

Abstract

 An end goal of fecal source tracking (FST) is to provide information on risk of transmission of waterborne illnesses associated with fecal contamination. Ideally, concentrations of FST markers in ambient waters would reflect exposure risk. Human mtDNA is an FST marker that is exclusively human in origin and may be elevated in feces of individuals experiencing gastrointestinal inflammation. In this study, we examined whether human mtDNA is elevated in fecal samples from individuals with symptomatic norovirus infections using samples from the US, Mozambique, and Bangladesh. We quantified hCYTB484 (human mtDNA) and HF183/BacR287 (human- associated *Bacteroides*) FST markers using droplet digital PCR. We observed the greatest difference in concentrations of hCYTB484 when comparing samples from individuals with symptomatic norovirus infections versus individuals without norovirus infections or diarrhea 53 symptoms: log_{10} increase of 1.42 in US samples $(3,820\%$ increase, *p*-value = 0.062), 0.49 in Mozambique (308% increase, *p*-value = 0.061), and 0.86 in Bangladesh (648% increase, *p*-value $55 = 0.035$. We did not observe any trends in concentrations of HF183/BacR287 in the same samples. These results suggest concentrations of fecal mtDNA increase during symptomatic norovirus infection and that mtDNA in environmental samples may represent an unambiguously human source-tracking marker that correlates with enteric pathogen exposure risk.

Introduction

 Fecal source tracking (FST) aims to detect fecal contamination in environmental samples and identify the source using a variety of chemical and biological methods. Method validation studies to date have demonstrated FST markers targeting human-associated microbial DNA to have 63 variable sensitivity (true positive rate) and specificity (true negative rate) across geographies $1-5$. Human mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) markers, having been demonstrated to have high sensitivity $6-9$ and high specificity $6-9$ across varying geographies, may complement the use of microbial FST targets, especially in settings or environmental matrices where other FST markers have not been 67 previously validated .

 In addition to retaining high sensitivity and specificity, an ideal FST marker would also convey information about the risk associated with detected fecal contamination: increasing concentration of FST markers in environmental samples should indicate increasing risk of gastrointestinal and 72 other waterborne illnesses associated with exposure . This increasing risk may be due to an increase in fecal input generally increasing chances of pathogens being present or due to a fecal source present with particularly high concentrations of infectious pathogens. However, such a marker has not yet been identified. mtDNA FST markers differ from microbial markers because they target host DNA instead of host-associated microbial DNA. The main cellular sources of fecal 77 mtDNA are thought to be intestinal epithelial cells (IEC) 6.7 and white blood cells (leukocytes) 6.11 . IECs constitute the intestinal epithelium that prevents the entry of harmful substances into the body while selectively allowing entry of beneficial nutrients. Leukocytes transmigrate into the intestinal lumen during enteric infections. Because of these origins, fecal mtDNA concentrations may exhibit baseline levels during homeostasis (e.g., IEC shedding to balance IEC proliferation) with

 elevated levels during inflammatory events (e.g., infection triggering leukocyte transmigration, 83 increased apoptosis, IEC extrusion and shedding) 12,13 .

 A key assumption often used when assessing risk associated with fecal indicators is that concentrations of fecal indicators covary with concentrations of sewage present and, therefore, concentrations of pathogens. If concentrations of mtDNA FST markers increase during cases of enteric infections, specifically in symptomatic cases where vomiting and/or diarrhea facilitates the shedding of pathogens, mtDNA markers may advance the capabilities of FST markers by providing risk information beyond the assumed covariance between concentrations of indicator and pathogen. Associations between mtDNA FST markers and enteric infections have not yet been studied, and it is unknown whether concentrations of fecal mtDNA are indicative of symptomatic enteric infections.

 The aim of this study was to investigate whether concentrations of a human mtDNA FST marker are higher in feces from individuals with symptomatic norovirus infections than feces from individuals without. We used archived fecal samples from participants in studies conducted in the US, Mozambique, and Bangladesh, and compared fecal mtDNA concentrations across three groups: (1) no detected enteric infection and no diarrhea, (2) norovirus infection and no diarrhea, and (3) norovirus infection and diarrhea. We hypothesized that concentrations of fecal mtDNA will be highest in feces from symptomatic norovirus infections versus those from individuals with asymptomatic norovirus infections or no enteric infections.

Materials and Methods

 Feces Samples. We obtained human fecal samples from three different studies conducted in the US, Mozambique, and Bangladesh. We first investigated the US samples, using pairs of one pre- and one post-challenge sample per subject from a norovirus Genogroup I (GI) challenge study in 108 which norovirus-spiked oysters were used as the intentional exposure . These pre- and post- challenge pairs were from six subjects who developed asymptomatic norovirus infections and five subjects who developed symptomatic norovirus infections (Table 1). In the challenge study, symptoms (chills, cramping, diarrhea, fatigue, fever, headache, myalgia, nausea, vomiting, white 112 blood cell shift) were recorded during the challenge period and follow-up visits . To be classified as symptomatic, a subject had to have at least one of the above symptoms, with fever requiring at least one other associated symptom.

