Title: A Human Skin Model for Assessing the Arboviral Infections

Authors: Allen T. Esterly[1], Megan G. Lloyd[1] [ORCiD ID: 0000-0002-6034-0846], Prashant Upadhyaya[2] [ORCiD ID: 0000-0002-6992-1196], Jennifer F. Moffat[1], Saravanan Thangamani[1,3,4 #]

Affiliations:

[1]Department of Microbiology and Immunology,

[2]Department of Surgery,

[3]SUNY Center for Vector-Borne Diseases,

[4]Institute for Global Health and Translational Medicine, SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, New York, USA

#- Corresponding author: Saravanan Thangamani

SUNY Upstate Medical University

505 Irving Ave, Syracuse NY 13210

Phone: 315-4647824

email: thangams@upstate.edu

Key words: Mosquito feeding, skin, arbovirus, immunomodulation

Abbreviations used:Zika virus (ZIKV), Mayaro virus (MAYV), Chikungunya virus (CHIKV), Spondweni virus (SPOV), Usutu virus (USUV), biological safety level 2 (BSL-2), Institutional Review Board (IRB), heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (HI-FBS), quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR), Leica Application Suite X (LAS-X), multiplicity of infection (MOI), pattern recognition receptor (PRR), Interferon-induced transmembrane proteins (IFITMs), standard error of the mean (SEM)

Abstract:

Arboviruses such as flaviviruses and alphaviruses cause a significant human healthcare burden on the global scale. Transmission of these viruses occurs during human blood feeding at the mosquito-skin interface. Not only do pathogen immune evasion strategies influence the initial infection and replication of pathogens delivered, but arthropod salivary factors also influence transmission foci. In-vitro cell cultures do not provide an adequate environment to study complex interactions between viral, mosquito, and host factors. To address this need for a whole tissue system, we describe a proof-of-concept model for arbovirus infection using adult human skin ex vivo with Zika virus (flavivirus) and Mayaro virus (alphavirus). Replication of these viruses in human skin was observed up to 4 days post-infection. Egressed viruses could be detected in the culture media as well. Antiviral and pro-inflammatory genes, including chemoattractant chemokines, were expressed in infected tissue. Immunohistochemical analysis showed the presence of virus in the skin tissue at 4 days post-infection. This model will be useful to further investigate: 1) the immediate molecular mechanisms of arbovirus infection in human skin, and 2) the influence of arthropod salivary molecules during initial infection of arboviruses in a more physiologically relevant system.

Introduction

Arboviruses inflict a substantial global health burden on the human population. The re-emergence of Zika virus (ZIKV) in 2014-2015 in Brazil is evidence that previously identified pathogens can re-emerge to become significant health burdens on susceptible populations (Weaver et al., 2016). Other arboviruses, such as Usutu virus (USUV), Spondweni virus (SPOV), and Mayaro virus (MAYV), continue to emerge (Pierson & Diamond, 2020). MAYV is a New World arthritogenic alphavirus with current autochthonous cycles of transmission in Central and South America (Diagne et al., 2020). Research on emerging arboviruses is needed to better understand transmission cycles that threaten human health.

Mosquitoes inject saliva made up of a complex mixture containing pathogens, bioactive proteins, and small RNAs to facilitate blood-feeding and pathogen transmission (Arcà & Ribeiro, 2018; Maharaj et al., 2015). These bioactive molecules regulate hemostasis and modulate mammalian immune responses at the mosquito-host-pathogen interface (Huang et al., 2019; Pingen et al., 2017). The transmission interface has been investigated using in-vitro tissue culture or animal infection models, but these systems do not fully represent the human skin tissue environment. Therefore, this study intends to model arbovirus infection in human skin ex vivo to investigate the immediate immunological events during transmission.

Since the majority of mosquito-borne arboviruses transmitted to humans are from the genus Flavivirus or Alphavirus, we used an established flavivirus of medical relevance (ZIKV) and an emerging alphavirus (MAYV). MAYV and ZIKV infect human skin and replicate up to 4 days post-infection, shedding from the skin to culture media. Chemoattractant chemokines, along with pro-inflammatory and antiviral genes, were expressed in both MAYV and ZIKV infected skin as expected. In addition, immunohistochemical analysis revealed staining positive for the virus in skin 4 days post-infection in both virus-infected groups. This model will be further utilized to determine how mosquito salivary factors influence viral replication kinetics or pro-inflammatory and chemoattractant molecule expression during infection.

Materials & Methods

Ethics Statement

All experiments involving de-identified human specimens were conducted in a biological safety level 2 (BSL-2) laboratory in accordance with a protocol approved by the SUNY Upstate Medical University Institutional Review Board (IRB).

