

**Title: Knowledge, Attitude and Perceptions Regarding Male Infertility among the Medical Students and Healthcare Professionals of Bangladesh**

Mohammad Azmain Iktidar<sup>1,2</sup>, A M Khairul Islam<sup>1,2</sup> , Sreshtha Chowdhury<sup>1,2</sup>, Simanta Roy<sup>1,2\*</sup> Mahzabeen Islam<sup>3</sup>, Tonmoy Chowdhury<sup>4</sup>, Mustari Nailah Tabassum<sup>3</sup>, Tahsin Sumat Ali<sup>5</sup>, Atandra Akash<sup>3</sup>, Mashrur Ahmed<sup>1,2</sup>, Faraz Al Zafar<sup>1,2</sup>, Mohammad Delwer Hossain Hawlader<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Public Health Professional Development Society (PPDS), Bangladesh

<sup>2</sup> Department of Public Health, North South University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

<sup>3</sup> Chittagong Medical College, Chattogram, Bangladesh

<sup>4</sup> Rangamati Medical College, Rangamati, Bangladesh

<sup>5</sup> Comilla Medical College, Comilla, Bangladesh

**\*Corresponding Author**

Simanta Roy

MBBS, MPH

Department of Public Health

North South University

Email: [simantaroy23@gmail.com](mailto:simantaroy23@gmail.com)

Contact: +8801840074177

## **Abstract**

### **Background**

People worldwide have many misconceptions regarding reproductive health and fertility because infertility is still a taboo subject. The general public seek medical and psychological counsel and assistance from health experts about male infertility. Therefore, this study aimed to explore the knowledge, attitude, and behavior regarding male infertility among medical students and health care workers of Bangladesh.

### **Method**

This cross-sectional study used quota sampling to assure equal participation from each of the eight divisions of Bangladesh and convenience. 46 structured questions were used to assess respondents' knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions (34-items for knowledge, 5-items for attitudes, and 7-items for perceptions). Two scoring systems were employed with the mean scores as cut-off value for good knowledge and positive attitude. The mean knowledge and attitude scores were then correlated with sociodemographic factors using chi-square and two-independent sample t-tests. Finally, we performed binary logistic regression to explore predictors of good knowledge and positive attitude.

### **Result**

Among the participant, 49.82% did not have a good male infertility knowledge and nearly 60.79% had negative attitudes regarding male infertility. Young (23-26 years) healthcare professionals and medical students were more likely to have good knowledge than others (OR: 1.81; 95% CI: 1.099 to 2.988). Surprisingly, females were more likely to have positive attitude (OR=1.48; 95%CI: 1.002 and 2.19, p=0.049) compared to males. Among all the professions, MBBS doctors were most likely to have good knowledge and positive attitude regarding male infertility. Good knowledge of male infertility predicted positive attitude (OR=1.61; 95% CI: 1.105 and 2.346, p=0.013) and vice versa.

### **Conclusion**

Our research found that despite favourable opinions, healthcare professionals and medical students in Bangladesh had inadequate knowledge and negative attitudes regarding male infertility. This emphasizes the need for interdisciplinary training programs, standardization of healthcare worker guidelines, and curricular adjustments for medical students.

## Introduction

Childbirth is seen as a crucial component of human existence, and most men and women take parenting for granted and look forward to it. Infertility is a condition of the reproductive system that affects both men and women at almost the same rate, and it is a common phenomenon.<sup>1</sup> According to WHO, Infertility is a disorder of the male or female reproductive system characterized by the inability to conceive after 12 months or more of unprotected sexual activity. Around the world, more than 70 million couples of the global population suffer from infertility, and the majority of them are residents of developing countries.<sup>2</sup> Infertility patterns in poor countries differ significantly from those in developed countries. It may be distressing for couples because societal conventions and religious dictums may associate infertility with personal, interpersonal, emotional, or social failure. In the majority of situations, women suffer the burden of cultural prejudices.<sup>3</sup>

Although it was formerly thought that infertility was primarily due to a female element, it is now widely acknowledged that male factor infertility is just as essential as female factor infertility.<sup>4</sup> Male infertility accounts for a considerable share of infertility, and reproductive tract infections, STDs, varicocele, diabetes, obesity, cystic fibrosis, hypogonadism are the major causes to be seen.<sup>5</sup> Tight undergarments, keeping mobile or laptops near the genitalia, tobacco, and excessive exercise have also been proved to be responsible for decreased sperm concentration in the male population.

The prevalence of male infertility has not been accurately recognized due to patriarchal preferences in many countries.<sup>6</sup> Due to a lack of understanding of the factors contributing to male infertility, some men may unknowingly engage in activities that impair their capacity to produce biological children.<sup>7</sup> Certain medical conditions like cancer, genitourinary surgery, prostate surgery, cardiovascular disease can affect the fertility rate to a great extent. So, there is a need to educate health service providers about this issue and improve men's awareness of avoiding and managing reproductive health issues.

People worldwide have many misconceptions regarding reproductive health and fertility because infertility is still a taboo subject.<sup>8</sup> Understanding psychological and social problems associated with infertility would benefit from recognizing ideas and attitudes towards male infertility.<sup>9</sup> Uses of alternative medicines like herbal, spiritual healing, homeopathy etc., are pretty popular in male infertility treatment. In developing countries, people tend to have more affinity towards these than other medical treatments. However, it is much more important to

introduce reproductive health through mass media and campaigns in educational institutions. Also, a complex mix of social, cultural, and medical factors must be included in these awareness programs.

Men face a difficult emotional journey after getting diagnosed with infertility disorder. According to research, infertile males have poorer self-esteem, higher anxiety, and more somatic symptoms.<sup>10</sup> Many studies investigated infertility-related knowledge, behaviors, perceptions, and practices, but only a small amount of information is known for male infertility-related knowledge, attitude and perceptions. So, male infertility is a grave concern in our family and society. The general public seek medical and psychological counsel and assistance from health experts about male infertility. So, this issue should be well-understood by all physicians, medical students, and other health care providers who will become doctors in the future. This study aimed to explore the knowledge, attitude, and perceptions regarding male infertility among medical students and health care workers of Bangladesh.