 Following initial results from the US samples (Figure S1), we expanded the analysis to include archived fecal samples from two other studies: 1) a cross-sectional study of child (under four years 118 of age) enteric infections in urban Maputo, Mozambique ^{15,16} and 2) an experimental trial evaluating the effect of passive chlorination devices at shared water points on child (under five 120 years of age) diarrhea prevalence in urban Bangladesh $17,18$. We classified the fecal samples using the following criteria: 1) no enteric pathogens detected and from individuals with no reported 122 diarrhea (hereafter referred to as enteric asymptomatic), 2) norovirus GI/GII detected and from 123 individuals with no reported diarrhea (noro asymptomatic), 3) norovirus GI/GII detected, and from 124 individuals with reported diarrhea (noro⁺symptomatic). Detection of enteric pathogens in the archived Mozambique and Bangladesh samples was determined by the Luminex (Austin, Texas, 126 US) xTAG® Gastrointestinal Pathogen Panel RUO (GPP) in previous studies 15,18 . The GPP detects the nucleic acid markers of 15 bacterial, viral, and parasitic enteric pathogens, including

128 norovirus GI/GII with a limit of detection for norovirus GI/GII on the order of 10^6 genome 129 copies/gram of feces 19 . There were low numbers of norovirus-positive feces in the Mozambique 130 and Bangladesh samples, and feces positive for norovirus were often positive for another GPP 131 target ^{15,18}. Because of this, we included norovirus-positive feces that were positive for additional 132 pathogen(s) in the noro+asymptomatic and noro+symptomatic groups. We identified 133 enteric asymptomatic samples by selecting feces that were negative for all Luminex GPP targets. 134 Reported diarrhea in the Bangladesh and Mozambique studies was based on caregiver-reported 135 diarrhea criteria of ≥3 loose or watery feces in a 24-hr period with a 1-week recall period. Because 136 the Bangladesh¹⁷ and Mozambique¹⁵ studies observed low prevalence of caregiver-reported 137 diarrhea, we were limited in the number of noro⁺symptomatic samples we could examine (Table 138 1).

139

DNA Extraction and ddPCR. Prior to DNA extraction, we stored fecal samples at -80°C. For US 141 samples, we performed DNA extractions using 0.1 g of fecal sample and the MO BIO PowerSoil[®] kit (Carlsbad, CA, USA) following manufacturer's instructions. For Mozambique and Bangladesh samples, we performed DNA extractions using 0.1 g of fecal sample and the Qiagen QIAamp® 96 PowerFecal QIAcube® HT Kit automated on the Qiagen QIAcube® HT platform (Hilden, Germany) following manufacturer's instructions, using soil grinding SK38 bead tubes (Bertin Corp., Rockville, MD, USA) containing 650 µl pre-warmed Buffer PW1 and homogenizing the bead tubes on a vortexer for 10 minutes. Following DNA extraction, we stored all extracts at - 148 80°C until analysis. We quantified hCYTB484⁹ and HF183/BacR287²⁰ markers through droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) on Bio-Rad QX200™ Droplet Digital™ PCR (Hercules, CA, USA) using 150 methods developed previously⁹ and normalized marker concentrations to nanograms of double

 replicates for a subset of samples are in supporting information (Table S1). Minimum Information 155 for Publication of Quantitative Digital PCR Experiments is included in the supporting information (Table S2). For both assays, we classified samples as not detected if amplification was 157 below our analytical limit of detection of three positive partitions per ddPCR well ⁹. For the 158 analytical lower limit of quantification, we used previously established assay-specific limits⁹.

 Data Analysis. Because the US samples were collected pre- and post-challenge from each subject, we applied the Wilcoxon signed rank paired test. For the Mozambique and Bangladesh sample sets (cross-sectional data), we used the Kruskal-Wallis test, followed with the Dunn test with 163 Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment. We calculated effect sizes for log_{10} transformed concentrations through a difference in means approach using Cohen's *d*, the difference between the two means divided by the pooled standard deviation. To compare the relative influences of potential confounders, we fitted a generalized linear model (GLM) using a Gaussian identity function to the Mozambique and Bangladesh sample sets using reported diarrhea and norovirus GI/GII 168 detected/not detected (as determined by the GPP) as the independent variables and log_{10} values of hCYTB484 normalized to ng of dsDNA as the dependent variable while adjusting for number of pathogens detected (as determined by the GPP), sex, age (continuous, number of months), and study population (Mozambique or Bangladesh). More information on model fitting can be found in the supporting information. We performed data analyses in R version 4.0.1.

Results and Discussion

 We detected hCYTB484 above quantifiable levels in 100% of the samples in this study and found increases in hCYTB484 in samples from symptomatic norovirus infections. We observed the 177 largest differences in median hCYTB484 copies / ng dsDNA between the enteric⁻asymptomatic 178 and noro⁺symptomatic groups (Figure 1, Table 2): a log₁₀ increase of 1.42 for US samples (3,820%) increase, *p*-value of 0.062, effect size = 4.3), 0.49 increase for Mozambique samples (308% increase, *p*-value of 0.061, effect size = 0.70), and 0.86 increase for Bangladesh samples (648% 181 increase, *p*-value of 0.035, statistically significant at $\alpha = 0.05$ level, effect size = 1.5). The larger 182 effect sizes between enteric⁻asymptomatic and noro⁺symptomatic versus enteric⁻asymptomatic 183 and noro⁺asymptomatic across all three countries (Table 2) suggest that fecal mtDNA concentrations are higher in symptomatic norovirus infections than in asymptomatic norovirus infections (effect sizes calculated as the difference between means normalized to the pooled standard deviation). To investigate what variables influenced fecal mtDNA concentrations, we standardized the GLM regression coefficients to account for different units of measurements and variances of each variable. The standardized GLM regression coefficients (Table S3) show reported diarrhea (0.16, 95% CI: 0 – 0.32, *p*-value = 0.045), norovirus detected (0.12, 95% CI: - 0.16 – 0.39, *p*-value = 0.38), age in months (-0.12, 95% CI: -0.28 – 0.03, *p*-value = 0.12), and study population (Bangladesh or Mozambique) (0.19, 95% CI: -0.36 – -0.03, *p*-value = 0.018) as having the largest magnitudes. However, only reported diarrhea and study population had *p*-values < 0.05 (0.045 and 0.018, respectively). Comparison of the standardized regression coefficients after adjusting for other potential biological confounders suggest that, of the variables tested, diarrhea and study population had the largest influences on fecal mtDNA concentrations.

