

Self-Care, Health Literacy and Their Associations Amongst Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) in Primary Care

Han-Kwee HO¹, Eileen Yi-Ling Koh¹, Wan-Ching Chua¹, Meykkumar S/O Meyappan¹, Ray Ern Chung², Pereira Emma Marie-Pamerlyn², Chenlu Zhai², Leon Jian-Ying Lim³, Adina Abdullah⁴, Ngiap-Chuan Tan^{1,5}

¹SingHealth Polyclinics, Singapore

²NTU Lee Kong Chian School of Medicine, Singapore

³Duke-NUS Medical School, Singapore

⁴Department of Primary Care Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Malaya, Malaysia

⁵SingHealth-Duke NUS Family Medicine Academic Clinical Programme, Singapore

Correspondence should be addressed to Han-Kwee HO; Ho.Han.Kwee@singhealth.com.sg

ABSTRACT

Aim

The study objective was to determine the levels of self-care (including domains of behaviour, motivation, self-efficacy) and health literacy, and study their associations amongst patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) in primary care setting in Singapore.

Method

A cross-sectional, questionnaire-based study was conducted in one public-sector primary care clinic. Participants aged 21 to 80 years with hypertension were recruited from the clinic CKD register with 5,500 patients. Self-care profile (including behaviour, motivation and self-efficacy) were measured using Hypertension Self-Care Profile (HTN-SCP, range 0-240, domain range 0-80). Health literacy was measured using Short-Form Health Literacy Scale (HLS-SF12, range 0-50, limited literacy ≤ 33).

Results

A total of 347 out of 354 randomly selected patients consented to participate in the study. Two

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.
hundred and eighty-nine fully-completed responses were analysed. The mean self-care (HTN-

SCP) score was 182.7 (SD 23.2), while mean scores were 55.3 (SD 8.6), 63.3 (SD 8.7), 64.0 (SD 9.3), for behaviour, self-efficacy and motivation domains respectively. The mean health literacy score was 36.1 (SD 7.7), and 31.1% of participants had limited health literacy. Limited health literacy was associated with self-efficacy (OR= -7.2, 95%CI=-9.1 to -5.2, $p<0.001$), motivation (OR= -6.1, 95%CI=-8.3 to -3.9, $p<0.001$) and behaviour (OR= -4.5, 95%CI=-6.6 to -2.4, $p<0.001$). Self-care was not associated with age, CKD status, household income and education but was associated with gender and limited health literacy. In the final regression model only limited health literacy was associated with self-care scores (Adjusted beta -17.4, $p<0.001$).

Conclusion

One-third of the patients with CKD in primary care had limited health literacy. Self-care was not associated with age, gender, CKD status, household income or education. Limited health literacy was associated with self-care, with strongest association with self-efficacy, followed by motivation and behaviour. More targeted approach can be adopted to improve self-care and health literacy amongst patients with CKD.

(300 words)

MeSH keywords: chronic kidney disease, health literacy, self-care, behaviour, self-efficacy, motivation

Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is associated with significant health burden not only because of the impact of the disease but also because of the economic impact to the patient and health system. CKD accounted for a global prevalence of 13.4%¹ in 2016 and a 41.5% increase in global all-age mortality rate attributable to CKD between 1990 and 2017². Globally, CKD due to diabetes and hypertension showed a 107% and 28% increase in age-standardised death rate respectively³. In Singapore, CKD ranked 7th as cause of Years of Life lost⁴, with Crude

Incidence Rate (CIR) increasing from 383.9 per million population (pmp) in 2010 to 503.0 pmp in 2018⁴. In fact, the current CKD prevalence of 12% is projected to double to 24% by 2035⁵.

In 2011, a CKD intervention programme⁶ aimed at identifying patients with early CKD and optimising renal-protective therapy was launched⁷ in Singapore. This programme was rolled out by the local Ministry of Health as the HALT-CKD⁸ (Holistic Approach in Lowering and Tracking Chronic Kidney Disease) programme in 2017 to remind and encourage primary care physicians in public sector to prescribe renal-protective medicine such as Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors (ACEi) and Angiotensin-Receptor Blockers (ARBs) to patients when clinically indicated⁹. Under this programme, approximately 90% of patients with CKD have already been placed on these renal-protective medicine¹⁰.

However, more can be done to increase patient involvement to retard the progression of CKD. A recent local qualitative study¹¹ found that a lack of awareness of CKD, knowledge of the disease and a passive attitude towards self-management was a major barrier to CKD self-management. Patient self-management behaviour includes lifestyle modifications, compliance to medication, follow-up and self-monitoring of parameters like blood pressure. For patients with CKD, this often means reduced salt in diet and keeping both blood pressure and glucose level (for those with diabetes) within treatment goals. These behaviours have been shown to slow CKD progression^{12,13,14} and reduce its morbidity and mortality^{15,16,17}.

Low health literacy has been identified as a key barrier to development of self-management skills¹⁸, and conversely high health literacy often translates to better patient self-care, patient education and engagement^{19,20}. While health literacy has been known to be variable in Asian population^{21,22}, no health literacy data related to CKD is currently available for Singapore. Patients with higher health literacy may have more confidence (self-efficacy) in their abilities and positively influence their self-management behaviours^{23,24}. Self-efficacy refers to the person's belief (or confidence) in their capacity to carry out the behaviour to achieve the

outcome. Self-efficacy and motivation have also been independently studied to be closely associated with self-management behaviour^{25,26,27,28} and are also pivotal in facilitating adherence and lifestyle changes among patients^{28,29}.

