

**Full title: Derivation and external validation of clinical prediction rules identifying children at risk of linear growth faltering (stunting) presenting for diarrheal care**

**Short title: Clinical prediction rules for growth faltering after diarrhea**

Sharia M. Ahmed<sup>1</sup>, Ben J. Brintz<sup>2</sup>, Patricia B Pavlinac<sup>3</sup>, Lubaba Shahrin<sup>4</sup>, Sayeeda Huq<sup>4</sup>, Adam C. Levine<sup>5</sup>, Eric J. Nelson<sup>6</sup>, James A Platts-Mills<sup>7</sup>, Karen L Kotloff<sup>8</sup>, Daniel T Leung<sup>1</sup>

Affiliations:

1. Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT, USA
2. Division of Epidemiology, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT, USA
3. Department of Global Health, Global Center for Integrated Health of Women, Adolescents and Children (Global WACH), University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA
4. International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh, Dhaka, Bangladesh
5. Department of Emergency Medicine, Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, RI, USA
6. Department of Pediatrics and Environmental and Global Health, Emerging Pathogens Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA
7. Division of Infectious Diseases and International Health, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA
8. Department of Pediatrics, Center for Vaccine Development, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA

Funding: This work was supported by National Institutes of Health under Ruth L. Kirschstein National Research Service Award NIH T32AI055434 and by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (R01AI135114).

Corresponding authors:

SMA ([sharia.m.ahmed@utah.edu](mailto:sharia.m.ahmed@utah.edu))

DTL ([Daniel.Leung@utah.edu](mailto:Daniel.Leung@utah.edu))

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Nearly 150 million children under-5 years of age were stunted in 2020. We aimed to develop a clinical prediction rule (CPR) to identify children likely to experience additional stunting following acute diarrhea, to enable targeted approaches to prevent this irreversible outcome.

**Methodology:** We used clinical and demographic data from the Global Enteric Multicenter Study (GEMS) study to build predictive models of linear growth faltering (decrease of  $\geq 0.5$  or  $\geq 1.0$  in height-for-age z-score [HAZ] at 60 day follow-up) in children  $\leq 59$  months presenting with moderate-to-severe diarrhea (MSD), and community controls, in Africa and Asia. We screened variables using random forests, and assessed predictive performance with random forest regression and logistic regression using 5-fold cross-validation. We used the Etiology, Risk Factors, and Interactions of Enteric Infections and Malnutrition and the Consequences for Child Health and Development (MAL-ED) study to A) re-derive, and B) externally validate our GEMS-derived CPR.

**Results:** Of 7639 children in GEMS, 1744 (22.8%) experienced severe growth faltering ( $\geq 0.5$  decrease in HAZ). In MAL-ED, we analyzed 5683 diarrhea episodes from 1322 children, of which 961 (16.9%) episodes experienced severe growth faltering. Top predictors of growth faltering in GEMS were: age, HAZ at enrollment, respiratory rate, temperature, and number of people living in the household. The maximum AUC was 0.75 (95% CI: 0.75, 0.75) with 20 predictors, while 2 predictors yielded an AUC of 0.71 (95% CI: 0.71, 0.72). Results were similar in the MAL-ED re-derivation. A 2-variable CPR derived from children 0-23 months in GEMS had an AUC=0.63 (95% CI 0.62, 0.65), and AUC=0.68 (95% CI: 0.63, 0.74) when externally validated in MAL-ED.

**Conclusions:** Our findings indicate that use of prediction rules could help identify children at risk of poor outcomes after an episode of diarrheal illness.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

2           Despite recent advances in the prevention and treatment of childhood malnutrition, nearly  
3 150 million children under-5 years of age were stunted in 2020(1). Stunting is defined as a  
4 length- or height-for-age z-score 2 or more standard deviations below the population median(2),  
5 and is considered both an indicator of underlying deficits (i.e. chronic malnutrition(3)), as well as  
6 a potential contributor to future health problems (e.g. through poor immune system maturation(4,  
7 5)). Furthermore, stunting has been consistently associated with increased risk of morbidity and  
8 mortality, delayed or deficient cognitive development, and reduced educational attainment(6-12).  
9 Timely and accurate identification of children most likely to experience stunting offers an  
10 opportunity to prevent such negative health outcomes.

11           Stunting has been linked with diarrheal diseases across many settings(13). An estimated  
12 10.9% of global stunting is attributable to diarrhea(14), and a child with diarrhea is more likely  
13 to have a lower HAZ score or to die than age-matched controls(15). Given the 1.1 billion  
14 episodes of childhood diarrhea that occur globally every year(16), assessment of children  
15 seeking healthcare for diarrhea treatment provides an opportunity to identify those at increased  
16 risk for negative outcomes, including stunting and death. Once identified, these children could be  
17 specifically targeted for intensive interventions, thereby more efficiently allocating public health  
18 resources.

19           In this study, we aimed to develop parsimonious, easy to implement clinical prediction  
20 rules (CPRs) to identify children under-5 most likely to experience linear growth faltering among  
21 community-dwelling children presenting to care for acute diarrhea. CPRs are algorithms that aid  
22 clinicians in interpreting clinical findings and making clinical decisions(17). Linear growth  
23 faltering, or falling below standardized height/length growth trajectory projections, captures  
24 children whose growth has slowed precipitously and is a precursor of stunting. A number of prior

25 studies have identified risk factors for linear growth faltering(14, 18-26), but many of these were  
26 single-site studies using traditional model building approaches, some of which lacked  
27 appropriate assessments of model discrimination and calibration. Building on this body of  
28 literature, we used machine learning methods on data from two large multi-center studies to  
29 derive and externally validate prediction models for growth faltering, with the hopes of reliably  
30 identifying children that would most benefit from additional nutritional intervention after care for  
31 acute diarrhea.

