1	Strongyloides stercoralis infection induces gut dysbiosis in chronic kidney disease patients
2	
3	Nguyen Thi Hai <sup>1,7,8</sup> , Nuttanan Hongsrichan <sup>1,7</sup> , Kitti Intuyod <sup>2,7</sup> , Porntip Pinlaor <sup>3,7</sup> , Manachai
4	Yingklang <sup>1,7</sup> , Apisit Chaidee <sup>1,7</sup> , Thatsanapong Pongking <sup>4,7</sup> , Sirirat Anutrakulchai <sup>5,7</sup> , Ubon
5	Cha'on <sup>6,7</sup> , Somchai Pinlaor <sup>1,7,*</sup>
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen 40002,
8	Thailand
9	<sup>2</sup> Department of Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen 40002,
10	Thailand
11	<sup>3</sup> Centre for Research and Development of Medical Diagnostic Laboratories, Faculty of
12	Associated Medical Sciences, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand
13	<sup>4</sup> Science Program in Biomedical Science, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand
14	<sup>5</sup> Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen 40002,
15	Thailand
16	<sup>6</sup> Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen 40002,
17	Thailand
18	<sup>7</sup> Chronic Kidney Disease Prevention in the Northeastern Thailand, Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand
19	<sup>8</sup> Department of Parasitology, Thai Nguyen University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Vietnam
20	
21	*Corresponding author:
22	Prof. Somchai Pinlaor,
23	Department of Parasitology,
24	Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University,

- 25 Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand.
- 26 E-mail address: psomec@kku.ac.th
- 27

## 28 Abstract

#### 29 Background

Strongyloides stercoralis infection typically causes severe symptoms in
 immunocompromised patients. However, the progression of infection-driven chronic kidney
 disease (CKD) is not understood fully. Recent studies have shown that gut dysbiosis plays an
 important role in the progression of CKD. Hence, this study aims to investigate the effect of *S*.
 *stercoralis* infection on the gut microbiome in CKD patients.

35 Methodology/Principal Findings

Among 838 volunteers from Khon Kaen Province, northeastern Thailand, 40 subjects 36 37 with CKD were enrolled and divided into two groups (S. stercoralis-infected and -uninfected) 38 matched for age, sex and biochemical parameters. Next-generation technology was used to amplify and sequence the V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene to provide a profile of the gut 39 40 microbiota. Results revealed that members of the S. stercoralis-infected group had lower gut 41 microbial diversity than was seen in the uninfected group. Interestingly, there was significantly 42 greater representation of some pathogenic bacteria in the S. stercoralis-infected CKD group, 43 including *Escherichia-Shigella* (P = 0.013), *Rothia* (P = 0.013) and *Aggregatibacter* (P = 0.03). There was also a trend towards increased Actinomyces, Streptococcus and Haemophilus (P >44 45 0.05) in this group. On the other hand, the S. stercoralis-infected CKD group had significantly lower representation of SCFA-producing bacteria such as *Anaerostipes* (P = 0.01), 46

ale and
ly
•
dance
uance
eGFR).
(eGFR).
(eGFR).
(eGFR).
(eGFR). in the
(eGFR). in the RNA

## 60 Author summary

61 Human strongyloidiasis is caused by a soil-transmitted helminth, Strongyloides 62 stercoralis, which typically causes severe symptoms in immunocompromised. However the 63 relationship between S. stercoralis and chronic kidney disease patients (CKD) progression was 64 not known. This is the first study to investigate the gut microbiota of CKD patients with and without S. stercoralis using high-throughput sequencing of the V3–V4 region of the 16S rRNA 65 66 gene. Infection with S. stercoralis was associated with reduced gut microbiota diversity than in 67 the uninfected group. In addition, infection with this nematode led to reduced abundance of 68 SCFA-producing bacteria and enrichment of pathogenic bacteria. In particular, there were

69 significant differences in abundance of the beneficial genus *Anaerostipes* (a decrease) and the 70 pathogenic taxon *Escherichia-Shigella* (an increase) in CKD patients infected with *S. stercoralis* 71 relative to controls. In the infected group, the representation of genus *Escherichia-Shigella* was 72 significant higher in patients over the age of 65 years. There was a significant inverse moderate 73 correlation of *Escherichia-Shigella* with the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR).

74

## 75 Introduction

76 An imbalance within the microbiota in the gastrointestinal tract, termed gut dysbiosis, 77 contributes to the development and progression of many diseases including chronic kidney 78 disease (CKD) [1]. Many studies have shown a significant difference in the abundance of 79 bacterial populations in the gastrointestinal tract (GI) between CKD and control individuals. 80 Substantially lower proportions of *Bifidobacterium*, *Lactobacillaceae*, *Bacteroidaceae* and 81 Prevotellaceae were seen in CKD patients, including those undergoing hemodialysis, while the 82 proportions of Enterobacteriaceae, especially Enterobacter, Klebsiella and Escherichia, were 83 notably higher [2-5]. The production of uremic toxins (indoxyl sulphate (IS), trimethylamine-N-84 oxide (TMAO)), which results from nutrient processing by gut microbiota, and the reduction of fiber-derived short-chain fatty acids, are linked with CKD progression [6-8]. Recent studies have 85 found various factors involved in microbial dysbiosis and CKD, such as the use of antibiotics 86 87 [9], decreased consumption of dietary fiber [10], and oral iron intake [11]. However, many 88 etiological factors associated with CKD remain obscure [12], particularly those due to infection 89 with intestinal parasites.

90 The ability of GI parasitic infection to changes the gut microbiota and host-microbiota
91 interactions has been clearly identified. Infection with intestinal parasites either induced gut

92	dysbiosis or provided protection against dysbiosis and inflammatory disease [13]. Strongyloides
93	stercoralis is one of the most medically important parasites in northeastern Thailand, where the
94	prevalence of CKD is also high [14]. Typically, S. stercoralis infection causes only mild GI
95	symptoms. However, when immunity is suppressed by, for example, CKD, diabetes mellitus or
96	HIV infection, the parasite can rapidly multiply and eventually leads to hyperinfection and
97	disseminated strongyloidiasis, which is a life-threatening condition [15-18].
98	Recent studies have successfully demonstrated that S. stercoralis induces an increase in
99	bacterial diversity and changes faecal microbiota [19, 20]. By using metagenomic analysis,
100	microbial alpha diversity was found to increase, and beta diversity decrease, in the faecal
101	microbial profiles of S. stercoralis-infected individuals compared to uninfected. Faecal
102	metabolite analysis detected marked increases in the abundance of selected amino acids and
103	decrease in short-chain fatty acids in S. stercoralis infection, relative to uninfected controls [19].
104	Taken together, we therefore hypothesize that S. stercoralis infection changes gut microbiome,
105	contributing to progression of CKD.
106	To test this hypothesis, metagenomic analysis was done in patients with CKD to
107	investigate the changes in the gut microbiota that can be attributed to S. stercoralis infection. The
108	result from this study might be useful for identifying strategies for prevention of chronic kidney
109	disease development and progression.
110	

111 Methods

## 112 Study population, area and ethics statement

113 The study was conducted between January 2017 and May 2018 at Donchang sub-district,114 Khon Kaen Province, northeastern Thailand as a part of the Chronic Kidney Disease

115	Northeastern Thailand (CKDNET) project. Included in the study were individuals (>35 years of
116	age) of chronic kidney disease. Their diagnosis, done by a nephrologist [14], included clinically
117	proven impaired kidney structure or renal function, as detected using ultrasonography, and a
118	finding of reduced eGFR. The staging (stages 1 to 5) based on the estimated glomerular filtration
119	rate (eGFR) [21, 22] was estimated for each individual. In patients with eGFR >
120	60 ml/min/1.73 m2, kidney damage was confirmed based on urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio
121	(UACR), hematuria and abnormal renal ultrasound. Stool examination was performed on CKD
122	patients using the modified formalin ethyl acetate concentration technique (FECT) and modified
123	agar plate culture (mAPC) as previously reported [23].
124	Exclusion criteria were as follows: use of antibiotics or probiotics, diabetes, autoimmune
125	disease, urinary tract infection, renal infection with other intestinal parasites. Twenty CKD
126	patients with S. stercoralis infection (Ss+) [24] met these criteria and were included. The control
127	group consisted of 20 CKD patients free of S. stercoralis infection (Ss-) who otherwise matched
128	the characteristics (including sex, age and biochemical factors) of the Ss+ group (Table 1). These
129	datasets were obtained from the medical records of CKDNET and from a recent study [23].
130	Absence of S. stercoralis infection in members of the control group was also confirmed using
131	PCR tests. The human ethical review committee of Khon Kaen University (HE631200) approved
132	the protocol of study.

