

## TITLE PAGE

### Impact of COVID-19 on healthcare access for Australian adolescents and young adults

Md Irteja Islam<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Joseph Freeman<sup>2</sup>, Verity Chadwick<sup>4</sup>, Alexandra Martiniuk<sup>2,5,6</sup>

1 Maternal and Child Health Division, International Centre for Diarrheal Disease Research, Bangladesh (icddr,b), 68, Mohakhali, Dhaka 1212, Bangladesh.

2 Sydney School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney, Edward Ford Building, A27 Fisher Road, NSW 2006, Australia.

3 Centre for Health Research and School of Business, The University of Southern Queensland, West Street, Darling Heights, QLD 4350, Australia.

4 Royal North Shore Hospital, Reserve Rd, St Leonard's NSW 2065, Australia.

5 Office of the Chief Scientist, The George Institute for Global Health, Level 5/1 King Street, Newtown NSW 2042, Australia.

6 Dalla Lana School of Public Health, The University of Toronto, 155 College St Room 500, Toronto, ON M5T 3M7, Canada.

#### Word Count

Manuscript: 2498 words

Abstract: 236 words

Number of tables: 4

Number of figures: 1

Corresponding author:

Alexandra Martiniuk

The University of Sydney School of Public Health

Faculty of Medicine and Health

[Alexandra.martiniuk@sydney.edu.au](mailto:Alexandra.martiniuk@sydney.edu.au)

## ABSTRACT

*Background:* Access to healthcare for young people is essential to build the foundation for a healthy life. We investigated the factors associated with healthcare access by Australian young adults during and before the COVID-19 pandemic.

*Methods:* We included 1110 youths using two recent data collection waves from the Longitudinal Study of Australian Children (LSAC). Data were collected during COVID-19 in 2020 for Wave 9C1 and before COVID-19 in 2018 for Wave 8. The primary outcome for this study was healthcare access. Both bivariate and multivariate logistic regression models were employed to identify the factors associated with reluctance to access healthcare services during COVID-19 and pre-COVID-19 times.

*Results:* Among respondents, 39.6% avoided seeking health services during the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic when they needed them, which was similar to pre-COVID-19 times (41.4%). The factors most strongly impacting upon reluctance and/or barriers to healthcare access during COVID-19 were any illness or disability, and high psychological distress. In comparison, prior to the pandemic the factors which were significantly impeding healthcare access were country of birth, state of residence, presence of any pre-existing condition and psychological distress. The most common reason reported (55.9%) for avoided seeking care was that they thought the problem would go away.

*Conclusions:* A significant proportion of youths did not seek care when they felt they needed to seek care, both during and before the COVID-19 pandemic.

**Keywords:** *COVID-19, health services, healthcare, access, adolescents, young adults, barriers to care, disability, chronic disease, psychological distress*

### **What is known about the subject?**

- Some adolescents and young adults do not access healthcare when they need it.
- Healthcare access and barriers to access is best understood through a multi-system lens including policy, organisational, and individual-level factors. For instance, policy barriers (such as cost), organisational barriers (such as transportation, or difficulty accessing a timely appointment) and individual barriers (such as experiences, knowledge or beliefs).
- Barriers to care may differ for sub-groups e.g. rural
- During the COVID-19 pandemic, public health restrictions including the stricter “lockdowns” have reduced healthcare access. The burden of cases upon the healthcare system has further reduced healthcare access.

### **What this study adds?**

- A significant proportion of youth did not seek healthcare when they felt they needed to seek care, both before (41.4%) and during the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic (39.6%)
- Youth with a disability or chronic condition, asthma and/or psychological distress were more likely to avoid accessing healthcare during COVID-19 times.
- The most common reason for not seeking healthcare when it was felt to be needed was because the youth thought the problem would go away (pre-COVID-19 35.7% of the sample versus during the first year of COVID-19 55.9%)
- During the coronavirus restriction period (“lockdown”) the most common reason for not seeking healthcare when it was felt to be needed was because the youth did not want to visit a doctor during lockdown (21.8%) with the next most common reason being because telehealth was the only appointment option available at the time (8.4%)

## INTRODUCTION

Access to healthcare is key to maintaining health and optimising disease management. Early in the COVID-19 pandemic, adolescents and young adults were identified as vulnerable to the direct and indirect effects of COVID-19 due to reduced access to healthcare.<sup>1</sup> Health systems have been burdened by waves of COVID-19, resulting in decreased healthcare resources to manage COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 related illnesses.<sup>2</sup> Access to healthcare has also been impeded by mandated isolation, travel restrictions, lost or reduced income and support, and the perceived risk of COVID-19 to self and vulnerable persons.<sup>3</sup> In the last two years, large proportions of outpatient and primary healthcare has moved to telehealth.<sup>4</sup>

Access to healthcare has been reduced in both high-income and low- and middle-income countries, resulting in increased mortality and morbidity.<sup>5, 6</sup> Access to paediatric healthcare has reduced dramatically in countries including China,<sup>7</sup> USA,<sup>8</sup> and Germany.<sup>9</sup> The pandemic has had positive and negative effects on young people's access to healthcare. For example, non-pharmacological measures implemented in countries worldwide have reduced paediatric respiratory viruses by up to 80% in some locations, though with societal re-opening, transmission of viruses such as respiratory syncytial virus are liable to surge.<sup>10</sup>