The aim of the study is to determine the levels of self-care (including behaviour, self-efficacy, motivation) and health literacy, and their associated factors in primary care patients with CKD.

Method

Study Design

This was a cross-sectional, questionnaire-based study conducted in one of the 20 public sector primary care clinics in Singapore. The clinic is located in the eastern part of Singapore (Pasir Ris Town) and sees more than 600 patients a day with an average of 14 attending primary care physicians and a total staff strength of about 110. The clinic sees a mixture of both chronic follow-up visits as well as acute conditions. A separate section for patients with fever or upper respiratory tract symptoms was set up since early 2020 as part of COVID-19 response. This section of the clinic was excluded from the study.

Study population

Adult patients above age 21 and below 80-year-old who have essential hypertension, have CKD stage 1 to 5 and who were being followed up at the clinic were included in the study. The upper age limit was chosen to align with the Singapore Ministry of Health HALT-CKD programme. The key study interest was to study CKD secondary to chronic diseases. Thus, patients with nephrotic syndrome, other forms of glomerulonephritis or renal parenchyma diseases were excluded. Patients who were known to have dementia, either from attending physician's notes or self-reported, and those with any other disabilities or conditions that prevent them from completing the questionnaires were also excluded.

Study Instruments

Hypertension Self-Care Profile (HTN-SCP)

For self-management behaviour, motivation and self-efficacy, the Hypertension self-care profile (HTN-SCP)³⁰ was used. Diabetes and hypertension accounted for the vast majority of End-Stage Renal Failure (ESRF) in Singapore^{31,32}. There may be some limitations in using a self-care tool for hypertension, versus a self-care tool specific for CKD^{33,34,35}. Using a CKD-specific self-care tool was considered, but eventually a locally validated tool with a comprehensive coverage of self-care domains was deemed more appropriate. The HTN-SCP has been validated in Singapore³⁶, in the same public sector primary care clinic setting. Public and private primary care services run in parallel in Singapore, with the public sector receiving more government subsidy and possibly seeing more patients of higher complexity. HTN-SCP covered multiple self-care domains such as medication adherence, physical activity, diet restriction, alcohol consumption, smoking, home blood pressure monitoring, weight control, regular follow-up, and stress management. These domains were often common across cardiovascular related chronic diseases including CKD.

The internal consistency for this instrument is good, with Cronbach's alpha coefficients being 0.83 for behaviour, 0.93 for motivation and 0.91 for self-efficacy. The HTN-SCP showed high construct validity, and there was no floor or ceiling effect for the 3 subscales. This tool measures all 3 variables using the same set of 20 questions using different opening instructions: from "How often do you do the following" for self-management behaviour to "How important is it for you to do the following?" for motivation and "How confident are you that you could do the following?" for self-efficacy. For each section, the minimum score is 0 and the maximum score is 80, with a total maximum self-care score of 240. Higher scores indicate higher level of self-care, behaviour, motivation or self-efficacy.

Short-Form Health Literacy Instrument (HLS-SF12)

The HLS-SF12 was used for the assessment of health literacy. This instrument was derived from the 47-item European Health Literacy Questionnaire (HLS-EU-Q47)³⁷ and has been

validated in 6 Asian countries including Malaysia and Taiwan³⁸. The HLS-SF12 was demonstrated to have high reliability with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.85 (range of 0.79-0.90, with Malaysia and Taiwan both 0.85). There was also good criterion-related validity, a moderate and high level of item-scale convergent validity, and no floor or ceiling effect throughout the populations in the six countries. The health literacy score can range from 0 to 50, with higher scores indicating higher health literacy. A score of 33 and below indicates limited literacy. The 12 questions also measured the 3 domains³⁷ of health literacy, namely health care, disease prevention and health promotion.

Sample size

Primary and secondary outcomes of self-care (including behaviour, motivation, self-efficacy) and health literacy were factors considered when considering the appropriate sample size. Based on Koh et al³⁶, the mean and standard deviation of self-care score was 181.8 and 28.3 respectively. Assuming that the sample has high self-care score of 192 with a 95% confidence level³⁹, the minimum sample size required was 63. However, a larger sample size was required when health literacy was considered. Abd-Rahim et al⁴⁰ reported a mean proportion of those who have adequate health literacy as 80% (334/413). Using sample size for proportion, with a total population of 5500 CKD patients in clinic CKD register, the minimum required sample is 229, with 95% confidence interval and 5% precision. With a buffer of 20% to account for incomplete data, we aim to recruit at least 290 to cover both outcomes.

Sampling method

A hybrid sampling method was adopted to complete this study within a reasonable time frame in the context of resource constraints and revised research protocols due to safe-distancing policies. A list of appointments for patients with CKD in the clinic was generated every week in chronological order based on room numbers assigned to patients and appointment times. Patients were numbered in a chronological order based on this list every week. A random number generator was used to identify the patient to be invited to participate in the study. The

number of random numbers generated was dependent on the research staff available for the day. A new random number was used if the selected patient declined participation or has been excluded.

