1 Name of Journal: World Journal of Psychiatry 2 3 Manuscript NO: 4 5 Manuscript Type: ORIGINAL ARTICLE 6 7 8 Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Mental Health Impact on BAME 9 **Populations with Preterm Birth** 10 11 12 Running title: Mental health impact on BAME women with preterm birth 13 14 15 Delanerolle G^{1,2}, Zeng Y², *Phiri P^{4,5}, Phan T³, Tempest N^{3,6}, Busuulwa P^{3,6}, Shetty A⁷, Raymont V⁸, Rathod S⁴, Shi JQ^{2,9}, Hapangama DK^{3,6} 16 17 18 19 20 Affiliations 21 22 ¹Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, OX3 7JX, Oxford, UK 23 ²Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, 518055, China 24 ³Department of Women's & Children's Health, University of Liverpool, L7 8TX, Liverpool, UK 25 ⁴Psychology Department, Faculty of Environmental and Life Sciences, University of Southampton, 26 SO17 1BJ, Southampton, UK 27 ⁵Southern Health NHS Foundation Trust, SO40 2RZ, UK 28 ⁶Gvnaecology directorate and Hewitt Centre for Reproductive Medicine, Liverpool Women's NHS 29 Foundation, L8 7SS, Liverpool, UK 30 ⁷University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, W1T 4AJ, London, UK 31 ⁸Department of Psychiatry, University of Oxford, OX3 7JX, Oxford, UK 32 ⁹The Alan Turing Institute, NW1 2DB, London, UK 33 34 35 36 37 38 *Corresponding author: Dr Peter Phiri, BSc, PhD, RN, Director of Research & Innovation/ 39 Visiting Fellow, Research & Innovation Department, Southern Health NHS Foundation Trust, Clinical 40 Trials Facility, Tom Rudd Unit Moorgreen Hospital, Botley Road, West End, Southampton SO30 3JB, 41 United Kingdom. peter.phiri@southernhealth.nhs.uk 42 43 44 45 Declarations 46 47 Funding 48 The work presented in this manuscript was not funded by any specific grants. However, the authors 49 GD and PP are supported by the Sponsor Southern Health NHS Foundation Trust. 50 51 **Conflicts of interest** 52 PP has received research grant from Novo Nordisk, and other, educational from Queen Mary 53 University of London, other from John Wiley & Sons, other from Otsuka, outside the submitted work. 54 SR reports other from Janssen, Lundbeck and Otsuka outside the submitted work. All other authors 55 report no conflict of interest. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those 56 of the NHS, the National Institute for Health Research, the Department of Health and Social Care or 57 the Academic institutions. 1

58

59 Availability of data and material

60 All data used within this study has been publicly available. The authors will consider sharing the dataset gathered upon request.

62

63 Code availability

64 Not applicable.

65

66 Author contributions

67 GD and DKH developed the systematic review protocol and embedded this within the ELEMI project's 68 evidence synthesis phase. GD,YZ,TP,JQZ and DKH wrote the first draft of the manuscript. GD, TP, 69 YZ, DKH, JS and PP shared database searches, study selection and extraction for analysis. YZ, JS 70 and GD conducted the analysis. All authors critically appraised and commented on previous versions 71 of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

72

73 Ethics approval

74 Not applicable.

75 76

Consent to participate

77 Not applicable.

78

79 Consent for publication

- 80 Not applicable.
- 81

82 Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge support from Southern Health NHS Foundation Trust, University College
 London and Liverpool Women's hospital. We would like to acknowledge Mrs Nyla Haque who inspired
 the discussion of BAME groups within the context of this study.

86

This paper is part of the multifaceted ELEMI project that is sponsored by Southern Health NHS
 Foundation Trust and in collaboration with the University of Liverpool, Liverpool Women's Hospital,

- 89 University College London, University College London NHS Foundation Trust, University of
- 90 Southampton, Robinson Institute-University of Adelaide, Ramaiah Memorial Hospital (India).

91 University of Geneva and Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust.

- 92
- 93 Words: 4994

94

- 95
- 96

97 Abstract

98

99 Background:

Preterm birth (PTB) is one of the main causes of neonatal deaths globally, with approximately 15 million infants are born preterm. Therefore, the mental health (MH) impact experienced by mothers experiencing a PTB is important, especially within the Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic (BAME) populations.

- 103 popu
- 105 Aim
- 106 The aims of the study were to determine the prevalence of MH conditions among BAME women with 107 PTB as well as the MH assessments used to characterise the MH outcomes.
- 108
- 109

110 Methods:

111 A systematic methodology was developed and published as a protocol in PROSPERO 112 (CRD42020210863). Multiple databases were used to extract relevant data. I² and Egger's tests were 113 used to detect the heterogeneity and publication bias. A *Trim and fill* method was used to 114 demonstrate the influence of publication bias and the credibility of conclusions.

116 Results:

Thirty-nine studies met the eligibility criteria from a possible 3526. The prevalence rates of depression among PTB-BAME mothers were significantly higher than full-term mothers with a standard median deviation (SMD) of 1.5 and a 95% confidence interval (CI) 29-74%. The subgroup analysis indicated, depressive symptoms to be time sensitive. Women within the *very PTB* category demonstrated a significantly higher prevalence of depression than those categorised as *non-very* PTB. The prevalence rates of anxiety and stress among PTB-BAME mothers were significantly higher than fullterm mothers (OR of 88% and 60% with a CI of 42%-149% and 24-106%, respectively).

- 124
- 125 Conclusion:

BAME women with PTB suffers with MH conditions. Many studies did not report on BAME population specific MH outcomes. Therefore, the impact of PTB is not accurately represented in this population,

- 128 and thus could negatively influence the quality of maternity services.
- 129
- 130 Key words
- 131 Preterm Labor, Preterm birth, BAME, Mental Health, Women's Health and Wellbeing
- 132
- 133
- 134
- 135 Core Tip136

This study demonstrates the mental health impact due to preterm birth among the Black, Asian and Ethnic minority women. There is minimal research available at present around this subject matter, and potential disease sequalae.

- 140 141
- 141
- 143
- 144
- 145

$146 \quad \textbf{1. Introduction}$

147

148 Preterm birth (PTB) is a multi-etiological condition and a leading cause of perinatal mortality and 149 morbidity [1]. PTB can be categorized as per the World Health Organisation (WHO) classification 150 methods as extreme preterm (gestational age <28 weeks), very preterm (gestational age of 28-32 151 weeks) and moderately preterm (32-37 weeks). Most preterm infants are at risk of developing 152 respiratory and gastrointestinal complications [2]. The PTB rates are higher in most developed 153 regions of the world despite advances in medicine. PTB are at the highest level in the US for between 154 12-15% and 5-9% in Europe. In comparison, PTB rates in China range between 4.7-18.9% (1987-155 2006) and Taiwan 8.2-9.1% [3]. The prevalence of PTB increased from 9.8% in 2000 to 10.6% by 156 2014 and has become a global public health issue [1]. However, the mental health (MH) impact 157 associated with PTB is not extensively examined, despite it potentially may exacerbate the patient's 158 experience of a distressing birth. Furthermore, clearly pronounced risk of PTB among Black women 159 have been reported in studies from US or UK [4,5], with limited data on the risk among other ethnic 160 groups. While health disparities, social deprivation are recognised risk factors for PTB that are also 161 frequently associated with Black Asian Minority Ethnic (BAME) populations, the available data on 162 ethnic disparities associated with PTB remains limited.

163

In the UK alone, health disparities within Caribbean and West African populations demonstrate a significant risk of very PTB in comparison to Caucasians. Similar risks within the South Asian community appear to be less consistent in comparison to Caucasian PTB women [11]. In the UK, National Health Service (NHS) England reports improvements to maternity services are a priority as

168 part of the NHS 10-year plan [12]. As per the 2018 Public Health England report on maternity 169 services, 1 in 4 of all births within Wales and England were to mothers born outside the UK [12]. 170 Additionally, 13% of all infants born between 2013-2017 are from the BAME population [12]. 171 Importantly, Black women were 5 times more at risk of death during parturition and Asian babies are 172 73% more likely to result in neonatal death compared to Caucasian women [12], therefore, the MH 173 impact experienced by PTB mothers is vital to evaluate particularly in the BAME population. A number 174 of socio-economic, genetic and obstetric causes have been proposed to explain MH disorders among 175 PTB women, but these theories do not fully explain the aetiology. Furthermore, they also exclude the 176 bidirectional relationship between PTB, and MH conditions demonstrated by some studies [13] 177 [18][19].

178

179 This available evidence demonstrates a need to explore the MH impact on BAME women with PTB. 180 We believe that gathering this evidence would inform the forthcoming evidence-based women's 181 health strategy in the UK to explore both the physical and MH components, and to be inclusive using 182 cultural adaptations where appropriate.

- 183
- 184

185 **2.** Materials & Methods

186

187 An evidence synthesis methodology was developed using a systematic protocol that was developed 188 and published on PROSPERO (CRD42020210863). The aims of the study were to determine the 189 prevalence of MH conditions among BAME women with PTB as well as the MH assessments used to 190 characterise the MH outcomes.

191

192 2.1 Data searches

193

Multiple databases were used, including PubMed, EMBASE, Science direct, and The Cochrane Central Register of Controlled trials for the data extraction process. Searches were carried out using multiple keywords and MeSH terms such as *Depression, Anxiety, Mood disorders, PTSD, Psychological distress, Psychological stress, Psychosis, Bipolar, Mental Health, Unipolar, self-harm, BAME, Preterm birth, Maternal wellbeing and Psychiatry disorders.* These terms were then expanded using the 'snow-ball' method and the fully developed methods are in the supplementary section (supp. methods 1).

201

203

202 2.2 Eligibility criteria and study selection

All eligible randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and non-RCTs published in English were included. The final dataset was reviewed independently. Multiple MH variables were used alongside of the 2 primary variables of PTB and BAME.

- 208 2.3 Data extraction and Analysis
- 209

207

210 The extraction and eligibility has been demonstrated using a PRISMA diagram [Supplementary 211 PRISMA Diagram].

212

The data was collected using Endnote and Microsoft excel. Stata 16.1 was used as a way to complete the final statistical analysis. Standardized Mean Difference (SMD) and 95% Confidence Interval (CI) were extracted for analysis. Heterogeneity was assessed by way of funnel plots, χ^2 -test (P-value) and I^2 . A sub-group analysis was conducted to determine the MH symptomatologies identified and the geographical location.

219 Due to the unified use of MH assessments, in order to standardize the mean differences reported 220 within each study, the following mathematical method was used [25-27]:

$$\widehat{g_k} = (1 - \frac{3}{4n_k - 9}) \frac{\widehat{u_{ek}} - \widehat{u_{ck}}}{\sqrt{((n_{ek} - 1)s_{ek}^2 + (n_{ck} - 1)s_{ck}^2)/(n_k - 2)}}$$
$$\widehat{Var}(\widehat{g_k}) = \frac{n_k}{n_{ek} \cdot n_{ck}} + \frac{\widehat{g_k}^2}{2(n_k - 3.94)}$$

where $n_k = n_{ek} + n_{ck}$, n_{ek} , $\hat{u_{ek}}$, s_{ek} are the number, mean and standard variation of exposed group and n_{ck} , $\hat{u_{ck}}$, s_{ck} are the number, mean and standard variation of control group. Then we can obtain the 95% confidence interval by

$$\widehat{g_k} \pm 1.96 * S.E.(\widehat{g_k})$$

224 where S. E. $(\widehat{g_k}) = \sqrt{Var(\widehat{g_k})}$.

