

# Disparities in SARS-CoV-2 case rates by ethnicity, religion, measures of socio-economic position, English proficiency, and self-reported disability: cohort study of 39 million people in England during the Alpha and Delta waves

Tim Larsen<sup>1</sup>, Matthew L. Bosworth<sup>1</sup>, Daniel Ayoubkhani<sup>1</sup>, Ryan Schofield<sup>1</sup>, Raghil Ali<sup>2</sup>, Kamlesh Khunti<sup>3</sup>, Ann Sarah Walker<sup>4</sup>, Myer Glickman<sup>1</sup>, Vahé Nafilyan<sup>1,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Office for National Statistics, Health Analysis and Life Events, Newport, NP10 8XG, UK

<sup>2</sup> MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge, School of Clinical Medicine, Cambridge, CB2 0QQ, UK

<sup>3</sup> Leicester Real World Evidence Unit, Diabetes Research Centre, University of Leicester, Leicester, LE5 4PW, UK

<sup>4</sup> Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX3 7BN, UK

<sup>5</sup> The Department of Public Health, Environments and Society, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, WC1E 7HT, UK

## Abstract

**Objective** To examine socio-demographic disparities in SARS-CoV-2 case rates during the second (Alpha) and third (Delta) waves of the COVID-19 pandemic.

**Design** Retrospective, population-based cohort study.

**Setting** Resident population of England.

**Participants** 39,006,194 people aged 10 years and over who were enumerated at the 2011 Census, registered with the National Health Service (NHS) and alive on 1 September 2020.

**Main outcome measures** Testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 during the second wave (1 September 2020 to 22 May 2021) or third wave (23 May to 10 December 2021) of the pandemic. We calculated age-standardised case rates by socio-demographic characteristics and used logistic regression models to estimate adjusted odds ratios (ORs).

**Results** During the study period, 5,767,584 individuals tested positive for SARS-CoV-2. In the second wave, the fully-adjusted odds of having a positive test, relative to the White British group, were highest for the Bangladeshi (OR: 1.88, 95% CI 1.86 to 1.90) and Pakistani (1.81, 1.79 to 1.82) ethnic groups. Relative to the Christian group, Muslim and Sikh religious groups had fully-adjusted ORs of 1.58 (1.57 to 1.59) and 1.74 (1.72 to 1.76), respectively. Greater area deprivation, disadvantaged socio-economic position, living in a care home and low English language proficiency were also associated with higher odds of having a positive test. However, the disparities between groups varied over time. Being Christian, White British, non-disabled, and from a more advantaged socio-economic position were all associated with increased odds of testing positive during the third wave.

**Conclusion** There are large socio-demographic disparities on SARS-CoV-2 cases which have varied between different waves of the pandemic. Research is now urgently needed to understand why these disparities exist to inform policy interventions in future waves or pandemics.

### **What is already known on this topic**

People with pre-existing health conditions or disability, ethnic minority groups, the elderly, some religious groups, people with low socio-economic status, and those living in deprived areas have been disproportionately affected by the COVID-19 pandemic in terms of risk of infection and adverse outcomes.

### **What this study adds**

Using linked data on 39 million people in England, we found that during the second wave, COVID-19 case rates were highest among the Bangladeshi and Pakistani ethnic groups, the Muslim religious group, individuals from deprived areas and of low socio-economic position; during the third wave, being Christian, White British, non-disabled, and from a more advantaged socio-economic position were all associated with increased odds of receiving a positive test

Adjusting for geographical factors, socio-demographic characteristics, and pre-pandemic health status explained some, but not all, of the excess risk

When stratifying the dataset by broad age groups, the odds of receiving a positive test remained higher among the Bangladeshi and Pakistani ethnic groups aged 65 years and over during the third wave, which may partly explain the continued elevated mortality rates in these groups

## Introduction

As of 18 February 2022, there have been over 418 million confirmed cases of SARS-CoV-2 globally, with more than 160,000 deaths in the United Kingdom [1] [2]. Whilst the COVID-19 pandemic has affected all areas of the UK, some groups have been disproportionately affected. Rates of COVID-19 related hospitalisation and death have been higher among the elderly, people with pre-existing health conditions or disability [3] [4] [5], ethnic minority groups [6] [7] [8], some religious groups [9], people with low socio-economic status [10], and those living in care homes [11], large households [12], and deprived areas [13] [14] [15].

Less is known about socio-demographic disparities in infection rates. Research using data from the Coronavirus Infection Survey, a large household survey representative of the UK community population, has demonstrated that several factors were associated with SARS-CoV-2 positivity during the second wave and early part of the third wave in the UK [16] [17] [18]. Other studies have also highlighted non-white ethnicity, male sex and living in an urban or more deprived area as risk factors for testing positive [6] [19] [20]. However, large-scale studies using national population-level data sources that adjust for key confounding variables to understand the drivers of increased infection rates are lacking [21]. Given that socio-demographic disparities in severe COVID-19 outcomes appear to be largely driven by differences in infection rates, there is a clear evidence gap with which to inform national policies to reduce infection risk.

In this study, we examined differences in case rates across a range of socio-demographic characteristics, including ethnicity, religion, measures of socio-economic position and self-reported disability status using population-level administrative and Census data.

## Methods

### *Study data*

We linked national SARS-CoV-2 test results obtained via Pillar 1 (swab testing in UK Health Security Agency laboratories and NHS hospitals for those with a clinical need, and health and care workers) and Pillar 2 (swab testing for the wider population, as set out in government guidance) to the Office for National Statistics (ONS) Public Health Data Asset (PHDA) using NHS number. The ONS PHDA is a linked data resource combining the 2011 Census, death registrations, General Practice Extraction Service (GPES) Data for Pandemic Planning and Research (GDPPR) [22] and Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) [23]. To obtain NHS numbers, we linked the 2011 Census to the 2011-2013 NHS Patient Registers using deterministic and probabilistic matching, with an overall linkage rate of 94.6%. The NHS numbers in national testing data were incomplete, with missing values for 21% of records. To retrieve additional NHS numbers, we linked the testing data to the NHS Personal Demographics Service (PDS) using deterministic matching, achieving a linkage rate of 91.4%.

The study population consisted of all people aged  $\geq 10$  years living in England, who were enumerated at the 2011 Census, registered with a general practitioner (GP) surgery in November 2019, and alive on 1 September 2020. The cohort comprised to 39,006,194, 78.4% of the mid-year 2020 population estimate of people aged  $\geq 10$  years in England [24].

We used national testing data up to 10 December 2021. Out of all test results, 83.0% were linked to the ONS PHDA. It was not possible to calculate case rates and odds ratios for the first wave as mass testing was not available.

### *Exposures and covariates*

All individual-level socio-demographic characteristics (sex, age, ethnic group, religious affiliation, disability status, educational attainment, National Statistics Socio-economic Classification (NS-SEC) of the household reference person, English language proficiency, country of birth) were available from the 2011 Census. Place of residence (region within England and Rural-Urban Classification [25]) and area-based deprivation (English Indices of Deprivation, 2019 [26]) were derived based on postcodes held in GP records. Care home residence was retrieved from the 2019 NHS Patient Register. Pre-existing health conditions were derived from GPPR data as in the QCOVID risk prediction model [3]. We included the number of pre-existing conditions and separate adjustments for learning disability, as it could directly affect the exposure to SARS-CoV-2 [27]. We also adjusted for body mass index, as a categorical variable with a category for missing values. All variables included in the analyses are listed in Table S1 in the supplementary material.

### *Outcome*

The outcome was receiving a positive test result (polymerase chain reaction [PCR] or lateral flow device [LFD], including LFD positives that were not confirmed by PCR) for SARS-CoV-2 in a dataset of one row per individual within the study population. We excluded any positive tests that occurred within 120 days of an initial positive test from the same individual as these may have been part of the same infection episode [28]. We classified tests up to and including 22 May 2021 as having occurred in the second wave of the COVID-19 pandemic, with tests from 23 May 2021 to 10 December 2021 classified as being in the third wave [17].

### *Statistical analyses*

We estimated age-standardised SARS-CoV-2 case rates as the number positive cases per 100,000 person-weeks at risk, stratified by socio-demographic characteristics, standardised to the 2013 European Standard Population [29]. Rates were calculated weekly and for the second (1 September 2020 to 22 May 2021) and third (23 May to 10 December 2021) waves of the pandemic.

For each exposure, we compared odds ratios (ORs) for testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 estimated from logistic regression models adjusted in a stepwise manner for different sets of covariates. We estimated ORs adjusted for: sex and age (model 1); sex, age and geographical variables (region and Rural-Urban Classification) (model 2); and sex, age, geography, socio-demographic characteristics (ethnicity, Indices of Deprivation, educational attainment, household tenure, and care-home residence status), and self-reported disability status and the number of pre-existing health conditions (model 3). Due to the significant overlap between ethnicity and religion, we did not include religion in the covariate set. The number of pre-existing health conditions was included as a proxy for contact with the healthcare system, which may affect the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection or lead to shielding. Contact with the healthcare system would also make the individual more likely to be tested for SARS-CoV-2.

We explored how differences in the risk of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 changed over the course of the pandemic by fitting separate models for wave two and wave three. We also fitted separate models for those aged under 65 years and those 65 years and over.

All analyses were conducted using R version 3.5.

### *Patient and public involvement*

No patients involved.

## Results

Out of the 39,006,194 individuals in our study population, 52.1% were female, the mean age was 47.6 (SD: 21.1) years, 81.7% identified as White British, 4.8% as White Other, 2.7% as Indian, 59.5% as Christian, 25.5% as having no religious affiliation, and 5% as Muslim (Table S1). Between 1 September 2020 and 10 December 2021, 5,767,584 people (14.8% of the study population) living in England aged  $\geq 10$  years had tested positive for SARS-CoV-2, of which 46,484 (0.8%; 0.1% of the total study population) had an infection episode in both the second and third waves of the pandemic

During the second wave, the largest differences in rates of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 were observed for ethnicity; rates were highest in the Bangladeshi and Pakistani ethnic groups at 382.4 and 373.8 per 100,000 person-weeks, respectively, and in the Chinese ethnic group, with 90.8 cases per 100,000 person-weeks. During the third wave, however, the White British ethnic group had the highest rate at 359.7 cases per 100,000 person-weeks (Table 2). There were also notable disparities in case rates by religious affiliation. During the second wave of the pandemic, case rates per 100,000 person-weeks were highest for people who identified as Muslim (334.9) or Sikh (321.6). Rates were lowest for people in the 'Other Religion' group (142.9) and the Buddhist group (143.3). During the third wave, those who identified as Christian had the highest rates at 353.8 cases per 100,000 person-weeks, whereas the lowest rates were found in the Buddhist and Muslim groups at 221.4 and 226.7 cases per 100,000 person-weeks, respectively.

