1	Descriptive epidemiology of cardiorespiratory fitness in UK adults: The Fenland Study.
2	Tomas I. Gonzales, Kate Westgate, Stefanie Hollidge, Tim Lindsay, Katrien Wijndaele, Nita
3	G. Forouhi, Simon Griffin, Nick Wareham, Soren Brage*
4	
5	MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
6	
-	
/	
8	Short title: Cardiorespiratory fitness: The Fenland Study
9	
10	
11	
12	*Corresponding author: Soren Brage, MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge,
13	School of Clinical Medicine, Box 285, Institute of Metabolic Science, Cambridge Biomedical
14	Campus, Cambridge CB22 0QQ, UK, Email: Soren.brage@mrc-epid.cam.ac.uk, Phone: +44
15	(0) 1223 769116, Fax: +44 (0) 1223 330316
16	
17	
18	
10	
13	
20	
21	WOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

22 ABSTRACT

23 Background

24 Cardiorespiratory fitness is rarely measured in population studies. Most studies of fitness do

25 not examine differences by population subgroups or seasonal trends.

26 *Methods*

27 We used a validated submaximal exercise test to measure fitness in 5976 women and 5316

28 men, residing in England. We expressed fitness as maximal oxygen consumption per

29 kilogram total body mass (VO₂max_{tbm}) and fat free mass (VO₂max_{ffm}). Descriptive statistics

30 were computed across anthropometric and sociodemographic characteristics, as well as

31 across the year. Progressive multivariable analyses were performed to examine mediation by

32 physical activity energy expenditure (PAEE) and BMI.

33 **Results**

34 Mean±SD VO₂max_{tbm} was lower in women $(35.4\pm7.6 \text{ ml}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1})$ than men $(42.1\pm7.4$

35 ml·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹) but VO₂max_{ffm} was similar (women: 59.7 \pm 11.8 ml·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹; men: 62.5 \pm 10.4

 $ml \cdot min^{-1} \cdot kg^{-1}$). Fitness was inversely associated with age but not after adjustment for PAEE.

37 People in more physically demanding jobs were fitter compared to those in sedentary jobs but

this association was attenuated in women and reversed in men following adjustment for total

39 PAEE. PAEE and BMI and were positively associated with fitness at all levels of adjustment

40 when fitness was expressed relative to fat-free mass. Fitness during summer was 4% higher

41 than the winter among women, but did not differ by season among men.

42 Conclusions

Fitness was inversely associated with age but less steeply than anticipated, suggesting older
generations are comparatively fitter than younger generations. PAEE and BMI were stronger

- 45 determinants of the variance in fitness than any other characteristic including age. This
- 46 emphasizes the importance of modifiable physical activity behaviours in public health
- 47 interventions.

48 KEYWORDS

49 Cardiorespiratory fitness, sociodemographic, physical activity, energy expenditure, aging

50 KEY MESSAGES

- Fitness was inversely associated with age but less steeply than anticipated, suggesting
- 52 older generations are comparatively fitter than younger generations
- Relationships between cardiorespiratory fitness and sociodemographic characteristics
- 54 were primarily mediated by physical activity.
- A one standard deviation difference in physical activity had the same impact on
- 56 cardiorespiratory fitness as being 25 years younger

57 INTRODUCTION

Cardiorespiratory fitness (referred to hereafter as `fitness') is inversely related to 58 mortality and cardiometabolic disease risk ^{1–5} but is not widely recognised as a clinical vital 59 sign in the UK. Most UK primary care providers do not routinely measure fitness, and only a 60 few epidemiological studies have documented fitness levels in UK population subgroups. The 61 Welsh Heart Health Survey ⁶ and Tuxworth et al ⁷ are the earliest epidemiological studies of 62 fitness in UK adults. The Allied Dunbar National Fitness Survey was the first to establish 63 normative fitness data for the UK population⁸. These data were extended by the Northern 64 Ireland Health and Activity Survey ⁹ and the 2008 Health Survey for England ¹⁰. The above 65 studies have several strengths: they use dynamic exercise testing to measure differences in 66 fitness levels by anthropometric characteristics. Exercise test selection bias limits 67 generalisability of their findings to the UK population, however, and data on relationships 68 between fitness, sociodemographic characteristics, and clinical characteristics are scarce. It is 69 also unclear how these relationships may be mediated through modifiable behaviours, such as 70 physical activity. These limitations impede public health action for improved fitness in the 71 population. Here we examine how fitness levels vary by anthropometric, sociodemographic, 72 and behavioural characteristics in a population-based cohort of UK adults (the Fenland 73 74 Study).

75 METHODS

76 Study population

The Fenland Study included 12 435 participants born between 1950 and 1975 and
recruited from general practice lists around Cambridgeshire, UK from January 2005 until
April 2015, as described in more detail elsewhere ¹¹. Exclusion criteria for participation in
the Fenland study were prevalent diabetes, pregnancy or lactation, inability to walk unaided

81 for at least 10 minutes, psychosis, or terminal illness. Participant eligibility for exercise testing was assessed using a 12-lead resting ECG (Seca CT6i), excluding those presenting 82 83 with unstable angina. The present analysis included 5976 women and 5316 men with 84 available data on fitness. The Health Research Authority NRES Committee East of England-Cambridge Central approved the study in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All 85 participants gave written informed consent. The Fenland Study has a dedicated Patient and 86 87 Public Involvement panel, who provided input on the acceptability of the study protocols and participant data confidentiality. This study complied with the items listed in the 88 89 Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines. 90

91 Anthropometric, sociodemographic, clinical characteristics, and physical activity

During the Fenland clinic visit, height was measured with a rigid stadiometer (SECA 92 240; Seca, Birmingham, UK). Total body mass was measured in light clothing with calibrated 93 scales (TANITA model BC-418 MA; Tanita, Tokyo, Japan). Fat-free mass was measured 94 using dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA; Lunar-DPX)¹². Self-report questionnaires 95 96 were used to determine sociodemographic characteristics, including participant sex, age, ethnicity (White, South Asian, Black, East Asian, other or unknown), education level (basic -97 compulsory schooling, further - A level/apprenticeship/sub-degree level, higher - degree level 98 99 or above), work type (sedentary, standing, manual, retired, unemployed, unknown), annual household income level (<£20 000, £20 000 - £40 000, >£40 000), marital status (single, 100 married/living as married, widowed/separated/divorced), smoking status (never, ex-smoker, 101 102 current), and testing location (Cambridge, Ely, Wisbech). Resting heart rate was measured while supine using a 12-lead ECG (Seca CT6i). Following the clinic visit, objective physical 103 activity was assessed using a combined heart rate and uniaxial movement sensor (Actiheart, 104

105	CamNtech, Cambridge, UK), worn continuously for at least 72 hours and at most 6 days.
106	Heart rate was individually calibrated ¹³ and total physical activity energy expenditure
107	(PAEE) was computed for the wear period as described and validated elsewhere ^{11,14} .

108 Cardiorespiratory fitness assessment

We used an incremental, multistage, and submaximal treadmill test to estimate fitness 109 as maximal oxygen consumption (VO₂max). A diagram and description of the testing 110 procedure is provided in Supplemental Figure 1. Heart rate was monitored and recorded 111 during testing using the combined heart rate and movement sensor (Actiheart, CamNtech, 112 Cambridge, UK)¹⁵. The test ended if one of the following criteria were satisfied: 1) levelling-113 off of heart rate (<3bpm per min) despite an increase in work rate; 2) reaching 90% of the 114 participant's age-predicated maximal heart rate ¹⁶: 3) exercising above 80% of age-predicted 115 max heart rate for over 2 minutes; 4) heart rate reaches 90% of the age-predicted maximal 116 value 5) a respiratory exchange ratio of 1.1 is reached; 6) the participant wanted to stop; 7) 117 participant indication of angina, light-headedness, or nausea; or 8) failure of the testing 118 equipment. For participants on beta blockers, the test was terminated after 5 minutes. 119

To estimate VO₂max per kg total body mass (VO₂max_{tbm}) from exercise test 120 performance, we extrapolated the linear relationship between heart rate and work rate ¹⁷ to 121 age-predicted maximal heart rate ¹⁶, converted the extrapolated work rate value to net VO₂ 122 using a caloric equivalent for oxygen ¹⁸, and then added an estimate of resting energy 123 expenditure ¹⁹. A substudy was conducted to validate this approach against directly measured 124 125 VO₂max, demonstrating acceptable agreement (see Supplemental Methods and Results). VO₂max per kg fat-free mass (VO₂max_{ffm}) was estimated by multiplying estimated 126 VO₂max_{tbm} values by total body mass and dividing by fat-free mass. 127

128 Statistical analyses

Descriptive statistics were computed across BMI groups and sociodemographic characteristics by sex and age stratified groups. Cuzick's test ²⁰ was performed to test for trend across participant characteristics. Differences in fitness by age, BMI groups (<25, 25 to 30, and >30 kg·m⁻²) and PAEE groups (<40, 40 to 60, and >60 kJ·day⁻¹ ·kg⁻¹) were visualised using boxplots. Univariate associations of fitness with age, BMI, and PAEE were computed as Pearson's r; bivariate relationships were investigated using linear regression.

We used sex-stratified and sequentially-adjusted multivariable linear regression to 135 evaluate associations between fitness and sociodemographic characteristics (Model 1) with 136 137 additional adjustment for PAEE (Model 2) and BMI (Model 3). The season of the year when fitness was measured was considered in these analyses by including two orthogonal sine 138 functions in the regression model: "Winter" peaking at 1 on January 1st and reaching a 139 minimum of -1 on July 1st, and "Spring" peaking at 1 on April 1st and reaching a minimum of 140 -1 on October 1st. Seasonal trends were further described on a monthly basis using a binned 141 142 regression procedure, controlling for seasonal variation in the measurement of sociodemographic characteristics ²¹. All analyses were performed in Stata/SE 16.1 143 (StataCorp, Texas, USA). Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. 144

145 **RESULTS**

In women, mean \pm SD VO₂max_{tbm} was 35.4 \pm 7.6 ml O₂·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹ and VO₂max_{ffm} was 59.7 \pm 11.8 ml O₂·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹. In men, VO₂max_{tbm} was 42.1 \pm 7.4 ml O₂·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹ and VO₂max_{ffm} was 62.5 \pm 10.4 ml O₂·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹. Per five years, VO₂max_{tbm} was lower on average by 0.2 ml O₂·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹ in women and by 0.3 ml O₂·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ in men. VO₂max_{ffm} was not associated with age in women, however in men VO₂max_{ffm} was on average 0.05 ml O₂·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹ lower per five years. Trends for other characteristics are reported in Table 1.

152 Figure 1 shows differences in VO₂max_{tbm} and VO₂max_{ffm} by age, BMI groups, and PAEE groups. The association of fitness with age, BMI, and PAEE was strongest when 153 expressed as VO₂max_{tbm} compared to VO₂max_{ffm}. We investigated this further by conducting 154 univariate and bivariate analyses of VO₂max_{tbm} and VO₂max_{ffm} with BMI, PAEE, and age 155 (Supplemental Figures 2 and 3). The association of VO₂max_{tbm} with PAEE (Pearson's r for 156 women: 0.39; men: r: 0.39) was higher than associations with BMI (Pearson's r for women: -157 0.34; men: -0.23) and age (Pearson's r for women: -0.06; men: -0.11). The combination of 158 BMI and PAEE explained more variance in VO₂max_{tbm} (20% for women, 18% for men) than 159 160 bivariate combinations with age. For VO₂max_{ffm}, univariate and bivariate analyses had weaker associations and less explained variance than analogous results for VO₂max_{tbm}. 161 Unadjusted mean VO₂max_{tbm} values, stratified by sex and sociodemographic 162 characteristics, are provided in Table 2. VO₂max_{tbm} was generally higher in women with 163 more educational attainment (Higher education: 36.2 ± 7.3 ml O₂·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹; No education: 164 $34.6 \pm 8.6 \text{ ml } O_2 \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$), but did not differ by education in men (Higher education: $42.1 \pm$ 165 7.2 ml O_2 ·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹; No education: 42.4 ± 6.9 ml O_2 ·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹). Workers in more physically 166 demanding jobs (Female manual workers: $36.4 \pm 8.3 \text{ ml } O_2 \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$; male manual workers: 167 $42.7 \pm 7.9 \text{ ml } O_2 \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$) were fitter than those in sedentary jobs (Female sedentary 168 workers: $35.1 \pm 7.2 \text{ ml } \text{O}_2 \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$; male sedentary workers: $41.8 \pm 7.0 \text{ ml } \text{O}_2 \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$). 169 Current smokers had higher VO₂max_{tbm} (Female smokers: 38.1 ± 8.5 ml O₂·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹; male 170 smokers: $44.2 \pm 8.1 \text{ ml } O_2 \cdot \text{min}^{-1} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$) than non-smokers (Female non-smokers: $35.0 \pm 7.4 \text{ ml}$ 171 $O_2 \cdot \min^{-1} \cdot kg^{-1}$; male non-smokers: $41.8 \pm 7.2 \text{ ml } O_2 \cdot \min^{-1} \cdot kg^{-1}$). VO₂max_{tbm} differed by 172 173 ethnicity, however sample sizes were disproportionate between whites and other racial and ethnic groups (See Supplemental Tables 1 and 2). VO₂max_{tbm} differences were not apparent 174 175 between income levels, marital status, and testing sites. Analogous results for VO₂max_{ffm} are 176 presented in Table 3.

177	Sequentially adjusted multivariable analyses of associations between VO ₂ max _{tbm} and
178	sociodemographic characteristics are reported in Table 2. Age was still inversely associated
179	with VO ₂ max _{tbm} but was attenuated to the null with adjustment for PAEE. Occupation type
180	was not associated with differences in VO_2max_{tbm} among women after adjustment. Among
181	men, VO ₂ max _{tbm} was lower in manual workers (-0.9 ml O ₂ ·min ⁻¹ ·kg ⁻¹ , 95% CI: -1.4 to -0.4),
182	retirees (-1.5 ml $O_2 \cdot min^{-1} \cdot kg^{-1}$, 95% CI: -2.8 to -0.1), and the unemployed (-2.0 ml $O_2 \cdot min^{-1}$
183	1 ·kg ⁻¹ , 95% CI: -3.7 to -0.3) relative to sedentary workers. Current smokers still had higher
184	VO_2max_{tbm} (women: 2.2 ml $O_2 \cdot min^{-1} \cdot kg^{-1}$, 95% CI: 1.6 to 2.8; men: 1.3 ml $O_2 \cdot min^{-1} \cdot kg^{-1}$,
185	95% CI: -0.7 to 1.9) relative to non-smokers. VO ₂ max _{tbm} did not differ by education level,
186	income, and marital status in both women and men after adjustment. Table 3 presents
187	analogous results for VO_2max_{ffm} . The direction and magnitude of differences in VO_2max_{ffm}
188	across sociodemographic factors were largely similar to those found for VO ₂ max _{tbm} , however
189	Black men had lower VO ₂ max _{ffm} (-7.2 ml O ₂ ·min ⁻¹ ·kg ⁻¹ , 95% CI: -11.0 to -3.4) relative to
190	White men.