## **Method**

### **Study participants and study site**

This cross-sectional study was done in Bangladesh among 556 medical students and healthcare professionals (Figure 1). The research was completed in two months. The researchers employed an online survey to ensure social distancing and take appropriate precautions throughout the pandemic. Sample were selected quota sampling method to ensure equal representation from each of the eight divisions of Bangladesh and convenience. Participants were included upon meeting following criteria: (1) Bangladeshi resident, (2) health care professional or medical student, and (3) providing informed consent

### **Figure 1**

### **Instrument and Measurement**

A semi-structured and self-reported questionnaire including informed consent and four categories (sociodemographic, knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions) was used during data collection. The entire questionnaire was inputted into Google Forms without any randomization of items for online distribution and tested for usability and technical functionality. The form had 55 questions distributed over two pages. Mandatory items were highlighted with a red asterisk and relevant non-response option was present. Respondents were able to review their answers through the back button and change their response if they

deemed necessary. The survey never displayed a second time once the user had filled it in to prevent duplicate entries.

### **Sociodemographic information**

The questionnaire included age, sex, marital status, educational institution, employment, monthly family income, and religion. In terms of personal information, individuals were queried about their medical history and sexual exposure.

### **Knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions**

To ascertain respondents' level of knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions, a total of 46 structured questions were used (34-items for knowledge, 5-items for attitudes, and 7-items for perceptions). These questions were derived from a review of the prior literature.

The knowledge portion had 34 questions with three response categories (i.e., "True," "False," and "Don't know"). These questions aimed to explore general knowledge, disease-specific knowledge, drug-specific knowledge, investigation-specific knowledge, healthy practice-specific knowledge, and knowledge about the associated factor. The 'Correct answer' was assigned a value of 1, while the 'Wrong answer/Don't know' was assigned a 0. The total score was calculated by adding the raw scores for all 34 questions, ranging from 0 to 34. A score more than the mean suggested "Good knowledge" about male infertility. Cronbach's alpha value for knowledge items was 0.861.

The attitude part included five statements (e.g., Mass media should promote educational programs on male infertility; Campaigns on Reproductive Health should be organized in the educational institutions), with a two-point Likert scale (0 = Disagree, 1 = Agree). The total score was determined by adding the raw values for each of the five statements, ranging from 0 to 5, and a score greater than the mean indicating a "Positive attitude" regarding male infertility. Cronbach's alpha coefficient for attitudes items was 0.77.

The perceptions section included seven items assessing participants' attitudes toward male infertility, six of which were yes/no questions (e.g., General people have poor knowledge about male infertility; Men feel depressed to be considered themselves as infertile etc.) and one were scenario-based questions (e.g., Suppose you are treating a couple for infertility, who should be investigated first?).

### **Survey Administration**

Trained research assistants contacted prospective participants via convenience and quota sampling and described the research in detail. Once the individuals were ascertained of meeting the inclusion criteria and consented to voluntary participation in the study, link to a web-based survey created by Google Forms was sent via facebook message/email/SMS making it a closed survey. The survey wasn't announced or advertised anywhere else. Of the 588 eligible participants who agreed to participate 556 participants completed the entire questionnaire (completion rate: 94.56%), incomplete questionnaires were excluded from analysis.

### **Statistical analysis**

We used Stata (version 16; StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) for data analysis. A histogram, a normal Q-Q plot, and the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test were used to check for normality in continuous data. Arithmetic mean was used for quantitative data as a measure of center and standard deviation was used as measure of dispersion. Chi-square tests and two-independent sample t-test were used to examine the relation of the mean knowledge and attitude scores with sociodemographic characteristics. Finally, we performed binary logistic regression analysis to find out the predictors of good knowledge and positive attitude. All p-values were considered statistically significant if  $< \alpha 0.05$ . Cronbach's alpha was used to assess internal consistency for each knowledge and attitude scale.

### **Ethics**

The Institutional Review Board of North South University approved the research, and all participants provided informed consent. Wherever feasible, the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and later modifications and comparable ethical standards were followed. Data collection was voluntary and no incentives was offered to participants. Data were only accessible to the authors and was not disclosed anywhere. All the reporting was done according to the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES) guidelines.<sup>11</sup>

### **Result**

#### **Sociodemographic Characteristics**

Among the 556 participants, the majority (41.1%) was between 23 and 26 years of age, with females representing 59.89% of the respondents. 78.6% of the participants were Muslims, and 70.86% of the study participants were unmarried. Approximately 14.21% of participants

had children, 10.07% of the participants were married but not planning for children currently, 2.16% were trying to conceive, 10.07% were not planning for children, and 1.44% were suffering from infertility. Among the respondents, 75.36% were from government institutions, 21.94% were from private institutions, and 2.7% were in the autonomous category. 37.77% of the participants were doctors, whereas nurses made up 10.61%, students were 44.24%, and others were 7.37%. About 71.22% of the participants had never been sexually exposed, but 28.78% gave a history of sexual exposure. The study found that 91.73 % of participants had no family history of infertility, while 8.27% had family history of infertility. 10.25% reported obesity as a co-morbidity, 7.37% reported hypertension, 5.22% reported diabetes, 3.06% reported a history of mumps, 3.06% reported a history of genitourinary infection, 1.62% reported autoimmune diseases, 1.26% reported a history of tuberculosis, and 76.44% reported no personal history of diseases. In terms of household income, details of the participants have been mentioned in **Table 1**.