 The largest increase in concentrations of fecal mtDNA occurred in the US samples, for several 198 possible reasons. Firstly, epithelial cell proliferation declines with age 23 . Compared to the adults in the US study, the children in the Mozambique and Bangladesh studies may have had higher levels of proliferation even when not experiencing diarrhea. Secondly, fecal mtDNA 201 concentrations may have varied due to environmental enteric dysfunction (EED) 24 . The 202 Mozambique and Bangladesh study settings had high prevalence of enteric pathogen exposure ^{15,18} 203 as measured by frequency of pathogen detection in feces : estimated 86% and 88% of feces containing one or more pathogens in Mozambique and Bangladesh samples, respectively. EED, a condition caused by persistent exposure to enteric pathogens, infections, or perturbations and characterized by deleterious changes in the intestinal epithelium, can result in malabsorption and diminished growth and development in children. Despite deleterious changes associated with EED that may potentially change fecal mtDNA concentrations, such as reduced intestinal villi, EED typically presents with few or no acute symptoms, potentially reducing in the effect size we observed in samples from Mozambique and Bangladesh. Lastly, the method of reporting diarrhea differed between the US versus Mozambique and Bangladesh samples: clinical monitoring versus 212 caregiver reported. Caregiver-reported diarrhea is subject to recall biases $26,27$, which may have resulted in misclassification of samples.

 We did not observe any increases in HF183/BacR287 marker copies / ng dsDNA between the 216 enteric⁻asymptomatic and noro⁺symptomatic groups (Figure 1), indicating that the elevated mtDNA concentrations may be specific to mtDNA and not due to a bulk increase in fecal markers. Furthermore, we detected the HF183/BacR287 marker in 52% of samples, with only 31% of samples above the analytical lower limit of quantification (quantifiable), a finding consistent with

220 assessments of HF183 in individual human feces across the globe 5.28 . In contrast, we quantified 221 100% of samples in this study for hCYTB484. HF183/BacR287 was quantifiable in less than a 222 third of the samples and did not exhibit any consistent pattern between the enteric⁻asymptomatic 223 and noro⁺symptomatic groups, suggesting that HF183/BacR287 is not widely quantifiable across 224 individual humans nor are levels of HF183/BacR287 concentration indicative of changes in 225 intestinal inflammatory status. For these reasons, these results suggest HF183/BacR287 would be 226 less useful as a biomarker of intestinal inflammation.

227

228 A variety of sources and processes related to the health of the gastrointestinal system influence 229 fecal mtDNA concentrations. Because the integrity of the intestinal epithelium is essential to the 230 host's health, IECs proliferate and are removed in a highly active and regulated cycle 29 . IEC 231 removal likely depends on the epithelium and host's health, including various potential 232 mechanisms: engulfment following apoptosis $30,31$, shedding into the intestinal lumen $32-35$, 233 shedding in response to pathogen or pathogen-associated insults $36-38$, and shedding during other 234 pathological states such as inflammatory bowel disease, neoplastic growth 39 , and wound healing 235 ³⁴. Additionally, current evidence of norovirus infection in humans points towards enterocytes in 236 the small intestines as the primary tropism $40,41$. Noroviruses, as non-enveloped viruses, are 237 presumed to have lytic effects on their host cells 42 , potentially releasing host mtDNA into the 238 intestinal lumen. Leukocytes can be found in feces from individuals with inflammatory diarrhea 239 $40,43,44$, and neutrophils are highly abundant first responders, transmigrating across the intestinal 240 epithelium during enteric infections ⁴⁵. Lastly, there is emerging evidence of mtDNA's immune-241 signaling role in inflammatory diseases: pathogen-associated signal 46 and damage-associated 242 molecular pattern 13,47 . Many of these processes through which mtDNA is shed in feces are

 involved with gastrointestinal health, lending plausibility to our observation of increased fecal mtDNA during symptomatic norovirus infections. However, non-pathogenic diseases such as inflammatory bowel disease and neoplastic growth may also cause elevated fecal mtDNA.

247 Several limitations qualify our results. Prevalences of reported diarrhea in the Bangladesh¹⁷ and 248 Mozambique trials were low, limiting the number of samples in our analysis and constraining statistical power. Reported diarrhea in these studies was assessed through a caregiver survey and 250 is subject to observational and recall biases $26,27$. Multiple pathogens were commonly detected in the Bangladesh and Mozambique fecal samples, with norovirus rarely detected alone. Co- infections may have affected fecal mtDNA concentrations as well as symptomology; norovirus may not have always been the cause of symptoms. While norovirus is an important cause of gastroenteritis globally, there are other enteric pathogens that can be transmitted through exposure to fecal contamination in the environment and are relevant to FST.

