1	Title: Investigating group-based classes ('weaning workshops') to support complementary infant
2	feeding in Irish primary care settings: A cross-sectional survey.
3	
4	Authors:
5	Caragh Flannery <sup>1,2*</sup> , Caroline Shea <sup>1</sup> , Yvonne O'Brien <sup>3</sup> , Joanne O'Halloran <sup>4</sup> , Karen Matvienko-Sikar <sup>1</sup> ,
6	Colette Kelly <sup>5</sup> , Elaine Toomey <sup>6,7</sup> *
7	
8	*joint first authors
9	
10	Affiliations:
11	1. School of Public Health, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland
12	2. INFANT Centre, Cork University Maternity Hospital, University College Cork, Ireland.
13	3. Community Nutrition and Dietetic Service, Cork Kerry Community Healthcare, Health Service
14	Executive, Ireland
15	4. Primary Care Centre, Mountkennedy Town Centre, Newtownmountkennedy, Co Wicklow, Ireland
16	5. Health Promotion Research Centre, National University of Ireland Galway, Galway, Ireland
17	6. School of Allied Health, University of Limerick, Co. Limerick, Ireland
18	7. Health Research Institute, University of Limerick, Co. Limerick, Ireland
19	
20	Shortened title: Exploring weaning workshops in Irish primary care
21	
22	Corresponding author: Dr Elaine Toomey, School of Allied Health, University of Limerick, Limerick,
23	Ireland. <u>Elaine.c.toomey@ul.ie</u> ; 00353-61234232
24	
25	Acknowledgements: The authors would like to sincerely thank the participants who took the time to
26	complete this survey. This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial
27	or not-for-profit sectors and was conducted as part of a MA in Public Health in University College Cork
28	undertaken by Caroline Shea and supervised by Dr Elaine Toomey and Dr Caragh Flannery.
29	
30	Conflict of Interest: None.
31	
32	Ethical Standards Disclosure: This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the
33	Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving research study participants were approved by the
	NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

- 34 School of Public Health Internal Social Research Ethics Committee in University College Cork. Written
- 35 informed consent was obtained from all participants.
- 36
- 37 Authorship: ET and YOB conceived the study. CS developed the study and conducted data collection
- 38 under supervision from ET and CF. All authors provided substantial contributions to the design,
- 39 acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data. All authors were involved in drafting and approving
- 40 the manuscript.
- 41

### 42 Abstract:

- 43 *Objective:* This study aims to 1) investigate current practice regarding 'weaning workshops' to support
   44 complementary infant feeding delivered within Irish primary care, 2) explore the experiences and
- 45 opinions of community dietitians regarding optimal content and modes of delivery of weaning
- 46 workshops, and 3) identify the key factors to be considered in the development and implementation
- 47 of weaning workshops delivered within primary care.
- 48 Design: Cross-sectional survey
- 49 *Setting:* Irish primary care
- 50 Participants: 47 community-based dietitians

51 **Results:** Sixteen dietitians reported that workshops were run in their area with variable frequency, 52 with ten reporting that workshops were never run in their area. Participants reported that mostly 53 mothers of medium socioeconomic status attended weaning workshops when infants were aged 54 between 4-7 months, and that feedback from workshop attendees was predominantly positive. 55 Dietitians identified that key factors to be considered in future development and delivery of weaning workshops are 1) workshop characteristics such as content, timing and venue, 2) organisational 56 57 characteristics such as availability of resources and multidisciplinary involvement, and 3) attendee 58 characteristics such as socioeconomic status. 59 **Conclusions:** This study highlights substantial variability regarding provision of weaning workshops in

- Ireland, and a lack of standardisation regarding the provider, content, and frequency of workshops where workshops are being delivered. The study also provides unique insights into the experiences and opinions of primary care community dietitians regarding the development and delivery of weaning workshops in terms of optimal content and delivery options. These perspectives will make a valuable contribution given the dearth of evidence in this area internationally.
- 65
- 66
- 67

#### 68 **Background:**

69 Optimum infant nutrition is a vital aspect of healthy child development and chronic disease 70 prevention, and the complementary introduction of solid foods within the first two years of life plays 71 a key role in this. International World Health Organisation (WHO) infant feeding guidelines 72 recommend that infants be exclusively breastfed until 6 months, with nutritionally adequate and 73 appropriate solid foods introduced from 6 months onwards (1, 2). However, despite these 74 recommendations, a substantial proportion of infants globally are introduced to solids before four 75 months (3, 4). In Ireland, a recent study identified that 18% of Irish infants had begun complementary 76 feeding before 17 weeks, with another showing 13.5% taking solids between 12 and 16 weeks (5-7). 77 Previous research also found that a high proportion of Irish infants aged six months consume foods 78 high in energy, salt, saturated fats, and refined sugars (8, 9). Given the importance of establishing 79 healthy dietary behaviours from childhood, supporting parents and primary caregivers to introduce 80 solid foods for infants and young children in line with best practice recommendations is of key 81 significance both nationally and internationally.

82

83 Multiple factors influence complementary infant feeding behaviours. For example, Fall et al (2011) 84 identified several factors associated with the early introduction of solids, including younger maternal 85 age, lower socioeconomic status (SES), lower maternal education, absent/short duration of 86 breastfeeding and maternal smoking (10). More recently, Gutierrez-Camacho et al. (2019) identified 87 that higher maternal education, higher age and higher household income are positively associated with healthy infant feeding behaviours (11). In addition, recent qualitative evidence syntheses 88 89 explored parents' perceptions and experiences of infant feeding and identified many factors 90 influencing parents' complementary feeding behaviours (12, 13). Both reviews found that complementary feeding behaviours are influenced by multiple sources of advice, in particular those 91 92 of peers (i.e., family and friends) and healthcare professionals. The studies identified a need for 93 greater education and support for parents regarding complementary feeding, particularly within 94 primary care settings (13), and considering practical issues such as time and cost (12). The reviews also 95 highlighted greater barriers within lower SES populations, such as the lack of affordability of healthy food (13), greater misconceptions and lack of knowledge (12). 96

97

98 Despite an increasing focus on the importance of appropriate complementary infant feeding, and the 99 need to support parents and primary caregivers to engage in recommended behaviours, the existing evidence regarding the effectiveness of interventions to improve complementary feeding behaviours 100 101 is inconsistent (12, 14, 15). Recent systematic reviews of infant feeding interventions have shown