Women tested in the winter had lower VO₂max_{tbm} and VO₂max_{ffm} than those tested at other times of the year. Seasonal variation in VO₂max_{tbm} and VO₂max_{ffm} was not observed in men after adjustment for PAEE. To investigate this further, we analysed seasonal variation in fitness when stratified by higher (\geq 50 kJ·day⁻¹·kg⁻¹) and lower (<50 kJ·day⁻¹·kg⁻¹) PAEE levels (Figure 2). Seasonal fitness variation persisted in men and women with higher PAEE levels. Women with lower PAEE levels also demonstrated seasonal variation, however fitness measurements did not differ by season in men with lower PAEE.

198 **DISCUSSION**

Here we have examined how fitness varies by anthropometric, sociodemographic, andbehavioural characteristics in a population-based sample of UK adults (The Fenland Study).

Physical activity was a more important determinant of fitness than chronological age,
highlighting the importance of maintaining an active lifestyle in adulthood. Our findings
extend previous reports of fitness in the UK and provide directions for future populationbased studies of fitness.

205 Fitness was lower in older versus younger UK adults, however the magnitude of difference per five years (approximately $0.3 \text{ ml } O_2 \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$) was less than values published 206 in some national fitness registries. Fitness declined by approximately 2 ml $O_2 \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}$ per 207 five years in the US-based FRIEND registry ²², Brazil-based Fleury study ²³, and Norway-208 based HUNT study ²⁴. Similarly, the German-based Prevention First Registry was 1.5 ml 209 $O_2 \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}$, German-based SHIP study ²⁵ was 1 ml $O_2 \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}$, and both the Danish 210 Health Examination Survey ²⁶ and Korean-based KISS FitS study ²⁷ were 1.3 ml O₂·kg⁻¹·min⁻ 211 212 ¹. These values are similar to those reported by previous UK-based population studies of fitness 5-9, where absolute fitness values were generally lower than those reported in the 213 present study. While it is possible that fitness in UK adults has improved compared to 214 previous UK studies of fitness, the difference in the magnitude of fitness decline with age 215 between those and the present study may also be due to participant selection bias in the 216 217 Fenland cohort. Healthy and enthusiastic people may have volunteered for study participation more often than unhealthy people (the "healthy participant effect"). We investigated this in a 218 previous study ¹¹, finding the Fenland cohort to be slightly healthier than the population from 219 220 which they were sampled. Thus, it is possible that higher fitness values reported here reflect regional differences in health across the UK. 221

The association between fitness and physical activity was stronger than that for age; a one standard deviation higher PAEE equates to the same difference in fitness (~2 ml $O_2 \cdot kg^ ^{1} \cdot min^{-1}$ higher) as being 25 years younger. Similarly, a one standard deviation higher BMI was associated with lower fitness (1.2 – 1.6 ml $O_2 \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}$), adjusted for age, physical

226 activity and other sociodemographic characteristics. Thus, physical activity and BMI were stronger determinants of fitness than age and other factors examined. Physical activity is 227 known to improve and explain a majority of the variance in fitness among adults $^{28-30}$, but 228 age-related decline in fitness is not wholly due to physical inactivity ^{31,32}. Reduced cardiac 229 output and impaired skeletal muscle oxidative capacity with age are also contributing factors, 230 particularly after the seventh decade of life ^{33–35}. We did not directly measure cardiac output 231 or skeletal muscle function, and therefore cannot investigate whether the preservation of 232 fitness with age is related to maintenance of these factors. We also did not measure fitness in 233 234 adults aged 70 years and over, when the impact of higher physical activity on improved fitness may wane. Nevertheless, our data suggest that higher physical activity can alter the 235 trajectory of fitness decline with age in generally healthy adults. It is unclear whether this 236 237 finding is reflective of health promotion strategies to increase physical activity and fitness within the Cambridgeshire region 36 . If so, future work could seek how these strategies may 238 be adapted to UK regions with high cardiometabolic disease prevalence. 239

People in more physically demanding occupations were fitter than those in sedentary 240 occupations. When accounting for PAEE and BMI, however, fitness did not differ by 241 242 occupation in women; in men, fitness was statistically lower in manual, retired, and unemployed workers compared to sedentary workers. Other studies report that manual 243 244 workers may have greater muscle strength but less overall fitness than the general population ^{37,38}. While it is not immediately clear as to the mechanism by which manual work would 245 lower fitness in men, it is likely occupation specific and could be related to diminished lung 246 function ³⁹. Previously we reported that manual workers in the Fenland cohort had greater 247 248 physical activity levels than other occupation types ¹¹. It is therefore reassuring to observe that the negative effect of manual work on fitness - whatever the mechanism – is partly 249 ameliorated by higher physical activity among male workers. Alternatively, the observed 250

association between low fitness and manual work could be due to residual confounding for
 socioeconomic status. Previous research suggest that lower fitness in retired and unemployed
 male workers is related to advanced age and cardiovascular deconditioning after long-lasting
 physical inactivity ⁴⁰.

We present fitness results scaled by both total body mass (VO₂max_{tbm}) and fat free 255 256 mass (VO₂max_{ffm}). In multivariable analysis, VO₂max_{tbm} was negatively associated with BMI, however VO₂max_{ffm} was positively associated. Other studies demonstrate that fitness is 257 independent of adiposity when scaled by fat free mass and suggest VO₂max_{ffm} can be 258 considered an indirect measure of musculoskeletal tissue metabolic quality ^{41,42}. More direct 259 measurements of muscle oxidative capacity, such as tissue biopsy or imaging ⁴³, could be 260 used to elucidate whether this is preserved in otherwise overweight and obese participants 261 with higher VO₂max_{ffm} values. Indeed, ectopic fat infiltration of skeletal muscle may be more 262 related to impaired muscle oxidative capacity and reduced force production than overall 263 adiposity 44,45. 264

Fitness measurements were generally higher in the summer compared to the spring 265 and winter. Physical activity measurements had a similar pattern in previous analyses ¹¹. 266 267 PAEE adjustment negated seasonal variation of fitness in men, however in women seasonal variation persisted. Given the cross-sectional analysis used in this study, we recognise that 268 269 the results regarding fitness seasonality should be interpreted cautiously and a repeated measures design could be more appropriate. Such a design would be largely unfeasible for a 270 population-based study of fitness, however, since increased test frequency would be costly to 271 scale and would increase lost-to-follow-up rates. Future work could elucidate whether 272 seasonal variation in fitness among women is related to seasonal variation in endogenous 273 factors such as circannual hormonal rhythms ⁴⁶. 274

275 Our study has strengths and limitations. We objectively assessed fitness in a large 276 participant sample, enabling the investigation of differences by sociodemographic characteristics in the UK. We also quantified and compared the influence of objectively 277 278 measured PAEE and BMI on associations between fitness and these characteristics, which allow judgement of their relative importance. A limitation of our study includes using heart 279 rate response to a submaximal exercise test, rather than directly measured maximal oxygen 280 281 consumption; however, as we show here, the fitness estimates from this method agree with direct measurements which provides reassurance of our findings. Another potential limitation 282 283 is the non-representativeness of the Fenland cohort compared to the random population 284 sampling frame. Compared to non-responders, participants were slightly older, leaner, less likely to smoke, more likely to drink alcohol, and more likely to live in deprived 285 286 neighbourhoods; these differences were small, however. In addition, observed physical 287 activity levels - the strongest determinant of fitness - were similar to those observed in the general UK population ^{11,47}, suggesting findings may generalise more widely. 288 We have described variation in fitness within a UK adult population by 289

sociodemographic factors and lifestyle behaviours. Fitness was inversely associated with age
but less steeply than anticipated, suggesting older generations are comparatively fitter than
younger generations. Physical activity and body size were stronger determinants of the
variance in fitness than any other factor including age. A one standard deviation difference in
physical activity had the same impact on cardiorespiratory fitness as being 25 years younger.
This emphasizes the importance of maintaining physical activity across adulthood.

296

297 ETHICS APPROVAL

- 298 The participants in the Fenland study were recruited from general practice lists as the
- 299 population-based sampling frame. The National Research Ethics Service (NRES), the body
- that approves the ethics of research involving NHS patients, considered and approved the
- study through its East of England Cambridge Central Committee in accordance with the
- 302 Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided written informed consent.

303 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

- 304 The authors contributed to the present manuscript as follows: Idea for analysis (T.G., S.B.);
- acquisition and analysis of cardiorespiratory fitness data (K.We., S.H., S.B.); acquisition and
- analysis of raw physical activity data (K.We., S.H., S.B.); analysis of epidemiological data
- 307 (T.G., T.L.); drafting of the manuscript (T.G.); revising work critically for important
- intellectual content (all authors); approval of the final version before submission (all authors).
- 309 Chief Investigator (N.W.) and Principal Investigators (NF, SG, SB) of the Fenland Study.

310 DATA AVAILABILITY

- 311 The datasets generated and analysed during the current study are available at request via the
- 312 MRC Epidemiology website (http://www.mrc-epid.cam.ac.uk/research/data-sharing/).

313 SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

314 Supplementary data are available at IJE online.

315 FUNDING

- 316 The Fenland study was funded by the Medical Research Council and the Wellcome Trust.
- 317 The current work was supported by the Medical Research Council (T.G., S.B., K.Wi., S.H.,
- 318 grant number MC_UU_12015/3), (S.G., grant number MC_UU_12015/4), (N.W., grant
- number MC_UU_12015/1), (N.G.F., grant number MC_UU_12015/5); the National Institute

- 320 of Health Research Cambridge (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre (K.We., S.B., N.G.F.,
- and N.W., grant number IS-BRC-1215-20014); and the Cambridge Trust and St Catharine's
- 322 College (T.L.). The funders had no role in the design, analysis or writing of this manuscript.

323 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- We are grateful to the Fenland Study participants for their willingness and time to take part.
- 325 We thank all members of the following teams responsible for practical aspects of the study;
- 326 Study Coordination, Field Epidemiology, Anthropometry Team, Physical Activity Technical
- 327 Team, IT, Data Management, and Statistics.

328 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

329 None declared.

330 **REFERENCES**

331	1.	Blair SN, Kampert JB, Kohl HW III, et al. Influences of cardiorespiratory fitness and
332		other precursors on cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality in men and women.
333		<i>JAMA</i> . 1996 Jul 17; 276 (3):205–210.
334	2.	Lee D, Sui X, Church TS, Lee I-M, Blair SN. Associations of cardiorespiratory fitness
335		and obesity with risks of impaired fasting glucose and type 2 diabetes in men. Diabetes
336		<i>Care</i> . 2009 Feb 1; 32 (2):257–262.
337	3.	Kodama S, Saito K, Tanaka S, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness as a quantitative predictor
338		of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular events in healthy men and women: a meta-
339		analysis. Jama. 2009; 301 (19):2024–2035.
340	4.	Kaminsky LA, Arena R, Ellingsen Ø, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness and cardiovascular
341		disease - The past, present, and future. Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases. 2019
342		Mar; 62 (2):86–93.
343	5.	Gonzales TI, Westgate K, Strain T, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness assessment using risk-
344		stratified exercise testing and dose-response relationships with disease outcomes. Sci
345		<i>Rep.</i> 2021 Jul 28; 11 (1):15315.
346	6.	Cooke C, Smith G, Hoinville G, Catford J, Tuxworth W. Two national surveys of
347		activity, fitness and health: The Allied Dunbar National Fitness Survey and the Welsh
348		Heart Health Survey. In: Norgan N, editor. Physical activity and health. Cambridge
349		University Press; 1992.

350	7.	Tuxworth W.	Nevill A.	White C	. Jenkins C. Healt	h. fitness	. physical	activity.	and
							, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		

351 morbidity of middle aged male factory workers. I. *Occupational and Environmental*

352 *Medicine*. 1986 Nov 1;**43**(11):733–753.

8. Fentem P, Collins M, Tuxworth W, et al. Allied Dunbar National Fitness Survey:

354 Technical report. Activity and Health Research; 1994 Nov.

- MacAuley D, Crum E, Stott G, et al. Levels of physical activity, physical fitness and their
 relationship in the Northern Ireland Health and Activity Survey. *Int J Sports Med.* 1998
 Oct;19(7):503–511.
- 10. O'Donovan G, Hillsdon M, Ukoumunne O, Stamatakis E, Hamer M. Objectively

measured physical activity, cardiorespiratory fitness and cardiometabolic risk factors in
the Health Survey for England. *Preventive Medicine*. 2013;5.

- 11. Lindsay T, Westgate K, Wijndaele K, et al. Descriptive epidemiology of physical activity
 energy expenditure in UK adults (The Fenland study). *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2019
 Dec 9;16(1):126.
- 364 12. Salamone LM, Fuerst T, Visser M, et al. Measurement of fat mass using DEXA: a
 365 validation study in elderly adults. *Journal of Applied Physiology*. 2000 Jul 1;89(1):345–
 366 352.
- 367 13. Brage S, Ekelund U, Brage N, et al. Hierarchy of individual calibration levels for heart
 368 rate and accelerometry to measure physical activity. *Journal of Applied Physiology*.
- 369 American Physiological Society; 2007 Aug 1;**103**(2):682–692.

