# Individual and community-level determinants of intention to use contraceptive among married women in Ethiopia: A multi-level analysis of National Survey

- 4 Kegnie Shitu<sup>1</sup>, Adugnaw Zeleke Alem<sup>2</sup>, Tesfa Sewunet Alemneh<sup>2</sup>, Bewuketu Terefe<sup>3\*</sup>
- 5
- <sup>6</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Health Education and Behavioral Science, Institute of Public Health, College of
- 7 Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia
- <sup>2</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Institute of Public Health, College of Medicine
- 9 and Health Sciences, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia
- <sup>3\*</sup>Department of Community Health Nursing, School of Nursing, College of Medicine and
- 11 Health Sciences, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia

## 12 Abstract

- 13 Background: Contraceptives are the most effective strategies to prevent unwanted pregnancies
- 14 and their consequences. Realizing intention to use contraceptives is a crucial stage to draft and

15 implement a successful family planning program.

Objective: This study aimed to identify individual and community level of factors affecting
women's intention to use contraceptives in Ethiopia.

Method: This study was based on a large national survey, Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey. A total weighted sample of 6,555 married/in union reproductive-age women were included. Because of the hierarchical nature of the DHS data, a multilevel logistic regression model was used to study individual and community-level factors that may influence intention to

contraceptive use. A 95% confidence interval and a p value of less than 0.05 were used todeclare statistical significance.

**Result:** The overall intention to use contraceptives was 48.63% (95% CI 47.42, 49.84). 24 25 Participants' age range of 25-34 years (AOR = 0.42, 95 CI% (0.22, 0.79)) and 35-49 years (AOR = 0.12, 95% CI: (0.05, 0.28)), husband with primary education (AOR = 1.60, 95% CI: (1.02, 26 (AOR = 1.91, 95% CI; (1.29, 2.83)), ever 27 used contraceptives (AOR = 4.48, 95% CI: (2.91, 6.88)) and having six or more children (AOR = 28 0.46, 95% CI: (0.23, 0.9)) were individual factors significantly associated with interceptive 29 30 intention. From community level factors, high community family planning utilization rate (AOR = 2.29, 95% CI: (1.36, 3.86)) was associated with intention to use contraceptive. 31

Conclusion: More than half of married women were not intended to use contraceptives. Intention to use contraceptive was affected by individual and community level attributes. Thus, public health interventions particularly that could increase information dissemination regarding contraceptives among the communities and enhance community level contraceptive utilization rate are required at the national level to improve contraceptive utilization.

37 **Keywords:** Intention to contraception, married women, Multilevel analysis, Contraceptive,

38 Ethiopia

## 39 Introduction

A couple's purposeful effort to restrict or space the number of children they have through the use of contraceptive techniques is referred to as family planning. Contraceptive methods are divided into two categories: modern and old. Male and female sterilization, the intrauterine contraceptive device (IUD), implants, injectables, the pill, male and female condoms, emergency

44 contraception, the standard 9 days method (SDM), and the lactational amenorrhea method are all
45 examples of modern approaches (LAM). Traditional approaches include things like rhythm,
46 withdrawal, and other folk methods(1).

47 Reports pointed out that, in 2019 there were about 1.1 billion reproductive age women who have a need to use contraceptive methods, however, around 270 million of them had faced unmet need 48 49 of contraceptive methods(2, 3). Sustainable development goals (SDG) and united nations world 50 family planning report indicated that the total of rate satisfaction of mothers in family planning is stopped at around 78%, nevertheless due to several hindering factors the rate satisfaction in 51 52 Africa regions has shown lowest rate (56%)(4). If all low and middle countries can satisfy 53 women in contraceptive services, they will have a chance of reduced unintended pregnancies, unplanned births, and induced abortions approximately by three-quarters from 39 million to 22 54 million, from 30 million to 7 million and from 48 million to 12 million every single year 55 respectively with 76,000 fewer maternal deaths(5). 56

57 In the present scientific fact, the global community has reached on consensus agreements regarding family planning by reducing the number of women's exposure to pregnancies, by 58 limited more than 4 births (6, 7), reducing unsafe abortion from unintended pregnancies (8, 9), 59 60 declining newborn and infant mortality rates (5), preventing the transmission of HIV/AIDS from the mother to the child by 93% (10), empowering mothers and girls by staying them in 61 school(11), by improving adolescents' reproductive health, social and economic wellbeing(12). 62 The task of family planning is exponentially difficult and daunting in sub Saharan Africa (SSA), 63 hence According to the world bank project report the number of populations SSA has shown an 64 increasement of about ten times between 1990 and 2050(13), this in turn shows population 65

growth is fast in Africa(14). SSA has shown a few declines from 6.3 to 4.6 births per women
from 1990 to 2019(14).

68 Like the rest of the world community, Ethiopia is struggling with family planning issues and has 69 tried to increase contraception coverage by 69% by 2020, however has failed and it needs other fertility and family planning implications (15). Studies on contraception prevalence in Ethiopia 70 71 show that it is low and far apart in terms of prevalence from one to other studies. For 72 illustrations, a study conducted in Benishangul Gumuz revealed that the prevalence of contraceptive was 18.6%(16), 38% in southern Ethiopia Wolayita Sodo(17), 19.9% in eastern 73 74 Ethiopia (18). Other two important studies were also conducted based on demographic and 75 health survey data on contraceptive prevalence to use and discontinuation discovered that 20.42% and 32.2% respectively(19, 20). Children born from mothers who use modern 76 77 contraceptive methods have high rate of survival implications than their counterparts(21). Such studies also identified some crucial factors which have positive or negative statistical 78 implications on contraceptive method use. This includes age, educational level, knowledge, 79 80 attitude (16, 17), residence, region, occupational status, number of children, women self-81 decision, television watching (19, 20), counseling on contraceptive methods, (16, 20), myths and 82 misconceptions(17). There were also some other factors associated with intention to use contraceptive methods. Fear of side effects, male partner opposition, inter spousal discussion, 83 discussion with health care providers, perceived cultural acceptability, status of gravidity, marital 84 85 status and post-natal care utilization were among the variables associated with the outcome variable(22-27). 86

This study addresses two major research objectives. First, studies done on contraceptive methods in Ethiopia are so limited in study area and study population that is difficult to determine for the 89 general population of the country. Second, these studies did not include events that may have a potential effect on intention to use contraception methods at community level factors. Therefore, 90 this study aimed to include both individual and community level factors to determine the 91 92 intention to use contraceptive methods among married reproductive women. Identifying important factors affecting intention to use contraceptive methods at the community and 93 94 individual level, this study contributes to Ethiopia, would have a paramount importance to increase birth control by controlling birth rates. Policymakers and other stakeholders working on 95 family planning, women and children's health will access an up-to-date nationwide result from 96 97 the findings of this study to develop, improve and implement their plans accordingly.

## 98 Methods

## 99 Data source, population, and sampling procedure

The present study was based on the most recent Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey 100 101 (EDHS) data. The survey was conducted from January 18, 2016, to June 27, 2016. For this survey, a complete list of 84, 915 Enumeration Areas (EAs) from the Ethiopian Population and 102 103 Housing Census was used as a sampling frame. A stratified two-stage cluster sampling technique 104 was employed. In the first stage, 645 EAs were selected. In the second stage, an average of 28 105 households was selected per cluster/EA. The data is freely available in public and we accessed 106 the dataset used for the present study after we registered and received an authentication letter 107 from the Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) program at The DHS Program - Ethiopia: 108 Standard DHS, 2016 Dataset. For this study, a total weighted sample of 6, 555 married/in union 109 women who are not using contraceptive were included. Detailed information about the sampling 110 strategy, questioner, or other important information is available in EDHS of 2016(28).