The Australian government has stated that it is approaching the "final post-vaccination phase",<sup>11</sup> with high vaccination rates, relatively few deaths, and modest economic impact from COVID-19 in comparison to other countries.<sup>12</sup> Time will tell whether Australia is truly in its 'final post-vaccination phase' given the risk of new variants and the emergence of the Omicron variant just this week. If the worst is behind us, recovery will require providing care that was deferred when healthcare access was limited by the pandemic. This includes system-deferred care such as elective surgery, and patient-deferred care in cases of personal concern.<sup>13</sup> Unfortunately the care deferred during the pandemic will likely mean increased morbidity and mortality from conditions which either have not been treated adequately or diagnosed at all.

Studies have examined health service use during the pandemic in Australia. Victoria, Australia showed reduced hospital presentations among the young and elderly during the pandemic, compared to before. However, healthcare access for urgent conditions at the population-level remained constant.<sup>14</sup> A study of paediatric health service use in NSW, Australia found significantly lower attendance in the 2020 lockdown for chronic conditions, acute infections, and injury.<sup>15</sup> After the lockdown, hospital presentations returned to pre-COVID-19 levels, except for mental health presentations which remained 30-55% higher than predicted.<sup>15</sup>

The definition of 'access to healthcare' can be divided into 'having access' and 'gaining access'.<sup>16</sup> A population has access when healthcare services are available in adequate supply. A population gains access when certain barriers are overcome. These can be 'financial, organisational, social or cultural.'<sup>16, 17</sup>

In Australia, the COVID-19 pandemic has affected young people in multiple ways. Australians aged 20-29 have the highest total proportion of positive cases.<sup>18</sup> Although COVID-19 is less severe in young people on average,<sup>1</sup> concerns remain for chance of severe illness, transmission concern, and foregone non-COVID-19 care.<sup>19</sup> Emergency Department (ED) presentations for young people aged 15-24 dropped 3.9% from 2018-19 to 2019-20, the latter period spanning 1 July 2019 to 30 June 2020.<sup>20</sup> This decrease during the pandemic contrasts with an average annual increase of 1.7% from 2016-17 to 2018-19.<sup>20</sup>

Young people in aggregate require less healthcare for acute and chronic illnesses than older age groups.<sup>21</sup> However, health in adolescence and young adulthood sets a lifelong trajectory.<sup>22</sup> For children with acute problems, access to safe and effective healthcare reduces risk of complications and interruption to physical and mental development. For children with life-long chronic health conditions, optimising management early, which requires access to healthcare, ensures the best outcomes.<sup>23</sup>

Young people's mental health has been adversely affected during COVID-19, and this is compounded by lack of access to mental healthcare.<sup>20</sup> In Australia, three quarters of young people's mental health was worsened by the COVID-19 pandemic.<sup>24</sup> Decreased access to healthcare is of concern for young people during the pandemic, especially considering their escalating mental health issues.

Prioritising access to safe and desirable healthcare for young people is important, as without such care they can fall behind in physical, psychosocial, and educational development. This study investigates the impact of COVID-19 on healthcare access for young people using data from the Longitudinal Study of Australian Children.

## **METHODS**

### **Data source**

We utilized data from Growing Up in Australia: The Longitudinal Study of Australian Children (LSAC) survey. The LSAC is a population-based national cohort study that has collected detailed information biennially since 2004 from two cohorts who in the first wave were a younger B-cohort (aged 0-1) and older K-cohort (aged 4-5) using a multi-stage sampling approach. Data were collected from parents and/or children (when aged 10 years or older) using personal face-to-face interviews by trained interviewers and/or self-reported computer-assisted questionnaires. The details of the LSAC study design, sampling technique and data collection procedures are described elsewhere.<sup>25</sup>

For this current study, we analysed 1110 of the older cohort (now aged 20-21 years). We analysed two recent LSAC waves - Wave 9C1 and Wave 8. Data were collected in between October to December in 2020 for Wave 9C1 with COVID-19 related information (termed 'COVID-19 times') and in 2018 before the COVID-19 pandemic for Wave 8 (termed 'pre-COVID-19 times').<sup>25</sup> The analytical sample was restricted to those who completed data on the outcome variable (service access) and exposure variables (e.g., diagnosed with asthma,

medical conditions, psychological distress) in both waves. During analysis, 'Missing values' and 'Do not know' response categories were omitted.

### **Outcome variable**

The main outcome variable of the study is service access. The following item was directly asked to K-cohort of both waves (Wave 9C1 and Wave 8) to assess healthcare access: *"In the last 12 months, has there been any time when you thought you should get medical care, but you didn't?"*.