226 2.4 Meta-regression and sub-group analysis

To eliminate heterogeneity, a meta-regression and sub-group analyses were conducted by MH
 assessment timepoints and country.

231 2.5 Sensitivity analysis

To further analyse the heterogeneity of studies reporting depression and anxiety, a sensitivity analysiswas conducted.

235

232

225

227

- 236 2.6 Risk of bias quality assessment
- 237

Studies included within this study were critically appraised individually using MH variables. All studies appraised for methodological quality and risk of bias based on the Newcastle-Ottawa-Scale (NOS), which is commonly used for cross-sectional and/or cohort studies as demonstrated by Wells et al. [76]. These could be further modified using the adapted NOS version as reported by Modesti et al. [77] and colleagues. The NOS scale includes 8 items within 3 specific quality parameters of selection, outcome and comparability. The quality of these studies was reported as good, fair or poor based on the details below;

245 246

247

248

249

250

251

252

- Good quality score of 3 or 4 stars were awarded in selection, 1 or 2 in comparability and 2 or 3 stars in outcomes
- Fair quality score of 2 stars were awarded in selection, 1 or 2 stars in comparability and 2 or 3 stars in outcomes
 - Poor quality score was allocated 0 or 1 star in selection, 0 stars in comparability and 0 or 1 star in outcomes
- 253 2.7 Outcomes
- 254
- 255
- 256
- 257 258 259

260

Prevalence of anxiety, depression, PTSD and stress

The following outcomes were included within the meta-analysis;

- Clinical significance of the data identified
- Critical interpretive synthesis of common MH reported outcomes
- Outcomes such as PPD could not be synthesised for the meta-analysis. Therefore, these aspectshave been included in the narrative analysis only.
- 263

264 2.8 Publication bias

265

Publication bias is a concern to the validity of conclusion of a meta-analysis. As a result, several methods could be used to assess this aspect. An egger's test was used to report on publication bias. Additionally, a *trim and fill* (TAF) method was used to analyse the influence of publication bias. TAF estimates any missing studies due to publication bias within the funnel plot to adjust the overall effect estimate.

271

272 2.9 Patient and public involvement273

A representative from a patient-public focus group associated with a multi-morbid project investigating women's physical and mental health sequalae was invited to review the protocol and the resulting paper. This is a vital facet of developing and delivering an authentic evidence synthesis to reduce the gap between evidence production, development of solutions to address the identified gaps and the implementation of the solutions into practice as well as their acceptability by patients.

279280 3. Results

281282 3.1 Meta-analysis

283

Of the 3526 studies, 39 met the eligibility criteria. All 39 studies reported the MH status of BAME women with PTB although it remained unclear if they reported MH symptoms or clinical diagnoses. Figure 1 shows the PRISMA diagram. The MH assessments and frequency of the data gathering varied across studies. The 39 studies primarily reported stress, anxiety and depression and the key characteristics (Table 1) and the keys features of the MH assessments (Table 2 and Supplementary information) used within these studies are demonstrated in Tables 1 and 2.

- 290
- 291 Depression 292

Of the 39 studies, 36 primarily reported an association between the prevalence of depression and PTB. Fifteen studies only examined the differences of non-depressive symptoms as well other factors such as race, ethnicity, plurality across multiple assessment timepoints although, they were not compared to full-term birth mothers of BAME decent. The overall SMD was 0.4 and 95%Cl of a range of 0.25-0.56, indicating the prevalence of depression in PTB mothers to be significantly higher than mothers who delivered at term. I^2 =82.69% indicated high heterogeneity among the depression group.

- 300 Shaw and colleagues [44] focused on the association between depression symptoms and the 301 efficiency of Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS), although the specificity of EPDS to the 302 BAME population was not demonstrated. Since most of the studies reported mean and SD, we pooled 303 mean differences and its 95% CI. Seven of the studies lacked information about mean score and SD, 304 thus, were excluded from the meta-analysis. Gray and colleagues [28,29] used the same dataset in 305 two papers, therefore one of these was included into the meta-analysis. Therefore, a total of 12 306 studies were included in the meta-analysis as indicated by Table 3. Additionally, Gueron-Sela [30] 307 studied two ethnicities, therefore it was used twice as reported in Table 3. Therefore, 13 items were 308 reported in the meta-analysis for depression. The meta-analyses for anxiety and stress had 5 studies 309 each, as demonstrated in Table 4 and 5.
- 310
- 311 Anxiety 312

The 12 studies reporting anxiety utilised EDPS, the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS-A), Centre for Epidemiological Studies Depression (CES-D),

315 Beck's Depression Inventory (BDI) and Profile of Mood States (POMS) as their assessment tool. The

total scores of these scales are different, and the mean difference of the studies are not compatible.

Four studies reported on anxiety using STAI and HADS-A as their MH assessment of choice. The overall SMD of Anxiety was 0.63 with 95%CI of 0.35-0.91. I^2 =86.83% also indicated high heterogeneity among anxiety group.

- 320
- 321 Stress and Parent stress index

322

Studies reporting stress used the Parent Stress Index (PSI) assessment on three separate timepoints along with the Professional Personality Questionnaire (PPQ) and the Perceived Stress Measure (PSM). The total scores of these scales in each meta-analysis are different, and the mean difference of the studies are not compatible. The overall SMD of Stress was 0.47 with 95%Cl 0.22-0.72. l^2 =77.55% indicated high heterogeneity among stress group.

328 329 PTSD

330

Suttora et al [36] was the only study reporting on PTSD. The reported mean and SD of the symptoms
 of PTSD were transformed to SMD. The SMD was 1.12 with a 95%CI of 0.84-1.40 indicated
 significantly high PTSD symptoms among BAME PTB women than the term mothers.

334

Assessment of MH at differing timepoints for depression, anxiety and stress were evaluated between full term and PTB mothers. Different mean scores and SD values were reported across the included studies. The dataset was unified with converting the mean difference to the standardised mean difference (SMD) and demonstrated in the forest plots (Figures 2, 3 and 4).

339

This meta-analysis identified depression to be a primary MH outcome among PTB mothers and significantly higher prevalence rates of depression was reported in PTB mothers compared with fullterm mothers.

343

344 3.2 Meta-regression analysis345

Of the 16 studies included within the meta-regression analysis for depression, 5 reported mean scores and SD of the MH questionnaires used at parturition. Four studies recorded the mean and SD at 1-month post-delivery, while another four studies reported the same at 1 to 8 months post-delivery. To eliminate the heterogeneity, these studies were adjusted by timepoints (Figure 5).

350

The estimated intercept for depression is 0.629 with a 95% CI of 0.455-0.804. This indicates the MH assessment scores within the PTB group were significantly higher than full-term group at the birth. The coefficient of the covariate time was -0.061 with a 95% CI of -0.094, -0.028 indicating that the coefficients of time were significantly lower than 0. This is indicative of a reduction depression symptoms post-delivery. Heterogeneity decreased from 82.69% to 79.82%, and the differences of assessment timepoints could explain the 31.75% of the heterogeneity identified.

357

Nine studies that reported anxiety were included in the meta-regression (Figure 6). The estimated intercept was 0.772 with a 95%Cl of 0.500-1.045 which indicates the MH assessment scores of the PTB group are significantly higher than the scores of full-term group. The coefficient of the covariate time is -0.136 with 95%Cl of -0.262, -0.010 indicating that the symptoms of anxiety gradually disappeared among PTB group following birth. Heterogeneity reduced from 86.83% to 80.29%. The differing timepoints in administering the MH assessment could explain 34.91% of the heterogeneity (Figure 6).

365

Following the reduction of heterogeneity by way of the meta-regression method, the statistical conclusions demonstrate a statistical significance where the prevalence of depression among BAME

368 women with PTB was higher in comparison to BAME women who delivered at full-term. The I^2 was 369 almost 80% which indicates a high heterogeneity.

370

The pooled SMD within the studies using PTB mothers from USA was 0.46 with a 95%Cl of -0.43 - 1.35. The pooled SMD within Australia was 0.44 with a 95% Cl of 0.07-0.81. l^2 of these two subgroups indicated a high heterogeneity: 0.38 and 0.18 respectively. The assessment timepoints of these two groups have a significant difference which could be the source of the high heterogeneity. As there were only 2 studies, a meta-regression of the timepoints could not be completed.

376 377

378 3.3 Subgroup analysis

379

A subgroup analysis of depression and anxiety was completed using geographical location as demonstrated in Supplementary Figures 1-3. For depression, the pooled SMD within Greece, Italy, Israel and Turkey was 0.57 with a 95%Cl of 0.4-0.74. The pooled SMD within UK was 0.12 with a 95% Cl of 0.03-0.21. *I*² was denoted to be indicating a lack of heterogeneity as demonstrated in Supplementary Figure 1.

385

Although a meta-regression was not conducted for the pooled SMD within the studies with PTB
 mothers from USA, a subgroup analysis demonstrated that the high heterogeneity could be attributed
 to the differences of timepoints of the MH assessments.

389

Of the 39 studies included in the systematic review, thirteen studies were from North America [1,3,6,8,12,19,21,25,27,29,34,35,39], thirteen from Europe [2,5,7,10,13,18,20,22,23,28,30,37,38], six studies from Australia [9,11,17,24,31,32], three from Asia [15,16,33], three from the Middle East [4,14.26] and one from South Africa [36]. These have been demonstrated in Table 1. Depression was the most frequently reported theme across all the studies, followed by anxiety and stress (Table 6). A variety of diagnostic tools were used across the studies, which reflects the diverse clinical practices across different countries.

397

Based on the identified data, PTB women from the Mediterranean region (Greece, Italy, Turkey and Israel) may be more prone to depressive symptoms in comparison to BAME women with PTB in Australia and the US. The pooled OR and its respective 95% CIs appear credible for PTB BAME women experiencing a significantly higher prevalence of depression post-parturition, although the MH symptoms appear to reduce over time.

403

The pooled SMD of anxiety within USA was 1.12 with 95%Cl of 0.58-1.66 whilst the pooled SMD of the Mediterranean region (Greece, Italy, Turkey) was 0.66 with a 95%Cl of 0.37-0.95. The pooled SMD of Australia was 0.35 with a 95%Cl of -0.54 -1.23 (Supplementary Figure 2). BAME women with PTB from Australia appear to have less symptoms of anxiety and the main source of the high heterogeneity in subgroup was still from the timepoints.

409

410 In relation to assessing stress, Gray and colleagues [28,29] conducted MH assessments at months 4 411 and 12, post-parturition. Whilst this appears to be useful follow-up data to evaluate, the outcome 412 measures were analysing 2 different MH variables of parenting stress and general stress. As shown 413 in Figure 12, four studies reported on parenting stress and 2 of them reported on the overall state of 414 stress. The subgroup analysis conducted indicated a lack of heterogeneity between these studies. 415 Mild heterogeneity ($I^2 = 60.69\%$) was identified within the studies included in the stress group alone. 416 The pooled SMD within the parenting group was 0.27 with a 95%CI of 0.15-0.39. In the stress group, 417 the pooled SMD was 1.07 with a 95%Cl of 0.51-1.62. Additionally, the symptoms of parenting stress 418 were less severe within the PTB group (supplementary figures 4 & 5; Table 6). 419

- 420
- 421
- 422

423 3.3 Sensitivity analysis

424 425

Studies reporting depression [32] demonstrated women with severe PTB indicated a high SMD at 426 parturition indicating elevated levels of depressive symptoms (supplementary Figure 6). A 427 combination of worries about very premature babies and the trauma following parturition may further 428 attribute to elevated depressive symptoms. Only Pace and colleagues' study [32] conducted the 429 assessment of questionnaires among the very PTB women group at the birth. Women with a more 430 severe PTB may indicate higher scores of depression, therefore this study was excluded from the 431 sensitivity analysis. After removing Pace and colleagues' study [32], the heterogeneity in Australia 432 reduced from an 1² of 87.79% to 52.99 %. Therefore, conclusions were adjusted from a pooled SMD 433 of 0.42 (with 95%CI 0.28-0.56) to 0.34 (with 95%CI 0.22-0.46). Despite this numerical change, an 434 elevated level of depression among BAME PTB women were visible in comparison to those with a 435 full-term pregnancy (supplementary Figure 6).