## 111 Variables of the Study

112 The outcome variable of the present study was the intention to use contraceptives among contraceptive non-user women. The variable was dichotomized into 1 = "Intended" and 0 = "Not 113 114 intended". The independent variables were further classified into individual level (level 1) variables and community level (level 2) variables. Individual-level variables included age, 115 religion, educational status, husband's/partner's age and education, family wealth index, current 116 117 working status, family planning message exposure, knowledge of family planning methods, ever use of contraceptive, fertility preference, desire to have children, and a number of children. 118 119 Whereas, community variables involved variables directly taken with no aggregation (residence and contextual region), and variables obtained by aggregating individual variables into their 120 respected community (community poverty, community female education, community family 121 122 planning message exposure, community antenatal care service utilization rate, community family planning utilization rate, community women's education, and community health facility 123 distance). The aggregates were computed using the mean values of the proportions of women in 124 125 each category of a given variable. Since the aggregate values of each variable don't follow a normal distribution curve, we categorized the aggregate values of a cluster into groups based on 126 median values. 127

## 128 **Operational definitions**

Household wealth quintile: The wealth index classifications were done in quintiles: poorest;
poor; middle; rich; richest. These were computed using principal component analyses (PCA).
This variable was further categorized into three categories (Poor, Medium, and Rich) by merging
the lower two (poorest and poor) quantiles and the upper two (richest and rich) quantiles.

Family planning message exposure: This variable was computed from the frequency of exposure to family planning messages from television, radio, magazine or mobile messages The variable was categorized into two parts: Not exposed when the participant is exposed to none of the four channels at least once a week; and exposed if the participant was exposed to family planning messages at least once a week from one of the four channels.

Contextual region: For this survey regions were categorized into three categories (agrarian, pastoral, and metropolitan) that may have a strong relationship to health information seeking and intention to use contraceptives. The Tigray, Amhara, Oromia, SNNP, Gambella, and Beneshangul Gumuz were recorded as agrarian. The Somali and Afar regions were merged to form the pastoralist region and the city administrations- Addis Ababa, Dire Dawa, and Harar were combined as metropolitan (1).

144 **Community female education**: This is the aggregate value of the educational levels of women 145 based on the average of proportions of educational levels in the community. It was defined as 146 low if the proportion of women with secondary education & above in the community was 0 -12.4 % and high if the proportion was 12.5 - 100 %.

Community media exposure: This variable was derived from the individual responses for exposure to radio or television. It was defined as low if the proportion of women exposed to media in the community was 0–18.7 % and high if the proportion was 18.8–100 %.

151 **Community ANC utilization rate:** This variable is also derived from the individual values for 152 ANC utilization. It was defined as low if the proportion of women who attended at least one 153 ANC visit in the community was 0 - 81.3 % and high if the proportion was between 81.4 - 100154 %.

155 **Community poverty:** With the same procedure, this variable is also derived from an individual 156 household's wealth index. It was defined as high if the proportion of women from the two lowest 157 wealth quintiles in a given community was 25.9–100 % and low if the proportion was 0–26 %.

158 **Community distance to the health facility:** The variable was aggregated from individual 159 perceived distance to a health facility is a big problem. It was as categorized as low if the 160 proportion of women who perceived health facility distance as a big problem in the community 161 was 0–42.2% and categorized as high if the proportion was between 42.2% and 100%.

## 162 Data processing and Analysis

Data were extracted from individual records (IR) files and further coding and transformations 163 were done using statistical software, STATA version 14. The weighted samples were used for 164 analysis to adjust for unequal probability of selection and non-response in the original survey. 165 166 Since the EDHS employed multi-stage stratified cluster sampling techniques, the data have a hierarchical structure. In such kinds of situations, single-level logistic regression is not 167 recommended because Traditional multiple regression techniques treat the units of analysis as 168 169 independent observations. One consequence of failing to recognize hierarchical structures is that standard errors of regression coefficients will be underestimated, leading to an overstatement of 170 statistical significance. In this point of view, to draw valid inference and conclusion an advanced 171 172 statistical model which takes the hierarchy of the data into account is required. Therefore, a multivariable multilevel binary logistic regression model was used to estimate the fixed and 173 random effects of the factors associated with intention to use contraceptives. Four models were 174 constructed. The first model also called an empty model which was fitted without any 175 explanatory variables. This model was specified to decompose the amount of variance that 176 177 existed between communities. The null model is important for understanding the community

178 variations, and we used it as a reference to estimate how much community factors were able to 179 explain the observed variations in the intention to use contraceptives. Moreover, this model was used to justify the use of a multilevel statistical framework as it is a litmus paper on whether 180 181 multilevel or the traditional logistic regression should be used. It was assessed using the Log Likelihood Ratio test (LLR), Median Odds Ratio (MOR), Intra-class Correlation Coefficient 182 (ICC), and Proportional Change of Variance (PCV). The second model contained only 183 individual-level factors. The third contained only community-level factors. Whereas, the final 184 (fourth) model containing both individual and community-level factors. Moreover, the model 185 186 comparison was done using model deviance, a model with the lowest deviance selected for reporting and interpretation results. 187

# 188 **Result**

## 189 Individual level characteristics

A total of 6,555 weighted sample of married/ in union and contraceptive non-users were 190 191 involved in the present study. The median age of the women was 30 years old with an interquartile range (IQR) of 13 years. The median (IQR) husband's/partner's age was 38 (16) 192 years. More than half of (56.6%) of the participants were affiliated to Christianity. Majority 193 194 (65.68%) and more than half (50.51%) of the participants and their husbands/partners did not 195 attend formal education respectively. About 2999 (46%) of the participant were form a house hold with poor wealth status. Concerning exposure to family planning messages, only 1572 196 (24%) of the participants were exposed to family planning message from at least one of the four 197 main (television, radio, magazine and mobile message) channels. 198

Almost all (98%) of the participants knew at least one of the family planning methods. However, only 2257 (34.4%) of the participants had ever used at least once a time. Decision for not using contraceptive was mainly made jointly by the respondents and their partner whereas 569 (10.43) participants reported that their partners were the main decision makers for not using contraceptives. Regarding to fertility, about 2840 (43.3%) participants hadn't desire to have children in the future. In more than on third (35.3%) the cases both the respondents and their partners want the same number of children to have in the future (Table 1).

