1 Normalisation of SARS-CoV-2 concentrations in wastewater:

2 the use of flow, conductivity and CrAssphage.

3 Authors and their affiliations

- 4 Jeroen Langeveld^{*1,2}, Remy Schilperoort², Leo Heijnen³, Goffe Elsinga³, Claudia E.M.
- 5 Schapendonk⁴, Ewout Fanoy⁷, Evelien I.T. de Schepper⁵, Marion P. G. Koopmans⁴, Miranda
- 6 de Graaf⁴, Gertjan Medema^{1,3,6}
- ⁷ ¹ Sanitary Engineering, Delft University of Technology, Stevinweg 1 2628CN Delft, The
- 8 Netherlands,
- 9 ² Partners4UrbanWater, Graafseweg 274, 6532 ZV Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- ³ KWR Water Research Institute, Groningenhaven 7, 3433PE Nieuwegein, The Netherlands
- ⁴ Department of Viroscience, Erasmus University Medical Center, Dr. Molewaterplein 40,
- 12 3015 GD Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- 13 ⁵ Department of General Practice, Erasmus University Medical Center, Dr. Molewaterplein
- 14 40, 3015 GD Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- ⁶ Natural resources, Michigan State University, 1405 S Harrison Rd, East-Lansing, 48823
- 16 Michigan, USA
- ⁷ GGD Department public health, municipality Rotterdam, Schiedamsedijk 95, 3000 LP
- 18 Rotterdam, the Netherlands
- 19 *Corresponding author:
- 20 Jeroen Langeveld: jeroen.langeveld@urbanwater.nl
- 21
- 22
- 23

24 Abstract

25	Over the course of the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020-2021, monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 RNA
26	in wastewater has rapidly evolved into a supplementary surveillance instrument for public
27	health. Short term trends (2 weeks) are used as a basis for policy and decision making on
28	measures for dealing with the pandemic. Normalization is required to account for the varying
29	dilution rates of the domestic wastewater, that contains the shedded virus RNA. The dilution
30	rate varies due to runoff, industrial discharges and extraneous waters. Three normalization
31	methods using flow, conductivity and CrAssphage, have been investigated on 9 monitoring
32	locations between Sep 2020 and Aug 2021, rendering 1071 24-hour flow-proportional
33	samples. In addition, 221 stool samples have been analyzed to determine the daily
34	CrAssphage load per person. Results show that flow normalization supported by a quality
35	check using conductivity monitoring is the advocated normalization method in case flow
36	monitoring is or can be made available. Although Crassphage shedding rates per person
37	vary greatly, the CrAssphage loads were very consistent over time and space and direct
38	CrAssphage based normalization can be applied reliably for populations of 5600 and above.

39 Keywords

40 Sewage surveillance, COVID-19, wastewater-based epidemiology, public health,

41 normalisation

42

43 1. Introduction

- 44 Over the course of the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020-2021, monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 RNA
- 45 in wastewater has rapidly evolved into a supplementary surveillance instrument for public
- 46 health. (Lodder and de Roda Husman 2020, Medema et al. 2020b, Kirby et al., 2021). It is
- 47 currently used in many countries (COVIDPoops19 Dashboard | covid19wbec.org) at different
- 48 scales, from national surveillance at all (Virus particles in wastewater | Coronavirus

49	Dashboard Government.nl) or selected wastewater treatment plants (Szennyvizekben mért
50	SARS-CoV-2 vírus koncentrációja (gov.hu); Koronaviruksen jätevesiseurannan viikkoraportti
51	(thl.fi); Données ouvertes - Réseau OBEPINE (reseau-obepine.fr); Coronastep Luxembourg
52	Institute of Science and Technology (list.lu); SARS-CoV-2 in Wastewater (sensors-
53	eawag.ch)) to regional (Wolfe et al., 2021; Ai et al., 2021; Sarsaigua (icra.cat)), (sub) city level
54	(Rasero et al., 2021; Yaniv et al., 2021) and building level (Davo et al., 2021; Sweetapple et
55	al., 2021; Betancourt et al., 2021). The concentration of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater
56	has shown to reflect and even precede the trends of the newly reported cases or COVID-19
57	hospitalizations (Medema et al. 2020a; Prado, Fumian et al. 2021; Ho et al., 2021). In
58	situations with low COVID-19 prevalence, wastewater surveillance is being used as an early
59	warning system (Betancourt et al. 2020, Medema et al. 2020a, Ahmed et al. 2021) enabling
60	rapid and targeted measures to limit the COVID-19 transmission. Wastewater surveillance is
61	also an efficient tool to monitor the emergence of (signature mutations of) new variants-of-
62	concern in communities, using targeted sequencing (Rios et al., 2021; Rothman et al., 2021;
63	Jahn et al., 2021) or targeted PCR methods (Graber et al., 2021, Heijnen et al. 2021). A key
64	advantage of wastewater surveillance is the possibility to obtain objective information about
65	virus circulation in a community. The 'traditional' surveillance of reported cases is subject to
66	bias by (changes in) testing strategies, access to testing, and compliance of communities,
67	and reflect only those that get tested. SARS-CoV-2 concentrations in community wastewater
68	are independent of testing behavior, thereby providing a more complete and objective image
69	of the virus circulation in the community provided there is unrestricted access to sanitation.
70	Public health agencies use the wastewater signal to spot changes in testing behavior over
71	time or between communities (de Graaf et al, in preparation) and to verify the trends in
72	reported cases in communities. Decision-making on (partial) lockdowns and travel
73	restrictions requires up-to-date and reliable information on trends in virus circulation,
74	preferably at a high spatial resolution. The relevant time window of many of these decisions
75	is the information of 1 - 2 antecedent weeks; i.e. the weekly updates of the epidemiological
76	situation (e.g. https://www.rivm.nl/en/coronavirus-covid-19/weekly-figures) and the maps in

77 support of the Council Recommendation on a coordinated approach to travel measures in

78 the EU at https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/covid-19/situation-updates/weekly-maps-

