

## Title page.

Full title: COVID-19 disease progression according to initial symptoms. A telemedicine cohort study.

Short title: COVID-19 and initial symptoms

<sup>1</sup>Karla Murillo-Villanueva

<sup>2</sup>Blanca Velázquez-Hernández

<sup>2</sup>José A. Jácome-Mondragón

<sup>2</sup>Judit J. Cervantes-Llamas

<sup>1</sup>Juan O. Talavera

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Education and Research, ABC Medical Center, Mexico City, CDMX, Mexico. Centro de Investigación en Ciencias de la Salud Anáhuac (CICSA), Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad Anáhuac México, Huixquilucan, Estado de México, México

<sup>2</sup>Department of Inclusive health and education, ABC Medical Center, Mexico City, CDMX, Mexico.

Corresponding author: Juan O. Talavera email: [jotalaverap@abchospital.com](mailto:jotalaverap@abchospital.com)

## **Abstract**

### **Objective**

COVID-19 progression to severe or critical illness may be related to initial clinical presentation. Main objective was to identify initial symptoms related to highest risk of disease progression, in mild or moderate suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patients or in asymptomatic subjects in contact with a recently diagnosed patient.

### **Design and methods**

Historic cohort study of Mexican patients with suspected or confirmed mild or moderate COVID-19 or asymptomatic subjects in recent contact with positive patients. They sought medical attention in “Centro Médico ABC” or claimed for remote attention, and daily telemedicine follow up until recovery or illness progression, from April 17th to October 08th 2020. Data excerpted for analysis were sex, age, body mass index, comorbidities, and signs, and symptoms presented in first day of disease manifestations and during follow up. We used logistic regression to identify initial symptoms associated with progression disease and through a conjunctive consolidation analysis a symptom index was created.

### **Results**

120 of 1635 patients (7.2%) had clinical progression disease. By logistic regression we found as initial symptoms related to progression: fever OR 3 (1.89-4.77,  $p<0.001$ ), cough OR 2.34 (1.56-3.52,  $p<0.001$ ), myalgias or arthralgias OR 1.69 (1.09-2.63,  $p=0.018$ ), and fatigue OR 1.65 (1.08-2.53,  $p=0.019$ ). Conjunctive consolidation was processed with the previous symptoms, and a 3 groups score resulted C-19PAIS Index: 1) Fever with cough or fever with fatigue, with a probability of progression disease of 29% (31/106 patients), 2) Fever or cough or fatigue or cough with fatigue, 10.7% (66/615 patients) and 3) No fever, no cough, no fatigue, 2% (23/914).

### **Conclusions**

Initial symptoms predict clinical progression in COVID-19 patients.

## **Introduction**

The new SARS CoV-2 (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2), following the onset in 2019 (1) of multiple cases of pneumonia of unknown etiology in Hubei Province, China, originated the coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) pandemic(2).

COVID-19 may present as asymptomatic, mild, moderate, severe, or critical disease, with higher mortality in severe and critical cases. Most patients with COVID-19 initiate as mild or moderate disease(3-5), among them between 3% to 20% (5-7) progress to severe and critical disease.

Risk factors for developing severe or critical disease are male gender, older, presenting comorbidities, being overweight or obese. Also, presenting abnormal radiological manifestations and a short or long period between onset and progression of symptoms is associated with a worst prognosis (3, 7-9).

The pandemic provoked a change in current medicine, increasing the demand for telemedicine to avoid exposure in hospitals to COVID-19 patients. It included general disease patients, as well as COVID-19 suspected mild or moderate disease patients or subjects in recent contact with positive COVID-19 patients (10). In view of this situation, it is of the most importance to identify which diseased patients or individuals under exposure may present the highest risk of clinical disease progression.

The aim of this study is to determine which onset symptoms among mild and moderated diagnosed or suspected COVID-19 patients or subjects in recent contact with positive COVID-19 patients represent the highest risk of disease progression.

## **Materials and methods**

### **Study design and data source**

This is a historic cohort study using data collected from a telemedicine program available to Mexican patients in response to the emerging pandemic. This program provides remote assessment by general practitioners and specialists to patients at home. Patients with confirmed or suspected COVID-19 diagnosis or asymptomatic in recent contact with positive COVID-19 patients, who sought medical attention in “Centro Médico ABC (private and community health service)”, or claimed for remote attention, which were send or kept at home for daily telemedicine follow up until recovery for a media of 14 days or illness progression; in such cases patients were referred to hospital evaluation.

Initial evaluation at hospital or self-assessed data at home, such as initial symptoms or signs, date of onset, SpO<sub>2</sub> (when available) and body temperature

(when available) and follow up data obtained by interview were recorded in the telemedicine program records of COVID-19 patients of the Centro Medico American British Cowdray, in Mexico City. The data in this article are from April 17<sup>th</sup> 2020 to October 08<sup>th</sup> 2020. The Institutional Review Board of CMABC approved this study (Protocol approval ABC-20-93).