The attending physician was informed on the day of patient's appointment. The attending physician would first screen for any of the exclusion criteria as aforementioned. If found to be suitable, the attending physician would then verbally invite the identified patient to participate in the study. Patients who agreed to participate would be directed to a separate room where trained research assistants would obtain informed consent and administer the questionnaires. Participants would be given a S\$10 (about US\$7.40) supermarket voucher on completion of the questionnaire.

This study has been approved by ethics committee of SingHealth Centralised Institution Review Board (CIRB Reference 2020/3109).

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were summarized using frequencies and percentages. Hypertension Self-care Profile (HTN-SCP) total scores and their individual domain scores (behaviour, motivation, self-efficacy) were analysed with participant demographics using independent t-test and the ANOVA test. Linear regression was used to explore the relationship between the self-management behaviour with health literacy, self-efficacy and motivation.

Results

A total of 594 patients were screened and 222 declined participations. A further 18 who were screened were not selected as they did not fulfil inclusion criteria. Of the remaining 354 who consented, 4 did not proceed to complete the survey, while 2 declined participation subsequently. One participant was excluded due to error in her age computation. Out of the remaining 347 participants, 58 were excluded due to missing data in the questionnaire (**Figure**

1). Eventually, complete data of 289 participants were analysed. **Table 1** shows the demographics of participants and their levels of self-care score, behaviour, motivation, self-efficacy and health literacy.

Figure 1: Participant's recruitment flow

[see attached file for Figure 1]

Table 1. Demographics of Participants and Their Levels of Hypertension Self-Care Profile (HTN-SCP) scores, Behaviour, Motivation, Self-Efficacy and Health Literacy

	Frequency (%)
Total	289 (100.0)
CKD Status	
CKD 1	55 (19.0)
CKD 2	119 (41.2)
CKD 3	113 (39.1)
CKD 4	2 (0.7)
Gender	
Male	191 (66.1)
Female	98 (33.9)
Age (years)	
35-44	8 (2.8)
45-54	35 (12.1)
55-64	105 (36.3)
65-74	114 (39.4)
75-80	27 (9.3)
Race	
Chinese	173 (62.2)
Malay	86 (30.9)
Indian	19 (6.8)
Others	
Marital Status	
Single	11 (3.8)
Married	259 (89.6)
Widowed/Divorced / Separated	19 (6.6)
Education Level	
No formal education	3 (1.0)
Primary (or elementary level)	32 (11.1)
Secondary (Ordinary level or middle school)	161 (55.7)
Post-Secondary (Advance level / Diploma / high school)	67 (23.2)
University / Tertiary	26 (9.0)

Housing Type	
Rented Rooms / Apartment/ Public housing	28 (9.7)
1-3 Rooms	
Public housing 4-5 Rooms or Executive Condominium	181 (62.6)
Private Condominium/ Landed property	80 (27.7)
Household Income	
Below S\$5000*	200 (69.2)
S\$5000-<S\$11000	67 (23.2)
S\$11000-<S\$17000	17 (5.9)
S\$17000 and above	5 (1.7)
Any healthcare subsidy card	
Yes	238 (82.4)
No card	51 (17.6)
Hypertension Self-Care Profile Scores, Mean (SD)	
Self-management behaviour, Mean (SD)	55.3 (8.6)
Motivation, Mean (SD)	64.0 (9.3)
Self-efficacy, Mean (SD)	63.3 (8.7)
Health Literacy Index, Mean (SD)	
Health care, Mean (SD)	12.7 (2.0)
Disease Prevention, Mean (SD)	12.5 (2.2)
Health Promotion, Mean (SD)	12.8 (2.1)
Health Literacy	
Limited	90 (31.1)
Adequate	199 (68.9)

*S\$ Singapore dollar (approximately S\$1=USD \$0.74)

The average age of the participants was 63.7 years old (SD 8.7, range 35 to 79). A total of 66.1% (n=191) of participants were male, with 62.2% (n=173) Chinese, 30.9% (n=86) Malays and 6.8% (n=19) Indians. The bulk of the participants were at CKD stage 2 and 3 (80.3%), with none at stage 5 and only 2 were at CKD stage 4. The mean total HTN-SCP self-care score was 182.7 (SD 23.2), with a mean behavioural score of 55.3 (SD 8.6), mean motivation score was 64.0 (SD 9.3) and mean self-efficacy score of 63.3 (SD 8.7).

The mean health literacy score was 36.1 (SD 7.7). The mean scores of the 3 domains of health care, disease prevention and health promotion range from 12.5 to 12.8, with a SD of between

2.0 to 2.2. Some 31.1% had score of 33 and below, fulfilling the criteria for limited health literacy.

Self-Care Scores

Table 2 showed the baseline characteristics of study participants and their association with HTN-SCP self-care scores.

