

# Association of Workload and Practice of Respectful Maternity Care Among the Healthcare Providers, Before and During the COVID-19 Pandemic in South Western Nepal: A Cross-Sectional Study

Alpha Pokharel<sup>1\*</sup>†, Junko Kiriya<sup>2†</sup>, Akira Shibamura<sup>2†</sup>, Ram Silwal<sup>1,2†</sup>, Masamine

Jimba<sup>2†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Green Tara Nepal, Kathmandu, Nepal

<sup>2</sup>Department of Community and Global Health, Graduate School of Medicine, The  
University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

\*Corresponding author

E-mail: [alphapokharel@gmail.com](mailto:alphapokharel@gmail.com)

†These authors contributed equally to this work

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

## Abstract

**Introduction:** Respectful maternity care is an approach that involves respecting women's belief, choices, emotions, and dignity during the childbirth process. As the workload among maternity care workforce affects intrapartum quality care, respectful maternity care might have also been affected, particularly during the pandemic. Thus, this study was conducted to examine the association between workload among healthcare providers and their practice of respectful maternity care, before and during the pandemic.

**Methods:** A cross-sectional study was conducted in South Western Nepal. A total of 267 healthcare providers from 78 birthing centers were included. Data collection was done through telephone interviews. The exposure variable was workload among the healthcare providers, and the outcome variable was respectful maternity care practice before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Multilevel mixed-effect linear regression was used to examine the association.

**Results:** The median client-provider ratio before and during the pandemic was 21.7 and 13.0, respectively. The mean score of respectful maternity care practice was 44.5 (SD 3.8) before the pandemic, which was decreased to 43.6 (SD 4.5) during the pandemic. Client-provider ratio was negatively associated with respectful maternity care practice for both times; before (Coef. -5.16; 95% CI -8.41 to -1.91) and during (Coef. -7.47; 95% CI -12.72 to -2.23) the pandemic.

**Conclusions:** While a higher client-provider was associated with a lower respectful maternity care practice score both before and during the COVID-19 pandemic, the coefficient was larger during the pandemic. Therefore, workload among the healthcare providers should be considered before the implementation of respectful maternity care, and more attention should be given during the pandemic.

## Introduction

Respectful maternity care is an approach based on the principle of ethics and the fundamental rights of women. It includes respecting women's beliefs, independence, choices, emotions, and dignity while providing maternity care (1). Implementing it in birthing centers reduces unnecessary medical interventions such as episiotomy and fundal pressure. It also improves women's satisfaction with care and decreases obstetric violence (2). Whereas, disrespectful treatment or negligence during childbirth endangers both mothers' and newborns' health and decreases women's future use of health facilities (2). A large number of women experience disrespect and abuse during childbirth, with the global prevalence varying between 15% and 98% (3). Some common forms of disrespectful maternity care prevalent worldwide are physical abuse, verbal abuse, refusal to provide pain relief, abandonment, poor communication, and lack of privacy (4). As a response to personal safety and security during the COVID-19 pandemic, some of the respectful maternity care practices deteriorated more than before. Restriction of labor companion and breastfeeding were increased during the COVID-19 pandemic (5,6).

An adequate supply of health human resources is essential to delivering respectful maternity care (7). In a qualitative study, healthcare providers reported

insufficient staff members, high client loads, and inadequate facilities as hindering factors for better maternity care practice (8). Workload among healthcare providers has caused insufficient and unnecessary client care (2,9). A higher workload among healthcare providers at the birthing centers has decreased interpersonal communication with the clients (10). Increased institutional delivery, but the unreplenished shortage of health human resources has burdened the healthcare providers (11). The inclusion of tasks other than the clinical aspect, such as administrative, monthly reporting, and field works, has further increased their workload (12). Consequently, the tasks that do not directly affect the health of women and newborns are often ignored (2,9). The increased workload has also called upon many malpractices in Low-and Middle-income Countries(LMICs) such as unnecessary use of episiotomy and fundal pressure (10). Moreover, increased workload among healthcare providers has negatively affected the quality of care they provide (13).

Nepal has made substantial progress in improving maternal health care access and utilization (14). Despite this, progress is still required to reach universal access to sexual and reproductive health services by 2030. Along with socio-demographic factors, the low quality of care is also a significant barrier to maternal health care access and utilization in Nepal (15,16). As Nepalese women bypass the primary healthcare centers to have child delivery at the tertiary centers, these centers are

overburdened with the clients (17,18). These tertiary health centers were more  
burdened with clients during the COVID-19 pandemic. The increased work burden  
during the pandemic further deteriorated the maternal healthcare quality (19).

Respectful maternity care should be understood from both providers' and  
mothers' sides as it is crucial for its effective implementation (20). However, most  
studies have focused on the mothers' side, and research on the providers' side is still  
lacking (3,8). Since healthcare providers' scarcity was negatively associated with the  
quality of skilled birth care (21), it becomes crucial to know if workload among  
healthcare providers affects the respectful maternity care practice. It is also important  
to assess the influence of the COVID-19 pandemic on respectful maternity care  
practice, since the COVID-19 pandemic has further burned the workload among the  
healthcare providers and negatively affected maternity care (19). Thus, this study was  
conducted to examine the association of workload among healthcare providers and  
their respectful maternity care practice, both before and during the COVID-19  
pandemic.

