Development and external validation of the DOAT and DOATS scores: simple decision support tools to identify disease progression among nonelderly patients with mild/moderate COVID-19

Yoko Shibata¹, Hiroyuki Minemura¹, Yasuhito Suzuki¹, Takefumi Nikaido^{1,2}, Yoshinori Tanino¹, Atsuro Fukuhara², Ryuzo Kanno³, Hiroyuki Saito⁴, Shuzo Suzuki⁴, Taeko Ishii⁵, Yayoi Inokoshi⁵, Eiichiro Sando⁶, Hirofumi Sakuma⁷, Tatsuho Kobayashi⁸, Hiroaki Kume⁹, Masahiro Kamimoto¹⁰, Hideko Aoki¹¹, Akira Takama¹², Takamichi Kamiyama¹³, Masaru Nakayama¹⁴, Kiyoshi Saito¹⁵, Koichi Tanigawa¹⁶, Masahiko Sato¹⁷, Toshiyuki Kanbe¹⁸, Norio Kanzaki¹⁹, Teruhisa Azuma²⁰, Keiji Sakamoto²¹, Yuichi Nakamura²¹, Hiroshi Otani²², Mitsuru Waragai²³, Shinsaku Maeda²⁴, Tokiya Ishida²⁵, Keishi Sugino²⁶, Minoru Inage²⁷, Noriyuki Hirama²⁷, Kodai Furuyama²⁷, Shigeyuki Fukushima²⁷, Hiroshi Saito²⁸, Jun-ichi Machiya²⁸, Hiroyoshi Machida²⁸, Koya Abe²⁸, Katsuyoshi Iwabuchi²⁹, Yuji Katagiri²⁹, Yasuko Aida²⁹, Yuki Abe²⁹, Takahito Ota²⁹, Yuki Ishizawa²⁹, Yasuhiko Tsukada³⁰, Ryuki Yamada¹, Riko Sato¹, Takumi Omuna¹, Hikaru Tomita¹, Mikako Saito¹, Natsumi Watanabe¹, Mami Rikimaru¹, Takaya Kawamata¹, Takashi Umeda¹, Julia Morimoto¹, Ryuichi Togawa¹, Yuki Sato¹, Junpei Saito¹, Kenya Kanazawa¹, Kenji Omae³¹, Noriaki, Kurita³¹, Ken Iseki³⁰

¹Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Fukushima Medical University; ²Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Ohara General Hospital; ³Department of Thoracic Surgery, Fukushima Red Cross Hospital; ⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Fujita General Hospital; ⁵Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Saiseikai Fukushima General Hospital; ⁶Department of General Internal Medicine and Clinical Infectious Diseases, Fukushima Medical University; ⁷Department of Internal Medicine, Saiseikai Kawamata Hospital; ⁸Department of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, Aizu Chuo Hospital; ⁹Department of Infectious Disease and Pulmonary Medicine, Aizu Medical Center, Fukushima Medical University; ¹⁰Department of Internal Medicine, Takeda General Hospital; ¹¹Department of Pediatric Medicine, Bange Kousei General Hospital; ¹²Department of Surgery, Yurin Hospital; ¹³Department of Pediatric Surgery, Iwaki City Medical Center; ¹⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Kashima Hospital; ¹⁵Department of Neurosurgery, Fukushima Rosai Hospital; ¹⁶Department of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, Futaba Medical Center; ¹⁷Department of Internal Medicine, Soma General Hospital; ¹⁸Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Minami-Soma Municipal General Hospital; ¹⁹Department of Surgery, Onahama Chuo Clinic; ²⁰Department of General Medicine, Shirakawa Satellite for Teaching and Research, Fukushima Medical University; ²¹Department of Cardiology and Vascular Medicine, Hoshi General Hospital; ²²Department of Internal Medicine, Iwase General Hospital; ²³Department of Surgery, Southern TOHOKU General Hospital; ²⁴Department of Pulmonary

Medicine, Jusendo General Hospital; ²⁵Department of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, Ohta Nishinouchi Hospital; ²⁶Department of Respiratory Medicine, Tsuboi Hospital; ²⁷Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Okitama General Hospital; ²⁸Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Nihonkai General Hospital; ²⁹Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Yamagata City Hospital Saiseikan; ³⁰Department of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, Fukushima Medical University; ³¹Department of Innovative Research and Education for Clinicians and Trainees, Fukushima Medical University Hospital

Word counts of the abstract and the text: 249 and 2931, respectively.

Tables: 5, Figures: 1

Corresponding author

Yoko Shibata

Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Fukushima Medical University School of Medicine, Fukushima, 960-1295, Japan. Tel: +81-24-547-1360 Fax: +81-24-548-9366

Author Contributions:

All authors were involved in drafting the article and revising it critically for important intellectual content, and all authors approved the final version to be published.