### **Variable definition**

We included suspected clinical COVID-19 subjects as it was determined by government health ministry's operational definition, as a person presenting two of the following manifestations: cough, fever or headache accompanied by at least one of dyspnea, arthralgias, myalgias, odynophagia, rhinorrhea, conjunctivitis, or chest pain. This definition changed during the study period, as presenting at least one of these: headache, fever, cough, or dyspnea adding any of myalgia, arthralgia, odynophagia, chills, chest pain, rhinorrhea, anosmia, dysgeusia or conjunctivitis. Also were included any asymptomatic person with recent contact with COVID-19 suspected or positive patients. All of them with an age >18 years, not pregnant, with an oxygen saturation measurement  $\geq 90\%$  when evaluated at hospital, and in those patients at home that referred dyspnea an evaluation of oxygen saturation measurement  $\geq 90\%$  was necessary.

Given that the government exhorted patients to self-isolate unless they presented serious symptoms (dyspnea or persistent fever), many of them did not look for hospital attention and chose to stay at home, consequently their follow-up took place at their home and did not have a PCR study.

Initial clinical symptoms and signs evaluated according to the first day of presentation, referred by patients were: headache, fever, cough, dyspnea, myalgia or arthralgia, odynophagia, fatigue or general malaise, rhinorrhea, anosmia or dysgeusia and diarrhea, and measured at hospital or home when available: body temperature and SpO<sub>2</sub>%.

Other evaluated parameters were demographic characteristics such as age, gender, body weight and height (referred by the patient), comorbidities like diabetes, hypertension, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma, chronic kidney diseases, tobacco use, immunocompromised. RT-PCR testing for SARS-CoV-2 reported as positive, negative, or not tested.

Patients that during the follow up referred increase in dyspnea or prolonged fever, general malaise, or cough, were send to medical evaluation at hospital, and disease progression diagnoses was established by meeting the two following criteria: a) oxygen saturation less than 90% in room air(11), and b) shortness of breath or pneumonia (12).

### **Data analysis: Coding and substitution of variables**

Age was coded in two groups,  $>$  or  $\leq$  60 years old; weight and height were converted into body mass index (BMI), coded as healthy  $<25$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>, overweight as 25.0-29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, and obese  $\geq$  30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Temperature was registered in °C and coded into two groups,  $\geq$  or  $<38^{\circ}\text{C}$ , SpO<sub>2</sub> in % by pulsioximeter (self-reported when available or data recollected from medical evaluation) and coded as  $\geq$  or  $<$  94%. Other signs and all symptoms, and comorbidities were coded as present or absent. Finally, PCR SARS CoV-2 test result was coded as positive, negative, or not tested.

Time of progression was the period of days among onset of initial symptoms and the presence of aggravation.

Missing data was substituted using the median for age (34 patients, 2.1%). And a missing stratum was included for the following variables just to keep them in the multivariable analysis, body mass index (missing 702, 42.9%), temperature (missing 618, 37.8%), and SpO<sub>2</sub> (missing 729, 44.6%).

### **Sample size calculation**

The sample size calculation was based on the requirements for a multivariable model (events per variable, EPV); considering that 6.2% was estimated to present the outcome of clinical progression (13), and following the assumption of 10-20 events per variable (14), and that the study has 8 parameters (symptoms), we calculated a minimum required sample size of 1324 patients, expecting 80 patients with progressive illness; and a maximum of 2648.

### **Statistical analysis**

Demographic characteristics, comorbid conditions, initial symptoms, and signs were compared against outcome (progression VS no progression), in a bivariate analysis by a Chi-square test.

Then a multivariable model contrasting significant initial symptoms against outcome was carried out in 5 steps in order to adjust for different group variables: step1) Only symptoms, step2) step 1 variables plus age and gender, step3) step2 variables plus comorbidities (overweight, obesity, hypertension, diabetes, and tobacco use). In step4 SpO<sub>2</sub> was added and then in step5 PCR testing result.

Each logistic regression model is presented with its Odds Ratio (OR), 95% Confidence Interval (CI95%), and its "p value".

Finally, those symptoms that maintained statistical significance through multivariate analysis were consolidated (15, 16), and a Progression According to Initial Symptom Index (C-19PAIS Index) was created, its different strata were contrasted against age and comorbidities.

Statistical significance was set at  $p < 0.05$  and performed with SPSS version 27.0

## Results

The study included 1635 patients. Women were 60.1%, median age of 36 years (percentile (pc) 25-75 was 29-47), 91.4% were younger than 60 years old. Of the 933 that registered body weight and height, the median BMI was  $26.1 \text{ kg/m}^2$  (pc25-75, 23.5-29.7), 39.8% were healthy, 36.9% with overweight and 23.4 obese. The most common comorbidity was hypertension in 9.7%, followed by tobacco use in 7.5%, and diabetes mellitus in 5%.

The most frequent initial symptom was headache in 42.3%, followed by myalgia or arthralgias (33.6%), sore throat (33.3%), fatigue (25.7%), cough (22.3%), rhinorrhea (17.1%), diarrhea (12.7%), anosmia or dysgeusia (11.6%), fever (10.6%), dyspnea or chest pain (7.6%). The initial temperature recorded was available in 1017 patients with a median of  $36.5^\circ \text{C}$  (pc15-75,  $36.0\text{-}37.5^\circ \text{C}$ ),  $\leq 37.4^\circ \text{C}$  in 74%, between  $37.5\text{-}37.9^\circ \text{C}$  in 8.8%, and  $\geq 38.0^\circ \text{C}$  in 17.2%. Patients with initial oxygen saturation were 802, with a median SpO<sub>2</sub> of 95% (pc25-75, 94-96%), of this, 77.3% had  $\geq 94\%$ ; 906 had a PCR for SARS CoV 2 test available; 54.7% positive result. Characteristics of all patients are summarized in Table 1.