## Methods

## **Study design and settings**

A cross-sectional study was conducted in the South Western Nepal. The study area included four districts: Rupandehi, Kapilvastu, Nawalparasi (Bardaghat Susta West), and Nawalparasi (Bardaghat Susta East). All the government-run birthing centers within the study area were included in the study.

## **Participants**

All the eligible healthcare providers had a total birthing center experience of more than two years and were working at the present birthing center for more than three months. The duration of work experience was considered to ensure familiarization with the context and work culture (22).

## **Variables**

### **Exposure variable**

The exposure variable was the workload among the healthcare providers. Both subjective and objective measures of workload were assessed. The subjective workload was assessed at individual provider level with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Task Load Index (NASA TXL) scale (24). It is a scale with a six-item questionnaire that measures the level of mental demand, physical demand, temporal

demand, performance, effort, and frustration for the current work (23,24). It consists of a two-part evaluation process: weights and ratings, and its total score range from 0 to 100. A higher score represents a higher workload (24,25).

The objective workload was assessed by the client-provider ratio (26), and the total number of deliveries at the health facility level (10). For the number of deliveries, the healthcare providers were asked to recall the approximate total number of deliveries attained in previous month of data collection. The client-provider ratio was assessed at health facility level and was calculated by dividing the total number of births in the health facility by the total number of healthcare providers during that period.

In Nepal, restrictive measures taken against COVID-19 influenced the client-provider ratio, as the number of institutional births decreased in Nepal (19). To avoid the influence, the client-provider ratio was calculated in two time periods: six months before and after the COVID-19 pandemic declaration by WHO on March 11, 2020 (27). Converting the Nepalese calendar to the Gregorian calendar, the two periods for calculating the client-provider ratio were: July/August 2019 to January/February 2020 (before COVID-19 pandemic declaration) and February/March 2020 to June/July 2020 (after COVID-19 pandemic declaration) (28). To better interpret the client-provider

ratio in the regression analysis, the client-provider ratio of six months was converted to per day.

## **Outcome variable**

The outcome variable in this study was healthcare providers' practice of respectful maternity care. It was assessed using a questionnaire adapted from the performance standard for respected maternity care prepared by the Maternal and Child Health Integrated Program (29). The questionnaire is comprised of 7 domain and 27 items: non-abusive care (6 items), consented care (9 items), confidential care (3 items), dignified care (3 items), non-discriminative care (2 items), non-abandonment care (3 items), and non-detention care (1 item) (29). As all the included birthing centers provided free maternity service to the clients, the non-detention domain (detention in a health facility due to inability to pay hospital bills) was removed from the questionnaire (30). Each item has three responses: always (2 points), sometimes (1 point), and never (0 point). The scores of all items were summed to compute the total score. The possible score ranges from 0 to 52, and a higher score represents the better practice of respectful maternity care.

The maternity care practice was also affected by the COVID-19 in Nepal (19). To incorporate the issue, the healthcare providers were asked to report the practice of each item of respectful maternity care before (July/August 2019 to January/February



2020) and during the COVID-19 pandemic (February/March 2020 to June/July 2020)

(28).

## **Confounders and covariates**

Potential confounders and covariates were added to the study. Healthcare providers'

job positions (7,31) and education level (10,32) were included as confounders.

Awareness of respectful maternity care, SBA training, age, being tested positive for

COVID-19, and years of job experience were included as covariates (10). Healthcare

providers were considered to be aware of respectful maternity care if they had ever

heard or read about it in the past.

## **Validity and reliability of the scale**

Permission was obtained from the concerned organization for the use of the

questionnaires. As the questionnaires were not available in the Nepali language, they

were checked for cultural adaptation through translation and back translation to the

Nepali language and pre-testing of scale among the healthcare providers (33). The

respectful maternity care practice questionnaire was not tested for validity and

reliability among healthcare providers, and was used in Nepal before. Thus, content

validity was ensured through three experts opinion, two online Focus Group

Discussions (FGDs) among the healthcare providers, and the pre-test of the

questionnaires among the healthcare providers. Cronbach's alpha was calculated to measure the internal consistency of respectful maternity care practice questionnaire (33). As a whole (26 item), the score was 0.93. Each of the six domains of respectful maternity care scored above 0.80.

## **Data collection**

Data were collected from September to October 2020. Data were collected through telephone using interviewer-administered questionnaire and response was simultaneously entered into Google forms. The healthcare providers were contacted beforehand for verbal consent and data collection schedule. The research assistants were hired, and trained to obtain verbal consent and to conduct telephone-based interviews. It took approximately 25 minutes to explain the study and interview the healthcare providers. The data for the client-provider ratio were obtained from the records of the health authority.