- Study conception and design: Y. Shibata, H. Minemura, Y. Suzuki, T. Nikaido, Y. Tanino, and N. Kurita
- Analysis and interpretation of data: Y. Shibata, K. Omae, and N. Kurita
- Acquisition of data: All other authors

Running title

Predicting deterioration of COVID-19

Summary

The risk stratification models using independent risks, namely comorbidity of <u>d</u>iabetes or <u>o</u>besity, <u>age</u> \geq 40 years, high body <u>t</u>emperature \geq 38 \Box , and oxygen <u>s</u>aturation < 96%, DOATS and DOAT scores, predicted worsening COVID-19 in patients with mild-to-moderate cases.

Footnote page

Conflict of Interest

All authors report no conflicts of interest related to this study.

Funding

This study received no specific grant from any funding agency.

ABSTRACT (word count 249)

BACKGROUND: Due to the dissemination of vaccination against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 in the elderly, the virus-susceptible subjects have shifted to unvaccinated non-elderlies. The risk factors of COVID-19 deterioration in non-elderly patients without respiratory failure have not yet been determined. This study was aimed to create simple predicting method to identify such patients who have high risk for exacerbation.

METHODS: We analyzed the data of 1,675 patients aged under 65 years who were admitted to hospitals with mild-to-moderate COVID-19. For validation, 324 similar patients were enrolled. Disease progression was defined as administration of medication, oxygen inhalation and mechanical ventilator starting one day or longer after admission.

RESULTS: The patients who exacerbated tended to be older, male, had histories of smoking, and had high body temperatures, lower oxygen saturation, and comorbidities such as diabetes/obesity and hypertension. Stepwise logistic regression analyses revealed that comorbidities of <u>diabetes/obesity</u>, <u>age</u> \geq 40 years, body <u>temperature</u> \geq 38°C, and oxygen <u>s</u>aturation < 96% (DOATS) were independent risk factors of worsening COVID-19. As a result two predictive scores were created: DOATS score, which includes all the above risk factors; and DOAT score, which includes all factors except for oxygen saturation. In the original cohort, the areas under the receiver operating characteristic curve of the DOATS and DOAT scores were 0.789 and 0.771, respectively. In the validation, the areas were 0.702 and 0.722, respectively.

CONCLUSION: We established two simple prediction scores that can quickly evaluate the risk of progression of COVID-19 in non-elderly, mild/moderate patients.

KEY WORDS: COVID-19, disease progression, non-elderly, risk factor

Abbreviations

COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019, DOAT: comorbidity of <u>d</u>iabetes/<u>o</u>besity, <u>age</u> \geq 40 years, and body <u>t</u>emperature \geq 38°C, DOATS: comorbidity of <u>d</u>iabetes/<u>o</u>besity, <u>age</u> \geq 40 years, body <u>t</u>emperature \geq 38°C, and oxygen <u>s</u>aturation < 96%, ECMO: extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, SARS-CoV-2: severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2

Due to the global spread of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic remains a serious problem all over the world. Approximately 5% of COVID-19 patients develop respiratory failure, and 2% die despite undergoing intensive treatment[1]. Even in Japan, many patients could not be admitted to hospital due to the lack of available beds during the height of pandemic in urban areas such as Tokyo, Kobe and Osaka. Therefore, it is important to predict, using simple measures, which patients will become severely ill among those who do not have respiratory failure in the early phase of infection. Until now, some observational studies revealed the risk factors for severe COVID-19. Old age, male sex, smoking habit, and comorbidities such as diabetes, chronic renal disease, malignancies, and chronic respiratory diseases such as chronic pulmonary disease or interstitial lung diseases have been listed as risk factors for severe COVID-19[2-7]. In addition, some biomarkers have been reported to be associated with disease severity[3, 7-11]. Using these risk factors, several investigators reported risk stratification methods[8-10, 12-15].

From February 2021, vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 began to be disseminated in Japan. By the end of July 2021, 30% of residents in Japan were fully vaccinated. Most of them were elderlies and health-care workers. In accordance with the dissemination of the vaccine, risk stratification using risk factors reported may no longer be available. For example, cases in older adults who have been vaccinated are reported to be less severe than cases before the vaccinations were started. Instead, the number of middle-aged individuals who have severe COVID-19 have been increasing, because they haven't had a chance to receive it yet. Therefore, the subjects who are in most need of risk stratification should be refocused to the young/middle-aged generations, rather than the elderly.

In Fukushima, Japan, by the end of May 2021, approximately 4,500 residents tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 via PCR examination. Twenty-six medical institutes in Fukushima Prefecture began to gather the medical information of all COVID-19 patients who had been admitted to the hospitals, starting from March 2020, and 66% of the COVID-19 patients in Fukushima (3,008 patients) were enrolled by the end of May 2021. The data of not only severely ill patients, but also those of many patients with mild-to-moderate cases are also available in this database. Until now, few investigations have been reported about the risk factors among the general population aged < 65 years who have not been vaccinated for SARS-CoV-2[16]. The aims of the present study were to identify the risk factors for aggravation of COVID-19 among the non-elderly and mild/moderate patients who have not received the vaccine, as well as to develop and externally validate clinical prediction rules in order to identify worsening cases. In particular, we analyzed the factors associated with exacerbation which were identified based on not only death and the initiation of mechanical ventilation, but

also the start of medications such as remdesivir or dexamethasone, and the start of oxygen inhalation during hospitalization among COVID-19 patients aged < 65 years who did not have respiratory failure on admission.