Table 2A shows the differences of demographic and comorbidity of patients with progression against (vs) No-progression (stable) disease. Progression disease occurred in 120 patients (7.3%), the median time was 7 days (pct 25-75, 5-9). Progression disease occurs in 9.4% male vs 6% of women, with a median age 44 (34-54.5) vs 36 (28-46) in no-progression disease. Also, among progression disease BMI was  $< 25$  in 5.1%, 25-29.9 in 8.7% and  $\geq 30$  in 14.7%, and the presence vs absent were for: hypertension 13.3% vs 6.7%, diabetes mellitus 17.3% vs 6.8%, immunosuppression 31.1% vs 7.1%, and chronic kidney disease 60% vs 7.2%. All of them with a p value  $< 0.05$ .

Table 2B shows initial symptoms association with progression disease. The most relevant symptoms associated with progression disease according with its difference between present vs absent were: 1) fever with a gap of 16.2% (21.8 vs 5.6%), followed by 2) cough 9.6% (14.8% vs 5.2%), 3) fatigue/general malaise 7.5% (12.9 vs 5.4%), 4) myalgias/arthralgias 7.3 (12.2% vs 4.9%), and 5) headache 4.1 (5.6% vs 9.7%). And among signs: temperature  $\geq 38.0^\circ \text{C}$  in 21.8%, between  $37.5\text{-}37.9$  in 16.7% and  $\leq 37.4$  in 7%, SpO<sub>2</sub>  $\leq 93\%$  in 23.1%, and  $\geq 94\%$  in 7.6%. All of them with a  $p \leq 0.001$ .

Table 3 shows Odds Ratio (OR) and its 95% confidence interval (95%CI) of the different symptoms for clinical deterioration unadjusted (bivariate analysis) and adjusted through a multivariate analysis in 5 steps model. Initially in the bivariate analysis the 5 symptoms resulted statistically significant: Fever OR 4.69 (95%

3.07-7.17,  $p < 0.001$ ), cough OR 3.16 (2.16-4.63,  $p < 0.001$ ), myalgias/artralgias OR 2.7 (1.86-3.94,  $p < 0.001$ ), fatigue OR 2.56 (1.75-3.75,  $p < 0.001$ ), and headache OR 1.8 (1.23-2.61,  $p = 0.002$ ). Once they were adjusted among them and by demographic characteristics and comorbidity only four of them persisted statistically significance (Model 3): Fever OR 3 (1.89-4.77,  $p < 0.001$ ), cough OR 2.34 (1.56-3.52,  $p < 0.001$ ), myalgias / artralgias OR 1.69 (1.09-2.63,  $p = 0.018$ ), and fatigue OR 1.65 (1.08-2.53,  $p = 0.019$ ).

Conjunctive consolidation analysis is shown in table 4 (process in supplementary table 1 and 2). Initially, because fever and cough had the highest OR were consolidated in the first step, then fatigue was added considering its higher gap, and a 3 groups score resulted, COVID-19 Progression According to Initial Symptom Index (C-19PAIS Index): 1) Fever with cough or fever with fatigue, with a probability of progression of 29% (31/106 patients), 2) Fever or cough or fatigue, or cough with fatigue, 10.7% (66/615 patients) and 3) No fever, no cough, no fatigue, 2% (23/914). Adding myalgias or artralgias, only impacts on low-risk group, while presenting it the probability of progression increases from 2% to 7%, meanwhile the absence of it, decreases the probability to 1.3%.

Progression According to Initial Symptom Index (C-19PAIS Index) was contrasted against previously described prognostic risk factors in order to show its impact (Table 5): Among C-19PAIS Index, patients with high-risk suffer a great increment of progression when they are older ( $< 60$  years = 24% vs  $\geq 60$  years = 75%), and when they are obese (BMI  $< 25$  = 22%, 25-29.9 = 32%,  $\geq 30$  = 36%), diabetic (no = 28% vs yes = 40%), and tobacco use (no = 27% vs yes = 45%). Finally, when SpO<sub>2</sub> measure is possible, lower values inclusive above 90%, represent an increased risk (SpO<sub>2</sub>  $\geq 94\%$  = 29% vs  $> 90$  to  $\leq 93\%$  = 50%).

## Discussion

This study reported a progression to severe disease of 7.2% among patients with suspected or confirmed mild or moderate COVID-19 and asymptomatic person with recent contact with COVID-19 suspected or positive patients. Previous studies reported progression of 4.8%, 7.1%, and 19.2% (17-19), being up to 55% in moderate cases (20).

C-19PAIS Index can identify a group of patients with higher probability of progression to severe or critical disease by evaluating initial symptoms creating three different groups of patients: high-risk 29% (31/106 patients), medium-risk 10.7% (66/615 patients), and low-risk 2% (23/914).