## **Data analysis**

Descriptive statistics was performed to present the background characteristics of health facilities and healthcare providers, and practice of respectful maternity care among the healthcare providers. A paired t-test was performed to compare the

practice of respectful maternity care before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. A two-level, mixed-effect linear regression analysis was performed with a random intercept at the health facility level. Two null and full models were used for the sub-category of the outcome variable: respectful maternity care practice before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. The intraclass correlation coefficient was used to compare the proportion of variance caused by the random intercept at the health facility level. The significance level was set at 0.05. Google sheet was used for data organization and filtering. Data were exported to R Studio version 1.2.5001 for statistical analyses.

## Research ethics

An ethics approval was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee, Graduate School of Medicine, the University of Tokyo, Japan (serial number: 2020101NI), and Nepal Health Research Council, Nepal (ERB protocol registration number: 524/2020 MT). Permission for data collection was obtained from District Health Offices, district hospitals, and city hospitals. Permission to use healthcare providers' phone numbers was obtained from them through the head of health facilities. Participation in this study was voluntary. Confidentiality was assured, and verbal consent was taken from each healthcare provider. Documentation of the date and time of consent was done. The audio recording of the telephone interview and the verbal consent were not done.

## Results

### Characteristics of health facility

Out of 79 birthing centers, one province hospital was excluded from the study due to administrative issues. As a result, 78 were included in the study. Kapilvastu district had the highest number of health facilities (n=28), and the Parasi district had the least (n=13). About three-quarters of the health facilities were health posts (Table 1).

**Table 1. Characteristics of health facilities (n= 78)**

Level of Health Facility	Districts				Total
	Kapilvastu	Rupandehi	Nawalpur	Parasi	
Health Post	23	16	10	8	57
PHC <sup>a</sup>	2	5	4	2	13
City Hospital	2	0	0	2	4
District Hospital	1	1	1	1	4
<b>Total</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>78</b>

<sup>a</sup>PHC: Primary Health Care Center

### Background characteristics of the healthcare providers

A total of 318 healthcare providers were working at the 78 birthing centers. Among them, 267 healthcare providers completed the interview and were included in data

analyses. The least number of healthcare provider recruited from a health facility was one, whereas the highest was 11. There were no missing data. Table 2 presents the summary of their background characteristics. The majority of the healthcare providers had undergone Auxiliary Nurse Midwife (ANM) education (70.4%), and SBA training (73.4%), worked as an ANM (88.4%), and worked at a health post (63.3%). About 80% of the healthcare providers had never heard of or read about respectful maternity care in the past, and around 7.0% of them had been tested positive for COVID-19.

**Table 2. Background characteristics of the healthcare providers (n=267)**

Characteristics	n (%)	Mean (SD)
<b>Age (years)</b>		30.9 (7.7)
<b>Education</b>		
Auxiliary Nurse Midwife	188 (70.4)	
Proficiency Certificate Level Nursing	64 (24.0)	
Bachelor of Nursing	15 (5.6)	
<b>Occupation</b>		
Auxiliary Nurse Midwife	236 (88.4)	
Staff Nurse	30 (11.2)	
Nursing Officer	1 (0.4)	
<b>Total work experience (years)</b>		8.3 (6.8)

<b>Experience at the present birthing center (years)</b>		3.5 (4.2)
<b>SBA training</b>		
Yes	196 (73.4)	
No	71 (26.6)	
<b>Ever heard/read about respectful maternity care</b>		
Yes	48 (18.0)	
No	219 (82.0)	
<b>COVID-19 test (PCR test) in past</b>		
Positive	18 (6.7)	
Negative	249 (93.3)	
<b>District</b>		
Kapilvastu	83 (31.1)	
Rupandehi	71 (26.6)	
Nawalpur	56 (21.0)	
Parasi	57 (21.3)	
<b>Level of health facility</b>		
Health Post	169 (63.3)	
Primary Health Care Center	44 (16.5)	
City Hospital	20 (7.5)	
District Hospital	34 (12.7)	

247

248 **Workload among the healthcare providers**

The healthcare providers' mean total subjective workload score was 77.7 (range: 30-100). The median client-provider ratio of six months before and during the COVID-19 pandemic was 21.7 (IQR 9.7 to 52.2) and 13.0 (IQR 6.2 to 28.4). The mean number of deliveries attended by a healthcare provider in the last month was 13.6 (SD 19.6) (Table 3).

**Table 3. Characteristics of workload among healthcare providers**

Characteristics	Mean (SD)	Median (1Q-3Q)	Range
Client-provider ratio of 6 months, before the COVID-19 pandemic (n=263)	34.9 (34.7)	21.7 (9.7-52.2)	0.3-189.0
Client-provider ratio of 6 months, during the COVID-19 pandemic (n=263)	22.9 (24.0)	13.0 (6.2-28.4)	1.2-144.0
Number of deliveries attended in last month (n=267)	13.6 (19.6)	9.0 (4.0-15.0)	0.0-150.0
A score of subjective workload [NASA TXL Scale] (n=267)	77.7 (13.2)	78.3 (70.0-87.8)	30-100

## Respectful maternity care practice

During the COVID-19 pandemic, mean total score of respectful maternity care decreased to 43.6 (SD 4.5) from 44.5 (SD 3.8). Table 4 presents the score of practices under each domain of respectful maternity care. For the abuse-free care domain, all healthcare providers never restrained the women during labor. However, 27.3% of

them sometimes had to physically or verbally abuse the women. Also, 59% of them always provided pain and comfort relief to the women in labor. The practice of always touching the women in a culturally appropriate way was 95.1 % before the COVID-19 pandemic and 89.5% during the pandemic. All healthcare providers never separated the baby with the mother, both before and during the pandemic.

