

The effectiveness of psychological interventions for anxiety in the perinatal period: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Natalie Clinkscales¹², Lisa Golds¹, Katherine Berlouis¹³, Angus MacBeth¹

¹School of Health and Social Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

²Maternity and Neonatal Psychological Interventions Team, NHSGGC

³ National Cleft Surgical Service for Scotland

Corresponding Author: Angus MacBeth: angus.macbeth@ed.ac.uk

Natalie Clinkscales: Natalie.clinkscales2@ggc.scot.nhs.uk

Lisa Golds: lisa.golds@ed.ac.uk

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Word Count: 5894 (excluding tables, figures, and references)

Abstract

Background: Anxiety disorders are a relatively common occurring mental health issue during pregnancy and the perinatal period. There is evidence that untreated perinatal anxiety is a risk factor for adverse outcomes for mother and infant. Despite their potential acceptability to users, psychological interventions research for this population is still in its infancy. This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to comprehensively evaluate the evidence of the effectiveness of psychological interventions for reducing perinatal anxiety.

Method: This review followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. Databases searched included EMBASE, MEDLINE, PsychINFO, MIDIRS, CINAHL and the Cochrane Library. Search terms included: Psychological Therapy, Perinatal Period, Antenatal, Postnatal, Anxiety, Obsessive Compulsive Disorder and Phobia.

Results: The search strategy identified 2,025 studies. A total of 21 studies published between 2004 and 2021 fulfilled inclusion criteria. Of those, 17 were included in the meta-analysis. Overall results indicated that psychological interventions were more effective than control conditions in reducing symptoms of perinatal anxiety with a medium post treatment effect size. Significant effect sizes were also identified for online, face-to-face, group and guided self-help treatment modalities.

Limitations: A small sample of studies are represented and limited to articles published in English. The review was unable to draw specific conclusions about what works (i.e. therapeutic modality/delivery) for whom (i.e. specific diagnoses) due to purposefully broad inclusion criteria. The longer-term effects of psychological interventions for perinatal anxiety and infant outcomes could not be established.

Conclusions: This review demonstrates that psychological interventions are effective in reducing symptoms of both anxiety and comorbid anxiety and depression in the antenatal and postnatal periods. The results also demonstrate the efficacy of delivering such interventions in multiple

settings, including online, and in group format. Further research is required to optimise treatment delivery to individual needs.

Keywords: Perinatal Anxiety, Pregnancy, Postpartum, Psychological Interventions, Psychological Therapy

1. Introduction

The perinatal period represents a time of increased risk for the development of mental health problems (Biaggi et al., 2016; O'Hara and Wisner, 2014) and exacerbation of pre-existing conditions (Higgins et al., 2018). There is growing body of literature exploring the impacts of anxiety in the perinatal period, from pregnancy to one-year post childbirth (Loughnan et al., 2018). Prevalence rates for self-reported anxiety symptoms are 24.6% during the third trimester of pregnancy and 15% during the postnatal period (Dennis et al., 2017); and the overall prevalence of diagnosed anxiety disorder during pregnancy is 15.2% during pregnancy and 9.9% postnatally (Dennis et al., 2017). Evidence also suggests that one in 10 women will experience comorbid anxiety and depression during pregnancy and one in 12 during the postnatal period (Falah-Hassani et al., 2017). An extensive range of anxiety disorders are prevalent in the perinatal period (O'Hara and Wisner, 2014). Leach et al. (2017) with reported rates of generalised anxiety disorder (GAD) ranging from 1-11%, specific phobia ranging from 7-20%, panic disorder (PD) ranging from 1-8% and agoraphobia ranging from 1-17%. Rates of obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD) are more prevalent in the postnatal period (4-9%) than in the general population (1.2%; McGuinness et al., 2011). However, despite the evidence suggesting that prevalence rates for perinatal anxiety are similar to, if not greater than, that of perinatal depression, until recently, research aimed at understanding and treating anxiety in this period has been largely neglected (Bauer et al., 2016; Buist et al., 2011; Loughnan et al., 2018; Maguire et al., 2018).

Unrecognised and untreated perinatal anxiety has significant consequences for women, their infants and wider family (Maguire et al., 2018), including a higher likelihood of developing postpartum

depression, negative effects on the mother-infant attachment, more risk of obstetric complications and adverse outcomes for fetal and infant development (Dunkel Schetter and Tanner, 2012; Glasheen et al., 2010; Glover, 2014; Milgrom et al., 2008). These impacts are a major concern for clinical and public health (Blackmore et al., 2016; Dennis et al., 2017), alongside the economic cost of untreated perinatal anxiety and depression (Bauer et al., 2016).

In comparison to that of depression, the literature on the effective treatment and clinical management of perinatal anxiety remains limited including psychological interventions (Loughnan et al., 2018; Marchesi et al., 2016). However, psychological interventions may be preferable to women in this period due to concerns regarding the impact on the fetus and on breast feeding, relating to pharmacological interventions (Green et al., 2020; Loughnan et al., 2018; Taylor et al., 2016).

Support for the utilisation of CBT for the treatment of perinatal anxiety was found in a meta-analysis of 13 studies examining its efficacy (Maguire et al., 2018). They reported large within groups effect sizes from pre-post treatment ($d = 0.81$) and from pre-treatment to follow up ($d = 0.82$) indicating that CBT is an effective treatment for perinatal anxiety (Maguire et al., 2018). However, smaller effect sizes were reported for between groups analyses ($d = 0.49$) meaning that CBT may not be more effective than non-active control conditions and further research is required to establish the superiority of CBT over alternative interventions (Maguire et al., 2018). This report was also subject to several methodological limitations including small sample sizes, high levels of heterogeneity, and overall low scores on quality assessment tools.

There is also tentative evidence for the use of mindfulness based interventions (MBIs), including mindfulness based cognitive therapy (MBCT) and mindfulness based stress reduction (MBSR), as an effective treatment for both anxiety and depression in this population (Shi and MacBeth, 2017; Woolhouse et al., 2014). It should be noted, however, that the majority of this research has been conducted with women during pregnancy and there is also significant methodological heterogeneity in this literature. In Shi and MacBeth (2017), 17 studies were identified, 16 of which were conducted

in pregnancy and only one which involved participants in the first year after childbirth, suggesting gaps in the current literature.

Although psychological interventions can be delivered via individual, group, and internet delivered approaches (Bittner et al., 2014; Burger et al., 2020; Loughnan, Sie et al., 2019) there is a paucity of research directly comparing the modes of delivery. All seem to be acceptable to women based on rates of treatment adherence and patient feedback (Loughnan et al., 2018). However, internet-delivered interventions offer greater flexibility, which may improve access to treatment for women in this period when demands on their time are greater (Loughnan, Joubert et al., 2019). In a recent review, Loughnan, Joubert et al. (2019) identified only seven papers exploring the use of these interventions for anxiety and depression in the perinatal period. Of these papers none were targeted interventions for specific anxiety disorders or comorbid anxiety and depression. However, tentative conclusions were drawn in terms of the utility of these interventions for perinatal anxiety.

The current review builds on previous evidence evaluating the effectiveness of psychological interventions for reducing anxiety in the perinatal period. We focus specifically on studies where perinatal anxiety, or comorbid anxiety and depression were the primary intervention targets, regardless of therapeutic modality or mode of delivery. Unlike previous reviews (e.g. Maguire et al., 2018), studies of interventions for perinatal depression where anxiety is a secondary outcome were excluded. Specifically we asked:

- Are psychological interventions associated with reductions in anxiety during the perinatal period?
- Are psychological interventions for perinatal anxiety associated with improvement on secondary outcomes, i.e. improvement in general wellbeing, mother-infant attachment etc?
- Which modality of psychological interventions are most beneficial? |
- Is there a difference between interventions to reduce anxiety offered in pregnancy versus postpartum?
- What are the methodological sources of bias in the literature?

2. Method

2.1 Search Strategy

The systematic review search was conducted using PRISMA criteria (Page et al., 2021). Studies were identified by searching the electronic databases EMBASE, MEDLINE, PsychINFO, MIDIRS, CINAHL and the Cochrane Library. The following search terms were developed and combined using MESH terms and key words and adapted for use with each database: Psychological Therapy or Psychological Intervention or Psychotherapy or Cognitive Behavioural Therapy or Cognitive Therapy or Mindfulness or Mindfulness Based Cognitive Therapy or Mindfulness Based Approaches or Mindfulness Based Stress Reduction or Psychodynamic Psychotherapy or Group Psychotherapy or Interpersonal Psychotherapy or Psychological Treatment or Anxiety Management or Acceptance and Commitment Therapy or Compassion Focused Therapy AND Perinatal Care or Perinatal or Perinatal Period or Antenatal or Postnatal or Postnatal Care or Postpartum or Postpartum Period or Maternal or Pregnancy AND Anxiety or Obsessive Compulsive Disorder or Obsessions or Obsessive Behaviour or Compulsions or Compulsive Behaviour or Panic Disorder or Generalised Anxiety Disorder or Phobia or Fear of Childbirth or Tokophobia or Childbirth Trauma or Birth Trauma or Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. Searches were conducted in August 2021. The initial search returned 2,025 articles, After abstract searching the full text of the remaining 140 articles were reviewed against the inclusion and exclusion criteria, with 21 studies meeting criteria of which 17 were included in the meta-analysis. This process is outlined in the PRISMA flowchart below (Fig. 1). Identified peer-reviewed studies were published between 2004 and 2021.

2.2 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Studies were included if:

- They investigated the effectiveness of psychological interventions for treating anxiety in the perinatal period
- The reduction of anxiety or comorbid anxiety was a primary target of the intervention or where a reduction in other symptoms (e.g. depression) was targeted, the study was only included if participants were screened for anxiety as an inclusion criterion

- There was a treatment and control group
- Participants were women, over the age of 16, and pregnant or postpartum (up to one-year post-birth)

Participants anxiety was based on valid self-report measures or a clinical diagnosis of an anxiety disorder.

We women with a diagnosis of OCD, as although this has been reclassified in the US Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fifth edition (DSM-V; American Psychiatric Association (APA), 2013) there remains a close relationship between OCD, anxiety disorders and other related disorders (APA, 2013). In addition, the psychological treatment interventions for OCD are similar to those offered for anxiety disorders and therefore treatment in the perinatal period is likely to overlap (Marchesi et al., 2016).

Studies were excluded if:

- The design was single case, case series or review
- They were not written in English (due to feasibility issues in accessing translations).

2.3 Data Extraction

2.3.1 Demographics

A tailored proforma was developed to extract all relevant information from the full text of each eligible paper including: Citation, Country, Participants Characteristics, Sample Size, Age, Gestational Age at Baseline (weeks) or Infant Age, Study Design, Perinatal Period (Antenatal/Postnatal), Intervention, Clinician, Comparison Group, Diagnosis (Dx), Outcome Measure(s) and Assessment Time Point.