Methods

Setting and study population

This multicenter retrospective, cohort study used the data of all consecutive patients with COVID-19 admitted to the hospitals that participated in a web conference against COVID-19 held weekly by the Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Fukushima Medical University, between March 31, 2020 and May 20, 2021. Of the 43 COVID-19 hospitals in Fukushima, 26 facilities that engaged in medical care during the acute phase of the disease participated in this conference. Of 4,500 patients with COVID-19 in Fukushima, 3,008 were enrolled in this study. For the external validation study, three hospitals in Yamagata treating COVID-19 patients between March 31, 2020 and May 20, 2021 gave the data of 324 mild and moderate patients aged < 65 years. A diagnosis of COVID-19 was made when the results of a PCR test using a nasopharyngeal swab or saliva were positive. The subjects' data, such as clinical characteristics including comorbidities, results of examination, medical course, medications, and outcomes, were obtained from the medical records of each hospital. COVID-19 disease severity was classified according to the definition of the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare as follows: mild, subjects without pneumonia and respiratory failure; moderate-1, subjects with pneumonia but without respiratory failure; moderate-2, subjects with pneumonia and respiratory failure (oxygen saturation < 94% on room air) that does not require mechanical ventilation or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO); severe, subjects with pneumonia and respiratory failure that required mechanical ventilation and ECMO[17, 18].

Among the 3,008 enrolled patients, 1,675 had mild and moderate-1 cases, and were aged < 65 years. These were the eligible subjects in this study (Figure 1).

Outcomes

The outcomes were: (1) any disease deterioration, defined as the initiation of medication for COVID-19 (dexamethasone, methylprednisolone, tocilizumab, baricitinib, or remdesivir) or respiratory therapy (use of inhalation oxygen); or (2) use of a ventilator or the need for ECMO after the first day of hospitalization.

Candidate predictors

Age, sex, body temperature, history of smoking, comorbidities (prevalence 2% and

higher), pregnancy, and blood oxygen saturation measured by pulse oximeter were evaluated as candidates for the risk factors for deterioration of the disease. The collection of information was left to the doctors at each participating institution.

Statistical analyses

Continuous variables are presented as medians with interquartile ranges, and categorical variables are presented as number of patients with percentage. Patients whose conditions exacerbated a day or later after admission were assigned to the Worsened group and the other to the Stable group. Between-group comparisons were performed using the Mann-Whitney U test for the continuous variables and the chi square test for the categorical values. The continuous variables, age and oxygen saturation measured by saturation monitor, were turned into categorical variables using the cut-off described below. The cut-off age was set as 40 years old, because that age is considered to be the boundary between young adults and middle-aged people. The cut-off of oxygen saturation was set as 96%[19]. Among the comorbidities, those with a prevalence of 2% or more were applied to the analyses. Because diabetes and obesity share common clinical features and immune responses to SARS-CoV-2[20], and both have been reported as high risk factors for COVID-19 mortality[21], they fit into the same category in order to simplify the prediction model. Other statistical methods are described in the Supplementary Materials.

Ethics statement

The need for informed consent was waived because the study is retrospective. This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Fukushima Medical University (approval number 2020-118, approved on August 3, 2020, updated September 01, 2021).

Results

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the mild/moderate-1 COVID-19 patients aged under 65 years old in the current study. One hundred forty-four patients (8.6%) experienced worsening of the disease a day after admission or later. These patients were older and more male, and had histories of cigarette smoking, higher body temperature, lower oxygen saturation, and comorbidities such as diabetes/obesity, and hypertension. The rates of pregnancy, as well as comorbidities such as chronic respiratory diseases, malignancies, dyslipidemia, and cardiac diseases, were not significantly different between the Worsened and Stable groups.

Table 2 shows the results of the forward stepwise multivariate logistic regression analysis that identified independent risk factors associated with worsening COVID-19 during hospitalization. All variables that had significant differences between the groups (Table 1) were

included (Model 1, Table 2). Body temperature $\geq 38^{\circ}$ C, comorbidities of diabetes or obesity, age \geq 40 years, and SpO2 < 96% were independent risk factors that predict exacerbation of COVID-19. According to the value of the β regression coefficients of the analysis, the above mentioned variables were assigned points in Model 1 (2 points, 1 point, 1 point, and 1 point, respectively). A model excluding the variable of oxygen saturation was also created (Model 2, Table 3). Body temperature $\geq 38^{\circ}$ C, comorbidities of diabetes or obesity, and age ≥ 40 years were independent risk factors that predict the exacerbation (Table 3), and were each assigned 1 point. Both models were significantly associated with the worsening of COVID-19 in the logistic regression analyses (Model 1: P < 0.0001, Model 2: P < 0.0001). The Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test revealed adequate performances of the predictive models (Model 1: $\chi^2 = 1.250$, P = 0.535; Model 2: $\chi^2 = 0.782$, P = 0.377). The scores in Models 1 and 2 had respective AUCs of 0.789 and 0.771 for predicting the worsening of COVID-19 patients during hospitalization (Figure 2). The optimal cut-off value in both models was 2. The sensitivity and specificity of the cut-off in Model 1 were 70.5% and 77.5%, respectively, and those in Model 2 were 58.2% and 85.7%, respectively. Tables 4 and 5 show the percentages of the patients who had exacerbation (upper) or required the treatment of mechanical ventilation and/or ECMO (lower) during hospitalization according to the scores of Model 1 (Table 4) and Model 2 (Table 5). The higher the points, the more exacerbated and the greater need for mechanical ventilation/ECMO.