Regarding the right to information and informed choice care domain, the practice of always allowing a labor companion was 62.5% before the pandemic, and 49.0% during the pandemic. Statistically significant differences in practices for two time points were noticed for: always allowing labor companion, always introducing self to the women and her companion (before and during the pandemic: 34.8% and 32.5%), always encouraging women to ask questions (before and during the pandemic: 91.0% and 85.0%), respond the questions promptly (before and during the pandemic: 92.8% and 86.1%), explain the procedures (before and during the pandemic: 90.6% and 84.0%), and provide periodic updates during the COVID-19 pandemic (before and during the pandemic: 93.2% and 85.7%). Allowing women to choose the birth position was never practiced by approximately half of the healthcare providers, both before and during the pandemic.

For the confidential care domain, about one-third of the healthcare providers never stored women's files in the locked cabinet, both before and during the



281 pandemic. Around half of the healthcare providers sometimes or never used curtains  
282 for procedures, both before and during the pandemic.

283 For non-abandon care domain, 96.4% of the healthcare providers always  
284 encouraged the women to call before the COVID-19 pandemic, but it was 92.5% during  
285 the pandemic. When called, 67.7% of the healthcare providers always came quickly  
286 before the pandemic, but 64.8% came during the pandemic. While the practice of  
287 never always leaving the women alone during labor was 56.7% before the pandemic, it  
288 was 54.0% during the pandemic.

289

290 **Table 4. Item score of respectful maternity care practice (n=267)**

Questions	Before COVID-19 pandemic			During COVID-19pandemic			p- value
	Always	Sometimes	Never	Always	Sometimes	Never	
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
Abuse-free care							
Never physically or verbally abused	72.2	27.3	0.0	72.0	28.0	0.0	0.318
Never restrained physically	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.318
Touched in a culturally appropriate way	95.1	4.9	0.0	89.5	10.5	0.0	<0.001
Never separated the baby from mother <sup>a</sup>	98.5	1.5	0.0	96.2	3.8	0.0	0.057
Never denied food and fluids <sup>a</sup>	97.0	3.0	0.0	97.0	3.0	0.0	1.000
Provided comfort/pain relief	58.8	23.9	17.2	59.1	17.0	23.9	0.318
Right to information, informed consent, and choice							

Introduced self to women and her companion	34.8	54.6	10.4	32.5	52.3	15.2	<0.001
Encouraged companion to stay with women	62.5	24.7	12.8	49.0	23.5	27.5	<0.001
Encouraged to ask questions	91.0	9.0	0.0	85.0	14.2	0.8	<0.001
Responded questions promptly and politely	92.8	7.2	0.0	86.1	13.9	0.0	<0.001
Explained the procedure	90.6	9.0	0.4	84.0	14.2	1.8	<0.001
Provided periodic update	93.2	6.8	0.0	85.7	12.7	1.6	<0.001
Allowed to move during labor	53.5	42.6	3.7	54.0	42.3	3.7	0.318
Allowed to assume the birth position of choice	19.1	32.9	48.0	19.4	32.2	48.4	0.990
Obtained consent before the procedure	91.7	7.4	0.7	91.3	8.0	0.7	0.318
<b>Confidential care</b>							
Stored file in locked cabinets	19.1	47.9	33.0	19.0	47.7	33.3	0.157
Used curtain or visual barrier	56.6	34.8	8.6	56.2	38.0	7.8	0.655
Used drapes and covering	70.7	26.5	2.6	71.1	26.3	2.6	0.564
<b>Dignified care</b>							
Spoke politely to women	94.0	5.6	0.4	93.0	6.6	0.4	0.083
Allowed non-harmful cultural practice	95.8	4.2	0.0	95.5	4.5	0.0	0.318
Never insulted and intimidated	94.3	5.7	0.0	94.3	5.7	0.0	1.000
<b>Equitable care</b>							
Spoke in an understandable language	92.5	7.5	0.0	92.5	7.5	0.0	1.000
Never disrespected & discriminated the women	98.8	1.2	0.0	98.5	1.5	0.0	0.318
<b>Non-abandon care</b>							
Encouraged to call if required	96.4	3.3	0.3	92.5	7.2	0.3	0.001
Came quickly when called	67.7	32.3	0.0	64.8	35.2	0.0	0.004
Never left women alone	56.7	41.8	0.5	54.0	42.3	3.7	0.001

291 <sup>a</sup> unless medically indicated

## **Factors associated with respectful maternity care practice**

Table 5 presents the results of the multilevel mixed-effect linear regression analysis with a random intercept at the health facility level, for factors associated with respectful maternity care practice by the healthcare providers. The results are presented in two models: respectful maternity care practice before the COVID-19 pandemic and during the pandemic. There are also two null models accompanying each of these full models. Education and total years of experience were excluded from the final model, as they were found to be collinear with occupation and total years of experience, respectively (34).