## 32 **METHODS**

### 33 Study Population for Derivation Cohort 1 (GEMS)

34 We used data from The Global Enteric Multicenter Study (GEMS) to derive CPRs for  
35 growth faltering. The GEMS study has been described elsewhere in-depth(15, 27). Briefly,  
36 GEMS was a prospective case-control study of acute moderate to severe diarrhea (MSD) in  
37 children 0-59 months of age. Data were collected in December 2007 – March 2011 from 7 sites  
38 in Africa and Asia, including those in Mali, The Gambia, Kenya, Mozambique, Bangladesh,  
39 India, and Pakistan. MSD was defined as diarrhea accompanied by one or more of the following:  
40 dysentery, dehydration, or hospital admission. Diarrhea was defined as new onset (after  $\geq 7$  days  
41 diarrhea-free) of 3 or more looser than normal stools in the previous 24 hours lasting 7 days or  
42 less. Cases were enrolled at initial presentation to a sentinel hospital or health center, and  
43 matched within 14 days to 1-3 controls without diarrhea enrolled from the community.  
44 Demographics, epidemiological, and clinical information was collected from caregivers of both  
45 cases and controls via standardized questionnaires, and clinic staff conducted physical exams and  
46 collected stool samples which have undergone conventional and molecular testing to ascertain  
47 the pathogen that caused the diarrhea. Approximately 60 days (up to 91) after enrollment,

48 fieldworkers visited the homes of both cases and controls to collect standardized clinical and  
49 epidemiological information and repeat anthropometry.

50 Children were excluded if follow-up observations occurred <49 or >91 days after  
51 enrollment, or if HAZ measurements were implausible (28), defined as: a)  $HAZ > 6$  or  $HAZ < -6$ ;  
52 b) change in  $HAZ > 3$ ; c) >1.5cm loss of height from enrollment to follow-up; d) growth of >8cm  
53 or >4cm at 49-60 day follow-up for children  $\leq 6$  months and >6 months old, respectively; e)  
54 growth >10cm or >6cm at 61-91 day follow-up for children  $\leq 6$  months and >6 months old,  
55 respectively.

56 Parents or caregivers of participants provided informed consent, either in writing or  
57 witnessed if parents or caregivers were illiterate. The GEMS study protocol was approved by  
58 ethical review boards at each field site and the University of Maryland, Baltimore, USA.

#### 59 Study Population for Derivation Cohort 2 (MAL-ED)

60 We used the Etiology, Risk Factors, and Interactions of Enteric Infections and  
61 Malnutrition and the Consequences for Child Health and Development (MAL-ED) study to A)  
62 re-derive the best full model, and B) externally validate a 2-variable parsimonious version of our  
63 GEMS-derived CPR for growth faltering. MAL-ED is a longitudinal birth cohort study, and  
64 study details have been described elsewhere (29-32). In brief, healthy children were enrolled  
65 within 17 days of birth and followed prospectively through 24 months of age. Children were  
66 enrolled from October 2009 – March 2012 from 8 countries in Asia, Africa, and South America,  
67 including Tanzania, South Africa, Pakistan, India, Nepal, Bangladesh, Peru, and Brazil.  
68 Information on household, demographic, and clinical data from mother and child were collected  
69 at enrollment and reassessed periodically, and illness and feeding information was collected at  
70 twice-weekly household visits.

71 In MAL-ED, diarrhea was defined as maternal report of three or more loose stools in a 24  
72 hour period, or one loose stool with blood. Each diarrhea episode had to be separated by at least  
73 2 days without diarrhea in order to qualify as distinct diarrhea episodes. To match MAL-ED  
74 longitudinal cohort active surveillance data to GEMS, in which children were enrolled upon  
75 presentation to clinic with acute diarrhea, we linked anthropometric measurements and other  
76 predictor variables with diarrhea episodes in MAL-ED using the following methods  
77 (<https://github.com/LeungLab/CPRgrowthfaltering>): First, each episode of diarrhea was linked to  
78 the closest HAZ measurement from before the onset of diarrhea symptoms, but no more than 31  
79 days beforehand. Each diarrhea episode was also linked with the HAZ measurement closest to 75  
80 days after the onset of diarrhea symptoms, but within 49 and 91 days inclusive. Second, each  
81 diarrhea episode was linked to the closest observation of each potential predictor variable. Each  
82 dietary intake variable had to be observed within 90 days of the diarrhea episode, and each  
83 household descriptor variable had to be observed within 6 months of the onset of diarrhea in  
84 order to be eligible, otherwise those predictors were considered missing for that specific diarrhea  
85 episode. Finally, data were split into age categories, and only one diarrhea episode per enrolled  
86 child per model was randomly selected without replacement for analysis.

87 The same inclusion/exclusion criteria were applied as listed above for the GEMS growth  
88 faltering analysis, with the exception that the allowed follow-up period extended up to and  
89 including 95 days.

90 Parents or caregivers of participants provided informed consent. The MAL-ED study  
91 protocol was approved by ethical review boards at each field site and the Johns Hopkins  
92 Institutional Review Board, Baltimore, USA.

93 Outcomes

94 We defined growth faltering as a decrease in height-for-age z-score (HAZ) of  $\geq 0.5$  HAZ  
95 within 49-91 days of enrollment in GEMS, or within 49-95 days in MAL-ED.

#### 96 Predictive Variables

97 In GEMS, potential predictors included over 130 descriptors of the child, household, and  
98 community, collected at enrollment (Supplemental Table S1). Collinear or conceptually similar  
99 predictors were removed from consideration to maximize model utility (e.g. HAZ, but not  
100 MUAC was considered in the main model). We considered individual components of household  
101 wealth, but did not explore the composite wealth variable used in other reports (28) since its  
102 utilization in a CPR would require collecting multiple parameters that were already being  
103 considered individually.

104 In MAL-ED, we considered 60 potential predictors of growth faltering (Supplemental  
105 Table S1). We limited possible predictor variables to those that would be easily assessable upon  
106 presentation to clinic in a low-resource setting (i.e. did not consider characteristics that required  
107 diagnostic testing), and again only considered individual components of combination indicators  
108 (e.g. wealth index, Vesikari score).

#### 109 Statistical Analysis

110 We screened variables using variable importance measures from random forests to  
111 identify the most predictive variables. Random forests are an ensemble learning method whereby  
112 multiple decision trees (1000 throughout this analysis) are built on bootstrapped samples of the  
113 data with only a random sample of potential predictors considered at each split, thereby  
114 decorrelating the trees and reducing variability(33). Throughout this analysis, the number of  
115 variables considered at each split was equal to the square root of the total number of potential  
116 variables, rounded down. Variables were ranked by predictive importance based on the reduction

117 in mean squared prediction error achieved by including the variable in the predictive model on  
118 out-of-bag samples (i.e. observations not in the bootstrapped sample).