2.3.2 Meta-analytic Model

Analyses were conducted in RStudio (RStudio Version 1.2.5033) using the 'metafor' (Viechtbauer, 2010) and 'meta' (Schwarzer, 2007) packages. It was assumed that the included studies would have a high degree of variability due to high methodological heterogeneity between studies, for example;

therapeutic modality used (e.g. CBT vs Mindfulness) and method of intervention (e.g. group vs online). Therefore, random effects analyses were conducted applying the inverse variance method (Deeks et al., 2001), using DerSimonian Laird estimators for between-study variance (DerSimonian and Laird, 1986). Effect sizes were converted into Cohens d. Publication bias was investigated using visual inspection of funnel plots, and Egger's test for plot asymmetry (Egger et al., 1997). Influence analyses were run to investigate the impact of outliers and impact of missing data modelled using a trim and fill analysis (Duval and Tweedie, 2000). Heterogeneity estimates were reported using I-squared values with values of 0, 25, 50, and 75% indicating zero, low, moderate, and high heterogeneity, in turn (Higgins et al., 2003).

2.3.3 Risk of Bias Assessment

Risk of bias in the included papers was assessed using the revised Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomised trials (RoB2; Higgins et al., 2019). Bias was considered across 5 domains:

- (1) bias arising from the randomisation process
- (2) bias due to deviations from intended interventions
- (3) bias due to missing outcome data
- (4) bias in measurement of the outcome
- (5) bias in selection of the reported result

The first and second authors both completed the RoB2 template (see Appendix 2) independently for each study, following this both reviewers discussed and agreed their bias ratings for each study.

Intra-class correlation coefficients based on Landis and Koch's heuristics (1977) were conducted to establish level of agreement between reviewers.

3. Results

3.1 Study Characteristics

Demographic information are displayed in Table 1. A total of 21 studies were identified as meeting inclusion criteria, representing a sample of n=1,712 women (treatment conditions, n=777; control conditions, n=935). All participants were aged between 18-42, with a mean age of 29.8 years in the treatment group and 30.5 years in the control group. Due to inconsistent reporting, it was not

possible to calculate the mean gestational/infant age. Of the 21 studies, seven were conducted in Iran, four in Australia, two in Canada, two in the UK, two in the Netherlands, and one each in Germany, China, USA, and Sweden. The interventions utilised were CBT (n=12), MBIs (n=4), a mixed approach self-help (n=2), Applied Relaxation (n=1), Cognitive Analytic Therapy (n=1), and Internet-based Problem-Solving Treatment (n=1). The methods of intervention delivery comprised of group setting (n=10), online format (n=5), face to face individual therapy (n=2) and guided self-help (n=4). The number of treatment sessions offered varied from two to 14. Anxiety outcomes were measured using the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI; n=5), Generalised Anxiety Disorder 7-item scale (GAD-7; n=3), Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI; n=3), Pregnancy Related Anxiety Questionnaire (PRAQ; n=2), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS; n=2), State-Trait Inventory for Cognitive and Somatic Anxiety, Trait Version (STICSA; n=1), Wijma Delivery Expectancy/Experience Questionnaire (W-DEQ-A; n=1), and the Hamilton Anxiety Rating scale (HAM-A; n=1). To measure depression the following outcome measures were used the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS; n=9), Patient Health Questionnaire 9-item scale (PHQ-9; n=4), Hamilton Depression Rating scale (HAM-D; n=1), Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D; n=1), and Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II; n=1). Of the 21 studies, ten completed measures only pre and post intervention and 11 studies administered follow up measures post intervention at varying timepoints. Due to the substantial variation in follow up time points meta-analytic modelling was not performed.

3.2 Anxiety Scores Pre/Post Intervention

Results of the meta-analytic modelling of the effect of treatment on perinatal anxiety are displayed in Table 2. The main model (see forest plot, Fig. 2) reported a statistically significant effect size for the effect of intervention vs. control in reducing anxiety ($d = -0.89$; 95%CI = -1.35 to -0.43, $p=0.002$), indicating a medium effect with a broad but significant confidence interval. There was a high degree

of heterogeneity between the studies (93.3%) indicating a considerable degree of between-study variance.

To investigate the potential impact of outliers, an influence analysis was also conducted. This analysis suggested that there was little effect of a leave one out analysis, with the exception of Zemestani and Fazeli Nikoo (2019) and Shahsavan et al. (2021). When these two studies were omitted, the overall effect size was reduced ($d=-0.54$) with reduced, but still significant confidence intervals (-0.87 to -0.21) as well as a reduction in heterogeneity (86.3%).

With regards to sensitivity analyses, visual inspection of the funnel plot suggested some asymmetry, confirmed using Egger's test ($B = -6.94$, $SE = 2.77$, $p = 0.02$), indicative of potentially missing studies. The trim and fill method identified four missing studies. Included estimated missing effects in the analysis, suggested the effect for intervention vs. control in reducing anxiety would no longer be significant $k = 21$, $d = -0.35$ (95%CI = -0.89 to 0.19, $p = 0.21$).

3.3 Moderator Analyses

3.3.1 Type of anxiety measure

In order to further explore these results subgroup analyses were conducted. With regard to the effect of different anxiety measures, effect sizes were significant for the GAD-7 ($d=-0.74$; 95%CI = -0.98 to -0.48) and the PRAQ ($d=-1.69$, 95%CI = -2.15 to -1.23). However, both estimates were based on small study numbers, indicating the effect was likely to be unstable. No other measures yielded significant differences between intervention and comparison. The test for subgroup differences was significant ($p=0.0001$) indicating that the type of anxiety measure used may impact the estimate for the effect of intervention on anxiety outcome in this context. Due to the small number of studies in each subgroup bias analyses were not conducted.

3.3.2 Type of intervention

Next, moderator analyses examined the effect of type of intervention (group, individual or self-guided) on anxiety scores. For group interventions a significant medium effect size was found for the effect of intervention vs. control in reducing anxiety equivalent to $d = -0.94$ (95%CI = -1.49 to -0.38). This effect was based on ten studies with high heterogeneity (90.5%). No significant effects were found for individual or self-guided interventions vs. control for reducing anxiety . Overall, the test for subgroup difference between types of interventions was significant ($p=0.01$), suggesting type of intervention may impact upon overall anxiety reduction.

To account for outliers, the above analysis was re-run with the omission of the Zemestani and Fazeli Nikoo (2019) and Shasavan et al (2020) studies. For group interventions the overall effect size for the effect of intervention vs. control reduced to $d=-0.71$ (95% CI = -1.21 to -0.22), indicating a medium effect. Estimates for self-guided and individual interventions continued to be non-significant. The test for subgroup differences was non-significant ($p=0.07$) after removing the studies identified as outliers.

3.3.3 Mode of delivery

For face-to-face interventions a significant medium effect size was found for the effect of face-to-face intervention vs. control in reducing anxiety equivalent to $d = -0.74$ (95%CI = -1.26 to -0.23). This effect was based on 12 studies with a high degree of heterogeneity (90.7%). A large effect was also found for online interventions vs. control in reducing anxiety ($d=-1.18$, 95% CI=-2.18 to -0.17). However, this effect was based on only five studies. Overall, the test for subgroup differences between mode of delivery was non-significant ($p=0.45$). However, due to difference in sample size between these two groups this result should be interpreted with caution.

Re-running the analyses with the omission of the outlying studies, Zemestani and Fazeli Nikoo (2019) and Shasavan et al. (2021), indicated that for face-to-face interventions the overall effect size for the effect of face-to-face intervention vs. control reduced to $d=-0.54$ (95% CI = -1.00 to -0.08). The effect for online interventions was also reduced to a medium effect size of $d=-0.53$ (95% CI = -0.93 to

-0.12). The test for subgroup differences remained non-significant ($p=0.96$). Again, due to small sample sizes, these results warrant further investigation.

3.3.4 Therapeutic modalities

For CBT interventions a significant effect size was found for the effect of intervention vs. control in reducing anxiety equivalent to $d = -0.80$ (95%CI = -1.31 to -0.29). This effect was based on 14 studies with a high degree of heterogeneity (93.1%). However, we did not identify a significant effect of MBI interventions compared to controls. Overall, the test for subgroup differences between therapeutic modality was non-significant ($p=0.55$).

To account for outliers, the above analysis was re-run, omitting Zemestani and Fazeli Nikoo (2019) as well as Shahsavan et al. (2021). For CBT interventions, the overall effect size for the effect of intervention vs. control reduced to a medium effect size $d=-0.56$ (95% CI = -0.93 to -0.19).

3.3.5 Effect of antenatal treatment

A subgroup analysis was also conducted for psychological interventions for anxiety offered in the antenatal period only. This model demonstrated an overall significant effect size for the effect of intervention vs. control in reducing anxiety in the antenatal period equivalent to $d = -1.09$ (95%CI = -1.71 to -0.47 $p=0.0005$), indicating a large effect with a broad but significant confidence interval. This result indicates that psychological interventions are effective for reducing anxiety in the antenatal period.

3.4 Depression Scores (Secondary Outcome) Pre/Post Intervention

Although the primary focus of the meta-analysis was on anxiety, the majority of included studies also measured depression, therefore a post-hoc meta-analysis was performed to explore the magnitude of effect of intervention on depressive symptoms. This model demonstrated an overall significant effect size for the effect of intervention vs. control in reducing depression equivalent to $d = -0.97$ (95%CI = -1.49 to -0.45, $p=0.002$), indicating a medium effect around a broad confidence interval. This result suggests that the psychological interventions were effective in reducing depression scores. There was a high degree of heterogeneity between the studies (93.5%).

To investigate the impact of outliers an influence analysis was also conducted. This analysis suggested that there was little effect of a leave one out analysis, with the exception of two studies; Zemestani and Fazeli Nikoo (2019) and Shahsavan et al. (2021). When omitted, the overall effect size was reduced to a small effect ($d=-0.52$) with confidence intervals between -0.86 and -0.19. The study heterogeneity also dropped to 83.5%. A sensitivity analysis was also conducted to explore the influence of bias. A visual inspection of the funnel plot suggested no asymmetry, confirmed using Egger's test ($B = -6.27$, $SE = 2.76$, $p = 0.04$). The trim and fill method was applied and identified no missing studies.

3.5 Methodological Risk of Bias

The RoB2 methodological risk of bias tool (Higgins et al., 2019) was completed for each study by the first and second authors independently before consensus agreement was established (see Table 3 for consensus agreement ratings). Intra-class correlation coefficients indicated a fair level of agreement between reviewers ($ICC(1) = 0.087$) based on Landis and Koch's heuristics (1977).