The healthcare providers' characteristics were considered as level 1 variable, and health facility characteristics were considered as level 2 variable. The health facilities in the null model explained 34.0% variance for the respectful maternity care practice before the COVID-19 pandemic. After controlling for exposure variables, 26% of the variation was explained by the health facilities. The null model explained 40% variance of practice among the health facilities, during the COVID-19 pandemic. After controlling the exposure variables, it was 33.0%.

Age of the healthcare providers was positively associated with respectful maternity care practice before (Coef. 0.08; 95% CI 0.02 to 0.14) and during (Coef. 0.07; 95% CI -0.002 to 0.14) the COVID-19 pandemic. Being tested positive for COVID-19 in

the past was negatively associated with respectful maternity care practice during the COVID-19 pandemic (Coef. -3.18; 95% CI -5.06 to -1.30). The client-provider ratio per day was negatively associated with respectful maternity care practice before (Coef. -5.16; 95% CI -8.41 to -1.91) and during (Coef. -7.47; 95% CI -12.72 to -2.23) the COVID-19 pandemic.

316

**Table 5. Multilevel mixed-effects linear regression analysis for respectful maternity care practice among the healthcare providers**

Predictors	Respectful Maternity Care Practice					
	Before the COVID-19 pandemic		P-value	During the COVID-19 pandemic		p-value
	Null model	Final Model (n=267)		Null model	Final Model (n=267)	
		Estimates (CI)			Estimates (CI)	
Healthcare provider characteristics (Fixed effect)						
The subjective measure of workload		0.02 (-0.02 to 0.05)	0.376		0.02 (-0.02 to 0.06)	0.246
Experience at the present birthing center		-0.04 (-0.15 to 0.07)	0.450		-0.02 (-0.14 to 0.11)	0.784
Occupation						
Auxiliary Nurse Midwife		Reference			Reference	
Staff Nurse		-0.12 (-1.62 to 1.39)	0.879		-0.004 (-1.68 to 1.69)	0.996
Age		0.08 (0.02 to 0.14)	0.011		0.07 (0.002 to 0.14)	0.037
Ever heard/read about RMC						

No		Reference			Reference	
Yes		0.68 (-0.37 to 1.73)	0.203		1.38 (0.17 to 2.59)	0.055
<b>Skilled Birth Attendant training</b>						
No		Reference			Reference	
Yes		-0.20 (-1.15 to 0.75)	0.684		-0.36 (-1.43 to 0.71)	0.513
<b>COVID-19 test (PCR test) in past</b>						
Negative/ Not tested		-			Reference	
Positive		-			-3.18 (-5.06 to -1.30)	0.001
<b>Number of deliveries attended last month</b>		-0.02 (-0.04 to 0.01)	0.129		-0.02 (-0.04 to 0.01)	0.236
<b>Health facility level characteristics (n=78)</b>						
<b>Client-provider ratio per day</b>						
Before the COVID-19 pandemic*		-5.16 (-8.41 to -1.91)	0.002			
During the COVID-19 pandemic					-7.47 (-12.72 to -2.23)	0.005
<b>Level of health facility</b>						
Health Post		0.48 (-1.39 to 2.36)	0.614		-0.12 (-2.41 to 2.18)	0.921
Primary Health Care Center		-0.55 (-2.69 to 1.58)	0.611		-1.93 (-4.57 to 0.71)	0.151
Hospital (City + District)		Reference			Reference	
<b>Random effect</b>						
<b>Health facility level variance (SD)</b>	5.04 (2.24)	3.25 (1.80)		8.36 (2.89)	5.60 (2.36)	
<b>ICC (%)</b>	34.0	26.0		40.0	33.0	

319 CI: Confidence Interval, SD: Standard Deviation, ICC: Intraclass Correlation Coefficient, Before the COVID-19 pandemic: July/August

320 2019 to January/February 2020, During the COVID-19 pandemic: February/March 2020 to June/July 2020.

321

## Discussions

### Principal findings

This study investigated the association between workload and practice of respectful maternity care among the healthcare providers in South Western Nepal, before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. While the workload among the healthcare providers was negatively associated with respectful maternity care practice, both before and during the pandemic, the effect of workload on the practice of respectful maternity care was larger during the pandemic.

Higher workload among the healthcare providers was negatively associated with respectful maternity care practice. However, the coefficient was larger during the pandemic (Coef. -7.47; 95% CI -12.72 to -2.22) than before (Coef. -5.16; 95% CI -8.41 to -1.91). In a high workload setting, healthcare providers often ignore the procedures that do not immediately impact the mother and baby's life (35,36). These high workload settings are often located in urban areas, where the COVID-19 cases are high (5,36). Since healthcare providers want to prevent themselves from acquiring COVID-19 infection, they avoid respectful maternity care practices such as client communication and labor companionship (5). As a result the effect of workload on respectful maternity care practice might have been greater during the pandemic in this study. A study from Nepal also found decrease in labor companionship during the pandemic (19). Therefore,

decrement of workload among the healthcare providers should be considered more during the pandemic.

