It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

1	I	False-positive detection of Group B Streptococcus (GBS) in chromogenic media due to	
2		presence of Enterococcus faecalis in High Vaginal Swabs	
3	Abh	ishek Singh ^{1,2} , Atahar Husein ² , Salomi Singh ³ , Vikas Ghattargi ⁴ , Dhiraj Dhotre ⁴ , Yogesh S.	
4	Shouche ⁴ , Stacy Colaco ² , Vivek Abhyankar ⁴ , Suyash Patekar ⁴ , Karisma Chhabria ² , Sushil Kumar ³ ,		
5	A.D.	Urhekar ^{1*} , Deepak Modi ²	
6	1.	Department of Microbiology, MGM Institute of Health Sciences, Kamothe Navi Mumbai,	
7		India	
8	2.	Molecular and Cellular Biology Laboratory, ICMR-National Institute for Research in	
9		Reproductive and Child Health, Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), Parel,	
10		Mumbai, India	
11	3.	Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, MGM Institute of Health Sciences, Kalamboli,	
12		Navi Mumbai, India	
13	4.	National Centre for Microbial Resource (NCMR), National Centre for Cell Sciences Pune,	
14		India	
15	Cor	responding author	
16	Dr.	AD Urhekar	
17	Department of Microbiology,		
18	MGM Institute of Health Sciences,		
19	Kamothe Navi Mumbai, India		
20	Ema	ail <u>dradurhekar@gmail.com</u>	
21			
22			

23 Abstract

Vaginal colonization of Group B Streptococcus (GBS) is associated with preterm births and 24 25 neonatal sepsis. Thus, routine screening of GBS in prenatal care is recommended. Chromogenic 26 media are useful in rapid and sensitive screening for GBS. herein, we evaluated the performance of 27 Carrot broth for the detection of GBS in vaginal swabs of pregnant women. In all 20/201 (9.9%) 28 vaginal swab samples were positive in the carrot broth. 17/20 (85%) and 19/20 (95%) samples 29 yielded colonies on Blood agar and Crome agar respectively. However, 16s rRNA sequencing 30 revealed that none of the carrot broth positive cultures had sequence similarities to the 31 Enterococcus faecalis and not GBS. Furthermore, Enterococcus faecalis was detected by PCR in 32 DNA isolated from the corresponding uncultured vaginal swabs samples, while GBS could be 33 detected by PCR only in 4 samples. Thus carrot broth-based culture can lead to false-positive 34 detection due to the presence of Enterococcus faecalis.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- 1 Keywords: Streptococcus agalactiae, Infection, PCR, pregnant women, Carrot Broth, Blood agar,
- 2 Crome agar, Preterm birth, Sepsis

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

1

2 INTRODUCTION

3 Group B Streptococcus (GBS) also known as *Streptococcus agalactiae* is a β -haemolytic, 4 gram-positive bacterium that is considered to be one of the most important causative agents of 5 vaginal infection and neonatal sepsis (Ellem et al., 2017, Shrestha et al., 2020). In 10 to 40% of 6 pregnant women, there is GBS in the vagina (Shrestha et al., 2020 Ashary et al., 2020) and this has 7 emerged as an important cause of maternal morbidity and neonatal mortality (Shabayek and 8 Spellerberg, 2018). Every year, due to GBS infection 1 in 10 pregnancies, premature rupture of 9 membranes (PROM), preterm births, chorioamnionitis, postpartum endometritis, urinary tract 10 infections and post-caesarean febrile illness occurred in mother. In newborn and young infant's 11 sepsis, meningitis, and pneumonia is also commonly associated with maternal GBS infection 12 (Assefa et al., 2018, Edwards et al., 2019, Tano et al., 2021). Thus, prenatal detection of GBS in 13 vaginal swabs is recommended because GBS-positive women are eligible candidates for 14 prophylactic intrapartum antibiotic.