 A human-specific FST marker that is informative of risk of illness is needed because fecal indicator bacteria exhibit non-specificity in the environment (cross-reactivity and regrowth) and because 259 human fecal contamination represents an important risk to human health $48-52$. In this study, we observed increased concentrations of mtDNA in feces from individuals with symptomatic norovirus infections when compared to feces from individuals without norovirus infections or diarrhea symptoms. This suggests that mtDNA markers may serve as biomarkers of intestinal inflammation and may provide risk-relevant information by increasing in concentration when an 264 individual is at higher risk of transmitting norovirus infection 53 . However, more work needs to be 265 done to understand current limitations of human mtDNA in FST . We need better understanding

 of the cellular sources of fecal mtDNA, intactness of fecal mtDNA after defecation, and what conditions modulate fecal mtDNA concentrations. Approaches investigating processes relevant to gastrointestinal health (e.g., expression of IEC proliferation genes) could also help identify relevant mechanisms that modulate fecal mtDNA. Studies on the fate and persistence of fecal 270 mtDNA $8,55$ are needed to understand how the signal is attenuated in environments relative to that 271 of infectious pathogens $56,57$. Before human mtDNA, a nucleic acid marker, can serve as a risk-272 relevant FST marker, we need to understand its relationship with infectious pathogens ⁵⁴. Because human mtDNA FST markers are typically found at lower concentrations in sewage than those of other human-associated FST markers, improved concentration and recovery methods of mtDNA 275 are needed $10,58-61$. Better understanding of potential non-fecal sources of mtDNA and at what 276 concentrations non-fecal sources shed mtDNA are also needed . Potential carry over from 277 consumption of meat or feces of other species should also be investigated 6.9 . Despite these knowledge and technical gaps, results from this study add to previous evidence supporting the utility of human mtDNA as FST markers.

Acknowledgements

 This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant Number 1511825 and the United States Geological Survey under Project ID 2018GA388B. We received further support from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation grant OPP1137224 and the World Bank Strategic Impact Evaluation Fund (SIEF). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. We acknowledge Janet Hatt, Minjae Kim, Angela Peña-Gonzalez, Trent Sumner, Sid Patel, and Victoria Dean.

289 **Tables**

Study population Individuals from which samples were collected Ages of individuals enteric asymptomatic noro*asymptomatic **noro***symptomatic US^a 11 Adult (18 to 50 years of age) 11 6 5 Mozambique 66 Children $(< 4$ years of age) 26 29 11 Bangladesh 120 Children $(< 5$ years of age) 49 68 3

290 **Table 1.** Sample frame for this study.

a 291 ^a All US samples were part of paired samples (pre-challenge and post-challenge) from 11 292 individuals. 6 individuals did not develop symptoms; 5 individuals did develop symptoms.

293

294 **Table 2.** Comparison of log_{10} human mtDNA copies normalized to ng of dsDNA amongst the 295 different health status groups.

	Kruskal- Wallis test	enteric ⁻ asymptomatic versus noro+asymptomatic			noro+asymptomatic versus noro+symptomatic			enteric ⁻ asymptomatic versus noro+symptomatic		
Sample Set	<i>p</i> -value	log_{10} increase in mean hCYTB484 copies $/\text{ng}$ dsDNA	$p-$ value	effect size ^c	log_{10} increase in mean hCYTB484 copies $/$ ng dsDNA	$p-$ value	effect size ^c	log_{10} increase in mean hCYTB484 copies $/\,ng$ dsDNA	$p-$ value	effect size c
US	N/A	0.25 (168%)	1 ^b	0.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.42 $(3,820\%)$	0.062 ^b	4.3
Mozambique	0.068	0.22 (138%)	0.36 ^a	0.32	0.27 (223%)	$0.15^{\rm a}$	0.39	0.49 (308%)	0.061 ^a	0.70
Bangladesh	0.024	0.16 (111%)	0.14^{a}	0.27	0.70 (585%)	0.057 ^a	1.2	0.86 (648%)	0.035^{a*}	1.5

296 Statistically significant result at the $\alpha = 0.05$ level

²⁹⁷ ^a Dunn test adjusted with the Benjamini-Hochberg method for multiple comparisons

²⁹⁸ b Wilcoxon signed rank paired test for paired pre- and post-challenge samples for each individual

^c Effect size reported as the difference between the two sample means divided by the pooled

300 standard deviation of the log¹⁰ transformed data (Cohen's *d*). The larger the effect size, the larger 301 the difference between the mean of the two sample distributions.

302

304

305
306 **Figure 1.** Box and whisker plots of hCYTB484 (top plot) and HF183/BacR287 (bottom plot) 307 concentrations for the various health statuses in this study. Horizontal lines (box) denote the $25th$, $50th$, and $75th$ percentiles and the end of the vertical lines (whiskers) denote the maximum or 309 minimum value of the data that is within 1.5 times the interquartile range over the $75th$ percentile 310 or under the $25th$ percentile. All concentrations are plotted as $log_{10}(concentration + 1)$ and 311 concentrations from feces are normalized to concentration of dsDNA (ng of dsDNA determined 312 by Qubit). The number of quantifiable samples is shown at x-axis tick mark of each box and 313 whisker (" $n = #$ "). HF183/BacR287 plots have different numbers of quantifiable samples because 314 HF183/BacR287 was detected in only 52% of all samples and quantifiable in 31% of all samples. 315

References

- (1) Boehm, A. B.; Van De Werfhorst, L. C.; Griffith, J. F.; Holden, P. A.; Jay, J. A.; Shanks,
- O. C.; Wang, D.; Weisberg, S. B. Performance of Forty-One Microbial Source Tracking
- Methods: A Twenty-Seven Lab Evaluation Study. *Water Research* **2013**, *47* (18), 6812–
- 6828. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.12.046.
- (2) Harris, A. R.; Pickering, A. J.; Harris, M.; Doza, S.; Islam, M. S.; Unicomb, L.; Luby, S.;
- Davis, J.; Boehm, A. B. Ruminants Contribute Fecal Contamination to the Urban
- Household Environment in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Environmental Science and Technology*

2016, *50* (9), 4642–4649. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b06282.