In the second wave, the Bangladeshi ethnic group had the highest odds ratio (OR) of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 relative to the White British ethnic group (Table 3); adjusting for age and sex only, the OR was 2.19 (95% confidence interval 2.17 to 2.22), whereas the fully-adjusted OR was 1.88 (1.86 to 1.90). Geography, socio-demographic factors and pre-pandemic health status accounted for 26.1% of the elevated odds of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 among the Bangladeshi ethnic group during the second wave of the pandemic. During the third wave, however, the odds of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 were lower for all ethnic minority groups compared with the White British group.

For religious affiliation, the highest OR of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 (relative to the Christian group) was observed for people identifying as Muslim in the second wave; when adjusting for age and sex, the OR was 1.82 (1.81 to 1.83), reducing to 1.58 (1.57 to 1.59) in the fully-adjusted model. This suggests that geography, socio-demographic factors and pre-pandemic health status explained 29.3% of the elevated odds of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 among people identifying as Muslim during the second wave of the pandemic. During the third wave, the odds of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 were highest among those identifying as Christian; the lowest OR was observed in the Muslim population at 0.63 (0.63 to 0.64), whilst the highest was for the "No religion" group at 0.97 (0.97 to 0.97).

There were large differences and variations in risk over time according to care home residency status. In the second wave, the fully-adjusted OR of testing positive for people living in a care home was 5.01 (4.95 to 5.08) compared to those not in a care home, whereas in the third wave the fully-adjusted OR was 1.31 (1.27 to 1.35).

Several other factors were also independently associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection. For example, people living in urban areas had higher odds of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 than those living in rural areas during both the second and third waves. Living in a more deprived area was also associated with a higher odds of testing positive during the second wave but not in the third wave. During the second wave, people who reported that English was not their main language had higher odds of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 than those who reported speaking English as their main

language after adjusting for confounding factors. Conversely, during the third wave, the odds of testing positive among people who did not speak English as their main language was lower than for native English speakers. Disabled people who were limited a lot in their daily activities had elevated odds of testing positive during the second wave after adjusting for age and sex only but had lower odds than non-disabled people in the fully-adjusted model. In the third wave, disabled people had lower odds of testing positive than non-disabled people across all models.

After stratifying the analyses by broad age group (under 65 years versus 65 years and over), larger associations were observed among people aged 65 and over for many socio-demographic characteristics than among those aged under 65 (Tables 4 and 5). Notably, among people aged under 65 years, all ethnic minority groups had lower odds of testing positive than the White British group during the third wave. Conversely, during the third wave among people aged 65 years and over, the fully-adjusted odds of testing positive were notably higher for the Bangladeshi (OR 1.63, 1.52 to 1.75) and Pakistani (1.35, 1.30 to 1.40) ethnic groups than for the White British. A similar pattern was observed for religious affiliation; during the third wave, the odds of testing positive were lower than the Christian group for all other religions among people aged under 65 years but were higher among people aged 65 years and over in the fully-adjusted models for people identifying as Sikh, Hindu, Muslim, or Jewish. Disabled people aged under than 65 years had lower odds of testing positive than non-disabled people in both the second and third waves, whereas disabled people aged 65 years and over had higher odds than non-disabled people in the second wave but were not at elevated risk of testing positive in the third wave after adjustment.

## Discussion

### *Main findings*

Our analysis using population-level linked data in England shows that there were significant disparities in COVID-19 case rates in people aged  $\geq 10$  years during the Alpha and Delta waves for several socio-demographic characteristics, most notably by ethnic group, religious affiliation, and Rural-Urban Classification. During the second wave, case rates were highest among Bangladeshi and Pakistani ethnic groups, with adjustments for geography, socio-economic factors and pre-existing health conditions accounting for 26% and 31% of the excess risk, respectively. For religious affiliation, those who identified as Muslim or Sikh had the highest rates, with adjustments only accounting for 27% and 17% of the excess risk, respectively. While some differences were found by deprivation and other socio-demographic factors, these were less pronounced than for ethnicity or religious affiliation. However, there is significant overlap between ethnicity and religion; 93.4% of people from both the Pakistani and Bangladeshi ethnic groups within the study self-identified as Muslim.

For the third wave – corresponding to the emergence of the Delta variant – we observed a different pattern for several factors. The White British ethnic group had the highest case rates and odds ratios, whilst those who self-identified as Christian had the highest rates among religious affiliations. Rates also became highest among people born within the UK and whose main language was English, with cases particularly prevalent among younger age groups. A potential reason is that levels of population immunity were higher for the groups that had the highest case rates in the first and second waves. However, when stratifying these results by broad age groups (under 65 years versus 65 years and over), we found that the odds for all ethnic minority groups were higher among the elderly. This could in part be due to ethnic minority groups, particularly those of Bangladeshi, Pakistani or Indian ethnic background, being more likely to live in multigenerational and larger households, which may partly explain the continued elevated risk of mortality during the third wave for ethnic minority groups relative to the White British population [12, 30].

### *Comparison with other studies*

Our findings are consistent with results from the Coronavirus Infection Survey, which found that between September 2020 to May 2021, individuals living in urban areas and deprived areas, and of a younger age were most likely to test positive in the UK [16]. Studies using UK COVID-19 surveillance data have also suggested that Black and South Asian ethnic groups were more likely to test positive than White British individuals in England [6] [31]. In addition, our results support previous analyses using UK administrative data that have shown higher age-standardised case rates among ethnic minority groups until June 2021, when rates increased among the White population [30]. Similar patterns of increased infection in the most deprived areas and among minority ethnic groups have been observed worldwide [32] [10].

Studies have shown that COVID-19 vaccinations significantly reduced the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection [18]. In addition, from December 2020 onwards, unadjusted vaccination uptake rates have been lower among adults from ethnic minority groups, those living in the most deprived areas, self-reporting being disabled, of younger age, did not speak English as their first language, and belonged to a lower socio-economic group [30] [33]. This is consistent with our findings when adjusting for age and sex only during the second wave, suggesting that lower vaccine uptake rates for certain groups and younger people might contribute to case rate inequalities. Although vaccination rates were lower for the Bangladeshi and Pakistani groups than the White British population, the lowest rates were found in Black African and Black Caribbean groups.

### *Strengths and limitations*

The primary strength of the study is using nationwide linked population-level data that combines a diverse set of demographic and socio-economic factors from the 2011 Census with timely data on national SARS-CoV-2 testing. Unlike studies based solely on electronic health records, our study is based on self-identified ethnicity, limiting the potential for exposure misclassification bias. We also have information on a wide range of socio-demographic factors not typically available in electronic health records, such as religion, main language and educational attainment. Another strength is the size of the dataset, comprising 78.4% of people aged 10 years and over living in England in 2020. Therefore, this study is sufficiently powered to detect small differences in the odds of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 by detailed characteristics after adjusting for confounding factors and interactions with age.

An important limitation is that the PHDA only contains information on people who were enumerated at the 2011 Census. It therefore excludes people living in England in 2011 but who did not participate in the 2011 Census (estimated to be approximately 5% of the population at the time); respondents who could not be linked to the 2011 to 2013 NHS Patient Registers (5.4% of Census respondents); people who have immigrated since 2011; children younger than 10-years-old in 2021; and people not registered with a GP surgery or who had opted out of GDPPR.

A further limitation is that many of the socio-demographic variables were derived from the 2011 Census. Some of these characteristics (for example disability status and NS-SEC) might have changed since the 2011 Census and may not accurately reflect individuals' circumstances during the pandemic. A possible contributing factor for the inequalities in case rates by ethnicity which is unaccounted for in our study could be the size of the household, with Pakistani and Bangladeshi groups being most likely to live in larger households [34].

National SARS-CoV-2 testing data do not provide a representative measure of infections because people are more likely to get a test for COVID-19 if they have symptoms, as they are advised to do

so, and because there may also be other biases in the choice to get a test. Approximately 40% of people who test positive in the Coronavirus Infection Survey do not develop symptoms within 35 days of testing positive [28]. Therefore, these figures are likely to under-represent the number of asymptomatic cases and so may not be generalisable to all infections in the population. In addition, people in certain occupations and school children are required to undergo regular testing, so may be more likely to test positive for COVID-19 as a result of higher testing rates. Adherence to testing has been shown to be lower among males, those of younger age, and people of lower socioeconomic status [35], meaning disparities in case rates are likely to be underestimated.

## Conclusion

There are significant differences in SARS-CoV-2 case rates by various socio-demographics, particularly ethnicity and religion, between different waves of the pandemic. Further research is now needed to understand why these disparities exist and how they can best be addressed through policy interventions. Continued surveillance is essential to ensure that changes in the patterns of infection are identified early to inform public health interventions.