15 GBS culture is normally performed on 5% Sheep Blood Agar (SBA) and colonies are 16 detected by the breakdown of red blood cells that produce a characteristic zone of haemolysis. A 17 confirmatory test for GBS is the Christie Atkins Munch Peterson (CAMP) test, or serotyping via 18 an agglutinin reaction on selected β -haemolytic colonies (Rashwan, 2020, Xie et al., 2016). As an 19 alternative to Blood agar, Crome agar is also used to detect GBS. However, selective enrichment 20 of GBS in Todd-Hewitt broth before subculture on Sheep blood agar as well as Crome agar Strep 21 B allows for efficient detection of GBS in higher vaginal swab samples (Ashary et al., 2020, 22 Konikkara et al., 2013, Nomura et al., 2006). While enrichment of the sample and the culture are 23 the gold standard methods for the detection of GBS in clinical samples (Lodolo et al., 2014, 24 Rashwan, 2020), they are time-consuming and require more than 72 hours for reporting. This poses 25 a substantial problem in the clinical setup especially in pregnant women with PROM or preterm 26 births. This limitation has fuelled the development of liquid chromogenic media protocols, in 27 which the swabs are directly placed in the culture medium and a colour change is indicative of a 28 positive reaction (Konikkara et al., 2013, Trotman-Grant et al., 2012). The Carrot Broth based 29 assays, are getting popular for sensitive and specific detection of GBS, this assay is one of the most 30 widely used rapid culture-based screening tools for GBS in the clinical setup. Studies have 31 demonstrated its good concordance of carrot broth assay with conventional culture-based assay 32 systems (Berg et al., 2013, Church et al., 2008) and the prevalence of GBS using carrot broth assay 33 is estimated to be 18% with some population differences (Church et al. 2008).

To evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of Carrot Broth in screening pregnant women in India for the presence of GBS, we initiated a prospective study in our clinic. Our results revealed

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- 1 that the presence of *Enterococcus faecalis* in vaginal swabs leads to false positive reporting of
- 2 GBS using Carrot Broth, if additional test are not done.
- 3

4 MATERIALS & METHODS

5 The study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Review Committee for Research on Human 6 subjects of MGM Institute of Health Sciences kamothe Navi Mumbai (MGMIHS/RES/02/2017-7 18/193). We conducted this study at the Department of Microbiology & Obstetrics and 8 Gynaecology, MGM Medical College, Kamothe, Navi Mumbai. High Vaginal swab samples were 9 collected from pregnant women admitted to M.G.M hospital after taking the consent from the 10 women and their families. Pregnant women consenting to participate were included in the study. 11 Women having any antibiotic intervention less than 3 weeks prior to sample collection, and those 12 with HIV, tuberculosis, systemic infection, were excluded from the study.

13 Sample collection

Three high vaginal swabs (Hi-Media Laboratories, India) were collected from the posterior fornix using sterile swabs by an experienced gynaecologist. The first swab was placed immediately in the Carrot Broth and incubated at 37□. The second swab was placed in a lysis buffer for DNA extraction and stored at -20°C. The third swab was used for Gram stain to detect the presence of Gram-positive cocci, pus cells, and clue cells.

19 Sample Processing

20 For GBS culture, swabs were inoculated in the Carrot broth medium (Strep B Carrot BrothTM One-21 Step, Hardy Diagnostics, U SA) at 37 °C for 18 to 36 hours for incubation. Positive tubes changed 22 the colour of the broth from pale vellow to orange after 24-36 hours of incubation at 37°C while 23 tubes that did not show colour change were further incubated at 37 °C and examined after the 24 completion of 36 hours. Samples that changed the colour of Carrot Broth were labelled as GBS 25 positive, while those that did not show the colour change were designated as GBS negative. All samples (those that showed as well as those that did not show colour change in Carrot BrothTM 26 27 One-Step medium) were subculture on 5% Sheep Blood Agar plates (Hi-Media Laboratories, 28 India) (referred to as Blood agar) and HiCromeTM Strep B agar plates (Hi-Media Laboratories, India) (referred to as Crome agar) at 35-37 °C in a 5% CO₂ incubator for 18-24 hours. 29

30 CAMP Test and BEA Test

The test using *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC25923) that enhances the lysis activity of red blood cells was streaked across the middle of a Blood agar plate; the suspected GBS strains were streaked perpendicular to the *S. aureus* strain (approximately 1-2 mm apart) along with control strains. A clinical isolate of GBS was used as a positive control. Streptococcus pyogenes