Initial clinical presentation of disease with fever, cough, general malaise, and body aches, may be related to increase in inflammatory pathways. The immune response, after viral spike glycoprotein of SARS CoV-2 binds and merges through the cell membrane protein angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) of epithelial

cells in the respiratory system(21), evoking innate immune system and activation of downstream cascades molecules and cytokines production, promoting cytokine storm, that is related to disease severity(22).

In other published studies, fever is less frequent in mild to moderate cases than severe or critical(23-25), and body temperature over 37.5°C (13), is associated with higher risk of disease progression, as well as risk factor for severe, critical COVID-19, mechanical ventilation, and mortality (6, 13, 26-28). Higher levels of IL-1 $\beta$  and IL-6 were identified in patients with body temperature  $\geq$ 38.0°C (29), therefore fever as initial symptom may be related to earlier cytokine storm by means of increase in inflammatory pathways(30, 31).

Cough when evaluated as present or absent during course of disease, had demonstrated no difference in severity, being of the most frequently reported symptoms (20, 32, 33), however, as initial symptom was present in 48.2% of hospitalized patients that require supplementary oxygen (34).

Fatigue, myalgias and arthralgias, have been identified as symptoms related to COVID-19 progression (4), as well as other symptoms not evaluated in this study, for instance chills (11) with and increased risk for progression to severe disease, or sputum, which represented a risk factor for patients with mild or moderate disease to high flow oxygen therapy and mechanical ventilation (15). Headache, despite being more frequent in the progression group, is not a risk factor for clinical aggravation, as established previously (4).

As mentioned before, systemic symptoms and feverish patients predict clinical deterioration (25), therefore, it is not a surprise, that clinical initial symptoms resulted useful to detect those patients who would present disease progression.

Demographic and clinical characteristics of high impact for severe disease like male, older age, obesity, and comorbidities had been reported as risk factors (3, 6, 7, 26, 35-37). In our study, being male, as shown in previous studies resulted associated with increased risk progression in bivariate analysis, however, when adjusted for age and symptoms, had no impact; it could be the result of higher age among men or that clinical symptoms identify in a better way those men that will progress.

It is also important to highlight an increased risk at the interior of high-risk strata of C-19PAIS Index in older patients (75% vs 24%) and some comorbidities like obesity (36% vs 22%), diabetes mellitus (40% vs 28%), hypertension (sum of medium and high-risk group, 23% vs 12.2%), and tobacco use (45% vs 27%).

Blood tests, like white blood cell count, lymphocyte count, C reactive protein, D dimer, ferritin, and IL-6 (6, 36, 38), a positive SARS CoV2 PCR (9, 36) and radiology manifestation (7, 13, 39-41) are some paraclinical studies which may predict aggravation and illness severity, however, all of them require people going out for medical service while in asymptomatic people with positive exposition, and



symptomatic with mild or moderate disease this strategy of follow up through symptoms at home results attractive and efficient. Patients with C-19PAIS Index in high-risk should be evaluated in a close manner through symptomatology -twice a day-, and in cases of being older, or with obesity, diabetes, hypertension, or tobacco, paraclinical tests like SpO<sub>2</sub>% by pulsioximeter may be added. Those in middle-risk group should be monitored daily, and low-risk group, due to its low probability of progression, may be monitored every two days. In all risk-group patients, must be instructed about alarm symptoms and referred immediately to hospital evaluation when these were present.

This project has several strengths, but it should be noted that the main one is that it reflects a real option in homecare for cases in which hospital or office-level care is exceeding or involves a risk. Of course, it can only be considered in diseases where there are low-risk groups and a type of information that can be collected through a telephone interview with a patient or caregiver capable of responding.

This study has limitations. Clinical interview was realized by many doctors with different training (general practitioners, internists, and otorhinolaryngologists), although, all of them received a standardized training to participate in the program.

C-19PAIS Index may help discern those with low from high risk of progression, identifying those who require more intensive monitoring and extension tests, anticipate, and prevent adverse outcomes.

## References

1. Coronaviridae Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. The species Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: classifying 2019-nCoV and naming it SARS-CoV-2. *Nat Microbiol.* 2020 Apr;5(4):536-44.
2. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, et al. Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus–Infected Pneumonia. *New England Journal of Medicine.* 2020 March 26,;382(13):1199-207.
3. Li X, Xu S, Yu M, Wang K, Tao Y, Zhou Y, et al. Risk factors for severity and mortality in adult COVID-19 inpatients in Wuhan. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology.* 2020 /07/01;146(1):110-8.
4. Liu J, Zhang S, Wu Z, Shang Y, Dong X, Li G, et al. Clinical outcomes of COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a large cohort study. *Ann Intensive Care.* 2020 Jul 31;10(1):99-3.