Culturing of Cells and Viruses

Vero, Vero E6, and C6/36 cells were obtained from ATCC and passaged in DMEM with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (HI-FBS) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin at 37°C + 5% CO2 (Vero & Vero E6) or in Leibovitz L-15 media with 10% HI-FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin at 28°C (C6/36) (Ammerman et al., 2008). Zika virus (Mex I44) was obtained from the World Reference Center for Emerging Viruses and Arboviruses at the University of Texas Medical Branch in Galveston, Texas. Mayaro virus (Uruma) was obtained from the Centers for Disease Control, Fort Collins, Colorado. Viruses were cultured in Vero cells (ATCC CCL-81) for 4 passages and then passaged once in C3/36 cells as referenced (Coelho et al., 2017; Svenson et al., 2018). In addition, virus titers were obtained via focus-forming assay in Vero E6 cells as previously described (Rossi et al., 2012).

Preparation and Infection of human skin

De-identified adult human skin was donated by fully informed patients undergoing reduction mammoplasty at the Upstate Medical University Hospital (Syracuse, NY). All skin specimens were collected within approximately 2 h post-surgery. Underlying adipose was grossly dissected, and each specimen was washed in PBS and incubated in skin culture media (RPMI 1640 media containing 10% HI-FBS, 1% penicillin-streptomycin, and 0.25ug/mL of Amphotericin B)(Lloyd et al., 2020). The epidermis and upper dermal layers were removed using a skin grafting knife, cut into 1-cm^2 biological samples, then placed in Netwells® (Corning, 15-mm x 500 µm mesh size) suspending the skin at a liquid-air interface. Netwells were placed in 12-well tissue culture plates containing 1 mL skin culture media. The skin was cultured ex vivo for 4 days in skin culture media at 33°C with 5% CO_2 as previously described (Lloyd et al., 2020). Next, the skin was infected intradermally using a 28-gauge insulin syringe with 25 µL (±2 µL) of uninfected skin culture media (mock), ZIKV, or MAYV adjusted to deliver 10^3 FFU. Skin samples were processed as outlined below at 2- and 4-days post-infection. Each experimental group contained multiple biological replicates (n=4) and independent infections were repeated using skin specimens from 3 donors.

RNA Isolation, qRT-PCR, and Gene Expression Analysis

At days 2 and 4 post-infection, skin samples were cut in half using a scalpel and placed in either 10% neutral buffered formalin or OCT media for histological analysis or in TRIzol reagent for RNA isolation. RNA was extracted from the skin and skin culture media samples using TRIzol and TRIzol LS reagents, respectively, combined with Qiagen RNeasy mini kits to inactivate the virus and obtain high yields of RNA(Heinze et al., 2012; Hermance et al., 2020). RNA purity and concentration were verified on a Denovix DS-11+ spectrophotometer.

Absolute quantification of viral loads was performed using quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR) using a BioRad CFX96. Viral genomes were amplified with the BioRad One-Step Universal Probes kit using primers: ZIKV 1086, ZIKV 1162c, and probe ZIKV 1107-FAM or MAYV Forward (5'-AAGCTCTTCCTCTGCATTGC-3', MAYV Reverse 2 (5'-TGCTGGAAATGCTCTTTGTA-3'), and MAYV Probe (5'-GCCGAGAGCCCGTTTTTAAAATCAC-3') previously established (Lanciotti et al., 2008; Waggoner et al., 2018). The MAYV primer-probe combination was slightly modified to utilize a Cy5/Iowa Black RQ fluorophore/quencher combination from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, Iowa). RNA isolated from an aliquot of both ZIKV and MAYV, from the exact passage used for infection, was serially diluted, and used to design a standard curve to quantify viral loads in tissue and supernatant.

cDNA conversion of tissue RNA was performed according to the manufacturer's specification using the BioRad iScript cDNA synthesis kit using approximately 1µg of RNA. cDNA was diluted 5-fold, and gene expression analysis was performed using BioRad iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix according to the manufacturer's specifications. Briefly, 1 µg of RNA/sample was used for the reverse transcriptase reaction. cDNA was diluted in RNase-free water at a 1:5 ratio, and a standard volume was used for each downstream qPCR reaction. Primers used for gene expression analysis are

listed in Table 1. Expression was normalized using a eukaryotic ribosomal 18s as a 'housekeeping' gene with data shown as a ratio of gene target fold change in infected tissue relative to mock-infected tissue using the Livak ($2^{-\Delta\Delta Cq}$) method (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001).