102 minimal effects on infant feeding practices and other important outcomes such as child weight (12, 103 14, 15). Methodological limitations of existing interventions have also been highlighted with poor 104 application and/or use of behaviour change theory, a lack of systematic intervention development 105 and a lack of focus on long-term implementation and sustainability (15-17). Results of these reviews 106 have emphasised the importance of trustworthy, non-biased, consistent infant feeding information 107 for parents while also facilitating the development of practical skills and providing hands-on support such as demonstrating how to prepare nutritional meals (12, 14-17). Given the important role that 108 109 healthcare professionals can play in influencing infant feeding behaviours coupled with the strong 110 social influence of family and friends (3, 12, 13), the current evidence therefore suggests a need for 111 rigorously developed and evaluated sustainable community-based interventions that can be 112 embedded into routine healthcare services. Primary care represents a promising setting for such 113 interventions given its community-based context and an increasing global focus on health promotion 114 and disease prevention within primary care practice (18-20). Given their expertise, community 115 dietitians may have an important role to play in interventions that aim to improve healthy infant 116 feeding; however, recent research has highlighted limited capacity amongst dietitians to prioritise 117 preventive-focused interventions over complex clinical issues such as feeding challenges or problems 118 (21).

119

120 Group-based parenting programmes have been previously recognised as an effective means to change 121 parents' behaviour, and when implemented in community-based settings, have been found to 122 improve child social, emotional outcomes, as well as parenting skills (22-24). Furthermore, these 123 group-based programmes have shown that they can be delivered with high levels of implementation 124 fidelity and parental engagement (22, 25, 26). Other studies have identified factors which are 125 associated with the success of parenting programmes including parental attitudes towards 126 programme content, changes in parenting skills and confidence, and positive experiences of the group 127 process (26-28). In the context of early child nutrition, group-based programmes focusing on 128 complementary feeding, or 'weaning workshops', have been suggested as a promising approach to 129 target infant feeding practices (12, 21, 23, 24, 29, 30). Such programmes are workshop-style events that can target several important aspects for effective community-based interventions, e.g. provide 130 131 much needed access to evidence-based information, social support, and practical skills. However, apart from some notable exceptions (29, 30) there is limited research on the development, 132 133 implementation and evaluation of weaning workshops within community or primary care settings, with the majority of evidence focusing predominantly on breastfeeding support or individually-134 135 delivered interventions (31, 32). Additionally, current practice surrounding weaning workshops and

136 service offerings within routine care, and the optimum content and mode(s) of delivery have been 137 poorly explored to date, both in Ireland and internationally. A previous unpublished report from the 138 Irish Health Service Executive (HSE) in 2016 completed by 11 dietitians from 9 Irish community health 139 organisation (CHO) areas identified that weaning workshops were being offered in 7/9 CHO areas, and 140 that programme content and implementation was highly variable across settings (33). Ten of the 141 included dietitians highlighted the need for standardised weaning workshop; however this report did not collect information from participants regarding their views on the optimum content or mode(s) of 142 143 delivery of weaning workshops, nor the factors that need to be considered in their development and 144 implementation in primary care settings. Due to the potential for community-based weaning workshops to support complementary infant feeding behaviours and the scant existing evidence 145 internationally to inform appropriate development and implementation, further exploration of such 146 147 interventions is needed.

148 This study aims to:

- Investigate current practice regarding the delivery of weaning workshops within Irish primary
   care settings,
- To explore the experiences and opinions of community dietitians regarding the optimal
   content and modes of delivery of weaning workshops, and
- To identify the key factors that should be considered to facilitate the development and
   implementation of effective and sustainable weaning workshops within routine primary care
   from the perspectives of community dietitians.
- 156

### 157 Methods:

### 158 Study design

The research adopted a quantitative approach using a cross-sectional survey distributed to community-based dietitians working in the Irish primary healthcare service. We obtained ethical approval from the School of Public Health Internal Social Research Ethics Committee in University College Cork. The STROBE checklist for cross-sectional studies (34) was used to inform reporting of the findings (Appendix 1).

164

### 165 Sampling and recruitment

All community-based HSE dietitians based in Ireland were eligible to participate in the study. After consultation with a senior community dietitian in primary care (JOH), it was established that there are approximately 90 community-based dietitians in Ireland were eligible to participate in the study. The survey was set up using 'Lime Survey', an online resource for hosting surveys and questionnaires. An

email inviting all community-based HSE dietitians in Ireland was sent by a primary care dietitian manager (YOB) in the Cork area from May-June 2019. One reminder email was sent within this timeframe. The invitation email included an information sheet outlining the nature of the research, a link to the survey and instructions for obtaining consent. Before emailing, the survey was piloted with two participants (a researcher with a nutritional background and a dietitian), after which minor refinements were made to the wording of questions. The pilot responses were not included in the analysis.

177

#### 178 Data Collection

179 A 34-item survey was developed based on existing literature in conjunction with an unpublished 180 report by the Health Service Executive (12, 29, 33, 35). In the first section, participants were asked to 181 provide their age category, gender, CHO area, length of time qualified, whether they worked in 182 primary care or health promotion and current position. The second section asked participants about 183 current weaning workshops service offerings in their CHO area. Specifically, participants were asked 184 questions about workshop characteristics including frequency, provider, content and resources 185 required, and about attendee characteristics, e.g. numbers in attendance, average parent/caregiver 186 type (e.g. mothers, fathers, grandparents etc), average socioeconomic status, average age of infant 187 and their views on the general reception by attendees regarding the workshops. The next section 188 asked participants about their specific experience and opinions of delivering weaning workshops, and 189 their views of the factors influencing the development and delivery of weaning workshops and 190 attendance by parents/caregivers. All of the above items were closed-ended questions with multiple-191 choice answers provided (n=30 items). Four open-ended questions were also included to capture 192 participants' views on 1) reasons that workshops are currently not available in their area (if 193 applicable), 2) the most important factors to consider in development and delivery of weaning 194 workshops, 3) recommendations regarding existing services and 3) any additional comments. We 195 defined weaning workshops for participants as 'any community-based, group-based classes that aim 196 to address complementary feeding and the introduction of solid foods for parents and primary 197 caregivers of infants and children'. The full questionnaire is provided in Appendix 2.