Overall, five studies were rated as having a high risk of bias, nine studies raised some concerns, and seven studies were rated low. For domain one, bias arising from the randomisation process, four studies raised some concerns, namely due to the lack of information regarding the actual randomisation method used. Domain two accounted for risk arising from deviations from intended interventions and is further broken down into two subcategories: a) effect of assignment to intervention and b) effect of adhering to intervention. In domain 2a, 10 studies raised some concerns, in all cases this related to the lack of intention to treat (ITT) analysis, or other appropriate analysis to estimate the effect of assignment to intervention. However, in these studies small sample sizes may mean there were fewer resources to complete ITT analysis. One study was rated as high in this area (Bittner et al., 2014), this was due to lack of appropriate analysis to estimate the effect of assignment to intervention, along with a large dropout rate, which may have had a substantial impact on results. For domain 2b, the majority of studies ($n=17$) delivered interventions to protocol and were, therefore, considered low risk of bias. However, three studies were rated as having a high

risk of bias, this was primarily due to the lack of information regarding fidelity and adherence to treatment. For Yang et al. (2019) it was reported that adherence to treatment was low but appropriate analysis to estimate the effect of this was not conducted. In domain three, two studies were rated as some concerns, and one rated high, based on missing outcome data. For Karamoozian and Askarizadeh (2015) the risk was considered high due to inconsistent reporting. Overall, the measurement of outcome was appropriate (domain 4), however, six studies were flagged as having some concerns. Overall, the selection of reported results (domain 5) was appropriate for the majority of studies, indicating a low risk of bias. However, Karamoozian and Askarizadeh (2015) raised concerns due to lack of information regarding planned analysis

4. Discussion

The current meta-analysis aimed to systematically review and assess the evidence for the effectiveness of psychological interventions for anxiety in the perinatal period. Overall results indicated that psychological interventions were more effective than control conditions in reducing symptoms of perinatal anxiety with an estimate of a medium post-treatment effect size. Using a larger, and methodologically rigorous sample this result extends previous review evidence (Maguire et al., 2018). It is also in line with narrative reviews of the effectiveness of psychological interventions for perinatal anxiety (Loughnan et al., 2018; Marchesi et al., 2016) and consistent with results for treatment of anxiety in the general population (Newby et al., 2015; Watts et al., 2015).

The meta-analysis included a relatively small number of studies ($n=17$) with high levels of heterogeneity, therefore, results should be considered with a degree of caution. Moderator analyses also indicated a number of methodological aspects contributing to the effect size for anxiety reduction. Analyses indicated that no one outcome measure was superior in capturing anxiety scores, however, this result was based on small sample sizes. Of note, most studies used general measures of anxiety, with only three studies utilising a pregnancy specific anxiety measure as their primary outcome (Bazrafshan et al., 2020; Karamoozian and Askarizadeh, 2015; Shahsavan et al., 2021). It has been suggested in the literature that pregnancy-related anxiety may be a distinct

concept which might uniquely predict obstetric outcomes and postpartum mood disorders (Blackmore et al., 2016). Furthermore, pregnancy-specific measures account for anxiety related to health of the baby, impact of previous miscarriages/obstetric complications and first time versus experienced mothers (Blackmore et al., 2016). These pregnancy specific fears and worries have not yet been explored in treatment research, and their potentially transient nature may account for some reductions in symptomology as the pregnancy progresses (Blackmore et al., 2016). Previous literature has also suggested that the use of general measures may hinder the accurate identification of anxiety specific to the perinatal period (Loughnan, Joubert et al., 2019). The current review did not compare the use of general measures against pregnancy specific measures. Future studies could utilise a combination of measures to make comparisons across general and pregnancy specific anxiety, with implications for targeting and tailoring of interventions.

In addition, medium size effects were found for group-based, but no effects were identified for individual and self-guided interventions. Previous preliminary evidence suggested that individually delivered CBT was more effective than group CBT for women with perinatal anxiety (Maguire et al., 2018) and similar conclusions have been drawn for the treatment of perinatal depression (Sockol, 2015). However, previous research exploring individual versus group CBT for anxiety disorders in children and young people found no significant differences, suggesting that both were equally effective in the treatment of anxiety (Wergeland et al., 2014). A larger body of evidence has evaluated the use of individual CBT in the general population than for group CBT (Whitfield, 2010) and so it is perhaps unsurprising that close scrutiny of group versus individual CBT for perinatal anxiety has not yet been conducted. However, the result of the current meta-analysis suggests that although group interventions are effective for this population, further evidence is required regarding individual or self-guided approaches.

For mode of delivery, both face to face ($d=-0.74$) and online ($d=-1.17$) interventions showed medium to large effect sizes suggesting similar benefits. This is the first review to compare internet delivered interventions for perinatal anxiety with face to face interventions (Loughnan, Butler et al., 2019).

Although, a meta-analysis directly comparing the use of internet delivered CBT versus face to face CBT in the general adult population suggested the two formats are equally effective in the treatment of a range of psychiatric and somatic conditions, including various anxiety disorders (Carlbring et al., 2018). In addition, it has been highlighted that increasing the types of interventions and mode of delivery offered, such as group and internet based interventions, could have positive impacts on addressing treatment gaps and removing barriers to access (Kazdin, 2017). As such, exploring these factors for the perinatal population may be beneficial in determining the most effective and accessible treatments.

For therapeutic modality, the main therapeutic models utilised in the included papers were CBT and MBIs. Only CBT emerged as significantly associated with anxiety reduction, with no significant effect for MBI's. However, we note that only 3 studies using MBI's met our inclusion criteria. We also note that removal of outliers reduced the effect size for CBT ($d=-0.56$). There have been no previous reviews directly comparing therapeutic modality for the treatment of perinatal anxiety. Moreover, there is a paucity of research exploring the direct comparison between CBT and MBIs in the treatment of anxiety in the general adult population. However, in the treatment of depression, accounting for anxiety reduction as a secondary outcome, CBT and MBCT have demonstrated equal effectiveness (Manicavasgar et al., 2011). In addition, both CBT and MBSR have been shown to have equal effects in the treatment of anxiety and depression in individuals with autism spectrum conditions (Sizoo and Kuiper, 2017). The results of our analysis are in line with those conducted for these different populations, therefore, suggesting the applicability of CBT for treatment of anxiety, and comorbid anxiety and depression in the perinatal period. However, further research on MBI's in this population is required.

Of the 17 studies included, only two were conducted in the postnatal period, while 13 were conducted in the antenatal period and two spanned both. Therefore, it was not possible to directly compare the effectiveness of interventions delivered antenatally versus postnatally. Subgroup analysis of the effect of intervention on symptoms of anxiety in the antenatal period found a

medium effect, suggesting that psychological interventions are more effective for reducing anxiety symptoms in this period than control conditions. Existing literature highlights that antenatal anxiety is a strong predictor of postpartum mood disorders (such as depression; Loughnan, Joubert et al., 2019), therefore, this result supports the notion that delivering effective antenatal interventions may confer positive benefits for both mother and baby. This supports previous research which argues that early intervention antenatally can improve later outcomes for women and their infants (Thomas et al., 2014). However, further research is needed to establish the longer-term benefits of interventions offered antenatally and to evaluate treatments for postpartum anxiety. The delivery of interventions in the postpartum period may differ significantly from those delivered antenatally due to conflicting demands on new mothers (i.e. breastfeeding/childcare) and other factors, such as the impact of disrupted sleep.

This study also aimed to explore the secondary outcomes reported in the selected studies. A number of secondary outcomes were explored within the research, including perceived stress, worry, infant temperament, child behaviour, postpartum bonding and depression. The only secondary outcome consistently reported across studies was depression, thus limiting our statistical analyses of comorbid outcome to this variable only. It is perhaps unsurprising that depression was measured in the majority of included studies (n=15) given the high rates of comorbidity reported between anxiety and depression in this population (Falah-Hassani et al., 2017). The results indicated that psychological interventions were more effective at reducing symptoms of depression than control conditions, demonstrating a medium effect. This suggest potential cross- or transdiagnostic effects, whereby interventions targeted specifically at the treatment of anxiety could also have a positive effect on depression. This supports research that suggests transdiagnostic interventions targeting both symptoms of depression and anxiety, tailored to the perinatal period, may also be more beneficial than disorder specific interventions (Green et al., 2020; Loughnan, Butler et al., 2019). Further research is needed to explore the impact of interventions for anxiety on infant outcomes.

4.1 Limitations

We report a number of limitations. First, inclusion criteria for the review were broadly defined in order to capture the wide range of anxiety symptomology. However, this did not allow differentiation between specific anxiety disorder diagnoses. Therefore we were unable to draw any conclusions about what works (i.e. therapeutic modality/delivery) for whom (i.e. specific diagnoses). This reflects the heterogeneity in the research evidence. Further research may consider whether interventions tailored to overall symptom reduction are sensitive enough to account for the broad range of presentations of anxiety. Future trials could utilise clinical diagnostic tools, however it is important to consider whether this risks excluding those who do not meet diagnostic criteria but still experience elevated anxiety and would still benefit from psychological intervention. Alternatively, future findings may point to the value of transdiagnostic interventions for perinatal anxiety (Loughnan, Butler et al., 2019).

There are a number of pregnancy and birth related factors that may contribute to increased anxiety in the perinatal period, including hyperemesis gravidarum (severe vomiting; McCormack et al., 2011), preeclampsia (Asghari et al., 2016), significant health concerns for the infant (Gorayeb et al., 2013), pregnancy loss (Markin and McCarthy, 2019) and birth trauma (Weinreb et al., 2018).

Interventions aimed at treating anxiety within the context of these complex factors lay beyond the scope of the review. This review also did not include any studies exploring the use of psychological interventions to treat post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in relation to birth trauma. PTSD has strong conceptual links with perinatal anxiety (Nieminen et al., 2016; Saisto et al., 2006) and so this exclusion may represent a significant limitation to the generalisability of the current findings and suggest a gap in the current literature which warrants further investigation.

Due to the small sample of represented studies, and the variance in time points of follow up data, we were unable to draw conclusions about the long-term effects of psychological interventions for this population. Robust evaluation of treatment effects at follow up are imperative in order to effectively demonstrate the value of these interventions. It is important that these effects are

systematically evaluated as previous research has suggested that anxiety symptoms may decrease naturally in the first six months following birth (Vismara et al., 2016) and so this phenomenon needs to be accounted for when exploring intervention effects. The included papers also did not consistently explore long term impacts on the mother-infant relationship and child development. Future research should consider how these factors can be evaluated considering the current evidence to suggest untreated perinatal anxiety is detrimental to both mother and infant (Glover, 2014; Loughnan et al., 2018).

The papers included in this review were all published in the English language and in peer reviewed journals, this may have introduced potential bias towards positive findings. Risk of bias in studies also varied significantly, with factors indicating high risk of methodological bias in a number of studies. It may be important for future intervention research to demonstrate rigorous delivery and treatment adherence by ensuring treatments are delivered by more than one trained facilitator, that treatment adherence is appropriately assessed (i.e. through use of recordings) and that therapist competence is independently rated (Shi and MacBeth, 2017). These measures need to be transparently outlined so appropriate risk of bias assessments can be undertaken. However, limitations based on study quality reflect challenges faced across the spectrum of health-service based treatment research in perinatal and infant mental health due to the nature of the population. In addition, this paper, and the included research, refers to the treatment of perinatal anxiety in women and does not account for the experiences of trans or non-binary individuals who are anxious during pregnancy or the postnatal period. There is limited research exploring the experience of perinatal mental health for trans and non-binary people. However, there is an acknowledgement that there may be a heightened vulnerability to experiencing such difficulties due to a number of factors including prejudicial treatment by professionals, misgendering and history of trauma or adversity (Greenfield and Darwin, 2021). Further research is needed to understand the experiences of trans and non-binary people in the perinatal period to ensure they are not excluded from both research and healthcare.