## 133 Sample collection, DNA extraction

Faecal and serum samples (n=40) were collected from the CKD Northeast Thailand
project and kept at -20 °C until analysis. DNA was extracted from faecal samples using the
QIAamp Kit (Qiagen, Germany). A Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop

137 Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA) was used to measure DNA concentration and 1.5%138 agarose gel electrophoresis was used to check the DNA quality.

## 139 **16S rRNA gene sequencing and analysis**

140 To confirm that V3-V4 regions (about 450-500 bp) of the prokaryotic 16S rRNA gene

141 could be amplified, PCR was used to amplify DNA from each faecal sample. Specific V3-V4

142 primers were used, V3-forward: 5'-CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG and V4-reverse: 5'-

143 TACNVGGGTATCTAATCC [25]. Each reaction (20.1 µL) contained 2 µL of 10x buffer

144 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.4  $\mu$ L of 50mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.6  $\mu$ L of 10mM dNTP, 1  $\mu$ L of 5  $\mu$ M of each primer, 0.1 $\mu$ L

145 Platinum *Taq* DNA polymerase and distilled water. The amplification profile was initial

146 denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, at 94 °C for 40 sec, then 35 cycles of 52.8 °C for 30 sec, 72 °C

147 for 2 min, followed by a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. PCR product was electrophoresed

in a 1.5% agarose gel to confirm the presence of a band of the expected size.

149 A sequencing library was generated for each sample using NEBNext® UltraTM DNA 150 Library Prep Kit for Illumina (Thermo Scientific) following the manufacturer's 151 recommendations. The library quality was assessed on the Oubit@ 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo 152 Scientific) and Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 system. Finally, the library was sequenced on an 153 Illumina platform and 250 bp paired-end reads were generated. Processing and quality control of 154 these reads used the following steps: 1) Data split (based on their unique barcode) and truncation 155 by cutting off the barcode and primer sequences; 2) Sequence assembly (Paired-end reads were 156 merged using FLASH [26] and generated the raw tags; 3) Data filtration (quality filtering on the 157 raw tags was performed to obtain high-quality clean tags [27] according to the QIIME(V1.7.0, 158 http://giime.org/index.html) guality controlled process [28]; 4) Chimera removal (the tags were

159 compared with a reference database [29] using the UCHIME algorithm [30] to detect chimera160 sequences, which were then removed [31].

161 Sequences sharing >97% similarity were assigned to the same operational taxonomic unit 162 (OTU) by using Uparse v7.0.1001) [32] and species annotated by reference to the GreenGene 163 Database[33] based on RDP 3 classifier [34] algorithm to annotate taxonomic information. 164 Phylogenetic relationship construction was conducted using the MUSCLE software (Version 165 3.8.31) [35]. Information on abundance of each OTU was normalized relative to the sample with 166 the fewest sequences. Subsequent analyses of alpha diversity were performed based on this 167 normalized data. Alpha diversity indicates species diversity for a sample using six indices 168 (observed-species, Chao1, Shannon, Simpson, ACE and Good's coverage). All these indices 169 were calculated using QIIME (Version 1.7.0) and displayed with the help of R software (Version 170 2.15.3). To show beta diversity, weighted and unweighted UniFrac metrics were used to evaluate 171 differences of samples in species complexity by using QIIME software (Version 1.7.0). Principal 172 Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) was performed to visualize complex and multidimensional data. 173 PCoA analysis was done using the WGCNA package, stat packages and ggplot2 package in R 174 software (Version 2.15.3). Metastats was used to detect taxa with significant intra-group 175 variation. The potential biomarkers were detected by using LEfSe (linear discriminant analysis 176 (LDA) Effect Size) [36]. Raw data are available from Mendeley Data (DOI:

**177** 10.17632/hvbvrtc34x.1)

## **Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)**

179 Conventional PCR was used to confirm the absence of *S. stercoralis* infection in
180 members of the control group (n=20). Primers were designed specifically to amplify a 125 bp
181 fragment from a *S. stercoralis* dispersed repetitive sequence, GenBank: AY08262 [37]. The

182	forward primer was SSC-F 5' CTCAGCTCCAGTAAAGCAACAG 3' and reverse primer was
183	SSC-R 5' AGCTGAATCTGGAGAGTG AAGA 3'. PCR amplification was performed in a 12.5
184	$\mu$ L volume with Dream Taq PCR Master-mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Vilnius, Lithuania), 1
185	$\mu L$ of each primer, 5 $\mu L$ of 9–155 ng/ $\mu L$ DNA, and PCR-grade water. The amplification profile
186	was initial denaturation at 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95 °C for 1 min, 60 °C for
187	1 min 30 s and 72 °C for 1 min; then a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. To confirm
188	amplification and amplicon size, the PCR products were resolved on a 2% agarose gel stained
189	with ethidium bromide.
100	Statistical analysis
190	Statistical analysis
190 191	Statistical analyses including ANOVA, independent t-test, Welch's t-test, Kruskal-Wallis
190 191 192	Statistical analysis Statistical analyses including ANOVA, independent t-test, Welch's t-test, Kruskal-Wallis test, Mann-Whitney U tests and Pearson's correlation coefficient were conducted using IBM
190 191 192 193	Statistical analysis Statistical analyses including ANOVA, independent t-test, Welch's t-test, Kruskal-Wallis test, Mann-Whitney U tests and Pearson's correlation coefficient were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics version 20 (IBM, Armonk, New York). Statistically significant features were
190 191 192 193 194	Statistical analysis Statistical analyses including ANOVA, independent t-test, Welch's t-test, Kruskal-Wallis test, Mann-Whitney U tests and Pearson's correlation coefficient were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics version 20 (IBM, Armonk, New York). Statistically significant features were further examined with post-hoc tests (Tukey-Kramer) to determine which groups of profiles
190 191 192 193 194 195	Statistical analyses including ANOVA, independent t-test, Welch's t-test, Kruskal-Wallis test, Mann-Whitney U tests and Pearson's correlation coefficient were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics version 20 (IBM, Armonk, New York). Statistically significant features were further examined with post-hoc tests (Tukey-Kramer) to determine which groups of profiles differed from each other. Non-normal distribution data of two genera ( <i>Anaerostipes</i> and
190 191 192 193 194 195 196	Statistical analysis Statistical analyses including ANOVA, independent t-test, Welch's t-test, Kruskal-Wallis test, Mann-Whitney U tests and Pearson's correlation coefficient were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics version 20 (IBM, Armonk, New York). Statistically significant features were further examined with post-hoc tests (Tukey-Kramer) to determine which groups of profiles differed from each other. Non-normal distribution data of two genera ( <i>Anaerostipes</i> and <i>Escherichia-Shigella</i> ) in association with CKD were log-transformed into normal distributions.
190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197	Statistical analysis Statistical analyses including ANOVA, independent t-test, Welch's t-test, Kruskal-Wallis test, Mann-Whitney U tests and Pearson's correlation coefficient were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics version 20 (IBM, Armonk, New York). Statistically significant features were further examined with post-hoc tests (Tukey-Kramer) to determine which groups of profiles differed from each other. Non-normal distribution data of two genera ( <i>Anaerostipes</i> and <i>Escherichia-Shigella</i> ) in association with CKD were log-transformed into normal distributions. Statistical significance and 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) were calculated and considered as
190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197 198	Statistical analyses including ANOVA, independent t-test, Welch's t-test, Kruskal-Wallis test, Mann-Whitney U tests and Pearson's correlation coefficient were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics version 20 (IBM, Armonk, New York). Statistically significant features were further examined with post-hoc tests (Tukey-Kramer) to determine which groups of profiles differed from each other. Non-normal distribution data of two genera ( <i>Anaerostipes</i> and <i>Escherichia-Shigella</i> ) in association with CKD were log-transformed into normal distributions. Statistical significance and 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) were calculated and considered as $P < 0.05$ .