198

## 199 Data analysis

The data were cleaned and then transferred into STATA (version 13) for analysis. A descriptive univariate analysis was conducted by CF for all dietitians' characteristics, workshop characteristics and reported attendee characteristics, providing sample numbers and percentages. We used chi-squared test for categorical variables to present differences between responses from dietitians who had

204 experience of delivering workshops and those who did not, but we did not calculate significance or p-205 values due to the small samples involved. All surveys were returned complete but scattered missing 206 data reduced the sample size for some variables (further data provided on missing data in Appendix 207 3). In items that allowed for multiple responses ('tick all that apply'), categories were created for most 208 of the matching responses. For all additional responses, an 'other' category was created. Data from all 209 four open-ended questions were analysed by ET using a conventional content analysis approach 210 outlined by Hsieh and Shannon (2005) (36). This approach was chosen due to the likelihood of minimal 211 depth and richness of responses. After reading and data familiarisation, ET highlighted text that 212 captured key concepts and meaning from responses. These key concepts were then summarised, 213 producing initial codes, which were refined and sorted into overarching categories which were 214 subsequently discussed with CF.

- 215
- 216

#### 217 Results:

### 218 Dietitians' characteristics

A total of 90 dietitians were invited to participate in this study; 47 (52%) consented to participate. Most participants were in the 31-40 years of age bracket (n=17, 39.5%). Community dietitians were predominantly female (n=41, 97.6%), based in primary care (n=33, 80.5%) and working as a dietitian for 1-5 years (n=14, 35%). Representation varied across the 9 CHO areas represented, ranging from 0 (CHO area 2) to 12 (28.6%) from CHO area 4. Most participants were in a senior dietitian role (n=31, 73.8%) (See Table 1).

- 225
- 226

#### **Insert Table 1 here**

227

## 228 Dietitians' characteristics and experience of delivering weaning workshops

229 Data for delivery of weaning workshops were available for 30 participants, with most dietitians 230 reporting not having delivered weaning workshops (n=19, 63.3%) (Table 2). Of the dieticians that had 231 personally delivered weaning workshops previously, most were aged between 41-50 years (n=6, 232 54.6%), based in primary care (n=9, 81.8%) and in a senior dietitian's role (n=9, 81.8%). Characteristics 233 were mostly similar for dietitians who had not delivered workshops previously, with the majority aged 234 between 31-40 years (n=8, 42.1%), based in primary care (n=14, 73.7%) and in a senior dietitian role 235 (n=14, 73.7%). The findings of chi-squared tests are presented in Tables 2-4, with data for dieticians 236 with experience of delivery presented separately to those without experience.

238 **Insert Table 2** 239 240 Dietitians' perceptions of workshop attendees 241 The majority of dietitians who have delivered weaning workshops (n=9, 81.8%) reported that parents 242 who attend weaning workshops are mostly of medium socioeconomic status. Of those who had 243 delivered weaning workshops, the majority indicated that only mothers (n=9, 81.8%) with their infants 244 (n=11, 100.0%), aged 4-7 months (n=8, 72.9%) attended weaning workshops. Most dietitians who had 245 not delivered a weaning workshop answered 'I don't know' to most of the questions including 'who 246 attends weaning workshop's (n=7, 53.9%), 'parents' socioeconomic status' (n=6, 50.0%), 'how many 247 attended a weaning workshop class' (n=5, 45.5%) and 'how parents were referred' to a weaning 248 workshop (n=5, 45.5%). Finally, the majority of dietitians who had delivered weaning workshops 249 reported attendees' feedback as 'excellent' (n=7, 63.6%) (Table 3). 250 251 **Insert Table 3 here** 252 Weaning workshop format, content, development, and delivery of weaning workshops 253 Of the eleven dietitians who had previously delivered a workshop, 36.4% (n=4) reported that 254 255 workshops were delivered by community dieticians in collaboration with public health nurses, while 45.5% did not know what other healthcare professionals were also involved in delivering weaning 256 257 workshops (Table 4). Of dietitians with experience of delivering weaning workshops, 54.6% reported 258 that workshop content included discussion on the timing of introduction of solid foods; responding to 259 infant cues; stages of food textures, allergies, and baby-led weaning (n=6). According to the dietitians 260 with experience, weaning workshops were evaluated using either informal participant feedback (n=3, 261 27.3%) or formal evaluation of opinions (n=3, 27.3%). For those who had delivered weaning 262 workshops, the majority (n=4, 44.4%) mostly indicated that the time taken to travel to workshops was 263 approximately 21-40 minutes, and to prepare for the workshops was 41-60 minutes. Most dietitians 264 who had not delivered a weaning workshop did not know how weaning workshops were developed 265 (n=16, 84.2%) or promoted (n=4, 36.6%), with some indicating that promotion was through other 266 healthcare professionals (n=6, 54.6%). When asked about the cost of weaning workshops in terms of 267 resources needed such as room hire or equipment, of those who had delivered weaning workshops, 268 36.4% reported no cost (n=4), with 18.2% reporting €101- €200 (n=2). 269 270 **Insert Table 4 here** 271

#### 272 Factors influencing the development and delivery of workshops

273 Participants identified resource constraints and a lack of staffing as primary reasons that workshops 274 were not currently offered within their area. Participants also identified several factors they felt should 275 be considered in the future development and delivery of weaning workshops. These include workshop 276 characteristics such as appropriate content, timing, venue and mode of delivery, as well as 277 organizational characteristics such as the availability of resources, multidisciplinary team (MDT) involvement, and attendee characteristics. One participant felt that weaning workshops were not 278 279 needed within primary care. This data is further described in Table 5 which also provides the numbers 280 of participants who identified each factor and sample quotes.