4.2 Directions for future research

We suggest that further RCTs and implementation studies are required to compare psychological interventions for perinatal anxiety with alternative psychological interventions and modes of delivery, the current research relies too heavily on treatment as usual and waitlist controls. The current research base is also limited to the use of CBT and MBIs. It has been suggested that other treatment modalities such as Interpersonal Psychotherapy (IPT; Sockol, 2018), Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT; Bonacquisti et al., 2017) and Compassion Focused Therapy (CFT; Cree, 2010) may have utility in the treatment of common perinatal mental health conditions, however, these have not received adequate research attention. The bias in the literature towards CBT may be reflective of current NICE guidelines which advocate its use in this population (National Institute of Health and Care Excellence, 2014). The current review did not explore the differences in clinician delivering the interventions, although this may be an important factor to consider as this may influence the intensity of the intervention (i.e. primary care vs. specialist services), the accessibility (i.e. availability of adequately trained therapists and supervisors), integration into existing care pathways and cost-effectiveness. This is especially relevant in low resource settings where access to specialist services, practitioners and financial resources are limited (Clarke et al., 2013).

4.3 Conclusion

Our review is the most comprehensive synthesis to date of the available evidence base of the effectiveness of psychological interventions for perinatal anxiety. This review demonstrates that psychological interventions, including CBT and MBI's, are effective in reducing symptoms of both anxiety and comorbid anxiety and depression in the antenatal and postnatal periods. Given the negative consequences of untreated antenatal anxiety on postpartum outcomes, and women's preferences for psychological over pharmacological interventions, the current review advocates for the availability and use of these interventions in pregnancy, as well as postnatally. In addition, the results support a wide variety of intervention methods and modes of delivery, including face to face,

online, group and self-guided, suggesting that psychological interventions can be tailored to meet the individual and perinatal-specific needs of women in this period.

References for Journal Article 1

*Studies included in Systematic Review

**Studies included in Meta-Analysis

Abidin, R.R. (1995). *Parenting Stress Index Professional Manual.*, Third Ed. Psychological Assessment Resources; Florida

Achenbach, T., & Rescorla, L. (2000). *Manual for the ASEBA Preschool Forms and Profiles.* University of Vermont: Research Center for Children, Youths and Families.

Alderdice, F., & Lynn, F. (2011). Factor structure of the prenatal distress questionnaire. *Midwifery.* 27, 553-559. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2010.05.003>

American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders.*, Fifth Ed. Washington DC

Asghari, E., Faramarzi, M., & Mohammadi, A.K. (2016). The effect of cognitive behavioural therapy on anxiety, depression and stress in women with preeclampsia. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research.* 10, QC04-QC07. <https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2016/21245.8879>

Baer, R.A., Smith, G.T., Hopkins, J., Krietemeyer, J., & Toney, L. (2006). Using self-report assessment methods to explore facets of mindfulness. *Assessment.* 13, 27-45. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191105283504>

*Bastani, F., Hidarnia, A., Montgomery, K.S., Aguilar-Vafaei, M.E., & Kazemnejad, A. (2006). Does relaxation education in anxious primigravid Iranian women influence adverse pregnancy outcomes? A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Perinatal & Neonatal Nursing.* 20, 138-146. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00005237-200604000-00007>

Bates, J.E., Freeland, C.A.B., & Lounsbury, M.L. (1979). Measurement of Infant Difficultness. *Child Development.* 50, 794-803. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1128946>

Bauer, A., Knapp, M., & Parsonage, M. (2016). Lifetime costs of perinatal anxiety and depression. *Journal of Affective Disorders.* 192, 83-90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2015.12.005>

**Bazrafshan, S., Kheirkhah, M., Iananlou, M., & Rasouli, M. (2020). Controlling the anxiety in Iranian pregnant women at risk of preterm labor by undergoing the counselling group intervention. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care.* 9(8), 4016-4025. <https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpe.ifmpe.1227.19>

Bayley, N. (2006). *Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development.* The Psychological Corporation

- Beck, A.T., Epstein, N., Brown, G., & Steer, R.A. (1988). An inventory for measuring clinical anxiety: Psychometric properties. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 56(6), 893-897. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.56.6.893>
- Beck, A.T., Steer, R.A., & Brown, G.K. (1996). *Beck Depression Inventory-ii*. San Antonio; TX
- Biaggi, A., Conroy, S., Pawlby, S., & Pariante, C.M. (2016). Identifying the women at risk of antenatal anxiety and depression: A systematic review. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 191, 62-77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2015.11.014>
- **Bittner, A., Peukert, J., Zimmerman, C., Junge-Hoffmeister, J., Parker, L.S., Stöbel-Richter, Y., & Weidner, K. (2014). Early intervention in pregnant women with elevated anxiety and depressive symptoms: Efficacy of a cognitive-behavioural group program. *Journal of Perinatal and Neonatal Nursing*. 28, 185-195. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JPN.000000000000027>
- Blackmore, E.R., Gustafsson, H., Gilchrist, M., Wyman, C., & O'Connor T.G. (2016). Pregnancy-related anxiety: Evidence of distinct clinical significance from a prospective longitudinal study. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 197, 251-258. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.03.008>
- Bonacquisti, A., Cohen, M.J., & Schiller, C.E. (2017). Acceptance and commitment therapy for perinatal mood and anxiety disorders: Development of an inpatient group intervention. *Archives of Women's Mental Health*. 20, 645-654. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00737-017-0735-8>
- Brockington, I.F., Fraser, C., & Wilson, D. (2006). The postpartum bonding questionnaire: A validation. *Archives of Women's Mental Health*. 9, 233-242. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00737-006-0132-1>
- Brown, K.W., & Ryan, R.M. (2003). The benefits of being present: Mindfulness and its role in psychological well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 84(4), 822-848. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.84.4.822>
- Buist, A., Gotman, N., & Yonkers, K.A. (2011). Generalized anxiety disorder: Course and risk factors in pregnancy. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 131, 277-283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2011.01.003>
- **Burger, H., Verbeek, T., Aris-Meijer, J.L., Beijers, C., Mol, B.W., Hollon, S.D., Ormel, J., Van Pampus, M.G., & Bockting, C.L.H. (2020). Effects of psychological treatment of mental health problems in pregnant women to protect their offspring: Randomised controlled trial. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 216, 182-188. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.2019.260>
- Carlbring, P., Andersson, G., Cuijpers, P., Riper, H., & Hedman-Lagerlöf, E. (2018). Internet-based vs. face-to-face cognitive behaviour therapy for psychiatric and somatic disorders: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy*. 47, 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/16506073.2017.1401115>
- *Challacombe, F.L., Salkovskis, P.M., Woolgar, M., Wilkinson, E.L., Read, J., & Acheson, R. (2017). A pilot randomized controlled trial of time-intensive cognitive-behaviour therapy for postpartum obsessive-compulsive disorder: Effects on maternal symptoms, mother-infant interactions and attachment. *Psychological Medicine*. 47(8), 1478-1488. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291716003573>

- Clarke, K., King, M., & Prost, A. (2013). Psychosocial intervention for perinatal common mental disorders delivered by providers who are not mental health specialists in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Med.* 11(6), e1001541. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001541>
- Condon, J.T. (1993). The assessment of antenatal emotional attachment: Development of a questionnaire instrument. *British Journal of Medical Psychology.* 66(2), 167-183. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8341.1993.tb01739.x>
- Cox, B.J., Fergus, K.D., & Swinson, R.P. (1994). Patient satisfaction with behavioral treatments for panic disorder with agoraphobia. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders.* 8, 193-206. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0887-6185\(94\)90001-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0887-6185(94)90001-9)
- Cox, J.L., Holden, J.M., & Sagovsky, R. (1987). Detection of postnatal depression: Development of the 10-item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. *British Journal of Psychiatry.* 150(6), 782-786. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.150.6.782>
- Cree, M. (2010). Compassion focused therapy with perinatal and mother-infant distress. *International Journal of Cognitive Therapy.* 3, 159-171. <https://doi.org/10.1521/ijct.2010.3.2.159>
- Črnčec, R., Barnett, B., & Matthey, S. (2008). Development of an instrument to assess perceived self-efficacy in the parents of infants. *Research in Nursing and Health.* 31(5), 442-453. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nur.20271>
- Deeks, J.J., Altman, D.G., & Bradburn, M.J. (2001). Statistical methods for examining heterogeneity and combining results from several studies in meta-analysis. In M. Egger, D.G. Smith, & D.G. Altman (Eds.) *Systematic Reviews in Health Care: Meta-Analysis in Context* (pp. 285-312). London: BMI Books
- Dennis, C.L., Falah-Hassani, K., & Shiri, R. (2017). Prevalence of antenatal and postnatal anxiety: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Psychiatry.* 210, 315-323. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.116.187179>
- DerSimonian, R., & Laird, N. (1986). Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Controlled Clinical Trials.* 7(3), 177-188. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0197-2456\(86\)90046-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0197-2456(86)90046-2)
- Devilley, G.J., & Borkovec, T.D. (2000). Psychometric properties of the credibility / expectancy questionnaire. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry.* 31(2), 73-86. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7916\(00\)00012-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7916(00)00012-4)
- Dunkel Schetter, C., & Tanner, L. (2012). Anxiety, depression and stress in pregnancy: Implications for mothers, children, research, and practice. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry.* 25(2), 141-148. <https://doi.org/10.1097/YCO.0b013e3282503680>
- Duval, S., & Tweedie, R. (2000). Trim and fill: A simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. *Biometrics.* 56, 455-463. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0006-341X.2000.00455.x>
- Egger, S., Smith, G.D., Schneider, M., & Minder, C. (1997). Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ.* 315. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629>