## References

- [1] GOV.UK, “Coronavirus (COVID-19) in the UK dashboard,” 2021.
- [2] World Health Organisation, “WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard,” 2021.
- [3] A. K. Clift, C. A. C. Coupland, R. H. Keogh, K. Diaz-Ordaz, E. Williamson, E. M. Harrison, A. Hayward, H. Hemingway, P. Horby, N. Mehta, J. Bengler, K. Khunti, D. Spiegelhalter, A. Sheikh, J. Valabhji, R. A. Lyons, J. Robson, M. G. Semple, F. Kee, P. Johnson, S. Jebb, T. Williams and J. Hippisley-Cox, “Living risk prediction algorithm (QCOVID) for risk of hospital admission and mortality from coronavirus 19 in adults: national derivation and validation cohort study,” *BMJ*, vol. 371, 2020.
- [4] M. L. Bosworth, D. Ayoubkhani, V. Nafilyan, J. Foubert, M. Glickman, C. Davey and H. Kuper, “Deaths involving COVID-19 by self-reported disability status during the first two waves of the COVID-19 pandemic in England: a retrospective, population-based cohort study,” *The Lancet Public Health*, vol. 6, no. 11, pp. e817-e825, 11 2021.
- [5] E. J. Williamson, A. J. Walker, K. Bhaskaran, S. Bacon, C. Bates, C. E. Morton, H. J. Curtis, A. Mehrkar, D. Evans, P. Inglesby, J. Cockburn, H. I. McDonald, B. MacKenna, L. Tomlinson, I. J. Douglas, C. T. Rentsch, R. Mathur, A. Y. S. Wong, R. Grieve, D. Harrison, H. Forbes, A. Schultze, R. Croker, J. Parry, F. Hester, S. Harper, R. Perera, S. J. W. Evans, L. Smeeth and B. Goldacre, “Factors associated with COVID-19-related death using OpenSAFELY,” *Nature*, vol. 584, no. 7821, pp. 430-436, 2020.
- [6] T. O. Collaborative, R. Mathur, C. T. Rentsch, C. E. Morton, W. J. Hulme, A. Schultze, B. MacKenna, R. Eggo, K. Bhaskaran, A. Y. S. Wong, E. J. Williamson, H. Forbes, K. Wing, H. I. McDonald, C. Bates, S. Bacon, A. J. Walker, D. Evans, P. Inglesby, A. Mehrkar, H. J. Curtis, N. J. DeVito, R. Croker, H. Drysdale, J. Cockburn, J. Parry, F. Hester, S. Harper, I. J. Douglas, L. Tomlinson, S. J. W. Evans, R. Grieve, D. Harrison, K. Rowan, K. Khunti, N. Chaturvedi, L. Smeeth and B. Goldacre, “Ethnic differences in COVID-19 infection, hospitalisation, and mortality: an



- OpenSAFELY analysis of 17 million adults in England,” *medRxiv*, 2020.
- [7] Office for National Statistics, “Updating ethnic contrasts in deaths involving the coronavirus (COVID-19), England: 8 December 2020 to 1 December 2021,” 2022.
- [8] Public Health England, “Disparities in the risk and outcomes of COVID-19,” 2020.
- [9] C. H. Gaughan, D. Ayoubkhani, V. Nafilyan, P. Goldblatt, C. White, K. Tingay and N. Bannister, “Religious affiliation and COVID-19-related mortality: a retrospective cohort study of prelockdown and postlockdown risks in England and Wales,” *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health*, vol. 75, no. 6, pp. 509-514, 2021.
- [10] M. P. Cifuentes, L. A. Rodriguez-Villamizar, M. L. Rojas-Botero, C. A. Alvarez-Moreno and J. A. Fernández-Niño, “Socioeconomic inequalities associated with mortality for COVID-19 in Colombia: a cohort nationwide study,” *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health*, vol. 75, no. 7, pp. 610-615, 2021.
- [11] A. L. Gordon, C. Goodman, W. Achterberg, R. O. Barker, E. Burns, B. Hanratty, F. C. Martin, J. Meyer, D. O’Neill, J. Schols and K. Spilsbury, “Commentary: COVID in care homes—challenges and dilemmas in healthcare delivery,” *Age and Ageing*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 701-705, 8 2020.
- [12] V. Nafilyan, N. Islam, D. Ayoubkhani, C. Gilles, S. V. Katikireddi, R. Mathur, A. Summerfield, K. Tingay, M. Asaria, A. John, P. Goldblatt, A. Banerjee, M. Glickman and K. Khunti, “Ethnicity, household composition and COVID-19 mortality: a national linked data study,” *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*, vol. 114, no. 4, pp. 182-211, 3 2021.
- [13] R. C. E. Bowyer, T. Varsavsky, E. J. Thompson, C. H. Sudre, B. A. K. Murray, M. B. Freidin, D. Yarand, S. Ganesh, J. Capdevila, E. Bakker, M. J. Cardoso, R. Davies, J. Wolf, T. D. Spector, S. Ourselin, C. J. Steves and C. Menni, “Geo-social gradients in predicted COVID-19 prevalence in Great Britain: results from 1 960 242 users of the COVID-19 Symptoms Study app,” *Thorax*, vol. 76, no. 7, pp. 723-725, 2021.
- [14] P. S. H. K. Woodward M, “Social deprivation as a risk factor for COVID-19 mortality among women and men in the UK Biobank: nature of risk and context suggests that social interventions are essential to mitigate the effects of future pandemics.,” pp. 1050-1055, 2021.
- [15] T. Padellini, R. Jersakova, P. J. Diggle, C. Holmes, R. E. King, B. C. L. Lehmann, A.-M. Mallon, G. Nicholson, S. Richardson and M. Blangiardo, “Time varying association between deprivation, ethnicity and SARS-CoV-2 infections in England: A population-based ecological study,” *The Lancet Regional Health – Europe*, 3 2022.
- [16] E. Pritchard, J. Jones, K. Vihta, N. Stoesser, P. C. Matthews, D. W. Eyre, T. House, J. I. Bell, J. N. Newton, J. Farrar, D. Crook, S. Hopkins, D. Cook, E. Rourke, R. Studley, I. Diamond, T. Peto, K. B. Pouwels, A. S. Walker and the COVID-19 Infection Survey Team, “Monitoring populations at increased risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection in the community,” *medRxiv*, 2021.
- [17] Office for National Statistics, “Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection Survey technical article: waves and lags of COVID-19 in England, June 2021,” 2021.
- [18] Office for National Statistics, “Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection Survey Technical Article: Impact

of vaccination on testing positive in the UK: October 2021,” 2021.

- [19] S. de Lusignan, J. Dorward, A. Correa, N. Jones, O. Akinyemi, G. Amirthalingam, N. Andrews, R. Byford, G. Dabrera, A. Elliot, J. Ellis, F. Ferreira, J. Lopez Bernal, C. Okusi, M. Ramsay, J. Sherlock, G. Smith, J. Williams, G. Howsam, M. Zambon, M. Joy and F. D. R. Hobbs, “Risk factors for SARS-CoV-2 among patients in the Oxford Royal College of General Practitioners Research and Surveillance Centre primary care network: a cross-sectional study,” *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, vol. 20, no. 9, pp. 1034-1042, 9 2020.
- [20] M. Chadeau-Hyam, B. Bodinier, J. Elliott, M. D. Whitaker, I. Tzoulaki, R. Vermeulen, M. Kelly-Irving, C. Delpierre and P. Elliott, “Risk factors for positive and negative COVID-19 tests: a cautious and in-depth analysis of UK biobank data,” *International Journal of Epidemiology*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 1454-1467, 10 2020.
- [21] D. Pan, S. Sze, C. A. Martin, J. Nazareth, K. Woolf, R. F. Baggaley, T. D. Hollingsworth, K. Khunti, L. B. Nellums and M. Pareek, “Covid-19 and ethnicity: we must seek to understand the drivers of higher transmission,” *BMJ*, vol. 375, 2021.
- [22] NHS Digital, “General Practice Extraction Service (GPES) Data for pandemic planning and research,” [Online]. Available: <https://digital.nhs.uk/coronavirus/gpes-data-for-pandemic-planning-and-research/guide-for-analysts-and-users-of-the-data>. [Accessed 10 February 2022].
- [23] A. Herbert, L. Wijlaars, A. Zylbersztejn, D. Cromwell and P. Hardelid, “Data Resource Profile: Hospital Episode Statistics Admitted Patient Care (HES APC),” *International journal of epidemiology*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 1093-1093i, 8 2017.
- [24] Office for National Statistics, “United Kingdom population mid-year estimate,” 2020.
- [25] GOV.UK, “2011 Rural Urban Classification,” 2011.
- [26] GOV.UK, “English indices of deprivation 2019,” 2019. [Online]. [Accessed 10 January 2022].
- [27] A. Henderson, M. Fleming, S.-A. Cooper, J. Pell, C. Melville, D. MacKay, C. Hatton and D. Kinnear, “COVID-19 infection and outcomes in a population-based cohort of 17,173 adults with intellectual disabilities compared with the general population,” *medRxiv*, 2021.
- [28] Office for National Statistics, “Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection Survey, characteristics of people testing positive for COVID-19, UK: 21 October 2021,” 2021.
- [29] Eurostat, “Revision of the European Standard Population,” 11 July 2013. [Online]. Available: <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/products-manuals-and-guidelines/-/ks-ra-13-028>. [Accessed 10 January 2022].
- [30] Public Health England, “COVID-19 Health Inequalities Monitoring for England (CHIME) tool,” 2021.
- [31] C. L. Niedzwiedz, C. A. O’Donnell, B. D. Jani, E. Demou, F. K. Ho, C. Celis-Morales, B. I. Nicholl, F. S. Mair, P. Welsh, N. Sattar, J. P. Pell and S. V. Katikireddi, “Ethnic and socioeconomic differences in SARS-CoV-2 infection: prospective cohort study using UK Biobank,” *BMC Medicine*, vol. 18, no. 1, p. 160, 2020.

- [32] US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "COVID-19 hospitalization and death by race/ethnicity," 2020.
- [33] T. Dolby, K. Finning, A. Baker, L. Dowd, K. Khunti, C. Razieh, T. Yates and V. Nafilyan, "Monitoring sociodemographic inequality in COVID-19 vaccination coverage in England: a national linked data study," *medRxiv*, 2021.
- [34] Z. Haque, L. Becares and N. Treloar, "A Runnymede Trust and ICM Survey. Over-exposed and under-protected the devastating impact of covid-19 on black and minority ethnic communities in Great Britain," 2020.
- [35] L. E. Smith, H. W. W. Potts, R. Amlôt, N. T. Fear, S. Michie and G. J. Rubin, "Adherence to the test, trace, and isolate system in the UK: results from 37 nationally representative surveys," *BMJ*, vol. 372, 2021.