436

437 Based on the anxiety studies, Gungor et al [35] in particular, reported extremely small OR and a 438 sensitivity analysis was conducted excluding one possible outlier study, as indicated by

439 supplementary Figure 7. The heterogeneity identified without Gungor et al [35] was 0%. Therefore,

440 this study in particular appears to have design and methodological issues limiting generalisability of

441 the findings. As a result, conclusions were amended from an SMD of 0.63 (with 95%CI 0.35-0.91) to

442 0.7 (with 95%CI 0.42-0.98). Therefore, despite the amendment [35], a significantly high prevalence

443 among BAME PTB women is observed (supplementary Figure 7).

444

445 3.4 Publication bias

446

447 For studies with a small sample size, the pooled OR is significantly higher based on the funnel plots, 448 therefore, these would be prone to publication bias. To assess this further, Egger's tests were 449 conducted for all studies included within the meta-analysis.

450

451 Funnel plots developed within this sample intuitively revealed publication bias (Supplementary 452 Figures 8-10). Egger's test of meta-analysis studies for depression (p-value =0.001), indicated the 453 small sample sizes are a source of publication bias (supplementary Figure 11). The pooled SMD 0.4 454 and associated CI (0.25-0.56) may have been overrated. Therefore, the trim and fill method was used 455 to further improve the statistical conclusions (as indicated in supplementary Figures 11 and 12). The 456 asymmetry of the funnel plot demonstrates the studies could minimally impact publication bias.

457

458 Based on the findings demonstrated in supplementary Figures 11, 3 further studies were imputed to 459 correct the effect size of small studies. The small study effect was eliminated with using the 460 imputation method, and publication bias was corrected (demonstrated in supplementary Figure 12). 461 The Hedge's g (supplementary Figure 12) was significantly higher than 0 among the meta-analysis 462 based and imputed studies. After imputing the 3 new studies and removing the publication bias, the 463 statistical conclusion was adjusted from a SMD of 0.4 with 95%CI of 0.25-0.56 to 0.32 (95%CI of 464 0.18-0.47. Despite the adjustments of publication bias, there was significant evidence that the 465 prevalence of depression among BAME PTB women were higher than those who gave birth at full-466 term (supplementary Figures 12 and 13).

467 Egger's test p-value for anxiety was 0.198, indicating no publication bias exists (demonstrated in 468 supplementary Figure 14).

469

470 Egger's test p-value for stress was 0.036, indicating a slight publication bias among the studies 471 (demonstrated in supplementary Figure 15).

472

473 Ascertainment bias was considered within the context of the meta-analysis. Due to the lack of 474 required details such as the proportion of different ethnic groups and MH assessments, it was not 475 possible to assess this numerically. However, within the context of all the studies included in the 476 systematic review portion of the study, it is evident, there could be ascertainment bias as the sampling 477 methods used in the studies comprise of patients who may or may not have a higher or lower 478 probability of reporting MH symptomatologies. These studies may be subjected to selection bias due 479 to the lack of consistency around frequency of administering the relevant MH instruments. In essence, 480 studies should have had samples with all ethnicities and races (including Caucasians) to better 481 evaluate the true MH impact due to PTB. Furthermore, the sample population should have received a 482 standardised set of MH assessments to determine anxiety, depression, PTSD and other mental 483 illnesses at specific time points during the pre and post-natal period since it is common to have 484 undiagnosed MH conditions. In addition to this, some studies have had attempted to evaluate the MH 485 impact after birth at 8 months although this lacks scientific justification and thereby, epidemiologically 486 insignificant. Furthermore, due to the lack of consistency in assessing and reporting MH outcomes 487 postnatally, attrition bias may be present. However, a definitive conclusion could not be attained 488 numerically due to limitations in the sample sizes reported.

490 4 Discussion

491 492 In this meta-analysis, the prevalence rate of depression among PTB BAME mothers was identified to 493 be significantly higher than in full-term mothers with an OR of 1.50 and 95%CI of 29%-74%. 494 Depressive symptoms were frequently reported postnatally in mothers and fathers of premature 495 infants [13]. The causes of this are likely multifactorial. Social support may influence this relationship. 496 Cheng et al [13] reported that mothers with non-resident fathers experienced higher rates of 497 depressive symptoms, as did the non-resident fathers included in this study. Lack of social support is 498 likely to be further exacerbated by prolonged hospitalisation of preterm infants and the unique 499 challenges faced by infants following hospital discharge. Additionally, mothers may be admitted to 500 hospital prior to delivery, in some cases for weeks, due to conditions like severe preeclampsia or 501 PROM associated with PTB and hence they may be more isolated than mothers of term infants.

502

489

This study defined three sub-groups; assessment timepoint <1 month, 1-8 months and >8 months, and indicated that shorter the time after giving birth, the more significant was the depression. Therefore, the provision of MH support following the immediate post-partum period would benefit patients. Within the first month after delivery, depressive symptoms were significant among PTB mothers; however, by 8 months and after 8 months, the prevalence of depression was only slightly significant among PTB mothers (OR of 1.17 with 95% CI of 8%-27%; OR of 1.06 with a CI of 1%-12%).

510

511 Separation of the infant and the mother is an important and frequent occurrence in PTB, which may 512 explain why mothers of preterm infants are at increased risk of depression. Furthermore, maternal co-513 morbidities including preeclampsia or recovery from an obstetrics intervention such as a caesarean 514 section may also impact on a mother's ability to bond with her new-born who maybe in a neonatal 515 intensive care (NICU) or special care unit. One study from South Africa [31] demonstrated a high 516 prevalence of depression in mothers of both full term and preterm infants from lower socioeconomic 517 groups, which may have contributed to a greater incidence of depression. Women from lower 518 socioeconomic groups are likely exposed to greater stressors and less resources [31], affecting their 519 MH.

520

Adjusting to parenthood is important for all parents, in the case of PTB mothers may not have sufficient time to prepare, which may lead to maternal stress [48]. Familiarity with the situation, possibly by having had a previous preterm infant, and predictability have been found to reduce stress

and anxiety [48]. Medically indicated preterm delivery is maybe planned, for example, with multiple pregnancies and maternal diabetes and thus, predictable. Therefore, it is possible that those mothers experience less stress than those who give birth following acute spontaneous onset preterm labor. In addition to mental preparation, the former group of parents of preterm infants may have had time to visit the NICU and speak with neonatologists to gain further information and this may reduce anxiety following birth.

530

Parenting stress is found to be higher in mothers of preterm infants at one year [29]. This relationship may by predicted by maternal depression as well as impaired parent and infant interactions [29]. Interestingly, parenting stress is not significantly different in mothers of preterm or full-term infants in early infancy [28], suggesting all mothers require support in the immediate post-partum period to reduce parental MH but prolonged provision of such support is important in managing PTB mothers.

536

Increased and unexpected medical interventions associated with PTB, including painful corticosteroid injections or the use of magnesium sulphate, as well as intimate examinations and the need for emergency procedures such as caesarean sections may further negatively impact a mother's physical and mental health. These may exacerbate the underlying stress faced by a preterm mother and her partner, the feelings of anxiety, stress are compounded in some circumstances by the lack of preparedness and loss of control. Together, these experiences may explain why mothers and fathers of preterm infants have greater levels of stress [29] and depression [13].

544

545 Cheng et al [13] conducted the comparison between fathers and mothers suffering as a result of PTB 546 among Hispanic, Non-Hispanic White, Non-Hispanic Black and Non-Hispanic as well as other races. 547 Gueron et al [30] on the other hand focused on depression and stress symptomatologies among 548 Bedouin and Jewish women. Based on Gueron and colleagues' findings, Bedouin women 549 experienced the highest level of depression. In comparison to these, Rogers et al [43] compared the 550 Caucasian and African American PTB patients that indicated a lack of significant differences between 551 the two groups. Ballantyne et al [37] conducted their study on Canadian PTB women which included 552 immigrant women. However, immigrant's status had no contribution to the differences in MH disorders 553 or symptomatologies.

554

555 The MH impact on those with PTB could be exacerbated due to understandable feelings of 556 helplessness and hopelessness, and low mood is commonly reported by these women. On the 557 contrary, Jotzo and colleagues [14] demonstrated PTB could lead to traumatising effects on parents 558 with 49% of mothers reporting traumatic reactions even after a year. Muller-Nix and colleagues [15] 559 demonstrated this correlation of traumatic stress and psychological distress between mother and 560 child. Pierrehumbert and colleagues [16] indicated post-traumatic stress symptoms after PTB was a 561 predictor of a child's eating and sleeping problems. Similarly, Solhaugh and colleagues [17] found that 562 parents who had hospital stays following a PTB requiring neonatal intensive care, demonstrated high 563 levels of psychological reactions that required treatment.

564

565 Perinatal MH around suicidality or suicidal ideation, should be considered as a priority to be 566 addressed among BAME women, which is vital in particular within the UK. BAME women are at a 567 higher risk of suffering from MH disorder in comparison to Caucasian women in the UK and they are 568 less likely to access healthcare support. This is particularly true for women of Pakistani and Indian 569 background. Additionally, Anderson and colleagues [64] reported prevalence and risk of MH disorders 570 among migrant women. These factors should be considered by those treating clinical groups. In 571 addition to the timepoint, we also consider the impact of population. It remains unclear whether the 572 prevalence rate of depression varies after PTB in different ethnic groups. Gulamani et al [67] have 573 found the depressive symptoms of women with PTB may be associated with race and culture, but 574 further evidence is lacking. Due to the higher risk of MH symptoms around the time of PTB, this data

575 may help the health service providers to focus on delivering timely support to the BAME mothers with 576 PTB.

577

578 Interestingly, alcohol consumption and substance abuse that are linked to worsening of MH and poor 579 pregnancy outcomes were not identified within the BAME population literature within the scope of this 580 study, although, it would influence PTB and associated MH illness [68-73].

581

582 Similarly, substance abuse among pregnant women increases the risk of PTB and the association of 583 mental illness among the BAME population [74,75]. Holden et al [75] demonstrated self-reported 584 depressive symptomatologies associated with 602 BAME and Caucasian pregnant women that had 585 substance abuse and were subjected to intimate partner violence. This study used the EPDMS which 586 demonstrated elevated levels of depressive illness that required clinical diagnoses and treatments at 587 a MH care facility. Additionally, women abuse screening tool (WAST) was used to evaluate 588 relationship issues and those needing appropriate support was referred to social services [74, 75]. 589 There is limited information available around substance abuse and partner violence associated with 590 MH among BAME women. Research conducted within this area appears to lack consistency and 591 systematic evaluation of cultural paradigms relevant to BAME women and the direct association with 592 PTB and MH given the complexity of these issues.