Histology and Immunohistochemistry

Tissues fixed in formalin were paraffin-embedded and cut in 5 µm thick sections to be placed on glass slides (Hermance et al., 2016). Samples were deparaffinized and dehydrated in xylene and graded ethanol washes. Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) staining was performed according to the manufacturer's specifications (Vector Laboratories H-3502). Immunohistochemical detection of ZIKV and MAYV was performed using 5 µm frozen sections of tissues snap-frozen in OCT media immediately after the indicated endpoint. Briefly, tissue sections were fixed in methanol then washed in TBS+0.05% Tween20. Wash buffer was applied between all blocking and antibody incubation steps. Sections were treated with BLOXALL solution (Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA) to block endogenous peroxidases and alkaline phosphatases. Primary and secondary antibodies (murine immune ascites fluid generated against ZIKV or MAYV; goat anti-mouse HRP conjugate, respectively) were incubated for 1 h. Detection was performed with Vector Labs ImmPACT AMEC Red substrate, peroxidase kit. Cover slides were mounted using Vector Labs H5000 permanent mounting medium. Mock infected tissues were sectioned and treated, in parallel, with the same antibody incubation and wash steps as listed above. All images were taken using a Leica DM2500 LED/DMC5400 brightfield microscope and Leica Application Suite X (LAS-X) software.

Data Analysis

Statistical significance of viral growth kinetics was performed using 2-way ANOVA with Šidák's multiple comparisons test in GraphPad Prism 9.1.2. Statistical significance of gene expression data was analyzed by an F-test to determine variance, followed by a student's t-test to assess the increase of the

target gene from 2-4-days post-infection. Welch's correction was applied when the F-test hypothesis was failed to be rejected.

Data availability Statement: Data generated in study are paresend within this manuscript.

Results and Discussion

Viability of human skin explant

This study utilized human skin donated from elective breast reduction surgeries and cultured it ex vivo as previously described (Lloyd et al., 2020). Human skin was viable when cultured ex vivo for at least 4 days. Tissue sections stained with H&E showed minor histopathological changes after 4 days in culture. In addition, some papillary dermal edema and minimal lymphocytic infiltrate in the superficial dermis was observed; however, no major pathological changes, such as acanthosis or psoriasiform hyperplasia, were apparent (Figure 1).

MAYV and ZIKV replication in human skin

To determine the susceptibility of human skin to arbovirus infection, skin pieces were intradermally inoculated with 1000 FFU of MAYV or ZIKV, a physiologically relevant dose delivered from a mosquito (Castanha et al., 2020; Styer et al., 2007). Viral RNA in the skin tissue was measured by qRT-PCR and increased for both MAYV and ZIKV during the 4-day incubation period (Figure 2). We also found that viral RNA in the skin culture media increased for both infections (Figure 2). Furthermore, in cryo-sectioned skin, immunohistochemical detection of MAYV and ZIKV showed widespread infected cells after 4 days (Figure 3), consistent with viral RNA detection in the homogenized tissue (Figure 2). Therefore, cultured human skin was viable and susceptible to arbovirus infection for up to 4 days.

Human skin processed similarly and infected with DENV-2 showed the presence of negative-strand RNA at 48h and 72h post-infection, indicative of viral replication (Limon-Flores et al., 2005). ZIKV infects

several skin cell populations. Infection in primary cultures of epidermal keratinocytes and dermal fibroblasts show increasing viral RNA loads and infectious titer over time (Hamel et al., 2015). Dermal dendritic and Langerhans cells, present in the dermis and epidermis, respectively (Pasparakis et al., 2014), are also permissive to ZIKV infection when derived from PBMCs (Hamel et al., 2015). Our results showed increased replication of ZIKV in human skin explants over time which parallel previous findings (Hamel et al., 2015), but also suggests these viruses spread from the inoculation site and egress into the media. MAYV infection in human skin is not well characterized and to our knowledge, our data is the first to show MAYV infection in human skin ex vivo. Alphaviruses such as CHIKV also replicate in human skin cell populations (Matusali et al., 2019). Human skin fibroblasts, both primary and immortal, are susceptible to CHIKV in vitro (Ekchariyawat et al., 2015; Sourisseau et al., 2007; Wichit et al., 2017). CHIKV-infected human skin explants showed the presence of viral RNA at 24h and 48h post-infection when infected with a larger inoculum (10^5) (Bryden et al., 2020). Interestingly, both primary human and immortalized keratinocytes poorly replicate CHIKV by restricting replication at a post-endosomal fusion step despite the high multiplicity of infection *in vitro* (MOI=50)(Bernard et al., 2015).

MAYV and ZIKV induce inflammatory expression in the skin

Expression of pro-inflammatory signals from skin resident cell populations are critical for recruiting circulating myeloid cells to the site of infection(Pasparakis et al., 2014). Since this model utilizes skin detached from the microvasculature, one of the primary limitations is the influx of inflammatory cells to the site of infection. Therefore, we assessed the expression of inflammatory targets which recruit myeloid cell populations to the site of infection. Chemo-attractive chemokines CCL2, CCL3, CCL4, and CXCL8 were upregulated during MAYV and ZIKV infection (Figure 4). In other studies, DENV-infected keratinocytes express CCL2, CCL3, CCL4, CCL5, CXCL8 in a similar trend at 48h post-infection (Duangkhae et al., 2018). The same DENV-infected culture also shows the expression of IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-10, and CCL20 at the same timepoint (Duangkhae et al., 2018). WNV infection induces the

189 expression of antiviral cytokines such as IFN-β, IL-28a, and IL-29 at 24h and 48h post-infection in primary
190 keratinocytes (Garcia et al., 2018). Additionally, expression of CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL8, and CCL20 and
191 cytokines IL-6 and TNFα are also induced at the same time points (Garcia et al., 2018).