200 **Results** 

201 Study population characteristics

Demographic, socioeconomic and clinical characteristics of CKD patients with and without *S. stercoralis* infection were matched (Table 1). No significant differences in these characteristics were found between the Ss+ and Ss– groups.

205

## Characterization of bacterial diversity and community structure

In total, 1551 OTUs were identified based on the 97%-similarity rule, with an average of 477 OTUs per sample. Sequences were classified into 16 bacterial phyla, 26 classes, 45 orders, 72 families and 258 genera and 189 species (including unidentified species). The species accumulation curves showed a saturation phase (Fig. 1). This indicates that the sample size was sufficient to capture the overall microbiota structure.

211 The unweighted UniFrac distances, reflecting beta diversity, showed significant

differences between the Ss+ and Ss- groups (P = 0.00019). In terms of alpha diversity overall,

213 there were no significant differences in estimated OTU richness, Chao1, the ACE metric, the

Shannon diversity index and Good's coverage, (P > 0.05) (Table 3). In contrast, the alpha

215 diversity in males in the Ss- group was significantly higher than in males in the Ss+ group

216 (Shannon diversity index, P = 0.015; Simpson diversity index, P = 0.058) (Fig 2B, C).

The principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) was used to illustrate the beta diversity based on the unweighted UniFrac distances (Fig 2D). PCoA analysis revealed that the gut microbiota of Ss+ subjects showed deviation from the Ss– group (Fig 2E).

- 220 LDA score showed the significantly in abundance between the two groups. The candidate
- biomarker for the Ss– category was order Bradymonadales and for CKD with *S. stercoralis*
- 222 infection (Ss+) was species E. coli, genera Escherichia-Shigella, Dialister, family
- 223 Veillonellaceae and order Selenomonadales (Fig 3).

### 224 Differences in bacterial abundance between the Ss+ and Ss- groups

225	Proportions of sequence reads were compared between groups at the phylum and genus
226	levels using Metastats. At the phylum level, there were no significant differences (Fig 4). For
227	example, relative abundances of some principal taxa were: Firmicutes (Ss- 64.14% vs. Ss+
228	59.33%; $P = 0.39$ ), Proteobacteria (Ss- 15.01% vs. Ss+ 18.83%; $P = 0.50$ ), Bacteroidetes (Ss-
229	12.61% vs. Ss+ 12.68%; $P = 0.98$ ), Actinobacteria (Ss- 3.46% vs. Ss+ 4.34%; $P = 0.67$ ) and
230	Fusobacteria (Ss-1.88% vs. Ss+ 3.81%; $P = 0.52$ ). However, at the genus level, 42 taxa were
231	differentially relative abundance (Table 4).
232	To study the similarity among different samples, clustering analysis was applied. The
233	unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA), a type of hierarchical clustering
234	method widely used in ecology, Ss- vs. Ss+ samples tended to cluster separately (Fig 5).
235	The trends of some bacteria in S. stercoralis infection
236	Fig 6 shows the comparisons of abundance of some bacteria between Ss- and Ss+ groups
237	at the genus level, with pathogenic bacteria groups were increased in Ss+, such as: Escherichia-
238	<i>Shigella</i> (3.36% vs. 13.33%; <i>P</i> < 0.05), <i>Streptococcus</i> (0.97% vs. 2.18%; <i>P</i> > 0.05),
239	<i>Haemophilus</i> (0.46% vs 0.71%; $P > 0.05$ ), <i>Rothia</i> (0.024% vs. 0.11%; $P < 0.05$ ), <i>Actinomyces</i>
240	(0.038%  vs.  0.067%; P > 0.05), Aggregatibacter (0.0013%  vs.  0.025%; P < 0.05)  and reducing
241	of some SCFA-producing bacteria were observed, such as: Eubacterium rectale_group (4.51%
242	vs. 3.78%; $P > 0.05$ ), Eubacterium hallii_group (1.24% vs. 0.94%; $P > 0.05$ ), Anaerostipes
243	$(0.54\% \text{ vs. } 0.074\%; P < 0.05), Coprococcus_1 (0.11\% \text{ vs. } 0.057\%; P < 0.05) \text{ and } Akkermansia$
244	(0.081%  vs.  0.043%; P > 0.05).
245	Anaerostipes and Escherichia-Shigella exhibit opposing trends in abundance
246	and correlate with sex, age and CKD stage

247	Fig 7 shows the opposing trends in abundance in the genera Anaerostipes and
248	Escherichia-Shigella. The proportion of reads of Anaerostipes was lower in those aged over 65
249	years (Fig. 7A), in females (7B), and with increasingly advanced CKD stage (7C) and in those
250	infected with S. stercoralis (Fig. 7A, B, C). The contrary was observed in the case of
251	Escherichia-Shigella: higher proportions of sequence reads of this genus were seen in elderly
252	(>65 years) individuals (Fig. 7 D), in females (Fig. 7 E) and in those infected with S. stercoralis
253	(Fig. 7D, E, F). More reads of <i>Escherichia-Shigella</i> were seen with increasingly advanced CKD
254	stage (Fig. 7F).

255

## 256 **Discussion**

In this study, we first characterized the gut microbiota of CKD with and without S. 257 258 stercoralis using high-throughput sequencing of the V3–V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene. The 259 results showed that S. stercoralis infection altered gut microbiota composition in CKD patients, 260 leading to lower microbial diversity. This study also suggested that microbial candidate 261 biomarkers for CKD concurrent with S. stercoralis infection include Escherichia coli (genus 262 *Escherichia-Shigella*, phylum Proteobacteria) and the genus *Dialister* (family Veilslonellaceae, 263 order Selenomonadales, class Negativicutes, phylum Firmicutes). 264 Our results revealed that gut microbiota was altered by S. stercoralis infection in CKD 265 patients. The alpha-diversity indices (Chao1, the ACE metric, the Shannon diversity index, 266 Good's coverage) did not significantly differ between the two groups. However, the Shannon 267 diversity index in males (n=12) in Ss+ group was significantly lower than in the Ss- group. In 268 addition, the beta diversity, based on the unweighted UniFrac distances, was significantly lower 269 in the Ss+ group, suggesting a decrease in ecological diversity in CKD concurrent with S.

270 stercoralis infection. These slight differences spanned all taxonomic levels of the microbiota. At 271 the phylum level, abundance of Firmicutes was reduced while abundance of Proteobacteria and 272 Fusobacteria increased in Ss+ subjects. At the family level, there was an increase of 273 Clostridiaceae, Streptococcaceae, Desulfovibrionaceae and Enterobacteriaceae in the Ss+ group. 274 A previous study demonstrated that these families were associated with trimethylamine (TMA) 275 production [38]. The high abundances of families Enterobacteriaceae, Clostridiaceae 276 and Veillonellaceae in Ss+ subjects are in agreement with a previous study [39]. These bacteria are associated with increasing the fecal pH [39] to a level where most opportunistic bacterial 277 278 pathogens prefer to grow [40]. The change of microbiota composition that we observed 279 may influence the environment in the gut, suggesting that S. stercoralis infection may influence 280 the microbiota and modulate the pH of the gut environment. 281 Forty-two genera showed contrasting abundances between the two groups. Interestingly, there were significant increases of pathogenic bacteria including Escherichia-Shigella, Rothia 282 283 and Aggregatibacter and some increase of Actinomyces, Streptococcus and Haemophilus in CKD 284 patients infected with S. stercoralis compared to uninfected controls. In contrast, significant

reduction of some SCFA-producing bacteria, such as Anaerostipes and Coprococcus 1 and some

286 decrease of *Akkermansia*, *Eubacterium rectale\_group* and *Eubacterium hallii\_group* were noted

in the Ss+ group. Specifically, abundance of the genus *Escherichia-Shigella* is known to be

285

288 positively correlated with uremic toxins such as trimethylamine-N-oxide and indoxyl sulfate

[41]. Our results demonstrated a significant inverse correlation of *Escherichia-Shigella* with the

estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR r = -0.37, P = 0.018). eGFR is one criterion for

291 diagnosis and staging of CKD. Thus, high abundance of *Escherichia-Shigella* was correlated

with low eGFR value and higher CKD stage (Figure 6). In addition, *Enterobacteriaceae* and *E*.