- 281
- 282 283

#### **Insert Table 5 here**

284 Overall, many participants regardless of their experience of delivery highlighted that workshops 285 should be standardized and structured, and that content and associated materials should be practical 286 and interactive, evidence-based and cover topics like responsive feeding, the potential influence of 287 the infant feeding industry and stages of textures. For example, one participant wrote that there is a 288 need for a 'nationally agreed referral pathway with a structured programme incorporated as part of 289 the dietetic service to primary care'. Dietitians also identified that workshops should be appropriate 290 to the child age/stage, e.g., to be run a few times a year to engage with parents and caregivers at 291 appropriate times, and be held in child-friendly venues, at no cost to participants with one stating 292 'because Mums attend with children it is necessary to have the workshop in a room that caters for 293 children'. Regarding the resources needed to develop and implement these workshops, participants 294 identified that availability of staff including administrative support was crucial. They also stated that 295 MDT involvement was crucial both in terms of recruiting or referring attendees to the workshop, and 296 in the development and delivery of workshops, as one participant remarked, 'it needs to be inclusive 297 of all relevant disciplines". Finally, in relation to attendee characteristics, dietitians stated the 298 importance of running workshops for parents and/or caregivers with greatest need, e.g. with a 299 particular focus on engaging families from lower socio-economic status backgrounds, 'The mums that 300 attend is [sic] generally very interested in good nutrition and probably have read widely on the subject 301 prior to attending. Unfortunately, it is difficult to get the mums who really need education on baby 302 weaning to attend'.

303

304 Discussion

305 This study provides an overview of current practice regarding the delivery of weaning workshops 306 within the Irish primary healthcare service. The study also provides insight into the experiences and 307 opinions of primary care community dietitians regarding the development and delivery of weaning 308 workshops in terms of optimal content and delivery options. Overall, only 11 dietitians reported 309 experience of personally delivering weaning workshops. Sixteen dietitians reported that workshops 310 were run in their area with variable frequency, with ten reporting that workshops were never run in their area. Participants reported that mostly only mothers of medium socioeconomic status attended 311 312 weaning workshops when infants were aged between 4-7 months, and that feedback from attendees 313 was mostly positive. Dietitians identified the key factors needing to be considered in the future 314 development and delivery of weaning workshops as workshop characteristics such as content, timing 315 and venue, organisational characteristics such as the availability of resources and multidisciplinary 316 involvement, and attendee characteristics such as socioeconomic status.

317

318 This study highlights substantial variability in current practice regarding weaning workshops in Irish 319 primary care, with workshops being run in some areas by some dietitians but not in others, as well as 320 a lack of standardisation regarding the provider, content, and frequency of workshops where 321 workshops are being delivered. We also identified a distinct lack of clarity and knowledge amongst 322 dietitians regarding what current practice is regarding weaning workshops, with many reporting 'don't 323 know' responses. However, participants agreed on several key factors to be considered for the future 324 development and implementation of weaning workshops. Like other studies in this area, the timing of 325 weaning workshops and ensuring appropriateness of the workshop to the child age/stage was a crucial 326 factor identified by dietitians in this study. Previous research has indicated that mothers are more 327 responsive to personalised approaches within infant feeding interventions (37, 38) and that tailoring 328 workshops to target different stages of infant development is important to optimise their 329 effectiveness (29). Previous intervention studies have indicated that interventions initiated 330 antenatally (39) or up to 10 weeks after birth (40, 41) can be effective in promoting appropriate infant 331 feeding (29). However, this study found that workshops currently offered involve infants between 4-332 7 months of age, with no dietitian reporting workshops delivered to parents with infants aged 0-3 months. Given the fact that infants are often introduced to solids before four months, and sometimes 333 334 as early as 12 weeks as outlined earlier (3-7), this further highlights the importance of carefully 335 considering the timing of such programmes. Weekly or fortnightly workshops with continuous advice 336 provided for all stages of development might help to improve infant feeding practices and nutritional habits. 337

339 This study also highlights the importance of targeting weaning workshops and infant feeding 340 programmes towards the areas of most need. A common theme identified by participants was the 341 need to engage parents and caregivers from lower socioeconomic status backgrounds; however, 342 dietitians also reported that workshops are currently predominantly attended by mothers of medium 343 socioeconomic status. Existing guidance emphasises the importance of different intervention 344 approaches which can focus on deprived groups (29) to target the recurring relationship that exists between socioeconomic status and inappropriate infant feeding practices (35, 42, 43). Previous 345 346 studies have identified maternal education and socioeconomic status as factors which predict poor 347 infant feeing behaviours (44, 45). Given that participants identified the availability of resources (e.g. staff time, cost) as a key factor influencing the development and delivery of weaning workshops, in 348 349 resource constrained settings it is likely to be particularly important to prioritise the provision of 350 workshops for parents and caregivers from more deprived backgrounds. A previous evaluation of 351 infant-feeding workshops amongst women living in areas of high deprivation found that a relatively 352 short education intervention can enhance women's knowledge and understanding of weaning (29). 353 While demographic factors are unmodifiable, there is scope to focus on and influence modifiable 354 factors such as women's beliefs about infant feeding (35, 46). Therefore, the future development and 355 implementation of weaning workshops should consider important aspects such as accessibility and 356 cost, providing workshops in appropriate locations at different times, free of charge that do not incur 357 additional expenses on attendees (e.g. childcare, parking), with more concerted efforts to specifically 358 engage with and target families of lower socioeconomic status.

359

360 Dietitians in this study perceived mothers' feedback on these weaning workshops as 'excellent'. 361 Similarly, a study which evaluated infant-feeding workshops for women from deprived areas, found 362 the workshops were generally rated positively while also being seen as an accessible source of 363 education (29). Furthermore, several other studies found that after attending educational and 364 practical workshops on infant feeding and complementary feeding women appeared to have 365 improved knowledge, understanding and confidence with many following the current infant feeding 366 recommendations (37, 38, 41). While data such as this is encouraging, future research should formally evaluate attendees' experiences, levels of knowledge and infant feeding behaviours prior to and 367 368 following attendance at weaning workshops to ensure that content is clear and comprehensive.

369

### 370 Limitations

A main limitation of this survey is that information provided on parent/primary caregiver attendees is
 from dietitian self-report and as we did not collect data on time since delivering workshops, it may

373 also be influenced by participant recall. We did not have the resources to also collect data from 374 parent/caregivers, therefore future research should explore weaning workshops with attendees to 375 obtain a more comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon in question. In items that allowed 376 for multiple responses ('tick all that apply'), variables were re-categorised for the analysis, easy 377 interpretation and presentation of results (47). However, by doing this, some information is lost, so 378 caution must be used when interpreting the results. 'Tick all that apply' answer format in 379 questionnaires do not require respondents to differentiate between the answer responses, therefore 380 a series of forced choice Yes/No questions should be used as recommended by Rasinski, Mingay, and 381 Bradburn (1994) in future research (48). In addition, only 11 participants reported first-hand 382 experience of delivering weaning workshops and as such, some responses may have been more 383 directly informed by tacit experience of delivering weaning workshops than others. However, our chi-384 squared tests showed no observed patterns of difference between the overall responses of those with 385 and without experience, and we felt it important to include the views of all participants, as some may 386 have been involved in commissioning or organising rather than delivery or may have drawn on other 387 relevant experience. We also felt it was important to include the views of all dietitians who might 388 potentially be involved in the delivery of future workshops to gives a more inclusive overview of 389 potential factors and barriers/facilitators to consider.