- Fairbrother, N., Janssen, P., Antony, M.M., Tucker, E., & Young, A.H. (2016). Perinatal anxiety disorder prevalence and incidence. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 200, 148-155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2015.12.082>
- Falah-Hassani, K., Shiri, R., & Dennis, C.L. (2017). The prevalence of antenatal and postnatal comorbid anxiety and depression: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine*. 47, 2041-2053. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291717000617>
- First, M.B., Spitzer, R.L., Gibbon, M., & Williams, J.B.W. (1995). *Structured clinical interview for DSM-IV Axis-I disorders (SCID-IP)*. American Psychiatric Press; Washington DC
- Foa, E.B., Kozak, M.J., Salkovsis, P.M., Coles, M.E., & Amir, N. (1998). The validation of a new obsessive-compulsive disorder scale: The obsessive-compulsive inventory. *Psychological Assessment*. 10, 206-214. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.10.3.206>
- Fydrich, T., Sommar, G., & Brähler, E. (2007). *F-SozU – Fragebogen zur Sozialen Unterstützung*. Göttingen; Hogrefe
- Glasheen, C., Richardson, G.A., & Fabio, A. (2010). A systematic review of the effects of postnatal maternal anxiety on children. *Archives of Women's Mental Health*. 13, 61-74. <https://doi.org/10.1007/a00737-009-0109-y>
- Glover, V. (2014). Maternal depression, anxiety, and stress during pregnancy and child outcome: What needs to be done. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 28(1), 25-35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2013.08.017>
- Goodman, J.H., & Tyer-Viola, L. (2010). Detection, treatment, and referral of perinatal depression and anxiety by obstetrical providers. *Journal of Women's Health*. 19(3), 477-490. <https://doi.org/10.1089/jwh.2008.1352>
- Goodman, J.H., Watson, G.R., & Stubbs, B. (2016). Anxiety disorders in postpartum women: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 203, 292-331. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.05.033>
- Goodman, W.K., Price, L.H., Rasmussen, S.A., Mazure, C., Fleischmann, R.L., Hill, C.L., Heninger, G.R., & Charney, D.S. (1989). The Yale-Brown obsessive compulsive scale: Development, use and reliability. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 46(11), 1006-1011. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.1989.01810110048007>
- Gorayeb, R.P., Gorayeb, R., Berezowski, A.T., & Duarte, G. (2013). Effectiveness of psychological intervention for treating symptoms of anxiety and depression among pregnant women diagnosed with fetal malformation. *International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics*. 121, 123-126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2012.12.013>
- **Green, S.M., Donegan, E., McCabe, R.E., Streiner, D.L., Agako, A., & Frey, B.N. (2020). Cognitive behavioral therapy for perinatal anxiety: A randomized controlled trial. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*. 54(4) 423-432. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0004867419898528>

[Greenfield, M., & Darwin, Z. \(2021\). Trans and non-binary pregnancy, traumatic birth and perinatal mental health: a scoping review. *International Journal of Transgender Health*. 22, 203-216. doi: 10.1080/26895269.2020.1841057](#)

Grös, D.F., Antony, M.M., Simms, L.J., & McCabe, R.E. (2007). Psychometric properties of the state-trait inventory for cognitive and somatic anxiety (STICSA): Comparison to the state-trait anxiety inventory (STAI). *Psychological Assessment*. 19, 369-381. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.19.4.369>

Gross, J.J., & John, O.P. (2003). Individual differences in two emotion regulation processes: Implications for affect, relationships, and well-being. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*. 85, 348-362. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.85.2.348>

**Guardino, C.M., Dunkel Schetter, C., Bower, J.E., Lu, M.C., & Smalley, S.L. (2014). Randomised controlled pilot trial of mindfulness training for stress reduction during pregnancy. *Psychological Health*. 29, 334-349. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08870446.2013.852670>

Halweg, K. (1996). *Fragebogen zur Partnerschaftsdiagnostik (FPD)*. Göttingen; Hogrefe

Hamilton, M. (1959). The assessment of anxiety states by rating. *British Journal of Medical Psychology*. 32(1), 50-55. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8341.1959.tb00467.x>

**Hamilton, J., Saxon, D., Best, E., Glover, V., Walters, S.J., & Kerr, I.B. (2020). A randomized, controlled pilot study of cognitive analytic therapy for stressed pregnant women with underlying anxiety and depression in a routine health service setting. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy*. 28, 394-408. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpp.2520>

**Heller, H.M., Hoogendoorn, A.W., Honig, A., Broekam, B.F.P., & van Straten, A. (2020). The effectiveness of a guided internet-based tool for the treatment of depression and anxiety in pregnancy (MamaKits Online): Randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. 22(3), e15172. <https://doi.org/10.2196/15172>

Higgins, A., Downes, C., Carroll, M., Gill, A., & Monohan, M. (2018). There is more to perinatal mental health care than depression: Public health nurses reported engagement and competence in perinatal mental health care. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*. 27, e476-487. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.13986>

Higgins, J.P.T., Thompson, S.G., Deeks, J.J., & Altman, D.G. (2003). Measuring inconsistency in meta-analysis. *British Medical Journal*. 327, 557-560. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557>

Higgins, J.P.T., Savovic, J., Page, M.J., & Sterne, J.A.C. (2019). Revised Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials (RoB-2) Short Version; RoB-2 Development Group

Huybrechts, K.F., Palmsten, K., Avorn, J., Cohen, L.S., Holmes, L.B., Franklin, J.M., Mogun, H., Levin, R., Kowal, M., Setoguchi, S., & Hernández-Díaz, S. (2014). Antidepressant use in pregnancy and the risk of cardiac defects. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 370, 2397-2407. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1312828>

- **Karamoozian, M., & Askarizadeh, G. (2015). Impact of prenatal cognitive-behavioral stress management intervention on maternal anxiety and depression and newborns' Apgar scores. *Iranian Journal of Neonatology*. 6(2), 14-23. <https://doi.org/10.22038/ijn.2015.4485>
- Kazdin, A.E. (2017). Addressing the treatment gap: A key challenge for extending evidence-based psychosocial interventions. *Behaviour Research & Therapy*. 88, 7-18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2016.06.004>
- Kessler, R.C., Andrews, G., Colpe, L.J., Hiripi, E., Mroczek, D.K., Normand, S.L.T., Walters, E.E., & Zaslavsky, A.M. (2002). Short screening scales to monitor population prevalences and trends in non-specific psychological distress. *Psychological Medicine*. 32(6), 959-976. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291702006074>
- Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R.L., & Williams, J.B. (2001). The PHQ-9: Validity of a brief depression severity measure. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 16(9), 606-613. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016009606.x>
- Landis, J.R., & Koch, G.G. (1977). The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics*. 159-174. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2529310>
- Larsen, D.L., Attkisson, C.C., Hargreaves, W.A., & Nguyen, T.D. (1979). Assessment of client/patient satisfaction: Development of a general scale. *Evaluation and Program Planning*. 2(3), 197-207. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0149-7189\(79\)90094-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0149-7189(79)90094-6)
- Lau, Y., Htun, T.P., Wong, S.N., Tam, W.S.W., & Klainin-Yobas, P. (2017). Therapist-supported internet-based cognitive behaviour therapy for stress, anxiety, and depressive symptoms among postpartum women: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. 19(4), e138. <https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.6712>
- Leach, L.S., Poyser, C., & Fairweather-Schmidt, K. (2017). Maternal perinatal anxiety: A review of prevalence and correlates. *Clinical Psychology*. 21, 4-19. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cp.12058>
- **Lilliecreutz, C., Josefsson, A., & Sydsjö, G. (2010). An open trial with cognitive behavioral therapy for blood and injection phobia in pregnant women – A group intervention program. *Archives of Women's Mental Health*. 13(3), 259-265. <https://doi.org/10.s00737-009-0126-x>
- **Loughnan, S.A., Butler, C., Sie, A.A., Grierson, A.B., Chen, A.Z., Hobbs, M.J., Joubert, A.E., Haskelberg, H., Mahoney, A., Holt, C., Gemmill, A.W., Milgrom, J., Austin, M.P., Andrews, G., & Newby, J.M. (2019). A randomised controlled trial of 'MUMentum postnatal': Internet-delivered cognitive behavioural therapy for anxiety and depression in postpartum women. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*. 116, 94-103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2019.03.001>
- Loughnan, S.A., Joubert, A.E., Grierson, A., Andrews, G., & Newby, J.M. (2019). Internet-delivered psychological interventions for clinical anxiety and depression in perinatal women: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Archives of Women's Mental Health*. 22, 737-750. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00737-019-00961-9>
- **Loughnan, S.A., Sie, A., Hobbs, M.J., Joubert, A.E., Smith, J., Haskelberg, H., Mahoney, A.E.J., Kladnitski, N., Holt, C.J., Milgrom, J., Austin, M.P., Andrews, G., & Newby, J.M. (2019). A randomized controlled trial of 'MUMentum Pregnancy': Internet-delivered cognitive behavioral

- therapy program for antenatal anxiety and depression. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 243, 381-390. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2018.09.057>
- Loughnan, S.A., Wallace, M., Joubert, A.E., Haskelberg, H., Andrews, G., & Newby, J.M. (2018). A systematic review of psychological treatments for clinical anxiety during the perinatal period. *Archives of Women's Mental Health*. 21, 481-490. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00737-018-0812-7>
- Lovibond, P.F., & Lovibond, S.H. (1995). The structure of negative emotional states: Comparison of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS) with the Beck Depression and Anxiety Inventories. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*. 33(3), 335-343. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-7967\(94\)00075-U](https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-7967(94)00075-U)
- Lukesch, H. (1983). *Geburst-Angst-Skala (G-A-S)*. Göttingen; Hogrefe
- MacBeth, A., Law, J., McGowan, I., Norrie, J., Thompson, L., & Wilson, P. (2015). Mellow Parenting: Systematic Review and meta-analysis of an intervention to promote sensitive parenting. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*. 57, 1119-1128. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dmcn.12864>
- Maguire, P.N., Clark, G.I., & Wootton, B.M. (2018). The efficacy of cognitive behaviour therapy for the treatment of perinatal anxiety symptoms: A preliminary meta-analysis. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*. 60, 26-34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2018.10.002>
- Manicavasgar, V., Parker, G., & Perich, T. (2011). Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy vs cognitive behaviour therapy as a treatment for non-melancholic depression. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 130, 138-144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2010.09.027>
- Marchesi, C., Ossola, P., Amerio, A., Daniel, B.D., Tonna, M., & De Panfilis, C. (2016). Clinical management of perinatal anxiety disorders: A systematic review. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 190, 543-550. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2015.11.004>
- Markin, R.D., & McCarthy, K.S. (2019). The process and outcome of psychodynamic psychotherapy for pregnancy after loss: A case study analysis. *Psychotherapy*. 57(2), 273-288. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pst0000249>
- Marshall, N.L., & Barnett, R.C. (1993). Work-family strains and gains among two-earner couples. *Journal of Community Psychology*. 21(1), 64-78. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1520-6629\(199301\)21:1<64::AID-JCOP2290210108>3.0.CO;2-P](https://doi.org/10.1002/1520-6629(199301)21:1<64::AID-JCOP2290210108>3.0.CO;2-P)
- McCormack, D., Scott-Heyes, G., & McCusker, C.G. (2011). The impact of hyperemesis gravidarum on maternal mental health and maternal-fetal attachment. *Journal Psychosomatic Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 32, 79-87. <https://doi.org/10.3109/0167482X.2011.560691>
- McGuiness, M., Blissett, J., & Jones, C. (2011). OCD in the perinatal period: Is postpartum OCD (ppOCD) a distinct subtype? A review of the literature. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*. 39, 285-310. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1352465810000718>
- Meyer, T.J., Miller, M.L., Metzger, R.L., & Borkovec, T.D. (1990). Development and validation of the Penn State worry questionnaire. *Behaviour Research & Therapy*. 28, 487-495. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-7967\(90\)90135-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-7967(90)90135-6)