### **Knowledge about Male Infertility**

In this section, the maximum possible score for each respondent was 34, whereas the respondents' mean score was  $19.48 \pm 6.04$ . The overall prevalence of good knowledge was 50.18 %. The highest overall prevalence of good knowledge was regarding investigation-related information (83.09%), whereas the lowest overall prevalence was in the disease-related section (38.74%). More than half of the males (56.5%) showed good knowledge, although for females was 45.05%. Concerning different subgroups, 74.03% of MBBS doctors showed good knowledge; on the other hand, only 28.81% of nurses showed good knowledge. Among the other subgroups, 57.14% BDS Doctors, 42.02% MBBS Students, 41.38% BDS Students, and 31.71% other healthcare staff had overall good knowledge.

### **Attitude towards Male Infertility**

The overall total possible score for attitude for each respondent was 5, but the mean score of the respondents was mean:  $4.17 \pm 0.88$ . Surprisingly only 39.21% had a positive attitude towards male infertility. The most positive attitude was regarding 'Mass media should promote educational programs on male infertility, where around 96% of respondents had a positive attitude. On the other hand, only 46% of the respondents had a positive attitude regarding the treatment cost of male infertility (**Table 4**).

## Perception Regarding Male Infertility

93.71 % participants perceived, "general people have poor knowledge about male infertility" and 75.72 % thinks, "Uses of alternative medicine (herbal, spiritual healing, homeopathy etc.) are popular in male infertility treatment." Concurrently, 89.39 % believe that males are depressed about their infertility. Approximately 94.96 % believe that males who are infertile endure a challenging emotional journey. Only 7.19% of the participants took part in male infertility training. About 84% disagreed with the notion that "prescription of alternative medicine is beneficial in the treatment of male infertility." During infertility treatment, 14.57 % believed "male should be investigated first," 8.63 % thought "female should be investigated first," and 76.8 % considered "both male and female should be investigated first."

## Predictors of Knowledge and Attitude towards Male Infertility

**Table 1** presents the bivariate analysis of potential factors associated with the knowledge and attitude towards male infertility. Two independent sample t-test revealed age, gender, income, profession, and children status were significantly associated with both knowledge and attitude. In addition, sexual exposure was significantly associated with knowledge but not with attitude. Family history of infertility was also significantly associated with both knowledge and attitude. In the case of co-morbidities, obesity was significantly associated with knowledge of male infertility. On the other hand, hypertension and a history of genitourinary infection were significantly associated with attitudes towards male infertility.

**Table 2** reveals that males have significantly better knowledge than females in general, drug-related and associated factors related knowledge items. MBBS doctors had significantly better knowledge than all others professions in all the knowledge domains except associated factors related knowledge, and they were significantly better than BDS doctors in general, disease-related and drug-related items.

**Table 3** and **Table 5** depict the binary logistic regression model for good knowledge and positive attitude, respectively. Participants between 23 and 26 had 80% more knowledge than participants whose age was less than 23 years (OR: 1.81; 95% CI: 1.099 to 2.988). In addition, participants from private institutions have 9% more knowledge about male infertility than those from government institutions (OR: 1.099; 95% CI: 0.69 to 1.755).



MBBS doctors had the most knowledge among all the professions. Compared to them, BDS doctors, MBBS students, BDS students, nurses, and other health professionals had 51%, 65%, 66%, 83%, and 74% less knowledge about male infertility. Furthermore, participants with a positive attitude towards male infertility had around 60% more knowledge than participants with negative attitudes (OR: 1.59; 95% CI: 1.082 to 2.342).

In terms of attitude, **Table 5** shows that females had a 48% more positive attitude towards male infertility than males, with a 95% confidence interval (OR=1.48; 95%CI: 1.002 and 2.19, p=0.049). In addition, BDS doctors, MBBS students, BDS students, nurses, and other health professionals had 44%, 60%, 72%, 73%, and 91% less positive attitudes towards male infertility than MBBS doctors. Moreover, participants with good knowledge about male infertility had 61% more positive attitudes compared to participants who had poor knowledge (OR=1.61; 95% CI: 1.105 and 2.346, p=0.013).

## Discussion

This study explored the knowledge, attitude and beliefs of medical students and healthcare professionals of Bangladesh regarding male infertility. The key findings were that nearly half of the study population didn't have a good male infertility knowledge and nearly two-thirds had negative attitudes regarding male infertility. Young (23-26 years) healthcare professionals and medical students were more likely to have good knowledge. Surprisingly, females were more likely to have positive attitude compared to males. Among all the professions, MBBS doctors were most likely to have good knowledge and positive attitude regarding male infertility. Good knowledge of male infertility predicted positive attitude and vice versa.

This study clearly highlighted the lack of awareness concerning male infertility as barely half (50.18%) of the population in our study possessed overall good knowledge. Knowledge is also significantly (p=0.001) lower among females compared to males. This lack of understanding explains why infertility is associated with such a negative connotation in our society.<sup>12</sup> Our finding is consistent with a global poll of nearly 17,500 women from ten countries, conducted during the World Fertility Awareness Month (2006).<sup>13</sup> The lack of awareness was further reinforced when it was discovered that just half (56.76%) of the participants recognized how infertility is diagnosed after at least one year of regular unprotected sex. This may influence the couple's decision to seek treatment, which should not

be premature or postponed.<sup>14</sup> Most of our participants were aware of the age at which male fertility begins to diminish which corroborated the findings of Hammarberg et al (2013).<sup>15</sup> Despite advances in microsurgery and genetics that have transformed diagnosis and treatment of male infertility, there are still many unanswered questions, as properly identified by most of our participants (79.96%).<sup>16</sup>

A number of factors have been associated with male infertility; including various diseases (e.g., STD etc.), treatment modalities (e.g., steroid etc.) and lifestyle habits (e.g., smoking, alcohol consumption etc.).<sup>17-19</sup> While it is unnecessary for non-physicians to be aware of all possible causes, it is necessary for them to be aware of acquired and potentially preventable causes of infertility, such as sexually transmitted illnesses. In contrast to Ali et al. (2011) majority of our participants didn't have a good knowledge regarding disease related (38.74%) and iatrogenic causes (47.30%) of male infertility.<sup>20</sup> However, most of them correctly identified STDs, psychosexual disorders, genitourinary infections, obesity and autoimmune diseases to be the causes of male infertility.