### **Exposure variables**

We selected independent variables based on previous studies that identified determinants of health service utilization.<sup>26, 27</sup> Demographic characteristics included sex (male and female), country of birth (overseas and Australia), states (New South Wales, Victoria, Queensland and Others), remoteness (major cities and regional/remote), schooling (technical/others and university/tertiary), employment (unemployed and employed), living with parents (no and yes), family cohesion (poor and strong), socioeconomic status using Index of Relative Socioeconomic Advantage and Disadvantage (IRSAD) quintiles (Q1-most disadvantaged to Q5-most advantaged). The current study also considered health-related factors including: any illness or disability that needed continuous assistance or supervision and lasted for six months or more; self-reported pre-existing medical conditions (e.g., diabetes, anxiety, autism, heart disease, epilepsy, etc); diagnosed with asthma; and psychological distress using Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K10) score (categorized as low/moderate and high/very high). Further, we included variables related to the COVID-19 pandemic including the coronavirus restriction period (CRP) (also known as "lockdown") between March-May 2020 that were only available in LSAC Wave 9C1.<sup>25</sup> COVID-19 related variables included whether the respondent was tested for COVID-19 or not, whether the participants were involved in physical activities during the CRP, employment status during the CRP, whether the youth received any COVID-19 financial support during the CRP, and life difficulty during the COVID-19 restriction period.

### **Statistical analysis**

Descriptive statistics in terms of frequency (n) and percentages (%) described the characteristics of the sample, distribution of access to services by LSAC Wave 9C1 (COVID-19 times) and Wave 8 (Pre-COVID-19 times), and the reasons given for not accessing healthcare. Chi-square analyses examined the bivariate associations between independent variables and outcome variable (service use). Finally, multivariate logistic regression models were employed to identify the predictors of 'no service use' during COVID-19 times (Model I) and pre-COVID-19 times (Model II), respectively. Regression results were presented in the form of adjusted odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). All data were weighted to account for LSAC's multi-clustered study design and analyses were implemented using the 'svyset' package of Stata 14.1 version.

## **RESULTS**

The characteristics of the samples are detailed in Table 1. A total of 1110 youths were selected for the study, using two LSAC waves – W9C1 and W8. The study population included 651 (58.6%) females with mean age 20.63 years. The majority of respondents were born in Australia, nearly 55% of youths were from New South Wales and Victoria combined and the remainder from the other states in Australia, and more than three-fourths (76.5%) were from major cities. Sixty-four percent were enrolled in university or tertiary level education and 77.7% were employed. Overall, 71.5% of young people were living with their parents, almost 85% reported strong family cohesion, and nearly 70% of youths were from disadvantaged socioeconomic groups (Quartiles 1-3 combined). Further, 23.7% of youths had an illness, medical condition or disability (which required continuous assistance), 43% had self-reported pre-existing conditions, nearly one-quarter had asthma and more than 40% had high to very high psychological stress.

Figure 1 depicts the distribution of service access in the whole sample during COVID-19 times and pre-COVID-19 times. About 40% of respondents did not seek medical care in the past 12 months during COVID-19 times in 2020, compared to 42% in pre-COVID-19 times in 2018. Table 2 provides a detailed breakdown of the reasons for those who did not seek medical care during COVID-19, and pre-COVID-19, times. In both times, respondents mostly did not access services either because they thought the problem would resolve, or the problem already resolved. In addition, a significant proportion reported lack of access because they were afraid of doctors or visiting healthcare, this was more pronounced during COVID-19 times.

The bivariate analysis in Table 3 shows that sex, family cohesion, any illness or disability, self-reported medical conditions, asthma and psychological stress were significantly associated with not seeking healthcare during COVID-19 times. Further, experiencing difficulty of life during COVID-19 times was also significantly associated with not seeking healthcare in the bivariate analysis. While in pre-COVID-19 times, besides these variables, living with one's parents was also found to be significantly associated with whether an adolescent accessed health services or not.

Results from regression models are displayed in Table 4. Model I in Table 4 shows that those who had illnesses or disability that needed continuous assistance and/or supervision were 1.71 times (95% CI: 1.21-2.41) more likely not to access services than those who had no such illnesses or disability during COVID-19 times. Moreover, high/very high psychological stress among youths increased the likelihood (OR 3.17, 95% CI: 2.58-3.91) for not using services compared to those who reported low to moderate psychological stress during COVID-19 times. While in Model II (Table 4), variables associated with barriers to service use during pre-COVID-19 times included respondents who were born in Australia, were from Victoria, had self-reported pre-existing medical conditions, and experienced high to very high psychological stress.

## **DISCUSSION**

This study examined factors associated with healthcare access for adolescents and young adults before and during the pandemic. Overall, 39.6% of respondents avoided accessing health services when requiring healthcare, a similar proportion to pre-COVID-19 (41.4%). However, youths with chronic illness or disability, asthma, and those reporting high or very high psychosocial distress perceived significantly greater barriers to healthcare access during the pandemic. Female youths also perceived greater barriers to accessing care.