- Milgrom, J., Gemmill, A.W., Bilszta, J.L., Hayes, B., Barnett, B., Brooks, J., Ericksen, J., Ellwood, D., & Buist, A. (2008). Antenatal risk factors for postnatal depression: A large prospective study. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 108, 147-157. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2007.10.014>
- *Milgrom, J., Schembri, C., Ericksen, J., Ross, J., & Gemmill, A.W. (2011). Towards parenthood: An antenatal intervention to reduce depression, anxiety and parenting difficulties. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 130, 385-394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2010.10.045>
- **Misri, S., Reebye, P., Corral, M., & Mills, L. (2004). The use of paroxetine and cognitive-behavioral therapy in postpartum depression and anxiety: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 65, 1236-1241. <https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.v65n0913>
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D.G., Altman, D., Antes, G., Atkins, D., Barbour, V., Barrowman, N., Berlin, J.A., Clark, J., Clarke, M., Cook, D., D'Amico, R., Deeks, J.J., Devereaux, P.J., Dickersin, K., Egger, M., Ernst, E., Gøtzsche, P.C., Grimshaw, J., Guyatt, G., Higgins, J., Ioannidis, J.P.A., Kleijnen, J., Lang, T., Magrini, N., McNamee, D., Moja, L., Mulrow, C., Napoli, M., Oxman, A., Pham, B., Rennie, D., Sampson, M., Schulz, K.F., Shekelle, P.G., Tovey, D., Tugwell, P., 2009. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med*. 6. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097>
- Montgomery, S.A., & Asberg, M. (1979). A new depression scale designed to be sensitive to change. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 134, 382-389. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.134.4.382>
- Murphy, C. (2009). *Developing a Screening Tool for Vulnerable Families*. Department of Psychology; University of Melbourne.
- National Institute for Clinical Excellence (2014). *Antenatal and postnatal mental health: Clinical management and service guidance*
- Newby, J.M., McKinnon, A., Kuyken, W., Gilbody, S., & Dalgleish, T. (2015). Systematic review and meta-analysis of transdiagnostic psychological treatments for anxiety and depressive disorders in adulthood. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 40, 91-110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2015.06.002>
- Nieminen, K., Berg, I., Frankenstein, K., Viita, L., Larsson, K., Persson, U., Spånberger, L., Wretman, A., Silfvernegel, K., Andersson, G., & Wijma, K. (2016). Internet-provided cognitive behaviour therapy of posttraumatic stress symptoms following childbirth – a randomized controlled trial. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy*. 45, 287-306. <https://doi.org/10.1080/16506073.2016.1169626>
- O'Hara, M.W., & Wisner, K.L. (2014). Perinatal mental illness: Definition, description and aetiology. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology*. 28, 3-12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2013.09.002>
- Petkova, E., Qnitkin, F.M., McGrath, P.J., Stewart, J.W., & Klein, D.F. (2000). A method to quantify rater bias in antidepressant trials. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 22, 559-565. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0893-133X\(99\)00154-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0893-133X(99)00154-2)

- Radloff, L.S. (1977). The CES-D scale: A self report depression scale for research in the general population. *Applied Measurements*. 1, 385-401. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014662167700100306>
- Reiss, S., Peterson, R.A., Gursky, D.M., & McNally, R.J. (1986). Anxiety sensitivity, anxiety frequency and the prediction of fearfulness. *Behaviour Research & Therapy*. 24, 1-8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-7967\(86\)90143-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-7967(86)90143-9)
- Rini, C.K., Dunkel-Schetter, C., Wadhwa, P.D., & Sandman, C.A. (1999). Psychological adaptation and birth outcomes: The role of personal resources, stress, and sociocultural context in pregnancy. *Health Psychology*. 18, 333-345. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-6133.18.4.333>
- Roesch, S.C., Schetter, C.D., Woo, G., & Hobel, C.J. (2004). Modeling the types and timing of stress in pregnancy. *Anxiety, Stress & Coping*. 17(1), 87-102/ <https://doi.org/10.1080/1061580031000123667>
- Rust, J., Bennun, I., Crowe, M., & Golombok, S. (2007). The Golombok Rust Inventory of Marital Stress (GRIMS). *Sexual and Marital Therapy*. 1(1), 55-60. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02674658608407680>
- Ryff, C.D. (1989). Happiness is everything, or is it? Explorations on the meaning of psychological well-being. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 57(6), 1069-1081.
- Saisto, T., Toivanen, R., Salmela-Aro, K., & Halmesmäki, E. (2006). Therapeutic group psychoeducation and relaxation in treating fear of childbirth. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand*. 85, 1315-1319. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00016340600756920>
- **Salehi, F., Poursaghar, M., Khalilian, A., & Shahhosseini, Z. (2016). Comparison of group cognitive behavioral therapy and interactive lectures in reducing anxiety during pregnancy: A quasi experimental trial. *Medicine*. 95, 20-22. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000005224>
- **Shahsavan, F., Akbari, N., Gharraee, B., Abolghasemi, J., & Khedmat, L. (2021). The effect of internet-based guided self-help cognitive behavioral therapies on Iranian women's psychological symptoms and preferred method of childbirth. *Perspectives in Psychiatric Care*. 57, 138-147. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppc.12535>
- Shi, Z., & MacBeth, A. (2017). The effectiveness of mindfulness-based interventions on maternal perinatal mental health outcomes: A systematic review. *Mindfulness*. 8, 823-847. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-016-0673-y>
- Schmitt, M., Beckmann, M., Dusi, D., Maes, J., Schiller, A., & Schonauer, K. (2003). Validity of the simplified Beck Depression Inventory. *Diagnostica*. 49, 147-156.
- *Schulman, B., Dueck, R., Ryan, D., Breau, G., Sadowski, I., & Misri, S. (2018). Feasibility of a mindfulness-based cognitive therapy group intervention as an adjunctive treatment for postpartum depression and anxiety. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 235, 61-67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2017.12.065>

- Sizoo, B.B., & Kuiper, E. (2017). Cognitive behavioural therapy and mindfulness based stress reduction may be equally effective in reducing anxiety and depression in adults with autism spectrum disorders. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*. 64, 47-55.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2017.03.004>
- Skevington, S., Lofty, M., & O'Connell, K.A. (2004). The World Health Organization's WHOQOL-BREF Quality of Life Assessment: Psychometric properties and results of the international field trial. A report from the WHOQOL Group. *Quality of Life Research*. 13, 299-310.
<https://doi.org/10.1023/B:QURE.0000018486.91360.00>
- Sokol, L.E. (2018). A systematic review and meta-analysis of interpersonal psychotherapy for perinatal women. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 232, 316-328.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2018.01.018>
- Sokol, L.E. (2015). A systematic review of the efficacy of cognitive behavioral therapy for treating and preventing perinatal depression. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 177, 7-21.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2015.01.052>
- Sokol, L.E., Epperson, C.N., & Barber, J.P. (2011). A meta-analysis of treatments for perinatal depression. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 31, 839-849. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2011.03.009>
- Speilberger, C.D., Gorsuch, I., & Lushene, R.E. (1970). *Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory*. Palo Alto, CA; Consulting Psychologists Press
- Spitzer, R.L., Kroenke, K., Williams, J.B.W., & Löwe, B. (2006). A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: The GAD-7. *Archives of International Medicine*. 166, 1092-1097.
<https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.166.10.1092>
- Schwarzer, G. (2007). Meta: An R package for meta-analysis. *R News*. 7(3), 40-45
- Taylor, B.L., Cavanagh, K., & Strauss, C. (2016). The effectiveness of mindfulness-based interventions in the perinatal period: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 11, 1-29.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0155720>
- Thomas, N., Komiti, A., & Judd, F. (2014). Pilot early intervention antenatal group program for pregnant women with anxiety and depression. *Archives of Women's Mental Health*. 17, 503-509.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00737-014-0447-2>
- Viechtbauer, W. (2010). Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metaphor package. *Journal of Statistical Software*. 36 48. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v036.i03>
- Vismara, L., Rollè, L., Agostini, F., Sechi, C., Fenaroli, V., Molgora, S., Neri, E., Prino, L.E., Odorisio, F., Trovato, A., Polizzi, C., Brustia, P., Lucarelli, L., Monti, F., Saita, E., & Tambelli, R. (2016). Perinatal parenting stress, anxiety, and depression outcomes in first-time mothers and fathers: A 3-to-6-months postpartum follow-up study. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 7, 1-10.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00938>
- Watts, S.E., Turnell, A., Kladnitski, N., Newby, J.M., & Andrews, G. (2015). Treatment-as-usual (TAU) is anything but usual: A meta-analysis of CBT versus TAU for anxiety and depression. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 175, 152-167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2014.12.025>

- Weinreb, L., Wenz-Gross, M., & Upshur, C. (2018). Postpartum outcomes of a pilot prenatal care-based psychosocial intervention for PTSD during pregnancy. *Archives of Women's Mental Health*. 21, 299-312. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00737-017-0794-x>
- Weissman, A.N., & Beck, A.T. (1989). Developmental and validation of the Dysfunctional Attitude Scale: A preliminary investigation. Paper presented at: Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association; March 1978: 27-31; Toronto, Canada
- Wergeland, G.J.H., Fjermestad, K.W., Marin, C.E., Haugland, B.S.M., Bjaastad, J.F., Oeding, K., Bjelland, I., Silverman, W.K., Öst, L.G., Havik, O.E., & Heiervang, E.R. (2014). An effectiveness study of individual vs. group cognitive behavioral therapy for anxiety disorders in youth. *Behaviour Research & Therapy*. 57, 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2014.03.007>
- Whitfield, G. (2010). Group cognitive-behavioural therapy for anxiety and depression *Advances in Psychiatric Treatment*. 16(3), 219-227. <https://doi.org/10.1192/apt.bp.108.005744>
- Wijma, K., Wijma, B., & Zar, M. (1998). Psychometric aspects of the W-DEQ: A new questionnaire for the measurement of fear of childbirth. *Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics & Gynaecology*. 19(2), 84-97. <https://doi.org/10.3109/01674829809048501>
- Wittchen, H.U., Lachner, G., Wunderlich, U., & Pfister, H. (1998). Test-retest reliability of the computerized DSM-IV version of the Munich-Composite International Diagnostic Interview (M-CIDI). *Social Psychiatry & Psychiatric Epidemiology*. 33, 568-578. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s001270050095>
- Woolhouse, H., Mercuri, K., Judd, F., & Brown, S.J. (2014). Antenatal mindfulness intervention to reduce depression, anxiety and stress: A pilot randomised controlled trial of the MindBabyBody program in an Australian tertiary maternity hospital. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 14, 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-014-0369-z>
- **Yang, M., Jia, G., Sun, S., Ye, C., Zhang, R., & Yu, X. (2019). Effects of an online mindfulness intervention focusing on attention monitoring and acceptance in pregnant women: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Midwifery and Women's Health*. 64, 68-77. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jmwh.12944>
- **Yazdanimehr, R., Omid, A., Sadat, Z., & Akbari, H. (2016). The effect of mindfulness-integrated cognitive behaviour therapy on depression and anxiety among pregnant women: A randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Caring Sciences*. 5(3), 195-204. <https://doi.org/10.15171/jcs.2016.021>
- **Zemestani, M., & Fazeli Nikoo, Z. (2019). Effectiveness of mindfulness-based cognitive therapy for comorbid depression and anxiety in pregnancy: A randomized controlled trial. *Archives of Women's Mental Health*. 23, 207-214. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00737-019-00962-8>
- Zigmond, A.S., & Snaith, R.P. (1983). The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 67, 361-370. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0447.1983.tb09716.x>