*coli* are markedly more abundant in individuals with impaired kidney function as demonstrated
previously [42], highlighting that there is an association between the genus *Escherichia-Shigella*and CKD with concurrent *S. stercoralis* infection.

296 Interestingly, the genus *Anaerostipes* was less abundant in CKD patients with concurrent

297 S. stercoralis infection than in those without. Members of this genus are Gram-variable, obligate

anaerobes which produce acetate, butyrate and lactate from glucose fermentation [43]. Our

299 findings were partially consistent with those of a previous study, which found that *Anaerostipes* 

300 had low relative abundance in CKD in an animal model and noted that this genus was negatively

301 correlated with amount of intestinal urea. Nephrectomized mice with low levels

302 of *Anaerostipes* exhibited negative effects on kidney parameters (BUN and creatinine) [44].

303 However, we found no correlation here between *Anaerostipes* and kidney parameters. This may

304 be due to the limited sample size. Recent research indicated that elevated levels

305 of Anaerostipes led to increased eGFR and improvement in renal function [45]. Furthermore, the

306 relative abundance of the genus Anearostipes was markedly reduced in nonsurvivors with end-

307 stage kidney disease (ESKD) [46]. Specifically, we found that one species, *Anaerostipes hadrus*,

308 an important microbe in maintaining intestinal metabolic balance [47], was significantly reduced

309 in CKD with concurrent *S. stercoralis* infection.

A previous study using a rat model revealed that increased levels of *Rothia* were positively associated with creatinine levels in acute kidney injury and with severity of kidney damage [48]. *Rothia* spp. are Gram-positive cocco-bacilli that cause a wide range of serious infections, especially in immunocompromised hosts. *Rothia* is often identified in blood cultures from patients with bacteremia [49]. In this study, we found a positive correlation between *Rothia* and the genus *Streptococcus* (r = 0.47, P = 0.002). A similar relationship was observed in a

316	recent study, which found that the log-ratio between the presence of the genera <i>Rothia</i> and
317	Streptococcus genera was the best predictor of creatinine level [48].

318 The main SCFA-producing bacteria in humans [50] include Faecalibacterium prausnitzii 319 (butyrate-producing bacteria in the phylum Firmicutes), Eubacterium rectale and E. hallii 320 (family Lachnospiraceae) and *Anaerostipes* spp. (sugar/lactate-utilizing bacteria producing 321 butyrate from lactate and acetate) were all less abundant in S. stercoralis infection. A previous 322 study observed a decrease in the level of serum SCFAs in CKD patients and an inverse 323 correlation between butyrate level and renal function [51]. Our study suggested that SCFA-324 producing bacteria are depleted in CKD with concurrent S. stercoralis infection, which may 325 affect CKD progression. However, further studies are needed to confirm this association. 326 Our study has strengths and limitations. An important strength of this study is that we 327 used groups that were pair-matched for sex, age and biochemical factors. However, we did not 328 obtain data for concentrations of uremic toxins (TMAO and IS) or for amounts of SCFAs. 329 Moreover, we did not record the clinical manifestation of S. stercoralis infection in CKD patient 330 so we were not able to show the association between some pathogenic bacteria and S. stercoralis 331 infection in CKD. In addition, the sample size in this study was small due to the fact that 332 participant who infected with single S. stercoralis and got CKD which was limited. These affect

#### 334 **Conclusions**

333

This study suggests that *S. stercoralis* infection reduces the diversity of the gut microbiota in CKD patients. An increased abundance of harmful bacteria and reduction of some SCFA-producing bacteria in *S. stercoralis* infection were found. In addition, the abundance of members of the genus *Escherichia-Shigella* was significantly and inversely correlated with

the estimation of microbiome alpha diversity and other statistical power in analyses.

339	eGFR levels. Significant elevation of members of this genus in CKD patients with S. stercoralis
340	infection may indicate potential diagnostic markers for CKD in S. stercoralis-endemic areas.
341	Thus, we suggest that these changes in the composition of the gut microbiome in S. stercoralis
342	infection may result in disruption of the gut barrier structure and absorption of harmful products
343	that can contribute to toxicity, inflammation and malnutrition, contributing to CKD progression.
344	Future metabolomics studies are required to unravel the relationship between CKD and S.
345	stercoralis infection.

346

## 347 Funding

348 This study was supported by Research Program (RP65-2-001), CKDNET (grant no.

349 CKDNET2559007) and Invitation research grant, Faculty of Medicine Research Grant

350 (IV63136), Khon Kaen University. S.A. acknowledged research funds from Thailand Science

351 Research and Innovation (TSRI), through Program Management Unit for Competitiveness

352 (PMUC), number C10F630030.

353

## 354 Acknowledgments

N.T.H. thanks the scholarship under the Doctoral Training Program from Research
Affairs, Medicine Faculty and Graduate School, Khon Kaen University, Thailand. We would like
to thank to all of the people who voluntarily participated in this study. We also acknowledge
Prof. David Blair from Publication Clinic KKU, Thailand, for his comments and editing the
manuscript.

## 361 Author contributions

- 362 **Conceptualization:** Nuttanan Hongsrichan, Porntip Pinlaor, Somchai Pinlaor.
- 363 Formal analysis: Nguyen Thi Hai, Nuttanan Hongsrichan, Kitti Intuyod, Manachai Yingklang,
- 364 Apisit Chaidee, Thatsanapong Pongking, Porntip Pinlaor, Sirirat Anutrakulchai, Ubon Cha'on,
- 365 Somchai Pinlaor.
- **Funding acquisition:** Nguyen Thi Hai, Sirirat Anutrakulchai, Ubon Cha'on, Somchai Pinlaor.
- 367 Investigation: Nguyen Thi Hai, Nuttanan Hongsrichan, Kitti Intuyod, Porntip Pinlaor, Manachai
- 368 Yingklang, Apisit Chaidee, Thatsanapong Pongking, Sirirat Anutrakulchai, Ubon Cha'on,
- 369 Somchai Pinlaor.
- 370 **Project administration:** Somehai Pinlaor.
- 371 Resources: Sirirat Anutrakulchai, Ubon Cha'on, Somchai Pinlaor.
- 372 Supervision: Nuttanan Hongsrichan, Porntip Pinlaor, Somchai Pinlaor.
- 373 Visualization: Nguyen Thi Hai, Thatsanapong Pongking, Kitti Intuyod, Apisit Chaidee.
- 374 Writing original draft: Nguyen Thi Hai.
- 375 Writing review & editing: Nguyen Thi Hai, Nuttanan Hongsrichan, Somchai Pinlaor.

376

## 377 **References**

- 378 1. Nallu A, Sharma S, Ramezani A, Muralidharan J, Raj D. Gut microbiome in chronic kidney
- disease: challenges and opportunities. Transl Res. 2017;179:24-37. pmid: 27187743.
- 380 2. Hida M, Aiba Y, Sawamura S, Suzuki N, Satoh T, Koga Y. Inhibition of the accumulation
- 381 of uremic toxins in the blood and their precursors in the feces after oral administration of

- 382 Lebenin, a lactic acid bacteria preparation, to uremic patients undergoing hemodialysis.
- 383 Nephron. 1996;74(2):349-55. pmid: 8893154.
- 384 3. Wang F, Jiang H, Shi K, Ren Y, Zhang P, Cheng S. Gut bacterial translocation is associated
- 385 with microinflammation in end-stage renal disease patients. Nephrology (Carlton).
- **386** 2012;17(8):733-8. pmid: 22817644.
- 387 4. Vaziri ND, Wong J, Pahl M, Piceno YM, Yuan J, DeSantis TZ, et al. Chronic kidney disease
  388 alters intestinal microbial flora. Kidney Int. 2013;83(2):308-15. pmid: 22992469.
- 389 5. De Angelis M, Montemurno E, Piccolo M, Vannini L, Lauriero G, Maranzano V, et al.
- 390 Microbiota and metabolome associated with immunoglobulin A nephropathy (IgAN). PLoS
- 391 One. 2014;9(6):e99006. pmid: 24922509.
- 392 6. Coresh J, Selvin E, Stevens LA, Manzi J, Kusek JW, Eggers P, et al. Prevalence of chronic
  393 kidney disease in the United States. Jama. 2007;298(17):2038-47. pmid: 17986697.
- Ramezani A, Raj DS. The gut microbiome, kidney disease, and targeted interventions. J Am
  Soc Nephrol. 2014;25(4):657-70. pmid: 24231662.
- 396 8. Wong J, Piceno YM, DeSantis TZ, Pahl M, Andersen GL, Vaziri ND. Expansion of urease-
- 397 and uricase-containing, indole- and p-cresol-forming and contraction of short-chain fatty
- acid-producing intestinal microbiota in ESRD. Am J Nephrol. 2014;39(3):230-7. pmid:
- 399 24643131.
- 400 9. Jakobsson HE, Jernberg C, Andersson AF, Sjolund-Karlsson M, Jansson JK, Engstrand L.
- 401 Short-term antibiotic treatment has differing long-term impacts on the human throat and gut
- 402 microbiome. PLoS One. 2010;5(3):e9836. pmid: 20352091.