The ability of these models was validated in another cohort. Three hundred and twenty-four inpatients of hospitals in Yamagata, Japan, were enrolled in this study (Table 1). Forty-one of these patients (12.7%) experienced worsening of the disease during hospitalization. In logistic regression analyses, both models were significantly associated with the worsening of COVID-19 (Model 1: P < 0.0001, Model 2: P < 0.0001). The Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test revealed adequate performances of the predictive models (Model 1: $\chi^2 = 5.198$, P = 0.158; Model 2: $\chi^2 = 0.678$, P = 0.410). The ROC curves of Models 1 and 2 showed respective AUCs of 0.702 and 0.722 for predicting the worsening of COVID-19 with a cut-off of 2, the respective sensitivities were 73.2% and 61.0%, and the respective specificities were 56.6% and 76.7%. Tables 6 and 7 show the percentages of the patients who experienced exacerbation during hospitalization according to the scores of Model 1 (Table 6) and Model 2 (Table 7). The prevalence of worsening outcomes was higher in the high score groups in both models.

Discussion

We examined risk factors associated with worsening COVID-19 in 1,675 Japanese patients without respiratory failure aged < 65 years. Using the identified risk factors, we

established two predictive models; one consisting of four items, namely comorbidity of <u>d</u>iabetes or <u>o</u>besity, <u>age</u> \geq 40 years, high body <u>t</u>emperature \geq 38°C, and oxygen <u>s</u>aturation < 96%, DOATS score; and another consisting of three items, <u>d</u>iabetes or <u>o</u>besity, <u>age</u>, and <u>t</u>emperature, DOAT score. In cases where the doctor visited the patients' homes, information about oxygen saturation may not be available. Therefore, we thought that prediction using three items, DOAT score, is easier to use than that consist of four items, the DOATS score. Because the difference of the area under ROC curve between the two models was less than 2%, we believe that their predictive abilities were not significantly different.

The present study included a relatively large number of subjects in Fukushima Prefecture. COVID-19 is a designated "Category 2 Infectious Disease" in Japan, and in Fukushima Prefecture, inpatient treatment was performed for even mild cases, in order to isolate the patients from uninfected persons. Many COVID-19 studies have been primarily from the in-patient setting, skewing toward severe disease. In our database, not only severely ill patients but also patients with mild and moderate cases, who did not have respiratory failure, were enrolled. As a result, over 1,500 patients who did not have respiratory failure were included in the analysis.

In the present study, clinical worsening in each patient was identified based on data regarding starting medication against COVID-19, oxygen inhalation including nasal high flow cannula, and mechanical ventilation. This information enabled us to understand the risk factors that predict worsening COVID-19 among patients in the early phase of the disease. Most previous studies have analyzed either risk factors that predict death or mechanical ventilator used mainly in critically ill COVID-19 patients[2-7]. However, it is unclear whether the predictive factors identified in those studies can be used to accurately identify patients with mild cases who may experience worsening, because the statistical analyses of those studies only focused on the extremely hard outcomes such as death or use of mechanical ventilator. Even if the disease progression does not lead to such a hard outcome, the progression to respiratory failure often has a significant effect on the patient. Some patients with respiratory failure may require hospitalization for a long period of time, and may require long-term oxygen therapy due to the lowering of pulmonary function. Therefore, prevention of progression to respiratory failure is considered to be a major medical goal. For this purpose, it is necessary to identify patients who are at risk of exacerbation and provide treatment such as neutralizing antibodies or antiviral agents to those individuals as early as possible[22, 23].

Several studies demonstrated the association between the clinical characteristics such as older age, male sex, symptoms of COVID-19 (fever, cough, fatigue, and short of breath) and comorbidities (hypertension, diabetes, and CKD) and its severity or activity[24-26]. Addition of blood test may increase the accuracy of prediction of the disease progression[10]. In the present

study, we demonstrated two very simple methods that predict the development of illness among mild/moderate patients with COVID-19, DOAT and DOATS scores. Because blood sampling is not needed for these scorings, any medical staffs can evaluate the risk of patient in front of them immediately. Tu et al. made a model predicting progression of COVID-19 consisting of nine items, namely male sex, age, fever, hypertension, cardio-cerebrovascular disease, dyspnea, cough, and myalgia [13]. Their model consisted of clinical characteristics only, not laboratory data. Their ability to predict the progression to the disease seemed to be equal to ours because the areas under the ROC curve was 0.787 in their model, and 0.790 (DOATS score) and 0.771 (DOAT score) in our models. However, the models demonstrated in the present study was simpler than their model. During the situation of the pandemic where many patients are coming to clinics, the simple and quick methods to identify the worsening patients is desirable.