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- 1 (ATCC19615) was used as a negative control. The plates were incubated in a candle jar at 35-37
- 2 °C for 18-24 hours. An arrowhead-shaped zone of beta-haemolysis formed by the β -haemolysin of
- 3 *S. aureus* at the junction of two perpendicular streak lines constituted a positive CAMP reaction
- 4 (Guo et al., 2019). The colonies were also cultured on Bile Esculin Agar (BEA) (Hi-Media
- 5 Laboratories, India) medium to confirm the isolates. After incubation for 18-24 hours at 37°C, the
- 6 blackening of the media indicates a presence of *Enterococcus faecalis* (Manero and Blanch, 1999).

7 16S rRNA Sequencing

8 Single colonies of the bacteria that grew on Blood agar or Crome agar plates were cultured in 9 liquid broth (carrot broth) overnight in shaking flask, The bacteria was collected by centrifugation. 10 The genomic DNA of bacterial isolates was extracted by the phenol: chloroform method. 11 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) was performed to amplify 16S rRNA genes. Primers for 16S 12 rRNA (Ghattargi et al., 2018) sequencing and the sequences are shown in Table 1. The amplified 13 products were directly sequenced using the ABI PRISM Big Dye Terminator v3.1 Cycle 14 Sequencing kit on a 3730xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied BioSystems). The sequence data obtained 15 were assembled and analyzed using DNA sequence assembling software Lasergene SeqMan Pro (DNASTAR Inc.). The similarity search of newly generated 16S rRNA gene sequences was 16 17 performed against the typed strains of prokaryotic species with valid published names available in 18 the EzBioCloud's database (Yoon et al., 2017).

19 Gene specific PCR for GBS and *Enterococcus faecalis*

Primers for the *atr* and *sodA* genes were designed for the GBS and *Enterococcus faecalis*respectively by using Primer3 Plus software. The specificity of primers was checked using NCBIBLAST. (Table 1).

23

Table 1: List of primers and their target genes

Bacteria	Target	Primers	Product
	gene		Size
GBS	atr	F- TATCAATTAAGAGGCCGTGT	207 bp
		R- ACCACTTTGTGAAGCTCCTA	
Enterococcus	sodA	F- AATAGCGCCAGTTGGTTGTC	99 bp
faecalis		R- CGTACTGCCGTTCGTAACAA	
	16S rRNA	(27f: 5'-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3' and	1400
		1492r: 5'-TACGGCTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3')	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.17.21267936; this version posted December 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

1 For gene specific PCR, individual colonies from HiCrome agar or Blood agar plates were grown in 2 selective media and the bacterial pellet was collected. DNA was extracted from the pellet as 3 described previously (Ghattargi et al., 2018). DNA from the swab was extracted by the spin 4 column method (Favor Prep Soil DNA isolation Mini Kit, Favorgen, Taiwan) and stored at -20 °C. DNA extracted from each swab was analysed for the presence of GBS and Enterococcus faecalis 5 6 by performing PCR for the atr and sodA genes using Taq polymerase (APSLABS, India). The 7 PCR reaction was set as follows; initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 minutes, denaturation at 95 °C 8 for 30 seconds, annealing temperature at 55 °C for 30 seconds and extension at 72 °C for 30 9 seconds (35 cycles) in the thermal cycler (AB Applied Biosystem, Veriti). Thereafter, amplicons 10 were run on 2% agarose gel and data were analysed accordingly.

11 **RESULTS**

12 Comparison of Carrot Broth, Blood agar, Crome agar assays for GBS Detection

13 Of the Table 2 compares the results of Carrot Broth positive and negative samples when inoculated 14 on Blood agar and Crome agar cultures. 201 vaginal swabs were inoculated in carrot broth, 20 15 (9.9%) samples showed colour change from yellow to orange within 24 hours indicating the 16 presence of GBS while 181 samples did not show a colour change. Of these 20 carrot Broth 17 positive samples, 17 yielded colonies upon inoculation on blood agar and 19 yielded colonies upon 18 inoculation in Crome agar plates. Overall, 17 of 20 carrot broth positive samples showed growth 19 on both blood agar and Crome agar. Of the 181 Carrot Broth negative cultures, colonies were 20 obtained in 38 samples upon inoculation on Crome agar plates. However, only 1 of the Carrot 21 Broth negative cultures yielded colonies in the Blood agar plates; this sample was also positive in 22 the Crome agar plate.