79 coordinated-restriction-free-movement, that is based on the testing, positivity and notification 80 rate over a time frame of 2 weeks. To monitor trends in SARS-CoV-2 circulation via 81 wastewater in a similar time frame, frequent and representative sampling of a community is 82 necessary. Sample collection typically takes place as 24 h composite samples to account for 83 the typical diurnal pattern associated with wastewater production and toilet use (Medema et 84 al., 2020b; Ort et al. 2010). In addition, wastewater concentrations can be affected by the 85 dilution of domestic wastewater in other water in the sewerage network, such as stormwater 86 runoff, groundwater or industrial discharges. This dilution may vary in time; wet weather 87 discharges can be many times the dry weather discharge of sewers. To account for this 88 variable dilution, normalisation of the measured concentrations by the (daily) flow of 89 wastewater into daily loads is a common procedure in Wastewater Based Epidemiology 90 (WBE), building on methods and procedures developed over the last decade mainly for drug 91 use monitoring (Castiglioni et al., 2014). For SARS-CoV-2 normalisation, the measured RNA 92 concentration in the 24h composite sample can be multiplied by the wastewater flow of the 93 last 24h at the sampling site to compute the daily viral load. This load can in turn be 94 normalised for the population of the sewershed to be able to compare the loads between 95 different areas. The underlying assumption of this flow normalisation approach (Castiglioni et 96 al., 2014) is that the sample taken is representative of the domestic wastewater volume 97 being discharged by the population served by the pumping station or wastewater treatment 98 plant (WWTP). However, there are several situations where this assumption does not hold. 99 They may be attributed to short term changes in population size due to commuters or 100 tourism, or to sewer system dynamics, such as spills of SSOs (sanitary sewer overflows) due 101 to blockages, spills of CSOs during heavy rainfall, transport delays in pressure mains which 102 vary strongly during dry weather and wet weather or delays in the transport of wastewater to 103 the WWTP due to pump failures or other operational issues. In these situations, the 104 wastewater flow at the inlet of the wastewater treatment plant is not representing the amount

- 105 of wastewater that is produced in that sewershed on that day. Consequently, there is a need
- 106 for additional quality control in WBE. Normalisation by two independent parameters could be
- 107 the way forward to check for representativeness of the sample for the shedding of SARS-
- 108 CoV-2. In addition, in many locations flow data is unavailable, resulting in a need for other
- 109 types of normalisation parameters.

110 Many examples of parameters that may be used to normalise wastewater samples are 111 available. Launay et al. (2016) propose the use of electric conductivity (EC) as a proxy of the 112 dilution of wastewater due to storm water or ground water dilution. They showed that the 113 dilution calculated based on EC gives similar results as the use of inert human wastewater 114 tracers such as ibuprofen, naproxen and diclofenac. Many other human wastewater tracers 115 are less applicable as tracer due to e.g. a higher back ground variation or degradation in the 116 sewer (Gao et al., 2017). EC was selected as a cheap parameter which can be reliably 117 measured. Tandukar et al. (2020), Bivins et al. (2020) and Medema et al. (2020b) assessed 118 the applicability of several chemical and biological markers for human input in wastewater. 119 Like others (Crank et al., 2020; Hillary et al., 2021; Wilder et al., 2021; Heijnen et al., 2021), 120 we selected CrAssphage, given it is a highly abundant virus (almost) exclusively found in 121 human feces and is present in humans world-wide (Edwards et al., 2019). High CrAssphage 122 concentrations are reported in domestic wastewater globally, and the concentrations do not 123 show significant seasonal variation (Balleste et al., 2019), making them a potentially useful 124 index for the human fecal fraction of wastewater. 125 The aim of this study was to develop a procedure for quality control and normalisation of 126 wastewater samples, in order to allow quantitative trend analysis of SARS-CoV-2 in support 127 of public health decision-making. We compared and evaluated three methods for 128 normalisation: the 'standard' daily wastewater flow rate and population normalisation, electric 129 conductivity (EC) as a proxy for dilution and the human fecal biomarker crAssphage. In 130 addition, we analysed whether a combination of two normalisation methods can be used as a 131 quality check and normaliser of SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentration data.

132 2. Material and methods

133 2.1. Wastewater surveillance Rotterdam Rijnmond

134	In and around the city of Rotterdam (the Netherlands), 9 catchment areas were selected for
135	wastewater sampling (Figure 1). Four catchment areas were sampled at the wastewater
136	treatment plant Dokhaven (INF2, INF3, INF4 and INF5-6), sampling from the pressure mains
137	arriving at the Wastewater Treatment Plants (WWTP). These four areas make up about half
138	of the urban area of the city of Rotterdam and vary in population between 27.044 and
139	138.280 people (CBS, 2020). Catchment area Pretorialaan (71.325 inhabitants) constitutes
140	roughly half of the Dokhaven INF3 area, and catchment area Katendrecht (4.884 inhabitants)
141	is a small subcatchment of the Pretorialaan catchment. Both catchments are sampled at their
142	respective sewer pumping stations serving the area. The sewer system is cascading
143	meaning that wastewater from Katendrecht is first sampled at sewer pumping station
144	Katendrecht, then again at sewer pumping station Pretorialaan (diluted with wastewater from
145	the rest of the Pretorialaan area), and finally again at Dokhaven INF3 (further diluted).
146	Outside the WWTP Dokhaven area, three predominantly residential areas have been
147	selected for surveillance: Ommoord (28.434 inhabitants), Bergschenhoek (18.750
148	inhabitants) and Rozenburg (12.374 inhabitants). Ommoord and Bergschenhoek were
149	sampled at their respective sewer pumping stations, Rozenburg at the WWTP serving
150	exclusively the Rozenburg area.
151	The sewer network in the Rotterdam area is largely combined, with separate sewer only in
152	more recently developed and renovated areas.