Table 2. Factors Affecting Self-Care Scores

	Hypertension Self-Care Profile score	p-value	Adjusted Beta (95% CI)	p-value
Total	182.7 (23.2)			
CKD Status		0.767		
CKD 1	183.1 (19.4)		-	-
CKD 2	182.9 (22.8)		-	-
CKD 3	181.9 (25.4)		-	-
CKD 4	199.0 (25.5)		-	-
Gender		0.039		
Male	180.6 (23.8)		-4.7 (-10.1-0.7)	0.087
Female	186.6 (21.7)		Ref	-
Age (years)		0.497		
35-44	171.5 (16.7)		-	-
45-54	179.7 (24.2)		-	-
55-64	184.6 (24.3)		-	-
65-74	183.0 (22.1)		-	-
75-80	180.6 (23.8)		-	-
Race		0.104		
Chinese	180.1 (23.9)		-6.6 (-16.9-3.7)	0.207
Malay	186.2 (22.0)		-2 (-12.8-8.8)	0.713
Indian	186.6 (23.9)		Ref	-
Others				
Marital Status		0.465		
Single	174.2 (19.7)		-	-
Married	182.9 (23.1)		-	-
Widowed/Divorced / Separated	183.7 (26.4)		-	-
Education Level		0.874		
No formal education	183.0 (10.1)		-	-
Primary (or elementary level)	183.4 (20.2)		-	-

Secondary (Ordinary level or middle school)	181.4 (24.2)		-	-
Post-Secondary (Advance level / Diploma / high school)	183.6 (24.0)		-	-
University / Tertiary	186.2 (20.7)			
Housing Type		0.399		
Rented Rooms / Apartment/ Public housing 1-3 Rooms	187.5 (22.1)		-	-
Public housing 4-5 Rooms or Executive Condominium	181.5 (23.1)		-	-
Private Condominium/ Landed property	183.6 (23.9)		-	-
Household Income		0.486		
Below S\$5000*	183.9 (22.8)		-	-
S\$5000-<S\$11000	179.0 (25.3)		-	-
S\$11000-<S\$17000	181.6 (21.5)		-	-
S\$17000 and above	187.0 (17.6)		-	-
Any subsidy card		0.477		
Yes	183.1 (22.9)		-	-
No card	180.5 (24.8)		-	-
Health Literacy		<0.001		
Limited	170.4 (24.4)		-17.4 (-22.8--11.9)	<0.001
Adequate	188.2 (20.5)		Ref	-

*S\$ Singapore dollar (approximately S\$1=USD \$0.74)

CKD disease stages, age, race, education, housing type and income were not significantly associated with self-care scores. Female gender was associated with higher total self-care score (mean=186.6, SD 21.7, compared with male, mean=180.6, SD 23.8, p=0.039). Limited health literacy was associated with lower self-care scores (mean=170.4, SD 24.4, compared with adequate health literacy, mean 188.2, SD 20.5, p<0.001). However, linear regression analysis showed that only limited health literacy was associated with lower self-care scores (adjusted Beta -17.4, 95% CI -22.8 to -11.9, p<0.001).

Table 3 shows the result of linear regression of health literacy, motivation and self-efficacy with behaviour. Limited health literacy was associated with self-efficacy (OR=-7.2, 95%CI=-9.1 to -5.2, p<0.001), motivation (OR=-6.1, 95%CI=-8.3 to -3.9), p<0.001) and behaviour (OR=-4.5, 95%CI=-6.6 to -2.4, p<0.001).

Table 3. Linear Regression of Health literacy on outcome

	Behaviour		Motivation		Self-efficacy	
	Beta (95% CI)	p-value	Beta (95% CI)	p-value	Beta (95% CI)	p-value
Health Literacy						
Limited	-4.5 (-6.6--2.4)	<0.001	-6.1 (-8.3--3.9)	<0.001	-7.2 (-9.1--5.2)	<0.001
Adequate	Ref	-	Ref	-	Ref	-

Discussion

This study reports the levels of self-care and health literacy amongst participants with CKD in primary care in Singapore. To the best of our knowledge, this was the first time such data has been reported.

It would be difficult to compare self-care levels across populations without considering various factors like measurement instrument used. In another local primary care study by Wee YMS et al⁴¹ in 2016/17 using the same instrument, the self-care score was reported as 190 (SD 28) while the behaviour domain score was 58 (SD 9). These scores were higher than that found in this study. However, the proportion of participants with CKD and related complications was not reported by Wee YMS et al⁴¹. In this study, participants have established complications and may have more complex self-care activities to perform. Given that the two studies were conducted in the same setting and measured using the same instrument, the self-care scores for CKD patients were postulated to be lower than that of patients with essential hypertension alone. Another factor that could have played a part was the distribution of the races in the two

studies. The proportion of Malays were higher in this study (30.9% in this study, compared to 9.5% in Wee et al) and lower in Indians (6.8% in this study, compared to 19.2% in Wee et al). However, both studies were cross-sectional studies and it would be difficult to establish the temporal relationship of whether lower self-care and behaviour scores amongst participants with hypertension resulted in higher incidence of CKD.

In this study, self-care amongst patients with CKD was not associated with CKD stage, age, income status or education status. In contrast, Tsai YC et al⁴² found that older patients had better self-care behaviour while younger ones had better disease knowledge. The same study reported self-care behaviour increased as renal function deteriorated. The lack of association in this study could be due to the (primary care) setting and participant demographics of this study. Majority of the participants (80.3%) had CKD 2 or 3 in this study while 75.7% were between 55 to 74 years-old. The only significant association with low self-care score from this study was with limited health literacy. This suggest that health literacy is a prerequisite for patients to acquire the relevant knowledge and to apply the acquired knowledge in their own behaviour⁴³.