Table 2: Number of high vaginal swabs of pregnant women showing growth on Carrot broth,Blood agar and Crome agar.

Method	Blood Agar- Positive	Crome agar-	Both Blood- and
		Positive	Crome - Positive
Carrot Broth Positive	17	19	17
(n=20)	(85%)	(95%)	(85%)
Carrot Broth -			
Negative (n=181)	1	38	1
	(0.5%)	(20.9)	(0.5%)
$T_{otol}(n-201)$	18	57	18
10tal (II=201)	(8.9%)	(28.3%)	(8.9%)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.17.21267936; this version posted December 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

1 In all, of the 201 high vaginal swabs from pregnant women, 57 (28.3%) were positive by at least

2 one of the three methods. Amongst these 9.9% (20/201) were positive by Carrot Broth, 8.9%

3 (18/201) were positive upon inoculation in blood agar plates, and 28.3% (57/201) were positive

4 when inoculated on Crome agar plates.

5 The CAMP test is a confirmatory biochemical assay for the identification of GBS. The standard 6 GBS strain yielded positive results in all the assays. Of the 20 Carrot Broth positive colonies that 7 grew on blood agar plates, 12 were positive in the CAMP assay, albeit weakly as compared to the 8 standard strain. None of the colonies that grew on Blood agar/Crome agar plates from Carrot Broth 9 negative cultures were CAMP test positive.

10 16S rRNA sequencing confirmed *Enterococcus faecalis* rather than GBS

11 For the identification of the organisms that grew in Carrot Broth, we performed 16S rRNA (V1-V2 12 region) sequencing of the DNA isolated from these colonies. For this, we selected 29 colonies for 13 confirmation by 16S rRNA PCR sequencing. 20 carrot broth positive and 9 carrot broth negative samples. We found the 20 Carrot Broth positive cultures had similarities to Enterococcus faecalis. 14 15 Of the 9 Carrot Broth negative but Crome or blood agar positive colonies, 5 were identified as 16 Enterococcus faecalis, one had sequence similarity to Escherichia coli, two had sequences 17 matching to Staphylococcus haemolyticus, and one was identical to Acinetobacter baumannii 18 (Table 3).

Method	Enterococcus faecalis	E.coli	Staphylococcus haemolyticus	Acinetobacter spp.
Carrot Broth positive colonies (n=20)	20	0	0	0
Carrot Broth negative, blood/ Crome agar positive colonies (n=9)	5	1	2	1

Table 3: Identification of bacterial species in isolates from vaginal swabs of pregnant women

20

The phylogenetic tree-based comparative analysis confirmed that colonies from 25 of the 29 samples subjected to 16S rRNA sequencing had 100% similarity with *Enterococcus faecalis*. The others that had sequences divergent from *Enterococcus faecalis* were identified to be *Escherichia*

24 coli, Staphylococcus haemolyticus, and Acinetobacter baumannii (Supplementary figure 1).

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

1 We also confirmed the presence of *Enterococcus faecalis* by performing gene-specific PCR. We 2 performed semi-quantitative PCR for the specific genes (sodA) of Enterococcus faecalis with the

3 DNA isolated from the colonies that grew on Blood agar or Crome agar plates. A single band of

4 expected size was detected in DNA isolated from the colonies that grew on blood and crome agar

5 plates. In contrast, no amplification was detected when we did the same with a specific gene (atr)

6 of the GBS. The positive control had a band of the expected size and the negative control (no

7 template) did not show amplification in any of the assays

8 Biochemical Confirmation of *Enterococcus faecalis*

9 BEA assay differentiates GBS from Enterococci. We tested all 20 Carrot Broth positive and 18 10 Blood agar/Crome agar isolates by BEA along with the standard GBS strain. Amongst the 38 11 isolates that were negative in Carrot Broth but grew in Crome agar plates, 33 were positive in the 12 BEA assay, suggesting their identity to be *Enterococcus faecalis* as well. Two colonies that were 13 negative in the BEA and CAMP assays had sequence similarity to *Staphylococcus haemolyticus*. 14 The remaining colonies (n=3) that grew on blood agar plates and were negative in the BEA assay 15 and CAMP test had sequence similarity to *Escherichia coli* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* (1 each).