370	14. Brage S, Westgate K, Franks PW, et al. Estimation of free-living energy expenditure by
371	heart rate and movement sensing: A doubly-labelled water study. PLOS ONE. 2015 Sep
372	8; 10 (9):e0137206.
373	15. Brage S, Brage N, Franks PW, Ekelund U, Wareham NJ. Reliability and validity of the
374	combined heart rate and movement sensor Actiheart. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2005
375	Apr; 59 (4):561–570.
376	16. Tanaka H, Monahan KD, Seals DR. Age-predicted maximal heart rate revisited. J Am
377	<i>Coll Cardiol</i> . 2001 Jan; 37 (1):153–156.
378	17. Maritz JS, Morrison JF, Peter J, Strydom NB, Wyndham CH. A practical method of
379	estimating an individual's maximal oxygen intake. <i>Ergonomics</i> . 1961 Apr; 4 (2):97–122.
380	18. Prampero PE di. Energetics of muscular exercise. Rev Physiol Biochem Pharmacol.
381	1981; 89 :143–222.
382	19. Henry C. Basal metabolic rate studies in humans: measurement and development of new
383	equations. Public Health Nutrition. 2005 Oct;8(7a):1133–1152.
384	20. Cuzick J. A Wilcoxon-type test for trend. Statist Med. 1985 Jan;4(1):87–90.
385	21. Cattaneo MD, Crump RK, Farrell MH, Feng Y. Binscatter Regressions.
386	<i>arXiv:190209615</i> . 2021 Aug 6;
387	22. Kaminsky LA, Arena R, Myers J. Reference standards for cardiorespiratory fitness
388	measured with cardiopulmonary exercise testing: Data from the fitness registry and the
389	importance of exercise national database. Mayo Clinic Proceedings. 2015
390	Nov; 90 (11):1515–1523.

	391	23. Neto JMR	, Tebexreni AS	Alves ANF	, et al.	Cardiores	piratory	<i>i</i> fitness	data fron	n 18.	.18
--	-----	--------------	----------------	-----------	----------	-----------	----------	------------------	-----------	-------	-----

- 392 participants who underwent treadmill cardiopulmonary exercise testing in a Brazilian
- 393 population. *PLOS ONE*. 2019 Jan 9;**14**(1):e0209897.
- 24. Loe H, Nes BM, Wisløff U. Predicting VO2peak from submaximal and peak exercise
- 395 models: The HUNT 3 Fitness Study, Norway. *PLOS ONE*. 2016 Jan 21;**11**(1):e0144873.
- 25. Koch B, Schäper C, Ittermann T, et al. Reference values for cardiopulmonary exercise
 testing in healthy volunteers: the SHIP study. *European Respiratory Journal*. 2009 Feb
 1;33(2):389–397.
- 26. Eriksen L, Grønbæk M, Helge JW, Tolstrup JS. Cardiorespiratory fitness in 16025 adults
- aged 18–91 years and associations with physical activity and sitting time. *Scandinavian*

401 *Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*. 2016;**26**(12):1435–1443.

- 402 27. Jang WY, Kim W, Kang DO, et al. Reference values for cardiorespiratory fitness in
 403 healthy Koreans. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*. 2019 Dec;8(12):2191.
- 404 28. Skinner JS, Wilmore KM, Krasnoff JB, et al. Adaptation to a standardized training
- 405 program and changes in fitness in a large, heterogeneous population: the HERITAGE

406 Family Study. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*. 2000 Jan;**32**(1):157.

- 407 29. O'Donovan G, Owen A, Bird SR, et al. Changes in cardiorespiratory fitness and coronary
- 408 heart disease risk factors following 24 wk of moderate- or high-intensity exercise of
 409 equal energy cost. *Journal of Applied Physiology*. 2005 May 1;98(5):1619–1625.
- 30. Lakoski SG, Barlow CE, Farrell SW, Berry JD, Morrow JR, Haskell WL. Impact of body
 mass index, physical activity, and other clinical factors on cardiorespiratory fitness (from

412	the Cooper Center Longitudinal Study). The American Journal of Cardiology. 2011 Jul
413	1; 108 (1):34–39.

- 414 31. Stratton JR, Levy WC, Cerqueira MD, Schwartz RS, Abrass IB. Cardiovascular
- responses to exercise. Effects of aging and exercise training in healthy men. *Circulation*.
- 416 1994 Apr;**89**(4):1648–1655.
- 417 32. Fleg JL, Morrell CH, Bos AG, et al. Accelerated longitudinal decline of aerobic capacity
 418 in healthy older adults. *Circulation*. 2005 Aug 2;112(5):674–682.
- 419 33. Betik AC, Hepple RT. Determinants of VO2max decline with aging: An integrated

420 perspective. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab.* 2008 Feb 1;**33**(1):130–140.

421 34. Proctor DN, Joyner MJ. Skeletal muscle mass and the reduction of VO2 max in trained
422 older subjects. *Journal of Applied Physiology*. 1997 May 1;82(5):1411–1415.

- 423 35. Short KR, Vittone JL, Bigelow ML, et al. Impact of aerobic exercise training on age-
- related changes in insulin sensitivity and muscle oxidative capacity. *Diabetes*. 2003 Aug
 1;52(8):1888–1896.
- 426 36. Simmons RK, Sluijs EM van, Hardeman W, Sutton S, Griffin SJ, the ProActive project

427 team. Who will increase their physical activity? Predictors of change in objectively

- 428 measured physical activity over 12 months in the ProActive cohort. *BMC Public Health*.
- 429 2010 Apr 30;**10**(1):226.
- 430 37. Ruzic L, Heimer S, Misigoj-Durakovic M, Matkovic BR. Increased occupational physical
- 431 activity does not improve physical fitness. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*.
- 432 2003 Dec 1;60(12):983–985.

433	38. Savinainen M, Nygård C, Ilmarinen J. A 16-year follow-up study of physical capacity in
434	relation to perceived workload among ageing employees. Ergonomics. 2004 Aug
435	15; 47 (10):1087–1102.
436	39. Norheim KL, Samani A, Bønløkke JH, Omland Ø, Madeleine P. Physical performances
437	show conflicting associations in aged manual workers. <i>Sci Rep</i> . 2020 Feb 10; 10 (1):2254.
438	40. Kreuzfeld S, Preuss M, Weippert M, Stoll R. Health effects and acceptance of a physical
439	activity program for older long-term unemployed workers. Int Arch Occup Environ
440	<i>Health</i> . 2013 Jan 1;86(1):99–105.
441	41. Goran M, Fields DA, Hunter GR, Herd SL, Weinsier RL. Total body fat does not
442	influence maximal aerobic capacity. Int J Obes. 2000 Jul;24(7):841–848.
443	42. Schnurr TM, Gjesing AP, Sandholt CH, et al. Genetic correlation between body fat
444	percentage and cardiorespiratory fitness suggests common genetic etiology. PLOS ONE.
445	2016 Nov 15; 11 (11):e0166738.
446	43. Correa-de-Araujo R, Harris-Love MO, Miljkovic I, Fragala MS, Anthony BW, Manini
447	TM. The need for standardized assessment of muscle quality in skeletal muscle function
448	deficit and other aging-related muscle dysfunctions: A symposium report. Front Physiol.
449	2017 Feb 15; 8 :87.
450	44. Miljkovic I, Zmuda JM. Epidemiology of myosteatosis. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab
451	<i>Care</i> . 2010 May; 13 (3):260–264.
452	45. Harris-Love MO, Gonzales TI, Wei Q, et al. Association between muscle strength and
453	modeling estimates of muscle tissue heterogeneity in young and old adults. Journal of
454	Ultrasound in Medicine. 2019; 38 (7):1757–1768.

- 455 46. Tendler A, Bar A, Mendelsohn-Cohen N, et al. Hormone seasonality in medical records
- 456 suggests circannual endocrine circuits. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2021 Feb
- 457 16;**118**(7):e2003926118.
- 458 47. Brage S, Lindsay T, Venables M, et al. Descriptive epidemiology of energy expenditure
- 459 in the UK: Findings from the National Diet and Nutrition Survey 2008–15. *International*
- 460 *Journal of Epidemiology*. 2020 Jun 1;**49**(3):1007–1021.

Table 1. Participant characteristics by sex-specific age groups. The Fenland Study 2005 to 2015.

Sex				Wo	men				
Age group (y)	Pooled	29-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	
Ν	5976	184	736	1210	1322	1269	972	283	P-value
II • 1.()	164 . 6	165 . 6	165 . 7	165 . 6	165 . 6	164 . 6	162 . 6	162 . 6	. 0. 0.1
Height (cm)	164 ± 6	165 ± 6	165 ± 7	165 ± 6	165 ± 6	164 ± 6	163 ± 6	163 ± 6	< 0.01
Total body mass (kg)	70.6 ± 13.9	67.0 ± 12.3	70.0 ± 15.2	71.0 ± 14.7	71.0 ± 13.8	70.8 ± 13.3	70.4 ± 13.1	69.7 ± 12.8	< 0.01
Body mass index (kg·m ⁻²)	26.2 ± 5.0	24.6 ± 4.2	25.7 ± 5.3	26.2 ± 5.2	26.2 ± 5.0	26.4 ± 4.8	26.4 ± 4.7	26.3 ± 4.6	< 0.01
Fat-free mass (kg)	41.4 ± 5.2	40.9 ± 4.5	42.0 ± 5.5	42.1 ± 5.5	41.9 ± 5.2	41.0 ± 5.0	40.3 ± 5.0	39.9 ± 4.9	< 0.01
Resting heart rate (bpm)	64 ± 8	64 ± 8	64 ± 9	64 ±89	65 ± 9	64 ± 8	64 ± 8	63 ± 8	0.07
VO₂max per kg bodyweight (ml O₂·min ⁻¹ ·kg ⁻¹)	35.4 ± 7.6	36.1 ± 6.2	36.2 ± 7.1	35.8 ± 7.2	35.5 ± 7.7	35.1 ± 7.8	34.9 ± 8.1	34.7 ± 8.4	< 0.01
VO_2max per kg fat-free mass (ml $O_2 \cdot min^{-1} \cdot kg^{-1}$)	59.7 ± 11.8	58.5 ± 8.8	59.2 ± 10.2	59.3 ± 10.5	59.5 ± 11.7	60.0 ± 12.6	60.3 ± 13.3	60.0 ± 14.0	0.13
PAEE $(kJ \cdot day^{-1} \cdot kg^{-1})$	51 ± 20	59 ± 21	57 ± 21	53 ± 20	51 ± 20	48 ± 19	46 ± 19	43 ± 16	< 0.01
Sev					Men				
Age group (y)	Pooled	29-34	35-30	40-44	15-19	50-54	55-59	60-64	
Age group (y)	Toolea	2)-34	55-57	+0-++	+J-+J	30-34	55-57	00-04	D voluo
N	5216	161	125	1010	1125	11177	11'711	274	
Ν	5316	161	735	1010	1135	10/2	929	274	r-value
N Height (cm)	5316 178 ± 7	161 179 ± 7	735 178 ± 7	1010 179 ± 7	1135 178 ± 7	1072 177 ± 7	929 176 ± 7	274 177 ± 7	< 0.01
N Height (cm) Bodyweight (kg)	5316 178 ± 7 85.9 ± 13.9	161 179 ± 7 84.6 ± 13.6	735 178 ± 7 84.7 ± 14.6	1010 179 ± 7 86.2 ± 13.9	1135 178 ± 7 86.1 ± 13.9	1072 177 ± 7 86.4 ± 13.3	929 176 ± 7 85.8 ± 13.9	274 177 ± 7 85.4 ± 14.2	< 0.01 0.03
N Height (cm) Bodyweight (kg) Body mass index (kg·m ⁻²)	5316 178 ± 7 85.9 ± 13.9 27.2 ± 4.0	161 179 ± 7 84.6 ± 13.6 26.4 ± 3.8	735 178 ± 7 84.7 ± 14.6 26.7 ± 4.2	1010 179 ± 7 86.2 ± 13.9 27.0 ± 3.9	1135 178 ± 7 86.1 ± 13.9 27.2 ± 4.0	1072 177 ± 7 86.4 ± 13.3 27.5 ± 4.0	929 176 ± 7 85.8 ± 13.9 27.5 ± 4.0	274 177 ± 7 85.4 ± 14.2 27.3 ± 3.9	< 0.01 0.03 < 0.01
N Height (cm) Bodyweight (kg) Body mass index (kg·m ⁻²) Fat-free mass (kg)	5316 178 ± 7 85.9 ± 13.9 27.2 ± 4.0 57.3 ± 6.8	161 179 ± 7 84.6 ± 13.6 26.4 ± 3.8 57.6 ± 6.8	735 178 ± 7 84.7 ± 14.6 26.7 ± 4.2 57.4 ± 7.0	1010 179 ± 7 86.2 ± 13.9 27.0 ± 3.9 58.1 ± 6.7	1135 178 ± 7 86.1 ± 13.9 27.2 ± 4.0 57.6 ± 6.7	10/2 177 ± 7 86.4 ± 13.3 27.5 ± 4.0 57.3 ± 6.7	929 176 ± 7 85.8 ± 13.9 27.5 ± 4.0 56.3 ± 6.7	274 177 ± 7 85.4 ± 14.2 27.3 ± 3.9 56.3 ± 7.0	< 0.01 0.03 < 0.01 < 0.01
N Height (cm) Bodyweight (kg) Body mass index (kg·m ⁻²) Fat-free mass (kg) Resting heart rate (bpm)	5316 178 ± 7 85.9 ± 13.9 27.2 ± 4.0 57.3 ± 6.8 61 ± 9	161 179 ± 7 84.6 ± 13.6 26.4 ± 3.8 57.6 ± 6.8 62 ± 9	735 178 ± 7 84.7 ± 14.6 26.7 ± 4.2 57.4 ± 7.0 60 ± 9	1010 179 ± 7 86.2 ± 13.9 27.0 ± 3.9 58.1 ± 6.7 61 ± 9	1135 178 ± 7 86.1 ± 13.9 27.2 ± 4.0 57.6 ± 6.7 61 ± 9	1072 177 ± 7 86.4 ± 13.3 27.5 ± 4.0 57.3 ± 6.7 61 ± 9	929 176 ± 7 85.8 ± 13.9 27.5 ± 4.0 56.3 ± 6.7 62 ± 9	274 177 ± 7 85.4 ± 14.2 27.3 ± 3.9 56.3 ± 7.0 62 ± 9	< 0.01 0.03 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01
N Height (cm) Bodyweight (kg) Body mass index (kg·m ⁻²) Fat-free mass (kg) Resting heart rate (bpm) VO-max per kg bodyweight (ml O ₂ ·min ⁻¹ ·kg ⁻¹)	5316 178 ± 7 85.9 ± 13.9 27.2 ± 4.0 57.3 ± 6.8 61 ± 9 $42 1 \pm 7.4$	161 179 ± 7 84.6 ± 13.6 26.4 ± 3.8 57.6 ± 6.8 62 ± 9 42.5 ± 6.1	735 178 ± 7 84.7 ± 14.6 26.7 ± 4.2 57.4 ± 7.0 60 ± 9 43.3 ± 7.0	1010 179 ± 7 86.2 ± 13.9 27.0 ± 3.9 58.1 ± 6.7 61 ± 9 43.0 ± 7.0	1135 178 ± 7 86.1 ± 13.9 27.2 ± 4.0 57.6 ± 6.7 61 ± 9 42.4 ± 7.3	1072 177 ± 7 86.4 ± 13.3 27.5 ± 4.0 57.3 ± 6.7 61 ± 9 $41 3 \pm 7 1$	929 176 ± 7 85.8 ± 13.9 27.5 ± 4.0 56.3 ± 6.7 62 ± 9 41.2 ± 8.2	274 177 ± 7 85.4 ± 14.2 27.3 ± 3.9 56.3 ± 7.0 62 ± 9 40.6 ± 9.0	<0.01 0.03 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01
N Height (cm) Bodyweight (kg) Body mass index (kg·m ⁻²) Fat-free mass (kg) Resting heart rate (bpm) VO ₂ max per kg fat-free mass (ml O ₂ ·min ⁻¹ ·kg ⁻¹) VO ₂ max per kg fat-free mass (ml O ₂ ·min ⁻¹ ·kg ⁻¹)	5316 178 ± 7 85.9 ± 13.9 27.2 ± 4.0 57.3 ± 6.8 61 ± 9 42.1 ± 7.4 $62 5 \pm 10.4$	161 179 ± 7 84.6 ± 13.6 26.4 ± 3.8 57.6 ± 6.8 62 ± 9 42.5 ± 6.1 61.5 ± 8.5	735 178 ± 7 84.7 ± 14.6 26.7 ± 4.2 57.4 ± 7.0 60 ± 9 43.3 ± 7.0 63.1 ± 9.7	1010 179 ± 7 86.2 ± 13.9 27.0 ± 3.9 58.1 ± 6.7 61 ± 9 43.0 ± 7.0 63.2 ± 9.5	1135 178 ± 7 86.1 ± 13.9 27.2 ± 4.0 57.6 ± 6.7 61 ± 9 42.4 ± 7.3 62.9 ± 10.4	1072 177 ± 7 86.4 ± 13.3 27.5 ± 4.0 57.3 ± 6.7 61 ± 9 41.3 ± 7.1 62.0 ± 10.2	929 176 ± 7 85.8 ± 13.9 27.5 ± 4.0 56.3 ± 6.7 62 ± 9 41.2 ± 8.2 62.1 ± 11.5	274 177 ± 7 85.4 ± 14.2 27.3 ± 3.9 56.3 ± 7.0 62 ± 9 40.6 ± 9.0 61.2 ± 13.2	<0.01 0.03 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01 <0.01
N Height (cm) Bodyweight (kg) Body mass index (kg·m ⁻²) Fat-free mass (kg) Resting heart rate (bpm) VO ₂ max per kg bodyweight (ml O ₂ ·min ⁻¹ ·kg ⁻¹) VO ₂ max per kg fat-free mass (ml O ₂ ·min ⁻¹ ·kg ⁻¹) PAEE (kLdav ⁻¹ ·kg ⁻¹)	5316 178 ± 7 85.9 ± 13.9 27.2 ± 4.0 57.3 ± 6.8 61 ± 9 42.1 ± 7.4 62.5 ± 10.4 $59 + 23$	161 179 ± 7 84.6 ± 13.6 26.4 ± 3.8 57.6 ± 6.8 62 ± 9 42.5 ± 6.1 61.5 ± 8.5 65 ± 25	735 178 ± 7 84.7 ± 14.6 26.7 ± 4.2 57.4 ± 7.0 60 ± 9 43.3 ± 7.0 63.1 ± 9.7 66 ± 24	1010 179 ± 7 86.2 ± 13.9 27.0 ± 3.9 58.1 ± 6.7 61 ± 9 43.0 ± 7.0 63.2 ± 9.5 64 ± 24	1135 178 ± 7 86.1 ± 13.9 27.2 ± 4.0 57.6 ± 6.7 61 ± 9 42.4 ± 7.3 62.9 ± 10.4 60 ± 23	1072 177 ± 7 86.4 ± 13.3 27.5 ± 4.0 57.3 ± 6.7 61 ± 9 41.3 ± 7.1 62.0 ± 10.2 57 ± 22	929 176 ± 7 85.8 ± 13.9 27.5 ± 4.0 56.3 ± 6.7 62 ± 9 41.2 ± 8.2 62.1 ± 11.5 52 ± 21	274 177 ± 7 85.4 ± 14.2 27.3 ± 3.9 56.3 ± 7.0 62 ± 9 40.6 ± 9.0 61.2 ± 13.2 47 ± 21	 < 0.01 0.03 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01 < 0.01