Currently, many elderly people in Japan have been already vaccinated against SARS-CoV-2 [27, 28]. Delayed vaccination for middle aged and younger people due to a vaccine shortage resulted in the shift of epidemics from elderlies to middle-aged/young people. We suspect that this change means that there are different risk factors of worsening COVID-19 to identify and assess. Previously reported risk factors may not be effective for the groups of people who are currently at highest risk, because comorbidities such as cancer and chronic respiratory or renal diseases are relatively uncommon in young and middle-aged people. In the present study, the risk factors for worsening COVID-19 among non-elderly patients without respiratory failure were comorbidities of diabetes or obesity, high body temperature, older age, and lower oxygen saturation. The reported risks, such as male sex, cigarette smoking, hypertension, chronic respiratory disease, malignancies, dyslipidemia, and cardiac diseases, were not independently associated with worsening of the disease. Therefore, the risk factors for worsening COVID-19 may need to be reconsidered and repeatedly updated in accordance with the shift of generations who are suffering from COVID-19.

The strength of the current study is that the results are considered to be generalizable, because this study included inpatients at major hospitals in Fukushima that can handle COVID-19 inpatient treatment. In our database, three fourths of COVID-19 patents in Fukushima were enrolled. In addition, the robustness of our results is supported by the results from the external validation cohort analyses.

There were limitations in the present study. Firstly, data regarding the exact proportion of vaccinated individuals were not available. COVID-19 vaccinations began to be administered in Japan in March 2021, and by the end of May 2021, no vaccinations had yet been administered to non-elderly people [27, 28]. Therefore, we believe that most of the population in this study had not been vaccinated. Secondly, the precise data regarding about the day of onset and deterioration or treatment before deterioration was not available for any patients.

There may be some differences in treatment before deterioration between the Worsened and Stable groups. For example, treatment such as inhaled corticosteroid and favipiravir may have affected the clinical course of the patients[29-31]. In our database, information about the timing of the prescription of these medicines were not available. Thirdly, we do not know whether the risk factors identified in the present study will still be applicable to the risk stratification against COVID-19 caused by future-coming variants of SARS-CoV-2. The current vaccines are reportedly less effective against the SARS-CoV-2 Mu variant[32]. Therefore, even vaccinated individuals may still get infected[33]. The increased infectivity caused by viral mutations may prolong the COVID-19 pandemic. In situations where many individuals are vaccinated, it is possible that new risk factors for worsening COVID-19 will become apparent.

Conclusion

We found that comorbidities of diabetes or obesity, age ≥ 40 years, high body temperature $\geq 38^{\circ}$ C, and oxygen saturation < 96% were risk factors which were linked to disease progression in non-elderly COVID-19 patients who did not have respiratory failure on admission. We also established two simple prediction models that can quickly and easily evaluate the risk of the patients using the sum of the points that were given according to the presence of these risk factors. We believe that these scoring methods can be used broadly in many clinics, and that they can be used to effectively identify high risk patients among non-elderly COVID-19 patients at an early phase, resulting in reducing progression of the disease by enabling treatment to be administered as soon as possible.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Scientific English Editing Section of Fukushima Medical University for their fruitful discussion and linguistic assistance in proofreading the manuscript.

References

- Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and Important Lessons From the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in China: Summary of a Report of 72314 Cases From the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. JAMA 2020; 323(13): 1239-42.
- Docherty AB, Harrison EM, Green CA, et al. Features of 20 133 UK patients in hospital with covid-19 using the ISARIC WHO Clinical Characterisation Protocol: prospective observational cohort study. BMJ 2020; 369: m1985.