## Tables

Table 1: Characteristics of the study population

Variable	Level	Count (%)	
Sex	Male	18,697,485 (47.9)	
	Female	20,308,709 (52.1)	
Age	Mean (SD)	47.6 (21.1)	
Disability status	Not Limited	33,694,478 (86.4)	
	Daily activities limited a little	3,211,382 (8.2)	
	Daily activities limited a lot	2,100,334 (5.4)	
Ethnicity	Bangladeshi	326,883 (0.8)	
	Black African	644,633 (1.7)	
	Black Caribbean	410,320 (1.1)	
	Chinese	203,648 (0.5)	
	Indian	1,055,511 (2.7)	
	Mixed	778,396 (2.0)	
	Other	993,009 (2.6)	
	Pakistani	854,879 (2.2)	
	White British	31,857,196 (81.7)	
	White Other	1,881,719 (4.8)	
	Education level	No qualification	6,039,757 (15.5)
Apprenticeship		1,131,625 (2.9)	
Level 1		4,557,085 (11.7)	
Level 2		5,174,885 (13.3)	
Level 3		4,065,049 (10.4)	
Level 4		8,927,314 (22.9)	
Not classified		7,482,144 (19.2)	
Other		1,628,335 (4.2)	
English Indices of Deprivation quintile group		1 (most deprived)	7,335,236 (18.8)
		2	7,620,096 (19.5)
	3	7,902,220 (20.3)	
	4	8,040,520 (20.6)	

Variable	Level	Count (%)
	5 (least deprived)	8,108,122 (20.8)
Religion	Buddhist	155,191 (0.4)
	Christian	23,191,008 (59.5)
	Hindu	597,404 (1.5)
	Jewish	178,494 (0.5)
	Muslim	1,934,281 (5.0)
	Sikh	324,447 (0.8)
	No religion	9,955,732 (25.5)
	Other religion	168,850 (0.4)
	Not stated	2,500,787 (6.4)
Household tenure	Private rented	5,732,235 (14.7)
	Social rented	5,953,221 (15.3)
	Owned	26,395,380 (67.7)
	Other (e.g., live rent free)	619,926 (1.6)
Care home status	No	38,823,660 (99.5)
	Yes	182,534 (0.5)
National Statistics Socio-Economic Classification of the household reference person	1 Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations	3,272,734 (8.4)
	2 Lower managerial, administrative and professional occupations	6,727,180 (17.3)
	3 Intermediate occupations	4,249,047 (10.9)
	4 Small employers and own account workers	2,974,778 (7.6)
	5 Lower supervisory and technical occupations	2,180,711 (5.6)
	6 Semi-routine occupations	4,500,152 (11.5)
	7 Routine occupations	3,428,078 (8.8)
	8 Never worked and long-term unemployed	1,576,350 (4.0)
	Not in a household	454,203 (1.2)
	Not classified	9,642,961 (24.7)
Country of birth	UK	34,244,696 (87.8)
	Non-UK	4,761,498 (12.2)
English language proficiency	Main language	36,311,243 (93.1)

Variable	Level	Count (%)
Rural Urban Classification	Well or very well	2,114,632 (5.4)
	Not well or not at all	580,319 (1.5)
	Major or minor conurbation	14,546,114 (37.3)
	City and town	17,317,517 (44.4)
	Town and fringe	3,561,427 (9.1)
	Villages, hamlets and isolated dwellings	3,581,136 (9.2)

Table 2: Age-standardised SARS-CoV-2 case rates (per 100,000 person-weeks) by socio-demographic characteristics and wave of the pandemic

		Wave two (1 September 2020 to 22 May 2021)				Wave three (23 May 2021 onwards)			
Exposure	Level	Number of cases	Rate	Lower CI	Upper CI	Number of cases	Rate	Lower CI	Upper CI
Ethnic group	Bangladeshi	43,449	382.4	377.9	386.9	23,756	229.9	226.3	233.5
	Black African	47,855	200.2	198.0	202.4	41,958	198.4	196.2	200.5
	Black Caribbean	27,748	184.6	182.3	186.8	28,941	266.4	263.2	269.5
	Chinese	6,811	90.8	88.5	93.0	9,031	162.5	159.0	165.9
	Indian	102,001	267.3	265.6	269.0	80,550	265.9	264.0	267.8
	Mixed	55,724	183.5	181.5	185.5	88,670	303.5	301.0	305.9
	Other	87,798	238.0	236.3	239.7	68,648	225.5	223.7	227.3
	Pakistani	110,638	373.8	371.2	376.4	62,132	233.1	231.0	235.2
	White British	1,851,398	165.3	165.0	165.5	2,824,792	359.7	359.2	360.1
	White Other	115,184	166.7	165.7	167.8	136,984	260.3	258.8	261.8
Religious group	Buddhist	8,043	143.3	139.9	146.7	8,860	221.4	216.3	226.4
	Christian	1,406,889	177.3	177.0	177.6	1,920,206	353.8	353.3	354.3
	Hindu	49,248	227.3	225.2	229.4	45,158	265.2	262.7	267.7
	Jewish	11,730	189.8	186.3	193.3	13,298	293.1	288.0	298.1
	Muslim	228,476	334.9	333.3	336.5	139,064	226.7	225.4	228.1
	Sikh	37,471	321.6	318.3	325.0	26,322	286.0	282.5	289.5
	No religion	564,183	147.2	146.8	147.6	1,000,330	336.2	335.6	336.9
	Other religion	8,284	142.9	139.4	146.3	10,725	267.6	261.6	273.7
	Not stated	134,282	151.9	151.1	152.7	201,499	304.9	303.5	306.2
Country of	UK	2,089,141	171.7	171.5	171.9	3,081,174	345.0	344.6	345.4

Exposure	Level	Wave two (1 September 2020 to 22 May 2021)				Wave three (23 May 2021 onwards)			
		Number of cases	Rate	Lower CI	Upper CI	Number of cases	Rate	Lower CI	Upper CI
birth	Non-UK	359,465	203.1	202.4	203.9	284,288	238.2	237.1	239.4
English Indices of Deprivation quintile group	1 (most deprived)	581,068	218.7	218.1	219.2	644,804	311.8	311.0	312.6
	2	527,010	191.2	190.7	191.7	649,484	317.3	316.6	318.1
	3	472,664	168.5	168.0	169.0	666,824	331.5	330.7	332.3
	4	450,659	160.3	159.8	160.8	690,861	347.5	346.7	348.3
	5 (least deprived)	417,205	148.0	147.6	148.5	713,489	358.1	357.3	359.0
National Statistics Socio-Economic Classification of the household reference person	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations	139,872	102.0	100.7	103.3	229,509	211.3	208.8	213.7
	Lower managerial, administrative and professional occupations	369,283	128.3	127.7	128.9	498,925	228.8	227.7	229.9
	Intermediate occupations	250,852	141.0	140.3	141.6	299,393	228.8	227.8	229.8
	Small employers and own account workers	153,985	127.6	126.7	128.6	163,628	189.7	188.1	191.3
	Lower supervisory and technical occupations	134,166	142.5	141.7	143.3	144,680	213.1	211.8	214.3
	Semi-routine occupations	299,012	158.0	157.4	158.6	293,050	211.9	211.1	212.7
	Routine occupations	208,805	145.7	145.1	146.4	203,723	202.9	202.0	203.9
	Never worked and long-term unemployed	110,573	153.8	152.9	154.8	92,397	158.8	157.8	159.8
Education level	No qualification	356,433	150.4	149.8	151.0	258,959	175.6	174.8	176.4
	Apprenticeship	58,991	138.0	136.7	139.3	64,967	224.2	222.1	226.2
	Level 1	300,887	144.3	143.7	144.9	335,274	211.2	210.5	211.9
	Level 2	347,997	142.4	141.9	142.9	410,814	219.1	218.4	219.8
	Level 3	270,548	137.7	137.2	138.3	343,028	223.3	222.5	224.1



		Wave two (1 September 2020 to 22 May 2021)				Wave three (23 May 2021 onwards)			
Exposure	Level	Number of cases	Rate	Lower CI	Upper CI	Number of cases	Rate	Lower CI	Upper CI
	Level 4	456,144	119.3	118.6	119.9	656,680	212.4	211.4	213.5
	Other	108,109	156.4	155.4	157.5	83,920	165.7	164.3	167.1
Rural-Urban Classification	Cities and towns	1,005,795	162.3	162.0	162.6	1,565,237	350.0	349.4	350.5
	Major or minor conurbations	1,129,050	215.0	214.6	215.3	1,223,891	308.7	308.1	309.2
	Towns and fringes	171,629	139.9	139.2	140.5	307,205	360.1	358.8	361.4
	Villages, hamlets and isolated dwellings	142,132	118.8	118.1	119.4	269,129	332.3	331.0	333.7
Disability status	Non-disabled - not limited	2,147,056	174.0	173.8	174.2	3,134,229	337.6	337.3	338.0
	Disabled - limited a little	173,719	162.9	161.9	163.9	146,457	272.0	270.1	273.9
	Disabled - limited a lot	127,831	159.9	158.7	161.1	84,776	212.6	210.6	214.6
Region	North East	132,204	194.7	193.6	195.7	204,171	420.4	418.6	422.2
	North West	400,613	218.2	217.5	218.8	487,936	363.6	362.5	364.6
	Yorkshire and the Humber	270,098	192.0	191.3	192.7	384,690	375.8	374.6	377.0
	East Midlands	218,633	177.9	177.1	178.6	320,396	363.1	361.8	364.4
	West Midlands	282,221	193.6	192.9	194.3	354,840	332.9	331.8	334.0
	East of England	250,486	158.4	157.8	159.1	352,650	308.6	307.6	309.7
	London	406,794	205.4	204.7	206.0	371,473	241.6	240.8	242.4
	South East	343,314	150.6	150.1	151.1	525,119	319.4	318.6	320.3
	South West	144,243	101.3	100.8	101.9	364,187	370.2	368.9	371.4
English language proficiency	Main language	2,211,286	171.6	171.4	171.8	3,191,368	342.2	341.9	342.6
	Speak English very well or well	187,607	239.4	238.2	240.6	140,685	228.2	226.8	229.5

Exposure	Level	Wave two (1 September 2020 to 22 May 2021)				Wave three (23 May 2021 onwards)			
		Number of cases	Rate	Lower CI	Upper CI	Number of cases	Rate	Lower CI	Upper CI
	Do not speak English well or at all	49,713	238.8	236.2	241.5	33,409	194.4	191.6	197.2
Household tenure	Private rented	367,298	168.4	167.8	169.1	548,583	299.4	298.5	300.3
	Social rented	419,093	193.1	192.5	193.7	508,011	296.3	295.5	297.1
	Owned	1,601,009	177.8	177.5	178.1	2,237,339	355.1	354.6	355.6
	Other	38,571	169.3	167.6	171.0	50,985	300.6	298.0	303.3

CI, confidence interval (95%).