- (3) Nshimyimana, J. P.; Cruz, M. C.; Thompson, R. J.; Wuertz, S. Bacteroidales Markers for Microbial Source Tracking in Southeast Asia. *Water Research* **2017**, *118*, 239–248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.04.027.
- (4) Odagiri, M.; Schriewer, A.; Hanley, K.; Wuertz, S.; Misra, P. R.; Panigrahi, P.; Jenkins,
- M. W. Validation of Bacteroidales Quantitative PCR Assays Targeting Human and
- Animal Fecal Contamination in the Public and Domestic Domains in India. *Science of The*
- *Total Environment* **2015**, *502*, 462–470. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.09.040.
- (5) Reischer, G. H.; Ebdon, J. E.; Bauer, J. M.; Schuster, N.; Ahmed, W.; Åström, J.; Blanch,
- A. R.; Blöschl, G.; Byamukama, D.; Coakley, T.; Ferguson, C.; Goshu, G.; Ko, G.; de
- Roda Husman, A. M.; Mushi, D.; Poma, R.; Pradhan, B.; Rajal, V.; Schade, M. A.;
- Sommer, R.; Taylor, H.; Toth, E. M.; Vrajmasu, V.; Wuertz, S.; Mach, R. L.; Farnleitner,
- A. H. Performance Characteristics of QPCR Assays Targeting Human- and Ruminant-
- Associated Bacteroidetes for Microbial Source Tracking across Sixteen Countries on Six

- Continents. *Environmental Science & Technology* **2013**, *47* (15), 8548–8556.
- https://doi.org/10.1021/es304367t.
- (6) Caldwell, J. M.; Raley, M. E.; Levine, J. F. Mitochondrial Multiplex Real-Time PCR as a
- Source Tracking Method in Fecal-Contaminated Effluents. *Environmental Science &*
- *Technology* **2007**, *41* (9), 3277–3283. https://doi.org/10.1021/es062912s.
- (7) Schill, W. B.; Mathes, M. V. Real-Time PCR Detection and Quantification of Nine
- Potential Sources of Fecal Contamination by Analysis of Mitochondrial Cytochrome b
- Targets. *Environmental Science and Technology* **2008**, *42* (14), 5229–5234.
- https://doi.org/10.1021/es800051z.
- (8) He, X.; Liu, P.; Zheng, G.; Chen, H.; Shi, W.; Cui, Y.; Ren, H.; Zhang, X.-X. Evaluation
- of Five Microbial and Four Mitochondrial DNA Markers for Tracking Human and Pig
- Fecal Pollution in Freshwater. *Scientific Reports* **2016**, *6* (1), 35311.
- https://doi.org/10.1038/srep35311.
- (9) Zhu, K.; Suttner, B.; Pickering, A.; Konstantinidis, K. T.; Brown, J. A Novel Droplet
- Digital PCR Human MtDNA Assay for Fecal Source Tracking. *Water Research* **2020**,
- *183*, 116085. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116085.
- (10) *Microbial Source Tracking: Methods, Applications, and Case Studies*; Hagedorn, C.,
- Blanch, A. R., Harwood, V. J., Eds.; Springer New York: New York, NY, 2011.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-9386-1.
- (11) Martellini, A.; Payment, P.; Villemur, R. Use of Eukaryotic Mitochondrial DNA to
- Differentiate Human, Bovine, Porcine and Ovine Sources in Fecally Contaminated
- Surface Water. *Water Research* **2005**, *39* (4), 541–548.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2004.11.012.

- Ortega, E.; Hatt, J. K.; Cevallos, W.; Trueba, G.; Levy, K.; Konstantinidis, K. T.
- Metagenomic Signatures of Gut Infections Caused by Different Escherichia Coli
- Pathotypes. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **2019**, *85* (24), 1–18.
- https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01820-19.
- (13) Boyapati, R. K.; Dorward, D. A.; Tamborska, A.; Kalla, R.; Ventham, N. T.; Doherty, M.
- K.; Whitfield, P. D.; Gray, M.; Loane, J.; Rossi, A. G.; Satsangi, J.; Ho, G. Mitochondrial
- DNA Is a Pro-Inflammatory Damage-Associated Molecular Pattern Released During
- Active IBD. *Inflammatory Bowel Diseases* **2018**, *24* (10), 2113–2122.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/ibd/izy095.
- (14) Leon, J. S.; Kingsley, D. H.; Montes, J. S.; Richards, G. P.; Lyon, G. M.; Abdulhafid, G.
- M.; Seitz, S. R.; Fernandez, M. L.; Teunis, P. F.; Flick, G. J.; Moe, C. L. Randomized,
- Double-Blinded Clinical Trial for Human Norovirus Inactivation in Oysters by High
- Hydrostatic Pressure Processing. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **2011**, *77* (15),
- 5476–5482. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02801-10.
- (15) Knee, J.; Sumner, T.; Adriano, Z.; Berendes, D.; de Bruijn, E.; Schmidt, W. P.; Nalá, R.;
- Cumming, O.; Brown, J. Risk Factors for Childhood Enteric Infection in Urban Maputo,
- Mozambique: A Cross-Sectional Study. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **2018**, *12* (11),
- 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006956.
- (16) Brown, J.; Cumming, O.; Bartram, J.; Cairncross, S.; Ensink, J.; Holcomb, D.; Knee, J.;
- Kolsky, P.; Liang, K.; Liang, S.; Nala, R.; Norman, G.; Rheingans, R.; Stewart, J.; Zavale,
- O.; Zuin, V.; Schmidt, W.-P. A Controlled, before-and-after Trial of an Urban Sanitation
- Intervention to Reduce Enteric Infections in Children: Research Protocol for the Maputo