The mean health literacy index in our study was 36.1, even as 87.9% of the participants had secondary (ordinary level or middle school) and above education. The proportion of participants with limited health literacy in this study was almost one-third. Using a different health literacy instrument, Asharani et al⁴⁴ reported a 19.5% limited health literacy in a nationwide survey on diabetes in Singapore. Pooled prevalence of limited health literacy amongst US patients with CKD not on dialysis was 25%⁴⁵, while that for UK was 22.7% (range of 9 to 32%)⁴⁶, whereas that for Taiwan was reported to be 53.4%⁴³. This study placed the limited health literacy proportion amongst patients with CKD in Singapore slightly below that of US and UK, while better than that for Taiwan.

The association between health literacy and the 3 domains of self-care namely behaviour, self-efficacy and motivation were consistent with findings in other studies⁴⁷. The association between health literacy and self-efficacy was particularly strong and further expand the association roadmap that may potentially influence self-care positively. One way of looking at improving self-care could be to improve health literacy, aiming to translate improved health literacy to better self-care behaviour. The association between health literacy and self-efficacy, another domain of self-care, could suggest that through improving patient self-confidence, self-care can also improve⁴⁸. Systemic review of evidence suggested that lifestyle modifications targeting at diet and physical activities that were anchored on education *and* modelling of behavioural change through patient confidence-building have been shown to result in significant improvement in outcomes amongst CKD patients⁴⁹.

This study has implications for local primary care practice. Much more can be done in clinical practice to identify the 1-in-3 patients with CKD and limited health literacy to improve their health literacy. In our local setting, each public sector clinic is supported with a CKD coordinator to help in patient education. This service, however, was often underutilised. In addition to patient education, the CKD coordinator could be upskilled with coaching skills to support patient self-confidence and behavioural change.

Beyond physician or clinic level efforts, perhaps a more systematic or programme-based approach towards patients with CKD to improve health literacy could be more effective, complementing system efforts like the HALT-CKD programme to improve clinical outcomes. These efforts could focus beyond behavioural change from improving health literacy but also to work on improving patient self-efficacy and motivation. This would be a more holistic approach to improving self-care for patients with CKD.

This study has its limitations. Other parameters which may affect self-care included perceived health status⁵⁰, anxiety⁵¹, depression⁵², compliance⁵³ and family (or social) support^{54,55,56} have

not been examined. Patient well-being and patient activation, defined as patients' understanding, competence and willingness to participate in care decisions have also been identified as associated with higher self-efficacy⁵⁷. These two factors were not covered in this study.

Conclusion

One-third of the participants have limited health literacy. Self-care was not associated with age, gender, CKD status, household income or education. Limited health literacy was associated with self-care, with strongest association with self-efficacy, followed by motivation and behaviour. More targeted approach can be adopted to improve self-care and health literacy amongst patients with CKD.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the study participants, research assistants and staff at SingHealth Polyclinic Research Department for their support of this project.

Funding Support

This study was supported by SingHealth Polyclinics Research Support Programme under Seed Funding. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

-
- ¹ Hill NR, Fatoba ST, Oke JL, Hirst JA, O'Callaghan CA, Lasserson DS et al. Global Prevalence of Chronic Kidney Disease - A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *PLoS One*. 2016 Jul 6;11(7):e0158765. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0158765. PMID: 27383068; PMCID: PMC4934905.
 - ² GBD Chronic Kidney Disease Collaboration. Global, regional, and national burden of chronic kidney disease, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *The Lancet* 2020 Feb 29; 395: 709-33.
 - ³ Jager KJ, Fraser SDS. The ascending rank of chronic kidney disease in the global burden of disease study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2017 Apr 1;32(suppl_2):ii121-ii128. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfw330. PMID: 28201666.
 - ⁴ National Registry of Diseases Office. Singapore Renal Registry Report annual-report-2017. 20 Aug 2019
 - ⁵ Wong LY, Liew AST, Weng WT, Lim CK, Vathsala A, Toh MPHS. Projecting the Burden of Chronic Kidney Disease in a Developed Country and Its Implications on Public Health. *Int J Nephrol*. 2018 Jul 4;2018:5196285. doi: 10.1155/2018/5196285. PMID: 30112209; PMCID: PMC6077589.
 - ⁶ NEMO or Nephrology, Evaluation, Management and Optimisation Programme. Launched by the Singapore National University Hospital and National Healthcare Group Polyclinics.
 - ⁷ Kwek JL, Kee TYS. World Kidney Day 2020: Advances in Preventive Nephrology. *Ann Acad Med Singap*. 2020 Apr;49(4):175-179. PMID: 32419005.
 - ⁸ HALT-CKD: Holistic Approach in Lowering and Tracking Chronic Kidney Disease. <https://www.moh.gov.sg/news-highlights/details/speech-by-mr-chan-heng-kee-permanent-secretary-ministry-of-health-at-the-3rd-kidney-care-conference-2019-25-may-2019>
 - ⁹ Speech by Minister of State for Health, Dr Lam Pin Min, at the MOH Committee of Supply Debate 2017, delivered on 9th Mar 2017. <https://www.moh.gov.sg/news-highlights/details/speech-by-minister-of-state-for-health-dr-lam-pin-min-at-the-moh-committee-of-supply-debate-2017>
 - ¹⁰ Speech by Mr Chan Heng Kee, Permanent Secretary, Ministry of Health, at the 3rd Kidney Care Conference 2019, 25 May 2019. <https://www.moh.gov.sg/news-highlights/details/speech-by-mr-chan-heng-kee-permanent-secretary-ministry-of-health-at-the-3rd-kidney-care-conference-2019-25-may-2019>