Values are mean ± standard deviation, unless otherwise indicated. VO2max: Maximal oxygen consumption. PAEE: Physical activity energy expenditure. P-value computed from Cuzick's test for trend.

Table 2. Sequentially-adjusted multivariable analysis of maximal oxygen consumption (VO₂max) per kg total body mass (ml O₂·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹) by sociodemographic characteristics. The Fenland Study 2005 to 2015.

Sex (N)		Wor	men (5784)		Men (5209)				
Model	Unadjusted	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Unadjusted	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	
Reference mean	5	35.6** (34.2; 37.0)	35.2** (33.9; 36.5)	34.7** (33.4; 35.9)	5	42.2** (40.8; 43.7)	42.2** (40.8; 43.5)	41.7** (40.4; 43.1)	
Age group (y)									
29-34	36.1 ± 6.2	Reference	Reference	Reference	42.5 ± 6.1	Reference	Reference	Reference	
35-39	36.2 ± 7.1	-0.6 (-1.9; 0.7)	-0.4 (-1.6; 0.8)	-0.1 (-1.2; 1.0)	43.3 ± 7.0	0.0 (-1.3; 1.3)	-0.1 (-1.3; 1.1)	0.0 (-1.2; 1.1)	
40-44	35.8 ± 7.2	-1.1 (-2.3: 0.1)	-0.3 (-1.5: 0.8)	0.0 (-1.1: 1.1)	43.0 ± 7.0	-0.5 (-1.8: 0.8)	-0.2 (-1.4; 1.0)	-0.1 (-1.3; 1.1)	
45-49	35.5 ± 7.7	-1.3* (-2.5; -0.0)	-0.2 (-1.3; 0.9)	0.1 (-1.0; 1.2)	42.4 ± 7.3	-1.1 (-2.4; 0.1)	-0.3 (-1.5; 0.9)	-0.2 (-1.4; 1.0)	
50-54	35.1 ± 7.8	-1.7** (-2.9: -0.5)	-0.3 (-1.4: 0.9)	0.0 (-1.1: 1.1)	41.3 ± 7.1	-2.1** (-3.4: -0.8)	-0.8 (-2.0; 0.4)	-0.7 (-1.8; 0.5)	
55-59	34.9 ± 8.1	-2.1** (-3.4: -0.8)	-0.3 (-1.5: 0.9)	-0.1 (-1.2: 1.0)	41.2 ± 8.2	-2.4** (-3.7: -1.1)	-0.5 (-1.8; 0.7)	-0.4 (-1.6; 0.8)	
60-64	34.7 + 8.4	-2.3** (-3.8: -0.8)	-0.2 (-1.6: 1.3)	0.0 (-1.4: 1.3)	40.6 + 9.0	-3.0** (-4.5: -1.5)	-0.4 (-1.8: 1.1)	-0.4 (-1.8; 1.0)	
Ethnicity		(0.00, 0.00)				(,)		(,)	
White	35.6 + 7.6	Reference	Reference	Reference	42.3 + 7.5	Reference	Reference	Reference	
South Asian	34.6 + 10.4	-1.1 (-3.0: 0.7)	-0.1 (-1.8: 1.6)	-0.2 (-1.9: 1.5)	40.8 + 7.6	-1.4 (-3.1: 0.4)	-0.5 (-2.1: 1.1)	-0.9 (-2.5: 0.7)	
Black	35.0 + 8.1	-1.4 (-4.6: 1.8)	-1.4 (-4.4: 1.5)	-0.8 (-3.7: 2.1)	39.8 + 8.5	-3.2* (-5.9: -0.4)	-2.1 (-4.6: 0.5)	-1.9 (-4.4: 0.6)	
East Asian	38.6 ± 10.3	$2.9^{*}(0.5; 5.3)$	3.7** (1.5: 5.9)	2.8* (0.6: 4.9)	43.5 + 8.3	1.8 (-1.5: 5.1)	2.5 (-0.5: 5.6)	1.8 (-1.3: 4.8)	
Others or unknown	33.0 + 6.8	-2.2** (-3.1: -1.2)	-1.6** (-2.5: -0.7)	-1.9** (-2.8: -1.1)	39.8 ± 6.2	-2.3** (-3.3: -1.3)	-1.7** (-2.6: -0.8)	-1.8** (-2.7: -0.9)	
Education level		(0.1, 1.2)		(10, 10)		(100, 100)	(,,	(,)	
None	346 + 86	-1.6(-4.3, 1.0)	-14(-39:11)	-1.5(-3.9:0.9)	42.4 ± 6.9	-0.3(-35:2.9)	0.3(-2.6:3.3)	0.5(-2.4:3.4)	
Basic	352 + 82	Reference	Reference	Reference	42.4 ± 8.0	Reference	Reference	Reference	
Further	35.0 ± 7.5	0.1(-0.5; 0.6)	-0.1(-0.6; 0.4)	-0.2 (-0.7: 0.3)	42.0 ± 7.4	-0.2(-0.8; 0.3)	-0.1(-0.6:0.4)	-0.1(-0.6:0.4)	
Higher	362 + 73	$1 1^{**} (0.5, 1.7)$	0.7*(0.1:1.3)	0.2(-0.4;0.8)	42.0 = 7.0 42.1 + 7.2	04(-03:11)	0.5(-0.1, 1.2)	0.2(-0.5, 0.8)	
Work type	50.2 ± 1.5	1.1 (0.3, 1.7)	0.7 (0.1, 1.5)	0.2 (0.4, 0.0)	-12.1 ± 7.2	0.4 (0.5, 1.1)	0.5 (0.1, 1.2)	0.2 (0.3, 0.0)	
Sedentary	35.1 ± 7.2	Reference	Reference	Reference	41.8 ± 7.0	Reference	Reference	Reference	
Standing	35.1 ± 7.2 35.7 ± 7.8	0.9**(0.4:1.3)	-0.1(-0.5, 0.3)	0.0(-0.4; 0.4)	41.0 ± 7.0 42.4 ± 7.7	0.9**(0.2:1.5)	-0.3(-0.8:0.3)	-0.1(-0.7, 0.5)	
Manual	35.7 ± 7.8 36.4 ± 8.3	1.6**(0.8; 2.4)	-0.1(-0.3, 0.3)	0.0(-0.7, 0.4)	42.4 ± 7.7	1.2 * * (0.6; 1.7)	-0.5 (-0.8, 0.5)	-0.1(-0.7, 0.5) -0.9**(-1.4:-0.4)	
Retired	34.5 ± 7.8	0.3(-1.0, 1.6)	-0.3(-1.1, 0.4)	-0.2(-1.4, 1.0)	42.7 ± 7.9	-0.6(-2.0, 0.9)	$-1.0^{-1.3}, -0.3)$	-0.5 (-1.4, -0.4) -1.5*(-2.8, -0.1)	
Unemployed	34.3 ± 7.8 35.3 ± 8.3	-0.6(-2.5, 1.3)	-0.5(-1.0, 0.9)	0.2(-1.4, 1.0)	40.2 ± 7.3	-0.0(-2.0, 0.9) -1.8(-3.7, 0.0)	$-1.5^{\circ}(-2.9, -0.2)$ -2.3**(-4.0, -0.6)	$-1.5^{\circ}(-2.8, -0.1)$ -2.0*(-3.7; -0.3)	
Income	55.5 ± 0.5	-0.0 (-2.3, 1.3)	-0.5 (-2.5, 1.2)	0.2 (-1.3, 1.9)	40.2 ± 0.0	-1.8 (-5.7, 0.0)	-2.5 (-4.0, -0.0)	-2.0 (-3.7, -0.3)	
<f20,000< td=""><td>35.4 + 8.0</td><td>-0.2(-0.8, 0.4)</td><td>0.2(-0.3, 0.8)</td><td>0.2(-0.3;0.8)</td><td>42.0 ± 8.1</td><td>0.2(-0.6, 0.9)</td><td>0.8*(0.0, 1.5)</td><td>0.6(-0.1, 1.3)</td></f20,000<>	35.4 + 8.0	-0.2(-0.8, 0.4)	0.2(-0.3, 0.8)	0.2(-0.3;0.8)	42.0 ± 8.1	0.2(-0.6, 0.9)	0.8*(0.0, 1.5)	0.6(-0.1, 1.3)	
≤ 220000 f 40 000	35.4 ± 0.0	-0.2 (-0.0, 0.4)	0.2 (-0.3, 0.0) Peference	0.2 (-0.3, 0.8) Peference	42.0 ± 0.1	0.2 (-0.0, 0.7) Peference	0.0 (0.0, 1.5) Peference	0.0 (-0.1, 1.5) Peference	
~£40.000	35.2 ± 7.0 35.7 ± 7.2	0.4(0.0;0.9)	0.1(0.3,0.6)	0.1(0.3;0.5)	41.9 ± 7.9	0.6*(0.1, 1.0)	0.3(0.1,0.8)	0.4(0.0,0.0)	
Aprital status	55.1 ± 1.2	0.4 (-0.0, 0.9)	0.1 (-0.3, 0.0)	0.1 (-0.3, 0.3)	$+2.2 \pm 7.1$	0.0 (0.1, 1.0)	0.5 (-0.1, 0.8)	0.4(-0.0, 0.9)	
Single	363 + 73	0.3(0.5, 1.1)	0.2(0.6, 1.0)	0.3(0.5, 1.0)	127+83	0.0(0.9,0.8)	0.3(0.5,1.0)	0.1(0.7,0.8)	
Married/living as married	30.3 ± 7.3	0.5 (-0.5, 1.1) Reference	0.2 (-0.0, 1.0) Peference	0.5 (-0.5, 1.0)	42.7 ± 0.3	0.0 (-0.9, 0.8)	0.5 (-0.5, 1.0) Deference	0.1 (=0.7, 0.0) Poference	
Widowed/separated/divorced	35.7 ± 7.0	0.5(0.2, 1.3)	0.4(0.3, 1.1)	0.4(0.3, 1.1)	42.3 ± 7.3	0.6(0.3, 1.5)	0.4(0.4, 1.2)	0.4(0.5, 1.2)	
Smolver status	50.2 ± 7.9	0.5 (-0.2, 1.5)	0.4 (-0.3, 1.1)	0.4 (-0.3, 1.1)	42.9 ± 7.5	0.0 (-0.3, 1.3)	0.4 (-0.4, 1.2)	0.4(-0.3, 1.2)	
Never smoked	35.0 ± 7.4	Pafaranca	Pafaranca	Peference	41.8 ± 7.2	Pafaranca	Pafaranca	Pafaranca	
Fy smoker	33.0 ± 7.4	0.4(0.0;0.8)	0.0(0.2,0.4)	0.2(0.1,0.7)	41.0 ± 7.2	(0.2, (0.2, 0.7))	0.0(0.4,0.5)	0.2(0.2;0.6)	
Current amplian	33.3 ± 7.4	2.2**(2.7, 4.0)	0.0(-0.3, 0.4)	0.3(-0.1, 0.7)	41.9 ± 7.4	0.3(-0.2, 0.7)	1.2**(0.7, 1.0)	0.2(-0.2, 0.0) 1 2** (0 7, 1 0)	
Test site	56.1 ± 6.3	5.5*** (2.7; 4.0)	$2.0^{++}(1.5; 2.0)$	2.2*** (1.0; 2.8)	44.2 ± 0.1	2.0^{++} (1.9, 5.2)	$1.5^{++}(0.7, 1.9)$	$1.5^{++}(0.7, 1.9)$	
Combridge	25 9 . 77	Deference	Deference	Deference	120 + 76	Deference	Deference	Deference	
Cambridge	35.8 ± 7.7	Reference	Reference $0.6*(0.1, 1.0)$	Reference $0.8**(0.4, 1.2)$	42.0 ± 7.0	Reference $0.8**(0.2, 1.2)$	Reference $0.0**(0.4, 1.2)$	Reference $1.2**(0.7, 1.7)$	
	55.5 ± 7.5	0.3(-0.2; 0.8)	$0.0^{*}(0.1, 1.0)$	$0.8^{++}(0.4; 1.2)$	42.2 ± 7.5	$0.8^{++}(0.3; 1.3)$	$0.9^{++}(0.4; 1.5)$	$1.2^{**}(0.7, 1.7)$	
Wisbech	35.1 ± 7.0	-0.2 (-0.8; 0.3)	0.0 (-0.5; 0.5)	0.4 (-0.1; 0.9)	42.2 ± 7.5	0.4 (-0.2; 1.0)	0.3 (-0.2; 0.9)	$0.9^{**}(0.5; 1.4)$	
Seasonality		0.0(0.2,0.2)	0.1(0.2,0.2)	0.1(0.1, 0.2)		0.2(0.4, 0.1)	0.1(0.2,0.2)	0.1(0.4,0.2)	
Spring		0.0(-0.2; 0.3)	0.1(-0.2; 0.3)	0.1(-0.1; 0.3)		-0.2 (-0.4; 0.1)	-0.1(-0.3; 0.2)	-0.1(-0.4; 0.2)	
		-0./** (-1.0; -0.4)	$-0.4^{***}(-0.7; -0.2)$	$-0.3^{***}(-0.7; -0.2)$		-0.4** (-0./; -0.1)	0.0(-0.3; 0.2)	0.0(-0.3; 0.3)	
PAEE $(KJ \cdot day^{-1} \cdot Kg^{-1})$			0.1** (0.1; 0.2)	$0.1^{**}(0.1; 0.1)$			0.1** (0.1; 0.1)	$0.1^{**}(0.1; 0.1)$	
BIMI (Kg· m^{-2})				-0.4** (-0.4; -0.3)				-0.3** (-0.4; -0.3)	