Young adults with chronic illness or disability felt less able to access services than those without illness or disability. This effect was amplified during COVID-19. This could be attributed to fear of contracting COVID-19 while having a chronic condition or disability, given the greater risk of poorer outcomes in individuals with comorbidities.<sup>28</sup> Another reason could be the disproportionate side-effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, including changes to travel, isolation requirements and the economic impact including family members losing income or changing employment. Furthermore, adolescents and young adults with chronic illness or disability may have had home environments affected by parental stress and mental ill health during the pandemic. Parents and carers may have experienced increased home demands and decreased support from outside the home, potentially impairing the ability of youths with disability or chronic illness to seek care.<sup>29</sup>

Those diagnosed with asthma, and those with other pre-existing medical conditions, felt less able to access services both before and during COVID-19. This confirms what was known previously, that young people perceive barriers to healthcare access; these barriers were exacerbated by COVID-19.<sup>30</sup>

During COVID-19, females perceived greater barriers to healthcare access than males. Women generally tend to seek healthcare more than men,<sup>31</sup> suggesting perceived barriers may be higher when desire to access healthcare is higher. However, compared to men, women were more likely to be disadvantaged during the COVID-19 pandemic in that they were more likely to lose their jobs, perform more unpaid labour including carer roles, and be less likely to receive government support,<sup>32</sup> likely leading to increased stress. Furthermore, an American survey found women attended preventive health services less than men during the pandemic and did not present for recommended medical investigations and treatments.<sup>33</sup>

Youths reporting high family cohesion felt more able to access care when needed compared to those with low family cohesion. This was true both pre- and during COVID-19. Cohesive families may be better at recognising healthcare needs and assisting with the logistical process of attending such healthcare leading to youths being supported and encouraged to attend health services. This support may help to overcome perceived barriers common to young people including cost, transport and waiting times, nervousness and possibly also balancing the need to seek healthcare for a condition while also considering the risk of contracting COVID-19 while attending healthcare facilities.



The majority of young adults with low or moderate psychological distress felt able to access services before (66.3%) and during COVID-19 (71.%). In contrast, the majority of those with high or very high psychological distress felt less able to access services before (52.4%) and during COVID-19 (55.5%). Prior research indicates adolescents and young adults are particularly poor at seeking mental health services,<sup>34</sup> due to poor mental health knowledge, preference for self-reliance, concerns regarding confidentiality, and lack of resources including money and the availability of professional help.<sup>35</sup>

This study was not without limitations. The sample was not entirely representative of Australian youths; there was an under-representation of Australians born overseas (5% vs. population 30%) and living in rural or remote areas.<sup>36</sup> Furthermore, most respondents lived at home and reported high family cohesion. Known risk factors for poorer health outcomes include youths living out of home and in insecure housing.<sup>37</sup> Further research could focus on barriers to healthcare access for youths who live out of home and how the COVID-19 pandemic may have impacted this group of young people.

Another limitation is that this paper describes perceived barriers to healthcare use, not actual healthcare use. Those who perceived more barriers to care may still have accessed healthcare on multiple other occasions during the 12-month study period for each wave of data collection, and possibly more than those who did not identify any barriers to healthcare.

In conclusion, a significant proportion of young adults did not seek care when they felt they needed to seek healthcare during and before the COVID-19 pandemic. The COVID-19 pandemic both modified existing barriers to healthcare access for youth and created new barriers.

## Funding statement

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors. Martiniuk was salary funded by a National Health and Medical Research Investigator Grant during the analysis and write-up of this research.

## Competing interest statement

All authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Author contributions

All authors jointly conceived the study. All authors drafted sections and reviewed the final manuscript. MI and AM coded, analysed and presented the data.

## Data sharing statement

The LSAC datasets are available through the National Centre for Longitudinal Data (NCLD) Dataverse, which is publicly accessible upon request, available at <https://growingupinaustralia.gov.au/data-and-documentation/accessing-lsac-data>. It is not permissible for authors to share the unit record data without approval from the Department of Social Services (DSS) and the Australian Institute of Family Studies (AIFS).

## Ethics statements

Patient consent for publication: not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: The LSAC study has been ethically approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the AIFS, and written informed consent was obtained for all respondents. Further, the authorship team acquired authorisation from NCLD Dataverse to use LSAC data for research and publications.

## REFERENCES

1. Jones B, Woolfenden S, Pengilly S, et al. COVID -19 pandemic: The impact on vulnerable children and young people in Australia. *Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health* 2020; 56: 1851-1855. DOI: 10.1111/jpc.15169.
2. Núñez A, Sreeganga SD and Ramaprasad A. Access to Healthcare during COVID-19. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2021; 18: 2980. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph18062980.
3. Sifris R and Penovic T. Barriers to abortion access in Australia before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. In: *Women's Studies International Forum* 2021, p.102470. Elsevier.
4. Fisk M, Livingstone A and Pit SW. Telehealth in the context of COVID-19: changing perspectives in Australia, the United Kingdom, and the United States. *J Med Internet Res* 2020; 22: e19264.
5. Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. *Health at a glance: Europe 2020: state of health in the EU cycle*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development OECD, 2020.