403	10.	Kalantar-Zadeh K, Kopple JD, Deepak S, Block D, Block G. Food intake characteristics of
404		hemodialysis patients as obtained by food frequency questionnaire. J Ren Nutr.
405		2002;12(1):17-31. pmid: 11823990.
406	11.	Fouque D, Cruz Casal M, Lindley E, Rogers S, Pancirova J, Kernc J, et al. Dietary trends
407		and management of hyperphosphatemia among patients with chronic kidney disease: an
408		international survey of renal care professionals. J Ren Nutr. 2014;24(2):110-5. pmid:
409		24447438.
410	12.	Lunyera J, Mohottige D, Von Isenburg M, Jeuland M, Patel UD, Stanifer JW. CKD of
411		uncertain etiology: a systematic review. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2016;11(3):379-85. pmid:
412		26712810.
413	13.	Leung JM, Graham AL, Knowles SCL. Parasite-Microbiota interactions with the vertebrate
414		gut: synthesis through an ecological lens. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:843. pmid: 29867790.
415	14.	Cha'on U, Wongtrangan K, Thinkhamrop B, Tatiyanupanwong S, Limwattananon C,
416		Pongskul C, et al. CKDNET, a quality improvement project for prevention and reduction of
417		chronic kidney disease in the Northeast Thailand. BMC Public Health. 2020;20(1):1299.
418		pmid: 32854662.
419	15.	De Souza JN, Soares B, Goes LL, Lima CS, Barreto N, Jacobina BS, et al. Case report:
420		Strongyloides stercoralis hyperinfection in a patient with HTLV-1: a case report of an
421		infection with filariform and rhabditiform larvae, eggs, and free-living adult females. Am J
422		Trop Med Hyg. 2018. pmid: 30277207.
423	16.	Winnicki W, Eder M, Mazal P, Mayer FJ, Sengölge G, Wagner L. Prevalence of
424		Strongyloides stercoralis infection and hyperinfection syndrome among renal allograft
425		recipients in Central Europe. Scientific Reports. 2018;8(1):15406.

- 426 17. Qu TT, Yang Q, Yu MH, Wang J. A fatal *Strongyloides stercoralis* hyperinfection
- 427 syndrome in a patient with chronic kidney disease: a case report and literature review.
- 428 Medicine (Baltimore). 2016;95(19):e3638. pmid: 27175679.
- 429 18. Krolewiecki A, Nutman TB. Strongyloidiasis: a neglected tropical disease. Infect Dis Clin
- 430 North Am. 2019;33(1):135-51. pmid: 30712758.
- 431 19. Jenkins TP, Peachey LE, Ajami NJ, MacDonald AS, Hsieh MH, Brindley PJ, et al.
- 432 *Schistosoma mansoni* infection is associated with quantitative and qualitative modifications
- d33 of the mammalian intestinal microbiota. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):12072. pmid: 30104612.
- 434 20. Pane S, Sacco A, Iorio A, Romani L, Putignani L. Strongyloides stercoralis infestation in a
- 435 child: how a nematode can affect gut microbiota. International Journal of Molecular
- 436 Sciences. 2021;22(4):2131. pmid: doi:10.3390/ijms22042131.
- 437 21. Zoccali C, Vanholder R, Massy ZA, Ortiz A, Sarafidis P, Dekker FW, et al. The systemic

438 nature of CKD. Nat Rev Nephrol. 2017;13(6):344-58. pmid: 28435157.

- 439 22. Inker LA, Astor BC, Fox CH, Isakova T, Lash JP, Peralta CA, et al. KDOQI US
- 440 commentary on the 2012 KDIGO clinical practice guideline for the evaluation and
- 441 management of CKD. Am J Kidney Dis. 2014;63(5):713-35. pmid: 24647050.
- 442 23. Kaewrat W, Sengthong C, Yingklang M, Intuyod K, Haonon O, Onsurathum S, et al.
- 443 Improved agar plate culture conditions for diagnosis of *Strongyloides stercoralis*. Acta Trop.
- 444 2020;203:105291. pmid: 31816322.
- 445 24. Fellows R, Denizot J, Stellato C, Cuomo A, Jain P, Stoyanova E, et al. Microbiota derived
- short chain fatty acids promote histone crotonylation in the colon through histone
- 447 deacetylases. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):105. pmid: 29317660.

- 448 25. Itthitaetrakool U, Pinlaor P, Pinlaor S, Chomvarin C, Dangtakot R, Chaidee A, et al. Chronic
- 449 *Opisthorchis viverrini* infection changes the liver microbiome and promotes *Helicobacter*

450 growth. PLOS ONE. 2016;11(11):e0165798.

- 451 26. Magoč T, Salzberg SL. FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome
  452 assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2011;27(21):2957-63. pmid: 21903629.
- 453 27. Bokulich NA, Subramanian S, Faith JJ, Gevers D, Gordon JI, Knight R, et al. Quality-
- 454 filtering vastly improves diversity estimates from Illumina amplicon sequencing. Nat
  455 Methods. 2013;10(1):57-9. pmid: 23202435.
- 456 28. Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, et al.
- 457 QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nature methods.
  458 2010;7(5):335-6. pmid: 20383131.
- 459 29. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA ribosomal
- 460 RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids
- 461 Res. 2013;41(Database issue):D590-6. pmid: 23193283.
- 462 30. Edgar RC, Haas BJ, Clemente JC, Quince C, Knight R. UCHIME improves sensitivity and
  463 speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics. 2011;27(16):2194-200. pmid: 21700674.
- 464 31. Haas BJ, Gevers D, Earl AM, Feldgarden M, Ward DV, Giannoukos G, et al. Chimeric 16S
- 465 rRNA sequence formation and detection in Sanger and 454-pyrosequenced PCR amplicons.
- 466 Genome Res. 2011;21(3):494-504. pmid: 21212162.
- 467 32. Edgar RC. UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads.
- 468 Nature Methods. 2013;10(10):996-8.

- 469 33. DeSantis TZ, Hugenholtz P, Larsen N, Rojas M, Brodie EL, Keller K, et al. Greengenes, a
- 470 chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database and workbench compatible with ARB. Applied
- 471 and environmental microbiology. 2006;72(7):5069-72. pmid: 16820507.
- 472 34. Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR. Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of
- 473 rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. Applied and environmental microbiology.
- 474 2007;73(16):5261-7. pmid: 17586664.
- 475 35. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput.
  476 Nucleic Acids Research. 2004;32(5):1792-7.
- 477 36. Segata N, Izard J, Waldron L, Gevers D, Miropolsky L, Garrett WS, et al. Metagenomic
- biomarker discovery and explanation. Genome Biology. 2011;12(6):R60.
- 37. Formenti F, La Marca G, Perandin F, Pajola B, Romano M, Santucci B, et al. A diagnostic
  study comparing conventional and real-time PCR for *Strongyloides stercoralis* on urine and
- 481 on faecal samples. Acta Tropica. 2019;190:284-7.
- 482 38. Al-Obaide MAI, Singh R, Datta P, Rewers-Felkins KA, Salguero MV, Al-Obaidi I, et al.
- 483 Gut microbiota-dependent trimethylamine-N-oxide and serum biomarkers inpatients with
- 484 T2DM and advanced CKD. J Clin Med. 2017;6(9). pmid: 28925931.
- 485 39. Henrick BM, Hutton AA, Palumbo MC, Casaburi G, Mitchell RD, Underwood MA, et al.
- Elevated fecal pH indicates a profound change in the breastfed infant gut microbiome due to
- 487 reduction of <em>*Bifidobacterium*</em> over the past century. mSphere.
- 488 2018;3(2):e00041-18.
- 489 40. Duar RM, Kyle D, Casaburi G. Colonization Resistance in the Infant Gut: the role of *B*.
- 490 *infantis* in reducing pH and preventing pathogen growth. High Throughput. 2020;9(2). pmid:
- **491 32230716**.