-
- ¹¹ Hwang SJ, Tan NC, Yoon S, Ramakrishnan C, Paulpandi M, Gun S et al. Perceived barriers and facilitators to chronic kidney disease care among patients in Singapore: a qualitative study. *BMJ Open*. 2020 Oct 16;10(10):e041788. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-041788. PMID: 33067304; PMCID: PMC7569996.
- ¹² Mason J, Khunti K, Stone M, Farooqi A, Carr S. Educational interventions in kidney disease care: a systematic review of randomized trials. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2008 Jun;51(6):933-51. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2008.01.024. Epub 2008 Apr 28. PMID: 18440681.
- ¹³ Johnson ML, Zimmerman L, Welch JL, Hertzog M, Pozehl B, Plumb T. Patient activation with knowledge, self-management and confidence in chronic kidney disease. *J Ren Care*. 2016 Mar;42(1):15-22. doi: 10.1111/jorc.12142. Epub 2015 Nov 5. PMID: 26537188.
- ¹⁴ Lin MY, Liu MF, Hsu LF, Tsai PS. Effects of self-management on chronic kidney disease: A meta-analysis. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2017 Sep; 74:128-137. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2017.06.008. Epub 2017 Jun 21. PMID: 28689160.
- ¹⁵ Lopez-Vargas PA, Tong A, Howell M, Craig JC. Educational Interventions for Patients With CKD: A Systematic Review. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2016 Sep;68(3):353-70. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2016.01.022. Epub 2016 Mar 26. PMID: 27020884.
- ¹⁶ Taylor DM, Fraser S, Dudley C, Oniscu GC, Tomson C, Ramanan R, et al; ATTOM investigators. Health literacy and patient outcomes in chronic kidney disease: a systematic review. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2018 Sep 1;33(9):1545-1558. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfx293. PMID: 29165627.
- ¹⁷ Wright-Nunes JA, Luther JM, Ikizler TA, Cavanaugh KL. Patient knowledge of blood pressure target is associated with improved blood pressure control in chronic kidney disease. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2012 Aug;88(2):184-8. doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2012.02.015. Epub 2012 Mar 27. PMID: 22459637; PMCID: PMC3404190.
- ¹⁸ Chen SH, Tsai YF, Sun CY, Wu IW, Lee CC, Wu MS. The impact of self-management support on the progression of chronic kidney disease--a prospective randomized controlled trial. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2011 Nov;26(11):3560-6. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfr047. Epub 2011 Mar 17. PMID: 21414969.
- ¹⁹ Narva AS, Norton JM, Boulware LE. Educating Patients about CKD: The Path to Self-Management and Patient-Centered Care. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2016 Apr 7;11(4):694-703. doi: 10.2215/CJN.07680715. Epub 2015 Nov 4. PMID: 26536899; PMCID: PMC4822666.

-
- ²⁰ Mackey LM, Doody C, Werner EL, Fullen B. Self-Management Skills in Chronic Disease Management: What Role Does Health Literacy Have? *Med Decis Making*. 2016 Aug;36(6):741-59. doi: 10.1177/0272989X16638330. Epub 2016 Apr 6. PMID: 27053527.
- ²¹ Rajah R, Hassali MAA, Murugiah MK. A systematic review of the prevalence of limited health literacy in Southeast Asian countries. *Public Health*. 2019 Feb;167:8-15. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.2018.09.028. Epub 2018 Dec 10. PMID: 30544041.
- ²² Duong TV, Aringazina A, Baisunova G, Nurjanah, Pham TV, Pham KM et al. Measuring health literacy in Asia: Validation of the HLS-EU-Q47 survey tool in six Asian countries. *J Epidemiol*. 2017 Feb;27(2):80-86. doi: 10.1016/j.je.2016.09.005. Epub 2016 Dec 26. PMID: 28142016; PMCID: PMC5328731.
- ²³ Paasche-Orlow MK, Wolf MS. The causal pathways linking health literacy to health outcomes. *Am J Health Behav*. 2007 Sep-Oct;31 Suppl 1:S19-26. doi: 10.5555/ajhb.2007.31.suppl.S19. PMID: 17931132.
- ²⁴ Lee YJ, Shin SJ, Wang RH, Lin KD, Lee YL, Wang YH. Pathways of empowerment perceptions, health literacy, self-efficacy, and self-care behaviors to glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2016 Feb;99(2):287-94. doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2015.08.021. Epub 2015 Sep 2. PMID: 26341940.
- ²⁵ Yao J, Wang H, Yin X, Yin J, Guo X, Sun Q. The association between self-efficacy and self-management behaviors among Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes. *PLoS One*. 2019 Nov 11;14(11):e0224869. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0224869. PMID: 31710631; PMCID: PMC6844544.
- ²⁶ Tan FCJH, Oka P, Dambha-Miller H, Tan NC. The association between self-efficacy and self-care in essential hypertension: a systematic review. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2021 Feb 22;22(1):44. doi: 10.1186/s12875-021-01391-2. PMID: 33618661; PMCID: PMC7901221
- ²⁷ Britt E, Hudson SM, Blampied NM. Motivational interviewing in health settings: a review. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2004 May;53(2):147-55. doi: 10.1016/S0738-3991(03)00141-1. PMID: 15140454.
- ²⁸ Resnick B, Shaughnessy M, Galik E, Scheve A, Fitten R, Morrison T et al. Pilot testing of the PRAISEDD intervention among African American and low-income older adults. *J Cardiovasc Nurs*. 2009 Sep-Oct;24(5):352-61. doi: 10.1097/JCN.0b013e3181ac0301. PMID: 19652618.
- ²⁹ Britt E, Hudson SM, Blampied NM. Motivational interviewing in health settings: a review. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2004 May;53(2):147-55. doi: 10.1016/S0738-3991(03)00141-1. PMID: 15140454.