Figure 1 – PRISMA Flowchart




Figure2: Forest Plot displaying the meta-analytic modelling of the effect of treatment on perinatal anxiety




Table 1: Study Demographic Characteristics

Study	Country	Participants Characteristics	Sample Size	Age	Gestational Age at Baseline (weeks)/ Infant Age	Study Design	Perinatal Period (Antenatal/ Postnatal)	Intervention	Clinician	Comparison Group	Dx	Outcome Measure(s)*	Assessment Time Point
Bastani et al. (2006)	Iran	Pregnant women, with uncomplicated, singleton pregnancies and no identified medical or obstetrical risk factors. Recruited participants demonstrated high levels of anxiety on STAI	Total sample (N=110), Experimental (N=55), Control (N=55)	18-30 (Average 23.8, SD = 3.1)	14-28 weeks (M = 17.8, SD = 1.8)	RCT	Antenatal	CAU with 7-week applied relaxation training sessions	Qualified Nurse	CAU	N	STAI; PSS	Pre-test/Post-test, no follow up
Bazrafshan et al. (2020)	Iran	Pregnant women (24 - 28 weeks' gestation) obtaining a score of 10 or more for prediction of Preterm Labour (PTL) and anxiety scores between 17 and 119 based on the Pregnancy-related Anxiety Questionnaire (PRAQ)	Total sample = 72 (intervention group = 36); (control group = 36)	intervention 28.06 (SD 4.33) control 26.22 (SD 4.43)	24-28 weeks 27th week =22.2% 28th week =25%	RCT	Antenatal	6-week Group educational counselling (interactive lectures, instructional videos, exercise worksheets)	trained midwives	CAU	N	PRAQ	pre-test/post-test, 1 month follow up
Bittner et al. (2014)	Germany	Women age over 18 years, scoring above cut off on screening measures indicating elevated symptoms of anxiety or depression	Total Sample, N=74; Intervention group (N = 21) control group (N = 53)	M (SD) Intervention 29.4 (3.6); Control 29.7 (4.7)	10-15 weeks; M (SD) Intervention 16.1 (3.1); Control 16.6 (3.9)	RCT	Antenatal	Cognitive Behavioural Group Programme (8x90min sessions)	Clinical Psychologist	TAU	Y	PDQ; STAI; BDI-V; MCID; EPDS; FCS; ASI-R; DAS; SSS; QoM/RS	T1 (preintervention), T2 (antenatal follow up), and T3 (3 months postpartum)
Burger et al. (2019)	Netherlands	Pregnant women with moderate-severe anxiety or depression as per screening measures	Total sample 149; CBT (n=71); CAU (n=78)	Mean (SD) CBT: 33.4 (4.6), CAU: 32.1 (4.5)	10-12 weeks	RCT	Both	10-14 individual CBT sessions,	Licensed Psychologists	CAU	Y	STAI; EPDS; CBC; PBQ; BSID-III	Baseline; 24 weeks gestation; 36 weeks gestation; 6 weeks postnatal; 3,6,12,18 months postnatal
Challacombe et al. (2017)	UK	Postpartum women with a diagnosis of OCD with a baby less than 6 months old	Total Sample 34; iCBT, n=17; TAU, n=17	Mean age (iCBT 32.4 v. TAU 32.7 v. HC 34.6 years)	Not reported	RCT	Postnatal	Participants received 12 h of face-to-face individual iCBT	Qualified Clinician	TAU/ Healthy control group	Y	SCID-IV; YBOCS; OCI-R; DASS; PSSS; G-RIMS; MSES; BITQ	Baseline assessment - 6 months postpartum, follow up at 12 months postpartum
Green et al., (2020)	Australia	Participants were pregnant or up to 6 months postpartum and had an anxiety disorder with or without comorbid depression.	86 participants; CBT group (N=44); WC (N=42)	M(SD) CBT group: 32.46 (3.54) WC: 31.43 (3.66)	N/A: n=31 pregnant. n=55 within the first 6 months postpartum.	RCT	Both	Cognitive Behavioural Group Therapy	Clinical Psychologist and a Psychology trainee	WC	Y	STICA; PSWQ; PSS-14; EPDS; HAM-A; MADRS; CSQ	Baseline and 6 weeks post-intervention
Guardino et al. (2014)	USA	Women experiencing elevated levels of perceived stress or pregnancy-specific anxiety	Total Sample n = 47; Mindfulness Group (n = 24); RC (n = 23).	Mean 33.13 (SD = 4.79)	10-25 weeks (mean 17.78 weeks; SD = 5.10)	RCPT	Antenatal	6-week mindfulness class	Mindfulness Trained Instructor	RC	N	FFMQ; PSS; PSA; PRAS; STAI	Baseline, post-intervention, 6 week follow up
Hamilton et al. (2020)	UK	Pregnant women aged over 18 years following referral from health professionals with stress and underlying anxiety / depression	Total sample = 39 (intervention group = 20); (control group = 19)	Intervention 30.2 (SD 6.4) control 31 (SD 2.9)	Typically 12 weeks	Pilot study	Antenatal	16-week Cognitive Analytic Therapy (CAT) in addition to treatment as usual (TAU)	qualified CAT therapists	TAU	Y	STAI; EDPS; HADS	Baseline (12 weeks gestation), 12 weeks (24 weeks gestation), 24 weeks (36 weeks gestation), 8 weeks postpartum. Long-term follow up 11 months postpartum

Heller et al. 2020	Netherlands	Pregnant women (less than 30 weeks pregnant) with symptoms of depression or anxiety	Total sample = 159 (intervention group = 79); control group = 80)	Intervention 32.08 (SD 4.61) control 31.94 (SD 4.83)	Less than 30 weeks	RCT	Antenatal	5-week Internet-based Problem Solving Treatment	N/A	CAU	N	CES-D; HADS	Baseline, 10 weeks after baseline, 4 weeks before expected date of delivery, 6 weeks postpartum
Karamoozian and Askarizadeh (2015)	Iran	Pregnant women experiencing depression and anxiety based on screening measures	Total Sample n = 29; experimental group (n=14), control group (n=15)	Not reported	4th or 5th month of pregnancy	pretest-posttest control-group design	Antenatal	CBSM; 12 weekly sessions	Not reported	CAU	N	PRAQ; EPDS; Apgar Scale	Pre-test/Post-test, no follow up
Lillicreutz et al. (2010)	Sweden	Pregnant women with DSM-IV diagnosis of blood-and-injection phobia	Total Sample n = 146; CBT group (n=30), CAU phobia group (n=46), healthy control (n=70)	CBT group 28.5 (SD=5.03), CAU - mean age 30.5 (SD=4.09)	25-30 weeks	OT	Antenatal	Group CBT	CBT-trained Therapist and Midwife	CAU/ healthy control group	Y	BAI; EPDS	Intervention group - before/after each group session, 3 month postpartum follow up, control groups - 25/36 weeks gestation, 6-8 weeks postpartum
Loughnan, Sie et al. (2019)	Australia	Pregnant women aged over 18 years, who met criteria for a probable diagnosis of GAD and/or MDD	Total Sample n = 78; CBT (n=36); TAU (n=41)	31.61 years, SD=4.00	13-30 weeks (mean 21.66, SD=5.93)	RCT	Antenatal	Internet CBT Intervention (self-guided)	N/A	TAU	N	K-10; PHQ-9; GAD-7; WHO-QoL; MAAS; TCE Q; TSQ	baseline, post-treatment and four-week follow-up
Loughnan, Butler et al. (2019)	Australia	Women within 12 months postpartum; aged over 18 years; self-report symptoms of anxiety and/or depression above clinical threshold	Total Sample n = 120 (CBT: n=65, TAU: n=55)	32.56 years (SD=4.53)	mean infant age of 4.55 months, SD=3.05	RCT	Postnatal	Internet CBT intervention (self-guided)	N/A	TAU	N	GAD-7; PHQ-9; EPDS; K-10; MPAS; KPCC; WHO-QoL; TCE Q; TSQ	Baseline (pre-treatment); Post-treatment (1 week after the active treatment period ended), with follow-up 4 weeks post-treatment
Milgrom et al. (2011)	Australia	Women both with and without symptoms of depression, anxiety and stress were included.	Total Sample n = 143: HS (Intervention n=21; routine care n = 50); LS (Intervention n=22; routine care n = 50)	M (SD) Intervention: 31.96 (5.58); Routine Care 32.63 (5.93)	20-32 weeks; M (SD) Intervention 24.73 (3.71); Routine care; 25.10 (3.63)	RCT	Majority Antenatal with one Postnatal unit	Self-help workbook comprising nine units —weekly telephone support session.	Guided self-help; psychologist or trainee	CAU	N	EPDS; RAC; BDI; DASS; PSI	pre/post treatment, no follow up
Misri et al. (2004)	Canada	Postpartum Women scoring high on screening measures indicating symptoms of postpartum mood and anxiety disorder.	Total Sample (n=35)—paroxetine only monotherapy group (PO; N = 16) or paroxetine plus 12 sessions of CBT group (CBT; N = 19)	18-40 mean age (SD) PO: 30.81 (3.31); CBT: 29.52 (5.85)	Not reported	RCT	Postnatal	1-hour individual CBT session every week for 12 weeks plus paroxetine treatment.	Registered psychologist	Paroxetine Only Group	Y	HAM-A; HAM-D; YBOCS; CGI; EPDS;	Pre-test/Post-test, no follow up
Salehi et al. (2016)	Iran	Women in the second trimester of pregnancy with a mild to moderate anxiety level, and no history of antipsychotic medication.	Total sample: N=91 (CBT group: n=31; IL group n=30; and control group: n=30)	16-39 years (mean 26.04; SD 4.68)	13-26 weeks	quasi experimental trial	Antenatal	Group CBT	Midwife and a Psychiatrist.	CAU	N	STAI	Pre-test/Post-test, no follow up
Shahsavan et al. (2020)	Iran	Pregnant women aged 18-35 (30 week gestation) with high levels of childbirth fear (score ≥ 85 Wijma Delivery Expectancy/Experience Questionnaire W-DEQ-A) with medium levels of depression, anxiety and stress (DASS-42)	Total sample = 102 (intervention group = 51); (Control group = 51)	Intervention 28.10 (SD 5.20) Control 28.69 (SD 5.31)	30 weeks	quasi experimental study	Antenatal	8 weeks I-CBT	N/A	CAU	N	W-DEQ-A; DASS	pre-test/post-test, no follow up
Shulman et al. (2018)	Canada	Postpartum women who met DSM-V criteria for either MDD, GAD, or both, in the first twelve months post-childbirth	Total Sample n = 30; MBCT group (n = 14); TAU group (n = 16).	27-42 years; Mean age (SD): MBCT group 36.71 (SD = 4.29);	Not reported	non-equivalent control group quasi-experiment	Postnatal	8-week group MBCT	Psychiatrist and a Clinical Counsellor	TAU	Y	PHQ-9; GAD-7; Mindful Attention Awareness Scale (MAAS);	baseline, 4, 6, 8 weeks, 3 months

