Diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and HbA1c, as risk factors for arterial stiffness

Rafaela Pelisson Regla¹, Rogério Toshiro Passos Okawa², Edilson Almeida de Oliveira¹, Rafael Campos do Nascimento², Milene Cripa Pizatto de Araújo², Giovanna Chiqueto Duarte², Lorena Lima Gargaro², Marina Franciscon Gomes da Cruz², Alex Cardoso Perez², Guilherme Norio Hayakawa², Barbara Letícia da Silva Guedes de Moura¹, Jorge Juarez Vieira Teixeira¹.

¹Postgraduate Program in Biosciences and Physiopathology, Department of Clinical Analysis and Biomedicine, Health Sciences Center. State University of Maringá, Paraná, Brazil.

²Department of Medicine, Health Sciences Center. State University of Maringá, Paraná, Brazil.

Corresponding author

Jorge Juarez Vieira Teixeira, Postgraduate Program in Biosciences and Physiopathology, Department of Clinical Analysis and Biomedicine, State University of Maringá (UEM). Av. Colombo 5790, CEP: 87020-900 - Maringá, PR, Brazil. Phone: +55 44-3001-4878. E-mail: jjvteixeira@gmail.com ORCID: 0000-0001-7719-5350

ABSTRACT

Studies in a healthy and diabetic population have shown that there is a correlation between the disease and arterial stiffness, and consequently an increase in Pulse Wave Velocity (PWV). We investigate predictors laboratory and clinical associated with arterial stiffness, validated by the increase in PWV. Our findings showed that the predictor for diabetes mellitus, hypertension, glycated hemoglobin \geq 5.7, confirmed the significant association for increased arterial stiffness, validated by the increase of the significant association for increased arterial stiffness, validated by the increase of the significant association for increased arterial stiffness, validated by the increase of the significant association for increased arterial stiffness, validated by the increase in PWV.

Keywords: Hypertension, Diabetes Mellitus, Pulse Wave Velocity, Vascular Stiffness, NORE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice. medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.27.22269098; this version posted January 28, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of death in diabetic patients (Stehouwer *et al.*, 2008). Diabetes mellitus (DM) is an independent predictor for cardiovascular adverse events (Farjo *et al.*, 2020). Their mechanisms are still not fully understood, but it is believed that increased arterial stiffness is an important mechanism linking diabetes to increased cardiovascular risk (Safar, 1996). Studies have shown that factors related to diabetes and hypertension are associated with a stiffer artery (Motau *et al.*, 2018; Resende *et al.*, 2019).

A systematic review regarding diabetes, 52% of the studies had a positive association with increased PWV (Cecelja, Chowienczyk, 2009). In another study, the conclusions regarding risk factors and blood pressure were inconsistent or showed low correlation, as these factors would explain only 1% of the PWV variation (Cecelja, Chowienczyk, 2012). In parallel, another research reports that there was no correlation between the variables HbA1c and blood sugar levels in fasting diabetic participants, with arterial stiffness. Concomitantly diabetes and hypertension significantly increased the risk of arterial stiffness (Nuamchit *et al.*, 2020).

Currently, great attention is being drawn to the investigation of arterial stiffness, probably due to the evidence that this stiffness, measured by Pulse Wave Velocity (PWV), is an important predictor of cardiovascular events, especially in hypertensive individuals and with other comorbidities (Ben-Shlomo *et al.*, 2014; Pierce, 2017). In view of the conflicting results, we investigate predictors laboratory and clinical associated with arterial stiffness, validated by the increase in PWV.

MTERIAL AND METHODS

All patients of BioCor Cardiology Center, in the city of Maringá, Paraná, Brazil, were subject to a cross-sectional study in the period from 2010 to 2016, using data from a secondary medical records source containing the information of the central pressure of each patient. This research was approved by the Local Ethics Committee (Permanent Ethics Committee in Human Research of the State University of Maringá), approval number 1.664.157/2016.