Nearly three-fourth of our participants accurately identified the negative consequences of cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption on male fertility. These findings agree with data from previous studies.<sup>20,21</sup> This higher prevalence of awareness regarding tobacco and alcohol may be due to extensive campaigning by the Government of Bangladesh and also associated social stigma.<sup>22,23</sup>

People all over the world have accumulated a plethora of myths about reproductive health and fertility. We found several misunderstandings regarding of male infertility in our study, such as keeping mobile near genitalia (46.94%), masturbation (46.94%), wearing tight underwear (40.11%), length of penis (35.07%) & excessive exercise (17.09%). These beliefs are consistent with studies from Pakistan and Saudi Arabia.<sup>20,24</sup>

Age of the participants was a significant predictor of good knowledge; individuals from 23 to 26 years of age having the maximum likelihood (AOR=1.812, p=0.02) of having good knowledge regarding male infertility. Hammarberg et al. (2013) came to similar conclusion from their study on Australian population of reproductive age.<sup>15</sup>

Females in our study were 48.3% more prone to having a positive attitude towards male infertility compared to males (AOR=1.483, p=0.049). Women who are infertile are often

subjected to more societal pressure than men, which may explain why women a more positive attitude on infertility than men.<sup>25</sup>

MBBS doctors had a significantly higher prevalence of good knowledge and positive attitude compared to all other healthcare professionals and medical students, this may be explained by their medical background and training. Zhang et al. (2019) reports similar positive attitudes but limited knowledge among oncology physicians of China.<sup>26</sup>

93.71% of our study participants perceive general population to have poor knowledge about male infertility, which in fact corresponds to the finding of our current study. This poor knowledge may be attributed to only 7.19% receiving training on male infertility. When asked who should be investigated first in case of an infertile couple, majority (76.8%) of the participants responded “both” which is consistent with the findings from Saudi Arabia but contrasts findings from Latin America.<sup>24,27</sup> Nearly all of the study participants perceive men diagnosed with male infertility face a difficult emotional journey (94.96%) and often feel depressed about their situation (89.39%) which is in line with the current evidence.<sup>28</sup> Although 83.09% don't support alternative medicine (homeopathy, spiritual healing etc.) for the treatment of male infertility, majority (75.72%) admits these treatment modalities are popular in the treatment of male infertility. This shows how common it is for people to think that all illnesses can't be cured by medical science and this belief is congruent to the evidence from Pakistan.<sup>20</sup>

### **Limitations**

Owing to our study's cross-sectional design, we cannot infer causality for the associations that we have reported in this article. We, therefore, sought to control for the potential effect of confounders by reporting AORs using multiple regression models. Number of participants in our study may be a limitation, although it is larger than that of similar studies.<sup>15,20,21</sup> Furthermore, selection bias is always a potential drawback in non-random studies. We employed quota sampling technique to ensure representative sample from all over the country.

### **Conclusion**

Aside from these caveats, the findings of our current study suggest that healthcare professionals and medical students of Bangladesh have overall inadequate knowledge and negative attitude towards male infertility. This points to the necessity for multidisciplinary

training programs, the formulation of a standard guideline for healthcare workers, and necessary curriculum changes for medical students.

## References

1. Kshrisagar SP, Shirsath AS. A cross-sectional study of fertile period awareness, knowledge, attitudes and practice in infertile couples seeking fertility assistance. *International Journal of Reproduction, Contraception, Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2018;7(9):3744. doi:10.18203/2320-1770.ijrcog20183787
2. Ombelet W, Cooke I, Dyer S, Serour G, Devroey P. Infertility and the provision of infertility medical services in developing countries. *Human reproduction update*. 2008;14(6):605-621. doi:10.1093/humupd/dmn042
3. Kshrisagar SP, Shirsath AS. A cross-sectional study of fertile period awareness, knowledge, attitudes and practice in infertile couples seeking fertility assistance. *International Journal of Reproduction, Contraception, Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2018;7(9):3744. doi:10.18203/2320-1770.ijrcog20183787
4. Rahman F, Rahman M, Mahmud N, Ahsan GU, Islam MI. Prevalence of Male Infertility among the Infertile Couples Attended at BIRDEM General Hospital, Dhaka. *Ibrahim Cardiac Medical Journal*. 2018;6(1-2):25–32. doi:10.3329/icmj.v6i1-2.53754
5. Chowdhury SH. Male Infertility-A Global Overview & Bangladesh Perspective. *Journal of Current Medical Practice*. Published online 2019:32.
6. Kamala E, Karunya AS, Nalinakumari SD. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice about Male Infertility among Men and Women in the Field Practice Area of a Tertiary Care Teaching Hospital. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PREVENTIVE AND PUBLIC HEALTH SCIENCES*. 2019;5(2):1-4.
7. Daumler D, Chan P, Lo KC, Takefman J, Zelkowitz P. Men's knowledge of their own fertility: a population-based survey examining the awareness of factors that are associated with male infertility. *Human Reproduction*. 2016;31(12):2781-2790. doi:10.1093/humrep/dew265
8. Abolfotouh MA, Alabdrabalnabi AA, Albacker RB, Al-Jughaiman UA, Hassan SN. Knowledge, attitude, and practices of infertility among Saudi couples. *International journal of general medicine*. 2013;6:563-573. doi:10.2147/IJGM.S46884
9. Fahami F, Quchani SH, Ehsanpour S, Boroujeni AZ. Lived experience of infertile men with male infertility cause. *Iranian journal of nursing and midwifery research*. 2010;15(Suppl 1):265-271.
10. Joja OD, Dinu D, Paun D. Psychological Aspects of Male Infertility. An Overview. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 2015;187:359-363. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.03.067>