The variance among each health facility for respectful maternity care practice increased more during the pandemic. The difference in client-provider ratio found among the health facilities from this study, could be a possible reason for the variance of respectful maternity care across the health facilities. It was also found from the national data that the decline in delivery cases varied across the type of health facility in Nepal (28). While 50% or more of local health facilities were closed during the early lockdown period for child delivery, almost all tertiary healthcare centers were open (28). Strengthening the quality of local health facilities can increase child delivery cases there, which will help distribute client-provider ratio among health facilities (37). As a result, respectful maternity care can be practiced, even during the pandemic.

Increased age among the healthcare providers was positively associated with the respectful maternity care practice. A possible explanation could be, with age healthcare providers become more experienced with maternity care. They become more skillful in satisfying women's need, and respecting her desires (10).

Healthcare providers who were tested positive for COVID-19 had lower respectful maternity care practice scores. Healthcare providers are praised for their work during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, they are still stigmatized by community for being the source of infection (38,39). To avoid being stigmatized, they might have avoided respectful maternity care practices such as client interaction and communication (39,40).

Also, other possible reasons could be decreased mental and physical performance due to stigma, psychological distress, and diseases (41,42). Therefore, more physical and mental health support to the healthcare providers should be provided to improve their performance (43).

## **Strengths and weaknesses**

This study has several strengths. It is one of the first on healthcare providers' workload and its association with respectful maternity care during the COVID-19 pandemic. As this study included all the health facilities in the study area, it provides an overview of all levels of health facilities and all the cadre of healthcare providers in Nepal.

It also has several limitations. The respectful maternity care practice was affected by social desirability bias. In order to overcome it, assurance of anonymity and confidentiality was provided. Also, the healthcare providers were well explained about the objectives of the study and its possible impact on the scientific literatures. The data related to respectful maternity care practice before the pandemic depended on the healthcare provider's memory, which might have introduced a measurement error in the outcome assessment. Since the COVID-19 pandemic occurred during the data collection, the researcher had to rely on the self-reporting data for before the pandemic period. The visual rating of the NASA TXL scale was changed to auditory description, as the data collection was done through telephone for the prevention of spread of COVID-19 infection. The change of scale from visual to auditory could have caused over-reporting or



under-reporting of the workload. This could be the reason why it did not show strong evidence for the association with the respectful maternity care practice (44).

## **Conclusions**

While a higher client-provider ratio was associated with a lower respectful maternity care practice score both before and during the COVID-19 pandemic, the coefficient was larger during the pandemic. Also, the variance among the health facilities for respectful maternity care was increased more during the COVID-19 pandemic. The findings of this study call for the decrement in the client-provider ratio for better respectful maternity care practice, especially during the pandemic. However, supplying health human resources per the population demand, especially during the pandemic, may be difficult in a resource-limited setting. The number of delivery at local health facilities can be increased by improving the quality of care, particularly during the pandemic. This could help equal distribution of client-provider and practice respectful maternity care, even during the pandemic. Further, the healthcare providers who were tested positive for COVID-19 had lower respectful maternity care practice scores. Therefore, additional physical and mental health support to the healthcare providers should be considered to improve their respectful maternity care practice, particularly during the pandemic.

## **Acknowledgments**

404

405 The authors would like to express sincere gratitude to all healthcare providers who  
406 participated in this study. We want to thank the District Public Health Office of Rupandehi,  
407 Kapilvastu, Nawalparasi (East and West), and Green Tara Nepal for assisting our research.  
408 We are thankful to all health facilities' administrative departments for supporting and  
409 facilitating our research. We are grateful to Professor Nirmala Pokharel, Ms. Yasoda Giri,  
410 and Ms. Namita Shrestha for their valuable and expert contribution to the questionnaire's  
411 content validity. Special mention of thanks to Ms. Kopila Shrestha and Mr. Kumar Thapa  
412 for helping with the administrative process. We are thankful to Ms. Bandana Rajbanshi  
413 and Ms. Renu Chaudhary for helping with the pre-test of the questionnaire. We are  
414 grateful to Ms. Asmita Jha, Engila Khadka, and Avida Ojha for helping with data collection.  
415 We would also like to thank Ms. Suhyoon Choi for helping with the documentation  
416 process.

417

## 418 **References**

- 419 1. The White Ribbon Alliance for Safe Motherhood. Respectful maternity care: The  
420 universal rights of childbearing women. The White Ribbon Alliance for Safe  
421 Motherhood; 2011.
- 422 World Health Organization. Intrapartum care for a positive childbirth experience.  
423 Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018.

424 Afulani PA, Moyer CA. Accountability for respectful maternity care. *Lancet*. 2019;  
 425 394(10210):1692–3.

426 Bohren MA, Vogel JP, Hunter EC, Lutsiv O, Makh SK, Souza JP, et al. The  
 427 mistreatment of women during childbirth in health facilities globally: A mixed-  
 428 methods systematic review. *PLoS Med*. 2015; 12(6):1–32.