Table 1: Sociodemographic and other individual characteristics of married/in union women in

| 207 | Ethiopia | (n=6555) |
|-----|----------|----------|
|-----|----------|----------|

| Variables                     | Intended to u | Total, n (%)  |              |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
|                               | No, n (%)     | Yes, n (%)    |              |
| Age                           |               |               |              |
| 15-24                         | 436 (30.15)   | 1,011 (69.85) | 1447 (22.07) |
| 25-34                         | 1,166 (43.17) | 1,535 (56.83) | 2701 (41.21) |
| 35-49                         | 1,765 (73.35) | 642 (26.65)   | 2407 (36.72) |
| Husband's/partner's age       |               |               |              |
| 15-24                         | 89(28.14)     | 228 (71.86)   | 317 (4.84)   |
| 25-34                         | 698 (33.91)   | 1361 (66.09)  | 2059 (31.42) |
| 35-44                         | 1011 (49.06)  | 1050 (50.94)  | 2061 (31.45) |
| 45-54                         | 894(69.73)    | 388 (30.27)   | 1282(19.56)  |
| >=55                          | 674 (80.83)   | 160 (19.17)   | 834 (12.73)  |
| Religion                      |               |               |              |
| Cristian                      | 1,634 (45)    | 1,991 (55)    | 3625 (56.67) |
| Muslim                        | 1639 (59.14)  | 1133 (40.86)  | 2772(43.33)  |
| Highest educational level     |               |               |              |
| No-formal education           | 2510 (58.29)  | 1795 (41.71)  | 4305 (65.68) |
| Primary                       | 700 (40.1)    | 1048 (59.9)   | 1748 (26.67) |
| Secondary                     | 82 (26.24)    | 230 (73.76)   | 312 (4.76)   |
| Higher                        | 75 (39.55)    | 115 (60.45)   | 190 (2.90)   |
| Husband's/partner's education |               |               |              |
| No formal education           | 1999 (60.88)  | 1285 (39.12)  | 3284 (50.51) |
| Primary education             | 972(41.77)    | 1355 (58.23)  | 2327 (35.79) |

| Secondary education       |                    | 205 (37.7)     | 338 (62.3)    | 543 (8.35)   |
|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|
| Higher education          |                    | 164 (47.11)    | 184 (52.89)   | 348 (5.35)   |
| Currently working         |                    |                |               | 0            |
| No                        |                    | 2,471 (51.99)  | 2,282 (48.01) | 4753 (72.51) |
| Yes                       |                    | 897 (49.76)    | 905 (50.24)   | 1802 (27.49) |
| Household wealth Index    |                    |                |               |              |
| Poor                      |                    | 1,628 (54.28)  | 1,371 (45.72) | 2999 (45.75) |
| Medium                    |                    | 630 (48.76)    | 662 (51.24)   | 1292 (19.71) |
| Rich                      |                    | 1,110 (49.02)  | 1,154 (50.98) | 2264 (34.54) |
| Exposure to family plann  | ing (FP) messages  |                |               | 0            |
| No                        |                    | 2,740 (55)     | 2,243 (45.02) | 4983 (76.02) |
| Yes                       |                    | 628(39.93)     | 944 (60.07)   | 1572 (23.98) |
| Source of FP message exp  | posure             |                |               |              |
| Television                | No                 | 3051 (52)      | 2765 (47.54)  | 5816 (88.73) |
|                           | Yes                | 316 (42.84)    | 421 (57.16)   | 739 (11.27)  |
| Radio                     | No                 | 2874(54)       | 2448 (46)     | 5322 (81.19) |
|                           | Yes                | 494 (40.05)    | 739 (59.95)   | 1233 (18.81) |
| Magazine/newspaper        | No                 | 3311 (52)      | 3085 (48.23)  | 6396 (97.57) |
|                           | Yes                | 56 (35.59)     | 103 (64.41)   | 159 (2.43)   |
| Mobile phone              | No                 | 3304 (51.33)   | 3132 (48.67)  | 6436 (98.18) |
|                           | Yes                | 64 (53.57)     | 55 (46.43)    | 119 (1.82)   |
| Told of family planning a | t health facility  |                |               |              |
| No                        |                    | 887 (48)       | 948 (51.66)   | 1835 (62.46) |
| Yes                       |                    | 396 (35.92)    | 707 (64.08)   | 1103 (37.54) |
| Told of family planning b | y fieldworker      |                |               |              |
| No                        |                    | 396 (54.8)     | 327 (45.17)   | 723 (40.89)  |
| Yes                       |                    | 430 (41.15)    | 615 (58.85)   | 1045 (59.11) |
| Heard of family planning  | from the community |                |               |              |
| No                        |                    | 2,149 (54. 43) | 1,799 (45.57) | 3948 (60.23) |
| Yes                       |                    | 1,219 (46.75)  | 1,388 (53.25) | 2607 (39.77) |
| Know a modern contrace    | ptive              |                |               |              |
| Don't know                |                    | 123 (90.0)     | 14 (10.38)    | 138 (2.11)   |
| Know                      |                    | 3,244 (50.56)  | 3,173 (49.44) | 6417 (97.89) |
| Ever use of contraceptive | ;                  |                |               |              |
| No                        |                    | 2708 (63.0)    | 1590 (37.0)   | 4298 (65.57) |
| Yes                       |                    | 660 (29.24)    | 1597 (70.76)  | 2257 (34.43) |
| Who decide for not using  | contraceptive      |                |               |              |
| Mainly respondent         |                    | 917(55.86)     | 725 (44.14)   | 1642 (30.09) |
| mainly husband/partne     | er                 | 338 (59.39)    | 231 (40.61)   | 569 (10.43)  |
| joint decision            |                    | 1716 (54.45)   | 1436 (45.55)  | 3152 (57.76) |

| Other                     | 73 (77.47)    | 21 (22.53)    | 94 (1.72)    |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| Fertility preference      |               |               |              |
| Have another              | 1691 (46.52)  | 2024 (54.48)  | 3715 (56.67) |
| Undecided                 | 233 (60.43)   | 152 (39.57)   | 385 (5.87)   |
| No more                   | 1288(56.1)    | 1007 (43.9)   | 2295 (35.01) |
| Declared infecund         | 156 (98.03)   | 4 (1.97)      | 160 (2.44)   |
| Desire for more children  |               |               |              |
| No                        | 1676 (59.04)  | 1164 (40.96)  | 2840 (43.33) |
| Yes                       | 1691 (45.52)  | 2024 (54.48)  | 3715 (56.67) |
| Husband's children desire |               |               |              |
| Both wants the same       | 1,094(47.26)  | 1,221 (52.74) | 2315 (35.32) |
| Husband wants more        | 1,019 (55.18) | 828 (44.82)   | 1847 (28.18) |
| Husband wants fewer       | 233 (51.7)    | 218 (48.3)    | 451 (6.88)   |
| Don't know                | 1,021 (52.59) | 921 (47.41)   | 1942 (29.63) |
| No of Children            |               |               |              |
| 0-2                       | 906 (40.61)   | 1,325 (59.39) | 2231 (34.04) |
| 3-5                       | 1,280 (49.57) | 1,301 (50.43) | 2581 (39.37) |
| 6 and above               | 1,182 (67.83) | 561 (32.17)   | 1743 (26.59) |

## 208 Community level factor

209 Majority (87.84%) of the participants were from rural residency. Whereas, 4178 (63.7%), 4734 (72.2%), 2803 (42.76), 4808 (73.35) and 3748 (57.18) of the participants were from a 210 211 community with high poverty, low community female's education, low exposure to family 212 planning, low community ANC utilization rate and low women's empowerment respectively. Moreover, in the chi2 analysis, residence, community poverty, region, community level female's 213 education, community ANC utilization rate, community exposure to family planning messages, 214 215 community family planning utilization rate and community health facility distance problem were 216 significantly associated with intention to use family planning at p-value <0.05 (Table 2).