192 Elevated expression levels of non-TLR receptors of viral ligands, RIG-I, MDA5, and PKR were also
193 found in ZIKV and MAYV infected tissues. RIG-I and MDA5 are cytosolic helicases that detect viral RNA
194 and are critical for triggering innate immune responses to flaviviruses and alphaviruses (Akhrymuk et al.,
195 2016; Chow et al., 2018; Kell & Gale, 2015). PKR is a pattern recognition receptor (PRR) and an IFN-
196 inducible-gene product that triggers signaling cascades responsible for further type I IFN activation and
197 inhibition of viral protein synthesis, among other functions (Munir & Berg, 2013). Both MAYV and ZIKV
198 infection induced an increase in the expression of RIG-I, MDA5, and PKR in these tissues (Figure 4). This
199 corresponds to previous findings of synthetic dsRNA poly(I:C) initiating antiviral signaling in
200 keratinocytes (Kalali et al., 2008). The natural expression of pro-inflammatory and antiviral genes in skin
201 explants provides a suitable model for studying human skin's immediate immune signaling events.

202 Interferon-induced transmembrane proteins (IFITMs) are strongly upregulated by type I and type II
203 interferon and localize to plasma and endocytic membranes to restrict virus entry (Bailey et al., 2014;
204 Zhao et al., 2019). Given IFITM molecules show restriction of ZIKV (Savidis et al., 2016) and MAYV (Franz
205 et al., 2021) infection, we assessed the expression of IFITM1, -2, and -3 in human skin tissue infected
206 with either ZIKV or MAYV (Figure 4). IFITM1 levels were elevated during ZIKV infection as expected,
207 however there was not a significant increase from 2 to 4 dpi. IFITM2 slightly increased above baseline at
208 4d post-infection, while IFITM3 remained near basal expression levels. MAYV infection induced a more
209 robust IFITM expression. IFITM1 was elevated at 2 and 4 dpi with statistically higher expression at 4 dpi.
210 IFITM2 and IFITM3 were slightly elevated at 2 dpi but significantly increased at 4 dpi. Further
211 experimentation would be necessary to better understand the role of these antiviral molecules in the
212 skin in relation to virus infection at the mosquito bite site.

Conclusion

Skin is the largest organ of the human body that interfaces with external stimuli, including pathogens, and is permissive to arbovirus infection (Garcia et al., 2017). Blood-feeding mosquitoes deliver virus from infected salivary glands along with a cocktail of pharmacologically active molecules. Several studies show mosquito-derived salivary factors inducing greater morbidity and higher viremia when delivered with a pathogen in animal models (Pingen et al., 2016). This highlights the importance of understanding mosquito-specific factors active during transmission and the need to characterize such molecules in human skin. Human skin can be used as an *ex vivo* experimental site of infection for alpha- and flaviviruses. Both MAYV and ZIKV replicate in human skin to 4 days post-infection and shed into the skin culture media. Infection in the skin leads to gene expression of chemotactic factors responsible for recruiting inflammatory cells such as neutrophils and macrophages. In addition, innate antiviral responses are active and induced after viral infection. Histological analysis showed no major pathological changes; however, immunohistochemical analysis showed widespread MAYV and ZIKV infection in human skin. Mosquito-borne virus replication in human skin can be utilized to further investigate mosquito transmission to humans and the associated salivary mediators that work in concert to facilitate blood acquisition and pathogen delivery.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Viability of human skin ex vivo. Skin cultured ex vivo was harvested each day for up to 4 days. Formalin fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue sections (5 µm) were placed on glass slides for H&E staining.

Pathological interpretation was provided by a board-certified pathologist (HISTOWIZ, Brooklyn, NY). Sections are representative of multiple biological samples from multiple skin specimens sectioned and stained using the same methodology.

Figure 2. Skin is susceptible to arboviruses. Skin was infected intradermally with either MAYV (red) or ZIKV (blue). Data points are mean values with error bars indicating standard deviations. Data represents repeated independent experiments using n=4 biological replicates per group across multiple donated tissues. A two-way ANOVA was used to determine statistical difference with Šidák's multiple comparisons $**p<0.001$.

Figure 3. Expression of viral antigen in human skin. Immunohistochemical detection of MAYV antigen (middle column) and ZIKV antigen (right column) in cryo-sectioned skin post-infection. Arrows indicate antigen detection at 10x and 40x magnification. Mock tissues were treated with either MAYV or ZIKV antibodies in parallel to respective infected tissues. Skin sections are representative of multiple biological replicates from independent experiments with consistent detection of viral antigen.