119 Generalizable performance was assessed using 5-fold repeated cross-validation. In each  
120 of 100 iterations, random forests were fit to a training dataset (random 80% sample of analytic  
121 dataset), and variable were ranked using the random forest importance measure as above.  
122 Separate logistic regression and random forest regression models were then fit to a subset of the  
123 top predictive variables in the training dataset. Subsets examined were the top 1-10, 15, 20, 30,  
124 40, and 50 predictors. Each of these models were then used to predict the outcome (growth  
125 faltering) on the test dataset. Model performance was assessed using the receiver operating  
126 characteristic (ROC) curves and the cross-validated C-statistic (area under the ROC curve  
127 (AUC)), a measures which describes how well a model can discriminate between the two  
128 outcomes, from the cross-validation.

129 We assessed model calibration both quantitatively and graphically (“weak” and  
130 “moderate” calibration, respectively(34)). First, we assessed calibration-in-the-large, or  
131 calibration intercept, by using logistic regression to estimate the mean while subtracting out the  
132 estimate (model the log-odds of the true status, offset by the CPR-predicted log-odds). Next, we  
133 used calibration slope to assess the spread of the estimated probabilities, whereby we fit a  
134 logistic regression model with log-odds of the true status as the dependent variable and CPR-  
135 predicted log-odds as the independent variable. Finally, we assessed moderate calibration  
136 graphically, whereby we calculated the predicted probability of growth faltering for each child in  
137 a given analysis using each iteration of each n-variable model fit. These predicted probabilities  
138 were then binned into deciles, and the proportion of each decile who truly experienced the  
139 outcome was calculated for each iteration of each n-variable model. The mean predicted



140 probability and observed proportion was calculated for each decile across iterations. These  
141 average observed proportions were then plotted against averaged deciles for each n-variable  
142 model fit (see <https://github.com/LeungLab/CPRgrowthfaltering> for full analytic code).

143 Based on top predictors available in both GEMS and MAL-ED (see Results), the 2-  
144 variable GEMS-derived CPR of growth faltering was externally validated in MAL-ED data. A  
145 logistic regression was fit to all diarrhea cases age 0-23 months in GEMS data, with predictors  
146 chosen based on random forest. This model was then used to predict growth faltering in diarrhea  
147 cases in MAL-ED (age in MAL-ED converted from days to months), and discrimination and  
148 calibration were assessed as described above.

#### 149 Sensitivity and Subgroup Analyses

150 We undertook additional sensitivity and subgroup analyses to explore if our ability to  
151 predict growth faltering improved in specific patient populations or with additional predictors  
152 within GEMS data. First, we explored age-strata specific CPRs for children 0-11 months, 12-  
153 23 months, 0-23 months, and 24-59 months. Second, we explored the predictive ability of MUAC  
154 instead of and in addition to HAZ. Third, we attempted to account for potential seasonal  
155 variation by adding a predictor for month of diarrhea. Fourth, we added indicator variables for  
156 the use of antibiotics before presentation (enrollment), while at clinic, prescription to take home  
157 after care, and ever. Fifth, we limited our outcome to only very severe growth faltering, defined  
158 as a decrease  $\geq 1.0$  HAZ (as opposed to  $\geq 0.5$  HAZ in the main analysis). Sixth, we explored the  
159 impact diarrhea etiology had on growth faltering prediction. We added variables for the  
160 presence/absence of *Shigella*, *Cryptosporidium*, *Shigella + Cryptosporidium* infections, and any  
161 viral etiology (defined as infection of any of the following: astrovirus, norovirus GII, rotavirus,  
162 sapovirus, and adenovirus 40/41). Etiology-specific infection were defined as an episode-specific  
163 attributable fraction (AF<sub>e</sub>) greater than or equal to a given cutoff (0.3, 0.5, and 0.7 were

164 considered)(15). Finally, we explored the prevalence of growth faltering in healthy controls, and  
165 identified top predictors and their ability to predict growth faltering in controls. Potential  
166 predictors related to diarrhea were not considered amongst controls (e.g. number of days with  
167 diarrhea at presentation).

## 168 RESULTS

### 169 Growth faltering in children following acute diarrhea in GEMS and MAL-ED

170 There were 9439 children with acute diarrhea enrolled in GEMS. In the analysis of the  
171 primary outcome (growth faltering), 110 observations were dropped for having follow-up  
172 measurements taken <49 or >91 days after enrollment, and 1276 were dropped for having  
173 implausible or missing HAZ measurements, leaving an analytic sample of 8053. An addition 414  
174 observations were dropped for having missing predictor data, as random forest analysis requires  
175 complete cases. Of the remaining 7639 children, 1744 (22.8%) experienced severe growth  
176 faltering ( $\geq 0.5$  decrease in HAZ), and 357 (4.7%) experienced very severe growth faltering ( $\geq 1.0$   
177 decrease in HAZ) (Supplemental Figures S1). Growth faltering rates differed by country, with  
178 Mozambique and The Gambia having the highest rates of growth faltering (34.5% and 31.9%  
179 experienced severe growth faltering, respectively) and Mali having the lowest rate (14.9%,  
180 Supplemental Table S2). Growth faltering rates also varied by child's age, with a higher  
181 proportion of younger children experiencing growth faltering than older children (Supplemental  
182 Table S3).

183 In the analysis of MAL-ED data, we started with 6617 diarrhea episodes from 1390  
184 children. In order to align with GEMS inclusion criteria and limit to acute onset diarrhea, 566  
185 diarrhea episodes were dropped for having prolonged or persistent diarrhea (>7 days duration).  
186 An additional 125 episodes were dropped for having missing HAZ measurements or an HAZ  
187 follow-up measurement <49 or >95 days from diarrhea onset, and 138 episodes were dropped for

188 having implausible HAZ measurements, leaving 5788 diarrhea episodes from 1350 children. An  
189 additional 105 observations were dropped for having missing predictor data. Of the remaining  
190 5683 observations from 1322 children, 961(16.9%) episodes experienced severe growth faltering  
191 ( $\geq 0.5$  decrease in HAZ) and 161(2.8%) episodes experienced very severe growth faltering ( $\geq 1.0$   
192 decrease in HAZ, Figure S1).