153 2.2. Wastewater sampling and data

154 At all sampling sites automated composite samplers (Endress+Hauser Liguistation CSF48 155 and ASP2000 stations) were used to collect flow-proportional 24h composite wastewater 156 samples. The autosamplers were programmed to collect 50 mL aliquots per fixed volume of 157 wastewater (as measured by the flow sensors at the WWTPs/pumping stations). This fixed volume varied between 12 m³ (Katendrecht) and 170 m³ (Dokhaven INF3) and was selected 158 159 to ensure a minimum of 100 aliquots (5 L of sample) for each 24h sample throughout the 160 year (NEN6600). All locations followed the same sampling schedule: three 24h samples per 161 week (Sun 08h00 - Mon 08h00, Tue 08h00 - Wed 08h00 and Thu 08h00 - Fri 08h00) from 162 September 2020 (Bergschenhoek: January 2021) to August 2021. Samples were stored 163 inside the autosampler at a temperature between 1°C and 5°C until sample collection (Mon. 164 Wed and Fri between 08h00 and 16h00). At the time of sample collection, the collected 165 composite sample was mixed by manual stirring and an aliguot of 250 mL was collected from 166 the container in the autosampler, stored in a sterile flask and transported at 4°C to the 167 laboratory. 168 Upon sample collection the quality of each sample was assessed comparing the theoretical 169 sample volume (number of aliquots in 24h, multiplied by aliquot volume) with the actual 170 sample volume. In case of sampling 'failure' (> 7,5% difference according to NEN6600), 171 details of the sampling process were further studied to determine whether the sample could 172 still be included in the data set. Overall approximately 5-7% (depending on the location) of all 173 sampling failed completely (not a single aliquot in the container in a 24h period) due to e.g. a 174 power cut, maintenance activities, clogging of the autosampler, public holidays etc. For 175 another 5-15 % of samples a deviation of more than 7,5% was observed. These were 176 caused mainly by (partial or temporal) clogging of the equipment (relatively often coinciding 177 with storm events) or logistical errors in the field. Overall, 89,6 % of potential samples could 178 be used for processing.

179

180 2.3. Virus concentration and nucleic acid extraction

181 Samples were processed within one week after sampling using the procedure as previously 182 described (Medema et al 2020). In short, centrifugation was used as pre-treatment to remove 183 larger particles. Virus particles were concentrated from 50 ml supernatant by ultrafiltration 184 through Centricon® Plus-70 centrifugal ultrafilters with a cut-off of 30 kDa (Millipore, 185 Amsterdam, Netherlands). Mouse Hepatitis Virus (MHV)-A59 (Department Medical 186 Microbiology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, Netherlands) was spiked to each 187 concentrate as quality control. Nucleic acid was extracted from the concentrate with the 188 Biomerieux Nuclisens kit (Biomerieux, Amersfoort, Netherlands) in combination with the 189 semi-automated KingFisher mL (Thermo Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) as previously 190 described. Extracted nucleic acid was eluted in a volume of 100 µl.

191

192 2.4. RT-qPCR for Coronavirus RNA-quantification in wastewater

193 The N2 and E_Sarbecco gene fragments of SARS-CoV-2 were used as qRT-PCR targets,

194 N2 was used for quantification, E_Sarbecco for confirmation (both needed to be present for a

195 positive result). Reagents and reaction conditions were as previously described (Medema et

al. 2020; Heijnen et al. 2021). All RT-PCR's were run as technical duplicates on 5 µl

197 extracted nucleic acid. Reactions were considered positive if the cycle threshold was below

- 198 40 cycles. Spiked MHV-A59 RNA was detected by performing a MHV-A59 specific RT-qPCR
- 199 targeting the N-gene using the primers and reaction profile described by (Raabenet al. 2007).

200

201 2.5. PCR for CrAssphage quantification in wastewater and feces

A CrAssphage CPQ_064 specific PCR (Stachler, Kelty et al. 2017) was used to quantify this
 DNA-virus. Assays were performed in duplicate on 5 µl 1:10 diluted extracted nucleic acid as
 described previously (Heijnen et al. 2021).

205

206 2.6. PCR for CrAssphage quantification in stool samples

- 207 Feces swabs from 221 patients from the Rotterdam Rijnmond region that were tested
- 208 positive for SARS-CoV-2, were collected in 3 ml of viral transport medium. Samples were
- spun down for 5 min at 17,000 g, nucleic acids were isolated from 200µl supernatant using
- 210 the high pure RNA isolation kit (Roche) while omitting the DNAse I step. The RT-PCR
- 211 described in 2.5 was used to quantify levels of CrAssphage. This study was approved by the
- 212 Medical Ethical Committee of Erasmus MC under MEC-2020-0617
- 213

214 2.7. Normalisation procedure

In the study, three normalisation procedures have been developed to be able to assess flow,
EC and crAssphage as normalisation parameters. In each procedure, the percentage of *domestic* wastewater in was determined. The flow based normalisation procedure comprises
the following steps:

- 1. Preparation step: determine the number of inhabitants in the catchment and
- 220 determine the domestic dry weather flow (ddwf) expressed in litres per person per
- day. In the Netherlands, the ddwf is very stable at 120 I pppd (<u>www.riool.net</u>). In other
- countries, the ddwf may vary per location and can typically be obtained via localsewer authorities.
- 224 2. Determine the proportion of domestic sewage in a wastewater sample using flow225 data:

226 % domestic sewage(Q) =
$$\frac{\#inhabitants*ddwf}{V_{24h}} * 100\%$$
 (1)

227 where ddwf = average daily domestic wastewater production per person, V_{24h} = measured

- 228 wastewater volume over 24 h sampling time
- 229 The EC based normalisation procedure requires more additional data to enable direct
- 230 comparison with the flow base normalisation:

231	1.	Determine number of inhabitants, the average domestic dry weather flow in litres per
232		person per day and the average sewage dry weather flow, which is used as a
233		reference to account for the dilution with extraneous water during dry weather. This
234		average dry weather flow can be extracted as the 40 th percentile from a time series of
235		flow data at the sampling site (Mulder et al., 2020). For most monitoring locations, the
236		difference between the 30^{th} and 50^{th} percentile value is relatively small. For
237		catchments with a strong seasonal variation of the amount of extraneous waters, it
238		may be necessary to derive a reference for the wet and dry season. This assessment
239		is easy to make using the methods as described in Weiß et al, 2002.
240	2.	Determine the reference EC value during dry weather flow by taking the average of all
241		days with a daily flow volumes ranging between the 10 th and 50 th percentile derived

from a 1 year time series. Days with flows smaller than the 10th percentile could be affected by operational irregularities, such pump failures or drinking water outage,

244 while flows above the 50^{th} percentile could be affected by (small) storm events.

3. Determine the proportion of domestic sewage in a wastewater sample using EC data:

246 % domestic sewage (EC) =
$$\frac{\# inhabitants*ddwf}{V_{DWFref}} * \frac{EC_{sample}}{EC_{DWFref}} 100\%$$
 (2)

where $V_{DWF ref}$ = average wastewater volume during dry weather flow (40th percentile of daily volumes derived from 1 year time series of flow monitoring, EC_{sample} = measured EC in sample, $EC_{DWF ref}$ = average EC of all samples with flows ranging between 10th and 50th percentile of daily volumes derived from 1 year time series of flow monitoring).