A non-invasive oscillometric device, the Mobil®-o-graph, was used to measure the central pressure and pulse wave velocity (Jones *et al.*, 2000; Luzardo *et al.*, 2012; Weiss

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.27.22269098; this version posted January 28, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

3

et al., 2012). Three measurements were performed over a 15-minute period, with the subsequent average of these values.

A structured instrument with the independent clinical and laboratory variables: diabetes mellitus – DM (Yes/No), glycated hemoglobin – HbA1c (<5.7, \geq 5.7–6.4, \geq 6.5%)^{a,b}, systemic arterial hypertension – SAH (Yes/No), total cholesterol (<190, \geq 190 mg/dl), low-density lipoproteins - LDLc (< 130, \geq 130 mg/dl), high-density lipoproteins – HDLc (<40, \geq 40 mg/dl), triglycerides (<150, \geq 150 mg/dl) was used to collect data. The PWV was considered as an outcome variable with two cuts, <10 and \geq 10 m/s.

The analyses were conducted using Stata 9.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX 77845 USA). The statistical analysis for variables was validated with p-value <0.05 by the chisquare test, and an Odds Ratio (OR) measure and a 95% confidence interval were used. In the logistic model construction for the primary outcome of PWV, all variables regardless of the p-value were considered in the analysis and adjusted for DM, hypertension, HbA1c, total cholesterol, LDLc, HDLc d LDL and Triglycerides.

RESULTS

The population considered in this study was 1197 patients, and 341 (28.5%) of these patients had altered PWV and 856 (71.5%) not had altered PWV. Table I shows the univariate analysis of the risk factors for pulse wave velocity between the two PWV groups <10 and \geq 10, using association effect measures, estimated by the Odds Ratio. The variables impacting for PWV \geq 10, with statistical significance for p <0.001 were: DM, hypertension, HbA1c \geq 5.7, total cholesterol \geq 190 mg/dl, LDL cholesterol \geq 130 mg / dl, and HDL cholesterol \leq 40 md/dl.

Next, a logistic regression analysis tried to verify the association of independent variables adjusted for <0.05 and the outcome variable PWV. The final model showed a positive association for PWV \geq 10 for the presence of DM (OR 1.5, CI 1.0-2.3, p=0.040), hypertension (OR 2.7, CI 1.9-3.9, p <0.001), HbA1c 5.7-6.4 (OR 2.1, 1.5-2.9, p<0.001), HbA1c \geq 6.5 (OR 3.6, 2.2-2.8, p<0.001), and HDL cholesterol \leq 40 md/dl (OR 1.4, IC 1.0-1.8, p=0.031).

DISCUSSION

The study's findings showed that diabetes mellitus, arterial hypertension and elevated glycated hemoglobin are predictors for arterial stiffness, validated by the PWV. Our study

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.27.22269098; this version posted January 28, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

4

values \geq that 5.7% of HbA1c showed an estimated risk gradient increasing between 110 to 260% for PWV alteration than patients with values lower than 5.7%. Studies show a strong relationship between high levels of glycated hemoglobin and an increased risk of cardiovascular diseases (Kilpatrick, Bloomgarden, 2013; Mannucci et al., 2009). This result could also be observed in another study where elevated glycated hemoglobin was positively associated with elevated PWV, that is, lousy diabetes control would lead to greater arterial stiffness (Chen et al., 2009). Although xx has not shown a correlation between arterial stiffness and HbA1c and fasting blood sugar levels in diabetic participants, the authors noted that concomitant diabetes and hypertension significantly increased the risk of arterial stiffness (Nuamchit et al., 2020).