- Sanitation (MapSan) Study, Mozambique. *BMJ Open* **2015**, *5* (6), e008215–e008215.
- https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008215.
- (17) Pickering, A. J.; Crider, Y.; Sultana, S.; Swarthout, J.; Goddard, F. G.; Anjerul Islam, S.;
- Sen, S.; Ayyagari, R.; Luby, S. P. Effect of In-Line Drinking Water Chlorination at the
- Point of Collection on Child Diarrhoea in Urban Bangladesh: A Double-Blind, Cluster-
- Randomised Controlled Trial. *The Lancet Global Health* **2019**, *7* (9), e1247–e1256.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(19)30315-8.
- (18) Berendes, D.; Capone, D.; Knee, J.; Holcomb, D.; Sultana, S.; Pickering, A. J.; Brown, J.
- Associations between Enteric Pathogen Carriage and Height-for-Age, Weight-for-Age and
- Weight-for-Height in Children under 5 Years Old in Urban Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- *Epidemiology and Infection* **2020**, *148*, e39. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268820000369.
- (19) Navidad, J. F.; Griswold, D. J.; Gradus, M. S.; Bhattacharyya, S. Evaluation of Luminex
- XTAG Gastrointestinal Pathogen Analyte-Specific Reagents for High-Throughput,
- Simultaneous Detection of Bacteria, Viruses, and Parasites of Clinical and Public Health
- Importance. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **2013**, *51* (9), 3018–3024.
- https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00896-13.
- (20) Green, H. C.; Haugland, R. A.; Varma, M.; Millen, H. T.; Borchardt, M. A.; Field, K. G.;
- Walters, W. A.; Knight, R.; Sivaganesan, M.; Kelty, C. A.; Shanks, O. C. Improved
- HF183 Quantitative Real-Time PCR Assay for Characterization of Human Fecal Pollution
- in Ambient Surface Water Samples. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **2014**, *80*
- (10), 3086–3094. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.04137-13.
- (21) Kelty, C. A.; Varma, M.; Sivaganesan, M.; Haugland, R. A.; Shanks, O. C. Distribution of
- Genetic Marker Concentrations for Fecal Indicator Bacteria in Sewage and Animal Feces.

Applied and Environmental Microbiology **2012**, *78* (12), 4225–4232.

https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.07819-11.

- (22) Whale, A. S.; De Spiegelaere, W.; Trypsteen, W.; Nour, A. A.; Bae, Y.-K.; Benes, V.;
- Burke, D.; Cleveland, M.; Corbisier, P.; Devonshire, A. S.; Dong, L.; Drandi, D.; Foy, C.
- A.; Garson, J. A.; He, H.-J.; Hellemans, J.; Kubista, M.; Lievens, A.; Makrigiorgos, M.
- G.; Milavec, M.; Mueller, R. D.; Nolan, T.; O'Sullivan, D. M.; Pfaffl, M. W.; Rödiger, S.;
- Romsos, E. L.; Shipley, G. L.; Taly, V.; Untergasser, A.; Wittwer, C. T.; Bustin, S. A.;
- Vandesompele, J.; Huggett, J. F. The Digital MIQE Guidelines Update: Minimum
- Information for Publication of Quantitative Digital PCR Experiments for 2020. *Clinical*
- *Chemistry* **2020**, *66* (8), 1012–1029. https://doi.org/10.1093/clinchem/hvaa125.
- (23) Pentinmikko, N.; Katajisto, P. The Role of Stem Cell Niche in Intestinal Aging.

Mechanisms of Ageing and Development **2020**, *191*, 111330.

- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mad.2020.111330.
- (24) Liu, T. C.; Vanbuskirk, K.; Ali, S. A.; Kelly, M. P.; Holtz, L. R.; Yilmaz, O. H.; Sadiq,
- K.; Iqbal, N.; Amadi, B.; Syed, S.; Ahmed, T.; Moore, S.; Ndao, I. M.; Isaacs, M. H.;
- Pfeifer, J. D.; Atlas, H.; Tarr, P. I.; Denno, D. M.; Moskaluk, C. A. A Novel Histological
- Index for Evaluation of Environmental Enteric Dysfunction Identifies Geographic-
- Specific Features of Enteropathy among Children with Suboptimal Growth. *PLoS*
- *Neglected Tropical Diseases* **2020**, *14* (1), 1–21.
- https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007975.
- (25) Brown, J.; Cumming, O. Stool-Based Pathogen Detection Offers Advantages as an
- Outcome Measure for Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene Trials. *American Journal of*