Please note that the $V_{DWF ref}$ also contains industrial wastewater and extraneous water. The first term in equation 2 in fact describes the dilution during dry weather flow, the second term in the equation the additional dilution during storm event.

254

255 The crAssphage based normalisation follows the same approach as the EC based

256 normalisation and only differs in the parameter used: CrAssphage instead of EC:

257 1. The concentration during dry weather flow is taken as a reference to enable

258

calculating the proportion of domestic sewage during wet weather using eq 3).

259 % domestic sewage (CrAss) = $\frac{\#inhabitants*dwf}{V_{DWF ref}} * \frac{CrAss_{sample}}{CrAss_{DWF ref}} 100\%$ (3) 260 where $V_{DWF ref}$ = average wastewater volume during dry weather flow (40th percentile of daily 261 volumes derived from 1 year time series of flow monitoring), CrAss_{sample} = measured CrAss in 262 sample (genome copies/ml sample), CrAss_{DWF ref} = average CrAss of all samples with flows

- ranging between 10th and 50th percentile of daily volumes derived from 1 year time series of
- 264 flow monitoring, (genome copies/ml sample)).
- 265
- 266 For all three normalisation parameters, normalisation of the SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentration
- in wastewater is performed by multiplying the measured concentration with the reciprocal of
- the proportion of domestic sewage in the sample. This is expressed as SARS-CoV-2 N2
- 269 RNA concentration (in gene copies) per mL of domestic wastewater, and enables direct
- 270 comparison of the three normalisation parameters.

271 3. Results and discussion

272 3.1. Normalisation factors differ in time and space

273 In total, data from 1207 samples from the 9 different city areas from September 2020-August 274 2021 are available. Flow based normalisation was applied after performing the normalisation 275 procedure by multiplying the lab result (# SARS-CoV-2 N2 gene copies/ml sample) with the 276 reciprocal of the proportion of domestic wastewater. Figure 2 gives an overview of the 277 proportion of domestic wastewater per sample. The large range is due to the fact that all 278 catchments are served by combined sewer systems, which show high dilutions and 279 consequently, low proportions of domestic wastewater, during storm events. As example, 280 Figure 3 shows how storm events affect the observed SARS-CoV-2 concentration for INF3, 281 with a variable normalisation factor up to 2.8. In addition to dilution due to rain water, the 282 median of the correction factors ranges between 2.0 and 3.5. This indicates that also during 283 dry weather a significant proportion of the wastewater sampled consists of non-domestic 284 sources, such as extraneous waters and industrial wastewater. The sewer system of 285 Rotterdam is known for its high proportion of extraneous waters. At WWTP Dokhaven, the 286 average amount of extraneous waters during dry weather flow is 43% of the total influent 287 (Vosse, 2013). Catchment INF 2 Everlo Waalhaven also discharges a substantial amount of 288 industrial wastewater, while catchment Ommoord shows a strongly time-varying amount of 289 extraneous water (see figures S1) with a rather high salinity. This is most likely brackish 290 drainage water in this catchment which is at 5 meters below sea level. The large differences 291 in correction factors implicate that directly comparing measured SARS-CoV-2 concentrations 292 in different city areas without normalisation could result in an incorrect assessment of the 293 relative concentration levels, both within a location over time (trends) and between locations. 294

- 295
- 296 3.2. Impact of dilution on observed SARS-CoV-2 trends

297 Flow based normalisation corrects the measured SARS-CoV-2 concentration for the dilution 298 rate of the domestic part of the wastewater. Figure 4 gives an example for the INF3 299 catchment, all other sites are in the supplementary material. During storm (= high flow) 300 events, the correction factor is higher while on dry days the correction factor is smaller. The 301 long-term trend is not affected, as the catchment in Rotterdam does not show a season-302 dependent runoff volume and also does not show a seasonal trend in the amount of 303 extraneous water (Vosse, 2013). 304 305 306 As stated before, the relevant timescale of trend information for public health agencies is in 307 the range of 1-2 weeks. On such a short notice, 2 weeks of consecutive dry weather as well 308 as 2 weeks of consecutive wet weather are both not unlikely. Especially longer periods with 309 wet weather could result in several subsequent samples being diluted. Figure 5 zooms in on the first 4 months of the time series of site INF3. On several occasions, October 5th-12th, 310 November 11th-16th, and December 18th –30th, uncorrected lab results clearly suggested a 311 312 downward trend in SARS-CoV-2 levels in the sewage. All were in weeks with rain, and the 313 normalised SARS-CoV-2 levels in domestic sewage in the same periods clearly showed a different trend. From October 30th – November 6th, the uncorrected lab results suggested an 314 315 upward trend, while the normalised SARS-CoV-2 levels showed a downward trend as 316 dilution decreased due to less inflow. Similar differences were observed at the other sites 317 (SI). 318 319 3.3. Flow based normalisation versus EC and CrAssphage based 320

321 normalisation

322 The three types of normalisation, flow based, EC based and CrAssphage based, result in 323 comparable long term trends in normalised SARS-CoV-2 levels of INF3 (figure 6), apart from 324 a few aberrations. In the second week of February 2021, an increased EC was observed due 325 to road de-icing and as a consequence, the EC based correction factors were lower than the 326 flow based correction factors. CrAssphage based normalisation deviated strongly from flow based and EC based normalisation in the period between March 8th – 15th. Figure 7 shows 327 328 the CrAssphage load per person and day for the entire monitoring period of INF3 (other 329 areas in SI), showing a fairly constant load over the monitoring period, with the exception of 330 the mentioned period. This deviation was observed at all monitoring locations with a similar 331 trend, indicating an issue in the analyses of CrAssphage in this period, even though re-332 inspection of the procedure and data did not show deviations. Apart from this, differences 333 between flow based, EC based and CrAssphage based normalisation seem to be incidental 334 with a slight underestimation of the EC and CrAss based normalisation, see figure 8. The 335 flow based normalisation results in exactly the same trend as the daily load calculation as 336 applied in the Dutch national sewage surveillance conducted by the National Institute for 337 Public Health and the Environment (SARS-CoV-2 concentration in sample * daily flow per 338 100.000 inhabitants https://coronadashboard.rijksoverheid.nl/verantwoording#virusdeeltjesin-rioolwater). The EC based normalisation showed an R^2 of 0.96 with the daily load, while 339 the CrAss based normalisation results in an R² of 0.92. Flow based normalisation gave the 340 341 best correspondence with the standard daily load calculation (Castiglioni et al., 2014), while 342 EC based and CrAss based normalisation yielded very comparable results. 343