Table 3: Adjusted odds ratios of receiving a positive test for SARS-CoV-2 by sociodemographic characteristics and wave of the pandemic

Exposure	Group	Wave two (1 September 2020 to 22 May 2021)			Wave three (23 May 2021 onwards)		
		OR (Model 1)	OR (Model 2)	OR (Model 3)	OR (Model 1)	OR (Model 2)	OR (Model 3)
Sex	Female	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Male	0.86 [0.86 - 0.86]	0.86 [0.86 - 0.86]	0.87 [0.87 - 0.87]	0.91 [0.91 - 0.91]	0.91 [0.91 - 0.91]	0.91 [0.91 - 0.91]
Age group	10-19	0.83 [0.82 - 0.83]	0.84 [0.84 - 0.85]	0.84 [0.84 - 0.85]	2.20 [2.19 - 2.21]	2.20 [2.19 - 2.21]	2.20 [2.19 - 2.21]
	20-29	1.19 [1.18 - 1.19]	1.20 [1.20 - 1.21]	1.20 [1.20 - 1.21]	1.19 [1.18 - 1.19]	1.18 [1.17 - 1.18]	1.18 [1.17 - 1.18]
	30-39	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	40-49	0.96 [0.96 - 0.97]	0.98 [0.97 - 0.98]	0.98 [0.97 - 0.98]	1.11 [1.11 - 1.12]	1.12 [1.11 - 1.12]	1.12 [1.11 - 1.12]
	50-59	0.87 [0.86 - 0.87]	0.90 [0.89 - 0.90]	0.90 [0.89 - 0.90]	0.71 [0.70 - 0.71]	0.70 [0.70 - 0.70]	0.70 [0.70 - 0.70]
	60-69	0.56 [0.55 - 0.56]	0.58 [0.58 - 0.58]	0.58 [0.58 - 0.58]	0.43 [0.42 - 0.43]	0.42 [0.42 - 0.42]	0.42 [0.42 - 0.42]
	70-79	0.37 [0.37 - 0.37]	0.39 [0.39 - 0.39]	0.39 [0.39 - 0.39]	0.25 [0.25 - 0.26]	0.25 [0.25 - 0.25]	0.25 [0.25 - 0.25]
	80-89	0.60 [0.59 - 0.60]	0.63 [0.63 - 0.64]	0.63 [0.63 - 0.64]	0.18 [0.18 - 0.19]	0.18 [0.18 - 0.18]	0.18 [0.18 - 0.18]
	90+	1.20 [1.18 - 1.21]	1.28 [1.27 - 1.29]	1.28 [1.27 - 1.29]	0.21 [0.20 - 0.21]	0.21 [0.20 - 0.21]	0.21 [0.20 - 0.21]
Disability	Not Limited	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Limited a little	1.02 [1.02 - 1.03]	1.00 [1.00 - 1.01]	0.92 [0.91 - 0.92]	0.84 [0.84 - 0.84]	0.83 [0.83 - 0.84]	0.85 [0.85 - 0.86]
	Limited a lot	1.15 [1.15 - 1.16]	1.10 [1.09 - 1.11]	0.93 [0.92 - 0.93]	0.72 [0.71 - 0.72]	0.71 [0.70 - 0.71]	0.76 [0.75 - 0.76]
Ethnicity	White British	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Bangladeshi	2.19 [2.17 - 2.22]	1.96 [1.94 - 1.98]	1.88 [1.86 - 1.90]	0.55 [0.54 - 0.56]	0.61 [0.60 - 0.62]	0.64 [0.63 - 0.65]
	Black African	1.16 [1.15 - 1.17]	1.06 [1.05 - 1.07]	1.05 [1.04 - 1.06]	0.51 [0.50 - 0.51]	0.57 [0.57 - 0.58]	0.61 [0.60 - 0.62]
	Black Caribbean	1.12 [1.10 - 1.13]	1.01 [1.00 - 1.02]	0.97 [0.96 - 0.98]	0.75 [0.74 - 0.76]	0.86 [0.85 - 0.87]	0.89 [0.88 - 0.91]
	Chinese	0.53 [0.51 - 0.54]	0.49 [0.48 - 0.50]	0.54 [0.52 - 0.55]	0.41 [0.41 - 0.42]	0.44 [0.43 - 0.45]	0.45 [0.44 - 0.46]
	Indian	1.65 [1.64 - 1.66]	1.52 [1.50 - 1.53]	1.55 [1.54 - 1.57]	0.72 [0.71 - 0.72]	0.77 [0.77 - 0.78]	0.77 [0.76 - 0.77]
	Mixed	1.11 [1.10 - 1.12]	1.05 [1.04 - 1.06]	1.05 [1.04 - 1.06]	0.83 [0.82 - 0.84]	0.88 [0.88 - 0.89]	0.91 [0.90 - 0.92]

		Wave two (1 September 2020 to 22 May 2021)			Wave three (23 May 2021 onwards)		
	Other	1.45 [1.44 - 1.46]	1.34 [1.33 - 1.35]	1.35 [1.34 - 1.36]	0.59 [0.59 - 0.59]	0.66 [0.65 - 0.66]	0.69 [0.68 - 0.69]
	Pakistani	2.17 [2.16 - 2.19]	1.89 [1.87 - 1.90]	1.81 [1.79 - 1.82]	0.57 [0.56 - 0.57]	0.57 [0.57 - 0.58]	0.58 [0.58 - 0.59]
	White Other	1.00 [1.00 - 1.01]	0.97 [0.96 - 0.97]	1.00 [1.00 - 1.01]	0.71 [0.70 - 0.71]	0.77 [0.76 - 0.77]	0.81 [0.80 - 0.81]
Education level	No qualification	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Apprenticeship	0.93 [0.92 - 0.94]	0.98 [0.97 - 0.99]	1.04 [1.03 - 1.05]	1.31 [1.30 - 1.33]	1.29 [1.28 - 1.30]	1.19 [1.18 - 1.20]
	Level 1	0.91 [0.90 - 0.91]	0.95 [0.94 - 0.95]	0.98 [0.98 - 0.99]	1.17 [1.16 - 1.17]	1.17 [1.16 - 1.17]	1.11 [1.10 - 1.11]
	Level 2	0.90 [0.89 - 0.90]	0.94 [0.94 - 0.95]	0.99 [0.99 - 1.00]	1.21 [1.20 - 1.21]	1.20 [1.20 - 1.21]	1.13 [1.12 - 1.13]
	Level 3	0.87 [0.86 - 0.87]	0.92 [0.91 - 0.92]	0.97 [0.97 - 0.98]	1.24 [1.23 - 1.24]	1.24 [1.23 - 1.24]	1.16 [1.15 - 1.16]
	Level 4	0.71 [0.71 - 0.71]	0.75 [0.74 - 0.75]	0.79 [0.78 - 0.79]	1.19 [1.18 - 1.19]	1.23 [1.23 - 1.24]	1.17 [1.16 - 1.18]
	Other	1.02 [1.02 - 1.03]	1.02 [1.01 - 1.03]	1.01 [1.00 - 1.02]	0.92 [0.91 - 0.93]	0.98 [0.97 - 0.99]	1.07 [1.06 - 1.08]
English Indices of Deprivation quintile group	1	1.49 [1.48 - 1.50]	1.29 [1.29 - 1.30]	1.19 [1.19 - 1.20]	0.86 [0.86 - 0.87]	0.82 [0.81 - 0.82]	0.86 [0.86 - 0.86]
	2	1.31 [1.30 - 1.32]	1.22 [1.22 - 1.23]	1.16 [1.15 - 1.16]	0.88 [0.88 - 0.89]	0.90 [0.89 - 0.90]	0.92 [0.92 - 0.93]
	3	1.15 [1.14 - 1.15]	1.14 [1.14 - 1.15]	1.11 [1.11 - 1.12]	0.92 [0.92 - 0.93]	0.94 [0.93 - 0.94]	0.95 [0.95 - 0.95]
	4	1.09 [1.08 - 1.09]	1.09 [1.09 - 1.10]	1.08 [1.07 - 1.08]	0.97 [0.96 - 0.97]	0.97 [0.97 - 0.97]	0.97 [0.97 - 0.98]
	5	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
Religion	Christian	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Buddhist	0.80 [0.78 - 0.81]	0.79 [0.77 - 0.81]	0.83 [0.81 - 0.85]	0.60 [0.59 - 0.62]	0.65 [0.64 - 0.67]	0.68 [0.67 - 0.70]
	Hindu	1.30 [1.29 - 1.31]	1.22 [1.21 - 1.24]	1.27 [1.26 - 1.28]	0.73 [0.73 - 0.74]	0.84 [0.83 - 0.84]	0.83 [0.82 - 0.84]
	Jewish	1.08 [1.06 - 1.10]	0.99 [0.98 - 1.01]	1.04 [1.03 - 1.06]	0.82 [0.81 - 0.84]	0.96 [0.94 - 0.98]	0.94 [0.92 - 0.95]
	Muslim	1.82 [1.81 - 1.83]	1.63 [1.63 - 1.64]	1.58 [1.57 - 1.59]	0.57 [0.56 - 0.57]	0.61 [0.60 - 0.61]	0.63 [0.63 - 0.64]
	Sikh	1.87 [1.85 - 1.89]	1.74 [1.72 - 1.76]	1.74 [1.72 - 1.76]	0.79 [0.78 - 0.80]	0.85 [0.84 - 0.86]	0.83 [0.82 - 0.84]
	No religion	0.84 [0.84 - 0.84]	0.86 [0.86 - 0.86]	0.87 [0.87 - 0.87]	0.95 [0.95 - 0.95]	0.95 [0.95 - 0.95]	0.97 [0.97 - 0.97]
	Other religion	0.77 [0.76 - 0.79]	0.79 [0.77 - 0.81]	0.80 [0.78 - 0.82]	0.75 [0.74 - 0.77]	0.78 [0.76 - 0.79]	0.79 [0.77 - 0.80]
	Not stated	0.87 [0.86 - 0.87]	0.88 [0.87 - 0.88]	0.88 [0.87 - 0.89]	0.86 [0.85 - 0.86]	0.87 [0.87 - 0.87]	0.88 [0.87 - 0.88]