Figure 4. qPCR inflammatory gene expression of arbovirus infected human skin. qPCR analysis of MAYV infected tissues (red) and ZIKV infected tissues (blue) at 2 and 4 days post-infection. Data shown is the relative fold change (Livak method $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$) of virus infected tissue compared to mock infected tissue, normalized to 18s ribosomal RNA. Expression levels from 2d to 4d were compared for statistical difference. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean (SEM). Data is representative of experimental means with standard deviations of independent experiments with repeat using n=4

biological replicates per group. Student's t test with Welch's correction was used to determine statistical difference *p<0.05; **p<0.001; ***p<0.0001.

Table 1. qPCR primers used for gene expression analysis.

Gene Target	Forward Primer (5'-3')	Reverse Primer (5'-3')	Reference
CCL2	GATCTCAGTGCAGAGGCTCG	TGCTTGTCCAGGTGGTCCAT	(Dumoulin et al., 2000)
CCL3	CATCACTTGCTGCTGACACG	TGTGGAATCTGCCGGGAG	(Duangkhae et al., 2018)
CCL4	ACCCTCCCACCGCCTGCTGC	GTTGCAGGTCATACACGTACT	(Dumoulin et al., 2000)
CCL5	ACCACACCCTGCTGCTTTGC	CCGAACCCATTTCTTTGC	(Dumoulin et al., 2000)
CCL20	TTGGATCCTGCTGCTACTCCACCTCTG	TTCTCGAGTATATTTCACCCAAGTCTGTTTT	(Akahoshi et al., 2003)
CXCL8	CTGGCCGTGGCTCTCTTGG	GGGTGGAAAGGTTTGGAGTATGTC	(Duangkhae et al., 2018)
CXCL10	GAAATTATTCCTGCAAGCCAATTT	TCACCCTTCTTTTTCATGTAGCA	(Clarke et al., 2010)
Rig-I	AGTGAGCATGCACGAATGAA	GGGATCCCTGGAAACACTTT	(Surasombatpattana et al., 2011)
Mda5	TGTATTCATTATGCTACAGAACTG	ACTGAGACTGGTACTTTGGATTCT	(Surasombatpattana et al., 2011)
Ifn-β	GACGCCGCATTGACCATCTA	TTGGCCTTCAGGTAATGCAGAA	(Surasombatpattana et al., 2011)
PKR	TCTGACTACCTGTCCTCTGGTTCTT	GCGAGTGTGCTGGTCACTAAAG	(Surasombatpattana et al., 2011)
Ifitm1	GGGCATCCTCATGACCATTGGA	GGCTACTAGTAACCCCGTTTTTCCTG	(Prelli Bozzo et al., 2021)
Ifitm2	GTCACCATGAACCACATTGTGCAAAC	CCCCCAGCATAGCCACTTCC	(Prelli Bozzo et al., 2021)
Ifitm3	ACCATGAATCACACTGTCCAAACCTT	CCAGCACAGCCACCTCG	(Prelli Bozzo et al., 2021)
18s	GGCCCGAAGCGTTTACTTTG	GCCGGTCCAAGAATTTCACC	(Surasombatpattana et al., 2011)

References

Akahoshi, T., Sasahara, T., Namai, R., Matsui, T., Watabe, H., Kitasato, H., Inoue, M., & Kondo, H. (2003). Production of Macrophage Inflammatory Protein 3α (MIP-3α) (CCL20) and MIP-3β (CCL19) by Human Peripheral Blood Neutrophils in Response to Microbial Pathogens. *Infection and Immunity*, *71*(1), 524–526. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.71.1.524-526.2003

Akhrymuk, I., Frolov, I., & Frolova, E. I. (2016). Both RIG-I and MDA5 detect alphavirus replication in concentration-dependent mode. *Virology*, *487*, 230–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2015.09.023

Ammerman, N. C., Beier-Sexton, M., & Azad, A. F. (2008). Growth and Maintenance of Vero Cell Lines. *Current Protocols in Microbiology*, *11*(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780471729259.mca04es11

Arcà, B., & Ribeiro, J. M. (2018). Saliva of hematophagous insects: a multifaceted toolkit. In *Current Opinion in Insect Science* (Vol. 29, pp. 102–109). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2018.07.012

Bailey, C. C., Zhong, G., Huang, I. C., & Farzan, M. (2014). IFITM-family proteins: The cell's first line of antiviral defense. *Annual Review of Virology*, *1*(1), 261–283. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-virology-031413-085537

Bernard, E., Hamel, R., Neyret, A., Ekchariyawat, P., Molès, J. P., Simmons, G., Chazal, N., Desprès, P., Missé, D., & Briant, L. (2015). Human keratinocytes restrict chikungunya virus replication at a post-fusion step. *Virology*, *476*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2014.11.013