16 PCR confirmed the presence of *Enterococcus faecalis* in the uncultured swab samples

17 To determine whether the colonies that grew on Carrot Broth/blood/ Crome agar were an artifact of 18 a culture or indeed the primary swabs contained Enterococcus faecalis and/or lacked GBS, we 19 performed PCR using primers specific for the sodA gene (99bp) of Enterococcus faecalis and 20 GBS-specific (207bp) atr gene primers (Fig.1). Of the 57 vaginal swabs that were positive by 21 culture methods, Enterococcus faecalis was detected in 53 swab samples by PCR. In the remaining 22 4 samples, 16S sequencing of the colonies demonstrated the presence of Staphylococcus 23 haemolyticus (n=2) and Escherichia coli and Acinetobacter baumannii (1 each), these swabs were 24 negative for Enterococcus.

We next tested the samples for GBS by PCR. A single band of the expected size was detected in the positive control (DNA isolated from a clinical isolate). Of the 57 swabs tested for GBS by PCR, amplification was detected in 4 samples (**Table 4**). All 4 samples were positive for GBS by PCR, indicative of co-occurrence of both bacteria. The negative controls did not show any amplicons in either case.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- 1 **Table 4:** Detection of *Enterococcus faecalis* and GBS by PCR in the uncultured vaginal swab from
- 2 pregnant women

	Total Positive by	Enterococcus	GBS By PCR
	Culture	Positive By PCR	
Carrot Broth positive (n=20)	20	6 (30%)	02 (10%)
Crome agar (n=57)	57	34 (59.6%)	2 (3.5%)
Blood agar positive (n=17)	17	3 (17.6%)	0

3

4 **DISCUSSION**

The results of the present study demonstrated that in Carrot Broth based screening tests, the
presence of *Enterococcus faecalis* can lead to a false positive reporting of GBS in vaginal swabs in
pregnant women, and additional tests are not used.

8 Vaginal colonization of GBS is associated with preterm births (Tano et al., 2021, Surve et al., 9 2016,) and its detection is clinically relevant for decision making towards the administration of 10 intrapartum antibiotics (Edwards et al., 2019). According to the American College of Obstetricians 11 and Gynaecologists, screening of GBS in the third trimester or near term is recommended in all women. Presently the gold standard method for GBS detection is a brief enrichment step (generally 12 13 Todd Hewitt or Lim broth) followed by its culture in blood agar to detect haemolytic colonies 14 (Furfaro et al., 2019). An alternate method is a culture on Crome agar post enrichment; colonies 15 that turn blue are indicative of GBS (Manero and Blanch, 1999). The enrichment step is reported to improve the detection of GBS severalfold and reduce false negative rates (Ashary et al., 2020). 16 17 While both these methods are extensively used globally, they are time-consuming, require a 18 complete microbiological setup, and require trained personnel. Thus these methods are not readily 19 deployable as screening tools, especially in populous countries. Thus, there are effort to develop 20 rapid and simpler methods that do not require technical expertise. Towards this, immunologic 21 detection of GBS antigens and liquid chromogenic media are increasingly used clinically for their

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

1 ease of interpretation and fast turnaround time following sample collection (Gao et al., 2021, Guo

2 et al., 2019).

3 Amongst the various choices of liquid chromogenic media, Carrot Broth is a single-step method to 4 aid in the qualitative determination of GBS colonization in recto-vaginal swabs. This method is 5 shown to have a sensitivity and specificity comparable or even better than the standard Lim broth 6 enrichment followed by blood agar cultures (Block et al., 2008, Church et al., 2008). Using the 7 Carrot Broth method, many studies have shown the prevalence of GBS in vaginal swabs in the 8 range of 5 to 19.8% in pregnant women (Choi et al., 2021, Church et al., 2008, Xie et al., 2016). In 9 India, the prevalence of GBS is highly variable depending on the population studied or the 10 methods used. A recent meta-analysis carried out in pregnant Indian women has indeed shown a 11 higher prevalence of GBS in studies using enriched media versus non-enriched media (Ashary et 12 al., 2020). Very limited information is available regarding the performance of Carrot Broth for the 13 detection of GBS in vaginal swabs in the Indian context (Chaudhary et al., 2017). Thus we initially 14 aimed to determine the diagnostic performance of Carrot Broth alone and in a combination with 15 blood and Crome agar cultures in pregnant women at term. However, during the validation, we 16 identified that the Carrot Broth itself and in combination with blood or Crome agar cultures led to 17 false positive results.