Unadjusted values represent mean \pm SD VO₂max values computed within each substratum. Model values are mean (95% confidence interval). Model 1 is mutually adjusted for sociodemographic characteristics. Model 2 is additionally adjusted for PAEE (kJ·day⁻¹·kg⁻¹). Model 3 is additionally adjusted for BMI (kg·m⁻²). Mean \pm SD PAEE for women: 49 ± 20 kJ·day⁻¹·kg⁻¹; for men: 59 ± 23 kJ·day⁻¹·kg⁻¹. Mean \pm SD BMI for women: 26.5 ± 5.3 kg·m⁻²; for men: 27.3 ± 4.1 kg·m⁻². * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01. Frequencies across sociodemographic characteristics are reported in Supplementary Table 1.

Table 3. Sequentially-adjusted multivariable analysis of maximal oxygen consumption (VO₂max) per kg fat free mass (ml $O_2 \cdot min^{-1} \cdot kg^{-1}$) by sociodemographic characteristics. The Fenland Study 2005 to 2015.

Sex (N)		Wor	men (5530)		Men (4980)				
Model	Unadjusted	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Unadjusted	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	
Reference mean	5	59.3** (57.0; 61.5)	59.0** (56.8; 61.2)	59.5** (57.3; 61.7)	5	61.5** (59.4; 63.7)	61.5** (59.4; 63.6)	62.2** (60.1; 64.3)	
Age group (y)									
29-34	58.5 ± 8.8	Reference	Reference	Reference	61.5 ± 8.5	Reference	Reference	Reference	
35-39	59.2 ± 10.2	-0.7 (-2.8: 1.3)	-0.6 (-2.6; 1.4)	-0.9 (-2.9: 1.1)	63.1 ± 9.7	0.4 (-1.6; 2.3)	0.3 (-1.6; 2.1)	0.1 (-1.7: 2.0)	
40-44	59.3 ± 10.5	-0.9(-2.9;1.1)	-0.3 (-2.3: 1.6)	-0.7 (-2.6: 1.3)	63.2 + 9.5	0.2(-1.7;2.1)	0.4(-1.5; 2.3)	0.2 (-1.6: 2.1)	
45-49	59.5 ± 11.7	-0.6 (-2.6; 1.3)	0.1 (-1.9; 2.0)	-0.2 (-2.1: 1.7)	62.9 ± 10.4	-0.1 (-2.0; 1.8)	0.5 (-1.3; 2.4)	0.4(-1.5; 2.2)	
50-54	60.0 + 12.6	-0.1 (-2.1: 1.9)	0.9 (-1.1: 2.8)	0.6 (-1.4: 2.5)	62.0 + 10.2	-1.1 (-3.0: 0.8)	-0.0 (-1.9: 1.9)	-0.2 (-2.1: 1.6)	
55-59	60.3 ± 13.3	-0.2 (-2.3: 1.8)	1.0(-1.0; 3.1)	0.8(-1.2;2.9)	62.1 + 11.5	-1.2 (-3.2: 0.7)	0.3 (-1.6: 2.2)	0.1 (-1.7: 2.0)	
60-64	60.0 ± 14.0	-0.6 (-3.1:1.8)	0.9(-1.5; 3.3)	0.8(-1.6; 3.2)	61.2 + 13.2	-2.0 (-4.2:0.3)	0.2(-1.9;2.4)	0.2(-2.0; 2.4)	
Ethnicity	0010 = 1 110	010 (011, 110)	015 (110,010)	0.0 (110, 0.2)	0112 = 1012	210 (112, 015)	0.2 (1.2, 2.1.)	0.2 (2.0, 2.1.)	
White	59.9 ± 11.8	Reference	Reference	Reference	62.7 ± 10.5	Reference	Reference	Reference	
South Asian	60.2 ± 15.4	13(-16:43)	20(-0.9, 5.0)	21(-0.8:4.9)	62.7 ± 10.0	0.3(-2.2, 2.7)	10(-14:34)	15(-0.8, 3.9)	
Black	56.7 ± 13.4	$-29(-79\cdot 21)$	-30(-79.20)	-3.6(-8.5, 1.3)	55.3 ± 12.0	-7 6** (-11 6: -3 6)	-6.9**(-10.8:-3.0)	$-7.2^{**}(-11.0^{\circ}-3.4)$	
Fast Asian	61.7 ± 15.1	30(-0.8; 6.7)	3.5(-0.2, 7.2)	4 4* (0.7; 8.0)	62.3 ± 12.1	12 (-3 4: 5 9)	18(-27.64)	29(-16:74)	
Others or unknown	55.0 ± 10.3	-3 6** (-5 2: -2 0)	-3.3 (0.2, 7.2)	-3 0** (-4 6: -1 5)	59.4 ± 8.3	-2 4** (-4 0: -0.9)	-1.9*(-3.4:-0.4)	-1.9*(-3.4:-0.4)	
Education level	55.0 ± 10.5	5.0 (5.2, 2.0)	5.5 (4.9, 1.7)	5.0 (4.0, 1.5)	57.4 ± 0.5	2.4 (4.0, 0.9)	1.) (3.4, 0.4)	1.9 (5.4, 0.4)	
None	60.0 ± 15.4	-27(-71:17)	-28 (-7 2.15)	-29(-72:14)	63.5 ± 11.0	-0.1(-5.0.47)	0.8(-3.9, 5.5)	0.4(-4.3:5.0)	
Basic	60.7 ± 13.4	-2.7 (-7.1, 1.7) Reference	-2.6 (-7.2, 1.5) Reference	Reference	63.7 ± 10.9	Reference	Reference	Reference	
Further	59.5 ± 11.8	-0.5(-1.3, 0.3)	-0.6(-1.5, 0.2)	-0.5(-1.3, 0.3)	62.8 ± 10.5	-0.4(-1.3:0.4)	-0.4(-1.2:0.5)	-0.4(-1.2, 0.4)	
Higher	59.3 ± 11.0 59.2 ± 10.9	-0.5(-1.5, 0.5)	-0.0(-1.3, 0.2)	0.3(-1.3, 0.3)	61.7 ± 10.0	0.4(-1.5, 0.4)	-0.4(-1.2, 0.3) 0.7(1.7:0.3)	-0.4(-1.2, 0.4) 0.3(1.3:0.7)	
Work type	39.2 ± 10.9	-0.5 (-1.5, 0.4)	-0.9 (-1.8, 0.1)	-0.4 (-1.3, 0.0)	01.7 ± 10.0	-0.8 (-1.8, 0.2)	-0.7 (-1.7, 0.3)	-0.5 (-1.5, 0.7)	
Sodontary	50.1 ± 11.1	Deference	Deference	Deference	62.1 ± 0.8	Deference	Deference	Deference	
Standing	39.1 ± 11.1	0.8*(0.1, 1.5)	0.1(0.7,0.8)	0.0(0.7;0.7)	02.1 ± 9.0	(0.0, 1.8)	(0,0,0,0,0,0,0)	0.2(1.1,0.7)	
Manual	00.1 ± 12.2	1.6*(0.1, 1.5)	0.1(-0.7, 0.6) 0.2(10:15)	0.0(-0.7, 0.7)	03.3 ± 11.1	0.9(-0.0, 1.8)	1.6 (+0.9, 0.6)	-0.2(-1.1, 0.7) 1 7** (2 5: 0 0)	
Nianuai Datirad	01.2 ± 13.7	$1.0^{\circ}(0.4, 2.9)$	0.3(-1.0, 1.3)	0.0(-1.2, 1.2)	03.2 ± 11.0	1.5(-0.3, 1.1)	$-1.0^{++}(-2.3, -0.8)$	$-1.7^{++}(-2.3, -0.9)$	
Unamployed	00.2 ± 13.8	0.7(-1.4; 2.7) 0.7(2.2; 2.8)	0.2(-1.6; 2.2) 0.7(-2.2; 2.7)	0.0(-2.0; 2.0)	60.2 ± 10.0	-1.0(-5.7, 0.4)	$-2.4^{+}(-4.3; -0.4)$	$-2.5^{*}(-4.5; -0.5)$	
Unemployed	01.1 ± 15.1	0.7 (-2.3; 5.8)	0.7 (-2.5, 5.7)	0.1 (-2.9; 5.1)	00.2 ± 9.3	$-3.0^{*}(-3.7, -0.3)$	-5.2* (-5.8; -0.0)	-5.7*** (-0.5; -1.1)	
	60.6 ± 12.9	0.2(0.8, 1.2)	0.5(0.5, 1.5)	0.6(0.4, 1.5)	62.0 ± 11.0	0.6(0.5, 1.8)	1.1*(0.0, 2.2)	1 2* (0 2, 2 4)	
<£20 000 620 000 640 000	00.0 ± 12.0	0.2 (-0.8; 1.2)	0.5 (-0.5, 1.5) Deference	0.0 (-0.4; 1.3)	05.0 ± 11.9	0.0 (-0.3, 1.8) Deference	$1.1^{+}(0.0; 2.2)$	$1.5^{+}(0.2; 2.4)$	
£20 000 - £40 000	59.8 ± 12.0				62.4 ± 11.0		O(1, 1, 1, 2)	0.5(0.2, 1.2)	
>£40 000	59.2 ± 11.0	0.1 (-0.6; 0.9)	-0.1 (-0.8; 0.6)	0.0 (-0.8; 0.7)	62.5 ± 9.8	0.8* (0.1; 1.5)	0.0 (-0.1; 1.3)	0.5 (-0.2; 1.2)	
Single	60.9 ± 11.0	0.7(0.6; 2.0)	0.6(0.7,1.0)	0.5(0.7, 1.8)	62.6 ± 12.0	0.2(15,0.9)	0.1(1.2,1.0)	0.2(0.0, 1.2)	
Siligle	00.8 ± 11.9	0.7 (-0.0; 2.0)	0.0 (-0.7, 1.9)	0.3 (-0.7; 1.8)	02.0 ± 12.0	-0.3 (-1.3; 0.8)	-0.1 (-1.2, 1.0)	0.2 (-0.9; 1.5)	
Married/living as married	60.0 ± 11.9	Reference $0.5(0.7, 1.6)$	Reference $0.2(0.9, 1.5)$	Reference $0.2(0.0, 1.5)$	62.8 ± 10.5	Reference $0.4(0.0, 1.7)$	Reference $0.2(1.1, 1.4)$	Reference $0.2(1.0, 1.5)$	
widowed/separated/divorced	01.1 ± 12.4	0.3 (-0.7; 1.0)	0.5 (-0.8, 1.5)	0.5 (-0.9; 1.5)	05.5 ± 10.5	0.4 (-0.9; 1.7)	0.2(-1.1; 1.4)	0.2(-1.0; 1.3)	
Smoker status	59.0 11.5	Deferrer	Defense	Deferrer	(1.7 + 10.0)	Defense	Defense	Defense	
Never smoked	58.9 ± 11.5	Reference	Reference	Reference	61.7 ± 10.0	Reference	Reference	Reference	
Ex-smoker	59.7 ± 11.7	$0.7^{*}(0.1; 1.4)$	0.5(-0.2; 1.2)	0.3(-0.4; 0.9)	62.8 ± 10.4	$1.2^{**}(0.5; 1.8)$	$1.0^{**}(0.4; 1.0)$	$0.7^{*}(0.1; 1.5)$	
Current smoker	63.7 ± 13.3	4.6** (3.5; 5.7)	3.6** (2.5; 4.6)	3.4** (2.4; 4.4)	65.2 ± 11.7	3.5** (2.5; 4.4)	2.4** (1.5; 5.5)	2.4** (1.5; 5.3)	
Test site	50 5 11 5	D.C.	D (D.C.	(1 7 10 (D. í	D (D (
Cambridge	59.5 ± 11.7	Reference	Reference	Reference	61.7 ± 10.6	Reference	Reference	Reference	
Ely	59.1 ± 11.9	0.5 (-0.3; 1.3)	0.7(-0.0; 1.5)	0.5 (-0.2; 1.3)	62.4 ± 10.0	1.1** (0.4; 1.9)	1.2** (0.5; 1.9)	0.7*(0.0; 1.5)	
Wisbech	60.8 ± 11.9	1.0* (0.2; 1.9)	$1.2^{**}(0.3; 2.1)$	0.8 (-0.0; 1.7)	64.0 ± 10.7	2.1** (1.2; 2.9)	2.0** (1.1; 2.8)	1.2** (0.4; 2.1)	
Seasonality		01/07 01	01(05.0.1)	01(05.00)		0.4 (0.0, 0.0)	00(07.01)	00(07.01)	
Spring		-0.1 (-0.5; 0.4)	-0.1 (-0.5; 0.4)	-0.1 (-0.5; 0.3)		-0.4 (-0.8; 0.0)	-0.3 (-0.7; 0.1)	-0.3 (-0.7; 0.1)	
Winter		-1.4** (-1.9; -1.0)	-1.5** (-1.7; -0.8)	-1.2** (-1.6; -0.8)		-0.4 (-0.8; 0.0)	-0.1 (-0.5; 0.3)	-0.1 (-0.5; 0.3)	
PAEE $(kJ \cdot day^{-1} \cdot kg^{-1})$			0.1** (0.1; 0.1)	$0.1^{**}(0.1; 0.1)$			$0.1^{**}(0.1; 0.1)$	$0.1^{**}(0.1; 0.1)$	
BMI (kg·m ⁻²)				0.4** (0.3; 0.4)				0.5** (0.4; 0.5)	