		Wave two (1 September 2020 to 22 May 2021)			Wave three (23 May 2021 onwards)		
Household tenure	Owned	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Other	0.96 [0.95 - 0.97]	0.97 [0.96 - 0.98]	0.94 [0.93 - 0.95]	0.84 [0.83 - 0.84]	0.87 [0.86 - 0.88]	0.90 [0.89 - 0.91]
	Private rented	0.92 [0.92 - 0.93]	0.91 [0.91 - 0.92]	0.90 [0.90 - 0.90]	0.82 [0.82 - 0.82]	0.84 [0.84 - 0.85]	0.87 [0.87 - 0.88]
	Social rented	1.07 [1.07 - 1.08]	1.02 [1.02 - 1.02]	0.96 [0.95 - 0.96]	0.82 [0.82 - 0.82]	0.84 [0.84 - 0.85]	0.87 [0.87 - 0.87]
Care home status	No	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Yes	4.77 [4.71 - 4.83]	4.83 [4.77 - 4.89]	5.01 [4.95 - 5.08]	1.04 [1.02 - 1.07]	1.04 [1.01 - 1.06]	1.31 [1.27 - 1.35]
National Statistics Socio-Economic Classification of the household reference person	1 Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	2 Lower managerial, administrative and professional occupations	1.28 [1.27 - 1.28]	1.27 [1.26 - 1.28]	1.23 [1.22 - 1.24]	1.05 [1.05 - 1.06]	1.04 [1.03 - 1.04]	1.03 [1.02 - 1.04]
	3 Intermediate occupations	1.37 [1.36 - 1.37]	1.34 [1.33 - 1.35]	1.22 [1.21 - 1.23]	1.01 [1.00 - 1.02]	0.98 [0.98 - 0.99]	0.98 [0.98 - 0.99]
	4 Small employers and own account workers	1.33 [1.32 - 1.34]	1.35 [1.34 - 1.36]	1.20 [1.19 - 1.21]	0.89 [0.88 - 0.89]	0.88 [0.87 - 0.88]	0.90 [0.89 - 0.90]
	5 Lower supervisory and technical occupations	1.52 [1.51 - 1.53]	1.50 [1.49 - 1.51]	1.33 [1.32 - 1.34]	0.99 [0.98 - 0.99]	0.94 [0.93 - 0.95]	0.95 [0.94 - 0.96]
	6 Semi-routine occupations	1.60 [1.59 - 1.61]	1.56 [1.55 - 1.57]	1.36 [1.35 - 1.37]	0.95 [0.94 - 0.95]	0.90 [0.90 - 0.91]	0.93 [0.93 - 0.94]
	7 Routine occupations	1.54 [1.53 - 1.55]	1.48 [1.47 - 1.49]	1.28 [1.27 - 1.29]	0.92 [0.91 - 0.93]	0.87 [0.87 - 0.88]	0.92 [0.91 - 0.92]
	8 Never worked and long-term unemployed	1.52 [1.50 - 1.53]	1.38 [1.37 - 1.39]	1.06 [1.05 - 1.07]	0.68 [0.68 - 0.69]	0.68 [0.67 - 0.68]	0.77 [0.77 - 0.78]
Country of birth	UK	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Non-UK	1.23 [1.23 - 1.24]	1.16 [1.15 - 1.16]	1.19 [1.18 - 1.19]	0.68 [0.68 - 0.68]	0.75 [0.74 - 0.75]	0.79 [0.78 - 0.79]
English language proficiency	Main language	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Well or very well	1.38 [1.37 - 1.39]	1.28 [1.28 - 1.29]	1.32 [1.31 - 1.32]	0.65 [0.64 - 0.65]	0.71 [0.71 - 0.72]	0.76 [0.75 - 0.76]
	Not well or not at all	1.53 [1.52 - 1.54]	1.38 [1.37 - 1.39]	1.33 [1.32 - 1.34]	0.54 [0.54 - 0.55]	0.58 [0.58 - 0.59]	0.65 [0.64 - 0.66]

Rural-Urban Classification		Wave two (1 September 2020 to 22 May 2021)			Wave three (23 May 2021 onwards)		
		1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Villages, hamlets and isolated dwellings						
	City and town	1.40 [1.39 - 1.41]	1.38 [1.37 - 1.39]	1.30 [1.30 - 1.31]	1.08 [1.07 - 1.08]	1.08 [1.07 - 1.08]	1.13 [1.13 - 1.14]
	Major or minor conurbation	1.87 [1.86 - 1.88]	1.65 [1.64 - 1.66]	1.49 [1.48 - 1.50]	0.94 [0.94 - 0.95]	1.02 [1.02 - 1.03]	1.12 [1.12 - 1.13]
	Town and fringe	1.19 [1.18 - 1.20]	1.16 [1.15 - 1.17]	1.16 [1.15 - 1.16]	1.10 [1.09 - 1.11]	1.09 [1.08 - 1.09]	1.09 [1.08 - 1.09]

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval (95%).

Model 1, adjusted for age and sex only; Model 2, plus geography (region and Rural-Urban Classification); Model 3, fully-adjusted model.

Table 4: Adjusted odds ratios of receiving a positive test for SARS-CoV-2 by sociodemographic characteristics and age group during the second wave (1 September 2020 to 22 May 2021)

		Under 65			65+		
	Group	OR (Model 1)	OR (Model 2)	OR (Model 3)	OR (Model 1)	OR (Model 2)	OR (Model 3)
<b>Sex</b>	Female	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Male	0.83 [0.83 - 0.84]	0.83 [0.83 - 0.84]	0.83 [0.83 - 0.84]	1.05 [1.04 - 1.06]	1.06 [1.05 - 1.06]	1.10 [1.10 - 1.11]
<b>Disability</b>	Not Limited	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Limited a little	0.90 [0.90 - 0.91]	0.89 [0.88 - 0.89]	0.84 [0.83 - 0.85]	1.40 [1.39 - 1.41]	1.36 [1.35 - 1.37]	1.14 [1.13 - 1.15]
	Limited a lot	0.82 [0.81 - 0.83]	0.79 [0.78 - 0.80]	0.73 [0.72 - 0.73]	1.98 [1.96 - 1.99]	1.85 [1.83 - 1.87]	1.29 [1.28 - 1.30]
<b>Ethnicity</b>	White British	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Bangladeshi	2.13 [2.10 - 2.15]	1.92 [1.90 - 1.94]	1.85 [1.83 - 1.87]	3.76 [3.60 - 3.93]	3.14 [3.00 - 3.28]	2.71 [2.59 - 2.84]
	Black African	1.14 [1.13 - 1.15]	1.05 [1.04 - 1.06]	1.05 [1.04 - 1.06]	1.72 [1.64 - 1.80]	1.45 [1.38 - 1.51]	1.37 [1.31 - 1.43]
	Black Caribbean	1.09 [1.07 - 1.10]	0.99 [0.98 - 1.00]	0.96 [0.94 - 0.97]	1.41 [1.36 - 1.45]	1.19 [1.15 - 1.23]	1.10 [1.06 - 1.14]
	Chinese	0.51 [0.50 - 0.52]	0.48 [0.47 - 0.49]	0.52 [0.51 - 0.54]	0.70 [0.65 - 0.75]	0.61 [0.57 - 0.66]	0.68 [0.63 - 0.74]
	Indian	1.61 [1.60 - 1.62]	1.49 [1.48 - 1.50]	1.52 [1.51 - 1.53]	2.01 [1.97 - 2.05]	1.72 [1.68 - 1.76]	1.79 [1.76 - 1.83]
	Mixed	1.10 [1.09 - 1.11]	1.04 [1.03 - 1.05]	1.05 [1.04 - 1.06]	1.34 [1.28 - 1.41]	1.23 [1.17 - 1.29]	1.17 [1.11 - 1.23]
	Other	1.42 [1.41 - 1.43]	1.32 [1.31 - 1.33]	1.34 [1.33 - 1.35]	1.81 [1.76 - 1.86]	1.57 [1.53 - 1.62]	1.59 [1.55 - 1.64]
	Pakistani	2.09 [2.07 - 2.10]	1.82 [1.81 - 1.83]	1.75 [1.74 - 1.77]	3.75 [3.66 - 3.84]	3.11 [3.03 - 3.18]	2.85 [2.78 - 2.92]
	White Other	0.99 [0.98 - 1.00]	0.96 [0.95 - 0.97]	1.00 [1.00 - 1.01]	1.15 [1.13 - 1.17]	1.06 [1.04 - 1.08]	1.04 [1.02 - 1.06]
<b>Education level</b>	No qualification	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Apprenticeship	0.94 [0.93 - 0.95]	1.00 [0.99 - 1.01]	1.03 [1.02 - 1.05]	0.80 [0.78 - 0.81]	0.83 [0.82 - 0.85]	0.95 [0.94 - 0.97]
	Level 1	0.99 [0.98 - 0.99]	1.03 [1.02 - 1.04]	1.05 [1.04 - 1.05]	0.74 [0.73 - 0.75]	0.77 [0.76 - 0.78]	0.85 [0.84 - 0.86]
	Level 2	0.98 [0.98 - 0.99]	1.03 [1.03 - 1.04]	1.06 [1.05 - 1.06]	0.71 [0.70 - 0.72]	0.75 [0.74 - 0.76]	0.84 [0.83 - 0.85]
	Level 3	0.94 [0.94 - 0.95]	0.99 [0.99 - 1.00]	1.02 [1.02 - 1.03]	0.70 [0.69 - 0.71]	0.74 [0.73 - 0.75]	0.85 [0.83 - 0.86]