In individuals with primary HA, the risk for any cardiovascular (CV) complication increases in parallel to the elevation of the PWV (DeLoach & Townsend, 2008), as vessel stiffening is accelerated in the presence of the disease(Kotsis et al., 2011). The results obtained demonstrate that hypertension patients are approximately two times more likely to increase PWV than patients with no hypertension. The most important modification that occurs in the vessel wall of a hypertensive patient is the hypertrophy of the middle layer associated with reduced arterial compliance and distensibility regardless of the BP level (Benetos et al., 2002; Blacher et al., 1999).

The study has some limitations, but also good points. The first limitation refers to the size of the population involved. Despite the robust sample of 1197 patients, larger samples would provide greater precision for the evidence's findings and conclusion, specifically about to with concerning to the diabetes mellitus predictor. The second limitation concerns the research's performance in one single ambulatory service, considering the difficulty or lack of technique for PWV assessment. The sample period is also a point to be valued since the analysis and research with these patients took six years. Despite the limitations or possible biases presented in the study, we believe that the findings can be relevant to the medical clinic and health services.

CONCLUSION

The predictor's diabetes mellitus, hypertension, glycated hemoglobin \geq 5.7 adjusted by logistic regression analysis, confirmed a significant association with increased arterial stiffness, validated by the increase of pulse wave velocity.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS

5

Authors declared no conflict of interests.

FUNDING

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

REFERENCES

Benetos A, Waeber B, Izzo J, Mitchell G, Resnick L, Asmar R, et al. Influence of age, risk factors, and cardiovascular and renal disease on arterial stiffness: clinical applications. *Am J Hypertens*. 2002;15(12):1101-8.

Ben-Shlomo Y, Spears M, Boustred C, May M, Anderson SG, Benjamin EJ, et al. Aortic pulse wave velocity improves cardiovascular event prediction: an individual participant meta-analysis of prospective observational data from 17,635 subjects. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2014;63(7):636-46.

Blacher J, London GM, Safar ME, Mourad JJ. Influence of age and end-stage renal disease on the stiffness of carotid wall material in hypertension. *J Hypertens*. 1999;17(2):237-44.

Cecelja M, Chowienczyk P. Dissociation of aortic pulse wave velocity with risk factors for cardiovascular disease other than hypertension: a systematic review. *Hypertension*. 2009;54(6):1328-36.

Cecelja M, Chowienczyk P. Role of arterial stiffness in cardiovascular disease. *JRSM Cardiovasc Dis.* 2012;1(4):cvd.2012.012016.

Chen Y, Huang Y, Li X, Xu M, Bi Y, Zhang Y, et al. Association of arterial stiffness with HbA1c in 1,000 type 2 diabetic patients with or without hypertension. *Endocrine*. 2009;36(2):262-7.

DeLoach SS, Townsend RR. Vascular stiffness: its measurement and significance for epidemiologic and outcome studies. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2008;3(1):184-92.

Farjo PD, Barghouthi N, Chima N, Desai A, Fang W, Giordano J, et al. Use of the Burden of Diabetes Mellitus Score for Cardiovascular Disease Risk Assessment. *Am J Cardiol.* 2020;125(12):1829-35.

Jones CR, Taylor K, Chowienczyk P, Poston L, Shennan AH. A validation of the Mobil O Graph (version 12) ambulatory blood pressure monitor. *Blood Press Monit*. 2000;5(4):233-8.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.27.22269098; this version posted January 28, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

6

Kilpatrick ES, Bloomgarden ZT. Comment on: Selvin et al. No racial differences in the association of glycated hemoglobin with kidney disease and cardiovascular outcomes. *Diabetes Care*. 2013;36(10):2995-3001.

Kotsis V, Stabouli S, Karafillis I, Nilsson P. Early vascular aging and the role of central blood pressure. *J Hypertens*. 2011;29(10):1847-53.

Luzardo L, Lujambio I, Sottolano M, da Rosa A, Thijs L, Noboa O, et al. 24-h ambulatory recording of aortic pulse wave velocity and central systolic augmentation: a feasibility study. *Hypertens Res.* 2012;35(10):980-7.