Unadjusted values represent mean \pm SD VO2max values computed within each substratum. Model values are mean (95% confidence interval). Model 1 is mutually adjusted for sociodemographic characteristics. Model 2 is additionally adjusted for PAEE (kJ·day-1·kg-1). Model 3 is additionally adjusted for BMI (kg·m-2). Mean \pm SD PAEE for women: 49 ± 20 kJ·day⁻¹·kg⁻¹; for men: 59 ± 23 kJ·day⁻¹·kg⁻¹. Mean \pm SD BMI for women: 26.5 ± 5.3 kg·m⁻²; for men: 27.3 ± 4.1 kg·m⁻². * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01. Frequencies across sociodemographic characteristics are reported in Supplementary Table 2.

Figure 1. Sex stratified maximal oxygen consumption per kilogram total body mass (VO_2max_{tbm} ; top panel) and per kilogram fat free mass (VO_2max_{ffm} ; bottom panel) by age, body mass index (BMI), and physical activity energy expenditure (PAEE). Box plots represent medians, interquartile ranges, and minimum-maximum ranges without outliers. The Fenland Study 2005 to 2015.



Figure 2. Seasonal variation in maximal oxygen consumption per kilogram total body mass (VO_2max_{tbm}) and per kilogram fat free mass (VO_2max_{ffm}) stratified by sex and physical activity energy expenditure (PAEE) levels. Dots and bars represent point estimates and 95% confidence intervals from a binned regression procedure, adjusted for age, ethnicity, education level, work type, income, marital status, smoker status, and fitness testing site. Superimposed curves represent seasonal fitness values derived from an unadjusted cosinor model. Vertical dashed lines represent seasonal peaks in fitness values where seasonal variation is observed.



Descriptive epidemiology of cardiorespiratory fitness in UK adults: The Fenland Study.

Tomas I. Gonzales, Kate Westgate, Stefanie Hollidge, Tim Lindsay, Katrien Wijndaele, Nita

G. Forouhi, Simon Griffin, Nick Wareham, Soren Brage

MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

Supplemental Materials

Validation sub-study participant characteristics

We examined the validity of several methods for predicting VO₂max from HR response to the Cambridge Ramped Treadmill Test (CRTT), a submaximal exercise test used in the present study to estimate fitness. The test was originally designed for individual calibration of HR-to-energy expenditure for the quantification of physical activity energy expenditure during free-living, but has been adapted to estimate fitness in population-based studies.

We recruited 97 participants (51 females, 46 males) for the validation study. Nine participants were excluded from the present analyses for terminating the treadmill test early and 5 participants for equipment failure, resulting in a final sample of 42 females and 41 males with valid measures of VO2max. Participant characteristics are described below:

Characteristic	Female (n = 42)	Male (n = 41)
Age (y)	39.6 ± 12.3	41.7 ± 13.5
Height (cm)	165.1 ± 6.4	177.5 ± 6.9
Weight (kg)	63.4 ± 7.5	78.9 ± 11.7
BMI (kg·m ⁻²)	23.2 ± 2.6	25.1 ± 3.6
Fat free mass (kg)	44.5 ± 3.4	62.9 ± 6.4
RHR (bpm)	60.9 ± 8.5	60.1 ± 10.7
Measured HRmax (bpm)	186.3 ± 11.4	186.7 ± 10.6
Age-predicted HRmax (bpm)	180.3 ± 8.6	178.8 ± 9.4
Measured REE (J·kg ⁻¹ ·min ¹)	59.4 ± 7.7	60.6 ± 8.4
Predicted REE (J·kg ⁻¹ ·min ¹)	62.6 ± 4.4	64.4 ± 4.4
VO ₂ max per kg bodyweight (ml O ₂ ·kg ⁻¹ ·min ¹)	39.7 ± 6.7	46.0 ± 9.5
VO_2max per kg fat-free mass (ml $O_2 \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot min^1$)	56.0 ± 7.9	56.8 ± 8.7

BMI: body mass index; RHR: resting heart rate; HRmax: maximal heart rate; REE: Resting energy expenditure; VO_2max : maximal oxygen consumption; Age-predicted HRmax = 208 - 0.7 · age(y); Predicted REE was computed according to Henry (2005)

Measurement of VO₂, resting energy expenditure, and VO₂max

VO₂ during rest and during the CRTT was measured using a computerised metabolic cart (Oxycon Pro, Erich Jaeger GmbH, Hoechberg, Germany); this system has been validated previously (15). Energy expenditure was calculated by indirect calorimetry according to Weir (16). REE was measured in the supine position with a ventilated hood positioned over the participant's head for 15 minutes; the mean of the last 5 min was used in analysis.

The CRTT was conducted according to methods described in the main study, however in the validation study the test was extended with an additional stage to allow direct measurement of VO₂max. After Stage IV of the original protocol, treadmill speed was increased by 0.25 km·h⁻¹ and incline by 0.5% every 15s until exhaustion was reached. Breathby-breath values of VO₂ were averaged in 15s epochs, filtering out the highest and lowest breath values, and VO₂max was computed as the average of the two largest VO₂ values in the last 45s of the test. The test was terminated if one of the following three criteria were satisfied: 1) the participant wanted to stop despite verbal encouragement; 2) participant indication of angina, light-headedness, or nausea; and 3) failure of the testing equipment. In analysis, VO₂max was considered reached if two of the four following criteria were achieved: 1) respiratory exchange ratio value > 1.2; 2) leveling-off in VO₂ (< 2.5 ml O₂·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ change) despite an increase in work rate; 3) leveling-off in HR (< 3bpm per min) despite an increase in WR; and 4) reaching 100% of the participant's age-predicted HRmax.

Statistical analyses

Correlations between predicted and directly measured VO_2max were quantified using Pearson's *r* and Spearman's *rho*. Bias was computed as the difference between predicted and directly measured VO_2max . One-sample t-tests were performed to determine whether mean biases were statistically significantly different from zero. Differential bias was examined by

sex and across combinations of test endpoints (CRTT stages and percentages of age-predicted HRmax). Prediction precision was expressed as the root mean square error (RMSE). Statistical significance was set to 0.05.

Results

We examined the validity of estimated VO₂max values computed from data terminated after stages II, III, and IV, and at percentages of age-predicted HRmax. The table below demonstrates levels of agreement between predicted and directly measured VO₂max when scaled by total body mass and across these test endpoints.

Test endpoint	RMSE Pearson's r Sp		Spearman's p Pooled bias		Female bias	Male bias
Stage IV	6.2	0.79	0.77	$-3.1 \pm 5.5*$	$-2.9\pm5.1*$	$-3.3 \pm 5.9*$
Stage III	7.3	0.67	0.64	$\textbf{-2.5}\pm6.9\textbf{*}$	$-3.6\pm6.5*$	-1.3 ± 7.2
Stage II	16.9	0.11	0.17	$\textbf{-14.2} \pm 9.2 \texttt{*}$	$\textbf{-13.4} \pm \textbf{7.6} \textbf{*}$	$\textbf{-15.2} \pm 10.8 \texttt{*}$
100% age-predicted HRmax	6.0	0.79	0.76	$\textbf{-2.6} \pm 5.5 \texttt{*}$	$-2.9 \pm 5.7*$	$-2.2 \pm 5.3*$
90% age-predicted HRmax	6.5	0.76	0.72	$\textbf{-3.0} \pm 5.8 \texttt{*}$	$-3.4 \pm 6.1*$	$-2.6 \pm 5.5*$
80% age-predicted HRmax	6.8	0.72	0.67	$\textbf{-2.9} \pm \textbf{6.2*}$	$-3.5 \pm 6.3*$	$\textbf{-2.3} \pm 6.1 \texttt{*}$
70% age-predicted HRmax	7.4	0.64	0.63	$\textbf{-2.3} \pm 7.0 \texttt{*}$	$-3.2\pm6.8*$	-1.5 ± 7.3
60% age-predicted HRmax	8.1	0.58	0.58	$\textbf{-1.6}\pm8.0$	$-3.0\pm8.0^{*}$	$\textbf{-0.1} \pm \textbf{7.9}$
50% age-predicted HRmax	10.0	0.57	0.55	$\textbf{-3.0} \pm 9.6 \texttt{*}$	$\textbf{-6.0} \pm 9.4 \texttt{*}$	0.1 ± 8.9

Age-predicted HRmax = 208 - $0.7 \cdot \text{age}(y)$; RMSE: root mean squared error; Bias values are mean \pm standard deviation ml O₂·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹; *: One-sample t-test p < 0.05

The VO₂max estimation method developed for the CRTT demonstrated good levels of agreement with measured VO₂max when the end of stages III and IV were used as test endpoints (Pearson's *r* range: 0.67 to 0.79; mean bias range: -3.1 to -2.5 ml O₂·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) or when the attainment of 80% to 100% of the participants age-predicted HRmax was used as a test endpoint (Pearson's *r* range: 0.72 to 0.79; mean bias range: -3.0 to -2.6 ml O₂·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹). All other test endpoint criteria examined resulted in worse levels of agreement.

We also examined agreement for estimated VO₂max when scaled by fat free mass:

Test endpoint	RMSE	Pearson's r	Spearman's p	Pooled bias	Female bias	Male bias
Stage IV	8.0	0.59	0.59	$-3.9\pm7.0*$	$-4.1 \pm 7.1*$	$-3.7 \pm 7.0^{*}$
Stage III	9.6	0.38	0.40	$-3.2 \pm 9.1*$	$\textbf{-5.1} \pm 9.1 \texttt{*}$	$\textbf{-1.2}\pm8.8$
Stage II	22.2	-0.15	-0.13	$-18.9 \pm 11.7*$	$-18.8\pm10.2*$	-19.1 ± 13.2*
100% age-predicted HRmax	7.9	0.60	0.59	-3.3 ± 7.3*	$\textbf{-4.2} \pm 8.0 \texttt{*}$	$-2.4 \pm 6.5*$
90% age-predicted HRmax	8.6	0.55	0.52	$-3.8 \pm 7.7*$	$\textbf{-4.8} \pm \textbf{8.6}^{*}$	$-2.8 \pm 6.7*$
80% age-predicted HRmax	9.0	0.46	0.43	$-3.7 \pm 8.2*$	$\textbf{-4.9} \pm 8.9 \texttt{*}$	$-2.5 \pm 7.4*$
70% age-predicted HRmax	9.7	0.33	0.34	$-2.9 \pm 9.3*$	$\textbf{-4.4} \pm 9.5 \texttt{*}$	-1.4 ± 8.9
60% age-predicted HRmax	10.8	0.25	0.29	-2.0 ± 10.7	$-4.3 \pm 11.2*$	0.4 ± 9.8
50% age-predicted HRmax	13.7	0.30	0.28	-4.1 ± 13.1*	-8.7 ± 13.6*	0.6 ± 11.0

Age-predicted HRmax = 208 - 0.7 \cdot age(y); RMSE: root mean squared error; Bias values are mean ± standard deviation ml O₂·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹; *: One-sample t-test *p* < 0.05

Levels in agreement were largely similar to those attained when VO₂max was scaled by total

body mass.