594 5 Limitations

595

593

Heterogeneity of studies gathered within this review challenged the evidence synthesis. Studies identified reported on MH outcomes without a clear distinction mostly between MH symptomatologies and psychiatric comorbidities. Timelines for administering MH instruments and other tools such as talking therapies were not unified across all studies. Collectively, these are design and methodological flaws influencing heterogeneity. Studies were excluded if they discussed QoL as this does not demonstrate the identification or reporting of MH outcomes such as pre or postnatal depression, anxiety, psychosis and other mood disorders.

603

604 6 Comments & Conclusion

605

606 PTB has a significant association with depression, anxiety and stress symptoms in new mothers 607 during the immediate postpartum period. The MH symptoms are more significant in very preterm 608 mothers than non-very preterm mothers. However, the effect of PTB on the incidence of depression 609 and other MH outcomes is unclear among different ethnic groups and therefore more studies are 610 needed to explore this.

611

612 This study identified a methodological gap to evaluate disease segualae between PTB and MH 613 among BAME populations. This important facet should be considered in future research studies that 614 requires the involvement of multidisciplinary teams. Most included studies did not indicate a publicly 615 available protocol, and this would have assisted in reducing potential biases during selection to 616 improve sampling techniques and the subsequent data analysis. PICO based reporting may benefit 617 future researchers when conducting research within PTB to address true MH impact within BAME 618 populations. Addressing the multi-stakeholder evidence gap is crucial to improving patient care, 619 therefore, the development of a classification framework for healthcare systems to better assess 620 BAME women at risk with PTB and MH outcomes would be beneficial. Including cultural adaptation 621 methods as well as training of healthcare professionals will help to manage patients' expectations with 622 the required sensitivities. Similarly, cost-effectiveness and long-term sustainability should be 623 considered when developing a suitable framework.

624

625 It is also vital to acknowledge health inequalities and avoidable disparities should be addressed as a 626 matter of urgency. Maternal care should have integrated methods of working with MH care

627 professionals and possibly setup a specialist service for BAME women with a focus on culturally 628 adapted and sensitive ways to support women after a PTB. It is important to improve quality of care 629 received by BAME women with vulnerabilities such as those who are refugees or migrants and do not 630 speak English. Equally, MH services should work more cohesively within the women's health in the 631 community setting and training should be offered to all healthcare professionals to provide a 632

052	person	alised care.
633		
634		
635		
636		
637		
038 630		Poforoncos
640		References
641	1	Misund AP, Nordrum P, Disoth TH, Montal boalth in woman avaariansing protorm birth, BMC
642	1.	Pregnancy Childbirth. 2014;14:263. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2393-14-263
643	2.	Saigal S, Doyle LW. An overview of mortality and sequelae of preterm birth from infancy to
644		adulthood. Lancet. 2008;371(9608):261-269. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60136-1
645	3.	Chen KH, Chen IC, Yang YC, Chen KT. The trends and associated factors of preterm
646		deliveries from 2001 to 2011 in Taiwan. Medicine. 2019;98(13):e15060.
647		https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000150602393-14-263
648	4.	Manuck TA. Racial and ethnic differences in preterm birth: A complex, multifactorial problem.
649		Semin in perinatol. 2017;41(8):511-518. <u>https://doi.org/10.1053/j.semperi.2017.08.010</u>
650	5.	Schaaf JM, Liem SM, Mol BW, Abu-Hanna A, Ravelli AC. Ethnic and racial disparities in the
651		risk of preterm birth: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Perinatol. 2013;30(6):433-
652		450. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0032-1326988
653	6.	Romero R, Espinoza J, Kusanovic JP, Gotsch F, Hassan S, Erez O, Chaiworapongsa T,
654		Mazor M. The preterm parturition syndrome. BJOG. 2006;113 Suppl 3(Suppl 3):17-42.
655		https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.2006.01120.x
656	7.	Sheikh IA, Ahmad E, Jamal MS, Rehan M, Assidi M, Tayubi IA, AlBasri SF, Bajouh OS, Turki
657		RF, Abuzenadah AM, Damanhouri GA, Beg MA, Al-Qahtani M. Spontaneous preterm birth
658		and single nucleotide gene polymorphisms: a recent update. BMC Genom. 2016;17(Suppl
659		9):759. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-016-3089-0
660	8.	Ehn NL, Cooper ME, Orr K, Shi M, Johnson MK, Caprau D, Dagle J, Steffen K, Johnson K,
661		Marazita ML, Merrill D, Murray JC. Evaluation of fetal and maternal genetic variation in the
662		progesterone receptor gene for contributions to preterm birth. Pediatr Res. 2007;62(5):630-
663		635. https://doi.org/10.1203/PDR.0b013e3181567bfc
664	9.	Manuck TA, Major HD, Varner MW, Chettier R, Nelson L, Esplin MS. Progesterone receptor
665		genotype, family history, and spontaneous preterm birth. Obstet Gynecol. 2010;115(4):765-
666		770. https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0b013e3181d53b83
667	10.	Manuck TA, Lai Y, Meis PJ, Dombrowski MP, Sibai B, Spong CY, Rouse DJ, Durnwald CP,
668		Caritis SN, Wapner RJ, Mercer BM, Ramin SM. Progesterone receptor polymorphisms and
669		clinical response to 17-alpha-hydroxyprogesterone caproate. Am J Obstet Gynecol.
670		2011;205(2):135.e1-135.e1359. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2011.03.048
671	11.	Li Y, Quigley MA, Macfarlane A, Jayaweera H, Kurinczuk JJ, Hollowell J. Ethnic differences in
672		singleton preterm birth in England and Wales, 2006-12: Analysis of national routinely
673		collected data. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2019;33(6):449-458.
674		https://doi.org/10.1111/ppe.12585
675	12.	Public Health England. Maternity high impact area: Reducing the inequality of outcomes for
676		women from Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic (BAME) communities and their babies. Public
677		Health England. 2020.
678		https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_dat
679		a/file/942480/Maternity high impact area 6 Reducing the inequality of outcomes for wo

100		
680		men_from_BlackAsian_and_Minority_EthnicBAMEcommunities_and_their_babies.pdf
681		. Accessed 8 April 2021.
682	13.	Cheng ER, Kotelchuck M, Gerstein ED, Taveras EM, Poehlmann-Tynan J. Postnatal
683		Depressive Symptoms Among Mothers and Fathers of Infants Born Preterm: Prevalence and
684		Impacts on Children's Early Cognitive Function. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2016;37(1):33-42.
685		nttps://doi.org/10.109//DBP.0000000000233
686	14.	Jotzo M, Poets CF. Helping parents cope with the trauma of premature birth: an evaluation of
687		a trauma-preventive psychological intervention. Pediatr. 2005;115(4):915-919.
688	. –	https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2004-0370
689	15.	Muller-Nix C, Forcada-Guex M, Pierrehumbert B, Jaunin L, Borghini A, Ansermet F.
690		Prematurity, maternal stress and mother-child interactions. Early Hum Dev. 2004;79(2):145-
691		158. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2004.05.002</u>
692	16.	Pierrehumbert B, Nicole A, Muller-Nix C, Forcada-Guex M, Ansermet F. Parental post-
693		traumatic reactions after premature birth: implications for sleeping and eating problems in the
694		infant. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2003;88(5):F400-F404.
695		https://doi.org/10.1136/fn.88.5.f400
696	17.	Solhaug M, Bjørk IT, Sandtrø HP. Staff perception one year after implementation of the the
697		newborn individualized developmental care and assessment program (NIDCAP). J Pediatr
698		Nurs. 2010;25(2):89-97. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedn.2009.11.004</u>
699	18.	Grote NK, Bridge JA, Gavin AR, Melville JL, Iyengar S, Katon WJ. A meta-analysis of
700		depression during pregnancy and the risk of preterm birth, low birth weight, and intrauterine
701		growth restriction. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2010;67(10):1012-1024.
702		https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2010.111
703	19.	Sanchez SE, Puente GC, Atencio G, Qiu C, Yanez D, Gelave B, Williams MA. Risk of
704		spontaneous preterm birth in relation to maternal depressive, anxiety, and stress symptoms. J
705		Reprod Med. 2013;58(1-2):25-33.
706	20.	Staneva A, Bogossian F, Pritchard M, Wittkowski A. The effects of maternal depression,
707		anxiety, and perceived stress during pregnancy on preterm birth: A systematic review.
708		Women Birth. 2015;28(3):179-193. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wombi.2015.02.003</u>
709	21.	Grigoriadis S, Graves L, Peer M, Mamisashvili L, Tomlinson G, Vigod SN, Dennis CL, Steiner
710		M, Brown C, Cheung A, Dawson H, Rector NA, Guenette M, Richter M. Maternal Anxiety
711		During Pregnancy and the Association With Adverse Perinatal Outcomes: Systematic Review
712		and Meta-Analysis. J Clin Psychiatry. 2018;79(5):17r12011.
713		https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.17r12011
714	22.	Fekadu Dadi A, Miller ER, Mwanri L. Antenatal depression and its association with adverse
715		birth outcomes in low and middle-income countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis.
716		PLoS One. 2020;15(1):e0227323. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227323
717	23.	Ghimire U, Papabathini SS, Kawuki J, Obore N, Musa TH. Depression during pregnancy and
718		the risk of low birth weight, preterm birth and intrauterine growth restriction- an updated meta-
719		analysis. Early Hum Dev. 2021;152:105243.
720		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2020.105243
721	24.	Gulamani SS, Premji SS, Kanji Z, Azam SI. Preterm birth a risk factor for postpartum
722		depression in Pakistani women. Open J Depress. 2013;2(4):72-81.
723	25.	Hedges LV. Distribution Theory for Glass's Estimator of Effect Size and Related Estimators. J
724		Educ Stat. 1981;6(2):107-128. https://doi.org/10.2307/1164588
725	26.	Hedges LV. Estimation of effect size from a series of independent experiments. Psychol Bull.
726	_	1982:92(2):490-499. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.92.2.490
727	27.	Hedges LV, Olkin I. Statistical methods for meta-analysis. San Diego, CA: Academic Press;
728		1985.
729	28.	Gray PH, Edwards DM, O'Callaghan MJ, Cuskelly M. Parenting stress in mothers of preterm
730		infants during early infancy. Early Hum Dev. 2012;88(1):45-49.
1.2.1		https://doi.org/10.1016/i.earlbumdev.2011.06.014