492	41. Tomlinson JAP, Wheeler DC. The role of trimethylamine N-oxide as a mediator of

493 cardiovascular complications in chronic kidney disease. Kidney International.

494 2017;92(4):809-15.

495 42. Gryp T, Huys GRB, Joossens M, Van Biesen W, Glorieux G, Vaneechoutte M. Isolation and

496 quantification of uremic toxin precursor-generating gut bacteria in chronic kidney disease

497 patients. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(6). pmid: 32183306.

- 498 43. Schwiertz A, Hold GL, Duncan SH, Gruhl B, Collins MD, Lawson PA, et al. Anaerostipes
- 499 *caccae* gen. nov., sp. nov., a new saccharolytic, acetate-utilising, butyrate-producing
- bacterium from human faeces. Syst Appl Microbiol. 2002;25(1):46-51. pmid: 12086188.
- 501 44. Chaves LD, McSkimming DI, Bryniarski MA, Honan AM, Abyad S, Thomas SA, et al.
- 502 Chronic kidney disease, uremic milieu, and its effects on gut bacterial microbiota dysbiosis.

503 Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. 2018;315(3):F487-f502. pmid: 29693447.

- 504 45. Mazidi M, Shekoohi N, Covic A, Mikhailidis DP, Banach M. Adverse impact of
- 505 Desulfovibrio spp. and beneficial role of Anaerostipes spp. on renal function: insights from a
- 506 mendelian randomization analysis. Nutrients. 2020;12(8):2216. pmid:
- 507 doi:10.3390/nu12082216.
- 46. Lin TY, Wu PH, Lin YT, Hung SC. Gut dysbiosis and mortality in hemodialysis patients.
  NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes. 2021;7(1):20. pmid: 33658514.
- 510 47. Kant R, Rasinkangas P, Satokari R, Pietila TE, Palva A. Genome sequence of the butyrate-
- 511 producing anaerobic bacterium *Anaerostipes hadrus* PEL 85. Genome Announc. 2015;3(2).
- 512 pmid: 25838483.

513	48. Andrianova NV, Popkov VA, Klimenko NS, Tyakht AV, Baydakova GV, Frolova OY, et al.
514	Microbiome-metabolome signature of acute kidney injury. Metabolites. 2020;10(4). pmid:
515	32260384.
516	49. Ramanan P, Barreto JN, Osmon DR, Tosh PK. Rothia bacteremia: a 10-year experience at
517	Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota. J Clin Microbiol. 2014;52(9):3184-9. pmid: 24951810.
518	50. Parada Venegas D, De la Fuente MK, Landskron G, González MJ, Quera R, Dijkstra G, et
519	al. Short chain fatty acids (SCFAs)-mediated gut epithelial and immune regulation and its
520	relevance for inflammatory bowel diseases. Frontiers in Immunology. 2019;10(277).
521	51. Wang S, Lv D, Jiang S, Jiang J, Liang M, Hou F, et al. Quantitative reduction in short-chain
522	fatty acids, especially butyrate, contributes to the progression of chronic kidney disease. Clin
523	Sci (Lond). 2019;133(17):1857-70. pmid: 31467135.
524	52. Morimoto J, Kaneoka H, Sasatomi Y, Sato YN, Murata T, Ogahara S, et al. Disseminated
525	strongyloidiasis in nephrotic syndrome. Clin Nephrol. 2002;57(5):398-401. pmid: 12036202.
526	
527	
528	
529	
530	Figure & Legend
531	Table 1. Characteristics of chronic kidney disease patients.
532	

- 533 Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation of the mean. Independent t-tests and Mann-
- 534 Whitney U tests (a) were used to calculate *P* values.

Parameters	Normal range	Ss- (n=20)	Ss+ (n=20)	P value
Sev	Male	12	12	
SCA	Female	8	8	
Age years		64.60±11.3 64.85±13.4		0.95
BMI kg/m <sup>2</sup>	18-25	23.6±3.8	22.14±4.1	0.26
MCV fL	79.0-94.8	80.53±8.9	83.45±9.7	0.33
MCH pg	25.6-32.2	26.25±3.7	27.48±3.7	0.30
MCHC g/dL	32.2-36.5	32.49±1.2	32.84±0.9	0.29
eGFR ml/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>	>=90	73.3±20.3	71.3±25.4	0.78
Neutrophil (NE)%	50-70	52.00±7.0	48.50±13.6	0.31
Lymphocyte (LY)%	20-40	31.99±6.6	33.42±10.0	0.60
Monocyte (MO)%	2-8	7.56±1.8	7.27±1.9	0.63
Eosinophil [52]%	1-3	7.60±4.5	9.98±8.7	0.62a
Basophils (BA) %	0-1	0.78±0.4	0.84±0.5	0.84a
Glucose mg/dL	70-100	89.3±11.2	90.45±13.0	0.90a
LDL Cholesterol mg/dL	10-150	121.0±34.4	114.75±28.4	0.94a
Hemoglobin g/dL	13.0-16.7	12.18±2.4	12.44±1.8	0.70
Hematocrit %	34-51	37.8±5.7	37.7±4.9	0.95
Uric acid mg/dL	2.7-7.0	6.14±1.6 5.85±1.6		0.57
Urine creatinine mg/dL	25-400	128.89±63.2	114.82±61.7	0.43a
Microalbumin mg/dL	0.2-1.9	6.90±18.3	5.55±6.2	0.29a
UACR mg/g	<30	50.02±114.8	140.43±229.2	0.096a
Hemoglobin A1c %	4.6-6.5	5.50±0.6	5.45±0.5	0.75
Bpsys mmhg	<140	125.94±17.7	129.56±16.5	0.54
Bpdia mmhg	<90	81.38±7.87	76.33±9.43	0.46

535 Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; Bpsys mmhg, Blood pressure systolic (mmhg); Bpdia

536 mmhg, Blood pressure diastolic (mmhg); MCV, Mean corpuscular volume; MCH, Mean

- 537 Corpuscular Hemoglobin; MCHC, mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration; U,
- 538 urine; UACR, urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio.
- 539
- 540 Table 2. Alpha diversity of the gut microbiota in Ss- and Ss+ groups, calculated according
- 541 to several indices.

Group	No. of Reads	No. of OTUs	Good's (%)	ACE	Chao 1	PD whole tree	Shannon	Simpson
Ss-	73173.3	483.7	0.99765	515.713	517.886	32.7308	5.25775	0.9182
Ss+	74770.1	470.15	0.9976	507.982	504.266	32.5759	4.82045	0.88145
Р		0.45	0.706a	0.78	0.76a	0.92	0.08a	0.218a

542 Independent t-tests and Mann-Whitney U tests (a) were used to calculate *P* values.

543

#### 544 Table 3. Taxa in the gut microbiome differing significantly between CKD patients with and

545 without S. stercoralis.