- *Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **2020**, *102* (2), 260–261. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.19- 0639.
- (26) Baqui, A. H.; Black, R. E.; Yunus, M.; Hoque, A. R. A.; Chowdhury, H. R.; Sack, R. B.
- Methodological Issues in Diarrhoeal Diseases Epidemiology: Definition of Diarrhoeal
- Episodes. *International Journal of Epidemiology* **1991**, *20* (4), 1057–1063.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/20.4.1057.
- (27) Arnold, B. F.; Galiani, S.; Ram, P. K.; Hubbard, A. E.; Briceño, B.; Gertler, P. J.; Colford,
- J. M. Optimal Recall Period for Caregiver-Reported Illness in Risk Factor and
- Intervention Studies: A Multicountry Study. *American Journal of Epidemiology* **2013**, *177*
- (4), 361–370. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kws281.
- (28) Mayer, R. E.; Reischer, G. H.; Ixenmaier, S. K.; Derx, J.; Blaschke, A. P.; Ebdon, J. E.;
- Linke, R.; Egle, L.; Ahmed, W.; Blanch, A. R.; Byamukama, D.; Savill, M.; Mushi, D.;
- Cristóbal, H. A.; Edge, T. A.; Schade, M. A.; Aslan, A.; Brooks, Y. M.; Sommer, R.;
- Masago, Y.; Sato, M. I.; Taylor, H. D.; Rose, J. B.; Wuertz, S.; Shanks, O. C.; Piringer,
- H.; Mach, R. L.; Savio, D.; Zessner, M.; Farnleitner, A. H. Global Distribution of Human-
- Associated Fecal Genetic Markers in Reference Samples from Six Continents.
- *Environmental Science & Technology* **2018**, *52* (9), 5076–5084.
- https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b04438.
- (29) Williams, J. M.; Duckworth, C. A.; Burkitt, M. D.; Watson, A. J. M. M.; Campbell, B. J.;
- Pritchard, D. M. Epithelial Cell Shedding and Barrier Function: A Matter of Life and
- Death at the Small Intestinal Villus Tip. *Veterinary Pathology* **2015**, *52* (3), 445–455.
- https://doi.org/10.1177/0300985814559404.

- (30) Hall, P. A.; Coates, P. J.; Ansari, B.; Hopwood, D. Regulation of Cell Number in the
- Mammalian Gastrointestinal Tract: The Importance of Apoptosis. *J Cell Sci* **1994**, *107 (*
- *Pt 1* (12), 3569–3577.
- (31) Barkla, D. H.; Gibson, P. R. The Fate of Epithelial Cells in the Human Large Intestine.
- *Pathology* **1999**, *31* (3), 230–238. https://doi.org/10.1080/003130299105043.
- (32) Watson, A. J. M.; Hall, L. J.; Hughes, K. R. Cell Shedding: Old Questions Answered.
- *Gastroenterology* **2012**, *143* (5), 1389–1391. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2012.09.025.
- (33) Eisenhoffer, G. T.; Loftus, P. D.; Yoshigi, M.; Otsuna, H.; Chien, C.-B.; Morcos, P. A.;
- Rosenblatt, J. Crowding Induces Live Cell Extrusion to Maintain Homeostatic Cell
- Numbers in Epithelia. *Nature* **2012**, *484* (7395), 546–549.
- https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10999.
- (34) Bullen, T. F.; Forrest, S.; Campbell, F.; Dodson, A. R.; Hershman, M. J.; Pritchard, D. M.;
- Turner, J. R.; Montrose, M. H.; Watson, A. J. M. Characterization of Epithelial Cell
- Shedding from Human Small Intestine. *Laboratory Investigation* **2006**, *86* (10), 1052–
- 1063. https://doi.org/10.1038/labinvest.3700464.
- (35) Patterson, A. M.; Watson, A. J. M. Deciphering the Complex Signaling Systems That
- Regulate Intestinal Epithelial Cell Death Processes and Shedding. *Frontiers in*
- *Immunology* **2017**, *8* (JUL), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.00841.
- (36) Williams, J. M.; Duckworth, C. A.; Watson, A. J. M.; Frey, M. R.; Miguel, J. C.; Burkitt,
- M. D.; Sutton, R.; Hughes, K. R.; Hall, L. J.; Caamano, J. H.; Campbell, B. J.; Pritchard,
- D. M. A Mouse Model of Pathological Small Intestinal Epithelial Cell Apoptosis and
- Shedding Induced by Systemic Administration of Lipopolysaccharide. *Disease Models &*
- *Mechanisms* **2013**, *6* (6), 1388–1399. https://doi.org/10.1242/dmm.013284.

- (37) Knodler, L. A.; Vallance, B. A.; Celli, J.; Winfree, S.; Hansen, B.; Montero, M.; Steele-
- Mortimer, O. Dissemination of Invasive Salmonella via Bacterial-Induced Extrusion of
- Mucosal Epithelia. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2010**, *107* (41),
- 17733–17738. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1006098107.
- (38) Boshuizen, J. A.; Reimerink, J. H. J.; Korteland-van Male, A. M.; van Ham, V. J. J.;
- Koopmans, M. P. G.; Büller, H. A.; Dekker, J.; Einerhand, A. W. C. Changes in Small
- Intestinal Homeostasis, Morphology,and Gene Expression during Rotavirus Infection of
- InfantMice. *Journal of Virology* **2003**, *77* (24), 13005–13016.
- https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.77.24.13005-13016.2003.
- (39) Ahlquist, D. A.; Harrington, J. J.; Burgart, L. J.; Roche, P. C. Morphometric Analysis of
- the "Mucocellular Layer" Overlying Colorectal Cancer and Normal Mucosa: Relevance to
- Exfoliation and Stool Screening. *Human Pathology* **2000**, *31* (1), 51–57.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/S0046-8177(00)80198-7.
- (40) Atmar, R. L.; Ramani, S.; Estes, M. K. Human Noroviruses. *Current Opinion in Infectious Diseases* **2018**, *31* (5), 422–432. https://doi.org/10.1097/QCO.0000000000000476.
- (41) Estes, M. K.; Ettayebi, K.; Tenge, V. R.; Murakami, K.; Karandikar, U.; Lin, S. C.; Ayyar,
- B. V.; Cortes-Penfield, N. W.; Haga, K.; Neill, F. H.; Opekun, A. R.; Broughman, J. R.;
- Zeng, X. L.; Blutt, S. E.; Crawford, S. E.; Ramani, S.; Graham, D. Y.; Atmar, R. L.
- Human Norovirus Cultivation in Nontransformed Stem Cell-Derived Human Intestinal
- Enteroid Cultures: Success and Challenges. *Viruses* **2019**, *11* (7), 9–11.
- https://doi.org/10.3390/v11070638.