#### 390

#### 391 Conclusions

392 This study provides a snapshot of current delivery of community-based weaning workshops within the 393 Irish health service. Using a cross-sectional survey, community-based dietitians perceived participants' 394 feedback on weaning workshops as excellent, while highlighting issues around optimal timing and 395 delivery of workshops. Furthermore, the findings highlight that future research needs to focus on how 396 to engage families of lower socioeconomic status and the impact of these workshops on behaviour 397 change, taking into consideration key factors such as accessibility and cost. Although based within an 398 Irish setting, the need to support complementary feeding and subsequent rationale for establishing 399 weaning workshops are extremely pertinent internationally. Given the dearth of evidence in this area, 400 the insights provided by participants of this study regarding the development and delivery of weaning 401 workshops, in terms of optimal content and delivery options, will make a valuable contribution to this 402 field.

403

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267143; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

## 405 References

Organization WH, UNICEF. Global Strategy on Infant and Young Child Feeding. Available in:
 <u>https://apps</u> who int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/42590/9241562218 pdf. 2003.

408 2. Organization WH. Complementary feeding: report of the global consultation, and summary409 of guiding principles for complementary feeding of the breastfed child. 2003.

Spaniol AM, da Costa THM, Souza AM, Gubert MB. Early consumption of ultra-processed
foods among children under 2 years old in Brazil. Public Health Nutr. 2020:1-11.

Barrera CM, Hamner HC, Perrine CG, Scanlon KS. Timing of Introduction of Complementary
 Foods to US Infants, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2009-2014. Journal of the
 Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. 2018;118(3):464-70.

415 5. Castro PD, Kearney J, Layte R. A study of early complementary feeding determinants in the
416 Republic of Ireland based on a cross-sectional analysis of the Growing Up in Ireland infant cohort.
417 Public health nutrition. 2015;18(2):292-302.

418 6. Tarrant RC, Younger KM, Sheridan-Pereira M, White MJ, Kearney JM. Factors associated with
419 weaning practices in term infants: a prospective observational study in Ireland. British journal of
420 nutrition. 2010;104(10):1544-54.

421 7. McSweeney M, Kevany J. A national survey of infant feeding practices in Ireland 1981: a
422 preliminary report. Irish medical journal. 1982;75(12):452-5.

423 8. Tarrant R. An investigation of the diets of infants born in Ireland during the first six months424 of life. Dublin: Dublin Institute of Technology. 2008.

425 9. Ireland FSAo. Scientific recommendations for a national infant feeding policy: Food Safety426 Authority of Ireland; 2011.

Fall CH, Borja JB, Osmond C, Richter L, Bhargava SK, Martorell R, et al. Infant-feeding
patterns and cardiovascular risk factors in young adulthood: data from five cohorts in low- and
middle-income countries. Int J Epidemiol. 2011;40(1):47-62.

430 11. Gutiérrez-Camacho C, Méndez-Sánchez L, Klünder-Klünder M, Clark P, Denova-Gutiérrez E.
431 Association between Sociodemographic Factors and Dietary Patterns in Children Under 24 Months
432 of Age: A Systematic Review. Nutrients. 2019;11(9).

433 12. Matvienko-Sikar K, Kelly C, Sinnott C, McSharry J, Houghton C, Heary C, et al. Parental
434 experiences and perceptions of infant complementary feeding: a qualitative evidence synthesis.
435 Obesity Reviews. 2018;19(4):501-17.

436 13. Spyreli E, McKinley MC, Dean M. Parental considerations during complementary feeding in
437 higher income countries: a systematic review of qualitative evidence. Public Health Nutrition.
438 2021:1-14.

439 14. Waters E, de Silva-Sanigorski A, Burford BJ, Brown T, Campbell KJ, Gao Y, et al. Interventions
440 for preventing obesity in children. Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2011(12).

Redsell SA, Edmonds B, Swift JA, Siriwardena AN, Weng S, Nathan D, et al. Systematic review
of randomised controlled trials of interventions that aim to reduce the risk, either directly or
indirectly, of overweight and obesity in infancy and early childhood. Matern Child Nutr.

444 2016;12(1):24-38.

16. Graziose MM, Downs SM, O'Brien Q, Fanzo J. Systematic review of the design,

446 implementation and effectiveness of mass media and nutrition education interventions for infant447 and young child feeding. Public Health Nutrition. 2018;21(2):273-87.

Toomey E, Matvienko-Sikar K, Heary C, Delaney L, Queally M, Hayes CB, et al. Intervention
fidelity within trials of infant feeding behavioral interventions to prevent childhood obesity: a
systematic review. Annals of Behavioral Medicine. 2019;53(1):75-97.

451 18. World Health Organization. Primary health care: closing the gap between public health and
452 primary care through integration. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018 2018. Contract No.:
453 WHO/HIS/SDS/2018.49.

454 19. World Health Organisation. Global Status Report on noncommunicable diseases 2014.
455 Geneva, Switzerland; 2014.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267143; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in

456 20. Vine M, Hargreaves MB, Briefel RR, Orfield C. Expanding the Role of Primary Care in the 457 Prevention and Treatment of Childhood Obesity: A Review of Clinic- and Community-Based 458 Recommendations and Interventions. Journal of Obesity. 2013;2013:172035.

459 21. Toomey E, Flannery C, Matvienko-Sikar K, Olander EK, Hayes C, Heffernan T, et al. Exploring 460 healthcare professionals' views of the acceptability of delivering interventions to promote healthy 461 infant feeding practices within primary care: a qualitative interview study. Public Health Nutrition. 462 2020:1-11.

Furlong M, McGilloway S. The Incredible Years Parenting program in Ireland: A qualitative 463 22. 464 analysis of the experience of disadvantaged parents. Clinical Child Psychology and Psychiatry. 465 2012;17(4):616-30.