Phylum	Family	Genus	Chai abun	Change in abundance	
			Ss+	Ss-	
Actinobacteria	Coriobacteriaceae	Atopobium	1		
		Coriobacteriaceae_UCG-003	↑		
		Gordonibacter		1	
		unidentified_Coriobacteriaceae		1	
	Corynebacteriaceae	Corynebacterium	1		
	Micrococcaceae	Rothia	↑		
Bacteroidetes	Porphyromonadaceae	Petrimonas	1		
		Proteiniphilum		1	
	Prevotellaceae	Paraprevotella		1	
		Prevotella_1	↑		

Cyanobacteria       erophilales       unidentified_Gastranaerophilales       ↑         Karnobacteriaceae       Lacticigenium       ↑       ↓         Leuconostocaceae       Leuconostoc       ↑         Christensenellaceae       Christensenella       ↑         Eubacteriaceae       Anaerofistis       ↑         Family_XI       Gallicola       ↑         Family_XI       Peptoniphilus       ↑         Family_XI       Peptoniphilus       ↑         Lachnospiraceae       IEubacterium]_xylanophilum_group       ↑         Anaerosporobacter       ↑       ↑         Naerosporobacter       ↑       ↑         Peptostreptococcaceae       Paeniclostridium       ↑         Ruminococcaceae       Paeniclostridium       ↑         Ruminococcaceae       Paeniclostridium_l       ↑         Erysipelotrichaceae       Erysipelotrichaceae_UCG-001       ↑         Erysipelotrichaceae       ↑       ↑         Veillonellaceae       Dialister       ↑         Veillonellaceae       Dialister       ↑
Firmicutes       Carnobacteriaceae       Lacticigenium $\uparrow$ Leuconostocaceae       Leuconostoc $\uparrow$ Christensenellaceae       Christensenella $\uparrow$ Eubacteriaceae       Anaerofustis $\uparrow$ Family_XI       Gallicola $\uparrow$ Family_XI $Peptoniphilus$ $\uparrow$ Lachnospiraceae $[Eubacterium]\_xylanophilum\_group$ $\uparrow$ Anaerostipes $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$ Anaerostipes $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$ Inconspiraceae       Penenclostridium $\uparrow$ Ruminococcaceae       Paeniclostridium $\uparrow$ Ruminococcaceae       Pseudoflavonifractor $\uparrow$ Erysipelotrichaceae $f$ $f$ Erysipelotrichaceae $f$ $f$ Veillonellaceae $Dialister$ $\uparrow$ Veillonellaceae $Dialister$ $\uparrow$
FirmicutesLeuconostocaceaeLeuconostoc $\uparrow$ ChristensenellaceaeChristensenella $\uparrow$ EubacteriaceaeAnaerofustis $\uparrow$ Family_XIGallicola $\uparrow$ Family_XIPeptoniphilus $\uparrow$ Image: Lachnospiraceae $[Eubacterium]\_xylanophilum\_group$ $\uparrow$ Anaerosporobacter $\uparrow$ Anaerosporobacter $\uparrow$ Image: Lachnospiraceae $\uparrow$ Peptostreptococcaceae $Paeniclostridium$ $\uparrow$ Peptostreptococcaceae $Paeniclostridium$ $\uparrow$ Ruminococcaceae $Paeniclostridium$ $\uparrow$ Ruminococcaceae $Ruminiclostridium\_l$ $\uparrow$ Erysipelotrichaceae $\Box$ $\uparrow$ Veillonellaceae $Dialister$ $\uparrow$ Veillonellaceae $Lentotrichiaceae\_LCG-004$ $\uparrow$ Image: Veillonellaceae $I$ $I$ Image: Veillonellaceae $I$ <td< td=""></td<>
ChristensenellaceaeChristensenella $\uparrow$ EubacteriaceaeAnaerofustis $\uparrow$ EubacteriaceaeAnaerofustis $\uparrow$ Family_XI $Peptoniphilus$ $\uparrow$ Family_XI $Peptoniphilus$ $\uparrow$ Image: Lachnospiraceae $[Eubacterium]\_xylanophilum\_group$ $\uparrow$ Anaerostipes $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$ Anaerostipes $\uparrow$ Coprococcus_1 $\uparrow$ Image: Lachnospiraceae $\uparrow$ Peptostreptococcaceae $Paeniclostridium$ $\uparrow$ Peptostreptococcaceae $Paeniclostridium$ $\uparrow$ Ruminococcaceae $Paeniclostridium_1$ $\uparrow$ Ruminococcaceae $CG-003$ $\uparrow$ Erysipelotrichaceae $f$ $f$ Veillonellaceae $Dialister$ $\uparrow$ Veillonellaceae $Dialister$ $\uparrow$
EubacteriaceaeAnaerofustis $\uparrow$ Family_XIGallicola $\uparrow$ Family_XI $Peptoniphilus$ $\uparrow$ $Tissierella$ $\uparrow$ FirmicutesLachnospiraceae $[Eubacterium]\_xylanophilum\_group$ $\uparrow$ $Anaerosporobacter$ $\uparrow$ $Anaerostipes$ $\uparrow$ $Coprococcus\_1$ $\uparrow$ $Tyzzerella\_3$ $\uparrow$ PeptostreptococcaceaePaeniclostridium $PeptostreptococcaceaePaeniclostridiumRuminococcaceaePseudoflavonifractorRuminococcaceae\_UCG-011\uparrowRuminococcaceae\_UCG-003\uparrowTysipelotrichaceae\_UCG-004\uparrowTysipelotrichaceae\_UCG-004\uparrowVeillonellaceaeDialister\uparrowVeillonellaceaeLentotrichiaceae\_UCfrichaceae\uparrowVeillonellaceaeLentotrichiaceae\uparrow$
Family_XIGallicola $\uparrow$ Family_XIPeptoniphilus $\uparrow$ Tissierella $\uparrow$ Tissierella $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$ Image: Anaerosporobacter $\uparrow$ Anaerosporobacter $\uparrow$ Anaerosporobacter $\uparrow$ Anaerosporobacter $\uparrow$ Image: Anaerosporobacter $\uparrow$
Family_XIPeptoniphilus $\uparrow$ Tissierella $\uparrow$ Tissierella $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$ $I$ $\uparrow$ $I$ $\uparrow$ $I$ $\Lambda$ naerosporobacter $\uparrow$ $\Lambda$ naerosporobacter $\uparrow$ $\Lambda$ naerosporobacter $\uparrow$ $I$ $\uparrow$ $I$ $\Lambda$ naerosporobacter $\uparrow$ $I$ $I$
Firmicutes $\overline{Tissierella}$ $\uparrow$ Firmicutes $\overline{Iissierella}$ $\uparrow$ $Iachnospiraceae$ $[Eubacterium]_xylanophilum_group$ $\uparrow$ $Anaerosporobacter$ $\uparrow$ $Anaerostipes$ $\uparrow$ $Iachnospiraceae_UCG-010$ $\uparrow$ $Izerostipaceae_UCG-010$ $\uparrow$ $Iyzzerella_3$ $\uparrow$ PeptostreptococcaceaePaeniclostridium $Iyzzerella_3$ $\uparrow$ PeptostreptococcaceaePaeniclostridium $Iyzzerella_3$ $\uparrow$ Ruminococcaceae $Pseudoflavonifractor$ Ruminococcaceae $Iuminiclostridium_11$ $Iyzipelotrichaceae_UCG-011$ $\uparrow$ $Iyzipelotrichaceae_UCG-003$ $\uparrow$ $Iyzipelotrichaceae_UCG-004$ $\uparrow$ $Iunidentified_Erysipelotrichaceae$ $\uparrow$ $Veillonellaceae$ $IentotrichiaeIunidentified_Erysipelotrichaceae\uparrow$
Firmicutes $\begin{bmatrix} [Eubacterium]_xylanophilum_group & \uparrow & \uparrow & \\ Anaerosporobacter & & \uparrow & \\ Anaerostipes & & \uparrow & \\ Coprococcus_1 & & \uparrow & \\ Lachnospiraceae_UCG-010 & \uparrow & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & &$
Firmicutes $Anaerosporobacter$ $\uparrow$ $Anaerosporobacter$ $\uparrow$ $Anaerosporobacter$ $\uparrow$ $Anaerostipes$ $\uparrow$ $Coprococcus_1$ $\uparrow$ $Lachnospiraceae_UCG-010$ $\uparrow$ $Tyzzerella_3$ $\uparrow$ Peptostreptococcaceae $Paeniclostridium$ $Peptostreptococcaceae$ $Pseudoflavonifractor$ $Ruminococcaceae$ $Ruminiclostridium_1$ $\uparrow$ $Ruminococcaceae_UCG-011$ $\uparrow$ $Ruminococcaceae_UCG-003$ $Prysipelotrichaceae_UCG-004$ $\uparrow$ $Inidentified_Erysipelotrichaceae$ $\uparrow$ $Veillonellaceae$ $Dialister$ $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$
FirmicutesLachnospiraceaeAnaerostipes $\uparrow$ Firmicutes $Anaerostipes$ $\uparrow$ $Coprococcus_1$ $\uparrow$ $Lachnospiraceae_UCG-010$ $\uparrow$ $Tyzzerella_3$ $\uparrow$ Peptostreptococcaceae $Paeniclostridium$ $\uparrow$ Peptostreptococcaceae $Paeniclostridium$ $\uparrow$ Ruminococcaceae $Ruminiclostridium_1$ $\uparrow$ Ruminococcaceae $UCG-011$ $\uparrow$ Erysipelotrichaceae $UCG-011$ $\uparrow$ Erysipelotrichaceae $UCG-003$ $\uparrow$ Veillonellaceae $Dialister$ $\uparrow$ FusobacteriaLentotrichiaceae $\uparrow$
FirmicutesLachnospinaceae $Coprococcus_1$ $\uparrow$ $Lachnospinaceae_UCG-010$ $\uparrow$ $Lachnospinaceae_UCG-010$ $\uparrow$ $Tyzzerella_3$ $\uparrow$ PeptostreptococcaceaePaeniclostridium $Peptostreptococcaceae$ $Paeniclostridium_1$ $Ruminococcaceae$ $Ruminiclostridium_1$ $Ruminococcaceae_UCG-011$ $\uparrow$ $Ruminococcaceae_UCG-003$ $\uparrow$ $Erysipelotrichaceae_UCG-003$ $\uparrow$ $Irysipelotrichaceae_UCG-004$ $\uparrow$ $Irysipelotrichaceae_UCG-004$ $\uparrow$ $Iridentified_Erysipelotrichaceae$ $\uparrow$ $Irysipelotrichaceae$ $\uparrow$ $Irysipelotrichaceae$ $\uparrow$ $Irysipelotrichaceae$ $\uparrow$
Lachnospiraceae_UCG-010 $\uparrow$ Tyzzerella_3 $\uparrow$ PeptostreptococcaceaePaeniclostridiumPeptostreptococcaceaePaeniclostridiumRuminococcaceaePseudoflavonifractorRuminococcaceae $\uparrow$ Ruminococcaceae $\Box CG-011$ Ruminococcaceae_UCG-011 $\uparrow$ Erysipelotrichaceae $\uparrow$ Erysipelotrichaceae $\downarrow$ VeillonellaceaeDialisterFusobacteriaLeptotrichiaceaeLeptotrichiaceae $\uparrow$
Tyzzerella_3 $\uparrow$ PeptostreptococcaceaePaeniclostridium $\uparrow$ PeptostreptococcaceaePaeniclostridium $\uparrow$ RuminococcaceaePseudoflavonifractor $\uparrow$ RuminococcaceaeRuminiclostridium_1 $\uparrow$ Ruminococcaceae_UCG-011 $\uparrow$ ErysipelotrichaceaeUCG-003 $\uparrow$ Erysipelotrichaceae $\downarrow$ Unidentified_Erysipelotrichaceae $\uparrow$ VeillonellaceaeDialister $\uparrow$ EusobacteriaLeptotrichiaceae $\uparrow$
PeptostreptococcaceaePaeniclostridium              f
RuminococcaceaePseudoflavonifractor $\uparrow$ Ruminiclostridium_1 $\uparrow$ Ruminococcaceae_UCG-011 $\uparrow$ Ruminococcaceae_UCG-011 $\uparrow$ Erysipelotrichaceae $UCG-003$ $\uparrow$ Erysipelotrichaceae $UCG-004$ $\uparrow$ unidentified_Erysipelotrichaceae $\uparrow$ Veillonellaceae $Dialister$ $\uparrow$
RuminococcaceaeRuminiclostridium_1 $\uparrow$ Ruminococcaceae_UCG-011 $\uparrow$ Ruminococcaceae_UCG-011 $\uparrow$ Erysipelotrichaceae_UCG-003 $\uparrow$ Erysipelotrichaceae_UCG-004 $\uparrow$ unidentified_Erysipelotrichaceae $\uparrow$ VeillonellaceaeDialisterEusobacteriaLeptotrichiaceaeLeptotrichiaceae $\uparrow$
Ruminococcaceae_UCG-011       ↑         Ruminococcaceae_UCG-003       ↑         Erysipelotrichaceae       ↓         Erysipelotrichaceae_UCG-004       ↑         unidentified_Erysipelotrichaceae       ↑         Veillonellaceae       Dialister         Fusobacteria       Leptotrichiaceae       ↑
Erysipelotrichaceae       UCG-003          1          Erysipelotrichaceae <i>Crysipelotrichaceae_UCG-004</i> 1          Weillonellaceae <i>Dialister</i> 1          Fusobacteria       Leptotrichiaceae          1
Erysipelotrichaceae       Erysipelotrichaceae_UCG-004          1         1         1
unidentified_Erysipelotrichaceae     ↑       Veillonellaceae     Dialister     ↑
Veillonellaceae     Dialister     ↑       Fusobacteria     Leptotrichiaceae     Leptotrichia     ↑
Fusobacteria Leptotrichiaceae Leptotrichia
Leptonieniu – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –
Neisseriaceae     Eikenella     ↑
RhodocyclaceaeDechlorobacter
Protechasteria Desulfobulbaceae Desulfobulbus
Cardiobacteriaceae Cardiobacterium
Enterobacteriaceae Cronobacter ↑
EnterobacteriaceaeEscherichia-Shigella $\uparrow$