In our cohort, nearly 10% of women were positive in Carrot Broth, a number in range with that 18 19 observed in the north Indian population reported earlier using the same method (Chaudhary et al., 20 2017). However, many of these positive, haemolytic colonies were either weakly positive or even 21 negative in the CAMP test (a confirmatory test for GBS). Thus, we subjected colonies isolated 22 from 29 randomly selected samples to 16S rRNA sequencing. To our surprise, we detected that 23 most isolates had sequence homology to Enterococcus faecalis and not GBS. To determine if all 24 the colonies were that of Enterococcus faecalis, we subjected them to the BEA test (Manero and 25 Blanch, 1999). Confirming the DNA analysis results, most were BEA positive, implying that the 26 colonies isolated from Crome or blood agar plates were that of E. faecalis and not GBS. To the 27 best of our knowledge, this is the first study reporting a very high rate of false positive detection 28 for GBS in Carrot Broth cultures due to the presence of Enterococcus species. Akin to our 29 observations, there are two cases reported in the literature in which beta-haemolytic colonies 30 obtained by the traditional method for GBS (enrichment followed by blood agar culture), when 31 subjected to MALDI-TOF or Vitek 2 GP card, were identified as Enterococcus species (Savini et 32 al., 2014, Savini et al., 2015). These reports together with our data from a large number of samples 33 indicate that haemolysis in blood agar alone can be misinterpreted as GBS mostly due to the 34 presence of Enterococcus spp.

In the identification and diagnosis of an infection, microbial culture plays a major role; however, similar kinds of biochemical reactions and cultural attributes may be conducive to more than one medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.17.21267936; this version posted December 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

1 organism. It is known that in selective GBS broths (Todd Hewitt broth), E. faecalis can suppress 2 the growth of GBS (Rosa-Fraile and Spellerberg, 2017). While similar competitive studies for 3 Carrot Broth are not available, as *E. faecalis* is a common commensal in the vagina, we 4 hypothesized that the co-occurrence of E. faecalis in high vaginal swabs may also suppress the growth of GBS, which could be the reason for the false positive detection. Towards this, we carried 5 6 out PCR for both GBS and E. faecalis in DNA isolated from uncultured vaginal swabs from the 7 same women that were positive by culture methods. Indeed, in four culture positive women, GBS 8 and E. faecalis were present simultaneously as detected by PCR of uncultured swab DNA, 53 other 9 uncultured swab samples were negative for GBS but were indeed positive for *E. faecalis* by PCR. 10 Thus our results imply that the presence of *Enterococcus faecalis* in the vaginal swabs can lead to

11 misinterpretation of the culture based tests for GBS.

In conclusion, Carrot Broth can lead to false positive reports of GBS carriage owing to the presence of *Enterococcus faecalis* in the samples. It is essential that practicing microbiologists in clinical setups are aware of this possibility and should be careful in interpreting their reports. All culture based GBS positive reports must be confirmed by not just the CAMP test but also excluded for *Enterococcus* species using the BEA test or molecular methods. More systematic studies from other parts of the world where the GBS carriage rate is high are needed to determine the usefulness of Carrot Broth in the accurate detection of GBS in a clinical setup.

19 Acknowledgment:

The study was supported by MGMIHS (SEED grant). The DM lab at ICMR-NIRRH is supported
by grants from Indian Council for Medical Research, The medical innovation grant (70/2/2018MIF/BMS). KS was Nehru full-bright fellow at MCBL.

23 References

24

Ashary N, Singh A, Chhabria K, Modi D. Meta-analysis on prevalence of vaginal group B
streptococcus colonization and preterm births in India. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2020:1-9.

