The mean age of patients was 72.7 years
- 160 old, with a standard deviation of 7.2 years (range 59-87). There was a preponderance of males
- 161 (20 males vs 13 females). Most patients were white (82%). The level of decompression surgery,
- 162 which could involve more than one level, showed a predominance at L4-L5 (73%).

163

164

Table 1. Baseline characteristics

Variable	Patients $N = 33^1$			
Age	72.7 (7.2)			
Sex				
F	13 (39%)			
Μ	20 (61%)			
BMI	29.7 (5.1)			
Level of Surgery				
L1-L2	4 (12%)			
L2-L3	8 (24%)			
L3-L4	13 (39%)			
L4-L5	24 (73%)			
L5-S1	5 (15%)			
Race				
Asian	4 (12%)			
African American	1 (3.0%)			
Hispanic	1 (3.0%)			
White	27 (82%)			

¹Mean (SD); n (%)

The percentage of amyloid load was calculated for each specimen, with a unimodal distribution and a peak between 3-4% (Figure 3A). LF thickness at the operative level was measured for each patient on preoperative MRIs, with the majority of thicknesses at similar levels between 3-7 mm (Figure 3B). The sum of all lumbar LF thicknesses, or "lumbar LF burden," was calculated for each patient, and shown to have a bimodal distribution with peaks between 18-20 mm and between 26-28 mm (Figure 3C).

172





174 Figure 3. Histograms of A) amyloid load, B) LF thickness at the operative level, and C) lumbar

LF burden.

- 175
- 176

A scatter plot of amyloid load and LF thickness at the surgical level is presented in Figure 4A. Amyloid load was shown to be positively correlated with LF thickness, achieving statistical significance (R = 0.345, p = 0.0492). Ligamentum flavum thickness was also measured between all lumbar levels L1-S1 including non-symptomatic and non-operative levels. A scatter plot of amyloid load and lumbar LF burden is presented in Figure 4B. Amyloid load was shown to be positively correlated with lumbar LF burden, achieving statistical significance (R = 0.383, p =0.0279).



Figure 4. Scatter plots of amyloid load with surgical level LF thickness and lumbar LF
burden. A) Amyloid load versus surgical level of LF thickness, positive correlation with p-value
= 0.049. B). Amyloid load versus lumbar LF burden, positive correlation with p-value = 0.028.

190 DISCUSSION

185

191 There is a positive and dose-dependent relationship between amyloid load and LF thickness at 192 the surgical level as well as between amyloid load and lumbar LF burden. Lumbar LF burden is 193 the sum of the LF thicknesses at all lumbar levels, and this positive association implies that the 194 average LF thickness at each level is significantly correlated with amyloid load. These findings 195 support a previous study by Yanagisawa et al. that analyzed 43 patients, finding positive 196 correlation between amyloid load and lumbar LF thickness (r = 0.54, p-value < 0.0005).⁸ A 197 limitation of that study was the methodology for obtaining amyloid deposit measurements, only 198 reporting it was obtained with ImageJ without further detail. Other publications assessing 199 amyloid load through computational methods have used the color thresholding function in 200 ImageJ, which we have previously shown to be suboptimal.¹¹ Our method utilizes powerful and

201 precise machine learning quantification to measure amyloid load, which outperforms color 202 thresholding. While the results shown in this paper are statistically significant, our p-values were 203 not as low as those in the study by Yanagisawa et al. However, these p-values cannot be 204 compared to each other as these are completely different studies. Furthermore, there may be a 205 more complex relationship between the amount of amyloid and LF thickness in patients 206 harboring ATTRwt in their LF and perhaps a larger sample size is needed for increased statistical 207 power to better assess this relationship.

208

209 Our results suggest that amyloid may not simply be a bystander to LF thickening, but rather a 210 direct contributor in a dose-dependent manner. The mechanism by which LF thickening occurs 211 has yet to be fully understood. Currently, studies have identified TGF- β as part of the pathway in 212 LF thickening, responsible for transforming fibroblasts to myofibroblasts and leading to increased collagen production.¹⁷ IL-6 is another implicated biomarker, associated with increased 213 fibrosis and expression of collagen type I and III.¹⁸ TGF-β in the presence of IL-6 has been 214 shown to induce an inflammatory response.¹⁹ Specifically, transforming growth factor beta 215 216 $(TGF-\beta)$ and interleukin 6 (IL-6) promote the differentiation of Th17 cells, leading to cytokine 217 release. Additionally, the misfolding of amyloid itself could be another mechanism, with the normally buried hydrophobic residues now exposed to the environment.²⁰ Future studies should 218 219 focus on biochemical pathways of amyloid load within the ligamentum flavum in order to 220 understand how amyloid specifically leads to LF thickening.