Bryden, S. R., Pingen, M., Lefteri, D. A., Miltenburg, J., Delang, L., Jacobs, S., Abdelnabi, R., Neyts, J., Pondeville, E., Major, J., Müller, M., Khalid, H., Tuplin, A., Varjak, M., Merits, A., Edgar, J., Graham, G. J., Shams, K., & McKimmie, C. S. (2020). Pan-viral protection against arboviruses by activating skin macrophages at the inoculation site. *Science Translational Medicine*, *12*(527). https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aax2421

Castanha, P. M. S., Erdos, G., Watkins, S. C., Falo, L. D., Marques, E. T. A., & Barratt-Boyes, S. M. (2020). Reciprocal immune enhancement of dengue and Zika virus infection in human skin. *JCI Insight*, *5*(3). https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.133653

Chow, K. T., Gale, M., & Loo, Y. M. (2018). RIG-I and Other RNA Sensors in Antiviral Immunity. In *Annual Review of Immunology* (Vol. 36, pp. 667–694). https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-042617-053309

Clarke, D. L., Clifford, R. L., Jindarat, S., Proud, D., Pang, L., Belvisi, M., & Knox, A. J. (2010). TNFα and IFNγ Synergistically Enhance Transcriptional Activation of CXCL10 in Human Airway Smooth Muscle Cells via STAT-1, NF-κB, and the Transcriptional Coactivator CREB-binding Protein. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *285*(38), 29101–29110. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.099952

Coelho, S. V. A., Neris, R. L. S., Papa, M. P., Schnellrath, L. C., Meuren, L. M., Tschoeke, D. A., Leomil, L., Verçoza, B. R. F., Miranda, M., Thompson, F. L., da Poian, A. T., Souza, T. M. L., Carneiro, F. A., Damaso, C. R., Assunção-Miranda, I., & de Arruda, L. B. (2017). Development of standard methods

for Zika virus propagation, titration, and purification. *Journal of Virological Methods*, *246*(April), 65–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2017.04.011

Diagne, C. T., Bengue, M., Choumet, V., Hamel, R., Pompon, J., & Missé, D. (2020). Mayaro Virus Pathogenesis and Transmission Mechanisms. *Pathogens*, *9*(9), 738. https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens9090738

Duangkhae, P., Erdos, G., Ryman, K. D., Watkins, S. C., Falo, L. D., Marques, E. T. A., & Barratt-Boyes, S. M. (2018). Interplay between Keratinocytes and Myeloid Cells Drives Dengue Virus Spread in Human Skin. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, *138*(3), 618–626. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2017.10.018

Dumoulin, F. L., Nischalke, H. D., Leifeld, L., von dem Bussche, A., Rockstroh, J. K., Sauerbruch, T., & Spengler, U. (2000). Semi-quantification of human C-C chemokine mRNAs with reverse transcription/real-time PCR using multi-specific standards. *Journal of Immunological Methods*, *241*(1–2), 109–119. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1759(00)00210-6

Ekchariyawat, P., Hamel, R., Bernard, E., Wichit, S., Surasombatpattana, P., Talignani, L., Thomas, F., Choumet, V., Yssel, H., Desprès, P., Briant, L., & Missé, D. (2015). Inflammasome signaling pathways exert antiviral effect against Chikungunya virus in human dermal fibroblasts. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, *32*, 401–408. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2015.03.025

Franz, S., Pott, F., Zillinger, T., Schöler, C., Dapa, S., Fischer, C., Passos, V. ania, Stenzel, S., Chen, F., Döhner, K., Hartmann, G., Sodeik, B., Pessler, F., Simmons, G., Drexler, J. F., & Goffinet, C. (2021). Human IFITM3 restricts chikungunya virus and Mayaro virus infection and is susceptible to virus-mediated counteraction. *Life Science Alliance*, *4*(7), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.26508/lsa.202000909

Garcia, M., Alout, H., Diop, F., Damour, A., Bengue, M., Weill, M., Missé, D., Lévêque, N., & Bodet, C. (2018). Innate Immune Response of Primary Human Keratinocytes to West Nile Virus Infection and Its Modulation by Mosquito Saliva. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, *8*(November), 387. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2018.00387

Garcia, M., Wehbe, M., Lévêque, N., & Bodet, C. (2017). Skin innate immune response to flaviviral infection. *European Cytokine Network*, *28*(2), 41–51. https://doi.org/10.1684/ecn.2017.0394

Hamel, R., Dejarnac, O., Wichit, S., Ekchariyawat, P., Neyret, A., Luplertlop, N., Perera-Lecoin, M., Surasombatpattana, P., Talignani, L., Thomas, F., Cao-Lormeau, V.-M., Choumet, V., Briant, L., Desprès, P., Amara, A., Yssel, H., & Missé, D. (2015). Biology of Zika Virus Infection in Human Skin Cells. *Journal of Virology*, *89*(17), 8880–8896. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00354-15