- 343
- 344
- 345 3.4. Parallel normalisation methods provide quality control

346 The relative close agreement between flow, EC and CrAssphage based normalisation 347 provides a good basis for quality control of SARS-CoV-2 samples. Of our 1207 SARS-CoV-2 348 samples, 32 (2.8%) yielded a low CrAssphage concentration (<10⁴ GC/ml). 20 of those were 349 from Ommoord, the site with the most variable proportion of extraneous waters, and these 350 samples also had a high EC (>2000 µS/cm). In these low CrAssphage samples, SARS-CoV-351 2 N2 concentration was also low or absent. The recovery efficiency of the detection methods 352 for both viruses was low in these samples, possibly due to the presence of humic acids in 353 brackish groundwater coming via clay and peat layers entering the sewer network at this site. 354 Recovery efficiency did not decrease due to high EC due to road de-icing. The data from 355 these samples were therefore excluded from further analysis. Similarly, CrAssphage 356 provided a basis for quality control of detection methods. In August 2020, supply shortages 357 forced us to use other ultrafilters than Centricon. Even though an initial comparison between 358 Centricon and the alternative filters indicated comparable SARS-CoV-2 recoveries, the 359 CrAssphage concentrations obtained in successive wastewater samples processed with 360 these alternative filters were more than 10-fold lower than in the previous sample series. 361 Therefore, data obtained with these alternative filters were not used (data not shown). 362 Moreover, CrAssphage, as a quantitative marker of human feces, also provides the 363 opportunity to check the most basic number in WBE: the population size. When we prepared 364 the monitoring project in summer 2020, we have checked the population size via the 365 municipality of Rotterdam and the Dutch institute of statistics, CBS. These numbers are 366 shown in figure 1. For each monitoring location, we calculated the average Crassphage 367 concentration in dwf samples, shown in SI. The proportion of domestic wastewater of figure 2 368 agreed to a large extend with the average Crassphage concentration during dwf, except for 369 catchment Katendrecht. A check of the population data of Katendrecht, based on 2020 and 370 2021 data, learnt that the population in Katendrecht was no longer 4.884 inhabitants, but 371 5.600 in 2020 and 5.700 in 2021. After adjusting this population number, the adjusted 372 normalisation factors showed good agreement with average Crassphage during dwf.

373

374 The EC and CrAssphage data also provided a quality control for the flow normalisation. We 375 developed a procedure to perform the flow and EC based normalisations in parallel. If these 376 two normalisations result in domestic wastewater proportions that differ less than 10%, the 377 flow based normalisation is considered successful and reliable. If the two methods differ 378 more than 10%, it is necessary to analyse the data in more depth and identify the cause of 379 the difference. Figure 9 gives an example for the catchment Katendrecht. Overall, there is a 380 good agreement between the flow and EC based normalisation with a few exceptions. Two 381 of the exceptions occurred close after each other in January 2021. On these days, the pumping station was non-operational between January 19th 14h00 and January 21st 11h00. 382 Consequently, the flow proportional sampling of January 20th only collected samples from 383 384 08h00 until the pump failure at 14h00, hence only representing a fraction of the full 385 wastewater production of the area on that day (the other fraction being stored in the sewer system during the pump failure). On January 22nd the opposite occurred: after the re-start, 386 387 the pumping station processed all wastewater of the preceding 48 hours that had been 388 stored in the sewer system (daily volume: 4635 m³), leading to a 24h sample that was not 389 representative of the targeted 24 hours. This is an example of a situation where the flow at 390 the monitoring location was no longer representative of the domestic wastewater produced in 391 the catchment. In both cases, EC based normalisation has been applied instead of flow 392 based normalisation. Figure 9 also shows that on these days, CrAssphage and EC based 393 normalisation would have resulted in similar normalised SARS-CoV-2 concentrations. 394

395 3.5. CrAssphage based normalisation

396 In order to be able to compare CrAssphage based normalisation with flow and EC based 397 normalisation, flow data were needed to calculate the dwf average value for the CrAssphage 398 and EC normalisation. In situations where flow data is unavailable, it is not possible to first 399 derive this dwf average to serve as a reference for calculation dilution of the domestic 400 wastewater with industrial wastewater, runoff and extraneous waters. In those situations, 401 direct normalisation using the ratio SARS-CoV-2/CrAssphage can be applied, as 402 CrAssphage based normalisation using the dwf average renders the exact same SARS-CoV-403 2 concentration trends as using the ratio SARS-CoV-2/CrAssphage. In the catchments of 404 Rotterdam, with population varying from 5.600 to 138.280, the CrAssphage load per person 405 per day was very comparable between city areas (Figure 10) and constant over time (Figure 406 7 and Figures S2), making CrAssphage suitable for direct normalisation for the human faecal 407 fraction in wastewater samples at the population sizes in this study (5.600 and up).

408 3.6.CrAssphage in stool samples

409 Stool samples were collected from SARS-CoV-2 positive adults (N=221) that notified their

410 general practitioner of a positive SARS-CoV-2 test performed at the GGD testing streets. The

411 CrAssphage concentrations in these stool samples showed very large variation, spanning

412 more than 10 log¹⁰ units (Figure 11). A small percentage (4.5%) had no detectable (<140

413 GC/ml faeces) CrAssphage in their stool sample. In the positive stool samples, a bimodal

414 distribution was observed, with peaks at $10^{3.5}$ and at $10^{8.5}$ GC/ml faeces.

The arithmetic average concentration of CrAssphage in stool samples is 3.39 x 10⁹ GC/ml 415 416 faeces. This average was largely determined by a single stool sample contained an 417 extremely high concentration (10¹²/ml). The high concentration was confirmed by re-analysis 418 of the sample. Because of the bimodal distribution of CrAssphage in stool samples, the very 419 high concentration in the small proportion of samples is dominant in determining the 420 arithmetic average. To be less dependent on data from a single stool sample, the shedding 421 data were fitted to two separate Normal distribution after log-transformation, one for the low 422 shedding part of the population (66.1%, including the non-detects), with an average of 3.474 423 LOG GC/ml and a standard deviation of 0.843 LOG GC/ml, and one for the high shedding 424 part (33.9%, with an average of 8.413 LOG GC/ml and a standard deviation of 0.950 LOG 425 GC/ml. With the average of the high shedding proportion of the population, and an average 426 stool production of 128 g wet weight (≈ml) pppd (Rose et al., 2015), the average CrAssphage load of the population would be 1.12 x 10¹⁰ GC pppd. This is close to the average measured 427 CrAssphage load in the wastewater of the city areas of 1.9 * 10¹⁰ pppd. CrAssphage is guite 428 429 stable in the environment (Balleste et al, 2019). The lack of correlation between daily flow 430 and daily CrAssphage-load per person (Figure 7) suggests that there is no significant build-431 up of CrAssphage in sediments or biofilm in the sewer network (Balleste et al., 2019), unlike 432 for other parameters such as COD and TSS (Schilperoort et al., 2012), see also figures in 433 S3, or pollutants that show a strong adsorption to TSS (Gasperi et al., 2014).