221

The study has several limitations. The presence of ATTRwt in one specimen was used to inferpositivity at all levels, as the presence of amyloid cannot be confirmed at asymptomatic levels

224 without further resection. There may also be sampling bias due to what the surgeon sends as a LF 225 specimen. Some patients may have had previous spinal decompression surgeries at other levels 226 prior to this study, underestimating the lumbar LF burden. Another limitation could be using a 227 Congo red stain from a single block to extrapolate amyloid density throughout the specimen, as 228 there may be variation in amyloid density in different blocks from the same specimen. Lastly, 229 this study only includes patients undergoing decompressive surgery. Thus, there may be collider 230 bias, also known as index event bias, which is when studies select patients based on an index event, in this case spinal surgery.²¹ This type of bias tends to shift study results towards the null, 231 232 underestimating contributions as may be seen in this study. 233 234 In addition to understanding biochemical pathways, future studies may explore the potential of 235 drug therapy for halting the progression of LF thickening in patients with amyloid. For ATTRwt, 236 several therapies exist to reduce its production, stabilize ATTRwt, and remove fibrils, studied in the context of cardiac amyloidosis.²² Tafamidis is a well-known example that is FDA-approved 237 for cardiomyopathy of wild-type or hereditary TTR-mediated amyloidosis.²³ Although not yet 238 239 studied in the context of LF thickening, tafamidis may potentially help reduce the buildup of 240 ATTRwt in the LF and slow its thickening. This study lays the foundation for possible 241 intervention studies such as a longitudinal study of patients on tafamidis, or even a clinical trial. 242 243 **CONCLUSIONS**

The data from this study suggest that amyloid load is positively correlated with LF thickness in adose-dependent manner in patients with ATTRwt. Further studies may investigate the

246	biochemical pathways involved in this process, as well as potential drug targets for reducing LF						
247	thickening.						
248							
249	Acknowledgments: We would also like to thank Joanna R. O'Brien from the Tufts Pathology						
250	department for retrieving and scanning the histological slides of ligamentum flavum.						
251							
252	Sources of support: Andy Y. Wang was supported by the National Center for Advancing						
253	Translational Sciences, National Institutes of Health, Award Number TL1TR002546. The						
254	content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official						
255	views of the NIH.						
256							
257	Conflict of interest: All authors report no conflict of interest.						
258							
259	References						
260	1. Sun C, Zhang H, Wang X, Liu X. Ligamentum flavum fibrosis and hypertrophy:						
261	Molecular pathways, cellular mechanisms, and future directions. Faseb j. Aug 2020;34(8):9854-						
262	9868. doi:10.1096/fj.202000635R						
263	2. Sueyoshi T, Ueda M, Jono H, et al. Wild-type transthyretin-derived amyloidosis in						
264	various ligaments and tendons. Hum Pathol. Sep 2011;42(9):1259-64.						
265	doi:10.1016/j.humpath.2010.11.017						
266	3. Westermark P, Westermark GT, Suhr OB, Berg S. Transthyretin-derived amyloidosis:						
267	probably a common cause of lumbar spinal stenosis. Ups J Med Sci. Aug 2014;119(3):223-8.						
268	doi:10.3109/03009734.2014.895786						

200	4	0'11' '017		C 1'	1 • 1 • •	1 /	.1 1 • 1	1
769	4	Siddidi ()K	Ruberg FL	Cardiac amy	VINIANCIC A	n undate on i	nathonhysiology	diagnosis
205	-T·	Diddigi OIX,	Ruberg I D.		y 10100313. 1 1	In update on	pathophysiology,	ulugilosis,

and treatment. Trends Cardiovasc Med. Jan 2018;28(1):10-21. doi:10.1016/j.tcm.2017.07.004