11. Eysenbach G. Improving the quality of Web surveys: the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES). *Journal of medical Internet research*. 2004;6(3). doi:10.2196/JMIR.6.3.E34
12. Papreen N, Sharma A, Sabin K, Begum L, Ahsan SK, Baqui AH. Living with infertility: Experiences among urban slum populations in Bangladesh. *Reproductive Health Matters*. 2000;8(15):33-44. doi:10.1016/S0968-8080(00)90004-1
13. World Fertility Awareness Month 2006. *What You Never Know about Fertility*. .; 2006.
14. Crosignani PG, Albertini DF, Anderson R, et al. A prognosis-based approach to infertility: understanding the role of time. *Human Reproduction*. 2017;32(8):1556-1559. doi:10.1093/HUMREP/DEX214
15. Hammarberg K, Setter T, Norman RJ, Holden CA, Michelmore JE, Johnson LE. Knowledge about factors that influence fertility among Australians of reproductive age: a population-based survey. *Fertility and Sterility*. 2013;99:502-507. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2012.10.031
16. Wald M. Male infertility: Causes and cures. *Sexuality, Reproduction and Menopause*. 2005;3(2):83-87. doi:10.1016/J.SRAM.2005.09.006
17. Fode M, Fusco F, Lipshultz L, Weidner W, Catto J. Sexually Transmitted Disease and Male Infertility: A Systematic Review. Published online 2016. doi:10.1016/j.euf.2016.08.002
18. Pasqualotto FF, Lucon AM, Sobreiro BP, Pasqualotto EB, Arap S. Review Effects of Medical Therapy, Alcohol, Smoking, and Endocrine Disruptors on Male Infertility.
19. el Osta R, Almont T, Diligent C, Hubert N, Eschwège P, Hubert J. Anabolic steroids abuse and male infertility. Published online 2016. doi:10.1186/s12610-016-0029-4
20. Ali S, Sophie R, Imam AM, et al. Knowledge, perceptions and myths regarding infertility among selected adult population in Pakistan: A cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*. 2011;11. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-11-760
21. Nakhon SN, Limvorapitux P, Vichinsartvichai P. Knowledge regarding factors that influence fertility in Thai reproductive-age population living in urban area: A cross-sectional study. *Clinical and Experimental Reproductive Medicine*. 2018;45(1):38-43. doi:10.5653/cerm.2018.45.1.38
22. Islam JY, Zaman MM, Bhuiyan MR, et al. Alcohol consumption among adults in Bangladesh: results from STEPS 2010. *WHO South-East Asia Journal of Public Health*. 2017;6(1).
23. National Tobacco Control Cell. Mass Media Campaign. Health Services Division, Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh. Accessed February 15, 2022. <https://ntcc.gov.bd/activities/mass-media-campaign>

24. Abolfotouh MA, Alabdrabalnabi AA, Albacker RB, Al-Jughaiman UA, Hassan SN. Knowledge, attitude, and practices of infertility among Saudi couples. *International Journal of General Medicine*. 2013;6:563-573. doi:10.2147/IJGM.S46884
25. Bassey I abasi E, Isiwele EM, Omotoso A, Ushie ED, Ekwere PD. Knowledge , Perceptions and Attitudes towards Male Infertility□: A Cross Sectional Survey in a Tertiary Institution in South-southern Nigeria. *Ijdmsr*. 2018;2(4):22-28.
26. Zhang H, Wang G, Jiang B, et al. The Knowledge, Attitude, and Self-Reported Behaviors of Oncology Physicians Regarding Fertility Preservation in Adult Cancer Patients. *Journal of Cancer Education*. 2020;35(6):1119-1127. doi:10.1007/s13187-019-01567-6
27. Luna F. Assisted reproductive technologies in Latin America: some ethical and sociocultural issues. In: Vayena E, Rowe P, Griffin P, eds. *Current Practices and Controversies in Assisted Reproduction*. World Health Organization; 2002:31-34. Accessed February 15, 2022. <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/42576/9241590300.pdf;jsessionid=45C4C8B5745FB772CF10405F3454FF55?sequence=1>
28. Ahmadi H, Montaser-Kouhsari L, Nowroozi MR, Bazargan-Hejazi S. Male infertility and depression: a neglected problem in the Middle East. *The journal of sexual medicine*. 2011;8(3):824-830. doi:10.1111/J.1743-6109.2010.02155.X

**Table 1: Baseline characteristics of study participants and their knowledge & attitude score (n=556)**

Variable	n	%	Knowledge Score (mean $\pm$ SD: 19.48 $\pm$ 6.04)			Attitude Score (mean $\pm$ SD: 4.17 $\pm$ 0.88)		
			Mean	$\pm$ SD	p-value	Mean	$\pm$ SD	p-value
<b>Age, in years</b> ( mean $\pm$ SD: 26.31 $\pm$ 6.87 years)					<0.001			0.002
<23	157	28.81	16.37	5.44		4.08	0.80	
23-26	224	41.1	19.15	5.84		4.32	0.80	
$\geq$ 27	164	30.09	18.67	6.87		4.01	1.02	
<b>Gender</b>					0.001			0.002
Male	223	40.11	19.27	0.43		4.03	0.07	
Female	333	59.89	17.53	0.32		4.26	0.04	
<b>Income, in BDT</b> ( mean $\pm$ SD: 68,247 $\pm$ 93,054 BDT)					0.001			0.008
<31,000	165	29.68	16.68	5.9		3.98	1.01	
31,000-50,000	92	16.55	18.64	5.74		4.17	0.96	
51,000-67,000	163	29.32	18.68	6.45		4.25	0.82	
$\geq$ 68,000	136	24.46	19.28	5.98		4.30	0.66	
<b>Religion</b>					0.18			0.15
Islam	437	78.6	18.45	6.1		4.21	0.85	
Hindu	102	18.35	17.58	6.38		4.05	0.98	
Buddhism	17	3.06	16.29	5.27		3.94	0.97	
<b>Institution</b>					0.17			0.37
Government	419	75.36	18.18	6.27		4.20	0.85	
Private	122	21.94	18.71	5.81		4.09	0.94	
Other	15	2.7	15.6	4.24		4.00	1.07	
<b>Profession</b>					<0.001			<0.001
Doctor	210	37.77	20.83	6.42		4.42	0.80	
Student	246	44.24	16.88	5.64		4.10	0.84	
Nurse	59	10.61	15.71	4.64		4.03	0.83	
Other	41	7.37	16.56	4.63		3.49	1.08	
<b>Marital Status</b>					0.20			0.90
Married	157	28.24	18.7	6.51		4.18	0.91	
Unmarried	394	70.86	17.99	5.97		4.17	0.86	
Other	5	0.9	21.8	6.22		4.00	1.41	
<b>Children Status</b>					0.08			<0.001
Have children	79	14.21	17.63	6.39		4.00	1.01	
Trying to	12	2.16	18.04	5.99		4.18	0.85	