466 23. Gardner F, Leijten P, Mann J, Landau S, Harris V, Beecham J, et al. Could scale-up of 467 parenting programmes improve child disruptive behaviour and reduce social inequalities? Using 468 individual participant data meta-analysis to establish for whom programmes are effective and cost-469 effective. Public Health Research. 2017;5(10).

470 24. Leijten P, Raaijmakers MAJ, Orobio de Castro B, van den Ban E, Matthys W. Effectiveness of 471 the Incredible Years Parenting Program for Families with Socioeconomically Disadvantaged and 472 Ethnic Minority Backgrounds. Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology. 2017;46(1):59-73.

473 25. Reid MJ, Webster-Stratton C, Baydar N. Halting the development of conduct problems in 474 Head Start children: The effects of parent training. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent 475 Psychology. 2004;33(2):279-91.

476 Love P, Laws R, Litterbach E, Campbell KJ. Factors Influencing Parental Engagement in an 26. 477 Early Childhood Obesity Prevention Program Implemented at Scale: The Infant Program. Nutrients. 478 2018;10(4):509.

479 27. Gardner F, Burton J, Klimes I. Randomised controlled trial of a parenting intervention in the 480 voluntary sector for reducing child conduct problems: outcomes and mechanisms of change. Journal 481 of child psychology and psychiatry. 2006;47(11):1123-32.

482 Gardner F, Hutchings J, Bywater T, Whitaker C. Who benefits and how does it work? 28. 483 Moderators and mediators of outcome in an effectiveness trial of a parenting intervention. Journal 484 of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology. 2010;39(4):568-80.

485 29. Andrews E, Symon A, Anderson A. 'I didn't know why you had to wait': an evaluation of NHS 486 infant-feeding workshops amongst women living in areas of high deprivation. Journal of Human 487 Nutrition and Dietetics. 2015;28(6):558-67.

Hesketh KD, Salmon J, McNaughton SA, Crawford D, Abbott G, Cameron AJ, et al. Long-term 488 30. 489 outcomes (2 and 3.5 years post-intervention) of the INFANT early childhood intervention to improve 490 health behaviors and reduce obesity: cluster randomised controlled trial follow-up. International 491 Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2020;17(1):95.

492 31. Frongillo EA. Evaluation of programs to improve complementary feeding in infants and 493 young children. Matern Child Nutr. 2017;13 Suppl 2(Suppl 2):e12436.

494 32. Martin SL, McCann JK, Gascoigne E, Allotey D, Fundira D, Dickin KL. Mixed-Methods 495 Systematic Review of Behavioral Interventions in Low- and Middle-Income Countries to Increase 496 Family Support for Maternal, Infant, and Young Child Nutrition during the First 1000 Days. Curr Dev 497 Nutr. 2020;4(6):nzaa085-nzaa.

498 Executive HS. Weaning workshop unpublished report 2017. 33.

499 34. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The 500 Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) Statement:

501 guidelines for reporting observational studies. Int J Surg. 2014;12(12):1495-9.

502 35. Alder EM, Williams FL, Anderson AS, Forsyth S, Florey CdV, Van der Velde P. What influences 503 the timing of the introduction of solid food to infants? British Journal of Nutrition. 2004;92(3):527-504 31.

505 36. Hsieh H-F, Shannon SE. Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. Qualitative health 506 research. 2005;15(9):1277-88.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.01.21267143; this version posted December 2, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

#### perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

50737.Black MM, Siegel EH, Abel Y, Bentley ME. Home and videotape intervention delays early508complementary feeding among adolescent mothers. Pediatrics. 2001;107(5):e67-e.

38. Brophy-Herb HE, Silk K, Horodynski MA, Mercer L, Olson B. Key theoretical frameworks for
intervention: understanding and promoting behavior change in parent–infant feeding choices in a
low-income population. The journal of primary prevention. 2009;30(2):191-208.

McCormick F, Moreton J, D'Souza L, King S, McFadden A, Renfrew M. Review 5: The
effectiveness of public health interventions to improve the nutrition of young children aged 6-24
months. London: NICE. 2007.

40. Hoare K, Wright C, Wilson P, Weaver L. Disseminating weaning messages: an intervention
516 trial. British journal of community nursing. 2002;7(4):196-200.

517 41. Watt R, Tull K, Hardy R, Wiggins M, Kelly Y, Molloy B, et al. Effectiveness of a social support
518 intervention on infant feeding practices: randomised controlled trial. Journal of Epidemiology &
519 Community Health. 2009;63(2):156-62.

520 42. Bolling K, Grant C, Hamlyn B, Thornton A. Infant feeding survey 2005. London: The521 Information Centre for Health and Social Care; 2007.

522 43. Excellence NIfC. Public Health Guidance 11: Improving the Nutrition of Pregnant and
523 Breastfeeding Mothers and Children in Low-Income Households. London: NICE; 2008.

44. Wright CM, Parkinson K, Drewett R. Why are babies weaned early? Data from a prospective
population based cohort study. Archives of disease in childhood. 2004;89(9):813-6.

45. Wijndaele K, Lakshman R, Landsbaugh JR, Ong KK, Ogilvie D. Determinants of early weaning
and use of unmodified cow's milk in infants: a systematic review. Journal of the American Dietetic
Association. 2009;109(12):2017-28.

46. Heinig MJ, Follett JR, Ishii KD, Kavanagh-Prochaska K, Cohen R, Panchula J. Barriers to
compliance with infant-feeding recommendations among low-income women. Journal of Human
Lactation. 2006;22(1):27-38.

47. Altman DG, Royston P. The cost of dichotomising continuous variables. BMJ (Clinical
research ed). 2006;332(7549):1080-.

534 48. Dillman DA, Smyth JD, Christian LM, Stern MJ, editors. Multiple answer questions in self-

administered surveys: The use of check-all-that-apply and forced-choice question formats. meetings

of the American Statistical Association, San Francisco, CA; 2003.