-

In the main study sample, we examined on average which test endpoint criteria were

used across	age half-decade	groups:
-------------	-----------------	---------

Sex				Women			
Age group (y)	29-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64
N	184	736	1210	1322	1269	972	283
Age-predicted HRmax	185 ± 1	182 ± 1	178 ± 1	175 ± 1	171 ± 1	168 ± 1	165 ± 1
HRmax reached	160 ± 11	156 ± 12	152 ± 12	150 ± 12	146 ± 12	143 ± 12	139 ± 11
% age-predicted HRmax reached	86 ± 6	86 ± 7	86 ± 7	86 ± 7	85 ± 7	85 ± 7	84 ± 6
Test duration (minutes)	15 ± 1	15 ± 2	15 ± 2	15 ± 2	15 ± 2	14 ± 2	14 ± 2
Sex				Men			
Age group (y)	29-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64
N	161	735	1010	1135	1072	929	274
Age-predicted HRmax	185 ± 1	182 ± 1	178 ± 1	175 ± 1	171 ± 1	168 ± 1	165 ± 1
HRmax reached	156 ± 11	153 ± 12	149 ± 12	147 ± 13	143 ± 12	139 ± 13	137 ± 11
% age-predicted HRmax reached	84 ± 6	84 ± 7	84 ± 7	84 ± 7	83 ± 7	83 ± 8	83 ± 7
Test duration (minutes)	17 ± 2	17 ± 2	17 ± 2	16 ± 2	16 ± 2	16 ± 2	15 ± 2

These results indicate that test endpoint criteria used in the main study participant sample resulted in fitness estimates with acceptable levels of agreement, irrespective of participant age.

Supplementary Table 1.	Descriptive statistics in women	by sociodemographic characteristics.	The Fenland Study 2005-2015

	Count (%)	Age	Total body mass (kg)	BMI (kg·m ⁻²)	FFM (kg)	RHR (bpm)	VO ₂ max _{tbm}	VO ₂ max _{ffm}	PAEE
Age group (y)									
29-34	191 (2.9%)	33 ± 1	66.8 ± 12.4	24.6 ± 4.2	40.8 ± 4.5	64 ± 8	36.1 ± 6.2	58.5 ± 8.8	58 ± 21
35-39	770 (11.5%)	38 ± 1	70.2 ± 15.7	25.8 ± 5.5	42.0 ± 5.6	65 ± 9	36.2 ± 7.1	59.2 ± 10.2	57 ± 22
40-44	1281 (19.1%)	43 ± 1	71.7 ± 15.7	26.4 ± 5.5	42.2 ± 5.7	65 ± 9	35.8 ± 7.2	59.3 ± 10.5	52 ± 20
45-49	1433 (21.4%)	48 ± 1	71.5 ± 14.5	26.5 ± 5.3	42.0 ± 5.3	65 ± 9	35.5 ± 7.7	59.5 ± 11.7	50 ± 20
50-54	1451 (21.7%)	52 ± 1	71.8 ± 14.1	26.9 ± 5.2	41.1 ± 5.1	65 ± 9	35.1 ± 7.8	60.0 ± 12.6	47 ± 19
55-59	1182 (17.7%)	57 ± 1	71.5 ± 14.3	26.9 ± 5.1	40.4 ± 5.2	64 ± 9	34.9 ± 8.1	60.3 ± 13.3	44 ± 18
60-64	383 (5.7%)	61 ± 1	71.7 ± 16.1	27.2 ± 5.6	39.9 ± 5.2	64 ± 9	34.7 ± 8.4	60.0 ± 14.0	41 ± 17
Ethnicity									
White	6172 (92.2%)	49 ± 7	71.6 ± 14.8	26.6 ± 5.3	41.5 ± 5.3	65 ± 9	35.6 ± 7.6	59.9 ± 11.8	49 ± 20
South Asian	78 (1.2%)	46 ± 8	62.7 ± 11.4	25.4 ± 4.4	35.7 ± 4.7	68 ± 9	34.6 ± 10.4	60.2 ± 15.4	44 ± 20
Black	30 (0.4%)	44 ± 7	77.0 ± 19.5	28.7 ± 6.6	45.6 ± 8.2	64 ± 9	35.0 ± 8.1	56.7 ± 13.1	49 ± 22
East Asian	48 (0.7%)	47 ± 7	58.7 ± 8.5	23.3 ± 2.9	36.2 ± 4.2	66 ± 10	38.6 ± 10.3	61.7 ± 15.5	46 ± 20
Others or unknown	363 (5.4%)	44 ± 8	70.6 ± 15.2	26.3 ± 5.3	40.7 ± 5.1	64 ± 8	33.0 ± 6.8	55.0 ± 10.3	48 ± 19
Education level									
None	48 (0.7%)	51 ± 6	75.5 ± 16.0	28.6 ± 6.1	42.3 ± 5.6	64 ± 9	34.6 ± 8.6	60.0 ± 15.4	46 ± 21
Basic	1459 (21.8%)	50 ± 7	73.4 ± 15.6	27.7 ± 5.6	41.3 ± 5.4	66 ± 9	35.2 ± 8.2	60.7 ± 13.2	48 ± 21
Further	3031 (45.3%)	49 + 7	72.1 ± 15.0	26.9 ± 5.4	41.4 + 5.4	65 + 9	35.0 + 7.5	59.5 ± 11.8	49 + 20
Higher	2153 (32.2%)	48 + 8	68.8 ± 13.6	25.2 ± 4.8	41.4 + 5.3	64 + 8	36.2 ± 7.3	59.2 ± 10.9	51 + 20
Work type									
Sedentary	3124 (46 7%)	48 + 7	71.3 ± 14.8	264+53	415 + 54	65 + 9	351 + 72	59 1 + 11 1	46 ± 18
Standing	2093 (31.3%)	49 ± 7	70.8 ± 14.1	26.4 ± 5.1	41.2 ± 5.3	64 ± 9	35.7 ± 7.8	60.1 ± 12.2	52 ± 21
Manual	501 (7 5%)	49 ± 7 48 ± 7	71.2 ± 14.6	26.7 ± 5.1	41.2 ± 5.3	64 ± 9	36.1 ± 9.3	61.2 ± 12.2	52 ± 21 59 + 23
Retired	240 (3.6%)	40 ± 7 59 ± 4	72.0 ± 14.0	20.7 ± 5.3 27 4 + 5 3	41.0 ± 5.3 40.0 ± 5.2	66 ± 9	345 ± 78	60.2 ± 13.7	42 ± 17
Unemployed	77 (1.2%)	47 ± 7	74.9 ± 18.4	27.9 ± 6.5	42.1 + 6.6	66 ± 9	35.3 ± 8.3	61.1 ± 13.1	42 ± 17 45 ± 19
Unknown	656 (9.8%)	47 ± 7 47 ± 8	72.6 ± 16.8	27.0 ± 6.5	42.1 ± 0.0	66 ± 9	35.5 ± 8.0	59.2 ± 12.1	45 ± 17 51 + 22
Income	050 (5.870)	47 ± 0	72.0 ± 10.0	27.0 ± 0.1	41.0 ± 5.5	00 ± 7	55.5±0.4	57.2 ± 12.1	51 ± 22
<f20.000< td=""><td>1103 (16 5%)</td><td>40 ± 8</td><td>72.0 ± 15.0</td><td>27.5 + 5.7</td><td>41.2 ± 5.5</td><td>66 ± 0</td><td>35.4 ± 8.0</td><td>60.6 ± 12.8</td><td>47 ± 21</td></f20.000<>	1103 (16 5%)	40 ± 8	72.0 ± 15.0	27.5 + 5.7	41.2 ± 5.5	66 ± 0	35.4 ± 8.0	60.6 ± 12.8	47 ± 21
£20,000 £40,000	2367 (35.4%)	49 ± 8	72.9 ± 15.9 71.8 \pm 15.1	27.5 ± 5.7 26.8 ± 5.5	41.2 ± 5.3 41.3 ± 5.4	65 ± 0	35.4 ± 8.0	50.0 ± 12.0	47 ± 21 49 ± 20
>£40,000	2005 (44.8%)	49 ± 3 48 ± 7	71.0 ± 13.1 70.3 ± 14.0	20.8 ± 5.5 25.9 ± 5.0	41.5 ± 5.4	61 ± 9	35.2 ± 7.0 35.7 ± 7.2	59.8 ± 12.0 59.2 + 11.0	49 ± 20 51 + 10
VL40 000	2333(44.870)	40 ± 7	70.5 ± 14.0	23.9 ± 5.0	41.5 ± 5.2	04 ± 9	35.7 ± 7.2	59.2 ± 11.0	J1 ± 19 48 ± 22
Ulikilowii Morital status	220 (3.4%)	51 ± 7	72.3 ± 10.2	27.0 ± 5.8	40.8 ± 3.7	00 ± 10	55.8 ± 10.5	01.1 ± 10.0	40 ± 23
Sin ala	129 (6 69())	16 . 7	72.4 + 16.2	26.6 + 5.8	41.0 . 5.9	65 . 0	262 . 72	60.8 + 11.0	51 . 01
Single	438 (0.0%)	40 ± 7	72.4 ± 10.5	20.0 ± 5.8	41.9 ± 5.8	65 ± 9	30.3 ± 7.3	60.8 ± 11.9	51 ± 21
Married/living as married	4114 (61.6%)	50 ± 7	71.0 ± 14.3	26.4 ± 5.2	41.3 ± 5.3	65 ± 9	35.7 ± 7.6	60.0 ± 11.9	49 ± 20
widowed/separated/divorced	593 (8.9%)	51 ± 7	/1.5 ± 15.2	26.7 ± 5.4	41.2 ± 5.3	65 ± 9	36.2 ± 7.9	61.1 ± 12.4	48 ± 20
Unknown	1538 (23.0%)	46 ± 7	71.9 ± 15.7	26.8 ± 5.6	41.6 ± 5.5	64 ± 8	34.2 ± 7.4	57.6 ± 11.2	50 ± 21
Smoker status		· · · -							10 10
Never smoked	3737 (55.9%)	49 ± 7	70.5 ± 14.7	26.3 ± 5.3	41.0 ± 5.4	65 ± 9	35.0 ± 7.4	58.9 ± 11.5	48 ± 19
Ex-smoker	2141 (32.0%)	49 ± 8	72.7 ± 15.0	27.0 ± 5.4	41.9 ± 5.3	64 ± 8	35.3 ± 7.4	59.7 ± 11.7	49 ± 20
Current smoker	727 (10.9%)	47 ± 7	71.3 ± 14.6	26.6 ± 5.3	41.6 ± 5.4	64 ± 9	38.1 ± 8.5	63.7 ± 13.3	56 ± 24
Unknown	78 (1.2%)	48 ± 7	71.8 ± 13.6	26.6 ± 5.3	42.0 ± 4.9	62 ± 8	34.7 ± 6.2	58.5 ± 10.8	52 ± 23
Test site									
Cambridge	2329 (34.8%)	49 ± 7	69.3 ± 13.5	25.5 ± 4.8	40.9 ± 5.3	64 ± 9	35.8 ± 7.7	59.5 ± 11.7	50 ± 20
Ely	2557 (38.2%)	48 ± 7	71.7 ± 14.9	26.7 ± 5.4	41.9 ± 5.3	65 ± 9	35.3 ± 7.5	59.1 ± 11.9	49 ± 20
Wisbech	1805 (27.0%)	49 ± 7	73.5 ± 15.9	27.6 ± 5.6	41.4 ± 5.5	66 ± 9	35.1 ± 7.6	60.8 ± 11.9	49 ± 20
BMI group (kg·m ⁻²)									
<25	3085 (46.1%)	48 ± 8	60.7 ± 6.3	22.3 ± 1.7	38.8 ± 4.1	64 ± 8	37.5 ± 7.5	58.3 ± 11.0	55 ± 21
25-30	2159 (32.3%)	49 ± 7	72.6 ± 6.7	27.1 ± 1.4	41.5 ± 4.4	65 ± 9	34.8 ± 7.2	60.7 ± 12.3	48 ± 18
>30	1444 (21.6%)	50 ± 7	92.2 ± 13.6	34.6 ± 4.5	46.8 ± 5.2	67 ± 9	31.5 ± 6.9	61.1 ± 12.8	39 ± 16
PAEE group (kJ·day ⁻¹ ·kg ⁻¹)									
<40	2341 (35.0%)	50 ± 7	76.2 ± 17.3	28.5 ± 6.2	41.8 ± 6.0	68 ± 9	32.4 ± 7.4	57.5 ± 12.7	30 ± 7
40-60	2529 (37.8%)	48 ± 7	70.2 ± 13.4	26.1 ± 4.7	41.0 ± 5.1	64 ± 8	35.4 ± 6.9	59.6 ± 11.3	49 ± 6
>60	1821 (27.2%)	47 ± 7	66.7 ± 11.1	24.5 ± 3.9	41.4 ± 4.8	61 ± 8	39.0 ± 7.2	62.1 ± 11.0	75 ± 14

Values are mean ± standard deviation, unless otherwise indicated. BMI: Body mass index. FFM: Fat free mass. SBP: Systolic blood pressure. DPB: Diastolic blood pressure. RHR: Resting heart rate. VO₂max_m: Maximal oxygen consumption per kilogram total body mass (ml O₂·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹). VO₂max_{fm}: Maximal oxygen consumption per kilogram fat free mass (ml O₂·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹). PAEE: Physical activity energy expenditure (kJ·day⁻¹·kg⁻¹).

Supplementary Tab	le 2. Descri	ptive statistics in r	nen by soci	odemographic	characteristics.	The Fenland Study	2005-2015.