Table 2: Community level variables descriptive result by informed choice among contraceptive

user women in Ethiopia (n=6,555)

|  | No            | Yes           |              |         |
|--|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------|
| Residence                                  |               |               |              |         |
| Urban                                      | 394 (49.50)   | 403 (50.50)   | 797 (12.16)  |         |
| Rural                                      | 2,973 (51.63) | 2,785 (48.37) | 5758 (87.84) | 0.003   |
| Contextual Region                          |               |               |              |         |
| Agrarian                                   | 2,836 (48.41) | 3,023 (51.59) | 5859 (89.38) |         |
| Pastoral                                   | 425 (84.35)   | 79 (15.65)    | 504 (7.69)   |         |
| Metropolitan                               | 106 (55.50)   | 86 (44.50)    | 192 (2.93)   | < 0.001 |
| Community poverty                          |               |               |              |         |
| Low  | 1,202 (50.59) | 1,175 (49.41) | 2377 (36.26) |         |
| High                                       | 2,165 (51.82) | 2,013 (48.18) | 4178 (63.74) | < 0.001 |
| Community female education                 |               |               |              |         |
| Low  | 2468 (52.14)  | 2266 (47.86)  | 4734 (72.22) |         |
| High                                       | 900 (49.39)   | 921 (50.61)   | 1821 (27.78) | < 0.001 |
| Community exposure to FP messages          |               |               |              |         |
| Low  | 1,498 (53.45) | 1,305 (46.55) | 2803 (42.76) |         |
| High                                       | 1,869 (49.83) | 1,882 (50.17) | 3751 (57.22) | < 0.001 |
| Community ANC utilization rate             |               |               |              |         |
| Low  | 2558 (53.21)  | 2250 (46.79)  | 4808 (73.35) |         |
| High                                       | 809 (46.33)   | 938 (53.67)   | 1747 (26.65) | < 0.001 |
| Community FP utilization rate              |               |               |              |         |
| Low  | 1,401 (64.27) | 780 (35.73)   | 2181 (33.27) |         |
| High                                       | 1,966 (44.95) | 2,408 (55.05) | 4374 (66.73) | < 0.001 |
| Community health facility distance problem |               |               |              |         |
| Low  | 996 (48.86)   | 1,043 (51.14) | 2039 (31.11) |         |
| High                                       | 2,371 (52.51) | 2,145 (47.49) | 4516 (68.89) | < 0.001 |
| Community women's empowerment              |               |               |              |         |
| Low  | 1,979 (52.82) | 1,769 (47.18) | 3748 (57.18) |         |
| High                                       | 1,388 (49.45) | 1,419 (50.55) | 2807 (42.82) | 0.287   |
|  |               |               |              |         |

219 *Not: ANC= Antenatal care, FP= Family planning* 

# 220 Intention to use contraceptive

Only 3368 (48.6%, 95% CI 47.42, 49.84) of married reproductive age women were intended to

use contraceptive in the future (Figure 1).



## 223

Figure 1: Intention to use contraceptives among married reproductive age women in Ethiopia
 (n= 6, 555)

## 226 Factors associated with intention to use family planning

In the multilevel multivariable analysis, age, education, heard of family planning from the community, ever use of contraceptives, number of children, and community level family planning utilization rate were significant factors associated with participant's intention to use contraceptives in the future.

Participants within the age range of 25-34 years (AOR = 0.42, 95 CI% (0.22, 0.79)) and 35-49 years (AOR = 0.12, 95% CI: (0.05, 0.28)) were less likely to be intended to use contraceptives in the future compared to participants with the age range of 15-24 years. Women's husband/partner with primary education were 1.6 times more likely to be intended to use contraceptives compared to husbands with no formal education (AOR = 1.60, 95% CI: (1.02, 2.50)). Participants heard of contraceptives from their community (AOR = 1.91. 95% CI: (1.29, 2.83)) and ever used contraceptives (AOR = 4.48, 95% CI: (2.91, 6.88)) were almost two and 4.5 times

| 238 | more likely to be intended to use contraceptives in the future respectively. In addition to this,     |
|-----|---|
| 239 | women who had six or more children (AOR = $0.46$ , 95% CI: ( $0.23$ , $0.9$ )) were less likely to be |
| 240 | intended to use contraceptives. Regarding community level variables, Women from a community           |
| 241 | with high rate of family planning utilization were 2.3 times more likely to be intended to use        |
| 242 | contraceptives (AOR = 2.29, 95% CI: (1.36,3.86)).   |

- Table 3: Individual and community level facto associated with intention to use family planning
- among married/ in union contraceptive non-user women in Ethiopia (n=6,555).

| Independent variables | Null model | Model I                | Model III    | Model IV            |
|-----------------------|------------|------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
|                       |            | AOR [95%<br>CI]        | AOR [95% CI] | AOR [95% CI]        |
| Age of the respondent |            |                        |              |                     |
| 15-24                 | -          | 1                      | -            | 1                   |
| 25-34                 | -          | 0.39 (0.21,<br>0.73) * | -            | 0.42 (0.22, 0.79) * |
| 35-49                 | -          | 0.10 (0.04,<br>0.24) * | -            | 0.12 (0.05, 0.28) * |
| Husband/partner's age |            |                        |              |                     |
| 15-24                 | -          | 1                      | -            | 1                   |
| 25-34                 | -          | 1.05 (0.42,<br>2.61)   | -            | 0.90 (0.36, 2.27)   |
| 35-44                 | -          | 1.45 (0.54,<br>3.89)   | -            | 1.27 (0.47, 3.47)   |
| 45-54                 | -          | 1.12 (0.38,<br>3.32)   | -            | 1.03 (0.34, 3.08)   |
| >=55                  | -          | 0.39 (0.12,<br>1.27)   | -            | 0.40 (0.12, 1.33)   |
| Religion              |            |                        |              |                     |
| Christian             | -          | 1                      | -            | 1                   |
| Muslim                | -          | 0.55 (0.36,<br>0.85) * | -            | 0.76 (0.48, 1.22)   |
| Education             |            |                        |              |                     |
| No formal education   | -          | 1                      | -            | 1                   |
| primary               | -          | 1.01 (0.64,<br>1.62)   | -            | 1.11 (0.69, 1.77)   |
| secondary             | -          | 1.23 (0.56,            | -            | 1.86 (0.83, 4.20)   |