732	29.	Gray PH, Edwards DM, O'Callaghan MJ, Cuskelly M, Gibbons K. Parenting stress in mothers
733		of very preterm infants influence of development, temperament and maternal depression.
734		Early Hum Dev. 2013;89(9):625-629. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2013.04.005
735	30.	Gueron-Sela N, Atzaba-Poria N, Meiri G, Marks K. Prematurity, ethnicity and personality: risk
736		for postpartum emotional distress among Bedouin-Arab and Jewish women. J Reprod Infant
737		Psychol. 2013;31(1):81-93. https://doi.org/10.1080/02646838.2012.747195
738	31.	Madu SN, roos JJ. Depression among mothers with preterm infants and their stress-coping
739		strategies. Soc Behav Personality: Int J. 2006;34(7):877-890.
740		https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.2006.34.7.877
741	32.	Pace CC, Spittle AJ, Molesworth CM, Lee KJ, Northam EA, Cheong JL, Davis PG, Doyle LW,
742		Treyvaud K, Anderson PJ. Evolution of Depression and Anxiety Symptoms in Parents of Very
743		Preterm Infants During the Newborn Period. JAMA Pediatr. 2016;170(9):863-870.
744		https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2016.0810
745	33.	Gambina I, Soldera G, Benevento B, Trivellato P, Visentin S, Cavallin F, Trevisanuto D,
746		Zanardo V. Postpartum psychosocial distress and late preterm delivery. J Reprod Infant
747		Psychol. 2011:29(5):472-479. https://doi.org/10.1080/02646838.2011.653962
748	34.	Bouras G. Theofanopoulou N. Mexi-Bourna P. Poulios A. Michopoulos I. Tassiopoulou I.
749		Daskalaki A. Christodoulou C. Preterm birth and maternal psychological health. J Health
750		Psychol. 2015:20(11):1388-1396. https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105313512353
751	35.	Gungor I. Oskav U. Beij NK. Biopsychosocial risk factors for preterm birth and postpartum
752		emotional well-being: a case-control study on Turkish women without chronic illnesses. J Clin
753		Nurs. 2011 Mar:20(5-6):653-665. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2010.03532.x
754	36	Suttora C. Spinelli M. Monzani D. From prematurity to parenting stress: The mediating role of
755		perinatal post-traumatic stress disorder Eur J Dev Psychol 2014·11(4)·478-493
756		https://doi.org/10.1080/17405629.2013.859574
757	37	Ballantyne M Benzies KM Trute B Depressive symptoms among immigrant and Canadian
758	011	born mothers of preterm infants at peopatal intensive care discharge: a cross sectional study
759		BMC Pregnancy Childhirth 2013:13 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):S11 https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2393-
760		13-S1-S11
761	38	Barroso NF Hartley CM Bagner DM Pettit JW The effect of preterm birth on infant negative
762		affect and maternal postpartum depressive symptoms: A preliminary examination in an
763		underrepresented minority sample Infant Behav Dev 2015:39:159-165
764		https://doi.org/10.1016/i.infbeh.2015.02.011
765	39	Brandon DH Tully KP Silva SG Malcolm WF Murtha AP Turner BS Holditch-Davis D
766	00.	Emotional responses of mothers of late-preterm and term infants. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal
767		Nurs 2011:40(6):719-731 https://doi.org/10.1111/j 1552-6909.2011.01290.x
768	40	Fabiyi C. Rankin K. Norr K. Shaniro N. White-Traut R. Anxiety among Black and Latina
769	10.	Mothers of Premature Infants at Social-Environmental Risk Newborn Infant Nurs Rev
770		2012:12(3):132-140 https://doi.org/10.1053/i.nainr.2012.06.004
771	41	Holditch-Davis D Miles MS Weaver MA Black B Beeber I Thovre S Engelke S Patterns
772		of distress in African-American mothers of preterm infants. I Dev Behav Pediatr
773		2009:30(3):193-205
774	42	Logsdon MC, Davis DW, Birkimer, IC, Wilkerson SA, Predictors of Depression in Mothers of
775	12.	Preterm Infants J. Soc Behav Personality 1997:12(1):73-88
776	43	Rogers CE Kidokoro H Wallendorf M Inder TE Identifying mothers of very preterm infants
777	10.	at-risk for postpartum depression and anxiety before discharge. J Perinatol. 2013:33(3):171-
778		176. https://doi.org/10.1038/jp.2012.75
779	44.	Shaw RJ, Sweester CJ, St John N, Lilo E, Corcoran JB, Jo B, Howell SH, Benitz WE,
780		Feinstein N, Melnyk B, Horwitz SM. Prevention of postpartum traumatic stress in mothers with
781		preterm infants: manual development and evaluation. Issues Ment Health Nurs.
782		2013;34(8):578-586. https://doi.org/10.3109/01612840.2013.789943
783	45.	Holditch-Davis D, Santos H, Levy J, White-Traut R, O'Shea TM, Geraldo V, David R. Patterns
784		of psychological distress in mothers of preterm infants. Infant Behav Dev. 2015;41:154-163.

785		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infbeh.2015.10.004
786	46.	Miles MS, Holditch-Davis D, Schwartz TA, Scher M. Depressive symptoms in mothers of
787		prematurely born infants. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2007;28(1):36-44.
788		https://doi.org/10.1097/01.DBP.0000257517.52459.7a
789	47.	Mew AM, Holditch-Davis D, Belvea M, Miles MS, Fishel A, Correlates of depressive
790		symptoms in mothers of preterm infants Neonatal Netw 2003:22(5):51-60
791		bttps://doi.org/10.1891/0730-0832.22.5.51
792	18	Vounger IB Kendell MI Pickler RH Mastery of stress in mothers of preterm infants. I Soc
703	40.	Podiotr Nurs, 1007:2(1):20.25, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744.6155.1007.tb00107.v
793	40	Pediati Nuls. 1997,2(1).29-33. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-0133.1997.tb00197.x</u>
794	49.	Baplista J, Moutinno V, Maleus V, Guinnaraes H, Clemente F, Almeida S, Andrade MA, Dias
795		CP, Freitas A, Martins C, Soares I. Being a mother of preterm multiples in the context of
/96		socioeconomic disadvantage: perceived stress and psychological symptoms. J Pediatr (Rio J).
/9/		2018;94(5):491-497. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jped.2017.08.010</u>
798	50.	Carson C, Redshaw M, Gray R, Quigley MA. Risk of psychological distress in parents of
799		preterm children in the first year: evidence from the UK Millennium Cohort Study. BMJ Open.
800		2015;5(12):e007942. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-007942
801	51.	Drewett R, Blair P, Emmett P, Emond A; ALSPAC Study Team. Failure to thrive in the term
802		and preterm infants of mothers depressed in the postnatal period: a population-based birth
803		cohort study. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2004;45(2):359-366. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-
804		<u>7610.2004.00226.x</u>
805	52.	Henderson J, Carson C, Redshaw M. Impact of preterm birth on maternal well-being and
806		women's perceptions of their baby: a population-based survey. BMJ Open.
807		2016:6(10):e012676. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012676
808	53.	Ionio C. Colombo C. Brazzoduro V. Mascheroni E. Confalonieri E. Castoldi F. Lista G.
809		Mothers and Fathers in NICU: The Impact of Preterm Birth on Parental Distress. Eur J
810		Psychol, 2016;12(4):604-621, https://doi.org/10.5964/eiop.v12i4.1093
811	54	Misund AR Nerdrum P Bråten S Prinn AH Diseth TH Long-term risk of mental health
812	01.	problems in women experiencing preterm birth: a longitudinal study of 29 mothers. Ann Gen
813		Psychiatry 2013:12(1):33 https://doi.org/10.1186/17/1/-850¥-12-33
814	55	Trumello C. Candelori C. Cafini M. Cimino S. Corniglia L. Paciello M. Baboro A. Methors'
815	55.	Depression Appiety and Montal Pepresentations After Protorm Pirth: A Study During the
815		Infont's Hoopitalization in a Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. Front Dublic Hoolth, 2019;6:250
010 017		hitant's hospitalization in a Neonatal Intensive Care Onit. Front Public Health. 2016,0.359.
01/	50	<u>Interstitudi.org/10.3389/Ipubl.2018.00359</u>
818 810	56.	in programmy and postportum complicated by hypertensive dioorders, gostational diabates
820		and preterm birth I Psychosom Obstet Gynapocol 2009;30(4):231-237
820		https://doi.org/10.3109/01674820903254757
822	57.	Koria R. Savonlahti E. Ahlgvist-Biörkroth S. Stolt S. Haataja L. Lapinleimu H. Piha J.
823	0	Lehtonen L. PIPARI study group Maternal depression is associated with mother-infant
824		interaction in preterm infants Acta Paediatr 2008;97(6):724-730
825		https://doi.org/10.1111/i 1651-2227 2008.00733 x
826	58	Davis I. Edwards H. Mohay H. Wollin, I. The impact of very premature birth on the
820	50.	psychological health of mothers Early Hum Day 2003;72(1,2):61-70
828		bytho://doi.org/10.1016/c0279.2792/02/00072.2
020 820	50	<u>Interview Construction and Construction</u>
029	59.	Edwards Divi, Gibbons K, Gray PH. Relationship quality for mothers of very preterm manus.
830	~~	Early Hum Dev. 2016;92:13-18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earlnumdev.2015.10.016
831	60.	Hagan R, Evans SF, Pope S. Preventing postnatal depression in motners of very preterm
832		infants: a randomised controlled trial. BJOG. 2004;111(7):641-647.
833	~	https://doi.org/10.1111/j.14/1-0528.2004.00165.x
834	61.	Bener A. Psychological distress among postpartum mothers of preterm infants and
835		associated factors: a neglected public health problem. Braz J Psychiatry. 2013;35(3):231-236.
836		https://doi.org/10.1590/1516-4446-2012-0821
837	62.	Sharan H, Kaplan B, Weizer N, Sulkes J, Merlob P. Early screening of postpartum depression

838		using the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. Int J Risk Saf Med. 2006;18(4):213-218.
839	63.	Howe TH. Sheu CF. Wang TN. Hsu YW. Parenting stress in families with very low birth weight
840		preterm infants in early infancy Res Dev Disabil 2014:35(7):1748-1756
8/1		https://doi.org/10.1016/i ridd 2014.02.015
847	64	Anderson FM Hateh SL. Compassing C. Howard LM. Drovalance and risk of mantal disorders
042	64.	Anderson Fivi, Halch SL, Comacchio C, Howard Livi. Prevalence and risk of mental disorders
843		in the perinatal period among migrant women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch
844		Women's Ment Health. 2017;20(3):449-462. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00737-017-0723-z</u>
845	65.	Bhari K, Salway S. Addressing ethnic inequalities in maternity service experiences and
846		outcomes: responding to women's needs and preferences. A Race Equality Better Health
847		Briefing Paper No. 11. Race Equality Foundation. 2008.
848		https://raceequalityfoundation.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/health-brief11.pdf.
849	~~	Accessed 8 April 2021.
850	66.	MCKENZIE G. MBRRACE and the disproportionate number of BAME deaths: Why is this
851	67	nappening and now do we tackle it? AIMS J. 2019;31(2):1-8.
832 852	67.	Gulamani SS, Premji SS, Kanji Z, Azam SI. A review of postpartum depression, preterm birth,
857		d_{10} culture. J Perinal Neonaldi Nuls. $2013,27(1).32-01$.
855	68	NHS Digital Health Survey for England 2012 NHS Digital 2013 https://digital.phs.uk/data-
856	00.	and information/publications/statistical/bealth.sun/ey-for-england/bealth.sun/ey-for-england-
857		2012 Accessed 8 April 2021
858	69	von Hinke Kessler Scholder S. Webby GL Lewis S. Zuccolo L. Alcohol Exposure In Litera
859	00.	and Child Academic Achievement Econ J (London) 2014:124(576):634-667
860		https://doi.org/10.1111/ecoi.12144
861	70.	The Royal College of Midwives, Alcohol and pregnancy guidance paper. The Royal College
862		of Midwives. 2010.
863		
864	71.	Schölin, L., Watson, J., Dyson, J., & Smith, L. Alcohol Guidelines For Pregnant Women:
865		Barriers and enablers for Midwives to deliver advice. The Institute of Alcohol Studies. 2019.
866		https://www.ias.org.uk/uploads/pdf/IAS%20reports/rp37092019.pdf. Accessed 8 April 2021
867	72.	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Results from the 2011 National Survey on
868		Drug Use and Health: Summary of National Findings. Substance Abuse and Mental Health
869		Services Administration Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality. 2012.
870		https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/Revised2k11NSDUHSummNatFindings/Revis
871		ed2k11NSDUHSummNatFindings/NSDUHresults2011.htm. Accessed 8 April 2021.
872	73.	Stone R. Pregnant women and substance use: fear, stigma, and barriers to care. Health
873	- 4	Justice. 2015;3(2). <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s40352-015-0015-5</u>
8/4	74.	National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence: National Collaborating Centre for
8/5		women's and Children's Health. Descriptions of services for pregnant women with complex
8/0 877		social factors. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. 2012.
0// 979		https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg110/resources/service-descriptions-pdi-150155657.
070 879	75	Accessed o April 2021. Holden KB, McKenzie P, Pruitt V, Aaron K, Hall S, Depressive symptoms, substance abuse
880	75.	and intimate partner violence among pregnant women of diverse ethnicities. I Health Care
881		Poor Underserved 2012:23(1):226-241 https://doi.org/10.1353/bpu.2012.0022
882	76	Wells GA Shea B O'Connell D Peterson J Welch V Losos M Turwell P. The Newcastle-
883	70.	Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses
884		Ottawa Hospital Research Institute Ottawa ON Canada 2000
885	77.	Modesti PA, Reboldi G, Cappuccio FP, Agvemang C, Remuzzi G, Rapi S, Perruolo E, Parati
886		G, ESH Working Group on CV Risk in Low Resource Settings. Panethnic differences in blood
887		pressure in Europe: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PloS one. 2016 Jan
888		25;11(1):e0147601. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0147601
889		
890		
891		
892		
893		
894		
895		
896		