- Hu J, Wang Y. The Clinical Characteristics and Risk Factors of Severe COVID-19. Gerontology 2021; 67(3): 255-66.
- Kragholm K, Andersen MP, Gerds TA, et al. Association between male sex and outcomes of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (Covid-19) - a Danish nationwide, register-based study. Clin Infect Dis 2020; 73(11): e4025-e30.
- Lowe KE, Zein J, Hatipoglu U, Attaway A. Association of Smoking and Cumulative Pack-Year Exposure With COVID-19 Outcomes in the Cleveland Clinic COVID-19 Registry. JAMA Intern Med 2021; 181(5): 709-11.
- 6. Peckham H, de Gruijter NM, Raine C, et al. Male sex identified by global COVID-19 meta-analysis as a risk factor for death and ITU admission. Nat Commun **2020**; 11(1): 6317.
- Zhao Z, Chen A, Hou W, et al. Prediction model and risk scores of ICU admission and mortality in COVID-19. PLoS One 2020; 15(7): e0236618.
- Huang J, Cheng A, Lin S, Zhu Y, Chen G. Individualized prediction nomograms for disease progression in mild COVID-19. J Med Virol 2020; 92(10): 2074-80.
- Ji D, Zhang D, Xu J, et al. Prediction for Progression Risk in Patients With COVID-19 Pneumonia: The CALL Score. Clin Infect Dis 2020; 71(6): 1393-9.
- Liu M, Jiang H, Li Y, et al. Independent Risk Factors for the Dynamic Development of COVID-19: A Retrospective Study. Int J Gen Med 2021; 14: 4349-67.
- Sugiyama M, Kinoshita N, Ide S, et al. Serum CCL17 level becomes a predictive marker to distinguish between mild/moderate and severe/critical disease in patients with COVID-19. Gene 2021; 766: 145145.
- Muto Y, Awano N, Inomata M, et al. Predictive model for the development of critical coronavirus disease 2019 and its risk factors among patients in Japan. Respir Investig 2021; 59(6): 804-9.
- Tu Y, Zhou X, Shao L, et al. Predicting Progression of COVID-19 Infection to Prioritize Medical Resource Allocation: A Novel Triage Model Based on Patient Characteristics and Symptoms at Presentation. Front Public Health 2021; 9: 610280.
- 14. Wang L, He W, Yu X, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 in elderly patients: Characteristics and prognostic factors based on 4-week follow-up. J Infect **2020**; 80(6): 639-45.
- Zheng Y, Zhang Y, Chi H, et al. The hemocyte counts as a potential biomarker for predicting disease progression in COVID-19: a retrospective study. Clin Chem Lab Med 2020; 58(7): 1106-15.
- St. Sauver J, Lopes G, Rocca W, et al. Factors Associated With Severe COVID-19 Infection Among Persons of Different Ages Living in a Defined Midwestern US Population. Mayo Clinic Proceedings 2021; 96(10): 2528-39.
- 17. Japan_Ministry_of_Health_Labor_and_Welfare. Clinical Management of patients with

COVID-19: A guide for front-line healthcare workers (in Japanese). Available at: https://www.niph.go.jp/h-crisis/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/20200706103735 content 0006465 31.pdf. Accessed September 16, 2021.

- Yamamoto A, Wada H, Ichikawa Y, et al. Evaluation of Biomarkers of Severity in Patients with COVID-19 Infection. J Clin Med 2021; 10(17): 3775.
- Takei R, Yamano Y, Kataoka K, et al. Pulse oximetry saturation can predict prognosis of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Respir Investig 2020; 58(3): 190-5.
- Perez-Galarza J, Procel C, Canadas C, et al. Immune Response to SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Obesity and T2D: Literature Review. Vaccines (Basel) 2021; 9(2): 102.
- Bello-Chavolla OY, Bahena-Lopez JP, Antonio-Villa NE, et al. Predicting Mortality Due to SARS-CoV-2: A Mechanistic Score Relating Obesity and Diabetes to COVID-19 Outcomes in Mexico. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2020; 105(8).
- Beigel JH, Tomashek KM, Dodd LE, et al. Remdesivir for the Treatment of Covid-19 Final Report. N Engl J Med 2020; 383(19): 1813-26.
- Ganesh R, Philpot LM, Bierle DM, et al. Real-World Clinical Outcomes of Bamlanivimab and Casirivimab-Imdevimab among High-Risk Patients with Mild to Moderate Coronavirus Disease 2019. J Infect Dis 2021; 224(8): 1278-86.
- 24. Geng MJ, Wang LP, Ren X, et al. Risk factors for developing severe COVID-19 in China: an analysis of disease surveillance data. Infect Dis Poverty **2021**; 10(1): 48.
- 25. Lee HW, Yoon SY, Lee JK, et al. Clinical implication and risk factor of pneumonia development in mild coronavirus disease 2019 patients. Korean J Intern Med **2021**; 36(1): 1-10.
- 26. Park HY, Lee JH, Lim NK, et al. Presenting characteristics and clinical outcome of patients with COVID-19 in South Korea: A nationwide retrospective observational study. Lancet Reg Health West Pac 2020; 5: 100061.
- 27. Japan_Ministry_of_Health_Labor_and_Welfare. Number of new SARS-CoV-2 PCR positive subjects and the percentage of vaccinated elderlies in Japan (in Japanese). Available at: https://www.mhlw.go.jp/content/10900000/000840238.pdf. Accessed October 12, 2021.
- NipponTV. COVID-19 presented in data and graphs: Vaccinated situation in elderlies (in Japanese). Available at: <u>https://www.news24.jp/archives/corona_vaccine/index3.html</u>. Accessed September 15, 2021.
- Shinkai M, Tsushima K, Tanaka S, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Favipiravir in Moderate COVID-19 Pneumonia Patients without Oxygen Therapy: A Randomized, Phase III Clinical Trial. Infect Dis Ther 2021; 10(4): 2489-509.
- Song JY, Yoon JG, Seo YB, et al. Ciclesonide Inhaler Treatment for Mild-to-Moderate COVID-19: A Randomized, Open-Label, Phase 2 Trial. J Clin Med 2021; 10(16).
- 31. Yu LM, Bafadhel M, Dorward J, et al. Inhaled budesonide for COVID-19 in people at high risk

of complications in the community in the UK (PRINCIPLE): a randomised, controlled, open-label, adaptive platform trial. Lancet **2021**; 398(10303): 843-55.