- (42) Karst, S. M.; Tibbetts, S. A. Recent Advances in Understanding Norovirus Pathogenesis.
- *Journal of Medical Virology* **2016**, *88* (11), 1837–1843.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.24559.
- (43) Guerrant, R. L.; Araujo, V.; Soares, E.; Kotloff, K.; Lima, A. A. M.; Cooper, W. H.; Lee,
- A. G. Measurement of Fecal Lactoferrin as a Marker of Fecal Leukocytes. *Journal of*
- *Clinical Microbiology* **1992**, *30* (5), 1238–1242. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.30.5.1238-
- 1242.1992.
- (44) Mercado, E. H.; Ochoa, T. J.; Ecker, L.; Cabello, M.; Durand, D.; Barletta, F.; Molina,
- M.; Gil, A. I.; Huicho, L.; Lanata, C. F.; Cleary, T. G. Fecal Leukocytes in Children
- Infected with Diarrheagenic Escherichia Coli. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **2011**, *49*
- (4), 1376–1381. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02199-10.
- (45) Luissint, A. C.; Parkos, C. A.; Nusrat, A. Inflammation and the Intestinal Barrier:
- Leukocyte–Epithelial Cell Interactions, Cell Junction Remodeling, and Mucosal Repair.
- *Gastroenterology* **2016**, *151* (4), 616–632. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2016.07.008.
- (46) Ingelsson, B.; Söderberg, D.; Strid, T.; Söderberg, A.; Bergh, A.-C.; Loitto, V.; Lotfi, K.;
- Segelmark, M.; Spyrou, G.; Rosén, A. Lymphocytes Eject Interferogenic Mitochondrial
- DNA Webs in Response to CpG and Non-CpG Oligodeoxynucleotides of Class C.
- *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2018**, *115* (3), E478–E487.
- https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1711950115.
- (47) Boyapati, R. K.; Tamborska, A.; Dorward, D. A.; Ho, G.-T. Advances in the
- Understanding of Mitochondrial DNA as a Pathogenic Factor in Inflammatory Diseases.
- *F1000Res* **2017**, *6* (0), 169. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10397.1.

- by Symptomatic Cases. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* **2012**, *54* (7), 931–937.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cir971.
- (54) Haas, C. N. Quantitative Microbial Risk Assessment and Molecular Biology: Paths to
- Integration. *Environmental Science and Technology*. American Chemical Society July 21,
- 2020, pp 8539–8546. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00664.
- (55) He, X.; Chen, H.; Shi, W.; Cui, Y.; Zhang, X.-X. Persistence of Mitochondrial DNA
- Markers as Fecal Indicators in Water Environments. *Science of The Total Environment* **2015**, *533*, 383–390. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.06.119.
- (56) Korajkic, A.; McMinn, B.; Harwood, V. Relationships between Microbial Indicators and
- Pathogens in Recreational Water Settings. *International Journal of Environmental*
- *Research and Public Health* **2018**, *15* (12), 2842. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15122842.
- (57) Korajkic, A.; Wanjugi, P.; Brooks, L.; Cao, Y.; Harwood, V. J. Persistence and Decay of
- Fecal Microbiota in Aquatic Habitats. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* **2019**, *83* (4). https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00005-19.
- (58) Kapoor, V.; Smith, C.; Santo Domingo, J. W.; Lu, T.; Wendell, D. Correlative Assessment
- of Fecal Indicators Using Human Mitochondrial DNA as a Direct Marker. *Environmental*
- *Science & Technology* **2013**, *47* (18), 130826150654007.
- https://doi.org/10.1021/es4020458.
- (59) Malla, B.; Haramoto, E. Host-Specific Mitochondrial DNA Markers for Tracking the
- Sources of Fecal Pollution. *Current Opinion in Environmental Science and Health*.
- Elsevier B.V. August 1, 2020, pp 34–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.02.006.
- (60) Tanvir Pasha, A. B. M.; Hinojosa, J.; Phan, D.; Lopez, A.; Kapoor, V. Detection of
- Human Fecal Pollution in Environmental Waters Using Human Mitochondrial DNA and

- (61) Villemur, R.; Imbeau, M.; Vuong, M. N.; Masson, L.; Payment, P. An Environmental
- Survey of Surface Waters Using Mitochondrial DNA from Human, Bovine and Porcine
- Origin as Fecal Source Tracking Markers. *Water Research* **2015**, *69*, 143–153.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.10.063.
- (62) Zimmerman, B. D.; Ashbolt, N. J.; Garland, J. L.; Keely, S.; Wendell, D. Human
- Mitochondrial DNA and Endogenous Bacterial Surrogates for Risk Assessment of
- Graywater Reuse. *Environmental Science and Technology* **2014**, *48* (14), 7993–8002.
- https://doi.org/10.1021/es501659r.
-
-

575 **For Table of Contents Only**

576