9	1	2
9	1	3

Figure 2: Forest plot for depression (Full term vs. Preterm birth)

		Treatm	ent		Control				Hedges's g	Weight
Depression Study	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD			with 95% CI	(%)
C. Ionio	21	49.43	9.574	29	43.62	5.335	_	-	0.77 [0.20, 1.35]	4.42
D. H. Brandon	29	3.5	3.1	31	1.1	1.7		-	- 0.96 [0.43, 1.48]	4.88
E. Mautner	32	8.95	4.02	29	5.53	3.66	-	-	0.88 [0.36, 1.40]	4.97
I. Gambina	42	9.5	4.5	42	6.3	3.9	-	-	0.75 [0.31, 1.19]	5.97
N.Gueron-Sela(Bedouin)	48	27.9	13.4	55	20.2	10.4	_	-	0.64 [0.25, 1.04]	6.60
S. N. Madu	50	11.6	5.9	50	10.4	4.66	-		0.22 [-0.17, 0.61]	6.66
G. Bouras	68	10.26	7.88	107	7.06	7.98			0.40 [0.10, 0.71]	8.02
N.Gueron-Sela(Jewish)	108	21.36	10.6	122	14.5	7.3			0.76 [0.49, 1.03]	8.68
P. H. Gray	105	6.78	4.97	112	6.7	4.6	-		0.02 [-0.25, 0.28]	8.71
C. C. Pace	113	7.3	9.4	112	5.6	5.1		_	0.22 [-0.04, 0.49]	8.78
I. Gungor	149	9.35	5.76	150	7.28	5.55	-	-	0.37 [0.14, 0.59]	9.36
R. Drewett	525	6.71	5.4	10,313	5.94	4.7			0.16 [0.07, 0.25]	11.40
E. R. Cheng	900	4.8	7.2	4,000	4.5	7			0.04 [-0.03, 0.11]	11.54
Overall							•		0.40 [0.25, 0.56]	
Heterogeneity: τ ² = 0.05, l ² = 82.69%, H ² = 5.78										
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(12) = 69.	.31, p	= 0.00								
Test of θ = 0: z = 5.20, p =	0.00									
							5 0	.5 1	1.5	

Figure 3: Forest plot for anxiety (Full term vs. Preterm birth)



Figure 4: Forest plot for stress (Full term vs. Preterm birth)

		Treatme	ent		Contro	d.					He	dges's g	Weight
Stress Study	Ν	Mean	SD	Ν	Mean	SD					wit	n 95% CI	(%)
D. H. Brandon	29	1.9	1.6	31	.8	1.2				-	0.77 [0.25, 1.29]	11.74
I. Gambina	42	46	5.9	42	38.9	4.5					- 1.34 [0.87, 1.81]	12.86
P. H. Gray	105	67	17.69	112	63.79	16.09 -					0.19 [-0.08, 0.46]	18.31
C. Suttora	87	30.53	12.03	156	27.28	9.11		+			0.32 [0.05, 0.58]	18.39
P. H. Gray	120	10.28	17.58	112	4.52	14.86	-	-			0.35 [0.09, 0.61]	18.50
T. H. Howe	239	246.98	44.96	181	236.55	40.15					0.24 [0.05, 0.44]	20.20
Overall											0.47 [0.22, 0.72]	
Heterogeneity:	$\tau^2 = 0$.07, $I^2 = 7$	7.55%,	$H^2 =$	4.45								
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: 0	Q(5) =	22.27, p	= 0.00										
Test of $\theta = 0$: z	= 3.62	2, p = 0.0	0										
							<u>о</u> .	.5	1	1.5	2		

Figure 5: Meta regression conducted by time for depression

Random-effects	meta-regres	Number of obs =						
Method: DerSim	onian-Laird			Resi	dual het	eroge	eneity:	
						tau2	= .04072	
					12	(%)	= 79.82	
						H2	= 4.96	
				F	R-squared	(%)	= 31.75	
				Wald	d chi2(1)	=	13.41	
				Prot	> chi2	=	0.0002	
_meta_es	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% C	onf.	Interval]	
tvalue	0609896	.0166533	-3.66	0.000	09362	96	0283497	
_cons	.6294887	.0889261	7.08	0.000	.45519	68	.8037807	

Test of residual homogeneity: Q_res = chi2(14) = 69.38 Prob > Q_res = 0.0000

923 924 925

Figure 6: Meta regression conducted by time for anxiety

andom-effects	meta-regres:	Numb	er of ob	s =	5		
lethod: DerSim	onian-Laird			Resi	dual het	erog	eneity:
						tau2	= .100
					12	(%)	= 80.29
						H2	= 5.0
				R	-squared	(%)	= 34.9
				Wald	chi2(1)	=	4.56
				Prob	> chi2	=	0.033
_meta_es	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% C	onf.	Interval
tvalue	1359486	.0640626	-2.12	0.034	2615	09	0103882
cons	.7723931	.1391725	5.55	0.000	.49962	01	1.045160

Test of residual homogeneity: Q_res = chi2(7) = 35.51 Prob > Q_res = 0.0000



929 930

Table 1 indicate key features of the studies included in the systematic review

ID	Author	Study type	Country		Symptoms	Outcome assessment	
1	M. Ballantyne	Cross-sectional study	Canada	Depression		Stress	1)CES-D 2)PSS:NICU
2	J. Baptista	Cross-sectional study	Portugal	Psychologic al problem		Stress	1) BSI 2) Daily Hassles Questionnaire
3	N. E. Barroso	cross sectional study	US	Depression			1)EPD-S
4	A. Bener	Hospital-based study (Cross sectional study)	Qatar	Depression	Anxiety	Stress	1) DASS-21 2) DASS- 21 3) DASS-21
5	G. Bouras	Cross-sectional study	Greece	Depression	Anxiety		1) BDI 2) STAI
6	D. H. Brandon	descriptive study	US	Depression	Anxiety	Stress	1) EPDS 2) STAI-S 3) PPQ 4) CHWS
7	C. Carson	Cohort study	UK	Psychologic al problem			Modified RMI
8	E. R. Cheng	Cohort study	US	Depression			CES-D
9	L. Davis	Cross-sectional study	Australia	Depression		Stress	1) EPDS 2) DASS
10	R. Drewett	Cross-sectional study	UK	Depression			EPDS
11	D. M. Edwards	Cohort study	Australia	Depression		Parentin g stress	1) EPDS 2) PSI
12	C. Fabiyi	Cross-sectional study	US		 State anxiety Trait anxiety 		STAI
13	I. Gambina	Case-control study	Italy	Depression	1) State anxiety 2) Trait anxiety	Stress	1) EPDS 2) STAI- State& STAI-Trait 3) PSM
14	N. Gueron- Sela	Cross-sectional study	Israel	Depression		Stress	1) CES-D 2) PSS:NICU
15	S. S.	Cohort study	Pakistan	Depression			EPDS

	Gulamani						
16	I. Gungor	Case-control study	Turkey	Depression	1) State		1) BDI 2) STAI
					anxiety		
					2) I rait		
17	R. Hagan	Prospective rando	Australia	Depression	Anxiety		1) EPDS (2) BDI
		mised, controlled study	, laonana	2 001 0001011	,		., 0 _,
18	J. Henderson	Cross-sectional study	UK	Depression			EPDS
19	D.Holditch Davis	Cross-sectional study	US	Depression	Anxiety	Stress	1) CES-D 2) STAI 3) PSS:NICU
20	C. Ionio	Longitudinal study	Italy	Depression			Profile of mood states (POMS)
21	M. C. Logsdon	Descriptive study	US	Depression			CES-D
22	A. R. Misund	Longitudinal study	Norway	Psychologic al distress	Anxiety	Trauma- related stress	 GHQ Likert Sum and Case Sum 2) STAI-X1 Impact of Event Scale (IES)
23	A. R. Misund	Cohort study	Norway	Psychologic al distress	Anxiety	Trauma- related stress	1) GHQ Likert Sum and Case Sum 2) STAI-X1 3) Impact of Event Scale (IES)
24	C. C. Pace	Longitudinal, prospective, follow-up cohort study	Australia	Depression	Anxiety		 CES-D Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale
25	C. E. Rogers	Cohort study	US	Depression	Anxiety		1) EPDS 2) STAI
26	H. Sharan	Cross-sectional study	Israel	Depression			EPDS
27	R. J. Shaw	Cross-sectional study	US	Depression	Anxiety	Stress	1) BDI-II 2) BAI 3) SASRQ
28	C. Trumello	Longitudinal study	Italy	Depression	 State anxiety Trait anxiety 		1) EPDS 2) STAI-State Y1& Y2
29	D. Holditch- Davis	Longitudinal study	US	Depression	1) State anxiety	Stress	1) CESD 2) STAI 3) PSS:NICU 3) PSS:PBC
30	E. Mautner	Prospective, longitudinal study	Austria	Depression			EPDS
31	P. H. Gray	Cross-sectional study	Australia	Depression		Parentin g stress	1) EPDS 2) PSI-SF
32	P. H. Gray	Cross-sectional study	Australia	Depression		Parentin g stress	1) EPDS 2) PSI-SF
33	T. H. Howe	Cross-sectional study	Taiwan			Parentin g stress	PSI - Chinese version
34	M. S. Miles	Longitudial, descriptive study	US	Depression			CES-D
35	A. M. Mew	Correlational analysis	US	Depression			CES-D
36	S. N. Madu	Cross-sectional study	South Africa	Depression			EPDS
37	C. Suttora	Decriptive study	Italy			1) PTSD 2)Parent ing stress	1) PPQ - Modified version 2) PSI-SF
38	R. Korja	Cross-sectional study	Finland	Depression			EPDS
39	J. B. Younger	Decriptive correlational study	US	Depression		Stress	1) CES-D 2) MSI

36	Table 2 indicate the quality	assessment outcomes	s using the Newcas	stle-Ottawa Scale
----	------------------------------	---------------------	--------------------	-------------------