27

Assefa S, Desta K, Lema T. Group B streptococci vaginal colonization and drug susceptibility
pattern among pregnant women attending in selected public antenatal care centers in Addis Ababa,
Ethiopia. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2018;18(1):135.

31

Berg BR, Houseman JL, Garrasi MA, Young CL, Newton DW. Culture-based method with
performance comparable to that of PCR-based methods for detection of group B Streptococcus in
screening samples from pregnant women. J Clin Microbiol 2013;51(4):1253-5.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.17.21267936; this version posted December 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license . Block T, Munson E, Culver A, Vaughan K, Hryciuk JE. Comparison of carrot broth- and selective 1 2 Todd-Hewitt broth-enhanced PCR protocols for real-time detection of Streptococcus agalactiae in 3 prenatal vaginal/anorectal specimens. J Clin Microbiol 2008;46(11):3615-20. 4 5 Chaudhary M, Rench MA, Baker CJ, Singh P, Hans C, Edwards MS. Group B Streptococcal 6 Colonization Among Pregnant Women in Delhi, India. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2017;36(7):665-9. 7 8 Choi SJ, Kang J, Uh Y. Recent Epidemiological Changes in Group B Streptococcus Among 9 Pregnant Korean Women. Ann Lab Med 2021;41(4):380-5. 10 11 Church DL, Baxter H, Lloyd T, Miller B, Elsayed S. Evaluation of StrepB carrot broth versus Lim 12 broth for detection of group B Streptococcus colonization status of near-term pregnant women. J 13 Clin Microbiol 2008;46(8):2780-2. 14 15 Edwards JM, Watson N, Focht C, Wynn C, Todd CA, Walter EB, et al. Group B Streptococcus 16 (GBS) Colonization and Disease among Pregnant Women: A Historical Cohort Study. Infect Dis 17 Obstet Gynecol 2019;2019:5430493. 18 19 Ellem JA, Kovacevic D, Olma T, Chen SC. Rapid detection of Group B streptococcus directly 20 from vaginal-rectal specimens using liquid swabs and the BD Max GBS assay. Clin Microbiol 21 Infect 2017;23(12):948-51. 22 23 Furfaro LL, Chang BJ, Payne MS. Detection of group B Streptococcus during antenatal screening 24 in Western Australia: a comparison of culture and molecular methods. J Appl Microbiol 25 2019;127(2):598-604. 26 27 Gao K, Deng Q, Huang L, Chang CY, Zhong H, Xie Y, et al. Diagnostic Performance of Various 28 Methodologies for Group B Streptococcus Screening in Pregnant Woman in China. Front Cell 29 Infect Microbiol 2021;11:651968. 30 31 Ghattargi VC, Nimonkar YS, Burse SA, Davray D, Kumbhare SV, Shetty SA, et al. Genomic and 32 physiological analyses of an indigenous strain, Enterococcus faecium 17OM39. Funct Integr 33 Genomics 2018;18(4):385-99. 34