|                                 |   | 2.72)       |   |                      |
|---------------------------------|---|-------------|---|----------------------|
| higher                          | - | 0.64 (0.23, | - | 0.96 (0.34, 2.71)    |
|                                 |   | 1.80)       |   |                      |
| Husband/partner's education     |   |             |   |                      |
| No formal education             | - | 1           | - | 1                    |
| Primary education               | - | 1.62 (1.04, | - | 1.60 (1.02, 2.50) *  |
|                                 |   | 2.54) *     |   |                      |
| Secondary education             | - | 0.84 (0.43, | - | 1.06 (0.54, 2.10)    |
|                                 |   | 1.65)       |   |                      |
| Higher education                | - | 1.29 (0.58, | - | 1.58 (0.70, 3.56)    |
|                                 |   | 2.90)       |   |                      |
| Exposure to FP messages         |   |             |   |                      |
| Not exposed                     | - | 1           | - | 1                    |
| Exposed                         | - | 1.13 (0.73, | - | 1.17 (0.74, 1.84)    |
|                                 |   | 1.75)       |   |                      |
| Told of FP at health facility   |   |             |   |                      |
| No                              | - | 1           | - | 1                    |
| yes                             | - | 1.45 (0.93, | - | 1.35 (0.87, 2.10)    |
|                                 |   | 2.26)       |   |                      |
| Told of FP by field worker      |   |             |   |                      |
| No                              | - | 1           | - | 1                    |
| Yes                             | - | 1.03 (0.65, | - | 1.01 (0.64, 1.58)    |
|                                 |   | 1.62)       |   |                      |
| Heard of FP from the community  |   |             |   |                      |
| No                              | - | 1           | - | 1                    |
| Yes                             | - | 2.13 (1.44, | - | 1.91 (1.29, 2.83) ** |
|                                 |   | 3.15) *     |   |                      |
| Knowledge of FP                 |   |             |   |                      |
| Don't know                      | - | 1           | - | 1                    |
| Know                            | - | 8.62 (0.97, | - | 7.51 (0.85, 66.53)   |
|                                 |   | 76.33)      |   |                      |
| Ever use of FP                  |   |             |   |                      |
| No                              | - | 1           | - | 1                    |
| Yes                             | - | 4.89 (3.18, | - | 4.48 (2.91, 6.88) ** |
|                                 |   | 7.52) *     |   |                      |
| Decision maker for not using FP |   |             |   |                      |
| Mainly the respondent           | - | 1           | - | 1                    |
| Mainly husband, partner         | - | 1.02 (0.49, | - | 1.07 (0.52, 2.23)    |
| -                               |   | 2.10)       |   |                      |
| Joint decision                  | - | 1.23 (0.82, | - | 1.24 (0.83, 1.88)    |
|                                 |   | 1.86)       |   |                      |
| Other                           | - | 1.20 (0.20, | - | 1.29 (0.21, 7.90)    |

|                              |   | 7.23)                |                       |                     |
|------------------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Fertility preference         |   | ,                    |                       |                     |
| Have more/undecided          | - | 1                    | -                     | 1                   |
| No more/declared infecund    | - | 1.17 (0.49,<br>2.81) | -                     | 1.03 (0.44, 2.40)   |
| Desire to have children      |   |                      |                       |                     |
| No                           | - | 1                    | -                     | 1                   |
| Yes                          | - | 1.04 (0.44,<br>2.42) | -                     | 1.03 (0.45, 2.34)   |
| Household wealth index       |   |                      |                       |                     |
| Poor                         | - | 1                    | -                     | 1                   |
| Medium                       | - | 1.20 (0.70,<br>2.06) | -                     | 1.00 (0.58, 1.73)   |
| Rich                         | - | 0.86 (0.52,<br>1.42) | -                     | 0.92 (0.51, 1.65)   |
| Husbands desire for children |   |                      |                       |                     |
| Husband wants the same       | - | 1                    | -                     | 1                   |
| husband wants more           | - | 0.70 (0.44,<br>1.13) | -                     | 0.68 (0.42, 1.09)   |
| husband wants fewer          | - | 1.18 (0.59,<br>2.39) | -                     | 1.16 (0.58, 2.33)   |
| don't know                   | - | 0.91 (0.57,<br>1.44) | -                     | 0.94 (0.59, 1.48)   |
| No. of children              |   |                      |                       |                     |
| 0-2                          | - | 1                    | -                     | 1                   |
| 3-5                          | - | 0.81 (0.48,<br>1.35) | -                     | 0.74 (0.44, 1.24)   |
| 6 and above                  | - | 0.51 (0.26,<br>1.00) | -                     | 0.46 (0.23, 0.91) * |
| Residence                    |   |                      |                       |                     |
| Rural                        | - | -                    | 1                     | 1                   |
| Urban                        | - | -                    | 0.92 (0.67, 1.26)     | 0.68 (0.32, 1.45)   |
| Region                       |   |                      |                       |                     |
| Pastoral                     | - | -                    | 1                     | 1                   |
| Agrarian                     | - | -                    | 2.23 (1.80,2.76)<br>* | 1.52 (0.91, 2.56)   |
| Metropolitan                 | - | -                    | 1.28 (0.94, 1.75)     | 0.86 (0.41, 1.79)   |
| Community poverty            |   |                      |                       |                     |
| High                         | - | -                    | 1                     | 1                   |
| Low Poverty                  | - | -                    | 0.89 (0.70, 1.13)     | 1.24 (0.68, 2.23)   |
| Community female education   |   |                      |                       |                     |
| Low                          | - | -                    | 1                     | 1                   |

| High                           | -          | -           | 1.10 (0.88, 1.38) | 0.61 (0.35, 1.10)  |
|--------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Community FP message exposure  |            |             |                   |                    |
| Low                            | -          | -           | 1                 | 1                  |
| High                           | -          | -           | 1.31(1.06,1.62)*  | 0.94 (0.56, 1.58)  |
| Community ANC utilization rate |            |             |                   |                    |
| Low                            | -          | -           | 1                 | 1                  |
| High                           | -          | -           | 1.22(0.98, 1.52)  | 1.07 (0.66, 1.76)  |
| Community FP utilization       |            |             |                   |                    |
| Low                            | -          | -           | 1                 | 1                  |
| High                           | -          | -           | 3.21 (2.61,3.95)  | 2.29 (1.36,3.86) * |
|                                |            |             | *                 |                    |
| Community HF distance problem  |            |             |                   |                    |
| High                           | -          | -           |                   | 1                  |
| Low                            | -          | -           | 0.84 (0.68, 1.04) | 0.71 (0.44, 1.13)  |
| Community women's empowerment  |            |             |                   |                    |
| Low                            | -          | -           | 1                 | 1                  |
| High                           | -          | -           | 0.95 (0.79, 1.14) | 0.90 (0.59, 1.39)  |
| Random parameters and model    |            |             |                   |                    |
| comparison                     |            |             |                   |                    |
| Community level variance       | 1.36       | 0.74        | 0.55              | 0.50               |
| ICC (%)                        | 25.8       | 15.9        | 12.2              | 11.31              |
| MOR (95% CI)                   | 3.03(2.74, | 2.26 (1.62, | 2.02 (1.86, 2.21) | 1.96(1.40, 3.79)   |
|                                | 3.37)      | 3.99)       |                   |                    |
| PCV (%)                        | Reference  | 45.6        | 59.9              | 63.25              |
| DIC (-2LLR)                    | -4154.98   | 931.7       | 7962.8            | 900.0              |

Note: \* significant at p-value <0.05, \*\* Significant at p-value <0.001, ICC= Intra cluster</li>
correlation, MOR= Median Odds Ratio, PCV= Proportional Change of Variance, DIC=

247 Deviance information criterion, LLR=Log Likelihood Ratio

## 248 Discussion

The aim of this study was to assess future intention to use contraceptives and associated factors among married/ in union women who were not current contraceptive user. The proportion of participants who were intended to use contraceptive in the future was 48.63 (47.42-49.84). This figure is less observed than studies conducted in Indonesia 63%(29), in Ghana 70%(30, 31), in

253 Uganda(32), in Malawi (33), in Ethiopia 84.3% (34) and it is almost in agreement with studies 254 conducted in Ghana 49.3% (35), however this figure is higher than studies investigated in 255 Ethiopia (17, 36). The possible justification why the observed findings of this study is less than 256 the above mentioned one might be due to countries profiles of family planning experience, participants attitude, knowledge and education backgrounds towards family planning intention. 257 On the other hand, this study founds significantly higher prevalence of intention to use 258 259 contraceptives, might be regarding to minimizing confounding factors both on the individuals 260 and community level factors that could have positive or negative implication on the intention to 261 use contraceptives. Majority of those studies concluded the intention to use contraceptive based 262 on individual factors with a very limited sample size and setting of the study. This might have increased their prevalence findings. 263

264 This study discovered that age was one of the main predictor's variables. Participants whose age 265 group is from 25 to 34 years and 35 to 49 years old have less likely intention to use 266 contraceptives in their future family than the youngers. This finding is in agreement with many 267 other studies conducted at various study setting with different times. A study conducted in 268 Cameron (37), in Zambia(38), in Uganda (32), in Malawi (33), and in Ethiopia (39). This is 269 related to the fact that older women may be in less need of contraceptive methods(40). This also 270 can be explained by as mothers gets older and older, their ability to give birth may decrease and 271 they may experience health problems, sometimes due to pregnancy or related reasons. On the 272 other hand, they may think they are infertile due to their age and also may have a negative view 273 of modern contraceptives.