193 Derivation of a CPR to identify children who went on to severe growth faltering following acute  
194 diarrhea using GEMS data

195 After random forest screening of variables, logistic regression models consistently had  
196 higher AUCs than random forest regression models (Supplementary Figure S2), therefore we  
197 only present the easier to interpret logistic regression results moving forward. In Table 1, we  
198 show the top-10 most predictive variables ranked from most to least important, for severe growth  
199 faltering ( $\geq 0.5$  decrease in HAZ) and death, respectively. The top predictive variables for severe  
200 growth faltering were: age, HAZ at enrollment, respiratory rate, temperature, number of people  
201 living in the household, number of people sleeping in the household, number of days of diarrhea  
202 at presentation, number of other households that share same fecal waste disposal facility (e.g.  
203 latrine), whether the child was currently breastfed at time of diarrhea, and the number of children  
204 <60 months old living in the household. The maximum AUC attained with the model was 0.75  
205 (94% CI: 0.75, 0.75) with a model of 20 variables, while an AUC of 0.71 (95% CI: 0.71, 0.72),  
206 0.72 (95% CI: 0.72, 0.72), and 0.72 (95% CI: 0.72, 0.72) could be obtained with a CPR of 2, 5,  
207 and 10 variables, respectively (Supplemental Figure S2). When limited to children 0-23 months  
208 of age, AUC decreased to 0.64 (95% CI: 0.64, 0.64) for 10 variables. In the full 10-variable  
209 model, we achieved a specificity of 0.47 at a sensitivity of 0.80 (Figure 1). The average predicted  
210 probability of growth faltering was consistently close to the average observed probability  
211 (calibration-in-the-large, or intercept), and the spread of predicted probabilities was similar to the

- 212 spread of observed probabilities (calibration slope) for models including 1 to 10 predictor  
213 variables (Table 2, Figure 2).

214 Table 1: **GROWTH FALTERING:** Variable importance ordering and cross-validated average overall AUC and AUC by patient  
 215 subset and 95% confidence intervals for a 5 (bold) and 10 (italicized) variable logistic regression model for predicting growth faltering  
 216 in children in 7 LMICs derived from GEMS data ( $\geq 0.5$  decrease in HAZ in children with acute diarrhea)

|                                | GEMS   |  |  | MAL-ED  |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Variable/<br>Patient<br>Subset | 0-59mo<br>(main text<br>model)                 | 0-23mo (for<br>external<br>validation)         | Healthy<br>controls                      | 0-23mo  |
| AUCs                           | <b>0.72 (0.72,<br/>0.72)</b>                   | <b>0.64 (0.63,<br/>0.65)</b>                   | <b>0.79 (0.78,<br/>0.79)</b>             | <b>0.67 (0.67,<br/>0.68)</b>                          |
|                                | <i>0.72 (0.72,<br/>0.72)</i>                   | <i>0.64 (0.64,<br/>0.64)</i>                   | <i>0.79 (0.79,<br/>0.79)</i>             | <i>0.68 (0.67,<br/>0.69)</i>                          |
| 1                              | Age<br>(months)                                | HAZ  | Age<br>(months)                          | HAZ   |
| 2                              | HAZ  | Age<br>(months)                                | HAZ                                      | Age (days)  |
| 3                              | Respiratory<br>rate                            | Temperature                                    | Respiratory<br>rate                      | Total days<br>breastfeeding                           |
| 4                              | Temperature                                    | Respiratory<br>rate                            | Temp                                     | Total days in<br>all diarrheal<br>episodes to<br>date |
| 5                              | Num.<br>people<br>living in<br>household       | Num.<br>people<br>living in<br>household       | Num.<br>people<br>living in<br>household | Mean<br>number of<br>people per<br>room               |
| 6                              | Num. rooms<br>used for<br>sleeping             | Num. rooms<br>used for<br>sleeping             | Breastfed                                | Days with<br>diarrhea so<br>far in this<br>episode    |
| 7                              | Num. days<br>of diarrhea<br>at<br>presentation | Num. days<br>of diarrhea<br>at<br>presentation | Num.<br>rooms used<br>for<br>sleeping    | Maternal<br>education<br>(years)                      |

|    |  |  |   |                                  |
|----|--|--|---|----------------------------------|
| 8  | Num. other households that share same fecal waste facility | Num. other households that share same fecal waste facility | Num. children <60months live in household | Days since last diarrhea episode |
| 9  | Breastfed  | Num. children <60 months live in household                 | Caregiver education                       | People sleeping in house         |
| 10 | Num. children <60months live in household                  | Caregiver education  | Num. other households share latrine       | Max loose stools in this episode |

217

218 Table 2: Calibration intercept and slope

| Number of predictor variables | GEMS 0-59mo Intercept (95% CI)  | Slope (95% CI)     | GEMS 0-23mo (for external validation) Intercept (95% CI) | Slope (95% CI)      | MAL-ED 0-23mo Rederivation Intercept (95% CI) | Slope (95% CI)     | GEMS-derived model applied to MAL-ED data Intercept (95% CI) | Slope (95% CI)    |
|-------------------------------|---|--------------------|--|---------------------|---|--------------------|--|-------------------|
| 1                             | $2.9 \times 10^{-3}$<br>( $-1.2 \times 10^{-1}$ ,<br>$1.3 \times 10^{-1}$ ) | 1.0<br>(0.82, 1.2) | $-1.0 \times 10^{-2}$<br>(-0.14, 0.12)                   | 0.97<br>(0.62, 1.3) | $9.6 \times 10^{-3}$<br>(-0.32, 0.32)         | 1.0<br>(0.35, 1.7) |  |                   |
| 2                             | $3.6 \times 10^{-3}$<br>( $-1.2 \times 10^{-1}$ ,<br>$1.3 \times 10^{-1}$ ) | 1.0<br>(0.84, 1.2) | $-1.1 \times 10^{-2}$<br>(-0.14, 0.12)                   | 0.98<br>(0.70, 1.3) | $1.1 \times 10^{-2}$<br>(-0.33 0.33)          | 1.0<br>(0.51, 1.5) | -0.32<br>(-0.54, -0.11)                                      | 1.5<br>(1.0, 2.1) |