537

#### **Table 1. Dietitians' characteristics**

Variable	N (%)
Age category (n=43)	
18-30 years	7 (16.3)
31-40 years	17 (39.5)
41-50 years	14 (32.6)
51-60 years	4 (9.3)
>60 years	1 (2.3)
Gender (n=42)	
Female	41 (97.6)
Male	1 (2.4)
CHO (n=42)	
Area 1	3 (7.1)
Area 2	0 (0.0)
Area 3	3 (7.1)
Area 4	12 (28.6)
Area 5	4 (9.5)
Area 6	7 (16.7)
Area 7	5 (11.9)
Area 8	1 (2.4)
Area 9	7 (16.7)
Length in profession (n=40)	
1-5 years	14 (35.0)
6-10 years	5 (12.5)
11-15 years	10 (25.0)
16-20 years	10 (25.0)
21-25 years	1 (2.5)
Discipline (n=41)	
Primary care	33 (80.5)
Health promotion	4 (9.8)
Other	4 (9.8)
<i>Current position (n=42)</i>	
Dietitian manager	3 (7.1)
Senior dietitian	31 (73.81)
Staff-grade dietitian	8 (19.1)
CHO, Community Health Organisation; Area 1, Do	onegal, Sligo/Leitrim/West Cavan, Cavan/Monaghan LHOs

unity Healthcare West; Area 3, Mid-West Community Healthcare; Area 4, Cork Kerry Co 2, COI Healthcare; Area 5, South East Community Healthcare; Area 6, Community Healthcare East; Area 7, Community

Healthcare Dublin South, Kildare & West Wicklow; Area 8, Midlands Louth Meath Healthcare; Area 9, Dublin

North City and County Healthcare

#### Table 2. Dietitians' characteristics and delivery of weaning workshops

		Delivered a WW (n=3	30)
	n	<b>Yes</b> (n=11, 36.7%)	<b>No</b> (n=19, 63.3%)
Age category	30		
18-30 years		1 (9.1)	3 (15.80)
31-40 years		4 (36.4)	8 (42.1)
41-50 years		6 (54.6)	6 (31.6)
51-60 years		0 (0.0)	1 (5.3)
>60 years		0 (0.0)	1 (5.3)
Gender	30		
Female		11 (100.0)	18 (94.7)
Male		0 (0.0)	1 (5.3)
СНО	30		
Area 1		2 (18.2)	1 (5.3)
Area 2		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Area 3		0 (0.0)	3 (15.8)
Area 4		2 (18.2)	4 (21.1)
Area 5		0 (0.0)	4 (21.1)
Area 6		2 (18.2)	4 (21.1)
Area 7		2 (18.2)	1 (5.3)
Area 8		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Area 9		3 (27.3)	2 (10.5)
Length of time in profession	30		
1-5 years		2 (18.2)	9 (47.4)
6-10 years		2 (18.2)	2 (10.5)
11-15 years		3 (27.3)	4 (21.1)
16-20 years		4 (36.4)	4 (21.1)
21-25 years		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Discipline	30		
Primary care		9 (81.8)	14 (73.7)
Health promotion		2 (18.2)	2 (10.5)
Other		0 (0.0)	3 (15.8)
Current position	30		
Dietitian manager		1 (9.1)	1 (5.3)
Senior dietitian		9 (81.8)	14 (73.7)
Staff-grade dietitian		1 (9.1)	4 (21.1)
Parents looking for support	27		
Daily		2 (18.2)	0 (0.0)
Once a week		4 (36.4)	4 (25.0)
Once a month		3 (27.3)	6 (37.5)
Few times a year		2 (18.2)	2 (12.5)
Never		0 (0.0)	4 (25.0)
WW. weaning workshop: CHO. Commu	unity Health Or	ganisation	

#### Table 3. Dietitians' perceptions of those attending weaning workshops and delivery of weaning workshops

		Delivered a WW (r	i=30)
Variable	n	Yes (n=11, 36.7%)	<b>No</b> (n=19, 63.3%)
How many attend WW (n=26)	22		
≤10 participants		5 (45.5)	4 (36.4)
>10 participants		6 (54.6)	2 (18.2)
Don't know		0 (0.0)	5 (45.5)
Who attends WW (n=28)	24		
Both mother and father		2 (18.2)	0 (0.0)
Mother only		9 (81.8)	6 (46.2)
Don't know		0 (0.0)	7 (53.9)
Parents SES (n=26)	23		
High		0 (0.0)	2 (16.7)
Medium		9 (81.8)	4 (33.3)
Low		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Don't know		2 (18.2)	6 (50.0)
Are infant present at WW (n=35)	30		
Yes		11 (100.0)	7 (36.8)
No		0 (0.0)	7 (36.8)
Don't know		0 (0.0)	5 (26.3)
Approximation of infant age (n=24)	21		
1-3 months		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
4-7 months		8 (72.9)	5 (50.0)
8+ months		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Don't know		3 (27.3)	5 (50.0)
How are they referred to WW (n=25)	22		
Self-referral		2 (18.2)	2 (18.2)
GP referral		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Public health nurse		5 (45.5)	4 (36.4)
Community dietitian referral		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Other		4 (36.4)	5 (45.5)
Perceptions of attendees' feedback (n=12)	12		
Excellent		7 (63.6)	0 (0.0)
Very good		3 (27.3)	1 (100.0)
Good		1 (9.1)	0 (0.0)
Poor		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Very poor		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
NW, weaning workshops			

#### 566 Table 4. Weaning workshop format, content, development, and delivery of weaning workshops

		Delivered a WW (n	=30)
Variable	n	<b>Yes</b> (n=11, 36.7%)	<b>No</b> (n=19, 63.3%)
Who delivers WW (n=25)	21		
Community dietitian only		1 (9.1)	0 (0.0)
Public Health Nurse only		1 (9.1)	6 (60.0)
Community dietitian and public nurse		4 (36.4)	2 (20.0)
Don't know		5 (45.5)	2 (20.0)
Frequency of WW (n=40)	30		
Once a month		4 (36.4)	4 (21.1)
Once a fortnight		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Every 4 months		2 (18.2)	0 (0.0)
Twice a year		1 (9.1)	0 (0.0)
Never		0 (0.0)	8 (42.1)
Don't know		4 (36.4)	7 (36.8)
Topics discussed at WW (n=24)	21		
Timing of introduction of solid foods		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Responding to infant cues		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Stages of food textures		2 (18.2)	3 (30.00)
Allergies		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Baby-led weaning		0 (0.0)	1 (10.0)
All the above		6 (54.6)	0 (0.0)
Other		3 (27.3)	1 (10.0)
Don't know		0 (0.0)	5 (50.0)
Resources used at WW (n=23)	21		
Videos		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Power point slides		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Cooking demo		1 (9.1)	1 (10.0)
Healthy Ireland 'Feeding your baby'		2 (18.2)	2 (20.0)
booklet			
101 Square Meals Cookbook		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Self-developed booklets/leaflets		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Food display		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
All the above		1 (9.1)	0 (0.0)
Other		7 (63.6)	0 (0.0)
Don't know		0 (0.0)	7 (70.0)
How WW are developed (n=30)	30		
Self-developed		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
By HSE primary care dietitian in your area		3 (27.3)	0 (0.0)
By another HCP		1 (9.1)	2 (10.5)
Adapted from another HSE area		4 (36.4)	1 (5.3)
Don't know		3 (27.3)	16 (84.2)
How WW are promoted (n=25)	22		
Social media		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Community centre		1 (9.1)	1 (9.1)
Suggested by HCPs		6 (54.6)	6 (54.6)
Other		4 (36.6)	0 (0.0)
Don't know		0 (0.0)	4 (36.6)