	Level 4	0.77 [0.77 - 0.78]	0.81 [0.80 - 0.81]	0.83 [0.82 - 0.83]	0.59 [0.59 - 0.60]	0.63 [0.62 - 0.64]	0.72 [0.71 - 0.73]
	Other	1.09 [1.08 - 1.10]	1.08 [1.07 - 1.09]	1.05 [1.04 - 1.06]	0.94 [0.92 - 0.95]	0.93 [0.92 - 0.95]	0.94 [0.92 - 0.95]
English Indices of Deprivation quintile group	1 (most deprived)	1.43 [1.42 - 1.43]	1.23 [1.23 - 1.24]	1.15 [1.15 - 1.16]	2.00 [1.97 - 2.02]	1.76 [1.74 - 1.78]	1.52 [1.50 - 1.53]
	2	1.27 [1.27 - 1.28]	1.18 [1.18 - 1.19]	1.14 [1.13 - 1.14]	1.52 [1.51 - 1.54]	1.45 [1.44 - 1.47]	1.32 [1.31 - 1.34]
	3	1.13 [1.13 - 1.14]	1.12 [1.12 - 1.13]	1.10 [1.09 - 1.10]	1.23 [1.22 - 1.25]	1.27 [1.25 - 1.28]	1.20 [1.19 - 1.22]
	4	1.08 [1.08 - 1.09]	1.08 [1.08 - 1.09]	1.07 [1.07 - 1.08]	1.11 [1.10 - 1.13]	1.14 [1.12 - 1.15]	1.11 [1.10 - 1.12]
	5 (least deprived)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
Religion	Christian	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Buddhist	0.79 [0.77 - 0.81]	0.79 [0.77 - 0.81]	0.83 [0.81 - 0.85]	0.81 [0.75 - 0.87]	0.77 [0.72 - 0.83]	0.83 [0.77 - 0.89]
	Hindu	1.25 [1.24 - 1.27]	1.19 [1.18 - 1.21]	1.23 [1.22 - 1.24]	1.63 [1.59 - 1.68]	1.39 [1.35 - 1.43]	1.50 [1.45 - 1.54]
	Jewish	1.07 [1.04 - 1.09]	0.99 [0.97 - 1.01]	1.03 [1.01 - 1.06]	1.11 [1.07 - 1.16]	0.95 [0.91 - 0.99]	1.08 [1.03 - 1.13]
	Muslim	1.74 [1.74 - 1.75]	1.58 [1.57 - 1.59]	1.54 [1.53 - 1.55]	3.05 [3.00 - 3.11]	2.55 [2.50 - 2.60]	2.35 [2.31 - 2.40]
	Sikh	1.80 [1.78 - 1.82]	1.68 [1.66 - 1.70]	1.67 [1.65 - 1.69]	2.44 [2.36 - 2.52]	2.10 [2.04 - 2.17]	2.16 [2.09 - 2.23]
	No religion	0.83 [0.83 - 0.83]	0.85 [0.85 - 0.85]	0.86 [0.86 - 0.86]	0.79 [0.78 - 0.80]	0.81 [0.80 - 0.82]	0.85 [0.84 - 0.87]
	Other religion	0.76 [0.74 - 0.78]	0.77 [0.76 - 0.79]	0.79 [0.77 - 0.80]	0.92 [0.87 - 0.98]	0.92 [0.87 - 0.98]	0.95 [0.90 - 1.01]
	Not stated	0.84 [0.84 - 0.85]	0.85 [0.85 - 0.86]	0.86 [0.86 - 0.87]	0.94 [0.93 - 0.95]	0.95 [0.94 - 0.96]	0.94 [0.93 - 0.96]
Household tenure	Owned	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Other	0.91 [0.90 - 0.92]	0.92 [0.91 - 0.93]	0.91 [0.90 - 0.92]	1.29 [1.26 - 1.32]	1.28 [1.25 - 1.32]	1.13 [1.10 - 1.16]
	Private rented	0.90 [0.89 - 0.90]	0.89 [0.89 - 0.89]	0.89 [0.88 - 0.89]	1.26 [1.24 - 1.28]	1.27 [1.25 - 1.29]	1.13 [1.11 - 1.15]
	Social rented	1.02 [1.02 - 1.02]	0.97 [0.97 - 0.98]	0.94 [0.93 - 0.94]	1.55 [1.54 - 1.56]	1.43 [1.41 - 1.44]	1.20 [1.18 - 1.21]
Care home status	No	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Yes	2.63 [2.55 - 2.70]	2.73 [2.66 - 2.81]	3.41 [3.31 - 3.51]	5.77 [5.69 - 5.85]	5.81 [5.73 - 5.89]	5.08 [5.01 - 5.16]
National Statistics Socio-Economic Classification of	1 Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	2 Lower managerial,	1.34 [1.33 - 1.35]	1.34 [1.33 - 1.35]	1.30 [1.29 - 1.31]	1.14 [1.12 - 1.16]	1.13 [1.11 - 1.15]	1.08 [1.07 - 1.10]



the household reference person	administrative and professional occupations						
	3 Intermediate occupations	1.50 [1.49 - 1.51]	1.47 [1.46 - 1.48]	1.34 [1.33 - 1.35]	1.19 [1.17 - 1.21]	1.15 [1.13 - 1.17]	1.03 [1.01 - 1.05]
	4 Small employers and own account workers	1.30 [1.29 - 1.31]	1.32 [1.31 - 1.33]	1.18 [1.17 - 1.19]	1.38 [1.35 - 1.40]	1.40 [1.38 - 1.43]	1.17 [1.15 - 1.19]
	5 Lower supervisory and technical occupations	1.49 [1.48 - 1.50]	1.48 [1.47 - 1.49]	1.33 [1.32 - 1.34]	1.55 [1.52 - 1.58]	1.47 [1.45 - 1.50]	1.22 [1.19 - 1.24]
	6 Semi-routine occupations	1.69 [1.67 - 1.70]	1.65 [1.64 - 1.67]	1.46 [1.45 - 1.47]	1.53 [1.51 - 1.55]	1.46 [1.44 - 1.48]	1.19 [1.17 - 1.21]
	7 Routine occupations	1.49 [1.48 - 1.50]	1.45 [1.44 - 1.46]	1.29 [1.28 - 1.30]	1.73 [1.70 - 1.76]	1.61 [1.58 - 1.64]	1.25 [1.23 - 1.27]
	8 Never worked and long-term unemployed	1.46 [1.44 - 1.47]	1.33 [1.32 - 1.34]	1.09 [1.08 - 1.10]	2.30 [2.26 - 2.35]	2.03 [1.99 - 2.07]	1.25 [1.23 - 1.28]
Country of birth	UK	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Non-UK	1.19 [1.19 - 1.20]	1.13 [1.12 - 1.13]	1.16 [1.15 - 1.16]	1.54 [1.53 - 1.56]	1.38 [1.37 - 1.40]	1.38 [1.36 - 1.39]
English language proficiency	Main language	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Well or very well	1.34 [1.34 - 1.35]	1.26 [1.25 - 1.26]	1.29 [1.29 - 1.30]	1.93 [1.90 - 1.97]	1.68 [1.65 - 1.71]	1.69 [1.66 - 1.72]
	Not well or not at all	1.41 [1.39 - 1.42]	1.29 [1.27 - 1.30]	1.27 [1.25 - 1.28]	2.40 [2.35 - 2.45]	2.02 [1.98 - 2.06]	1.80 [1.76 - 1.84]
Rural-Urban Classification	Villages, hamlets and isolated dwellings	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	City and town	1.37 [1.36 - 1.38]	1.36 [1.35 - 1.37]	1.30 [1.29 - 1.30]	1.51 [1.49 - 1.53]	1.49 [1.47 - 1.51]	1.33 [1.31 - 1.35]
	Major or minor conurbation	1.82 [1.81 - 1.84]	1.62 [1.61 - 1.63]	1.48 [1.47 - 1.49]	2.11 [2.08 - 2.14]	1.80 [1.77 - 1.83]	1.52 [1.50 - 1.54]
	Town and fringe	1.19 [1.18 - 1.20]	1.16 [1.15 - 1.17]	1.16 [1.15 - 1.17]	1.19 [1.17 - 1.21]	1.17 [1.15 - 1.19]	1.14 [1.12 - 1.16]

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval (95%).

Model 1, adjusted for age and sex only; Model 2, plus geography (region and Rural-Urban Classification); Model 3, fully-adjusted model.

Table 5: Adjusted odds ratios of receiving a positive test for SARS-CoV-2 by sociodemographic characteristics and age group during the third wave (23 May 2021 to 10 December 2021)

			Under 65			65+		
	Group	OR (Model 1)	OR (Model 2)	OR (Model 3)	OR (Model 1)	OR (Model 2)	OR (Model 3)	
<b>Sex</b>	Female	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	
	Male	0.89 [0.89 - 0.89]	0.89 [0.89 - 0.89]	0.89 [0.89 - 0.89]	1.16 [1.15 - 1.17]	1.16 [1.15 - 1.17]	1.14 [1.13 - 1.15]	
<b>Disability</b>	Not Limited	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	
	Limited a little	0.79 [0.78 - 0.79]	0.78 [0.78 - 0.79]	0.82 [0.81 - 0.82]	1.05 [1.04 - 1.06]	1.02 [1.01 - 1.03]	0.96 [0.95 - 0.97]	
	Limited a lot	0.59 [0.59 - 0.60]	0.59 [0.58 - 0.59]	0.65 [0.65 - 0.66]	1.16 [1.15 - 1.18]	1.10 [1.09 - 1.11]	0.99 [0.98 - 1.00]	
<b>Ethnicity</b>	White British	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	
	Bangladeshi	0.54 [0.53 - 0.54]	0.60 [0.59 - 0.61]	0.63 [0.62 - 0.64]	1.49 [1.39 - 1.60]	1.64 [1.53 - 1.76]	1.63 [1.52 - 1.75]	
	Black African	0.50 [0.50 - 0.51]	0.57 [0.57 - 0.58]	0.61 [0.60 - 0.61]	0.68 [0.64 - 0.73]	0.80 [0.75 - 0.86]	0.80 [0.75 - 0.86]	
	Black Caribbean	0.74 [0.73 - 0.75]	0.85 [0.84 - 0.86]	0.89 [0.87 - 0.90]	0.97 [0.93 - 1.01]	1.08 [1.03 - 1.13]	1.06 [1.02 - 1.11]	
	Chinese	0.42 [0.41 - 0.42]	0.44 [0.43 - 0.45]	0.45 [0.44 - 0.46]	0.40 [0.37 - 0.45]	0.43 [0.39 - 0.48]	0.47 [0.42 - 0.52]	
	Indian	0.69 [0.69 - 0.70]	0.74 [0.74 - 0.75]	0.74 [0.73 - 0.74]	1.21 [1.18 - 1.25]	1.31 [1.28 - 1.35]	1.32 [1.28 - 1.35]	
	Mixed	0.83 [0.82 - 0.83]	0.88 [0.88 - 0.89]	0.91 [0.90 - 0.92]	0.91 [0.86 - 0.97]	0.98 [0.92 - 1.04]	0.98 [0.92 - 1.04]	
	Other	0.58 [0.57 - 0.58]	0.64 [0.64 - 0.65]	0.68 [0.67 - 0.68]	0.95 [0.91 - 0.98]	1.08 [1.04 - 1.12]	1.09 [1.05 - 1.13]	
	Pakistani	0.55 [0.54 - 0.55]	0.55 [0.55 - 0.56]	0.57 [0.56 - 0.57]	1.46 [1.41 - 1.52]	1.40 [1.35 - 1.46]	1.35 [1.30 - 1.40]	
	White Other	0.70 [0.69 - 0.70]	0.76 [0.76 - 0.76]	0.80 [0.80 - 0.81]	0.88 [0.86 - 0.90]	0.96 [0.94 - 0.98]	0.97 [0.94 - 0.99]	
<b>Education level</b>	No qualification	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	
	Apprenticeship	1.36 [1.35 - 1.38]	1.33 [1.31 - 1.34]	1.20 [1.19 - 1.21]	1.10 [1.08 - 1.12]	1.10 [1.08 - 1.12]	1.12 [1.10 - 1.14]	
	Level 1	1.26 [1.25 - 1.26]	1.25 [1.24 - 1.26]	1.17 [1.16 - 1.18]	0.95 [0.94 - 0.97]	0.99 [0.97 - 1.00]	1.01 [1.00 - 1.03]	
	Level 2	1.31 [1.30 - 1.32]	1.30 [1.29 - 1.31]	1.20 [1.19 - 1.20]	0.93 [0.92 - 0.95]	0.97 [0.95 - 0.98]	0.99 [0.98 - 1.01]	
	Level 3	1.34 [1.33 - 1.34]	1.33 [1.32 - 1.34]	1.22 [1.21 - 1.23]	0.98 [0.96 - 0.99]	1.01 [0.99 - 1.03]	1.04 [1.02 - 1.05]	