Heinze, D. M., Wikel, S. K., Thangamani, S., & Alarcon-chaidez, F. J. (2012). *Transcriptional profiling of the murine cutaneous response during initial and subsequent infestations with Ixodes scapularis nymphs*. 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-5-26

Hermance, M. E., Hart, C. E., Esterly, A. T., Reynolds, E. S., Bhaskar, J. R., & Thangamani, S. (2020). Development of a small animal model for deer tick virus pathogenesis mimicking human clinical outcome. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *14*(6), e0008359. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008359

Hermance, M. E., Santos, R. I., Kelly, B. C., Valbuena, G., & Thangamani, S. (2016). Immune cell targets of infection at the tick-skin interface during powassan virus transmission. *PLoS ONE*, *11*(5). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0155889

Huang, Y.-J. S., Higgs, S., & Vanlandingham, D. L. (2019). Arbovirus-Mosquito Vector-Host Interactions and the Impact on Transmission and Disease Pathogenesis of Arboviruses. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *10*(January), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00022

Kalali, B. N., Köllisch, G., Mages, J., Müller, T., Bauer, S., Wagner, H., Ring, J., Lang, R., Mempel, M., & Ollert, M. (2008). Double-Stranded RNA Induces an Antiviral Defense Status in Epidermal Keratinocytes through TLR3-, PKR-, and MDA5/RIG-I-Mediated Differential Signaling. *The Journal of Immunology*, *181*(4), 2694–2704. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.181.4.2694

Kell, A. M., & Gale, M. (2015). RIG-I in RNA virus recognition. *Virology*, *479–480*, 110–121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2015.02.017

Lanciotti, R. S., Kosoy, O. L., Laven, J. J., Velez, J. O., Lambert, A. J., Johnson, A. J., Stanfield, S. M., & Duffy, M. R. (2008). Genetic and serologic properties of Zika virus associated with an epidemic, Yap State, Micronesia, 2007. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, *14*(8), 1232–1239. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1408.080287

Limon-Flores, A. Y., Perez-Tapia, M., Estrada-Garcia, I., Vaughan, G., Escobar-Gutierrez, A., Calderon-Amador, J., Herrera-Rodriguez, S. E., Brizuela-Garcia, A., Heras-Chavarria, M., Flores-Langarica, A., Cedillo-Barron, L., & Flores-Romo, L. (2005). Dengue virus inoculation to human skin explants: An effective approach to assess in situ the early infection and the effects on cutaneous dendritic cells. *International Journal of Experimental Pathology*, *86*(5), 323–334. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0959-9673.2005.00445.x

Livak, K. J., & Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2-ΔΔCT method. *Methods*, *25*(4), 402–408. https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262

Lloyd, M. G., Smith, N. A., Tighe, M., Travis, K. L., Liu, D., Upadhyaya, P. K., Kinchington, P. R., Chan, G. C., & Moffat, J. F. (2020). A novel human skin tissue model to study varicella zoster virus and human cytomegalovirus. *Journal of Virology*, *September*. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01082-20

Maharaj, P. D., Widen, S. G., Huang, J., Wood, T. G., & Thangamani, S. (2015). Discovery of Mosquito Saliva MicroRNAs during CHIKV Infection. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *9*(1), 18–24. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003386

Matusali, G., Colavita, F., Bordi, L., Lalle, E., Ippolito, G., Capobianchi, M. R., & Castilletti, C. (2019). Tropism of the chikungunya virus. *Viruses*, *11*(2). https://doi.org/10.3390/v11020175

Munir, M., & Berg, M. (2013). The multiple faces of proteinkinase R in antiviral defense. *Virulence*, *4*(1), 85–89. https://doi.org/10.4161/viru.23134

Pasparakis, M., Haase, I., & Nestle, F. O. (2014). Mechanisms regulating skin immunity and inflammation. In *Nature Reviews Immunology* (Vol. 14, Issue 5, pp. 289–301). https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3646

Pierson, T. C., & Diamond, M. S. (2020). The continued threat of emerging flaviviruses. *Nature Microbiology*, *5*(6), 796–812. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-0714-0

Pingen, M., Bryden, S. R., Pondeville, E., Schnettler, E., Kohl, A., Merits, A., Fazakerley, J. K., Graham, G. J., & McKimmie, C. S. (2016). Host Inflammatory Response to Mosquito Bites Enhances the Severity of Arbovirus Infection. *Immunity*, *44*(6), 1455–1469. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2016.06.002

Pingen, M., Schmid, M. A., Harris, E., & McKimmie, C. S. (2017). Mosquito Biting Modulates Skin Response to Virus Infection. *Trends in Parasitology*, *33*(8), 645–657. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2017.04.003