6. World Health Organization. *Health at a glance: Asia/Pacific 2020 measuring progress towards universal health coverage: Measuring progress towards universal health coverage*. OECD Publishing, 2020.
7. Zhang H, Guo L-W, Gao Y-Y, et al. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on pediatric clinical practice in Wenzhou, China: A retrospective study. *Front Pediatr* 2020; 8.
8. Keyes D, Hardin B, Sweeney B, et al. Change in urban and non-urban pattern of ED use during the COVID-19 pandemic in 28 Michigan hospitals: an observational study. *BMJ open* 2021; 11: e043024.
9. Dopfer C, Wetzke M, Zychlinsky Scharff A, et al. COVID-19 related reduction in pediatric emergency healthcare utilization—a concerning trend. *BMC pediatrics* 2020; 20: 1-10.
10. Britton PN, Hu N, Saravanos G, et al. COVID-19 public health measures and respiratory syncytial virus. *The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health* 2020; 4: e42-e43.
11. Australian Government. National Plan to transition Australia's National COVID-19 Response. In: National Cabinet, (ed.). Canberra 2021.
12. Australia's Mental Health Think Tank. *COVID-19 and Australia's mental health: an overview of academic literature, policy documents, lived experience accounts and community reports*. Australia's Mental Health Think Tank, 2021.
13. Duckett SJ and Sutton B. On entering Australia's third year with COVID-19. *Med j Aust* 2021.
14. Collyer TA, Athanasopoulos G, Srikanth V, et al. Impact of COVID-19 lockdowns on hospital presentations and admissions in the context of low community transmission: evidence from time series analysis in Melbourne, Australia. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2021 2021/11/17. DOI: 10.1136/jech-2021-217010.
15. Hu N, Nassar N, Shrapnel J, et al. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on paediatric health service use within one year after the first pandemic outbreak in New South Wales Australia—a time series analysis. *The Lancet Regional Health-Western Pacific* 2021: 100311.
16. Gulliford M, Figueroa-Munoz J, Morgan M, et al. What does 'access to health care' mean? *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy* 2002; 7: 186-188. DOI: 10.1258/135581902760082517.
17. Levesque J-F, Harris MF and Russell G. Patient-centred access to health care: conceptualising access at the interface of health systems and populations. *Intern* 2013; 12: 18. DOI: 10.1186/1475-9276-12-18.
18. Australian Government Department of Health. Coronavirus (COVID-19) case numbers and statistics, <https://www.health.gov.au/news/health-alerts/novel-coronavirus-2019-ncov-health-alert/coronavirus-covid-19-case-numbers-and-statistics> (2021).
19. Tiller E, Fildes J and Hall S. Mission Australia youth survey report 2020. Mission Australia, Sydney, New South Wales, 2020.
20. Australian Institute of Health Welfare. COVID-19 and the impact on young people, <https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/children-youth/covid-19-and-young-people> (2021).
21. Australian Bureau of Statistics. Patient Experiences in Australia: Summary of Findings, <https://www.abs.gov.au/statistics/health/health-services/patient-experiences-australia-summary-findings/latest-release> (2020).
22. Blakemore S-J and Mills KL. Is adolescence a sensitive period for sociocultural processing? *Annual review of psychology* 2014; 65: 187-207.

23. Australian Health Ministers' Advisory Council. *National Strategic Framework for Chronic Conditions*. 2017. Canberra: Australian Government.
24. Li SH, Beames JR, Newby JM, et al. The impact of COVID-19 on the lives and mental health of Australian adolescents. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry* 2021. DOI: 10.1007/s00787-021-01790-x.
25. Mohal J, Lansangan C, Gasser C, et al. Growing Up in Australia: The Longitudinal Study of Australian Children—Data User Guide, Release 9C1. June 2021. *Melbourne, Australia: Australian Institute of Family Studies* 2021.
26. Andrews G, Henderson S and Hall W. Prevalence, comorbidity, disability and service utilisation: overview of the Australian National Mental Health Survey. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 2001; 178: 145-153.
27. Foster M, O'Flaherty M, Haynes M, et al. Health for all? Patterns and predictors of allied health service use in Australia. *Aust Health Rev* 2013; 37: 389-396.
28. Lazzarini M, Barbi E, Apicella A, et al. Delayed access or provision of care in Italy resulting from fear of COVID-19. *The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health* 2020; 4: e10-e11. DOI: 10.1016/s2352-4642(20)30108-5.
29. Armitage R and Nellums LB. The COVID-19 response must be disability inclusive. *The Lancet Public Health* 2020; 5: e257.
30. Booth ML, Bernard D, Quine S, et al. Access to health care among Australian adolescents young people's perspectives and their sociodemographic distribution. *J Adolesc Health* 2004; 34: 97-103. 2004/01/07. DOI: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2003.06.011.
31. Smith JA, Braunack-Mayer A and Wittert G. What do we know about men's help-seeking and health service use? *Medical Journal of Australia* 2006; 184: 81-83. <https://doi.org/10.5694/j.1326-5377.2006.tb00124.x>. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5694/j.1326-5377.2006.tb00124.x>.
32. Santomauro DF, Herrera AMM, Shadid J, et al. Global prevalence and burden of depressive and anxiety disorders in 204 countries and territories in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Lancet* 2021; 398: 1700-1712.
33. Frederiksen B, Ranji U, Salganicoff A, et al. *Women's Experiences with Health Care During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Findings from the KFF Women's Health Survey*. 2021. Kaiser Family Foundation.
34. Rickwood DJ, Deane FP and Wilson CJ. When and how do young people seek professional help for mental health problems? *Med J Aust* 2007; 187: S35-39. 2007/11/28. DOI: 10.5694/j.1326-5377.2007.tb01334.x.
35. Radez J, Reardon T, Creswell C, et al. Why do children and adolescents (not) seek and access professional help for their mental health problems? A systematic review of quantitative and qualitative studies. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry* 2021; 30: 183-211. DOI: 10.1007/s00787-019-01469-4.
36. Australian Bureau of Statistics. Migration, Australia. In: Australian Bureau of Statistics, (ed.). Canberra 2021.
37. Silliman Cohen RI and Bosk EA. Vulnerable Youth and the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Pediatrics* 2020; 146. DOI: 10.1542/peds.2020-1306.