5. Godara A, Riesenburger RI, Zhang DX, et al. Association between spinal stenosis and

- wild-type ATTR amyloidosis. *Amyloid*. Jul 15 2021:1-8. doi:10.1080/13506129.2021.1950681
- 273 6. Aus dem Siepen F, Hein S, Prestel S, et al. Carpal tunnel syndrome and spinal canal
- 274 stenosis: harbingers of transthyretin amyloid cardiomyopathy? Clin Res Cardiol. Dec
- 275 2019;108(12):1324-1330. doi:10.1007/s00392-019-01467-1
- 276 7. Eldhagen P, Berg S, Lund LH, Sorensson P, Suhr OB, Westermark P. Transthyretin
- amyloid deposits in lumbar spinal stenosis and assessment of signs of systemic amyloidosis. J
- 278 Intern Med. Jun 2021;289(6):895-905. doi:10.1111/joim.13222
- 279 8. Yanagisawa A, Ueda M, Sueyoshi T, et al. Amyloid deposits derived from transthyretin
- in the ligamentum flavum as related to lumbar spinal canal stenosis. *Mod Pathol*. Feb
- 281 2015;28(2):201-7. doi:10.1038/modpathol.2014.102
- 282 9. George KM, Hernandez NS, Breton J, et al. Increased thickness of lumbar spine
- ligamentum flavum in wild-type transthyretin amyloidosis. *J Clin Neurosci*. Feb 2021;84:33-37.
- 284 doi:10.1016/j.jocn.2020.11.029
- 285 10. George KM, Hernandez NS, Breton J, et al. Lumbar ligamentum flavum burden:
- 286 Evaluating the role of ATTRwt amyloid deposition in ligamentum flavum thickness at all lumbar
- 287 levels. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. Jul 2021;206:106708. doi:10.1016/j.clineuro.2021.106708
- 288 11. Wang AY, Sharma V, Saini H, et al. Machine Learning Quantification of Amyloid
- 289 Deposits in Histological Images of Ligamentum Flavum. *Journal of Pathology Informatics*.
- 290 2022/01/01/ 2022;13:100013. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpi.2022.100013</u>

- 291 12. Vrana JA, Gamez JD, Madden BJ, Theis JD, Bergen HR, 3rd, Dogan A. Classification of
- amyloidosis by laser microdissection and mass spectrometry-based proteomic analysis in clinical
- biopsy specimens. *Blood*. Dec 3 2009;114(24):4957-9. doi:10.1182/blood-2009-07-230722
- 294 13. Sakamaki T, Sairyo K, Sakai T, Tamura T, Okada Y, Mikami H. Measurements of
- ligamentum flavum thickening at lumbar spine using MRI. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. Oct
- 296 2009;129(10):1415-9. doi:10.1007/s00402-009-0849-1
- 297 14. Shrout PE, Fleiss JL. Intraclass correlations: uses in assessing rater reliability. *Psychol*
- 298 Bull. Mar 1979;86(2):420-8. doi:10.1037//0033-2909.86.2.420
- 299 15. Walter SD, Eliasziw M, Donner A. Sample size and optimal designs for reliability
- 300 studies. Stat Med. Jan 15 1998;17(1):101-10. doi:10.1002/(sici)1097-
- 301 0258(19980115)17:1<101::aid-sim727>3.0.co;2-e
- 302 16. Zou GY. Sample size formulas for estimating intraclass correlation coefficients with
- 303 precision and assurance. *Stat Med.* Dec 20 2012;31(29):3972-81. doi:10.1002/sim.5466
- 17. Hur JW, Bae T, Ye S, et al. Myofibroblast in the ligamentum flavum hypertrophic
- activity. *Eur Spine J.* Aug 2017;26(8):2021-2030. doi:10.1007/s00586-017-4981-2
- 306 18. Sun C, Wang Z, Tian JW, Wang YH. Leptin-induced inflammation by activating IL-6
- 307 expression contributes to the fibrosis and hypertrophy of ligamentum flavum in lumbar spinal
- 308 canal stenosis. *Biosci Rep.* Apr 27 2018;38(2)doi:10.1042/bsr20171214
- 309 19. Prud'homme GJ. Pathobiology of transforming growth factor beta in cancer, fibrosis and
- 310 immunologic disease, and therapeutic considerations. *Lab Invest*. Nov 2007;87(11):1077-91.
- doi:10.1038/labinvest.3700669
- 312 20. Kim MJ, Baek D, Truong L, Ro JY. Pathologic Findings of Amyloidosis: Recent
- 313 Advances. IntechOpen. 2019;doi:10.5772/intechopen.84268

- 314 21. Dahabreh IJ, Kent DM. Index event bias as an explanation for the paradoxes of
- 315 recurrence risk research. Jama. Feb 23 2011;305(8):822-3. doi:10.1001/jama.2011.163
- 316 22. Ruberg FL, Grogan M, Hanna M, Kelly JW, Maurer MS. Transthyretin Amyloid
- 317 Cardiomyopathy: JACC State-of-the-Art Review. J Am Coll Cardiol. Jun 11 2019;73(22):2872-
- 318 2891. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2019.04.003
- 319 23. Park J, Egolum U, Parker S, Andrews E, Ombengi D, Ling H. Tafamidis: A First-in-
- 320 Class Transthyretin Stabilizer for Transthyretin Amyloid Cardiomyopathy. Ann Pharmacother.
- 321 May 2020;54(5):470-477. doi:10.1177/1060028019888489