Prelli Bozzo, C., Nchioua, R., Volcic, M., Koepke, L., Krüger, J., Schütz, D., Heller, S., Stürzel, C. M., Kmiec, D., Conzelmann, C., Müller, J., Zech, F., Braun, E., Groß, R., Wettstein, L., Weil, T., Weiß, J., Diofano, F., Rodríguez Alfonso, A. A., … Kirchhoff, F. (2021). IFITM proteins promote SARS-CoV-2 infection and are targets for virus inhibition in vitro. *Nature Communications*, *12*(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24817-y

Rossi, S. L., Nasar, F., Cardosa, J., Mayer, S. v., Tesh, R. B., Hanley, K. A., Weaver, S. C., & Vasilakis, N. (2012). Genetic and phenotypic characterization of sylvatic dengue virus type 4 strains. *Virology*, *423*(1), 58–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2011.11.018

Savidis, G., Perreira, J. M., Portmann, J. M., Meraner, P., Guo, Z., Green, S., & Brass, A. L. (2016). The IFITMs Inhibit Zika Virus Replication. *Cell Reports*, *15*(11), 2323–2330. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.05.074

Sourisseau, M., Schilte, C., Casartelli, N., Trouillet, C., Guivel-Benhassine, F., Rudnicka, D., Sol-Foulon, N., Roux, K. le, Prevost, M.-C., Fsihi, H., Frenkiel, M.-P., Blanchet, F., Afonso, P. v., Ceccaldi, P.-E., Ozden, S., Gessain, A., Schuffenecker, I., Verhasselt, B., Zamborlini, A., … Schwartz, O. (2007). Characterization of Reemerging Chikungunya Virus. *PLoS Pathogens*, *3*(6), e89. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.0030089

Styer, L. M., Kent, K. A., Albright, R. G., Bennett, C. J., Kramer, L. D., & Bernard, K. A. (2007). Mosquitoes inoculate high doses of West Nile virus as they probe and feed on live hosts. *PLoS Pathogens*, *3*(9), 1262–1270. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.0030132

Surasombatpattana, P., Hamel, R., Patramool, S., Luplertlop, N., Thomas, F., Desprès, P., Briant, L., Yssel, H., & Missé, D. (2011). Dengue virus replication in infected human keratinocytes leads to activation of antiviral innate immune responses. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, *11*(7), 1664–1673. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2011.06.009

Svenson, E. L., Moser, L. A., Boylan, B. T., Bernard, K. A., Fedorova, N. B., Pickett, B. E., Moreira, F. R., Myers, L. J., Svenson, E. L., Fedorova, N. B., Pickett, B. E., & Bernard, K. A. (2018). Growth and adaptation of Zika virus in mammalian and mosquito cells. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *12*(11), e0006880. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006880

Waggoner, J. J., Rojas, A., Mohamed-Hadley, A., de Guillén, Y. A., & Pinsky, B. A. (2018). Real-time RT-PCR for Mayaro virus detection in plasma and urine. *Journal of Clinical Virology*, *98*(12), 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2017.11.006

Weaver, S. C., Costa, F., Garcia-Blanco, M. A., Ko, A. I., Ribeiro, G. S., Saade, G., Shi, P. Y., & Vasilakis, N. (2016). Zika virus: History, emergence, biology, and prospects for control. *Antiviral Research*, *130*, 69–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2016.03.010

Wichit, S., Diop, F., Hamel, R., Talignani, L., Ferraris, P., Cornelie, S., Liegeois, F., Thomas, F., Yssel, H., & Missé, D. (2017). Aedes Aegypti saliva enhances chikungunya virus replication in human skin fibroblasts via inhibition of the type I interferon signaling pathway. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, *55*(June), 68–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2017.08.032

Zhao, X., Li, J., Winkler, C. A., An, P., & Guo, J. T. (2019). IFITM genes, variants, and their roles in the control and pathogenesis of viral infections. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *10*(JAN), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.03228

Acknowledgment: The authors would like to acknowledge the anonymous donors for providing skin tissue used in this study.

Funding: The study described in this manuscript was funded by Departmental Start-up funds and SUNY Empire Innovation Professorship funds to ST

Conflict of interest: The authors state no conflict of interests.

Author contributions:

Conception: ST and ATE

Experimental design: ATE, JF, MG, ST

Resources: ST, PU, JM

Acquisition of data and analysis: ATE, PU

Interpretation of data: ATE and ST

Supervision: ST

Writing-Original Draft preparation: ATE

Writing-Review and Editing: ATE, JF, MG, PU, ST

Funding acquistion: ST


Day 1
Day 2
Day 3
Day 4
10x
20x


Skin
Culture Media
Log10 FFU Equivalents/ug RNA
Log10 FFU Equivalents/ug RNA
**
**
**
**
**
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
0
2
4
0
1
2
3
4
Mock
MAYV
Mock
ZIKV
Days Post Infection
Days Post Infection