434 Compared to the variability of CrAssphage shedding per person, the observed CrAssphage 435 concentration in wastewater was very comparable between different city areas and over 436 time. This indicates that, even though the variation in CrAssphage shedding between 437 individuals is very high, the 'population shedding' is fairly constant in population sizes of 438 5.600 and above. Although CrAssphage has been shown to reside in the human gut for 439 months (Siranosian et al., 2020; Honap et al., 2020; Tamburini et al., 2021), little is known 440 about the CrAssphage shedding dynamics per person over time. A longitudinal study in three 441 infants showed considerable variation over the first year of life (Taboada et al, 2021), but a 442 study among ten healthy adults showed that the human gut virome composition is 443 personalized and relatively stable over time, including for CrAssphage (Shkoporov et al., 444 2019). The high variability in CrAssphage concentration in stool samples observed in this 445 study, would imply that CrAssphage would become less suitable as normaliser in small 446 populations.

447 4. Conclusions

448 This study showed that without normalisation SARS-CoV-2 sewage data may misrepresent 449 the actual short-term trends of COVID-19 circulation in the population due to the impact of 450 rain and snowmelt in a combined sewer network. Unnormalised data do show similar long-451 term trends as normalized data, but it is the short-term (1-2 weeks) trends are the most 452 relevant to support public health actions to limit the transmission of the virus and where 453 discrepancies were considerable. Also, for comparison of SARS-CoV-2 circulation in city 454 areas (or cities), normalisation is needed to compensate for the different proportions of 455 extraneous water in different (parts of the) sewer networks.

456 Flow appears to be most appropriate normalizer, for only a few examples in our many data 457 (2/1207; 0.17%) flow was not appropriate, due to sewer blocking. Since EC captured these 458 errors, we propose flow-based normalisation in combination with an EC check. In our study, 459 EC had the added value of picking up brackish groundwater intrusion and road de-icing, the 460 latter being associated with reduced recoveries of the CrAssphage and SARS-CoV-2 461 detection methods. 462 The CrAssphage loads per population per day was very comparable between city areas and 463 constant over time, making CrAssphage-based normalisation an adequate alternative 464 normalization procedure in the absence of flow data or in situations where flow data become 465 unreliable. CrAssphage could also be used to normalize the results of passive samplers, an 466 upcoming method of monitoring SARS-CoV-2 (Shang et al., 2021) by serving as index for the 467 human fecal matter captured in the samplers. CrAssphage shedding per person in stool data was highly variable. This would imply that CrAssphage normalization may become unreliable 468 469 if the sampled population is small. Further research on the limits of application is necessary. 470

471 Acknowledgements

472	The authors are very grateful for the assistance of the Water Authorities and WWTP and
473	pumping station operators of Waterschap Hollandse Delta and municipality of Rotterdam,

- 474 staff of IMD for installing and maintaining the autosamplers and sampling specialists of
- 475 AQUON, Toke Mulder van Kempen and Eline Hoogteijling for assisting with the inclusion of
- 476 the patients for the fecal sampling study. This study was financed by STOWA, TKI
- 477 Watertechnology in collaboration with Erasmus Foundation, Adessium Foundation, European
- 478 Union's Horizon H2020 grant VEO (grant no. 874735), Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport,
- 479 H2020 and Waterboards Waterschap Hollandse Delta, Hoogheemraadschap van Delfland
- 480 and Hoogheemraadschap Schieland en Krimpenerwaard. The authors would like to thank all
- 481 the patients that contributed to the fecal sampling study as well as all the included GP-
- 482 practices.
- 483

484 **References**

- 485 Ahmed, W, Bivins A., Bertsch, P.M., Bibby, K., Gyawali P., Sherchan, S.P., Simpson, S.L.,
- 486 Thomas, K.V., Verhagen, R., Kitajima, M., Mueller, J.F., Korajkic, A., (2021). Intraday
- 487 variability of indicator and pathogenic viruses in 1-h and 24-h composite wastewater
- 488 samples: Implications for wastewater-based epidemiology. Environmental Research 193
- 489 (2021) 110531
- 490 Ahmed, W., Tscharke, B. Bertsch, P. M. Bibby, K. Bivins, A. Choi, P. Clarke, L. Dwyer, J.
- 491 Edson, J. Nguyen, T. M. H. O'Brien, J. W. Simpson, S. L. Sherman, P. Thomas, K. V.
- 492 Verhagen, R. Zaugg J. and Mueller J. F. (2021). "SARS-CoV-2 RNA monitoring in
- 493 wastewater as a potential early warning system for COVID-19 transmission in the
- 494 community: A temporal case study." Sci Total Environ 761: 144216.
- 495 Ahmed, W.D., Paul M.; Bibby, K., Haramoto, E., Hewitt, J., Huygens, F., Gyawali, P.,
- 496 Korajkic, A., Riddell, S., Sherchan, S.P., Simpson, S.L., Sirikanchana, K., Symonds, E.R.,
- 497 Verhagen, R., Vasan, S.S., Kitajima, M., Bivins, A. (2020). Decay of SARS-CoV-2 and
- 498 surrogate murine hepatitis virus RNA in untreated wastewater to inform application in
- 499 wastewater-based epidemiology, Environmental Research (2020).
- 500 Ai Y, Davis A, Jones D, Lemeshow S, Tu H, He F, Ru P, Pan X, Bohrerova Z, Lee J. (2021).
- 501 Wastewater SARS-CoV-2 monitoring as a community-level COVID-19 trend tracker and
- variants in Ohio, United States. Sci Total Environ. 2021 Dec 20;801:149757. doi:
- 503 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.149757.
- Altmann, D. M., R. J. Boyton and R. Beale (2021). "Immunity to SARS-CoV-2 variants of
- 505 concern." Science 371(6534): 1103-1104.
- 506 Asero FJR, Moya Ruano LA, Del Real PR, Gómez LC, Lorusso N. (2021). Associations
- 507 between SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentrations in wastewater and COVID-19 rates in days after
- sampling in small urban areas of Seville: A time series study. Sci Total Environ. 2021 Sep
- 509 25;806:150573. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150573.