-
- ³⁰ Han HR, Lee H, Commodore-Mensah Y, Kim M. Development and validation of the Hypertension Self-care Profile: a practical tool to measure hypertension self-care. *J Cardiovasc Nurs*. 2014 May-Jun;29(3):E11-20. doi: 10.1097/JCN.0b013e3182a3fd46. PMID: 24088621; PMCID: PMC3972381.
- ³¹ Singapore Renal Registry Annual Report 2015. National Registry of Diseases Office (NRDO), 21 Dec 2016. Health Promotion Board Singapore.
- ³² Teo BW, Chan GC, Leo CCH, Tay JC, Chia YC, Siddique S et al. Hypertension and chronic kidney disease in Asian populations. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)*. 2021 Mar;23(3):475-480. doi: 10.1111/jch.14188. Epub 2021 Feb 4. PMID: 33538081; PMCID: PMC8029545.
- ³³ Wang SL, Chiu YW, Kung LF, Chen TH, Hsiao SM, Hsiao PN et al. Patient assessment of chronic kidney disease self-care using the chronic kidney disease self-care scale in Taiwan. *Nephrology (Carlton)*. 2019 Jun;24(6):615-621. doi: 10.1111/nep.13475. Epub 2019 Apr 24. PMID: 30129210.
- ³⁴ Devraj R, Borrego ME, Vilay AM, Palden J, Horowitz B. Awareness, self-management behaviors, health literacy and kidney function relationships in specialty practice. *World J Nephrol*. 2018 Jan 6;7(1):41-50. doi: 10.5527/wjn.v7.i1.41. PMID: 29359119; PMCID: PMC5760511.
- ³⁵ Smekal MD, Donald M, Beanlands H, Straus S, Herrington G, Waldvogel B, et al. Development and Preliminary Psychometric Testing of an Adult Chronic Kidney Disease Self-Management (CKD-SM) Questionnaire. *Can J Kidney Health Dis*. 2021 Dec 12;8:20543581211063981. doi: 10.1177/20543581211063981. PMID: 34925864; PMCID: PMC8671825.
- ³⁶ Koh YLE, Lua YHA, Hong L, Bong HSS, Yeo LSJ, Tsang LPM et al. Using a Web-Based Approach to Assess Test-Retest Reliability of the "Hypertension Self-Care Profile" Tool in an Asian Population: A Validation Study. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2016 Mar;95(9):e2955. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000002955. PMID: 26945410; PMCID: PMC4782894.
- ³⁷ Sørensen K, Van den Broucke S, Fullam J, Doyle G, Pelikan J, Slonska Z et al; (HLS-EU) Consortium Health Literacy Project European. Health literacy and public health: a systematic review and integration of definitions and models. *BMC Public Health*. 2012 Jan 25;12:80. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-12-80. PMID: 22276600; PMCID: PMC3292515.
- ³⁸ Duong TV, Aringazina A, Baisunova G, Nurjanah, Pham TV, Pham KM et al. Measuring health literacy in Asia: Validation of the HLS-EU-Q47 survey tool in six Asian countries. *J Epidemiol*. 2017 Feb;27(2):80-86. doi: 10.1016/j.je.2016.09.005. Epub 2016 Dec 26. PMID: 28142016; PMCID: PMC5328731.