	Count (%)	Age	Total body mass (kg)	BMI (kg·m ⁻²)	FFM (kg)	RHR (bpm)	VO ₂ max _{tbm}	VO ₂ max _{ffm}	PAEE
Age group (y)									
29-34	161 (2.8%)	33 ± 1	84.6 ± 13.6	26.4 ± 3.8	57.6 ± 6.8	62 ± 9	42.5 ± 6.1	61.5 ± 8.5	65 ± 25
35-39	753 (13.1%)	38 ± 1	84.7 ± 14.7	26.7 ± 4.2	57.4 ± 7.1	60 ± 9	43.3 ± 7.0	63.1 ± 9.7	66 ± 24
40-44	1062 (18.5%)	43 ± 1	86.4 ± 14.2	27.1 ± 4.0	58.1 ± 6.8	61 ± 9	43.0 ± 7.0	63.2 ± 9.5	64 ± 24
45-49	1193 (20.8%)	47 ± 1	86.5 ± 14.3	27.3 ± 4.1	57.6 ± 6.7	61 ± 9	42.4 ± 7.3	62.9 ± 10.4	60 ± 23
50-54	1172 (20.4%)	52 ± 1	86.9 ± 13.8	27.7 ± 4.1	57.3 ± 6.7	61 ± 9	41.3 ± 7.1	62.0 ± 10.2	56 ± 22
55-59	1059 (18.4%)	57 ± 1	86.1 ± 14.1	27.7 ± 4.0	56.2 ± 6.8	62 ± 10	41.2 ± 8.2	62.1 ± 11.5	52 ± 21
60-64	344 (6.0%)	61 ± 1	86.6 ± 15.4	27.8 ± 4.3	56.5 ± 7.2	63 ± 10	40.6 ± 9.0	61.2 ± 13.2	47 ± 21
Ethnicity									
White	5315 (92.5%)	49 ± 8	86.5 ± 14.2	27.4 ± 4.1	57.4 ± 6.8	61 ± 9	42.3 ± 7.5	62.7 ± 10.5	59 ± 23
South Asian	78 (1.4%)	48 ± 7	76.0 ± 12.5	25.8 ± 4.0	49.0 ± 6.6	64 ± 7	40.8 ± 7.6	62.7 ± 12.0	49 ± 17
Black	31 (0.5%)	46 ± 7	85.8 ± 13.8	27.0 ± 3.5	60.8 ± 7.6	62 ± 9	39.8 ± 8.5	55.3 ± 12.1	54 ± 27
East Asian	21 (0.4%)	47 ± 7	70.5 ± 9.3	23.9 ± 3.0	48.8 ± 5.2	67 ± 10	43.5 ± 8.3	62.3 ± 12.1	52 ± 22
Others or unknown	299 (5.2%)	43 ± 8	85.7 ± 13.6	27.2 ± 3.9	57.0 ± 6.4	61 ± 9	39.8 ± 6.2	59.4 ± 8.3	57 ± 22
Education level									
None	35 (0.6%)	51 ± 8	87.2 ± 16.4	28.5 ± 5.5	56.7 ± 8.0	63 ± 8	42.4 ± 6.9	63.5 ± 11.0	57 ± 19
Basic	1005 (17.5%)	49 ± 7	87.1 ± 15.2	28.0 ± 4.3	56.9 ± 7.1	62 ± 9	42.4 ± 8.0	63.7 ± 10.9	62 ± 26
Further	2673 (46.5%)	49 + 7	87.8 + 14.5	27.9 + 4.2	57.9 ± 7.0	62 + 9	42.0 + 7.4	62.8 ± 10.6	60 + 24
Higher	2031 (35.4%)	48 + 8	83.7 + 12.9	26.2 + 3.7	56.6 ± 6.5	61 + 9	42.1 + 7.2	61.7 ± 10.0	55 + 21
Work type	2001 (00.170)	10 = 0	0017 = 1217	2012 - 011	2010 - 012	01 = 9	1211 = 712	01.17 = 10.00	00 - 21
Sedentary	2941 (51.2%)	48 + 7	861+140	27.0 ± 4.0	572 + 67	61 + 9	41.8 ± 7.0	62.1 ± 9.8	53 + 20
Standing	794 (13.8%)	40 ± 7 49 ± 8	86.6 ± 15.0	27.6 ± 4.3	57.2 ± 0.7 57.0 ± 7.3	61 ± 9	47.0 ± 7.0 47.4 ± 7.7	63.3 ± 11.1	61 ± 23
Manual	1672 (29.1%)	49 ± 0 49 ± 7	86.4 + 14.1	27.0 ± 4.0 27.7 ± 4.1	57.0 ± 7.5 57.7 ± 6.8	61 ± 9	42.4 ± 7.7	63.2 ± 11.1	69 ± 25
Retired	135 (2.4%)	$\frac{49 \pm 7}{59 \pm 4}$	85 5 + 14 9	27.7 ± 4.1 27.3 ± 4.2	561 ± 74	62 ± 10	40.2 ± 7.3	60.2 ± 10.6	49 ± 23
Unemployed	74 (1.3%)	48 + 8	87.0 ± 15.4	27.5 ± 4.2 28.1 + 5.2	50.1 ± 7.4 57.1 ± 7.3	62 ± 10 63 ± 10	40.2 ± 7.5 40.2 ± 6.6	60.2 ± 9.3	$\frac{49}{53} \pm 22$
Unknown	128 (2.2%)	40±0 50+8	85.3 ± 15.9	28.1 ± 5.2 28.0 + 4.8	57.1 ± 7.5 55.8 ± 7.8	64 ± 10	40.2 ± 0.0 42.1 ± 0.0	62.7 ± 14.5	35 ± 25 46 ± 21
Income	120 (2.270)	50±0	05.5 ± 15.7	20.0 ± 4.0	55.0 ± 7.0	04 ± 10	42.1 ± 7.7	02.7 ± 14.5	40 ± 21
<f20.000< td=""><td>565 (0.8%)</td><td>50 + 8</td><td>85.0 ± 15.0</td><td>27.5 ± 4.8</td><td>55.4 ± 7.4</td><td>64 ± 10</td><td>42.0 ± 8.1</td><td>63.0 ± 11.0</td><td>55 ± 25</td></f20.000<>	565 (0.8%)	50 + 8	85.0 ± 15.0	27.5 ± 4.8	55.4 ± 7.4	64 ± 10	42.0 ± 8.1	63.0 ± 11.0	55 ± 25
£20 000 £40 000	1008 (22 20/)	50 ± 8	85.0 ± 13.5	27.5 ± 4.8	57.2 + 7.4	64 ± 10	42.0 ± 0.1	63.0 ± 11.9	55 ± 25
£20 000 - £40 000	2147 (54.8%)	49 ± 6	80.3 ± 14.0	27.0 ± 4.2	57.5 ± 7.0	02 ± 9	41.9 ± 7.9	62.4 ± 11.0	01 ± 23
>£40 000	124 (2.2%)	40 ± 7	80.5 ± 15.7	27.1 ± 4.0	57.7 ± 0.0	01 ± 9	42.2 ± 7.1	02.3 ± 9.8	56 ± 22
Unknown Marital status	124 (2.2%)	49 ± 8	84.0 ± 14.5	21.5 ± 3.8	55.4 ± 7.0	63 ± 8	43.0 ± 7.9	04.0 ± 12.7	50 ± 25
Marital status	124 (7.6%)	47 . 0	92.0 . 15.2	26.4 - 4.5	55.5 . 7.1	(2 . 10	10.7 . 0.2	(2.6.12.0	57 . 05
Single	434 (7.6%)	$4/\pm 8$	82.9 ± 15.3	26.4 ± 4.5	55.5 ± 7.1	62 ± 10	42.7 ± 8.3	62.6 ± 12.0	57 ± 25
Married/living as married	3690 (64.3%)	50 ± 7	86.5 ± 14.0	27.4 ± 4.1	57.5 ± 6.8	61 ± 9	42.3 ± 7.5	62.8 ± 10.5	58 ± 23
Widowed/separated/divorced	317 (5.5%)	51 ± 6	85.8 ± 14.2	27.2 ± 4.0	57.4 ± 7.3	62 ± 9	42.9 ± 7.3	63.3 ± 10.5	59 ± 22
Unknown	1295 (22.6%)	45 ± 7	86.5 ± 14.4	27.5 ± 4.1	57.1 ± 6.7	61 ± 9	41.2 ± 6.9	61.3 ± 9.5	60 ± 23
Smoker status		· · · -							
Never smoked	2959 (51.6%)	48 ± 7	85.4 ± 14.1	27.0 ± 4.1	57.1 ± 6.8	62 ± 9	41.8 ± 7.2	61.7 ± 10.0	57 ± 22
Ex-smoker	1951 (34.0%)	50 ± 8	87.7 ± 13.9	27.8 ± 4.1	57.7 ± 6.8	61 ± 9	41.9 ± 7.4	62.8 ± 10.4	58 ± 23
Current smoker	768 (13.4%)	47 ± 8	85.3 ± 15.0	27.2 ± 4.2	57.0 ± 7.3	61 ± 9	44.2 ± 8.1	65.2 ± 11.7	67 ± 27
Unknown	58 (1.0%)	48 ± 8	88.7 ± 18.3	27.9 ± 5.4	57.7 ± 7.1	62 ± 9	40.6 ± 7.4	60.3 ± 9.2	60 ± 26
Test site									
Cambridge	2153 (37.5%)	49 ± 8	83.3 ± 13.1	26.2 ± 3.8	56.1 ± 6.6	61 ± 9	42.0 ± 7.6	61.7 ± 10.6	57 ± 23
Ely	2034 (35.4%)	48 ± 8	87.2 ± 13.9	27.7 ± 4.0	58.1 ± 6.7	61 ± 9	42.2 ± 7.3	62.4 ± 10.0	58 ± 23
Wisbech	1557 (27.1%)	49 ± 7	89.0 ± 15.5	28.4 ± 4.3	57.9 ± 7.2	62 ± 9	42.2 ± 7.5	64.0 ± 10.7	62 ± 25
BMI group (kg·m ⁻²)									
<25	1694 (29.5%)	48 ± 8	73.2 ± 7.2	23.0 ± 1.5	52.7 ± 5.5	60 ± 9	43.7 ± 7.7	60.6 ± 10.2	62 ± 25
25-30	2785 (48.5%)	49 ± 7	85.9 ± 7.8	27.3 ± 1.4	57.4 ± 5.5	61 ± 9	42.3 ± 7.1	63.2 ± 10.3	59 ± 23
>30	1259 (21.9%)	50 ± 7	104.4 ± 12.8	33.2 ± 3.2	63.5 ± 6.4	64 ± 10	39.4 ± 7.1	63.9 ± 10.9	52 ± 21
PAEE group (kJ·day ⁻¹ ·kg ⁻¹)									
<40	1275 (22.2%)	51 ± 8	88.8 ± 16.8	28.3 ± 4.9	56.5 ± 7.3	66 ± 10	38.0 ± 7.7	58.9 ± 11.7	31 ± 7
40-60	1945 (33.9%)	49 ± 7	86.7 ± 14.1	27.4 ± 4.1	57.3 ± 7.0	62 ± 9	41.2 ± 6.7	61.7 ± 9.9	50 ± 6
>60	2524 (43.9%)	47 ± 7	84.5 ± 12.7	26.8 ± 3.7	57.7 ± 6.5	59 ± 8	44.7 ± 6.8	64.9 ± 9.6	80 ± 17

Values are mean ± standard deviation, unless otherwise indicated. BMI: Body mass index. FFM: Fat free mass. SBP: Systolic blood pressure. DPB: Diastolic blood pressure. RHR: Resting heart rate. VO₂max_m: Maximal oxygen consumption per kilogram total body mass (ml O₂·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹). VO₂max_{fm}: Maximal oxygen consumption per kilogram fat free mass (ml O₂·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹). PAEE: Physical activity energy expenditure (kJ·day⁻¹·kg⁻¹).

Supplemental Figure 1. A: Treadmill speed (solid red lines) and incline (solid blue lines) over different stages (time periods between dashed black vertical lines) of the Cambridge Ramped Treadmill Test (CRTT). The test has four stages. The first stage consists of walking at 3.2 km·h⁻¹ at 0% incline for 3 min. The second stage consists of walking while treadmill speed increases from 3.2 to $5.2 \text{ km·h}^{-1} \text{ at 0\%}$ incline for 6 min. The third stage consists of walking at 5.2 km·h^{-1} while incline increases from 0 to 6% for 3 min, and then walking while treadmill speed increases from $5.2 \text{ to } 5.8 \text{ km·h}^{-1}$ and incline from 6 to 10.2% for 3 min. The fourth consists of running while treadmill speed increases from $5.8 \text{ to } 9.0 \text{ km·h}^{-1}$ and incline decreases to 0% for 1 min, and then running while treadmill speed increases from $9.0 \text{ to } 12.6 \text{ km·h}^{-1}$ and at 0% incline for 4.5 min. Instantaneous work rate values, expressed as physical activity intensity (J·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹), were computed from treadmill speed and incline according to measured physical activity intensity. Dotted red horizontal lines represent treadmill speeds. Dotted blue horizontal lines represent treadmill inclines. Dashed vertical grey lines divide sub-phases within stages III and IV. To measure VO₂max in the validation substudy, stage IV was extended (dashed red and blue arrowed lines) until exhaustion was achieved. B: Exemplar data of predicted (solid line) and directly measured (grey dots) physical activity intensity (PAI) across stages II-IV. C: Exemplar data of heart rate across stages II-IV. D: Exemplar data demonstrating PAI-to-heart rate relationship across stages II-IV.



Supplemental Figure 2. A: Scatterplots and 95% confidence interval ellipses demonstrating univariate associations of VO_2max_{tbm} with age, BMI, and PAEE. Dots represent values for individual participants. r values are Pearson correlation coefficients. B: Heat plots demonstrating bivariate associations of VO_2max_{tbm} with age, BMI, and PAEE. Values for rectangular fields were computed as the median VO_2max_{tbm} for all participants within a given range on each axis. Rectangular fields were omitted if less than 30 participants fell within that range. R^2 values were computed by multiple linear regression using VO_2max_{tbm} as the dependent variable.



Supplemental Figure 3. A: Scatterplots and 95% confidence interval ellipses demonstrating univariate associations of VO_2max_{ffm} with age, BMI, and PAEE. Dots represent values for individual participants. r values are Pearson correlation coefficients. B: Heat plots demonstrating bivariate associations of VO_2max_{ffm} with age, BMI, and PAEE. Values for rectangular fields were computed as the median VO_2max_{ffm} for all participants within a given range on each axis. Rectangular fields were omitted if less than 30 participants fell within that range. R^2 values were computed by multiple linear regression using VO_2max_{ffm} as the dependent variable.