The study also depicted that those women's husband who have formal education has more likely intention to use contraceptive in the near future than their counterparts having no formal

education. This investigation almost similar with other studies done in Ethiopia (23, 41). In Pakistan (42), in Cameroon (43), in Uttar Pradesh (44) and in Nigeria (45). Male partners, especially in rural Ethiopia, have a tradition of domination family matters, sometimes by their own interests. Education is the major key to solve this challenges of self-determination in family issues (46, 47). Education can enhance humans romantic relationship, sense of humor and ability to make informed decision making cultures with their female partners (48, 49). The results of this study also illustrate this fact.

The other interesting variable was those participants who had heard from the community about 283 284 contraceptive or family planning have more tendency of intention to use contraceptives. This 285 finding is supported by several studies conducted in low and middle income countries' (50), in Ethiopia(51), in SSA (52), in Rwanda(53), and in Nigeria (54). This shows that a favorable 286 287 community level information of contraceptives were significant predictors of intention to use modern contraceptive methods and should take into consideration during the development of 288 Ethiopian family planning programs. The increase in the number of people who have heard of 289 290 the positive benefits of contraceptives in the community is one indication that mothers are ready 291 to do whatever is best for the community.

Individuals who have ever used family planning at least once in their life time has more favorable interest to use the contraceptive methods once again in their future life. These participants who have ever used contraceptives at least once may increase their awareness and knowledge about the service over time. Their awareness and knowledge in turn might increase the intention to use contraceptives through the exposure and counseling received from health care providers. This idea is supported by studies conducted in Ethiopia (34, 39), in Yemen(55), and in Malaysia (56)

20

299 Mothers having six and more than six children have shown less positive intention to apply family 300 planning in the near future than their counterparts. This finding is in disagreement studies 301 conducted in Ethiopia (39, 57). The reason why mothers with six or more than six number of 302 children do not use contraceptives is because they might have a low and negative attitude of contraception or have much pressure and future need of children on themselves, their partners or 303 the community to have more children or think they will not have children later due to their aging 304 305 or uneducated. They may be also using contraceptive method is offensive and forbidden in their religion and cultural practices (58). Those participants might have also faced geographic 306 307 challenges and unavailability of resources (59). Some wealthier women also mentioned that using contraceptive methods have health concern issues and infrequent sex (50). 308

Participants living in a community which family planning coverage is more utilized have a 309 310 greater positive tendency to apply family planning for future upcoming tears than those living in 311 less utilized communities. This finding is supported by studies conducted in Pakistan, Rwanda 312 and Taiwan with a conclusion of accessing of family planning services did not depend only 313 mothers own individual level factors but also by the factors of her household and community 314 level factors (60-62). Other study in Ethiopia also again showed that, Ethiopia will more privilege through community based outreach, and interpersonal communication that could 315 316 effectively modified the knowledge and behavior of women of intention to use contraceptives (63). Certain community members are almost similar in the knowledge, attitudes and way of 317 318 life. Thus, it is important for mothers to decide whether or not to use contraceptives. For 319 example, when people around her who have a positive view of modern contraception and have a better quality and a healthier baby, mother will develop more courage and self-confidence the 320 321 intention to use modern contraceptives. Perhaps she could explain to others in her community

that using contraception would be better for her, her baby and her family. Or, if she has a misconception view of birth control, she is more likely to benefit from it if the majority of the community benefits. She can easily find the information he needs through her friends and community.

## 326 **Conclusion**

327 The findings of this study revealed that intention to use contraceptives in the near future among married women current contraceptive nonusers was very low. Age of women, educational status 328 329 of male partners, ever use of contraceptives, heard of contraceptives information from the 330 community, having six and a greater number of children and higher community utilization family 331 planning utilization rate were factors that statistically significant variables associated with 332 intention to use contraceptives. Thus, public health interventions particularly that could increase information dissemination regarding contraceptives among the communities and enhance 333 334 community level contraceptive utilization rate are urgently required at the national level to 335 address potential hindering factors and to improve the rate of contraceptive utilization in Ethiopia. 336

## 337 Strength and limitation of the study

The strength of this study includes the use of a large sample size. As the sample size increases, the sample gets closer to the actual population, which decreases the potential for deviations from the actual population. In addition to this, an advanced statistical model that can take the nature of the data into account was employed. However, the present study should be interpreted with several limitation that includes; because of the crossectional nature of the study, making casual

- 343 inferences about the observed associations might not be possible. Moreover, social desirability
- bias might be introduced as far as the data were entirely based on self-reports.

# 345 **Declarations**

## 346 Acknowledgments

347 Authors acknowledged the DHS program office to take the ethical consideration of this study

## 348 Statement of authors contribution

- 349 KS: Conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, resources,
- software, validation, visualization, writing original draft, writing review & editing. BT, AZA,
- 351 TSA: Data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, resources, software, validation,
- 352 visualization, writing original draft, writing review & editing. All the authors read and
- approved the final manuscript.

## 354 Funding statement

- 355 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial,
- 356 or not-for-profit sectors.

## 357 **Competing interest statement**

358 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## 359 Availability of data

360 Data for this study were obtained from the DHS Program.

## 361 **Consents for publication**

362 Not applicable

## 363 **Ethical approval and consent to participate**

Ethical approval and permission letter was requested online to DHS program at <u>www.dhsprogram.com</u> to access the data for this study and DHS program was grated the permission through email.

## 367 **References**

EPHIEE I. Ethiopia mini demographic and health survey 2019: key indicators. USA:
 EPHI and ICF; 2019.

2. Kantorová V, Wheldon MC, Ueffing P, Dasgupta AN. Estimating progress towards

371 meeting women's contraceptive needs in 185 countries: A Bayesian hierarchical modelling

study. PLoS medicine. 2020;17(2):e1003026.

373 3. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs Population Division NY.

Family Planning and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development • Data Booklet. 2019.

4. United Nations DoEaSA, Population Division World Family Planning -Highlights

376 (ST/ESA/SER.A/414). 2017.

5. Darroch JE, Sully E, Biddlecom A. Adding it up: investing in contraception and maternal

and newborn health, 2017—supplementary tables. New York, NY: The Guttmacher Institute.

379 2017.

380 6. Stover J, Ross J. How increased contraceptive use has reduced maternal mortality.