Table 1 Sample characteristics (n=1110)

	n	%
Age	Mean=20.63, SD=0.49	
Sex		
Male	459	41.4
Female	651	58.6
Country of birth		
Overseas	56	5.0
Australia	1,054	95.0
State		
Others	286	25.8
NSW	319	28.7
VIC	298	26.8
QLD	207	18.7
Remoteness		
Major cities	849	76.5
Regional/Remote	261	23.5
Schooling		
Technical/Others	405	36.5
University/Tertiary	705	63.5
Employment status		
Unemployed	248	22.3
Employed (part-time/full-time)	862	77.7
Living with parents		
No	316	28.5
Yes	794	71.5
Family cohesion <sup>1</sup>		
Poor	173	15.6
Strong	937	84.4
IRSAD Quintiles <sup>2</sup>		
Q1 (0-20%) - Most disadvantaged	288	26.0
Q2 (20-40%)	203	18.3
Q3 (40-60%)	268	24.1
Q4 (60-80%)	179	16.1
Q5 (80-100%) - Most advantaged	172	15.5
Any illness or disability <sup>3</sup>		
No	847	76.3
Yes	263	23.7
Pre-existing medical conditions (Undiagnosed) <sup>4</sup>		
No	629	56.7
Yes	481	43.3
Diagnosed with Asthma <sup>5</sup>		
No	842	75.9
Yes	268	24.1
Psychological distress <sup>6</sup>		
Low/Moderate	652	58.7
High/Very high	458	41.3

<sup>1</sup> Cohesion is the ability of family members get along with each other.

<sup>2</sup> IRSAD quintiles (Index of Relative Socioeconomic Advantaged and Disadvantaged) is a general measure of both relative socioeconomic advantage and disadvantage at the area level. It uses a range of different Census variables including income, education, employment, occupation and housing characteristics. Quintile 1 (0-20%) signifies greater disadvantage and lack of advantages in general, while Quintile 5 (80-100%) indicates greater advantages and a lack of disadvantage at the area level.

<sup>3</sup> Any medical condition lasted six months or more including eye problems, difficulty in learning, disability or deformity and mental illnesses that needed continuous assistance or supervision.

<sup>4</sup> These conditions are reported by the respondents but not diagnosed by doctors. Conditions were hay fever, musculoskeletal prob, ADHD, anxiety, depression, autism, diabetes, palpitation, congenital heart disease, seizure/epilepsy and wheezing.

<sup>5</sup> Respondents diagnosed with asthma by Doctors

<sup>6</sup> Psychological distress was measured using the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K10) and categorized based on the K10 scale summed score.

Figure 1 Distribution of access to services - COVID times vs Pre-COVID times




Table 2 Reasons for not seeking medical care

Reasons		Wave 9C1 (n=440) n (%)	Wave 8 (n=460) n (%)
1	Didn't know who to go and see	71 (16.1)	47 (10.2)
2	Had no transportation	18 (4.1)	11 (2.4)
3	No one available to go along with	16 (3.6)	11 (2.4)
4	Difficult to make appointment	78 (17.7)	51 (11.1)
5	Afraid of what doctors would say or do	116 (26.4)	84 (18.3)
6	Thought the problem would go away	246 (55.9)	164 (35.7)
7	Couldn't pay	65 (14.8)	47 (10.2)
8	The problem went away	120 (27.3)	82 (17.8)
9	Too embarrassed	84 (19.1)	59 (12.8)
10	Felt I would be discriminated against	10 (2.3)	8 (1.7)
11	Didn't think they could help me	78 (17.7)	53 (11.5)
12	Services not available in my area	14 (3.2)	9 (2.0)
13	Others	65 (14.8)	44 (9.6)
During CRP			
14	I did not want to visit doctor during the coronavirus restriction period	96 (21.8)	-
15	My doctor did not do non-emergency appointments during the coronavirus restriction period	15 (3.4)	-
16	Appointment cancelled or deferred indefinitely because of the coronavirus restriction period	8 (1.8)	-
17	Isolating due to the coronavirus restrictions	12 (2.7)	-
18	Telehealth appointment was the only option available	37 (8.4)	-
* CRP related data not collected in Wave 8			
** Reasons are not mutually exclusive and respondent had the option not to answer. Here, we only included those who responded 'Yes' to the above-mentioned reasons for not accessing services.			