Mannucci E, Monami M, Lamanna C, Gori F, Marchionni N. Prevention of cardiovascular disease through glycemic control in type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* 2009;19(9):604-12.

Motau TH, Norton GR, Sareli P, Woodiwiss AJ. Aortic Pulse Pressure Does Not Adequately Index Cardiovascular Risk Factor-Related Changes in Aortic Stiffness and Forward Wave Pressure. *Am J Hypertens*. 2018;31(9):981-7.

Nuamchit T, Siriwittayawan D, Thitiwuthikiat P. The Relationship Between Glycemic Control and Concomitant Hypertension on Arterial Stiffness in Type II Diabetes. *Vasc Health Risk Manag.* 2020;16:343-52.

Pierce GL. Mechanisms and Subclinical Consequences of Aortic Stiffness. *Hypertension*. 2017;70(5):848-53.

Resende L, Silva MAV, Resende JAM, Resende E, Silva VJD, Correia D. Comparison of pulse wave analysis parameters by oscillometry in hypertensive diabetic and nondiabetic patients in a Brazilian outpatient care. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2019;98(50):e18100.

Safar M. Representativeness of peripheral blood pressure values: the pulsatile component of blood pressure in hypertension. *Z Kardiol.* 1996;85 Suppl 3:51-9.

Stehouwer CD, Henry RM, Ferreira I. Arterial stiffness in diabetes and the metabolic syndrome: a pathway to cardiovascular disease. *Diabetologia*. 2008;51(4):527-39.

Weiss W, Gohlisch C, Harsch-Gladisch C, Tölle M, Zidek W, van der Giet M. Oscillometric estimation of central blood pressure: validation of the Mobil-O-Graph in comparison with the SphygmoCor device. *Blood Press Monit.* 2012;17(3):128-31.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.27.22269098; this version posted January 28, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license .

Variables	PWV<10	PWV≥10	OR (CI 95%) p-value		OR (CI 95%)	<i>p</i> -value
	N (%)	N (%)	Unadjusted		Adjusted	
Diabetes Mellitus (Yes)	258 (30.1)	141 (41.4)	1.6 (1.3-2.1)	<0.001*	1.5 (1.0-2.3)	0.040*
Hypertension (Yes)	594 (69.4)	300 (87.9)	3.2 (2.3-4.6)	<0.001*	2.7 (1.9-3.9)	< 0.001*
HbA _{1c} (%) 5.7–6.4	311 (36.3)	147 (43.1)	2.2 (1.6-2.9)	<0.001*	2.1 (1.5-2.9)	< 0.001*
HbA _{1c} (%) ≥6.5	138 (16.1)	106 (31.1)	3.5 (2.5-5.0)	<0.001*	3.6 (2.2-2.8)	< 0.001*
Total cholesterol	589 (68.8)	281 (82.4)	2.1(1.6-2.9)	<0.001*	1.3 (0.6-2.5)	0.535
(≥190 mg/dl)			. ,			
LDL cholesterol	546 (63.8)	268 (78.6)	2.1 (1.6-2.8)	<0.001*	1.6 (0.8-3.1)	0.183
$(\geq 130 \text{mg/dl})$						
HDL cholesterol	516 (60.3)	216 (60.4)	1.0 (0.8-1.3)	0.967	1.4 (1.0–1.8)	0.031*
(<40mg/dl)						
Triglycerides	331 (38.7)	145 (42.5)	1.2 (0.9-1.5)	0.219	1.1 (0.8-1.5)	0.459
(≥150 mg/dl)						

TABLE I - Logistic regression of clinical and laboratory risk factors for arterial stiffness

*Statistical association (p<0.05), CI (confidence interval), SD (standard deviation). The variable outcome pulse wave velocity (PWV)***, was adjusted in the logistic model by diabetes mellitus (DM)*, hypertension, glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), total cholesterol, LDLc, HDLc and Triglycerides.