5. Liu J, Liu Z, Jiang W, Wang J, Zhu M, Song J, et al. Clinical predictors of COVID-19 disease progression and death: Analysis of 214 hospitalised patients from Wuhan, China. *Clin Respir J*. 2021 Mar;15(3):293-309.
6. Liao Y, Feng Y, Wang B, Wang H, Huang J, Wu Y, et al. Clinical characteristics and prognostic factors of COVID-19 patients progression to severe: a retrospective, observational study. *Aging (Albany NY)*. 2020 Oct 14;12(19):18853-65.
7. Wang F, Qu M, Zhou X, Zhao K, Lai C, Tang Q, et al. The timeline and risk factors of clinical progression of COVID-19 in Shenzhen, China. *J Transl Med*. 2020 -7-3;18.
8. Chen L, Liu S, Tian J, Pan H, Liu Y, Hu J, et al. Disease progression patterns and risk factors associated with mortality in deceased patients with COVID-19 in Hubei Province, China. *Immunity, Inflammation and Disease*;n/a(n/a).
9. Morgenstern-Kaplan D, Buitano-Tang B, Martínez-Gil M, Zaldívar-Pérez Pavón A, Talavera JO. U-shaped-aggressiveness of SARS-CoV-2: Period between initial symptoms and clinical progression to COVID-19 suspicion. A population-based cohort study. *PLoS One*. 2020 Dec 3;15(12):e0243268.
10. C K, R L. Addressing Equity in Telemedicine for Chronic Disease Management During the Covid-19 Pandemic. *NEJM Catalyst Innovations in Care Delivery*. 2020 -05-04.
11. World HO. Clinical management of severe acute respiratory infection when novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infection is suspected: interim guidance, 28 January 2020. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020.
12. Lenze EJ, Mattar C, Zorumski CF, Stevens A, Schweiger J, Nicol GE, et al. Flvoxamine vs Placebo and Clinical Deterioration in Outpatients With Symptomatic COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2020 Dec 8;324(22):2292-300.
13. Chang MC, Park YK, Kim BO, Park D. Risk factors for disease progression in COVID-19 patients. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2020 Jun 23;20(1):445-x.
14. Peduzzi P, Concato J, Kemper E, Holford TR, Feinstein AR. A simulation study of the number of events per variable in logistic regression analysis. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1996 Dec;49(12):1373-9.
15. Feinstein AR. *Multivariable Analysis: An Introduction*. Yale University Press; 1996.

16. Talavera JO, Wachter NH, Laredo F, López A, Martínez V, González J, et al. A rating system for prompt clinical diagnosis of ischemic stroke. *Arch Med Res*. 2000;31(6):576-84.
17. Li Q, Zhang J, Ling Y, Li W, Zhang X, Lu H, et al. A simple algorithm helps early identification of SARS-CoV-2 infection patients with severe progression tendency. *Infection*. 2020 Aug;48(4):577-84.
18. Xiao LS, Zhang WF, Gong MC, Zhang YP, Chen LY, Zhu HB, et al. Development and validation of the HNC-LL score for predicting the severity of coronavirus disease 2019. *EBioMedicine*. 2020 Jul;57:102880.
19. Ji D, Zhang D, Xu J, Chen Z, Yang T, Zhao P, et al. Prediction for Progression Risk in Patients With COVID-19 Pneumonia: The CALL Score. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2020 Sep 12;71(6):1393-9.
20. Cheng B, Hu J, Zuo X, Chen J, Li X, Chen Y, et al. Predictors of progression from moderate to severe coronavirus disease 2019: a retrospective cohort. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2020 Oct;26(10):1400-5.
21. Fu Y, Cheng Y, Wu Y. Understanding SARS-CoV-2-Mediated Inflammatory Responses: From Mechanisms to Potential Therapeutic Tools. *Viol Sin*. 2020 Jun;35(3):266-71.
22. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet*. 2020 Feb 15;395(10223):497-506.
23. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA*. 2020 -3-17;323(11):1061-9.
24. Zhou Y, He Y, Yang H, Yu H, Wang T, Chen Z, et al. Development and validation a nomogram for predicting the risk of severe COVID-19: A multi-center study in Sichuan, China. *PLoS One*. 2020 May 18;15(5):e0233328.
25. Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and Important Lessons From the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in China: Summary of a Report of 72 314 Cases From the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. *JAMA*. 2020 Apr 7;323(13):1239-42.
26. Huang J, Cheng A, Lin S, Zhu Y, Chen G. Individualized prediction nomograms for disease progression in mild COVID-19. *J Med Virol*. 2020 Oct;92(10):2074-80.