- 510 Ballesté, E., Pascual-Benito, M. Martín-Díaz, J., Blanch, A.R., Lucena, F., Muniesa, M.
- 511 Jofre, J. García-Aljaro, C. (2019). Dynamics of crAssphage as a human source tracking
- 512 marker in potentially faecally polluted environments, Water Research, 155 233-244
- 513 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.02.042</u>.
- 514 Betancourt WQ, Schmitz BW, Innes GK, Prasek SM, Pogreba Brown KM, Stark ER, Foster
- 515 AR, Sprissler RS, Harris DT, Sherchan SP, Gerba CP, Pepper IL. (2021). COVID-19
- 516 containment on a college campus via wastewater-based epidemiology, targeted clinical
- 517 testing and an intervention. Sci Total Environ. 2021 Jul 20;779:146408. doi:
- 518 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146408.
- 519 Betancourt, W. W., B. W. Schmitz, G. K. Innes, K. M. Pogreba Brown, S. M. Prasek, E. R.
- 520 Stark, A. R. Foster, R. S. Sprissler, D. T. Harris, S. P. Sherchan, C. P. Gerba and I. L.
- 521 Pepper (2020). "Wastewater-based Epidemiology for Averting COVID-19 Outbreaks on The
- 522 University of Arizona Campus." medRxiv: 2020.2011.2013.20231340.
- 523 Bivins A., Crank K., Greaves J., North D., Wu Z., Bibby K. (2020) Cross-assembly phage and
- 524 pepper mild mottle virus as viral water quality monitoring tools-potential, research gaps, and
- 525 way forward. Current Opinion in Environmental Science and Health Volume 16, Pages 54 -
- 526 61 August 2020
- 527 Bivins, A., D. North, A. Ahmad, W. Ahmed, E. Alm, F. Been, P. Bhattacharya, L. Bijlsma, A.
- 528 B. Boehm, J. Brown, G. Buttiglieri, V. Calabro, A. Carducci, S. Castiglioni, Z. Cetecioglu
- 529 Gurol, S. Chakraborty, F. Costa, S. Curcio, F. L. de Los Reyes, 3rd, J. Delgado Vela, K.
- 530 Farkas, X. Fernandez-Casi, C. Gerba, D. Gerrity, R. Girones, R. Gonzalez, E. Haramoto, A.
- 531 Harris, P. A. Holden, M. T. Islam, D. L. Jones, B. Kasprzyk-Hordern, M. Kitajima, N. Kotlarz,
- 532 M. Kumar, K. Kuroda, G. La Rosa, F. Malpei, M. Mautus, S. L. McLellan, G. Medema, J. S.
- 533 Meschke, J. Mueller, R. J. Newton, D. Nilsson, R. T. Noble, A. van Nuijs, J. Peccia, T. A.
- 534 Perkins, A. J. Pickering, J. Rose, G. Sanchez, A. Smith, L. Stadler, C. Stauber, K. Thomas,
- 535 T. van der Voorn, K. Wigginton, K. Zhu and K. Bibby (2020). "Wastewater-Based
- 536 Epidemiology: Global Collaborative to Maximize Contributions in the Fight Against COVID-
- 537 19." Environ Sci Technol 54(13): 7754-7757.

- 538 Bivins, A., Greaves, J., Fischer, R., Yinda, K.C., Ahmed, W., Kitajima, M., Munster, V. J.,
- 539 Bibby. K. (2020). Persistence of SARS-CoV-2 in water and wastewater, Environ. Sci.
- 540 Technol. Lett. 7 (2020) 937–942.
- 541 Challen, R., E. Brooks-Pollock, J. M. Read, L. Dyson, K. Tsaneva-Atanasova and L. Danon
- 542 (2021). "Risk of mortality in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern 202012/1:
- 543 matched cohort study." BMJ 372: n579.
- 544 Crank, K., Xiang, L., North, D., Bonanno Ferraro, G., Iaconelli, M., Mancini, P., La Rosa, G.,
- 545 Bibby,K.,(2020). CrAssphage abundance and correlation with molecular viral markers in
- 546 Italian wastewater, Water Research 184 (2020) 116161
- 547 Davies, N. G., S. Abbott, R. C. Barnard, C. I. Jarvis, A. J. Kucharski, J. D. Munday, C. A. B.
- 548 Pearson, T. W. Russell, D. C. Tully, A. D. Washburne, T. Wenseleers, A. Gimma, W. Waites,
- 549 K. L. M. Wong, K. van Zandvoort, J. D. Silverman, K. Diaz-Ordaz, R. Keogh, R. M. Eggo, S.
- 550 Funk, M. Jit, K. E. Atkins and W. J. Edmunds (2021). "Estimated transmissibility and impact
- of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7 in England." Science: eabg3055.
- 552 Davo, L., Seguí, R., Botija, P., Beltr, M.J., Albert, E., Torres, I., Lopez-Fernandez, P.A., Ortí,
- 553 R., Maestre, J.F. Sanchez, G., Navarro, D., (2021). Early detection of SARS-CoV-2 infection
- cases or outbreaks at nursing homes by targeted wastewater tracking. Clinical Microbiology
- 555 and Infection 27 (2021) 1061-1063
- de Graaf, M., Langeveld, J., Pos, J., Balmont, C.C., Franz, E., Izquirdo-Lara, R.W., Fanoy, E.,
- 557 de Schepper, E.I.T., Koopmans, M.P.G. and Medema, G.J., (in prep.) Capturing the SARS-
- 558 CoV-2 infection pyramid within the municipality of Rotterdam.
- 559 Esri-Nederland (2021). "Website: COVID-19 Historische gegevens RIVM (accessed March
- 560 2021)." https://nlcovid-19-esrinl-content.hub.arcgis.com/.
- 561 Eurosurveillance-editorial-team (2021). "Updated rapid risk assessment from ECDC on the
- risk related to the spread of new SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern in the EU/EEA first
- 563 update." Eurosurveillance 26(3): 2101211.