conceive									
Not planning	56	10.07	21.36	5.43		4.00	0.85		
Suffering from infertility	8	1.44	19.93	6.83		4.57	0.50		
Not applicable	401	72.12	17.5	3.93		2.63	0.92		
<b>Sexual Exposure</b>					0.009				0.92
No	396	71.22	17.8	0.31		4.17	0.04		
Yes	160	28.78	19.3	0.49		4.18	0.07		
<b>Family history of infertility</b>					0.036				0.06
No	510	91.73	18.39	0.28		4.19	0.04		
Yes	46	8.27	16.41	0.73		3.93	0.13		
<b>Co-morbidity</b>									
Obesity	57	10.25	19.54	0.7	0.09	4.11	0.13	0.56	
Hypertension	41	7.37	19.1	0.9	0.35	3.90	0.16	0.04	
Diabetes	29	5.22	19.45	1.11	0.27	4.14	0.13	0.84	
Mumps	17	3.06	19.29	1.26	0.47	4.24	0.14	0.75	
Genitourinary Infection	17	3.06	17.12	1	0.45	3.65	0.21	0.01	
Autoimmune disease	9	1.62	20.11	1.39	0.35	3.89	0.42	0.34	
Tuberculosis	7	1.26	20.86	2.76	0.25	4.29	0.42	0.72	
Asthma	5	0.9	16.2	3.67	0.46	3.80	0.49	0.35	
No comorbidity	425	76.44	18.14	0.31	0.58	4.20	0.04	0.13	

*SD, standard deviation*



**Table 2: Correct knowledge regarding male infertility in gender and profession subgroups (n=556)**

Knowledge Items <sup>a</sup>	Gender						Profession											
	Overall (n=556)		Male (n=223)		Female (n=333)		Doctor				Student				Nurse (n=59)		Other (n=41)	
							MBBS (n=154)		BDS (n=56)		MBBS (n=188)		BDS (n=58)					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
<b>General (0-3)</b>	<b>(1.94 ± 0.81)</b>		<b>(2.07 ± 0.79)*</b>		<b>(1.85 ± 0.81)*</b>		<b>(2.07 ± 0.74)†*</b>		<b>(1.84 ± 0.80)†*</b>		<b>(1.92 ± 0.84)*</b>		<b>(1.86 ± 0.73)*</b>		<b>(1.69 ± 0.86)*</b>		<b>(2.14 ± 0.90)*</b>	
k1	315	56.76	148	66.67	167	50.15	122	79.22	22	39.29	95	50.8	31	53.45	21	35.59	34	57.14
k2	321	57.94	145	65.61	176	52.85	94	61.04	30	53.57	97	52.15	31	53.45	38	64.41	31	75.24
k3	443	79.96	168	75.68	275	82.83	104	67.53	51	91.07	168	90.32	46	79.31	41	69.49	33	80.49
<b>Disease related (0-9)</b>	<b>(5.06 ± 2.31)</b>		<b>(5.18 ± 2.49)</b>		<b>(4.97 ± 2.19)</b>		<b>(6.43 ± 2.52)†*</b>		<b>(4.48 ± 2.08)†*</b>		<b>(4.71 ± 2.07)*</b>		<b>(4.53 ± 1.82)*</b>		<b>(4.11 ± 1.89)*</b>		<b>(4.39 ± 1.89)*</b>	
kd1	412	74.1	161	72.2	251	75.38	123	79.87	36	64.29	137	72.87	43	74.14	43	72.88	30	73.17
kd2	406	73.02	176	78.92	230	69.07	134	87.01	39	69.64	137	72.87	38	65.52	33	55.93	30	73.17
kd3	259	46.58	117	52.47	142	42.64	125	81.17	16	28.57	71	37.77	16	27.59	12	20.34	19	46.34
kd4	386	69.42	152	68.16	234	70.27	111	72.08	35	62.5	133	70.74	46	79.31	37	62.71	24	58.54
kd5	326	58.63	118	52.91	208	62.46	108	70.13	32	57.14	100	53.19	36	62.07	31	52.54	19	46.34
kd6	383	68.88	156	69.96	227	68.17	134	87.01	40	71.43	136	72.34	36	62.07	22	37.29	15	36.36
kd7	255	45.86	105	47.09	150	45.05	93	60.39	29	51.79	74	39.36	22	37.93	22	37.29	12	29.27
kd8	214	38.49	93	41.7	121	36.34	89	57.79	13	23.21	56	29.79	11	18.97	22	37.29	23	56.19
kd9	173	31.17	80	36.04	93	27.93	75	49.02	11	19.64	41	21.81	15	25.86	18	30.51	13	31.73
<b>Iatrogenic (0-11)</b>	<b>(5.29 ± 2.95)</b>		<b>(5.62 ± 2.48)*</b>		<b>(5.07 ± 2.96)*</b>		<b>(6.90 ± 2.81)†*</b>		<b>(5.125 ± 2.63)†*</b>		<b>(4.66 ± 3.11)*</b>		<b>(4.75 ± 2.51)*</b>		<b>(4.20 ± 0.81)*</b>		<b>(4.68 ± 2.06)*</b>	
kdr1	359	64.57	152	68.16	207	62.16	114	74.03	30	53.57	116	61.7	36	62.07	35	59.32	28	68.29
kdr2	158	28.42	78	34.98	80	24.02	56	36.36	9	16.07	49	26.06	14	24.14	16	27.12	14	34.15
kdr3	150	26.98	63	28.25	87	26.13	50	32.47	12	21.43	45	23.94	19	32.76	13	22.03	11	26.83