27. Feng Z, Li J, Yao S, Yu Q, Zhou W, Mao X, et al. The Use of Adjuvant Therapy in Preventing Progression to Severe Pneumonia in Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019: A Multicenter Data Analysis. medRxiv. 2020:2020.04.08.20057539.
28. Ioannou GN, Locke E, Green P, Berry K, O'Hare AM, Shah JA, et al. Risk Factors for Hospitalization, Mechanical Ventilation, or Death Among 10 131 US Veterans With SARS-CoV-2 Infection. JAMA Netw Open. 2020 Sep 1;3(9):e2022310.
29. Del Valle DM, Kim-Schulze S, Huang HH, Beckmann ND, Nirenberg S, Wang B, et al. An inflammatory cytokine signature predicts COVID-19 severity and survival. Nat Med. 2020 Oct;26(10):1636-43.
30. Hu B, Huang S, Yin L. The cytokine storm and COVID-19. J Med Virol. 2021 Jan;93(1):250-6.
31. Choudhary S, Sharma K, Silakari O. The interplay between inflammatory pathways and COVID-19: A critical review on pathogenesis and therapeutic options. Microb Pathog. 2021 Jan;150:104673.
32. Ek S, Ld Z, Kn A, Ep M, Km R, S EBF, et al. Coronavirus Disease 2019 Case Surveillance - United States, January 22-May 30, 2020. MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report. 2020 06/19;69(24).
33. Lechien JR, Chiesa-Estomba CM, Place S, Laethem YV, Cabaraux P, Mat Q, et al. Clinical and Epidemiological Characteristics of 1,420 European Patients with mild to moderate Coronavirus Disease 2019. Journal of Internal Medicine.
34. Liu K, Fang YY, Deng Y, Liu W, Wang MF, Ma JP, et al. Clinical characteristics of novel coronavirus cases in tertiary hospitals in Hubei Province. Chin Med J (Engl). 2020 May 5;133(9):1025-31.
35. Long L, Zeng X, Zhang X, Xiao W, Guo E, Zhan W, et al. Short-term outcomes of COVID-19 and risk factors for progression. Eur Respir J. 2020 May 27;55(5):2000990. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00990,2020. Print 2020 May.
36. Francis NA, Stuart B, Knight M, Vancheeswaran R, Oliver C, Willcox M, et al. Predictors of clinical deterioration in patients with suspected COVID-19 managed in a 'virtual hospital' setting: a cohort study. BMJ Open. 2021 Mar 23;11(3):e045356-045356.
37. Dai M, Liu D, Liu M, Zhou F, Li G, Chen Z, et al. Patients with Cancer Appear More Vulnerable to SARS-CoV-2: A Multicenter Study during the COVID-19 Outbreak. Cancer Discovery. 2020 June;10(6):783.

38. Xiong Y, Sun D, Liu Y, Fan Y, Zhao L, Li X, et al. Clinical and High-Resolution CT Features of the COVID-19 Infection: Comparison of the Initial and Follow-up Changes. *Invest Radiol.* 2020 Jun;55(6):332-9.
39. Wong HYF, Lam HYS, Fong AH, Leung ST, Chin TW, Lo CSY, et al. Frequency and Distribution of Chest Radiographic Findings in Patients Positive for COVID-19. *Radiology.* 2020 March 27,;296(2):E72-8.
40. Bai HX, Hsieh B, Xiong Z, Halsey K, Choi JW, Tran TML, et al. Performance of Radiologists in Differentiating COVID-19 from Non-COVID-19 Viral Pneumonia at Chest CT. *Radiology.* 2020 March 10,;296(2):E46-54.
41. Shi H, Han X, Jiang N, Cao Y, Alwalid O, Gu J, et al. Radiological findings from 81 patients with COVID-19 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2020 Apr;20(4):425-34.

**Table 1. Patient demographic characteristics and initial symptoms and signs**

Variable	N = 1635, n (%)
Female	983 (60.1)
Male	652 (39.9)
Age <sup>a</sup>	36 (29-47)
<60	1494 (91.4)
≥60	141 (8.6)
BMI <sup>a</sup>	26.1 (23.5-29.7)
<25 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	371 (39.8)
25-29.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	344 (36.9)
≥30 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	218 (23.4)
<b>Comorbidities</b>	
Hypertension	158 (9.7)
Tobacco Use	123 (7.5)
Diabetes Mellitus	81 (5)
Asthma	37 (2.3)
Immunosuppression	16 (1)
COPD	14 (0.9)
CKD	5 (0.3)
<b>Initial symptoms</b>	
Headache	692 (42.3)
Myalgias / Arthralgias	549 (33.6)
Odynophagia	544 (33.3)
Fatigue / General malaise	420 (25.7)
Cough	365 (22.3)
Rhinorrhea	279 (17.1)
Diarrhea	208 (12.7)
Anosmia / Ageusia	190 (11.6)
Fever	174 (10.6)
Dyspnea	128 (7.8)
<b>Initial Signs</b>	
Body Temperature °C <sup>a</sup>	36.5 (36– 37.5)
≤37.4	753 (74)
37,5-37,9	90 (8.8)
≥38	174 (17.2)
SpO2 % <sup>a</sup>	95 (94-96)
≥94	620 (77.3)
≤93	182 (22.7)
PCR test result	
Negative	496 (54.7)
Positive	410 (45.3)

<sup>a</sup> Data is represented in median and Interquartile Range (IQR). COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, CKD = chronic kidney disease.

**Table 2.A. Patient demographic characteristics according to disease progression**

N=1642	No progression N = 1522 (92.7)	Progression N=120 (7.3)	P value
Female <sup>a</sup>	924 (94)	59 (6)	0.011
Male	591 (90.6)	61 (9.4)	
Age <sup>b</sup>	36 (28, 46)	44 (35, 54.5)	<0.001
<60 years <sup>a</sup>	1397 (93.5)	97 (6.5)	<0.001
≥60 years	118 (86.2)	23 (16.3)	
BMI <sup>b</sup>	25.8 (23.3-29.4)	28.3 (25.1-33.1)	<0.001
<25 kg/m <sup>2c</sup>	352 (94.9)	19 (5.1)	<0.001
25.0-29.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	314 (91.3)	30 (8.7)	
≥ 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	186 (85.3)	32 (14.7)	
<b>Comorbidities</b>			
Hypertension <sup>a</sup>			0.003
No	1378 (93.3)	99 (6.7)	
Yes	137 (86.7)	21 (13.3)	
Tobacco use <sup>a</sup>			0.032
No	1407 (93.1)	105 (6.9)	
Yes	108 (87.8)	15 (12.2)	
Diabetes Mellitus <sup>a</sup>			<0.001
No	1448 (93.2)	106 (6.8)	
Yes	67 (82.7)	14 (17.3)	
Asthma <sup>d</sup>			0.517
No	1479 (92.6)	119 (7.4)	
Yes	36 (97.3)	1 (2.7)	
Immunosuppression <sup>d</sup>			0.004
No	1504 (92.9)	115 (7.1)	
Yes	11 (68.8)	5 (31.1)	
COPD <sup>d</sup>			1.0
No	1502 (92.7)	119 (7.3)	
Yes	13 (92.9)	1 (7.1)	
CKD <sup>d</sup>			0.003
No	1513 (92.8)	117 (7.2)	
Yes	2 (40)	3 (60)	