429 Jolivet RR, Warren CE, Sripad P, Ateva E, Gausman J, Mitchell K, et al. Upholding  
 430 rights under COVID-19: The respectful maternity care charter. *Health Hum Rights*.  
 431 2020; 22(1):391–4.

432 Rocca-Ihenacho L, Alonso C. Where do women birth during a pandemic? Changing  
 433 perspectives on safe motherhood during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Glob Heal Sci*.  
 434 2020;2(1):4.

435 Bowser D, Hill K. Exploring evidence for disrespect and abuse in facility-based  
 436 childbirth report of a landscape analysis. Boston: Harvard School of Public Health  
 437 University Research Co., LLC;2010.

438 Ndwiga C, Warren CE, Ritter J, Sripad P, Abuya T. Exploring provider perspectives on  
 439 respectful maternity care in Kenya: “work with what you have.” *Reprod Health*.  
 440 2017;14(1):99.

441 Bogren M, Erlandsson K, Akter HA, Khatoon Z, Chakma S, Chakma K, et al. What  
 442 prevents midwifery quality care in Bangladesh? A focus group enquiry with  
 443 midwifery students. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2018;18(1):639.

444 Dynes MM, Twentyman E, Kelly L, Maro G, Msuya AA, Dominico S, et al. Patient and  
 445 provider determinants for receipt of three dimensions of respectful maternity care  
 446 in Kigoma Region, Tanzania-April-July, 2016. *Reprod Health*. 2018;15(1):41The  
 447 White Ribbon Alliance. Respectful maternity care. Vol. 26. 2016.  
 448 World Health Organization. Global strategy on human resources for health:  
 449 Workforce 2030. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016.  
 450 Global health workforce alliance. Country responses [Internet]. global health  
 451 workforce alliances; 2020 [cited 2020 Dec 3]. Available from:  
 452 <https://www.who.int/workforcealliance/countries/npl/en/>.  
 453 Chang LY, Yu HH, Chao YFC. The Relationship Between Nursing Workload, Quality of  
 454 Care, and Nursing Payment in Intensive Care Units. *J Nurs Res*. 2019;27(1):1.  
 455 Ministry of Health, New ERA. Nepal Demographic Health Survey NDHS 2016 final  
 456 report. Singha Durbar, Nepal: Ministry of Health, New ERA; 2017.  
 457 Kumar Aryal K, Sharma SK, Nath Khanal M, Bista B, Lal Sharma S, Kafle S, et al.  
 458 Maternal health care in Nepal: trends and determinants. DHS Further Analysis  
 459 Reports No. 118. Rockville, Maryland, USA; 2018.  
 460 Shah R, Rehfuess EA, Paudel D, Maskey MK, Delius M. Barriers and facilitators to  
 461 institutional delivery in rural areas of Chitwan district, Nepal: A qualitative study.  
 462 *Reprod Health*. 2018;15(1):110.

Roder-Dewan S, Nimako K, Twum-Danso NAY, Amatya A, Langer A, Kruk M. Health system redesign for maternal and newborn survival: Rethinking care models to close the global equity gap. *BMJ Global Health*. 2020;5(10):2539.

FHD/NHSSP. Responding to increased demand for institutional childbirths at referral hospitals in Nepal: situational analysis and emerging options, 2013.

KC A, Gurung R, Kinney M V, Sunny AK, Moinuddin M, Basnet O, et al. Effect of the COVID-19 pandemic response on intrapartum care, stillbirth, and neonatal mortality outcomes in Nepal: a prospective observational study. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2020;8(10):e1273-e1281.

Jolly Y, Aminu M, Mgawadere F, Van Den Broek N. We are the ones who should make the decision - knowledge and understanding of the rights-based approach to maternity care among women and healthcare providers. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2019;19(1) 42.

Onta S, Choulagai B, Shrestha B, Subedi N, Bhandari GP, Krettek A. Perceptions of users and providers on barriers to utilizing skilled birth care in mid- and far-western Nepal: a qualitative study. *Glob Health Action*. 2014;7(1):24580. Dec 2];7(1):24580.

Asefa A, Bekele D, Morgan A, Kermode M. Service providers' experiences of disrespectful and abusive behavior towards women during facility based childbirth in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Reprod Health*. 2018;15(1):4.

Hart SG, Staveland LE. Development of NASA-TLX (Task Load Index): Results of empirical and theoretical research. *Advances in Psychology*. 1988;52(C):139–83.