kdr4	172	30.94	75	33.63	97	29.13	77	50	13	23.21	50	26.6	6	10.34	11	18.64	15	36.59
kdr5	164	29.5	76	34.08	88	26.43	75	48.7	12	21.43	43	22.87	11	18.97	14	23.73	9	21.99
kdr6	316	56.83	132	59.19	184	55.26	122	79.22	34	60.71	100	53.19	22	37.93	21	35.59	17	41.44
kdr7	216	38.85	100	44.84	116	34.83	82	53.25	24	42.86	57	30.32	18	31.03	21	35.59	14	34.11
kdr8	357	64.21	134	60.09	223	66.97	114	74.03	38	67.86	104	55.32	42	72.41	38	64.41	21	51.22
kdr9	369	66.37	148	66.37	221	66.37	127	82.47	41	73.21	109	57.98	38	65.52	33	55.93	21	51.22
kdr10	368	66.19	152	68.16	216	64.86	124	80.52	43	76.79	110	58.51	40	68.97	29	49.15	22	53.66
kdr11	314	56.47	144	64.57	170	51.05	123	79.87	31	55.36	93	49.47	30	51.72	17	28.81	20	48.77
<b>Investigation related (0-1)</b>	<b>(0.83 ± 0.37)</b>		<b>(0.79 ± 0.40)</b>		<b>(0.85 ± 0.35)</b>		<b>(0.92 ± 0.26)*</b>		<b>(0.89 ± 0.31)*</b>		<b>(0.85 ± 0.35)*</b>		<b>(0.83 ± 0.38)*</b>		<b>(0.71 ± 0.45)*</b>		<b>(0.48 ± 0.50)</b>	
ki1	462	83.09	177	79.37	285	85.59	142	92.21	50	89.29	160	85.11	48	82.76	42	71.19	20	48.77
<b>Healthy practice related (0-4)</b>	<b>(2.57 ± 0.69)</b>		<b>(2.57 ± 0.70)</b>		<b>(2.57 ± 0.69)</b>		<b>(2.70 ± 0.53)*</b>		<b>(2.76 ± 0.57)*</b>		<b>(2.50 ± 0.72)*</b>		<b>(2.64 ± 0.74)*</b>		<b>(2.44 ± 0.81)*</b>		<b>(2.27 ± 0.83)</b>	
kinf1	498	89.57	197	88.34	301	90.39	147	95.45	55	98.21	167	88.83	50	86.21	48	81.36	31	75.56
kinf2	394	70.86	162	72.65	232	69.67	124	80.52	46	82.14	123	65.43	39	67.24	39	66.1	23	56.44
kinf3	461	82.91	180	80.72	281	84.38	142	92.21	50	89.29	157	83.51	47	81.03	41	69.49	24	58.77
kinf4	79	14.21	43	19.28	43	12.91	4	2.6	4	7.14	23	12.23	17	29.31	16	27.12	15	36.59
<b>Associated factors related (0-6)</b>	<b>(3.75 ± 1.29)</b>		<b>(4.05 ± 1.25)*</b>		<b>(3.56 ± 1.28)*</b>		<b>(4.13 ± 1.22)</b>		<b>(4.05 ± 1.06)*</b>		<b>(3.61 ± 1.31)*</b>		<b>(3.64 ± 1.0)*</b>		<b>(3.32 ± 1.41)*</b>		<b>(3.36 ± 1.0)*</b>	
ko1	422	75.9	181	81.17	241	72.37	127	82.47	42	75	138	73.4	46	79.31	40	67.8	29	70.77
ko2	424	76.26	170	76.23	254	76.28	125	81.17	49	87.5	141	75	46	79.31	42	71.19	21	51.22
ko3	333	59.89	119	53.36	135	40.54	93	60.39	24	42.86	80	42.55	27	46.55	18	30.51	12	29.22
ko4	295	53.06	147	65.92	186	55.86	104	67.53	37	66.07	100	53.19	39	67.24	31	52.54	22	53.66
ko5	295	53.06	130	58.3	165	49.55	84	54.55	31	55.36	96	51.06	26	44.83	31	52.54	27	65.85
ko6	361	64.93	157	70.4	204	61.26	104	67.53	44	78.57	125	66.49	27	46.55	34	57.63	27	65.85

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.18.22271175>; this version posted February 21, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license.

**Good Knowledge Summary (≥mean)**

General	392	70.89	170	76.92	222	66.87	127	82.47	35	62.50	125	67.57	38	65.52	36	61.02	31	75.6
Disease related	215	38.74	91	40.99	124	37.24	97	63.40	15	26.79	60	31.91	20	34.48	13	22.03	10	24.3
Iatrogenic	263	47.30	113	50.6	150	45.05	111	72.08	31	55.36	71	37.77	18	31.03	16	27.12	16	39.0
Investigation related	462	83.09	177	79.37	285	85.59	142	92.21	50	89.29	160	85.11	48	82.76	42	71.19	20	48.7
Healthy practice related	353	63.49	140	62.78	213	63.96	113	73.38	41	73.21	113	60.11	41	70.69	30	50.85	15	36.5
Associated factors related	352	63.31	161	72.20	191	57.36	117	75.97	40	71.43	116	61.70	33	56.90	27	45.76	19	46.7
<b>Overall</b>	279	50.18	126	56.5	153	45.95	114	74.03	32	57.14	79	42.02	24	41.38	17	28.81	13	31.7

<sup>a</sup>Numbers within bracket next to each knowledge item category denotes minimum to maximum possible score

\*Significant difference within the same category, † Significant difference within the same sub-category