- 32. Uriu K, Kimura I, Shirakawa K, et al. Ineffective neutralization of the SARS-CoV-2 Mu variant by convalescent and vaccine sera. bioRxiv **2021**.
- 33. Antonelli M, Penfold RS, Merino J, et al. Risk factors and disease profile of post-vaccination SARS-CoV-2 infection in UK users of the COVID Symptom Study app: a prospective, community-based, nested, case-control study. Lancet Infect Dis 2021.

Table 1. Differences of characteristics between the Stable and Worsened groups in the original cohort of the study and external validation
cohort

		Original coh	ort	E	External validation cohort			
	All	Stable group	Worsened group	All	Stable group	Worsened group		
	n = 1675	n = 1531	n = 144	n = 324	n = 283	n = 41		
Age, year	41 (25–53)	39 (24–52)	51 (41.25–59.75)***	42 (29.3–52)	41 (27–51)	51 (39.5–60.5) ^{###}		
Male	919 (54.8%)	825 (53.9%)	94 (65.3%)***	181 (55.9%)	158 (55.8%)	23 (56.1%) ^a		
Mild / Moderate-1	947 / 728	900 / 631	47 / 97 ***	260 / 64	240 / 43	20 / 21 ###		
History of cigarette smoking	550 (38.0%)	479 (36.56%)	71 (52.59%)***	_		—		
Temperature ≥37°C	647 (40.4%)	549 (37.6%)	98 (68.5%)***	230 (71.0%)	195 (68.9%)	35 (85.4%) [#]		
Temperature ≥38°C	291 (17.8%)	219 (14.7%)	72 (50.7%)***	96 (29.6%)	73 (25.8%)	23 (56.1%) ^{###}		
Diabetes or Obesity	175 (11.2%)	132 (9.26%)	43 (30.5%)***	53 (16.6%)	41 (14.7%)	12 (29.3%) [#]		
Hypertension	197 (12.6%)	155 (10.87%)	42 (29.8%)***		—	—		
Chronic respiratory diseases	75 (4.8%)	67 (4.7%)	8 (5.7%)		—	—		
Malignancies	37 (2.4%)	31 (2.2%)	6 (4.3%)	_	—	—		
Dyslipidemia	80 (5.1%)	68 (4.74%)	12 (8.51%)		—	—		
Cardiac diseases	40 (2.5%)	33 (2.30%)	7 (4.96%)			—		
Pregnancy	13 (0.8%)	13 (0.8%)	0 (0%)			—		
MV and/or ECMO	7 (0.4%)	0 (0%)	7 (0.4%)			—		
Deceased due to COVID-19	1 (0.06%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.06%)	_		—		
SpO ₂ , %	97 (97–98)	98 (97–98)	97 (96–97.75)***	97 (96–98)	97 (97–98)	97 (96–98) ^b		

***: P < 0.0001 vs Stable group (original cohort), ^{###}: P < 0.0001 vs Stable group (validation cohort), [#]: P < 0.05 vs Stable group (validation cohort), ^a: P = 0.974 vs Stable group (validation cohort), ^b: P = 0.055 vs Stable group (validation cohort).

In the original cohort, the numbers of missing data regarding "history of cigarette smoking", "temperature \geq 37°C", "temperature \geq 38°C", "diabetes or obesity", "hypertension", "chronic respiratory disease", "malignancies", "dyslipidemia", "cardiac diseases", and "SpO₂" were 230, 73, 38, 108, 108, 99, 99, 99, 99, and six, respectively.

In the external validation cohort, the numbers of missing data regarding "diabetes or obesity" was four.

Abbreviations: coronavirus disease 2019, COVID-19; extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, ECMO; mechanical ventilation, MV.

				,
Variables	β regression coefficient	Odds ratio	95% confidence interval	Р
Temperature ≥ 38°C	0.788	5.06	3.45–7.42	1.78E-6
Diabetes or Obesity	0.536	2.98	1.91–4.65	0.00027
Age ≥ 40 years-old	0.523	2.66	1.72–4.16	0.00022
SpO2 < 96%	0.386	2.26	1.35–3.76	0.00927
Hypertension	—	—	—	0.078
Positive History of cigarette smoking	—	—	—	0.141
Temperature ≥ 37°C	—	—	—	0.185
Male gender		_	_	0.598

 Table 2. Forward stepwise multiple logistic regression analysis that predicts worsening among mild/moderate-1 COVID-19 patients using all variables that were significantly different between the Stable and Worsened groups (Model 1)