ID	Author	Study type	Country	Symptoms	Outcome assessment	NOS Score

1	M. Ballantyne	Cross-sectional study	Canada	Depressio n		Stress	1)CES-D 2)PSS:NICU	***** (6)
2	J. Baptista	Cross-sectional study	Portugal	Psychologi cal problem		Stress	1) BSI 2) Daily Hassles Questionaire	***** (5)
3	N. E. Barroso	cross sectional study	US	Depressio			1)EPD-S	***** (6)
4	A. Bener	Hospital-based study (Cross sectional study)	Qatar	Depressio n	Anxiety	Stress	1) DASS-21 2) DASS- 21 3) DASS-21	***** (5)
5	G. Bouras	Cross-sectional study	Greece	Depressio n	Anxiety		1) BDI 2) STAI	****** (6)
6	D. H. Brandon	descriptive study	US	Depressio n	Anxiety	Stress	1) EPDS 2) STAI-S 3) PPQ 4) CHWS	****** (7)
7	C. Carson	Cohort study	UK	Psychologi cal problem			Modified RMI	***** (5)
8	E. R. Cheng	Cohort study	US	Depressio n			CES-D	***** (5)
9	L. Davis	Cross-sectional study	Australia	Depressio n		Stress	1) EPDS 2) DASS	***** (5)
10	R. Drewett	Cross-sectional study	UK	Depressio n			EPDS	***** (5)
11	D. M. Edwards	Cohort study	Australia	Depressio n		Parentin g stress	1) EPDS 2) PSI	***** (5)
12	C. Fabiyi	Cross-sectional study	US		 State anxiety Trait anxiety 		STAI	***** (6)
13	I. Gambina	Case-control study	Italy	Depressio n	 State anxiety Trait anxiety 	Stress	1) EPDS 2) STAI- State& STAI-Trait 3) PSM	****** (6)
14	N. Gueron- Sela	Cross-sectional study	Israel	Depressio n		Stress	1) CES-D 2) PSS:NICU	****** (7)
15	S. S. Gulamani	Cohort study	Pakistan	Depressio n			EPDS	**** (4)
16	I. Gungor	Case-control study	Turkey	Depressio n	1) State anxiety 2) Trait anxiety		1) BDI 2) STAI	***** (6)
17	R. Hagan	Prospective,randomi sed, controlled study	Australia	Depressio n	Anxiety		1) EPDS 2) BDI	***** (6)
18	J. Henderson	Cross-sectional study	UK	Depressio n			EPDS	****** (7)
19	D.Holditch Davis	Cross-sectional study	US	Depressio n	Anxiety	Stress	1) CES-D 2) STAI 3) PSS:NICU	***** (6)
20	C. Ionio	Longitudinal study	Italy	Depressio n			Profile of mood states (POMS)	***** (5)
21	M. C. Loasdon	Descriptive study	US	Depressio n			CES-D	***** (6)
22	A. R. Misund	Longitudinal study	Norway	Psychologi cal distress	Anxiety	Trauma- related stress	1) GHQ Likert Sum and Case Sum 2) STAI-X1 3) Impact of Event Scale (IES)	***** (6)
23	A. R. Misund	Cohort study	Norway	Psychologi cal distress	Anxiety	Trauma- related stress	1) GHQ Likert Sum and Case Sum 2) STAI-X1 3) Impact of Event Scale (IES)	***** (5)
24	C. C. Pace	Longitudinal, prospective, follow- up cohort study	Australia	Depressio n	Anxiety		 CES-D Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale 	***** (6)
25	C. E. Rogers	Cohort study	US	Depressio n	Anxiety		1) EPDS 2) STAI	***** (5)
26	H. Sharan	Cross-sectional study	Israel	Depressio n			EPDS	****** (6)
27	R. J. Shaw	Cross-sectional study	US	Depressio n	Anxiety	Stress	1) BDI-II 2) BAI 3) SASRQ	****** (6)
28	C. Trumello	Longitudinal study	Italy	Depressio n	1) State anxiety 2) Trait anxiety		1) EPDS 2) STAI-State Y1& Y2	****** (7)
29	D. Holditch- Davis	Longitudinal study	US	Depressio n	1) State anxiety	Stress	1) CESD 2) STAI 3) PSS:NICU 3)	****** (6)

							PSS:PBC	
30	E. Mautner	Prospective, longitudinal study	Austria	Depressio n			EPDS	****** (6)
31	P. H. Gray	Cross-sectional study	Australia	Depressio n		Parentin g stress	1) EPDS 2) PSI-SF	****** (6)
32	P. H. Gray	Cross-sectional study	Australia	Depressio n		Parentin g stress	1) EPDS 2) PSI-SF	****** (6)
33	T. H. Howe	Cross-sectional study	Taiwan			Parentin g stress	PSI - Chinese version	***** (6)
34	M. S. Miles	Longitudial, descriptive study	US	Depressio n			CES-D	***** (5)
35	A. M. Mew	Correlational analysis	US	Depressio n			CES-D	***** (5)
36	S. N. Madu	Cross-sectional study	South Africa	Depressio n			EPDS	***** (6)
37	C. Suttora	Decriptive study	Italy			1) PTSD 2)Parent ing stress	1) PPQ - Modified version 2) PSI-SF	***** (5)
38	R. Korja	Cross-sectional study	Finland	Depressio n			EPDS	****** (6)
39	J. B. Younger	Decriptive correlational study	US	Depressio n		Stress	1) CES-D 2) MSI	***** (6)
* Qua Wera •	Volaity of the included cross-sectional studies was measured using the modified Newcastle-Ottawa Measurement Scale specific for Cross-sectional studies. We rated the quality of the studies (good, fair and poor) by allocating each domain with stars in this manner: A Good quality score was awarded 3 or 4 stars in selection, 1 or 2 in comparability, and 2 or 3 stars in outcomes A Fair quality score was awarded 2 stars in selection, 0 stars in comparability, and 0 or 1 star(s) in outcomes. A Poor quality score was allocated 0 or 1 star(s) in selection, 0 stars in comparability, and 0 or 1 star(s) in outcomes domain in line with the NOS guidelines							

		Select	ion (S)	Comp	arability (C)	Expo	sure/Out E/O	come	2	Sub Tota	al ent	Conclusion
	1	2	3	4	1a	1b	1	2	3	S ⁺	C [®]	E/O [®]	
M.Ballantvne	*	*	No	*	*	*	No	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
J. Baptista	*	*	No	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
N.E.Barroso	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	No	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
A.Bener	*	No	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
G.Bouras	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
D.H. Brandon	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
C.Carson	*	No	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
E.R.Cheng	*	*	No	*	No	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
L.Davis	*	*	No	No	*	*	*	*	*	Fair	Good	Good	Good
R.Drewett	*	*	*	*	No	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
D.M.Edwards	*	No	No	*	No	*	*	*	*	Fair	Good	Good	Fair
C.Fabiyi	*	No	*	No	No	*	*	*	*	Fair	Fair	Good	Fair
I.Gambina	*	No	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
N.Gueron- Sela	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
S.S.Gulamani	*	No	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
I.Gungor	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
R.Hagan	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
J.Henderson	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
D.Holdith Davis	*	*	No	*	No	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
C.lonio	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
M.C.Logsdon	*	No	No	*	No	*	*	*	*	Fair	Fair	Good	Fair
A.R.Misund	No	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
A.R.Misund	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
C.C.Pace	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
C.E.Rogers	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
H.Sharan	No	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
R.J.Shaw	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
C.Trumello	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
D.Holditch- Davis	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
E.Mautner	*	No	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
P.H.Gray	*	*	No	*	No	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
P.H.Grav	*	*	No	*	No	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
T.H.Howe	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
M.S.Miles	*	No	No	*	No	*	*	*	*	Fair	Good	Good	Fair
A.M.Mew	*	No	No	*	No	*	*	No	*	Fair	Fair	Good	Fair
S.N.Madu	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good

C.Suttora	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
R.Korja	*	*	No	*	No	*	*	*	*	Good	Good	Good	Good
J.B.Younger	*	No	No	*	No	*	*	*	*	Fair	Good	Good	Good

⁺Domain scored: 0-1 (Poor); 2 (Fair); 3+ (Good); [&]Domain scored: 0 (Poor); 1 (Fair); 2+ (Good).

Table 3 indicates characteristics of the 12 studies included within the meta-analysis for depression

ID	Author	Study type	Country	Sample size	Outcome assessment
1	D. H. Brandon [39]	descriptive study	US	60	EPDS
2	G. Bouras [34]	Cross-sectional study	Greece	200	BDI
3	E. R. Cheng [13]	Cohort study	US	5350	CES-D
4	R. Drewett [51]	Cross-sectional study	UK	10838	EPDS
5	I. Gambina [33]	Case-control study	Italy	84	EPDS
6	P. H. Gray [28] [29]	Cross-sectional study	Australia	217	EPDS
7	N.Gueron-	Cross-sectional study	Israel	103(Bedouin)	CES-D
	Sela [30]			230(Jewish)	
8	I. Gungor [35]	Case-control study	Turkey	299	BDI
9	C. Ionio [53]	Longitudinal study	Italy	50	Profile of mood states (POMS)
10	S. N. Madu [31]	Cross-sectional study	South Africa	100	EPDS
11	E. Mautner [56]	Prospective, longitudinal study	Australia	61	EPDS
12	C. C. Pace [32]	Longitudinal, prospective cohort study	Australia	230	CES-D

Table 4 indicates characteristics of the 5 studies included within the meta-analysis for anxiety

ID	Author	Study type	Country	Sample size	Outcome assessment
1	D. H. Brandon [39]	descriptive study	US	60	STAI-S
2	G. Bouras [34]	Cross-sectional study	Greece	200	(a) STAI-T (b) STAI-S
3	I. Gambina [33]	Case-control study	Italy	84	(a) STAI-T (b) STAI-S
4	I. Gungor [35]	Case-control study	Turkey	299	(a) STAI-T (b) STAI-S
5	C. C. Pace [32]	Longitudinal, prospective cohort study	Australia	230	HADS-A



Table 5 indicates characteristics of the 5 studies included within the meta-analysis for Stress

9	5	8	

l D	Author	Study type	Country	Sample size	Outcome assessment
1	D. H. Brandon [39]	descriptive study	US	60	PPQ
2	I. Gambina [33]	Case-control study	Italy	84	PSM
3	P. H. Gray [28] [29]	Cross-sectional study	Australia	217	PSI-SF
4	T.H.Howe [63]	Cross-sectional study	Taiwan	420	PSI - Chinese version
5	C. Suttora [36]	Descriptive study	Italy	243	PSI-SF

Themes	Population Group
	Women who had a preterm birth

^{*}Domain acceptable

Depression	*****				
Stress	++++++				
Anxiety	++++++				
Parenting stress	+++++				
State anxiety	+++++				
Trait anxiety	++++				
Psychological distress	++				
Trauma-related stress	++				
Psychological Problem	++				
Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder	+				
Table 6 indicates the thematic synthesis					