-
- ³⁹ Tan Ngiap Chuan, Eileen Koh Yi Ling, Sabrina Wee. Assessment and Stratification of Self-Care Profile in Patients with Essential Hypertension. *Singapore Medical Journal*. 2021 Oct 31. doi: 10.11622/smedj.2021181. Online ahead of print.
- ⁴⁰ Abd-Rahim SNH, Mohamed-Yassin MS, Abdul-Razak S, Isa MR, Baharudin N. The Prevalence of Limited Health Literacy and Its Associated Factors among Elderly Patients Attending an Urban Academic Primary Care Clinic in Malaysia. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021 Aug 27;18(17):9044. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18179044. PMID: 34501632; PMCID: PMC8430857.
- ⁴¹ Wee SY, Salim H, Mawardi M, Koh YLE, Ali H, Shariff Ghazali S, et al. Comparing and determining factors associated with hypertension self-care profiles of patients in two multi-ethnic Asian countries: cross-sectional studies between two study populations. *BMJ Open*. 2021 Jun 14;11(6):e044192. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044192. PMID: 34127489; PMCID: PMC8204178.
- ⁴² Tsai YC, Wang SL, Tsai HJ, Chen TH, Kung LF, Hsiao PN et al. The interaction between self-care behavior and disease knowledge on the decline in renal function in chronic kidney disease. *Sci Rep*. 2021 Jan 11;11(1):401. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-79873-z. PMID: 33432037; PMCID: PMC7801646.
- ⁴³ Yu PS, Tsai YC, Chiu YW, Hsiao PN, Lin MY, Chen TH et al. The Relationship between Subtypes of Health Literacy and Self-Care Behavior in Chronic Kidney Disease. *J Pers Med*. 2021 May 22;11(6):447. doi: 10.3390/jpm11060447. PMID: 34067267; PMCID: PMC8224639.
- ⁴⁴ Asharani PV, Lau JH, Roystonn K, Devi F, Peizhi W, Shafie S, et al. Health Literacy and Diabetes Knowledge: A Nationwide Survey in a Multi-Ethnic Population. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021 Sep 3;18(17):9316. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18179316. PMID: 34501905; PMCID: PMC8431510.
- ⁴⁵ Taylor DM, Fraser SDS, Bradley JA, Bradley C, Draper H, Metcalfe W, et al. ATTOM investigators. A Systematic Review of the Prevalence and Associations of Limited Health Literacy in CKD. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2017 Jul 7;12(7):1070-1084. doi: 10.2215/CJN.12921216. Epub 2017 May 9. PMID: 28487346; PMCID: PMC5498363.
- ⁴⁶ Fraser SD, Roderick PJ, Casey M, Taal MW, Yuen HM, Nutbeam D. Prevalence and associations of limited health literacy in chronic kidney disease: a systematic review. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2013 Jan;28(1):129-37. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfs371. Epub 2012 Dec 4. PMID: 23222414.
- ⁴⁷ Suarilah I, Lin CC. Factors influencing self-management among Indonesian patients with early-stage chronic kidney disease: A cross-sectional study. *J Clin Nurs*. 2021 Aug 17. doi: 10.1111/jocn.15930. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 34405484.

-
- ⁴⁸ Berens EM, Pelikan JM, Schaeffer D. The effect of self-efficacy on health literacy in the German population. *Health Promot Int*. 2022 Feb 17;37(1):daab085. doi: 10.1093/heapro/daab085. PMID: 34115848.
- ⁴⁹ Evangelidis N, Craig J, Bauman A, Manera K, Saglimbene V, Tong A. Lifestyle behaviour change for preventing the progression of chronic kidney disease: a systematic review. *BMJ Open*. 2019 Oct 28;9(10):e031625. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-031625. PMID: 31662393; PMCID: PMC6830616.
- ⁵⁰ Kim MY, Lee EJ. Factors Affecting Self-Care Behaviour Levels among Elderly Patients with Type 2 Diabetes: A Quantile Regression Approach. *Medicina (Kaunas)*. 2019 Jul 4;55(7):340. doi: 10.3390/medicina55070340. PMID: 31277522; PMCID: PMC6681195.
- ⁵¹ Park SK. Factors affecting self-care behaviour in Koreans with COPD. *Appl Nurs Res*. 2017 Dec;38:29-37. doi: 10.1016/j.apnr.2017.09.003. Epub 2017 Sep 6. PMID: 29241516.
- ⁵² Chuang HW, Kao CW, Lin WS, Chang YC. Factors Affecting Self-care Maintenance and Management in Patients With Heart Failure: Testing a Path Model. *J Cardiovasc Nurs*. 2019 Jul/Aug;34(4):297-305. doi: 10.1097/JCN.0000000000000575. PMID: 31033857.
- ⁵³ AlHadlaq RK, Swarelzahab MM, AlSaad SZ, AlHadlaq AK, Almasari SM, Alsuwayt SS, Alomari NA. Factors affecting self-management of hypertensive patients attending family medicine clinics in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. *J Family Med Prim Care*. 2019 Dec 10;8(12):4003-4009. doi: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_752_19. PMID: 31879650; PMCID: PMC6924233.
- ⁵⁴ Lee E, Park E. Self-care behavior and related factors in older patients with uncontrolled hypertension. *Contemp Nurse*. 2017 Dec;53(6):607-621. doi: 10.1080/10376178.2017.1368401. Epub 2017 Aug 29. PMID: 28831843.
- ⁵⁵ Geboers B, de Winter AF, Spoorenberg SL, Wynia K, Reijneveld SA. The association between health literacy and self-management abilities in adults aged 75 and older, and its moderators. *Qual Life Res*. 2016;25(11):2869-2877. doi:10.1007/s11136-016-1298-2
- ⁵⁶ Wong KK, Velasquez A, Powe NR, Tuot DS. Association between health literacy and self-care behaviors among patients with chronic kidney disease. *BMC Nephrol*. 2018 Aug 6;19(1):196. doi: 10.1186/s12882-018-0988-0. PMID: 30081951; PMCID: PMC6091174.
- ⁵⁷ Grønning K, Bratås O, Steinsbekk A. Which Factors Influence Self-Efficacy in Patients with Chronic Inflammatory Polyarthritis? *Musculoskeletal Care*. 2016 Jun;14(2):77-86. doi: 10.1002/msc.1114. Epub 2015 Aug 26. PMID: 26311320.




Figure 1: Participants recruitment flow