				TAU 34.31 (SD=3.44)		tal design							
Yang et al. (2019)	China	Pregnant women with elevated depressive or anxious symptoms	Total sample n = 123 (intervention group N=62; control group N=61)	24-30; Mean (SD) Intervention group 31.31 (4.97); control group 30.38 (3.91)	Mean (SD) in weeks Intervention group 25.52 (1.84); control group 26.33 (3.45)	RCT	Antenatal	8-week mindfulness online intervention program	Online intervention	CAU	N	FFMQ; PHQ-9; GAD-7	Pre-test/Post-test, no follow up
Yazdanimehr et al. (2016)	Iran	Pregnant women (1-6 month gestation) scoring >13 on EDS and >16 on BAI	Total sample = 80 (intervention group = 40; control group = 40)	Intervention group 26 (SD 5.8) Control 26.7 (SD 4.5)	Intervention 15 (SD 1.1) Control 15 (SD 1.2)	RCT	Antenatal	8 sessions Mindfulness-integrated CBT	MSc in Clinical psychology trained and under supervision of a PhD in clinical psychology	CAU	N	EPDS; BAI	pre-test/Post-test, 1 month follow up
Zemestani and Fazeli Nikoo (2019)	Iran	Pregnant women (1-6 month gestation) aged over 18, meeting DSM-5 criteria for depression and anxiety disorders	Total Sample (n = 38); MBCT group (n = 19); control group (n = 19).	M (SD) MBCT 28.63 (3.02); Control 30.54 (4.15)	M (SD) MBCT Group 18.27 (6.71); Control Group 16.85 (5.62)	RCT	Antenatal	8-week MBCT group	Clinical Psychologist	No intervention	Y	BDI-II; BAI; ERQ; SPW	Pre-test/Post-test, 1 month follow up

Note: RCT = Randomised Control Trial, RCPT = Randomised Control Pilot Trial, OT = Open Trial, DSM-V = Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: Fifth Edition, CAU = Care as usual, TAU = Treatment as usual, WC = Waitlist control, RC = Reading Control, MDD = Major depressive disorder, OCD = Obsessive Compulsive Disorder, GAD = Generalised Anxiety Disorder, CBSM = Cognitive-behavioural stress management, CBT = Cognitive Behavioural Therapy, MBCT = Mindfulness Based Cognitive Therapy, IL = Interactive Lectures, iCBT = time-intensive cognitive-behaviour therapy, HS = High screening scores, LS = Low screening scores;

Outcome Measures: Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI; Spielberger, et al., 1970); The Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-14; Cohen et al., 1983) Prenatal Distress Questionnaire (PDQ; Alderdice and Lynn, 2011); Beck Depression Inventory-short form (BDI-V; Schmitt et al., 2003); Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II; Beck et al., 1996); Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI; Beck et al., 1988); Munich-Composite International Diagnostic Interview (MCIDI; Wittchen et al., 1998); Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS; Cox et al., 1987); Fear of childbirth scale (FCS; Lukesch, 1983); Anxiety Sensitivity Index -revised version (ASI-R; Reiss et al., 1986); Dysfunctional Attitudes Scale (DAS; Weissman and Beck, 1978); Social Support Scale (SSS; Fydrich et al., 2007); Quality of a Marriage or Intimate Relationship Scale (QoM/IRS; Hahlweg, 1996); Child Behaviour Checklist (CBC; Achenbach and Rescorla, 2000); Postpartum Bonding Questionnaire (PBQ; Brockington et al., 2006); Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development, Third Edition (BSID-III; Bayley, 2006); Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID-IV; First et al., 1995); Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (YBOCS; Goodman et al., 1989); Obsessive Compulsive Inventory-Revised (OCI-R; Foa et al., 1998); Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS; Lovibond and Lovibond, 1995); Perceived Social Support Scale (PSSS; Marshall and Barnett, 1993); Golombok-Rust Inventory of Marital Satisfaction (G-RIMS; Rust et al., 2007); Maternal Self-Efficacy Scale (MSES; Pedersen et al. 1989); Bates Infant Temperament Questionnaire (ITQ; Bates et al., 1979); Five Factor Mindfulness Questionnaire (FFMQ; Baer et al., 2006); Pregnancy-Specific Anxiety Scale (PSA; Roesch et al., 2004); Pregnancy Related Anxiety Scale (PRAS; Rini et al., 1999). Pregnancy-Related Anxiety Questionnaire (PRAQ; Vandenberg, 1989); Kessler-10 psychological distress scale (K-10; Kessler et al., 2002); Patient Health Questionnaire 9-item scale (PHQ-9; Kroenke et al., 2001); Generalised Anxiety Disorder 7-item scale (GAD-7; Spitzer et al., 2006); World Health Organisation Quality of Life scale (WHO-QoL; Skevington, 2004); Maternal Antenatal Attachment Scale (MAAS; Condon, 1993); Treatment Credibility and Expectancy Questionnaire (TCEQ; (Devilly and Borkovec, 2000); Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire (TSQ; Cox et al., 1994); The Maternal Postnatal Attachment Scale (MPAS; Condon, 1993); The Karitane Parenting Confidence Scale (KPCS; Črnčec et al., 2008); The Risk Assessment Checklist (RAC; Murphy, 2009); Parenting Stress Index (PSI; Abidin, 1995); Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HAM-D; Hamilton, 1967); the Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAM-A; Hamilton, 1959); Clinical Global Impressions scale (CGI; Petkova et al., 2000); Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (ERQ; Gross and John, 2003); Scales of Psychological Well-being

(SPWB; Ryff, 1989); State-Trait Inventory for Cognitive and Somatic Anxiety, Trait Version (STICSA; Grös et al., 2007); The Penn State Worry Questionnaire (PSWQ; Meyer et al., 1990); Montgomery–Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS; Montgomery and Asberg, 1979); The Client Satisfaction Questionnaire (CSQ; Larsen et al., 1979); Mindful Attention Awareness Scale (MASS; Brown and Ryan, 2003) The Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression (CES-D; Radloff, 1977); Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS; Zigmond and Snaith, 1983); Wijma Delivery Expectancy/Experience Questionnaire (W-DEQ-A; Wijma et al., 1998).

Table 2: Results of the meta-analytic modelling of the effect of treatment on perinatal anxiety

Analysis (k)	Effect size (d) (95% CI)	Significance (p)	I² (%)
Anxiety Scores Pre/Post	-0.89 (-1.35; -0.43)	0.002	93.3
<i>Anxiety Measures Subgroup</i>			
<i>STAI (k=5)</i>	-0.19 (-0.60; 0.22)	N.S	68.9
GAD-7 (k=3)	-0.74 (-0.98; -0.48)	<.05	3.1
<i>BAI (k=3)</i>	-1.48 (-3.56; 0.59)	N.S	96.8
PRAQ (k=2)	-1.69 (-2.15; -1.23)	<.05	0.0
Other (k=4)	-1.13 (-2.61; 0.35)	N.S	97.1
<i>Type of Intervention Subgroup</i>			
Group (k=10)	-0.94 (-1.49; -0.38)	<.05	90.5
<i>Self-guided (k=4)</i>	-1.27 (-2.64; 0.11)	N.S	97.1
<i>Individual (k=3)</i>	-0.0007 (-0.394; 0.393)	N.S	34.1
<i>Mode of Delivery Subgroup</i>			
Face to face (k=12)	-0.74 (-1.26; -0.23)	<.05	90.7
Online (k=5)	-1.18 (-2.18; -0.17)	<.05	96.1
<i>Therapeutic Modality Subgroup</i>			
CBT (k=14)	-0.80 (-1.31; -0.29)	<.05	93.1
<i>MBI (k=3)</i>	-1.28 (-2.78; 0.22)	N.S.	94.6
Antenatal Treatment Only (k=13)	-1.09 (-1.71; -0.47)	0.0005	94.1
Effect of anxiety treatment on Depression scores (k=15)	-0.97 (-1.49; -0.45)	0.0002	93.5

Note: negative effect = reduction in anxiety, favouring treatment group

Table 3: Consensus ratings between first and second reviewer on the RoB2

*Note: Studies excluded from meta-analysis

Study	Domain 1: randomisation process	Domain 2a: deviations from intended interventions (effect of assignment to intervention)	Domain 2b: deviations from intended interventions (effect of adhering to intervention)	Domain 3: Missing outcome data	Domain 4: measurement of outcome	Domain 5: selection of reported results	Overall Risk of Bias
*Bastani et al. (2006)	Low	Some concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Some concerns
Bazrafshan et al. (2020)	Low	Some concerns	Low	Low	Some concerns	Low	Some concerns
Bittner et al. (2014)	Some concerns	High	Low	Low	Low	Low	High
Burger et al. (2019)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
*Challacombe et al. (2017)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Green et al. (2020)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Some concerns	Low	Some concerns
Guardino et al. (2014)	Low	Some concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Some concerns
Hamilton et al. (2020)	Low	Some concerns	Low	Low	Some concerns	Low	Some concerns
Heller et al. (2020)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Some concerns	Low	Some concerns
Karamoozian et al. (2015)	Low	Some concerns	High	High	Low	Some concerns	High
Lilliecreutz et al. (2010)	Some concerns	Some concerns	High	Low	Low	Low	High
Loughnan, Sie et al. (2019)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Loughnan, Butler et al. (2019)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
*Milgrom et al. (2011)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Misri et al. (2004)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Salehi et al. (2016)	Low	Some concerns	Low	Some concerns	Low	Low	Some concerns
Shahsavan et al. (2021)	Some concerns	Some concerns	Low	Some concerns	Some concerns	Low	High
*Shulman et al. (2018)	Some concerns	Some concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Some concerns
Yang et al. (2019)	Low	Low	High	Low	Low	Low	High
Yazdanimehr et al. (2016)	Low	Some concerns	Low	Low	Some concerns	Low	Some concerns
Zemestani and Fazeli Nikoo (2019)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low