Supplementary Figures

Depression Study	Hedges's g with 95% Cl	Weight (%)	Time
Australia			
C. C. Pace	1.12 [0.84, 1.41]	6.66	3 days
E. Mautner	0.88 [0.36, 1.40]	4.10	3 days
E. Mautner	0.22 [-0.28, 0.72]	4.30	4 month
P. H. Gray	0.02 [-0.25, 0.28]	6.84	4 month
C. C. Pace	0.22 [-0.04, 0.49]	6.89	6 month
P. H. Gray	0.22 [-0.04, 0.49]	6.83	12 month
Heterogeneity: τ ² = 0.18, I ² = 87.79%, H ² = 8.19	0.44 [0.07, 0.81]		
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(5) = 40.95, p = 0.00			
Greece, Italy, Israel,Turkey			
I. Gambina —	0.75 [0.31, 1.19]	4.86	3 days
I. Gungor	0.37 [0.14, 0.59]	7.29	3 days
C. Ionio	0.77 [0.20, 1.35]	3.67	0.5 mont
G. Bouras	- 0.40 [0.10, 0.71]	6.35	1 month
N.Gueron-Sela(Bedouin)	0.64 [0.25, 1.04]	5.33	1 month
N.Gueron-Sela(Jewish) -	0.76 [0.49, 1.03]	6.82	1 month
Heterogeneity: τ ² = 0.01, I ² = 32.57%, H ² = 1.48	0.57 [0.40, 0.74]		
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(5) = 7.41, p = 0.19			
UK			
R. Drewett	0.16 [0.07, 0.25]	8.65	2 month
R. Drewett	0.07 [-0.01, 0.16]	8.65	8 month
Heterogeneity: τ ² = 0.00, I ² = 50.56%, H ² = 2.02	0.12 [0.03, 0.21]		
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(1) = 2.02, p = 0.15			
us			
D. H. Brandon —	0.96 [0.43, 1.48]	4.03	1 month
E. R. Cheng	0.04 [-0.03, 0.11]	8.74	9 month
Heterogeneity: τ ² = 0.38, I ² = 91.14%, H ² = 11.28	0.46 [-0.43, 1.35]		
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(1) = 11.28, p = 0.00			
Overall 🔶	0.42 [0.28, 0.56]		
Heterogeneity: τ ² = 0.06, I ² = 87.39%, H ² = 7.93			
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(15) = 118.91, p = 0.00			

983 Supplementary Figure 2 indicates the subgroup analysis conducted by region for anxiety

Anxiety Study						Hedges's g with 95% Cl	Weight (%)	Time
Australia								
C. C. Pace (a)			_			0.80 [0.53, 1.07]	11.82	< 1 month
C. C. Pace (b)						-0.10 [-0.36, 0.15]	11.92	6 month
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.39$, $I^2 = 95.57\%$, $H^2 = 22.57$						0.35 [-0.54, 1.23]		
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(1) = 22.57, p = 0.00								
Greece,Italy,Turkey								
G. Bouras (a)						0.70 [0.40, 0.99]	11.57	< 1 month
I. Gambina (a)						0.81 [0.37, 1.25]	9.98	< 1 month
I. Gambina (b)			< <u> </u>			0.93 [0.48, 1.37]	9.92	< 1 month
I. Gungor (a)						0.89 [0.66, 1.13]	12.11	< 1 month
I. Gungor (b)		_				0.10 [-0.12, 0.33]	12.20	< 1 month
G. Bouras (b)			_			0.65 [0.36, 0.94]	11.59	< 1 month
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.11$, $I^2 = 82.03\%$, $H^2 = 5.57$						0.66 [0.37, 0.95]		
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(5) = 27.83, p = 0.00								
us								
D. H. Brandon (a)						1.12 [0.58, 1.66]	8.90	< 1 month
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$, $I^2 = .\%$, $H^2 = .$						1.12 [0.58, 1.66]		
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(0) = 0.00, p = .								
Overall				•		0.63 [0.35, 0.91]		
Heterogeneity: τ ² = 0.15, I ² = 86.83%, H ² = 7.59								
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(8) = 60.73, p = 0.00								
Test of group differences: $Q_b(2) = 2.95$, p = 0.23								
	5	ò	.5	1	1.5			

Supplementary Figure 3 indicates the subgroup analysis conducted by outcome for stress



1001 Supplementary Figure 4 indicates the subgroup analysis conducted by outcome for stress

Stress Study		Timepoint with 95% CI	Weight (%)
Parenting stress			
P. H. Gray		0.19 [-0.08, 0.46]	18.31
C. Suttora		0.32 [0.05, 0.58]	18.39
P. H. Gray		0.35 [0.09, 0.61]	18.50
T. H. Howe		0.24 [0.05, 0.44]	20.20
Heterogeneity: τ^2 = 0.00, I ² = 0.00%, H ² = 1.00	•	0.27 [0.15, 0.39]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(3) = 0.93, p = 0.82			
Stress			
D. H. Brandon		0.77 [0.25, 1.29]	11.74
I. Gambina		1.34 [0.87, 1.81]	12.86
Heterogeneity: τ^2 = 0.10, I^2 = 60.69%, H^2 = 2.54		1.07 [0.51, 1.62]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(1) = 2.54, p = 0.11			
Overall Heterogeneity: τ ² = 0.07, I ² = 77.55%, H ² = 4.45	•	0.47 [0.22, 0.72]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(5) = 22.27, p = 0.00			
Test of group differences: $Q_b(1) = 7.51$, $p = 0.01$	0.5 1 1.5	2	



Supplementary Figure 5 indicates a Preterm birth and Mental Health 'Causation Tree'



Depression Study(excluded C.C.Pace et al at 3 days	•)	Hedges's g with 95% CI	Weight (%)	time
Australia				
E. Mautner		0.88 [0.36, 1.40]	3.49	3 days
E. Mautner		0.22 [-0.28, 0.72]	3.70	4 month
P. H. Gray		0.22 [-0.04, 0.49]	6.94	12 month
P. H. Gray		0.02 [-0.25, 0.28]	6.95	4 month
C. C. Pace		0.22 [-0.04, 0.49]	7.03	6 month
Heterogeneity: τ ² = 0.03, I ² = 52.99%, H ² = 2.13		0.25 [0.03, 0.47]		
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(4) = 8.51, p = 0.07	_			
Greece, Italy, Israel,Turkey				
C. Ionio		0.77 [0.20, 1.35]	3.05	0.5 month
I. Gambina		0.75[0.31, 1.19]	4.33	3 days
N.Gueron-Sela(Bedouin)		0.64 [0.25, 1.04]	4.89	1 month
G. Bouras		0.40 [0.10, 0.71]	6.24	1 month
N.Gueron-Sela(Jewish)		0.76 [0.49, 1.03]	6.92	1 month
I. Gungor		0.37 [0.14, 0.59]	7.65	3 days
Heterogeneity: τ ² = 0.01, I ² = 32.57%, H ² = 1.48		0.57 [0.40, 0.74]		
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(5) = 7.41, p = 0.19				
South Africa				
S N Madu		0 22 [-0 17 0 61]	4 95	not specified
Heterogeneity: $r^2 = 0.00$ $l^2 = \%$ $H^2 =$		0.22[-0.17, 0.61]	4.00	not opcomed
Test of $\theta_1 = \theta_1 \cdot \Omega(0) = 0.00$, p =		0.221 0.11, 0.01		
(0,0) = 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,				
UK				
R. Drewett	-	0.16 [0.07, 0.25]	10.08	2 month
R. Drewett		0.07 [-0.01, 0.16]	10.09	8 month
Heterogeneity: τ ² = 0.00, I ² = 50.56%, H ² = 2.02	•	0.12 [0.03, 0.21]		
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(1) = 2.02, p = 0.15				
US				
D H Brandon		- 0 96 [0 43 1 48]	3 4 2	1 month
E R Cheng	-	0.04[-0.03, 0.11]	10.26	9 month
Heterogeneity: $r^2 = 0.38 I^2 = 91.14\% H^2 = 11.28$		0.46[-0.43, 1.35]	10.20	5 monut
Test of $\theta_{1} = \theta_{1}$: $Q(1) = 11.28$, $p = 0.00$		0.40[-0.43, 1.33]		
100000101 = 0,10000000000000000000000000				
Overall	-	0.34 [0.22, 0.46]		
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.03$, $I^2 = 79.66\%$, $H^2 = 4.92$				
Test of $\theta_1 = \theta_1$; Q(15) = 73.73, p = 0.00				
Test of many difference (A) = 00.55				
Lest of group differences: $Q_b(4) = 22.59$, p = 0.00				
	5 0 .5 1	1.5		

1027 1028 1029 1030 1031

Supplementary Figure 7 indicates the sensitivity analysis excluded one study (Gungor (b) et al [35])

Anxiety Study(excluded I.Gungor(b))					Hedges's g with 95% CI	Weight (%)	Time
Australia							
C. C. Pace (a)					0.80 [0.53, 1.07]	13.59	< 1 month
C. C. Pace (b)					-0.10 [-0.36, 0.15]	13.71	6 month
Heterogeneity: τ ² = 0.39, I ² = 95.57%, H ² = 22.57					0.35 [-0.54, 1.23]		
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(1) = 22.57, p = 0.00							
Greece,Italy,Turkey							
I. Gambina (b)			-		0.93 [0.48, 1.37]	11.14	< 1 month
I. Gambina (a)				-	0.81 [0.37, 1.25]	11.21	< 1 month
G. Bouras (a)					0.70 [0.40, 0.99]	13.26	< 1 month
G. Bouras (b)					0.65 [0.36, 0.94]	13.27	< 1 month
I. Gungor (a)		-			0.89 [0.66, 1.13]	13.96	< 1 month
Heterogeneity: τ^2 = 0.00, I ² = 0.00%, H ² = 1.00					0.79 [0.65, 0.93]		
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(4) = 2.38, p = 0.67							
US							
D. H. Brandon (a)					1.12 [0.58, 1.66]	9.87	< 1 month
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$, $I^2 = .\%$, $H^2 = .$					1.12 [0.58, 1.66]		
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(0) = 0.00, p = .							
Overall					0.70 [0.42, 0.98]		
Heterogeneity: τ ² = 0.13, I ² = 83.73%, H ² = 6.15							
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(7) = 43.02, p = 0.00							
Test of group differences: $Q_b(2) = 2.41$, p = 0.30							
	5 0	.5	i	1.5			

1037 1038

Supplementary Figure 8: Funnel plot of studies for depression comparing full term vs preterm birth





1043 1044 1045 1046 1047 Supplementary Figure 9: Funnel plot of studies for anxiety comparing full term vs preterm 1048 birth



1069 Supplementary Figure 10: Funnel plot of studies for stress comparing full term vs preterm

1070 birth



Supplementary Figure 11 Egger's test for the studies in meta-analysis for depression

mber of stud	ies = 13				Root MSE	=	1.446
Std_Eff	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Int	terval]
slope	0312488	.0563859	-0.55	0.591	1553534	. (928558
hing	2 965553	6301019	4 71	0 001	1 578708	4	352398

Supplementary Figure 12 Trim and fill method to correct the effect of small studies





Nonparametric trim-and-fi Linear estimator, imputing	ll analysis of p g on the left	ublication b:	ias
Iteration	Numb	er of studies	s = 16
Model: Random-effects		observed	d = 13
Method: DerSimonian-Lairo	1	imputed	d = 3
Pooling Model: Random-effects Method: DerSimonian-Lairo	ł		
Studies	Hedges's g	[95% Conf.	Interval]
Observed	0.404	0.251	0.556
Observed + Imputed	0.324	0.181	0.467

Γ

Supplementary Figure 14 Egger's test for the studies in meta-analysis for anxiety

Number of stud	lies = 9				Root MSE	= 2.595
Std_Eff	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
slope bias	1506333 4.753178	.5037894 3.344314	-0.30 1.42	0.774 0.198	-1.341906 -3.154869	1.040639 12.66122
Test of H0: no	o small-study	effects	Ρ:	= 0.198		

2 Supplementary Figure 15 Egger's test for the studies in meta-analysis for Stress

umber of stud	lies = 6				Root MSE	= 1.279
Std_Eff	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
slope bias	3516043 5.26971	.2398294 1.700451	-1.47 3.10	0.217 0.036	-1.017477 .5485029	.3142688 9.990918
est of H0: nc	small-study	effects	P	= 0.036		