484 Human Performance Research Group, NASA Ames Research Center. TASK LOAD  
 485 INDEX (NASA-TLX) v 1.0. Moffett Field. California: NASA Ames Research Center.  
 486 Hoonakker P, Carayon P, Gurses AP, Brown R, Khunlertkit A, McGuire K, et al.  
 487 Measuring workload of ICU nurses with a questionnaire survey: the NASA Task Load  
 488 Index (TLX). IIE Trans Healthc Syst Eng. 2011;1(2):131–43.  
 489 Okonofua F, Ntoimo L, Ogu R, Galadanci H, Abdus-Salam R, Gana M, et al.  
 490 Association of the client-provider ratio with the risk of maternal mortality in  
 491 referral hospitals: A multi-site study in Nigeria. Reprod Health. 2018;15(1):1–9.  
 492 World Health Organization. WHO Director-General’s opening remarks at the media  
 493 briefing on COVID-19 - 11 March 2020 [Internet]. Geneva: World Health  
 494 Organization; 2020 [cited 2021 Jan 4]. Available from:  
 495 [https://www.who.int/director-general/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-](https://www.who.int/director-general/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020)  
 496 [opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020](https://www.who.int/director-general/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020)  
 497 Government of Nepal, Ministry of Health and Population, Department of Health  
 498 Service, Management Division, Integrated Health Information Management  
 499 Section. Assess impact of COVID-19 pandemic in selected health services with  
 500 estimation of ‘ excess maternal deaths. Kathmandu, Nepal: Ministry of Health,  
 501 Government of Nepal, Ministry of Health and Population, Department of Health  
 502 Service, Management Division, Integrated Health Information Management  
 503 Section; 2021.

504 USAID, MCHIP. Respectful maternity care standards. Washington DC, United States:  
 505 MCHIP, USAID. 2019.

506 Government of Nepal, Ministry of Health and Population. Safe Motherhood  
 507 Programme. [Internet]. Government of Nepal, Ministry of Health and Population;  
 508 2020 [cited 2020 Dec 4]. Available from:  
 509 [https://www.mohp.gov.np/eng/program/reproductive-maternal-health/safe-](https://www.mohp.gov.np/eng/program/reproductive-maternal-health/safe-motherhood-programme)  
 510 [motherhood-programme](https://www.mohp.gov.np/eng/program/reproductive-maternal-health/safe-motherhood-programme).

511 Friese MA, White S V., Byers JF. Chapter 34. Handoffs: Implications for Nurses.  
 512 Patient Safety and Quality: An evidence-based handbook for nurses. Rockville (MD):  
 513 Agency for healthcare research and quality (US); 2008. Chapter 34.

514 Gebeyehu S, Zeleke B. Workplace stress and associated factors among healthcare  
 515 professionals working in public health care facilities in Bahir Dar City, Northwest  
 516 Ethiopia, 2017. BMC Res Notes. 2019; 12(1): 1–5.

517 Streiner DL, Norman GR. Health Measurement Scales: A practical guide to their  
 518 development and use. Health Measurement Scales: A Practical guide to their  
 519 development and use. Fourth edition. Newyork: Oxford University Press; 2008.

520 Dormann CF, Elith J, Bacher S, Buchmann C, Carl G, Carré G, et al. Collinearity: a  
 521 review of methods to deal with it and a simulation study evaluating their  
 522 performance. Ecography (Cop). 2013 Jan; 36(1):27–46.

523 Reader TW, Gillespie A. Patient neglect in healthcare institutions: A systematic  
 524 review and conceptual model.BMC Health Serv Res. 2013;13:156.

525 Kafle K, Shrestha DB, Baniya A, Lamichhane S, Shahi M, Gurung B, et al.  
 526 Psychological distress among health service providers during COVID-19 pandemic in  
 527 Nepal. PLoS One. 2021;16(2):e0246784.

528 Kimani RW, Maina R, Shumba C, Shaibu S. Maternal and newborn care during the  
 529 COVID-19 pandemic in Kenya: Re-contextualising the community midwifery model.  
 530 Hum Resour Health. 2020;18(1):75.

531 Taylor S, Landry CA, Rachor GS, Paluszek MM, Asmundson GJG. Fear and avoidance  
 532 of healthcare workers: An important, under-recognized form of stigmatization  
 533 during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Anxiety Disord. 2020;75:102289.

534 Khanal P, Devkota N, Dahal M, Paudel K, Joshi D. Mental health impacts among  
 535 health workers during COVID-19 in a low resource setting: a cross-sectional survey  
 536 from Nepal. Global Health. 2020; 16(1):89.

537 Razu SR, Yasmin T, Arif TB, Islam MS, Islam SMS, Gesesew HA, et al. Challenges  
 538 Faced by Healthcare Professionals During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Qualitative  
 539 Inquiry From Bangladesh. Front Public Heal. 2021; 9:1024.

540 Suvvari TK, Kutikuppala LVS, Tsagkaris C, Corriero AC, Kandi V. Post-COVID-19  
 541 complications: Multisystemic approach. Journal of Medical Virology. 2021;  
 542 93(12):6451–5.

543 Ramaci T, Barattucci M, Ledda C, Rapisarda V. Social Stigma during COVID-19 and its  
 544 Impact on HCWs Outcomes. *Sustainability*. 2020 ;12(9):3834.



545       Liu Q, Luo D, Haase JE, Guo Q, Wang XQ, Liu S, et al. The experiences of health-care  
546       providers during the COVID-19 crisis in China: a qualitative study. *Lancet Glob*  
547       *Health*. 2020;8(6):e790–8.

548       Block ES, Erskine L. Interviewing by telephone: Specific considerations,  
549       opportunities, and challenges. *Int J Qual Methods*. 2012;11(4):428–45.

550

551

552