Guo D, Xi Y, Wang S, Wang Z. Is a positive Christie-Atkinson-Munch-Peterson (CAMP) test
sensitive enough for the identification of Streptococcus agalactiae? BMC Infect Dis 2019;19(1):7.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.17.21267936; this version posted December 18, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license . 1 Konikkara KP, Baliga S, Shenoy SM, Bharati B. Comparison of various culture methods for 2 isolation of group B streptococcus from intrapartum vaginal colonization. J Lab Physicians 3 2013;5(1):42-5. 4 5 Le Doare K, Heath PT, Plumb J, Owen NA, Brocklehurst P, Chappell LC. Uncertainties in 6 Screening and Prevention of Group B Streptococcus Disease. Clin Infect Dis 2019;69(4):720-5. 7 8 Lodolo L, Rossi C, Canale C, Barbaglia M, Pr G, Ghiotti P, et al. Standardization and Enrichment 9 of Culture Medium Improve Detection of Group B Streptococci during Prepartum Screening. 10 Journal of community medicine & health education 2014;4. 11 12 Manero A, Blanch AR. Identification of Enterococcus spp. with a biochemical key. Appl Environ 13 Microbiol 1999;65(10):4425-30. 14 15 Nomura ML, Passini Junior R, Oliveira UM. Selective versus non-selective culture medium for 16 group B streptococcus detection in pregnancies complicated by preterm labor or preterm-premature 17 rupture of membranes. Braz J Infect Dis 2006;10(4):247-50. 18 19 Rao GG, Khanna P. To screen or not to screen women for Group B Streptococcus (Streptococcus 20 agalactiae) to prevent early onset sepsis in newborns: recent advances in the unresolved debate. Ther Adv Infect Dis 2020;7:2049936120942424. 21 22 23 Rashwan ASSA. Assessment of different methods for diagnosis of Group B streptococci during 24 pregnancy. Obstetrics & Gynecology International Journal 2020;11. 25 26 Rosa-Fraile M, Spellerberg B. Reliable Detection of Group B Streptococcus in the Clinical 27 Laboratory. J Clin Microbiol 2017;55(9):2590-8. 28 29 Savini V, Franco A, Gherardi G, Marrollo R, Argentieri AV, Pimentel de Araujo F, et al. Beta-30 hemolytic, multi-lancefield antigen-agglutinating Enterococcus durans from a pregnant woman, 31 mimicking Streptococcus agalactiae. J Clin Microbiol 2014;52(6):2181-2. 32 33 Savini V, Gherardi G, Marrollo R, Franco A, Pimentel De Araujo F, Dottarelli S, et al. Could beta-34 hemolytic, group B Enterococcus faecalis be mistaken for Streptococcus agalactiae? Diagn 35 Microbiol Infect Dis 2015;82(1):32-3. 36 37 Schrag S, Gorwitz R, Fultz-Butts K, Schuchat A. Prevention of perinatal group B streptococcal

disease. Revised guidelines from CDC. MMWR Recomm Rep 2002;51(RR-11):1-22.

13

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

1	
2	Shabayek S, Spellerberg B. Group B Streptococcal Colonization, Molecular Characteristics, and
3	Epidemiology. Front Microbiol 2018;9:437.
4	
5	Shrestha K, Sah AK, Singh N, Parajuli P, Adhikari R. Molecular Characterization of Streptococcus
6	agalactiae Isolates from Pregnant Women in Kathmandu City. J Trop Med 2020;2020:4046703.
7	
8	Surve MV, Anil A, Kamath KG, Bhutda S, Sthanam LK, Pradhan A, et al. Membrane Vesicles of
9	Group B Streptococcus Disrupt Feto-Maternal Barrier Leading to Preterm Birth. PLoS Pathog
10	2016;12(9):e1005816.
11	
12	Tano S, Ueno T, Mayama M, Yamada T, Takeda T, Uno K, et al. Relationship between vaginal
13	group B streptococcus colonization in the early stage of pregnancy and preterm birth: a
14	retrospective cohort study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2021;21(1):141.
15	Trotman-Grant A, Raney T, Dien Bard J. Evaluation of optimal storage temperature, time, and
16	transport medium for detection of group B Streptococcus in StrepB carrot broth. J Clin Microbiol
17	2012;50(7):2446-9.
18	
19	Xie Y, Yang J, Zhao P, Jia H, Wang Q. Occurrence and detection method evaluation of group B
20	streptococcus from prenatal vaginal specimen in Northwest China. Diagn Pathol 2016;11:8.
21	
22	Yoon SH, Ha SM, Kwon S, Lim J, Kim Y, Seo H, et al. Introducing EzBioCloud: a taxonomically
23	united database of 16S rRNA gene sequences and whole-genome assemblies. Int J Syst Evol
24	Microbiol 2017;67(5):1613-7.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Fig 1: PCR for *Enterococcus faecalis* and GBS in DNA isolated from uncultured vaginal swab
samples of the pregnant women. M = Maker, NTC = Non-template control, +ve control =

5 Enterococcus & GBS standard strain, -ve control = GBS DNA for Enterococcus primer and

6 Enterococcus DNA for GBS primer, and 1-5 = patient swab samples.



8 Supplementary Figure 1: Phylogenetic tree of 16S rRNA sequences of the colonies that
 9 grew in carrot broth followed by inoculation on blood or crome agar plates. These were

10 isolated from high vaginal swabs of pregnant women near term.

11