|    |   |                    |  |                     |                                       |                     |  |  |
|----|---|--------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 3  | $3.6 \times 10^{-3}$<br>( $-1.2 \times 10^{-1}$ ,<br>$1.3 \times 10^{-1}$ ) | 1.0<br>(0.84, 1.2) | $-1.2 \times 10^{-2}$<br>(-0.14, 0.12) | 0.97<br>(0.70, 1.2) | $1.1 \times 10^{-2}$<br>(-0.33, 0.33) | 0.99<br>(0.51, 1.5) |  |  |
| 4  | $4.1 \times 10^{-3}$<br>( $-1.2 \times 10^{-1}$ ,<br>$1.3 \times 10^{-1}$ ) | 1.0<br>(0.84, 1.2) | $-1.2 \times 10^{-2}$<br>(-0.14, 0.12) | 0.97<br>(0.71, 1.2) | $1.1 \times 10^{-2}$<br>(-0.33, 0.33) | 0.97<br>(0.49, 1.5) |  |  |
| 5  | $4.2 \times 10^{-3}$<br>( $-1.2 \times 10^{-1}$ ,<br>$1.3 \times 10^{-1}$ ) | 1.0<br>(0.83, 1.2) | $-1.2 \times 10^{-2}$<br>(-0.14, 0.12) | 0.96<br>(0.70, 1.2) | $1.1 \times 10^{-2}$<br>(-0.33, 0.33) | 0.95<br>(0.48, 1.5) |  |  |
| 6  | $4.2 \times 10^{-3}$<br>( $-1.2 \times 10^{-1}$ ,<br>$1.3 \times 10^{-1}$ ) | 1.0<br>(0.83, 1.2) | $-1.2 \times 10^{-2}$<br>(-0.14, 0.12) | 0.96<br>(0.70, 1.2) | $1.2 \times 10^{-2}$<br>(-0.33, 0.33) | 0.94<br>(0.47, 1.5) |  |  |
| 7  | $4.3 \times 10^{-3}$<br>( $-1.2 \times 10^{-1}$ ,<br>$1.3 \times 10^{-1}$ ) | 1.0<br>(0.83, 1.2) | $-1.2 \times 10^{-2}$<br>(-0.14, 0.12) | 0.96<br>(0.70, 1.2) | $1.2 \times 10^{-2}$<br>(-0.33, 0.33) | 0.92<br>(0.47, 1.4) |  |  |
| 8  | $4.4 \times 10^{-3}$<br>( $-1.2 \times 10^{-1}$ ,<br>$1.3 \times 10^{-1}$ ) | 1.0<br>(0.83, 1.2) | $-1.2 \times 10^{-2}$<br>(-0.14, 0.12) | 0.95<br>(0.69, 1.2) | $1.2 \times 10^{-2}$<br>(-0.33, 0.33) | 0.92<br>(0.47, 1.4) |  |  |
| 9  | $4.7 \times 10^{-3}$<br>( $-1.2 \times 10^{-1}$ ,<br>$1.3 \times 10^{-1}$ ) | 1.0<br>(0.83, 1.2) | $-1.2 \times 10^{-2}$<br>(-0.14, 0.12) | 0.95<br>(0.69, 1.2) | $1.2 \times 10^{-2}$<br>(-0.33, 0.33) | 0.91<br>(0.47, 1.4) |  |  |
| 10 | $4.8 \times 10^{-3}$<br>( $-1.2 \times 10^{-1}$ ,<br>$1.3 \times 10^{-1}$ ) | 1.0<br>(0.83, 1.2) | $-1.2 \times 10^{-2}$<br>(-0.14, 0.12) | 0.93<br>(0.69, 1.2) | $1.2 \times 10^{-2}$<br>(-0.33, 0.33) | 0.89<br>(0.46, 1.4) |  |  |

219

220

Figure 1: **ROC curves:** Average ROC curves from the cross-validated logistic regression models predicting growth faltering with 2, 5, and 10 predictors. The faded dashed lines represent specificity (1- false positive rate) achievable with a sensitivity (true positive rate) of 0.80 for prediction of the outcome.





Figure 2: **2-Variable CPR for growth faltering:** Calibration curve and discriminative ability of 2-variable (age, HAZ at enrollment) model predicting growth faltering ( $\geq 0.5$  decrease in HAZ) in children presenting for acute diarrhea in LMICs.





Rederivation and external validation of a CPR to identify children who went on to severe growth faltering following acute diarrhea using MAL-ED data

We then derived a CPR for growth faltering using MAL-ED data, and found that the top predictors were similar to those identified using GEMS data, with age and HAZ at diarrhea being the top two predictors. Other top predictors in MAL-ED included breastfeeding, total days in all diarrhea episodes, mean number of people per room of home, days with diarrhea so far in this episode, number of years of maternal education, days since last diarrhea episode, number of people sleeping in house, and loose stools in this diarrhea episode (Table 1). The discriminative performance of the full model was similar to that found with GEMS (0.72 (95% CI: 0.72, 0.72) in GEMS, 0.68 (95% CI: 0.67, 0.69) in MAL-ED). The average predicted probability of growth faltering was consistently close to the average observed probability (calibration-in-the-large, or intercept). The spread of predicted probabilities (calibration slope) was slightly more extreme than observed probabilities, but there was no evidence they were different than 1.0 for models including 1 to 10 predictor variables (slope point estimates all 95% CI include 1.0, see Table 2, Supplemental Figure S3).

Due to a lack of overlap in variables between datasets, we were unable to externally validate the 10-variable version of our growth faltering CPR. However, the top two predictors were available in both the GEMS and MAL-ED dataset. Therefore, we took the 2-variable CPR of growth faltering derived from children 0-23

months of age in GEMS, including HAZ at enrollment and age (the top two predictors), and externally validated it in MAL-ED data. The CPR had marginal discrimination in the GEMS data (AUC=0.64, 95% CI 0.64, 0.64), and a slight increase in discriminative ability at external validation in MAL-ED data (AUC=0.68, 95% CI: 0.63, 0.74). On average, the CPR overestimated probability of growth faltering (calibration intercept -0.32, 95% CI: -0.54, -0.11), and predictions tended to be too moderate (calibration slope 1.5, 95% CI: 1.0, 2.1) (Table 2, Figure 2). Odds ratios for the 10-variable model predicting severe growth faltering in MAL-ED are shown in Supplemental Table S4.

Addition of MUAC, diarrhea etiology, and antibiotic use did not meaningfully impact discriminative performance of CPR to identify children who went on to severe growth faltering following acute diarrhea in GEMS

Table 1 and Supplemental Table S5 present the results of the growth faltering sensitivity analyses. Top predictor variables were highly consistent across models and included patient demographics, patient symptoms, and indicators of household wealth. CPR's of higher age strata had higher AUCs (0.76 (95% CI: 0.75, 0.77) in 24-59mo in GEMS versus 0.60 (95% CI: 0.59, 0.60) in 0-11mo in GEMS).