Variables	β regression coefficient	Odds ratio	95% confidence interval	Р
Temperature ≥ 38°C	0.817	5.38	3.68–7.86	1.22E-6
Diabetes or Obesity	0.578	3.28	2.11–5.07	5.82E-5
Age ≥ 40 years-old	0.535	2.74	1.78–4.23	0.00012
Hypertension	_	—	—	0.068
Positive History of cigarette smoking	—	—	—	0.135
Temperature ≥ 37°C	—	—	—	0.129
Male gender	—	—	—	0.436

Table 3. Forward stepwise multiple logistic regression analysis that predicts worsening among mild/moderate-1 COVID-19 patients using variables that were significantly different between the Stable and Worsened groups except for "SpO2 < 96%" (Model 2)

Table 4. Percentages of mild/moderate-1 COVID-19 patients whose conditions worsened during hospitalization (upper, overall worsening; bottom, worsening requiring mechanical ventilation/ECMO) according to the DOATS score (Model 1)

Point	0 n = 615	1 n = 515	2 n = 209	3 n = 150	4 n = 42	5 n = 13	Р
Worsening during hospitalization, %	2.11	5.44	14.83	29.33	38.10	53.85	< 0.0001
Worsening requiring for mechanical ventilation/ECMO, %	0	0	0.48	0.67	7.14	15.38	< 0.0001

DOATS score: the prediction of worsening in mild/moderate COVID-19 patients using four items; having <u>d</u>iabetes or <u>o</u>besity, <u>age \geq 40</u> years, high <u>t</u>emperature of \geq 38°C, and oxygen <u>s</u>aturation < 96%.

These items were assigned 1 point, 1 point, 2 points, and 1 point, respectively. The summation of these points was defined as the patient's DOATS score.

Table 5. Percentages of mild/moderate-1 COVID-19 patients who had worsened conditions during hospitalization (upper, overall worsening; lower, worsening requiring for mechanical ventilation/ECMO) according to the DOAT score (Model 2)

Point	0 n = 646	1 n = 619	2 n = 247	3 n = 36	Р
Worsening during hospitalization, %	2.32	6.95	26.32	44.44	< 0.0001
Worsening requiring for mechanical ventilation/ECMO, %	0	0	0.81	13.89	< 0.0001

DOAT score: the prediction of worsening in mild/moderate COVID-19 patients using three items; having <u>d</u>iabetes or <u>o</u>besity, <u>age \geq 40</u> years, and high <u>temperature of \geq 38°C.</u>

Each item was assigned 1 point each. The summation of these points was defined as the patient's DOAT score.

(Model 1) in the validation cohort							
Point	0	1	2	3	4	5	Р
	n = 79	n = 90	n = 68	n = 42	n = 31	n = 10	
Worsening during hospitalization, %	3.80	8.89	10.29	30.95	25.81	20.00	< 0.0001

Table 6. Percentages of mild/moderate-1 COVID-19 patients who had worsening during hospitalization according to the DOATS score (Model 1) in the validation cohort

Due to four missing data, this analysis was performed using the data of 320 patients. Data regarding the utilization of a mechanical ventilator or ECMO were not available in the validation cohort.

DOATS score: the prediction of worsening in mild/moderate COVID-19 patients using four items, having of <u>d</u>iabetes or <u>o</u>besity, <u>age \geq </u> 40 years, high <u>t</u>emperature \geq 38°C, and oxygen <u>s</u>aturation < 96%.

These items were assigned 1 point, 1 point, 2 points, and 1 point, respectively. The summation of these points was defined as the patient's DOATS score.

 Table 7. Percentages of mild/moderate-1 COVID-19 patients who had worsening during hospitalization according to the DOAT score

 (Model 2) in the validation cohort

Point	0 n = 98	1 n = 132	2 n = 75	3 n = 15	Р
Worsening during hospitalization, %	3.06	9.85	29.33	20.00	< 0.0001

Due to four missing data, this analysis was performed using the data of 320 patients. Data regarding the utilization of mechanical ventilator/ECMO were not available in the validation cohort.

DOAT score: the prediction of worsening in mild/moderate COVID-19 patients using three items, having <u>d</u>iabetes or <u>o</u>besity, <u>age \geq 40</u> years, and high <u>temperature \geq 38°C</u>

Each item was assigned 1 point each. The summation of these points was defined as the patient's DOAT score.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Flowchart of patients' recruitment in this study

Among all of the registered inpatients with COVID-19 in Fukushima, 1,675 were selected for the present study. Disease severity was classified into mild, moderate-1, moderate-2 and severe in accordance with the definition of Japanese Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare, as described in the *Methods* section of this manuscript.

Figure 2. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analyses of the models that predicts worsening of mild/moderate-1 COVID-19 aged under 65 years.

A) Model 1: prediction model using comorbidity of <u>diabetes/obsee</u>, <u>age \geq 40 years</u>, body <u>temperature \geq 38°C, and oxygen saturation < 96% (DOATS score).</u>

B) Model 2: prediction model using comorbidity of <u>d</u>iabetes/<u>o</u>bese, <u>age</u> \ge 40 years, and body <u>t</u>emperature \ge 38°C (DOAT score).