<sup>a</sup> Pearson's chi-squared test, <sup>b</sup> Mann–Whitney U test, <sup>c</sup> Chi square for linear trend, <sup>d</sup> Fisher's exact test. COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, CKD = chronic kidney disease.

**Table 2.B. Initial symptoms and signs according to disease progression**

Variable N=1642	No progression N = 1522 (92.7)	Progression N=120 (7.3)	P value
<b>Initial Symptoms</b>			
<b>Headache<sup>a</sup></b>			
No	890 (94.4)	53 (5.6)	0.002
Yes	625 (90.3)	67(9.7)	
<b>Myalgias / Arthralgias<sup>a</sup></b>			
No	1033 (95.1)	53 (4.9)	<0.001
Yes	482 (87.8)	67 (12.2)	
<b>Odynophagia<sup>a</sup></b>			
No	1016 (93.1)	75 (6.9)	0.307
Yes	499 (91.7)	45 (8.3)	
<b>Fatigue / General Malaise<sup>a</sup></b>			
No	1149 (94.6)	66 (5.4)	<0.001
Yes	366 (87.1)	54 (12.9)	
<b>Cough<sup>a</sup></b>			
No	1204 (94.8)	66 (5.2)	<0.001
Yes	311 (85.2)	54 (14.8)	
<b>Rhinorrhea<sup>a</sup></b>			
No	1260 (92.9)	96 (7.1)	0.374
Yes	255 (91.4)	24 (8.6)	
<b>Diarrhea<sup>a</sup></b>			
No	122 (92.6)	105 (7.4)	0.94
Yes	193 (92.8)	15 (7.2)	
<b>Anosmia / Ageusia<sup>a</sup></b>			
No	1341 (92.8)	104 (7.2)	0.543
Yes	174 (91.6)	16 (8.4)	
<b>Fever<sup>a</sup></b>			
No	1379 (94.4)	82 (5.6)	<0.001
Yes	136 (78.2)	38 (21.8)	
<b>Dyspnea<sup>a</sup></b>			
No	1401 (93)	106 (7)	0.087
Yes	114 (89.1)	14 (10.9)	
<b>Initial Signs</b>			
Temperature °C <sup>b</sup>	36.5 (36-37.2)	37.5 (36.3-38)	<0.001
≤37.4 <sup>c</sup>	700 (93)	53 (7)	<0.001
37,5-37,9	75 (83.3)	15 (16.7)	
≥38	136 (78.2)	38 (21.8)	
SpO <sub>2</sub> % <sup>b</sup>	95 (94-96)	94 (93-95)	<0.001
SpO <sub>2</sub> % <sup>a</sup>			<0.001



≥94%	573 (92.4)	47 (7.6)	
≤93%	140 (76.9)	42 (23.1)	
<hr/>			
PCR			
Negative <sup>a</sup>	474 (95.6)	22 (4.4)	<0.001
Positive	353 (86.1)	57 (13.9)	

<sup>a</sup> Pearson's chi-squared test. <sup>b</sup> Mann–Whitney U test. <sup>c</sup> Chi Square for Linear Trend, <sup>d</sup> Fisher's exact test