When MUAC was considered as a potential predictor (instead of HAZ), MUAC replaced HAZ as a top predictor, all other top-10 predictors remained the same, and AUC decreased (down to 0.70, 95% CI: 0.70, 0.70). When both HAZ and MUAC were considered as potential predictors, both were top predictors, but AUC remained unchanged compared to the main model that considered only HAZ (0.72, 95% CI: 0.72, 0.73). The predictors of very severe growth faltering ( $\geq 1.0$  decrease in HAZ) were similar to the predictors of severe growth faltering ( $\geq 0.5$  decrease in HAZ), though predictive ability was better (AUC 0.80 (95% CI: 0.79, 0.80) for  $\geq 1.0$  versus 0.72 (95% CI: 0.71, 0.73) for  $\geq 0.5$ ).

Accounting for seasonality did not meaningfully improve the CPR, and antibiotic use and diarrhea etiology were consistently not ranked as top predictors of growth faltering (Supplemental Table S5). Finally, including more predictor variables only marginally improved AUCs.

Derivation of a CPR to identify children without diarrhea (controls) who went on to severe growth faltering using GEMS data

Top predictors of growth faltering were similar in non-diarrhea controls compared to cases in GEMS (Table 1), but predictive ability was higher (AUC 0.79 (95% CI: 0.78, 0.79) in controls versus 0.72 (95% CI: 0.72, 0.72) in cases). Again, top predictors were consistent with previous models and included age, HAZ at enrollment, respiratory rate, temperature, number of people living in household, breastfed, number of rooms used for sleeping, number of children under 60 months old who live in household, education level of primary caregiver, and number of other households that share same fecal waste disposal facility (e.g. latrine). The maximum AUC attained with the model was 0.79 (95% CI: 0.79, 0.80) with a model of 15 variables, while an AUC of 0.79 (95% CI: 0.78, 0.79) and 0.79 (95% CI: 0.79, 0.79) could be obtained with a CPR of 5 and 10 variables, respectively (Supplemental Figure S2).

## DISCUSSION

By utilizing data from two large multi-center clinical studies of pediatric diarrhea, we used a combination of machine learning and conventional regression methods to derive and validate clinical prediction rules for linear growth faltering. The discriminative performance of our CPR for growth faltering was remarkably similar between the two datasets (AUC=0.72, 95% CI: 0.72, 0.72, based on GEMS 0-59 months; 0.68, 95% CI: 0.67, 0.69 based on MAL-ED 0-24 months). We were then able to externally validate a 2-variable version, which also had similar discriminative ability between the datasets (AUC 0.64 to 0.68 for 0-23 and 0-24 months in GEMS and MAL-ED respectively). Our findings suggest the potential for a parsimonious prediction rule-guided algorithm to identify young children with acute diarrhea for appropriate triage and follow-up.

The limited number of studies that aim to identify children most likely to growth falter after acute diarrhea have resulted in CPRs with varying discriminative and generalizability. Our full CPRs were better at identifying growth faltering than Brander et al (28) (AUC=0.67, 95% CI: 0.64, 0.69), which was not externally validated, and worse than Hanieh(35) et al (AUC: 0.85, 95% CI 0.80, 0.90), which only used data from a single country. The top predictors of growth faltering identified by random forests in our analysis were consistent with existing knowledge of the drivers of growth faltering – child demographics, child symptoms, and indicators of

household wealth. The top two variables (used in our parsimonious externally validated CPR) were age and baseline HAZ. However, despite the inclusion of markers of disease severity (temperature, respiratory rate, number of days of diarrhea), overall ability to predict growth faltering was moderate, and consideration of additional factors related to nature of disease (etiology, antibiotics) did not improve discriminative ability. This is consistent with previous analysis in GEMS data that found treating diarrhea with antibiotics generally did not prevent growth faltering (except for *Shigella* infections(36)).

Furthermore, the similar incidence of growth faltering in diarrhea cases and matched controls (particularly in the youngest children), as well as the almost identical predictive variables and similar AUCs, suggests that the impact of a single episode of acute diarrhea on growth trajectory may be relatively low. It is possible that the entire diarrheal history of a child (e.g. frequency and severity of acute diarrhea), or subclinical enteric infections that do not result in diarrhea, are more important to their growth trajectory than a single diarrheal episode, though evidence is mixed(13, 26, 37). Indeed, the average baseline HAZ at enrollment was 0.5 HAZ lower in children who did not experience growth faltering than in children who did (Supplemental Figure S4), suggesting the possibility that children need to have high enough HAZ in order to have the potential to falter. It is also possible that the underlying cause(s) of stunting are complex and interrelated, and relatively simple predictive models are not able to accurately parse apart which children do and do not experience sufficient causes.

While effective interventions exist for treating acute malnutrition (e.g. exclusive breastfeeding for the first 6 months of life, inpatient- and community-based management of acute malnutrition using corn-soy blend or ready-to-use therapeutic food (38-40)), there are few evidence-based guidance on how to reverse the effects of chronic malnutrition once a child is stunted(39, 41-44)). We found that approximately 1 in 5 children experience severe growth faltering subsequent to acute diarrhea, that is, an *additional*  $\geq 0.5$  decrease in HAZ in the 2-3 months after acute diarrhea. Currently, presenting to care for an acute illness, such as diarrhea, offers an opportunity for medical personnel to assess and treat children for acute malnutrition through intensive feeding programs. Our CPR provides a tool for identifying patients likely to experience additional growth faltering after

acute diarrhea. This would allow clinicians to connect patients with community-based nutrition interventions (e.g. maternal support for safe introduction of weaning foods, small quantity lipid nutrient supplements (SQ-LNS), etc.(45-48)) to prevent *additional* effects of chronic malnutrition, namely irreversible stunting.