*k1= A couple can be defined as infertile after one year of unprotected sex; k2= Male fertility begins to decline at 40-45 years of age; k3= Male infertility is 100% curable; kd1=Sexually transmitted disease; kd2= Psychosexual disorder; kd3= Mumps; kd4= Genitourinary Infection; kd5=Obesity, kd6= Autoimmune disease; kd7= Cystic fibrosis; kd8= Diabetes; kd9= Tuberculosis;*

*kdr1= Steroid; kdr2= Macrolides; kdr3= Calcium channel blocker; kdr4= Spironolactone; kdr5= Sulfasalazine; kdr6= Antidepressants; kdr7= Anticonvulsants; kdr8= Genitourinary surgery; kdr9= Chemotherapy; kdr10= Illicit drugs; kdr11= Spinal surgery;*

*ki1= Semen analysis and serum testosterone level is the primary investigation for male infertility;*

*ko1= Tobacco; ko2= Alcohol; ko3= Tight underwear; ko4= Keeping mobile/laptop near genitalia; ko5= Masturbation; ko6= Penis length;*

*kinf1= Lifestyle modification; kinf2= Zinc, Selenium, Folate and antioxidant rich food; kinf3= Excessive exercise; kinf4= Caffeine*

**Table 3: Binary logistic regression analysis of factors affecting good knowledge of respondents regarding male infertility (n=556)**

Variable	AOR	p-value	95% CI	
<b>Age (year)</b>				
<23	ref.			
23-26	1.812	0.020	1.099	to 2.988
≥27	1.546	0.186	0.811	to 2.949
<b>Institution</b>				
Government	ref.			
Private	1.099	0.692	0.688	to 1.755
Other	0.141	0.017	0.029	to 0.702
<b>Profession</b>				
MBBS Doctor	ref.			
BDS Doctor	0.499	0.043	0.254	to 0.977
MBBS Student	0.356	0.001	0.198	to 0.638
BDS Student	0.342	0.003	0.167	to 0.702
Nurse	0.174	0.000	0.086	to 0.350
Other	0.260	0.002	0.112	to 0.602
<b>Attitude</b>				
Negative Attitude	ref.			
Positive Attitude	1.592	0.018	1.082	to 2.342

*AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval*

**Table 4: Attitude regarding male infertility results in gender and profession subgroups (n=556)**

Item	Overall (n=556)		Gender				Profession												
			Male (n=223)		Female (n=333)		Doctor				Student				Nurse (n=59)		Other (n=41)		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	MBBS (n=154)		BDS (n=56)		MBBS (n=188)		BDS (n=58)		N	%	N	%	
a1	499	89.75	195	87.44	304	91.29	145	94.16	54	96.43	172	91.49	47	81.03	49	83.05	32	78.05	
a2	532	95.68	206	92.38	326	97.9	148	96.1	56	100	180	95.74	56	96.55	57	96.61	35	85.37	
a3	523	94.06	201	90.13	322	96.7	145	94.16	56	100	179	95.21	56	96.55	56	94.92	31	75.61	
a4	506	91.01	194	87	312	93.69	140	90.91	54	96.43	171	90.96	51	87.93	55	93.22	35	85.37	
a5	258	46.4	103	46.19	155	46.55	103	66.88	28	50	75	39.89	21	36.21	21	35.59	10	24.39	
<b>Summary</b>																			
Negative Attitude (<mean)																			
	338	60.79	142	63.68	196	58.86	64	41.56	31	55.36	121	64.36	42	72.41	43	72.88	37	90.24	
Positive Attitude (≥mean)																			
	218	39.21	81	36.32	137	41.14	90	58.44	25	44.64	67	35.64	16	27.59	16	27.12	4	9.76	

a1= Infertility is only a problem of female;

a2= Mass media should promote educational programs on male infertility;

a3= Campaigns on Reproductive Health should be organized in the educational institutions;

a4= Men feel ashamed to be considered themselves as infertile;

a5= Treatment for male infertility always costly


**Table 5: Binary logistic regression analysis of factors affecting the positive attitude of respondents regarding male infertility (n=556)**

	<b>AOR</b>	<b>p-value</b>	<b>95% CI</b>		
<b>Gender</b>					
Male	ref.				
Female	1.483	0.049	1.002	to	2.194
<b>Profession</b>					
MBBS Doctor	ref.				
BDS Doctor	0.560	0.073	0.298	to	1.055
MBBS Student	0.409	0.000	0.256	to	0.652
BDS Student	0.289	0.000	0.147	to	0.568
Nurse	0.272	0.000	0.135	to	0.548
Other	0.097	0.000	0.033	to	0.289
<b>Knowledge</b>					
Poor Knowledge	ref.				
Good Knowledge	1.610	0.013	1.105	to	2.346

*AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval*

**Table 6: Perceptions of the respondents regarding male infertility (n=556)**

Questions pertaining to perception and practice	Response	Total	
		n	%
General population have poor knowledge about male infertility	No	35	6.29
	Yes	521	93.71
Uses of alternative medicine (herbal, spiritual healing, homeopathy etc.) are popular in male infertility treatment.	No	135	24.28
	Yes	421	75.72
Men feel depressed to be considered themselves as infertile.	No	59	10.61
	Yes	497	89.39
Many men diagnosed with male infertility, face a difficult emotional journey- do you agree or not?	No	28	5.04
	Yes	528	94.96
Did you participate in any training on male infertility?	No	516	92.81
	Yes	40	7.19
Do you support prescribing alternative medicines (herbal, spiritual healing etc.) are helpful in the treatment of male infertility?	No	462	83.09
	Yes	94	16.91
Suppose you're treating a couple for infertility, who should be investigated first?	Male	81	14.57
	Female	48	8.63
	Both	427	76.8



Approached for data collection  
(n=800)

Excluded (n=244)

- ◆ Didn't respond (n=165)
- ◆ Declined to participate (n=47)
- ◆ Incomplete questionnaire fill-up (n=32)

Enrolled (n=556)

Followed-Up (n=556)

Analysed (n=556)