	Level 4	1.29 [1.29 - 1.30]	1.34 [1.33 - 1.35]	1.25 [1.24 - 1.26]	0.90 [0.89 - 0.91]	0.95 [0.94 - 0.96]	0.99 [0.97 - 1.00]
	Other	0.93 [0.92 - 0.94]	1.00 [0.99 - 1.01]	1.10 [1.09 - 1.11]	1.00 [0.98 - 1.02]	1.04 [1.02 - 1.05]	1.03 [1.02 - 1.05]
English Indices of Deprivation quintile group	1 (most deprived)	0.83 [0.83 - 0.84]	0.80 [0.79 - 0.80]	0.85 [0.85 - 0.85]	1.29 [1.27 - 1.31]	1.12 [1.11 - 1.14]	1.06 [1.04 - 1.07]
	2	0.86 [0.86 - 0.87]	0.88 [0.88 - 0.88]	0.91 [0.91 - 0.91]	1.15 [1.14 - 1.17]	1.11 [1.09 - 1.12]	1.07 [1.05 - 1.08]
	3	0.91 [0.91 - 0.91]	0.93 [0.92 - 0.93]	0.94 [0.94 - 0.95]	1.04 [1.03 - 1.06]	1.05 [1.04 - 1.07]	1.03 [1.02 - 1.04]
	4	0.96 [0.96 - 0.96]	0.96 [0.96 - 0.97]	0.97 [0.97 - 0.97]	1.05 [1.03 - 1.06]	1.04 [1.03 - 1.06]	1.03 [1.02 - 1.04]
	5 (least deprived)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
Religion	Christian	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Buddhist	0.61 [0.59 - 0.62]	0.65 [0.64 - 0.67]	0.68 [0.67 - 0.70]	0.59 [0.54 - 0.64]	0.64 [0.59 - 0.70]	0.67 [0.62 - 0.73]
	Hindu	0.71 [0.70 - 0.71]	0.81 [0.80 - 0.81]	0.80 [0.79 - 0.81]	1.15 [1.11 - 1.19]	1.29 [1.24 - 1.33]	1.31 [1.26 - 1.35]
	Jewish	0.79 [0.78 - 0.81]	0.93 [0.91 - 0.95]	0.90 [0.89 - 0.92]	1.07 [1.02 - 1.13]	1.21 [1.15 - 1.27]	1.24 [1.18 - 1.30]
	Muslim	0.55 [0.55 - 0.55]	0.59 [0.59 - 0.60]	0.62 [0.62 - 0.63]	1.26 [1.23 - 1.30]	1.31 [1.27 - 1.34]	1.28 [1.25 - 1.32]
	Sikh	0.76 [0.75 - 0.77]	0.81 [0.80 - 0.82]	0.80 [0.79 - 0.81]	1.34 [1.29 - 1.40]	1.42 [1.36 - 1.48]	1.41 [1.35 - 1.47]
	No religion	0.95 [0.95 - 0.95]	0.95 [0.94 - 0.95]	0.97 [0.96 - 0.97]	0.84 [0.83 - 0.85]	0.87 [0.86 - 0.88]	0.88 [0.87 - 0.89]
	Other religion	0.74 [0.72 - 0.76]	0.76 [0.75 - 0.78]	0.78 [0.76 - 0.79]	0.90 [0.85 - 0.96]	0.96 [0.91 - 1.02]	0.97 [0.91 - 1.03]
	Not stated	0.85 [0.85 - 0.86]	0.87 [0.86 - 0.87]	0.88 [0.87 - 0.88]	0.84 [0.82 - 0.85]	0.86 [0.84 - 0.87]	0.86 [0.85 - 0.88]
Household tenure	Owned	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Other	0.80 [0.79 - 0.81]	0.85 [0.84 - 0.86]	0.88 [0.87 - 0.89]	0.96 [0.92 - 1.00]	0.97 [0.93 - 1.01]	0.94 [0.90 - 0.98]
	Private rented	0.80 [0.80 - 0.81]	0.83 [0.83 - 0.83]	0.86 [0.85 - 0.86]	0.92 [0.90 - 0.94]	0.94 [0.92 - 0.96]	0.91 [0.89 - 0.93]
	Social rented	0.82 [0.81 - 0.82]	0.84 [0.84 - 0.84]	0.86 [0.86 - 0.86]	1.02 [1.01 - 1.04]	0.97 [0.95 - 0.98]	0.92 [0.91 - 0.93]
Care home status	No	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Yes	0.61 [0.57 - 0.64]	0.60 [0.57 - 0.64]	0.92 [0.86 - 0.97]	1.80 [1.73 - 1.86]	1.76 [1.69 - 1.82]	1.72 [1.65 - 1.79]
National Statistics Socio-Economic Classification	1 Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	2 Lower managerial,	1.11 [1.10 - 1.12]	1.09 [1.08 - 1.09]	1.07 [1.07 - 1.08]	1.03 [1.01 - 1.05]	1.01 [0.99 - 1.03]	1.00 [0.98 - 1.02]

<b>of the household reference person</b>	administrative and professional occupations						
	3 Intermediate occupations	1.11 [1.10 - 1.12]	1.06 [1.06 - 1.07]	1.05 [1.04 - 1.06]	0.99 [0.97 - 1.01]	0.95 [0.93 - 0.97]	0.92 [0.91 - 0.94]
	4 Small employers and own account workers	0.92 [0.91 - 0.93]	0.90 [0.89 - 0.91]	0.92 [0.91 - 0.93]	1.07 [1.05 - 1.09]	1.06 [1.04 - 1.09]	1.00 [0.98 - 1.02]
	5 Lower supervisory and technical occupations	1.04 [1.03 - 1.05]	0.98 [0.97 - 0.99]	0.98 [0.97 - 0.99]	1.15 [1.13 - 1.18]	1.06 [1.04 - 1.09]	0.99 [0.97 - 1.01]
	6 Semi-routine occupations	1.06 [1.05 - 1.06]	1.00 [0.99 - 1.00]	1.02 [1.01 - 1.03]	1.09 [1.07 - 1.11]	1.01 [0.99 - 1.03]	0.95 [0.93 - 0.97]
	7 Routine occupations	0.99 [0.98 - 1.00]	0.92 [0.91 - 0.93]	0.96 [0.95 - 0.97]	1.17 [1.14 - 1.19]	1.04 [1.02 - 1.07]	0.97 [0.95 - 0.99]
	8 Never worked and long-term unemployed	0.78 [0.77 - 0.79]	0.76 [0.75 - 0.76]	0.86 [0.85 - 0.87]	1.06 [1.03 - 1.10]	0.98 [0.95 - 1.01]	0.84 [0.82 - 0.87]
<b>Country of birth</b>	UK	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Non-UK	0.67 [0.66 - 0.67]	0.75 [0.74 - 0.75]	0.79 [0.79 - 0.80]	1.01 [0.99 - 1.02]	1.09 [1.07 - 1.11]	1.09 [1.07 - 1.11]
<b>English language proficiency</b>	Main language	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	Not well or not at all	0.53 [0.52 - 0.53]	0.57 [0.56 - 0.58]	0.62 [0.61 - 0.63]	1.19 [1.15 - 1.23]	1.19 [1.15 - 1.24]	1.18 [1.13 - 1.22]
	Well or very well	0.64 [0.63 - 0.64]	0.71 [0.71 - 0.72]	0.75 [0.75 - 0.76]	1.11 [1.08 - 1.15]	1.19 [1.16 - 1.23]	1.19 [1.16 - 1.23]
<b>Rural-Urban Classification</b>	Villages, hamlets and isolated dwellings	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)	1 (ref)
	City and town	1.06 [1.05 - 1.06]	1.06 [1.06 - 1.07]	1.12 [1.11 - 1.13]	1.30 [1.28 - 1.33]	1.30 [1.28 - 1.32]	1.27 [1.24 - 1.29]
	Major or minor conurbation	0.96 [0.95 - 0.96]	1.02 [1.01 - 1.02]	1.12 [1.11 - 1.13]	1.55 [1.53 - 1.58]	1.46 [1.43 - 1.49]	1.39 [1.36 - 1.42]
	Town and fringe	1.10 [1.09 - 1.11]	1.08 [1.07 - 1.08]	1.08 [1.07 - 1.09]	1.20 [1.18 - 1.23]	1.16 [1.14 - 1.19]	1.16 [1.14 - 1.19]

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval (95%).

Model 1, adjusted for age and sex only; Model 2, plus geography (region and Rural-Urban Classification); Model 3, fully-adjusted model.