Our study has a number of strengths and limitations. We derived CPRs for growth faltering from two multi-site, prospective studies that included longitudinal follow-up with extensive etiologic testing. Unlike previous work in this area, we used random forests for variable selection which do not require assumptions about the underlying variables and generally outperform(49) conventional model building techniques. We were able to re-derive the 10-variable version in two distinct datasets with similar results. While we were only able to externally validate a 2-variable version of our growth faltering CPR, its discriminative performance was similar to the full 10-variable version, and was robust to external validation. Furthermore, while the observation windows were large for many variables in the MAL-ED dataset used for external validation (up to 90 days for dietary variables, and up to 6months for household descriptors), the variables of interest in the 2-variable CPR were observed no more than 31 days from the start of diarrhea. In addition, we considered all diarrhea as an outcome of interest in MAL-ED, whereas the analysis in GEMS was limited to MSD. When limiting the MAL-ED analysis to MSD as defined in GEMS, the top predictors and discriminative ability were very similar. Finally, we explored a range of AFe cutoffs for etiology, with consistent results.

In conclusion, we used data from two large multi-country studies to derive and validate a clinical prediction rule for growth faltering in children presenting for diarrhea treatment. Our findings indicate that use of prediction rules, potentially applied as clinical decision support tools, could help to identify children at risk of poor outcomes after an episode of diarrheal illness. In settings with high mortality and morbidity in early childhood, such tools could represent a cost-effective way to target resources towards those who need it most.

## REFERENCES

1. Bank UNCsfUWHOIBfRaDTW. Levels and trends in child malnutrition: key findings of the 2021 edition of the joint child malnutrition estimates. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2021.
2. de Onis M, Dewey KG, Borghi E, Onyango AW, Blossner M, Daelmans B, et al. The World Health Organization's global target for reducing childhood stunting by 2025: rationale and proposed actions. *Matern Child Nutr.* 2013;9 Suppl 2:6-26.
3. Bank UNCsfUWHOTW. Levels and trends in child malnutrition: key findings of the 2021 edition of the joint child malnutrition estimates. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2021.
4. Rytter MJ, Kolte L, Briend A, Friis H, Christensen VB. The immune system in children with malnutrition--a systematic review. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(8):e105017.
5. Bourke CD, Berkley JA, Prendergast AJ. Immune Dysfunction as a Cause and Consequence of Malnutrition. *Trends Immunol.* 2016;37(6):386-98.
6. McDonald CM, Olofin I, Flaxman S, Fawzi WW, Spiegelman D, Caulfield LE, et al. The effect of multiple anthropometric deficits on child mortality: meta-analysis of individual data in 10 prospective studies from developing countries. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2013;97(4):896-901.
7. Black RE, Victora CG, Walker SP, Bhutta ZA, Christian P, de Onis M, et al. Maternal and child undernutrition and overweight in low-income and middle-income countries. *Lancet.* 2013;382(9890):427-51.
8. Olofin I, McDonald CM, Ezzati M, Flaxman S, Black RE, Fawzi WW, et al. Associations of suboptimal growth with all-cause and cause-specific mortality in children under five years: a pooled analysis of ten prospective studies. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(5):e64636.
9. Adair LS, Fall CH, Osmond C, Stein AD, Martorell R, Ramirez-Zea M, et al. Associations of linear growth and relative weight gain during early life with adult health and human capital in countries of low and middle income: findings from five birth cohort studies. *Lancet.* 2013;382(9891):525-34.
10. de Onis M, Branca F. Childhood stunting: a global perspective. *Matern Child Nutr.* 2016;12 Suppl 1:12-26.
11. Black RE, Allen LH, Bhutta ZA, Caulfield LE, de Onis M, Ezzati M, et al. Maternal and child undernutrition: global and regional exposures and health consequences. *Lancet.* 2008;371(9608):243-60.
12. Bhaskaram P. Micronutrient malnutrition, infection, and immunity: an overview. *Nutr Rev.* 2002;60(5 Pt 2):S40-5.
13. Checkley W, Buckley G, Gilman RH, Assis AM, Guerrant RL, Morris SS, et al. Multi-country analysis of the effects of diarrhoea on childhood stunting. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2008;37(4):816-30.
14. Danaei G, Andrews KG, Sudfeld CR, Fink G, McCoy DC, Peet E, et al. Risk Factors for Childhood Stunting in 137 Developing Countries: A Comparative Risk Assessment Analysis at Global, Regional, and Country Levels. *PLoS Med.* 2016;13(11):e1002164.
15. Kotloff KL, Nataro JP, Blackwelder WC, Nasrin D, Farag TH, Panchalingam S, et al. Burden and aetiology of diarrhoeal disease in infants and young children in developing countries (the Global Enteric Multicenter Study, GEMS): a prospective, case-control study. *Lancet.* 2013;382(9888):209-22.
16. Collaborators GBDDD. Estimates of the global, regional, and national morbidity, mortality, and aetiologies of diarrhoea in 195 countries: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2018;18(11):1211-28.
17. Reilly BM, Evans AT. Translating clinical research into clinical practice: impact of using prediction rules to make decisions. *Ann Intern Med.* 2006;144(3):201-9.
18. Prado EL, Yakes Jimenez E, Vosti S, Stewart R, Stewart CP, Some J, et al. Path analyses of risk factors for linear growth faltering in four prospective cohorts of young children in Ghana, Malawi and Burkina Faso. *BMJ Glob Health.* 2019;4(1):e001155.