381 Maternal and child health journal. 2010;14(5):687-95.

- 382 7. Cleland J, Conde-Agudelo AP. H., Ross, J., & Tsui, A. Contraception and health,
- 383 Lancet.380(9837):149-56.
- 8. Bearak J, Popinchalk A, Alkema L, Sedgh G. Global, regional, and subregional trends in
- unintended pregnancy and its outcomes from 1990 to 2014: estimates from a Bayesian
- hierarchical model. The Lancet Global Health. 2018;6(4):e380-e9.
- 387 9. Sedgh G, Bearak J, Singh S, Bankole A, Popinchalk A, Ganatra B, et al. Abortion
- incidence between 1990 and 2014: global, regional, and subregional levels and trends. The
- 389 Lancet. 2016;388(10041):258-67.
- 10. Singh S, Darroch JE, Ashford LS. Adding it up: the costs and benefits of investing in
- sexual and reproductive health 2014. 2014.
- 39211.United Nations Educational SaCOU. Developing an education sector response to early
- and unintended pregnancy. Paris (France): UNESCO; 2014. 2014.
- 12. Darroch JE, Woog V, Bankole A, Ashford LS. Adding it up: costs and benefits of
- meeting the contraceptive needs of adolescents. 2016.
- 13. Catalog WBD. Population Estimates And Projections. 2020.
- 14. Afairs UNDoEaS. . World Fertility and Family Planning 2020.
- 398 15. Asefa G, Birhanu Z. FERTILITY AND FAMILY PLANNING IMPLICATIONS OF
- 399 ETHIOPIA'S FP2020 TARGET. 2014.
- 400 16. Adane AA, Bekele YA, Melese E, Worku GT, Netsere HB. Modern contraceptive
- 401 utilization and associated factors among married Gumuz women in Metekel Zone North West
- 402 Ethiopia. BioMed Research International. 2020;2020.

- 403 17. Meskele M, Mekonnen W. Factors affecting women's intention to use long acting and
- 404 permanent contraceptive methods in Wolaita Zone, Southern Ethiopia: A cross-sectional study.
- 405 BMC women's health. 2014;14(1):1-9.
- 18. Degefa Hidru H, Dingeta T, Menigiste B, Etsay B, Gebremedhin H, Berwo M, et al.
- 407 Modern Contraceptive Utilization and Its Associated Factors among Indigenous and
- 408 Nonindigenous Married Women of Reproductive Age Group in Jigjiga Town, Eastern Ethiopia,
- 409 2018. BioMed Research International. 2020;2020.
- 410 19. Gebre MN, Edossa ZK. Modern contraceptive utilization and associated factors among
- 411 reproductive-age women in Ethiopia: evidence from 2016 Ethiopia demographic and health
- 412 survey. BMC women's health. 2020;20(1):1-14.
- 413 20. Mekonnen BD, Wubneh CA. Prevalence and associated factors of contraceptive
- discontinuation among reproductive-age women in Ethiopia: using 2016 Nationwide Survey
- 415 Data. Reproductive Health. 2020;17(1):1-10.
- 416 21. Bitew F, Nyarko SH. Modern contraceptive use and intention to use: implication for
- 417 under-five mortality in Ethiopia. Heliyon. 2019;5(8):e02295.
- 418 22. Belda SS, Haile MT, Melku AT, Tololu AK. Modern contraceptive utilization and
- 419 associated factors among married pastoralist women in Bale eco-region, Bale Zone, South East
- 420 Ethiopia. BMC health services research. 2017;17(1):1-12.
- 421 23. Debebe S, Limenih MA, Biadgo B. Modern contraceptive methods utilization and
- 422 associated factors among reproductive aged women in rural Dembia District, northwest Ethiopia:
- 423 Community based cross-sectional study. International Journal of Reproductive BioMedicine.
- 424 2017;15(6):367.

- 425 24. Geremew AB, Gelagay AA. Modern contraceptive use and associated factors among
- 426 married women in Finote Selam town Northwest Ethiopia: a community based cross-sectional
- 427 study. Women's midlife health. 2018;4(1):1-8.
- 428 25. Mohammed A, Woldeyohannes D, Feleke A, Megabiaw B. Determinants of modern
- 429 contraceptive utilization among married women of reproductive age group in North Shoa Zone,
- 430 Amhara Region, Ethiopia. Reproductive health. 2014;11(1):1-7.
- 431 26. Abraha TH, Teferra AS, Gelagay AA. Postpartum modern contraceptive use in northern
- 432 Ethiopia: prevalence and associated factors. Epidemiology and health. 2017;39.
- 433 27. Tekelab T, Melka AS, Wirtu D. Predictors of modern contraceptive methods use among
- 434 married women of reproductive age groups in Western Ethiopia: a community based cross-
- 435 sectional study. BMC women's health. 2015;15(1):1-8.
- 436 28. Csa I. Central statistical agency (CSA)[Ethiopia] and ICF. Ethiopia demographic and
- 437 health survey, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia and Calverton, Maryland, USA. 2016.
- 438 29. Utomo B, Sucahya PK, Romadlona NA, Robertson AS, Aryanty RI, Magnani RJ. The
- 439 impact of family planning on maternal mortality in Indonesia: what future contribution can be
- 440 expected? Population Health Metrics. 2021;19(1):1-13.
- 441 30. Eliason S, Baiden F, Quansah-Asare G, Graham-Hayfron Y, Bonsu D, Phillips J, et al.
- 442 Factors influencing the intention of women in rural Ghana to adopt postpartum family planning.
- 443 Reproductive health. 2013;10(1):1-8.
- 444 31. Der AD, Anaman-Torgbor JA, Charles-Unadike VO, Tarkang EE. Predictors of intention
- to use modern contraceptives among female senior secondary school students in the Kpando
- 446 Municipality, Ghana. African Health Sciences. 2021;21(3):1375-84.

| 447 | 32. | Lutalo T. | Gray | R. Santelli J | , Guwatudde D | , Brahmbhatt H | , Mathur S | , et al. | Unfulfilled |
|-----|-----|-----------|------|---------------|---------------|----------------|------------|----------|-------------|
|     |     |           | , ,  | /             | /             | /              | /          | /        |             |

- need for contraception among women with unmet need but with the intention to use
- 449 contraception in Rakai, Uganda: a longitudinal study. BMC women's health. 2018;18(1):1-7.
- 450 33. Forty J, Rakgoasi SD, Keetile M. Patterns and determinants of modern contraceptive use
- 451 and intention to usecontraceptives among Malawian women of reproductive ages (15–49 years).
- 452 Contraception and reproductive medicine. 2021;6(1):1-12.
- 453 34. Abraha TH, Belay HS, Welay GM. Intentions on contraception use and its associated
- 454 factors among postpartum women in Aksum town, Tigray region, northern Ethiopia: a
- 455 community-based cross-sectional study. Reproductive health. 2018;15(1):1-8.
- 456 35. Bawah AA, Asuming P, Achana SF, Kanmiki EW, Awoonor-Williams JK, Phillips JF.
- 457 Contraceptive use intentions and unmet need for family planning among reproductive-aged
- 458 women in the Upper East Region of Ghana. Reproductive health. 2019;16(1):1-9.
- 459 36. Tiruneh FN, Chuang K-Y, Ntenda PA, Chuang Y-C. Factors associated with
- 460 contraceptive use and intention to use contraceptives among married women in Ethiopia. Women
- 461 & health. 2016;56(1):1-22.
- 462 37. Rai RK. Future intention of contraceptive use among Comorian women, 2012. Journal of
  463 Public Health. 2015;23(5):289-96.
- 464 38. Lasong J, Zhang Y, Gebremedhin SA, Opoku S, Abaidoo CS, Mkandawire T, et al.
- 465 Determinants of modern contraceptive use among married women of reproductive age: a cross-
- sectional study in rural Zambia. BMJ open. 2020;10(3):e030980.
- 467 39. Oumer M, Manaye A, Mengistu Z. Modern contraceptive Method utilization and
- 468 associated factors among women of reproductive age in Gondar City, Northwest Ethiopia. Open
- 469 Access Journal of Contraception. 2020;11:53.