Pasteurellaceae	Actinobacillus	1	
Pasteurellaceae	Aggregatibacter	1	
Xanthomonadaceae	Arenimonas	↑	

546 547



548

#### 549 Fig 1. Species accumulation curve.

550 X-axis: Number of samples, Y-axis: number of OTUs. Following an initial sharp rise in the

number of OTUs as number of samples increases, there is a levelling of the plot. The narrow

spread of the boxplots as the total number of samples is approached indicates that the number of

samples was adequate to capture most of the microbial diversity present.

- 555
- 556
- 557





#### without S. stercoralis infection.

(A) Shannon index (B) Shannon index in males (C) Simpson index in males. (D) Boxplot based

on unweighted UniFrac distance. (E) Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA).



#### 574 Fig 3. Linear discriminant analysis (LDA).

575 The histogram of the LDA scores presents taxa (potential biomarkers) whose abundance differed

576 significantly among groups (Ss+ vs. Ss-) Oder Bradymonadales in Ss- (green colour). Species

*E. coli* belong to genus *Escherichia-Shigella*; genus *Dialister* belong to order Selenomonadales,

578 class Negativicutes and family Veillonellaceae in Ss+ (red colour).



592

593 Fig 4. The gut microbiota composition.

(A) and (B), Control group compared with *S. stercoralis*-infection group (Ss+) at the phylum and

595 genus levels, respectively.





#### 598 Fig 5. Unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA).

599 UPGMA cluster tree based on unweighted UniFrac distances between CKD patients with or

- 600 without *S. stercoralis* infection. The red branches present *S. stercoralis* infection (Ss+) subject
- and the dark blue branches indicate un-infection (Ss-) ones.

602



605 Fig 6. Comparisons of abundance (numbers of sequence reads) of some bacteria between

606 Ss- and Ss+ group.

- 607 Pathogenic bacteria: Escherichia-Shigella, Streptococcus, Haemophilus, Rothia, Actinomyces,
- 608 Aggregatibacter. SCFA-producing bacteria: Eubacterium rectale\_group, Eubacterium
- 609 hallii group, Anaerostipes, Coprococcus 1, Akkermansia.

610



611 Fig 7. Opposing trends in abundance of two genera, *Anaerostipes* and *Escherichia-Shigella*.

612 (A), (D) Different trend related to age (B), (E) sex and (C), (F) CKD stages. \* P < 0.05,

613 \*\**P*<0.01, \*\*\**P*<0.001. Analysis of the difference among groups of sex, age and CKD stages

614 based on one-way ANOVA test.







Cladogram





% Relative abundance





Figure