19. Sofiatin Y, Pusparani A, Judistiani TD, Rahmalia A, Diana A, Alisjahbana A. Maternal and environmental risk for faltered growth in the first 5 years for Tanjungsari children in West Java, Indonesia. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr*. 2019;28(Suppl 1):S32-S42.
20. Naylor C, Lu M, Haque R, Mondal D, Buonomo E, Nayak U, et al. Environmental Enteropathy, Oral Vaccine Failure and Growth Faltering in Infants in Bangladesh. *EBioMedicine*. 2015;2(11):1759-66.
21. Zhang Y, Zhou J, Niu F, Donowitz JR, Haque R, Petri WA, Jr., et al. Characterizing early child growth patterns of height-for-age in an urban slum cohort of Bangladesh with functional principal component analysis. *BMC Pediatr*. 2017;17(1):84.
22. Richter LM, Orkin FM, Roman GD, Dahly DL, Horta BL, Bhargava SK, et al. Comparative Models of Biological and Social Pathways to Predict Child Growth through Age 2 Years from Birth Cohorts in Brazil, India, the Philippines, and South Africa. *J Nutr*. 2018;148(8):1364-71.
23. Schott WB, Crookston BT, Lundeen EA, Stein AD, Behrman JR, Young Lives D, et al. Periods of child growth up to age 8 years in Ethiopia, India, Peru and Vietnam: key distal household and community factors. *Soc Sci Med*. 2013;97:278-87.
24. Richard SA, McCormick BJJ, Murray-Kolb LE, Lee GO, Seidman JC, Mahfuz M, et al. Enteric dysfunction and other factors associated with attained size at 5 years: MAL-ED birth cohort study findings. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2019;110(1):131-8.
25. Rogawski ET, Bartelt LA, Platts-Mills JA, Seidman JC, Samie A, Havt A, et al. Determinants and Impact of Giardia Infection in the First 2 Years of Life in the MAL-ED Birth Cohort. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc*. 2017;6(2):153-60.
26. Rogawski ET, Liu J, Platts-Mills JA, Kabir F, Lertsethtakarn P, Siguas M, et al. Use of quantitative molecular diagnostic methods to investigate the effect of enteropathogen infections on linear growth in children in low-resource settings: longitudinal analysis of results from the MAL-ED cohort study. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2018;6(12):e1319-e28.
27. Kotloff KL, Blackwelder WC, Nasrin D, Nataro JP, Farag TH, van Eijk A, et al. The Global Enteric Multicenter Study (GEMS) of diarrheal disease in infants and young children in developing countries: epidemiologic and clinical methods of the case/control study. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2012;55 Suppl 4:S232-45.
28. Brander RL, Pavlinac PB, Walson JL, John-Stewart GC, Weaver MR, Faruque ASG, et al. Determinants of linear growth faltering among children with moderate-to-severe diarrhea in the Global Enteric Multicenter Study. *BMC Med*. 2019;17(1):214.
29. Investigators M-EN. The MAL-ED study: a multinational and multidisciplinary approach to understand the relationship between enteric pathogens, malnutrition, gut physiology, physical growth, cognitive development, and immune responses in infants and children up to 2 years of age in resource-poor environments. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014;59 Suppl 4:S193-206.
30. Platts-Mills JA, McCormick BJ, Kosek M, Pan WK, Checkley W, Hout R, et al. Methods of analysis of enteropathogen infection in the MAL-ED Cohort Study. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014;59 Suppl 4:S233-8.
31. Platts-Mills JA, Babji S, Bodhidatta L, Gratz J, Haque R, Havt A, et al. Pathogen-specific burdens of community diarrhoea in developing countries: a multisite birth cohort study (MAL-ED). *Lancet Glob Health*. 2015;3(9):e564-75.
32. Richard SA, Barrett LJ, Guerrant RL, Checkley W, Miller MA, Investigators M-EN. Disease surveillance methods used in the 8-site MAL-ED cohort study. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014;59 Suppl 4:S220-4.
33. James G, Witten D, Hastie T, Tibshirani R. *An Introduction to Statistical Learning with Applications in R*. New York: Springer; 2013.
34. Van Calster B, McLernon DJ, van Smeden M, Wynants L, Steyerberg EW, Topic Group 'Evaluating diagnostic t, et al. Calibration: the Achilles heel of predictive analytics. *BMC Med*. 2019;17(1):230.
35. Hanieh S, Braat S, Simpson JA, Ha TTT, Tran TD, Tuan T, et al. The Stunting Tool for Early Prevention: development and external validation of a novel tool to predict risk of stunting in children at 3 years of age. *BMJ Glob Health*. 2019;4(6):e001801.

36. Nasrin D, Blackwelder WC, Sommerfelt H, Wu Y, Farag TH, Panchalingam S, et al. Pathogens Associated With Linear Growth Faltering in Children With Diarrhea and Impact of Antibiotic Treatment: The Global Enteric Multicenter Study. *J Infect Dis.* 2021;224(Supplement\_7):S848-S55.
37. Deichsel EL, John-Stewart GC, Walson JL, Mbori-Ngacha D, Richardson BA, Guthrie BL, et al. Examining the relationship between diarrhea and linear growth in Kenyan HIV-exposed, uninfected infants. *PLoS One.* 2020;15(7):e0235704.
38. WHO. Guideline: Updates on the management of severe acute malnutrition in infants and children. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013.
39. Bergeron G, Castleman T. Program responses to acute and chronic malnutrition: divergences and convergences. *Adv Nutr.* 2012;3(2):242-9.
40. Keats EC, Das JK, Salam RA, Lassi ZS, Imdad A, Black RE, et al. Effective interventions to address maternal and child malnutrition: an update of the evidence. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health.* 2021;5(5):367-84.
41. Leroy JL, Ruel M, Habicht JP, Frongillo EA. Using height-for-age differences (HAD) instead of height-for-age z-scores (HAZ) for the meaningful measurement of population-level catch-up in linear growth in children less than 5 years of age. *BMC Pediatr.* 2015;15:145.
42. Reinhardt K, Fanzo J. Addressing Chronic Malnutrition through Multi-Sectoral, Sustainable Approaches: A Review of the Causes and Consequences. *Front Nutr.* 2014;1:13.
43. Pavlinac PB, Brander RL, Atlas HE, John-Stewart GC, Denno DM, Walson JL. Interventions to reduce post-acute consequences of diarrheal disease in children: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health.* 2018;18(1):208.
44. WHO. Stunting in a nutshell: World Health Organization; 2015 [updated 19 November 2015. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news/item/19-11-2015-stunting-in-a-nutshell>.
45. Bhutta ZA, Das JK, Rizvi A, Gaffey MF, Walker N, Horton S, et al. Evidence-based interventions for improvement of maternal and child nutrition: what can be done and at what cost? *Lancet.* 2013;382(9890):452-77.
46. Bhutta ZA, Ahmed T, Black RE, Cousens S, Dewey K, Giugliani E, et al. What works? Interventions for maternal and child undernutrition and survival. *Lancet.* 2008;371(9610):417-40.
47. Cole CR. Optimizing Interventions to Prevent Chronic Malnutrition: The Search for the Holy Grail. *J Pediatr.* 2020;222:17-8.
48. Zhang Z, Li F, Hannon BA, Hustead DS, Aw MM, Liu Z, et al. Effect of Oral Nutritional Supplementation on Growth in Children with Undernutrition: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Nutrients.* 2021;13(9).
49. Singal AG, Mukherjee A, Elmunzer BJ, Higgins PD, Lok AS, Zhu J, et al. Machine learning algorithms outperform conventional regression models in predicting development of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2013;108(11):1723-30.