**Table 3. Multivariate analysis of Symptoms associated with disease progression in patients with COVID-19 suspicion.**

Variable	Bivariate. Each one against progression			Model 1 OR for symptoms adjusted among them			Model 2 = model 1 + age and gender			Model 3 = model 2 + comorbidities			Model 4 = model 3 + SpO <sub>2</sub> ≤93			Model 5 = model 4 + PCR result		
	OR	IC 95%	P value	OR	IC 95%	P value	OR	IC 95%	P value	OR	IC 95%	P value	OR	IC 95%	P value	OR	IC 95%	P value
Male	1.61	1.11-2.34	0.012				1.44	0.97-2.13	0.069	1.38	0.93-2.07	0.109	1.41	0.93-2.13	0.098	1.37	0.9-2.07	0.134
Age ≥60	2.8	1.71-4.59	<0.001				2.65	1.65-4.26	<0.001	2.65	1.47-4.78	0.001	2.29	1.26-4.15	0.006	2.13	1.16-3.88	0.014
Overweight	1.77	0.97-3.2	0.06							1.54	0.82-2.88	0.171	1.58	0.83-2.99	0.157	1.79	0.94-3.42	0.076
Obesity	3.29	1.75-5.77	<0.001							2.19	1.14-4.19	0.017	2.1	1.08-4.08	0.027	2.39	1.22-4.67	0.010
Hypertension	2.13	1.29-3.52	0.003							1.26	0.68-2.34	0.458	1.2	0.63-2.26	0.569	1.15	0.61-2.18	0.654
Diabetes	2.85	1.55-5.24	<0.001							1.7	0.83-3.48	0.146	1.53	0.72-3.24	0.261	1.58	0.74-3.36	0.229
Tobacco use	1.86	1.04-3.3	0.034							1.31	0.69-2.5	0.398	1.46	0.76-2.79	0.247	1.49	0.77-2.88	0.226
Immunosuppression	5.94	2.03-17.4	0.001															
Chronic kidney disease	19.4	3.2-117.2	0.001															
Headache	1.8	1.23-2.61	0.002	1.19	0.79-1.79	0.383	1.32	0.87-2.0	0.18	1.36	0.89-2.07	0.152	1.32	0.86-2.03	0.194	1.33	0.86-2.05	0.196
Myalgias / Arthralgias	2.7	1.86-3.94	<0.001	1.57	1.03-2.4	0.033	1.7	1.1-2.61	0.015	1.69	1.09-2.63	0.018	1.59	1.01-2.5	0.041	1.58	1.01-2.49	0.045
Fatigue	2.56	1.75-3.75	<0.001	1.77	1.17-2.66	0.006	1.73	1.14-2.63	0.009	1.65	1.08-2.53	0.019	1.57	1.02-2.43	0.039	1.42	0.92-2.21	0.112
Cough	3.16	2.16-4.63	<0.001	2.44	1.64-3.63	<0.001	2.38	1.59-3.56	<0.001	2.34	1.56-3.52	<0.001	2.17	1.43-3.31	<0.001	2.22	1.45-3.4	<0.001
Fever	4.69	3.07-7.17	<0.001	3.46	2.22-5.4	<0.001	3.28	2.09-5.14	<0.001	3.0	1.89-4.77	<0.001	3.28	2.03-5.3	<0.001	3.41	2.11-5.52	<0.001
SpO <sub>2</sub> ≤93	3.65	2.32-5.76	<0.001										3.69	2.25-6.05	<0.001	3.88	2.35-6.41	<0.001
Positive PCR	3.47	2.08-5.79	<0.001													2.36	1.36-4.09	0.002
R <sup>2</sup> Nagelkerke				0.128	P value <0.001		0.154	P value <0.001		0.172	P value <0.001		0.230	P value <0.001		0.252	P value <0.001	

**Table 4. COVID-19 disease progression according to initial symptom (C-19PAIS Index)**

Progression risk	Progression n, (%)
High risk: Fever with cough // Fever with fatigue	31/106 (29%)
Medium risk: Fever // Cough // Fatigue // Cough with fatigue	66/615 (10.7%)
Low risk: No fever, no cough, no fatigued	23/914 (2%)

Adding myalgias or arthralgias, impacts on low-risk group: while presenting it the probability of progression increases from 2% to 7%, meanwhile the absence of it, decreases the probability to 1.3%.

**Table 5. Progression at different stratum of C-19PAIS Index according to risk factors**

Risk factors	C-19PAIS Index		
	Low risk n, (%)	Medium risk n, (%)	High risk n, (%)
	No fever, cough, or fatigue	Fever // Cough with or without fatigue // Fatigue	Fever with cough // Fever with fatigue
Progression	23/914 (2)	66/615 (10.7)	31/106 (29)
Days to progression <sup>a</sup>	8 (5.5-9.5)	7 (5-9)	6 (4-7.5)
Male			
No	11/572 (2)	31/356 (9)	17/55 (31)
Yes	12/342 (4)	35/259 (14)	14/51 (27)
≥60 years			
No	21/840 (3)	54/560 (10)	22/94 (24)
Yes	2/74 (2)	12/55 (22)	9/12 (75)
BMI			
<25 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	3/199 (<1)	11/149 (7)	5/23 (22)
25 – 29.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	5/167 (3)	17/152 (11)	8/25 (32)
≥ 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	5/97 (5)	17/93 (18)	10/28 (36)
Hypertension			
No	20/834 (2)	51/547 (9)	28/96 (29)
Yes	3/80 (3)	15/68 (22)	3/10 (30)
Diabetes Mellitus			
No	20/874 (2)	60/587 (10)	26/93 (28)
Yes	3/40 (1)	6/28 (21)	5/13 (40)
Tobacco use			
No	21/855 (2)	58/562 (10)	26/95 (27)
Yes	2/59 (3)	8/53 (15)	5/11 (45)
SpO <sub>2</sub> %			
Not measured	5/544 (<1)	19/252 (8)	7/37 (19)
≥94%	10/293 (3)	25/279 (9)	15/51 (29)
≤93%	8/77 (10)	25/77 (32)	9/18 (50)
PCR			
Not tested	8/444 (<1)	16/233 (9)	17/52 (33)
Negative	3/303 (<1)	13/177 (1)	6/16 (38)
Positive	12/167 (7)	37/205 (18)	8/38 (21)

<sup>a</sup> Days to COVID-19 progression, P value 0.83, analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis test.