- 470 40. Endrivas M, Eshete A, Mekonnen E, Misganaw T, Shiferaw M, Ayele S. Contraceptive
- 471 utilization and associated factors among women of reproductive age group in Southern Nations
- 472 Nationalities and Peoples' Region, Ethiopia: cross-sectional survey, mixed-methods.
- 473 Contraception and reproductive medicine. 2017;2(1):1-9.
- 474 41. Wondim G, Degu G, Teka Y, Diress G. Male Involvement in Family Planning Utilization
- and Associated Factors in Womberma District, Northern Ethiopia: Community-Based Cross-
- 476 Sectional Study. Open Access Journal of Contraception. 2020;11:197.
- 477 42. Asif MF, Pervaiz Z, Afridi JR, Abid G, Lassi ZS. Role of husband's attitude towards the
- usage of contraceptives for unmet need of family planning among married women of
- reproductive age in Pakistan. BMC Women's Health. 2021;21(1):1-7.
- 480 43. Egbe T, Ketchen S, Egbe E, Ekane G, Belley-Priso E. Risk factors and barriers to male
- involvement in the choice of family planning methods in the Buea Health District, south west
- region, Cameroon: a cross-sectional study in a semi-urban area. Women Health Open J.

483 2016;1(3):82-90.

- 484 44. Singh A, Singh K, Verma P. Knowledge, attitude and practice GAP in family planning
  485 usage: an analysis of selected cities of Uttar Pradesh. Contraception and reproductive medicine.
  486 2016;1(1):1-10.
- 487 45. Ijadunola MY, Abiona TC, Ijadunola KT, Afolabi OT, Esimai OA, OlaOlorun FM. Male
  488 involvement in family planning decision making in Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria. African journal
  489 of reproductive health. 2010;14(4).
- 46. Adera A, Belete T, Gebru A, Hagos A, Gebregziabher W. Assessment of the role of men
  in family planning utilization at Edaga-Hamuse town, Tigray, North Ethiopia. Am J Nurs Sci.
  2015;4(4):174.

| 493 | 47.      | Demissie DB, Kurke A, Awel A, Oljira K. Male involvement in family planning and         |
|-----|----------|---|
| 494 | associa  | ted factors among Marriedin Malegedo town west Shoa zone, Oromia, Ethiopia. planning.   |
| 495 | 2016;1   | 5.  |
| 496 | 48.      | Weisfeld GE, Nowak NT, Lucas T, Weisfeld CC, Imamoğlu EO, Butovskaya M, et al.          |
| 497 | Do wor   | nen seek humorousness in men because it signals intelligence? A cross-cultural test.    |
| 498 | 2011.    |   |
| 499 | 49.      | Hall JA. Humor in romantic relationships: A meta analysis. Personal Relationships.      |
| 500 | 2017;24  | 4(2):306-22.  |
| 501 | 50.      | Moreira LR, Ewerling F, Barros AJ, Silveira MF. Reasons for nonuse of contraceptive     |
| 502 | method   | s by women with demand for contraception not satisfied: an assessment of low and        |
| 503 | middle   | income countries using demographic and health surveys. Reproductive health.             |
| 504 | 2019;1   | 6(1):1-15.  |
| 505 | 51.      | Abate MG, Tareke AA. Individual and community level associates of contraceptive use     |
| 506 | in Ethio | opia: a multilevel mixed effects analysis. Archives of Public Health. 2019;77(1):1-12.  |
| 507 | 52.      | Zimmerman LA, Bell SO, Li Q, Morzenti A, Anglewicz P, Group PPI, et al. Individual,     |
| 508 | commu    | nity and service environment factors associated with modern contraceptive use in five   |
| 509 | Sub-Sa   | haran African countries: A multilevel, multinomial analysis using geographically linked |
| 510 | data fro | om PMA2020. PloS one. 2019;14(6):e0218157.  |
| 511 | 53.      | Habyarimana F, Ramroop S. The analysis of socio-economic and demographic factors        |
| 512 | associa  | ted with contraceptive use among married women of reproductive age in Rwanda. The       |
| 513 | Open P   | Public Health Journal. 2018;11(1).  |
| 514 | 54.      | Ejembi CL, Dahiru T, Aliyu AA. Contextual factors influencing modern contraceptive      |
| 515 | use in I | Nigeria. DHS Working Papers. 2015(120).   |
|     |          |   |

| 516 | 55.  | Masood MS, Alsonini NA. Knowledge and attitude about reproductive health and family     |
|-----|--|---|
| 517 | planning among young adults in Yemen. International Journal of Population Research.            |   |
| 518 | 2017;2017.   |   |
| 519 | 56.  | Elkalmi RM, Khan MU, Ahmad A, Srikanth AB, Abdurhaman NS, Jamshed SQ, et al.            |
| 520 | Knowledge, awareness, and perception of contraception among senior pharmacy students in        |   |
| 521 | Malaysia: A pilot study. Journal of research in pharmacy practice. 2015;4(2):94.               |   |
| 522 | 57.  | Alemayehu GA, Fekadu A, Yitayal M, Kebede Y, Abebe SM, Ayele TA, et al.                 |
| 523 | Preval   | lence and determinants of contraceptive utilization among married women at Dabat Health |
| 524 | and D  | emographic Surveillance System site, northwest Ethiopia. BMC women's health.            |
| 525 | 2018;18(1):1-7.  |   |
| 526 | 58.  | Agha S. Intentions to use contraceptives in Pakistan: implications for behavior change  |
| 527 | campaigns. BMC public health. 2010;10(1):1-13.   |   |
| 528 | 59.  | UNFPA. 5 Upsetting Reasons Women Aren't Using Family Planning Around the World          |
| 529 | Today  | <i>v</i> . 2022.  |
| 530 | 60.  | Stephenson R, Hennink M. Barriers to family planning service use among the urban poor   |
| 531 | in Pakistan. Asia-Pacific Population Journal. 2004;19(2):5-26.                                 |   |
| 532 | 61.  | Farmer DB, Berman L, Ryan G, Habumugisha L, Basinga P, Nutt C, et al. Motivations       |
| 533 | and constraints to family planning: a qualitative study in Rwanda's Southern Kayonza District. |   |
| 534 | Globa  | l Health: Science and Practice. 2015;3(2):242-54.                                       |
| 535 | 62.  | Wang RH, Cheng CP, Chou FH. A causal model of contraceptive intention and its gender    |
| 536 | comparison among Taiwanese sexually inexperienced adolescents. Journal of clinical Nursing.    |   |
| 537 | 2008;17(7):930-9.  |   |
|     |  |   |
|     |  |   |

- 538 63. Bang K-S, Chae S-M, Lee I, Yu J, Kim J. Effects of a community outreach program for
- 539 maternal health and family planning in Tigray, Ethiopia. Asian nursing research.
- 540 2018;12(3):223-30.

541