#### 568 Table 4. Weaning workshop format, content, development, and delivery of weaning workshops (continued) 569

		Delivered a WW (n=	=30)
Variable	n	<b>Yes</b> (n=11, 36.7%)	<b>No</b> (n=19, 63.3%)
How WW are evaluated (n=19)	19		
Informal participant feedback		3 (27.3)	0 (0.0)
Formal evaluation of opinions		3 (27.3)	0 (0.0)
Formal evaluation of weaning knowledge		1 (9.1)	0 (0.0)
Formal evaluation of weaning self-efficacy		1 (9.1)	0 (0.0)
Other		3 (27.3)	0 (0.0)
Don't know		0 (0.0)	8 (100.0)
Cost of WW(n=21)	21		· ·
No cost		4 (36.4)	2 (20.0)
€0-50		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
€51-100		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
€101-200		2 (18.2)	0 (0.00)
€201-500		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Other		2 (18.2)	0 (0.0)
Don't know		2 (18.2)	8 (80.0)
Time to travel to WW (n=10)	10		, <i>,</i>
0-10 mins		3 (3.3)	1 (100.0)
11-20 mins		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
21-40 mins		4 (44.4)	0 (0.0)
41-60 mins		2 (22.2)	0 (0.0)
>60mins		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Don't know		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Time to prepare for WW (n=12)	12		. ,
0-10 mins		2 (18.2)	0 (0.0)
11-20 mins		2 (18.2)	1 (100.0)
21-40 mins		2 (18.2)	0 (0.0)
41-60 mins		4 (36.4)	0 (0.0)
>60mins		1 (9.1)	0 (0.0)
Don't know		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Time to close WW (n=11)	11		
0-10 mins		3 (30.0)	0 (0.0)
11-20 mins		5 (50.0)	1 (100.0)
21-40 mins		2 (20.0)	0 (0.0)
41-60 mins		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
>60mins		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Don't know		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Other time needed for WW (n=4)	4		
0-10 mins		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
11-20 mins		2 (66.7)	0 (0.0)
21-40 mins		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
41-60 mins		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
>60mins		1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)
Don't know		0 (0.0)	1 (100.0)

570 WW, weaning workshops; HCP, health care professional: HSE, Health Service Executive

# **Table 5. Factors to consider in the development and delivery of workshops**

Category	Factors	Example quotes
	( <i>n</i> =number of respondents who identified	
Workshop characteristics	<ul> <li>trins)</li> <li>Content:</li> <li>Practical and interactive (n=5)</li> <li>Standardised/structured (n=4)</li> <li>Evidence-based (n=3)</li> <li>Responsive feeding/industry influence/stages of textures (n=3)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>'Any program should be interesting and interactive'</li> <li>'Using existing materials to avoid duplication &amp; save time, standardisation of material if possible'</li> <li>'Nationally standardised weaning workshops available online and offline should be available for new parents and carers'</li> <li>'Very important that there is more out there for parents/ families re weaning advice - especially evidence-based and safe advice!'</li> <li>'We also need to ensure messages around responsive feeding are shared with parents'</li> <li>'Nationally agreed referral pathway with a structured programme incorporated as part of the dietetic service to primary care'</li> <li>'Most important component re weaning is to make sure textures are introduced in a timely for the dietetic and the sure test and test are test and test are test and test and test and test and test and test are test and test are test and test and</li></ul>
	<ul><li><i>Timing:</i></li><li>Appropriate to child age/stage (n=5)</li></ul>	<ul> <li>fashion. We see many fussy eaters who took pureed foods for far too long<sup>2</sup></li> <li>'To have them running a few times a year, to ensure you are able to see families at appropriate times'</li> <li>'National weaning program - offered to all parents when their baby is between 3 and 6 months'</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Venue:</li> <li>Appropriate baby-friendly location (n=7)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>'Because Mums attend with children it is necessary to have the workshop in a room that caters for children'</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Mode of delivery:</li> <li>Accessible and free (n=4)</li> <li>Use of peer leaders (n=1)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>'That they are accessible to all and free of charge'</li> <li>'I do enjoy delivering the workshops myself, but appreciate that to scale up the trained [peer] tutor led approach would work best'</li> </ul>
Resources	<ul> <li>Staff time/availability (n=8)</li> <li>Staff training/resources (n=3)</li> <li>Administration support (n=2)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>'Dedicated committed staff so it won't floundera few key people driving it'</li> <li>'Staffing such as releasing staff to deliver and continue to invest and develop the programmeallow staff to gain skills such as facilitation'</li> <li>'Adequate funding of the project is essential to its continued success'</li> </ul>

Multidisciplinary	•	MDT involvement to facilitate	•	'It needs to be inclusive of all relevant disciplines not just Public Health Nursing driven and led'
team (MDT)		recruitment/referral (n=7)	•	'Joint delivery between PHN and dietitian works well - has strengthened the relationship
involvement	•	MDT involvement in		between nursing and dietetics'
		development/delivery (n=5)	٠	'Could be alternated between PHN, peer leader and Dietitian, would need PHN buy in'
Participant	•	Engaging participants from	•	'How to engage those most in need of the information. Over the past 19 years it is middle-
characteristics		low SES (n=6)		class well-informed parents that attend these workshops'
	•	Participant attendance (n=2)	•	'Access for lower socio-economic groups. They are under such social stress that they don't take up groups/workshops.'
			•	'The mums that attend is generally very interested in good nutrition and probably have read widely on the subject prior to attending. Unfortunately, it is difficult to get the mums who really need education on baby weaning to attend'