Table 3 Factors associated with access to service during COVID times (Wave 9C1) and pre-COVID times (Wave 8) - Bivariate analysis

	COVID times <sup>1</sup> (Wave 9C1)			Pre-COVID times <sup>2</sup> (Wave 8)		
	Service not accessed (n=440)	Service accessed (n=670)	Chi-squared test (p-value)	Service not accessed (n=460)	Service accessed (n=650)	Chi-squared test (p-value)
Sex						
Male	159 (34.6)	300 (65.4)	8.18 (0.004**)	173 (37.7)	286 (62.3)	4.53 (0.033*)
Female	281 (43.2)	370 (56.8)		287 (44.1)	364 (55.9)	
Country of birth						
Overseas	21 (37.5)	35 (62.5)	0.11 (0.737)	19 (33.9)	37 (66.1)	1.37 (0.242)
Australia	419 (39.8)	635 (60.2)		441 (41.8)	613 (58.2)	
State						
Others	118 (41.3)	168 (58.7)	1.15 (0.764)	110 (38.5)	176 (61.5)	2.51 (0.472)
NSW	121 (37.9)	198 (62.1)		136 (42.4)	183 (57.4)	
VIC	115 (38.6)	183 (61.4)		132 (44.3)	166 (55.7)	
QLD	86 (41.5)	121 (58.5)		82 (39.6)	125 (60.4)	
Remoteness						
Major cities	340 (40.1)	509 (59.9)	0.25 (0.617)	357 (42.1)	492 (57.9)	0.55 (0.458)
Regional/Remote	100 (38.3)	161 (61.7)		103 (39.5)	158 (60.5)	
Schooling						
Technical/Others	161 (39.8)	244 (60.2)	0.00 (0.953)	174 (42.9)	231 (57.1)	0.61 (0.435)
University/Tertiary	279 (39.6)	426 (60.4)		286 (40.6)	419 (59.4)	
Employment status						
Unemployed	107 (43.2)	141 (56.9)	1.64 (0.200)	104 (41.9)	144 (58.1)	0.03 (0.858)
Employed (part-time/full-time)	333 (38.6)	529 (61.4)		356 (41.3)	506 (58.7)	
Living with parents						
No	139 (44.0)	177 (56.0)	3.49 (0.062)	153 (48.4)	163 (51.6)	8.85 (0.003**)
Yes	301 (37.9)	493 (62.1)		307 (38.7)	487 (61.3)	
Family cohesion						

	COVID times <sup>1</sup> (Wave 9C1)			Pre-COVID times <sup>2</sup> (Wave 8)		
	Service not accessed (n=440)	Service accessed (n=670)	Chi-squared test (p-value)	Service not accessed (n=460)	Service accessed (n=650)	Chi-squared test (p-value)
Poor	94 (54.3)	79 (45.7)	18.49 ( $<0.001^{***}$ )	87 (50.3)	86 (49.7)	6.62 (0.010 <sup>**</sup> )
Strong	346 (36.9)	591 (63.1)		373 (39.8)	564 (60.2)	
IRSD Quintiles						
Q1 (0-20%) - Most disadvantaged	112 (38.9)	176 (61.1)	6.26 (0.180)	117 (40.6)	171 (59.4)	5.38 (0.250)
Q2 (20-40%)	82 (40.4)	121 (59.6)		81 (39.9)	122 (60.1)	
Q3 (40-60%)	119 (44.4)	149 (55.6)		126 (47.0)	142 (53.0)	
Q4 (60-80%)	71 (39.7)	108 (60.3)		73 (40.8)	106 (59.2)	
Q5 (80-100%) - Most advantaged	56 (32.6)	116 (67.4)		63 (36.6)	109 (63.4)	
Any illness or disability						
No	298 (35.2)	549 (64.8)	29.67 ( $<0.001^{***}$ )	333 (39.3)	514 (60.7)	6.65 (0.010 <sup>**</sup> )
Yes	142 (54.0)	121 (46.0)		127 (48.3)	136 (51.7)	
Pre-existing medical conditions (Undiagnosed)						
No	229 (36.4)	400 (63.6)	6.33 (0.012 <sup>*</sup> )	233 (37.0)	396 (62.9)	11.57 (0.001 <sup>**</sup> )
Yes	211 (43.9)	270 (56.1)		227 (47.2)	254 (52.8)	
Diagnosed with Asthma						
No	319 (37.9)	523 (62.1)	4.48 (0.034 <sup>*</sup> )	335 (39.8)	507 (60.2)	3.93 (0.047 <sup>*</sup> )
Yes	121 (45.2)	147 (54.8)		125 (46.6)	143 (53.4)	
Psychological distress						
Low/Moderate	186 (28.5)	466 (71.5)	81.54 ( $<0.001^{***}$ )	220 (33.7)	432 (66.3)	38.59 ( $<0.001^{***}$ )
High/Very high	254 (55.5)	204 (44.5)		240 (52.4)	218 (47.6)	
COVID tested <sup>1</sup>						
Yes	129 (40.1)	193 (59.9)	0.03 (0.854)	-	-	-
No	311 (39.5)	477 (60.5)				

	COVID times <sup>1</sup> (Wave 9C1)			Pre-COVID times <sup>2</sup> (Wave 8)		
	Service not accessed (n=440)	Service accessed (n=670)	Chi-squared test (p-value)	Service not accessed (n=460)	Service accessed (n=650)	Chi-squared test (p-value)
Physical activity during CRP <sup>2</sup>						
No	160 (43.7)	206 (56.3)	3.79 (0.051)	-	-	-
Yes	280 (37.6)	464 (62.4)				
Employment status during CRP						
Unemployed	285 (38.1)	464 (61.9)	2.43 (0.119)	-	-	-
Employed (part-time/full-time)	155 (42.9)	206 (57.1)				
Received coronavirus supplement <sup>3</sup> during CRP						
No	266 (38.3)	429 (61.7)	1.45 (0.228)	-	-	-
Yes	174 (41.9)	241 (58.1)				
Difficulty of life <sup>4</sup> during CRP						
Few to many	318 (45.2)	386 (54.8)	24.61 ( $<0.001^{***}$ )	-	-	-
Less or no	122 (30.1)	284 (69.9)				
<sup>1</sup> Whether the respondent tested for COVID or not. <sup>2</sup> CRP - Coronavirus Restriction Period, between March and May 2020. <sup>3</sup> Coronavirus supplement was the additional financial support for people on welfare during COVID pandemic from Australian Government <sup>4</sup> How difficult was the life during the CRP. Responses included from no problems and/or stresses to many problems and/or stresses. * CRP (Coronavirus restriction period) related data not collected in Wave 8 ** Row percentages are presented						

Table 4 Determinants of not using health services during COVID times (Wave 9C1) and pre-COVID times (Wave 8)

	Wave 9C1	Wave 8
	Model I OR (95% CI) <sup>1</sup>	Model II OR (95% CI)
Sex		
Male	Ref	Ref
Female	1.28 (0.93, 1.78)	1.17 (0.98, 1.39)
Country of birth		
Overseas	Ref	Ref
Australia	1.59 (0.82, 3.07)	1.71* (1.10, 2.64)
State		
Others	Ref	Ref
NSW	1.18 (0.81, 1.71)	1.55 (0.92, 2.61)
VIC	1.24 (0.81, 1.91)	1.73** (1.22, 2.45)
QLD	1.16 (0.73, 1.86)	1.01 (0.71, 1.43)
Remoteness		
Major cities	Ref	Ref
Regional/Remote	0.94 (0.55, 1.61)	0.76 (0.42, 1.37)
Schooling		
Technical/Others	Ref	Ref
University/Tertiary	1.16 (0.90, 1.49)	0.91 (0.61, 1.35)
Employment status		
Unemployed	Ref	Ref
Employed (part-time/full-time)	1.04 (0.53, 2.02)	1.02 (0.75, 1.41)
Living with parents		
No	Ref	Ref
Yes	0.92 (0.63, 1.34)	0.65 (0.41, 1.03)
Family cohesion		
Poor	Ref	Ref
Strong	0.69 (0.46, 1.02)	0.66 (0.35, 1.22)
IRSAD Quintiles		
Q1 (0-20%) - Most disadvantaged	Ref	Ref
Q2 (20-40%)	1.38 (0.61, 3.12)	1.14 (0.91, 1.43)
Q3 (40-60%)	1.24 (0.62, 2.49)	1.40 (0.89, 2.18)
Q4 (60-80%)	1.18 (0.53, 2.62)	1.01 (0.72, 1.39)
Q5 (80-100%) - Most advantaged	0.87 (0.32, 2.33)	0.93 (0.52, 1.67)
Any illness or disability (that needs support)		
No	Ref	Ref
Yes	1.71** (1.21, 2.41)	0.97 (0.67, 1.44)
Pre-existing medical conditions (Undiagnosed)		
No	Ref	Ref
Yes	1.36 (0.98, 1.89)	1.48* (1.03, 2.12)
Diagnosed with Asthma		

	Wave 9C1	Wave 8
	Model I OR (95% CI) <sup>1</sup>	Model II OR (95% CI)
No	Ref	Ref
Yes	0.97 (0.61, 1.55)	1.07 (0.68, 1.69)
Psychological distress		
Low/Moderate	Ref	Ref
High/Very high	3.17*** (2.58, 3.91)	2.32*** (1.61, 3.37)
COVID tested		
Yes	Ref	-
No	1.27 (0.85, 1.89)	-
Physical activity during CRP		
No	Ref	-
Yes	0.87 (0.65, 1.15)	-
Employment status during CRP		
Unemployed	Ref	-
Employed (part-time/full-time)	1.13 (0.67, 1.91)	-
Received coronavirus supplement during CRP		
No	Ref	-
Yes	1.11 (0.68, 1.81)	-
Difficulty of life during CRP		
Few to many	Ref	-
Less or no	0.84 (0.67, 1.08)	-
<sup>1</sup> Adjusted odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) Level of significance considered: *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001		