- 564 Faria, N. R., T. A. Mellan, C. Whittaker, I. M. Claro, D. D. S. Candido, S. Mishra, M. A. E.
- 565 Crispim, F. C. Sales, I. Hawryluk, J. T. McCrone, R. J. G. Hulswit, L. A. M. Franco, M. S.
- 566 Ramundo, J. G. de Jesus, P. S. Andrade, T. M. Coletti, G. M. Ferreira, C. A. M. Silva, E. R.
- 567 Manuli, R. H. M. Pereira, P. S. Peixoto, M. U. Kraemer, N. Gaburo, C. D. C. Camilo, H.
- 568 Hoeltgebaum, W. M. Souza, E. C. Rocha, L. M. de Souza, M. C. de Pinho, L. J. T. Araujo, F.
- 569 S. V. Malta, A. B. de Lima, J. D. P. Silva, D. A. G. Zauli, A. C. S. Ferreira, R. P.
- 570 Schnekenberg, D. J. Laydon, P. G. T. Walker, H. M. Schlüter, A. L. P. Dos Santos, M. S.
- 571 Vidal, V. S. Del Caro, R. M. F. Filho, H. M. Dos Santos, R. S. Aguiar, J. L. P. Modena, B.
- 572 Nelson, J. A. Hay, M. Monod, X. Miscouridou, H. Coupland, R. Sonabend, M. Vollmer, A.
- 573 Gandy, M. A. Suchard, T. A. Bowden, S. L. K. Pond, C. H. Wu, O. Ratmann, N. M. Ferguson,
- 574 C. Dye, N. J. Loman, P. Lemey, A. Rambaut, N. A. Fraiji, M. Carvalho, O. G. Pybus, S.
- 575 Flaxman, S. Bhatt and E. C. Sabino (2021). "Genomics and epidemiology of a novel SARS-
- 576 CoV-2 lineage in Manaus, Brazil." medRxiv.
- 577 Feng, S., Roguet, A., McClary-Gutierrez, J.S., Newton, R.J., Kloczko, N., Meiman, J.G. and
- 578 McLellan, s., (2021). Evaluation of Sampling, Analysis, and Normalisation Methods for
- 579 SARS-CoVID-19 Burdens in Wastewater to Assess COVID-19 Burdens in Wisconsin
- 580 Communities. ACS EST Water 2021, 1, 1955–1965
- 581 Gao, J., Banks, A., Li, J., Jiang, G., Lai, F.Y., Mueller, J.F., Thai, P.K., 2017. Evaluation of in-
- 582 sewer transformation of selected illicit drugs and pharmaceutical biomarkers. Sci. Total
- 583 Environ. 609, 1172–1181.
- 584 Gasperi, J., Sebastian, C., Ruban, v., Delamain, M., Percot, S., Wiest, L., Mirande, C., Caupos,
- 585 E., Demare, D., Diallo, Kessoo Kessoo, M., Saad, M., Schwartz, J. J. Dubois, P. Fratta, C.
- 586 Wolff, H., Moilleron. R., Chebbo, G., Cren, C., Millet, M., Barraud, S., Gromaire, M. C. (2014).
- 587 Micropollutants in urban stormwater: occurrence, concentrations, and atmospheric
- 588 contributions for a wide range of contaminants in three French catchments Environ Sci Pollut
- 589 Res (2014) 21:5267–5281

- 590 Garcia-Beltran, W. F., E. C. Lam, K. S. Denis, A. D. Nitido, Z. H. Garcia, B. M. Hauser, J.
- 591 Feldman, M. N. Pavlovic, D. J. Gregory, M. C. Poznansky, A. Sigal, A. G. Schmidt, A. J.
- 592 lafrate, V. Naranbhai and A. B. Balazs (2021). "Circulating SARS-CoV-2 variants escape
- 593 neutralization by vaccine-induced humoral immunity." medRxiv.
- 594 Graber T.E., Mercier É., Bhatnagar K., Fuzzen M., D'Aoust P.M., Hoang H.D., Tian X.,
- 595 Towhid S.T., Plaza-Diaz J., Eid W., Alain T., Butler A., Goodridge L., Servos M., Delatolla R.
- 596 (2021). Near real-time determination of B.1.1.7 in proportion to total SARS-CoV-2 viral load
- 597 in wastewater using an allele-specific primer extension PCR strategy. Water Res. 2021 Oct
- 598 15;205:117681. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2021.117681.
- Heijnen, L., Elsinga, G.S., de Graaf, M., Molenkamp, R., Koopmans, R., Medema, G.J.
- 600 (2021) Droplet Digital RT-PCR to detect SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern in wastewater –
- 601 MedRxiv (2021) DOI: 10.1101/2021.03.25.21254324
- Hillary, L.S., Farkas, K., Maher, K.H., Lucaci, A., Thorpe, J., Distaso, M.A., Gaze, W.H.,
- Paterson, S., Burke, T., Connor, T.R., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., (2020).
- 604 Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 in municipal wastewater to evaluate the success of lockdown
- measures for controlling COVID-19 in the UK. Water Research 200 (2021) 117214
- Ho, J., C. Stange, R. Suhrborg, C. Wurzbacher, J.E. Drewes, A. Tiehm (2021). SARS-CoV-2
- 607 wastewater surveillance in Germany: long-term PCR monitoring, suitability of primer/probe
- 608 combinations and biomarker stability. medxriv 2021.09.16.21263575
- 609 Honap TP, Sankaranarayanan K, Schnorr SL, Ozga AT, Warinner C, et al. (2020)
- 610 Biogeographic study of human gut-associated crAssphage suggests impacts from
- 611 industrialization and recent expansion. PLOS ONE 15(1): e0226930.
- 612 <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226930</u>
- 613 <u>https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/covid-19/situation-updates/weekly-maps-coordinated-</u>
- 614 restriction-free-movement
- 615 <u>https://www.riool.net/huishoudelijk-afvalwater</u> (assessed 21-09-2021)
- 616 <u>https://www.rivm.nl/en/coronavirus-covid-19/weekly-figures</u>

- 617 Izquierdo-Lara, R., G. Elsinga, L. Heijnen, B. B. Oude Munnink, C. M. E. Schapendonk, D.
- 618 Nieuwenhuijse, M. Kon, L. Lu, F. M. Aarestrup, S. Lycett, G. Medema, M. P. G. Koopmans
- and M. de Graaf (2020). "Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 circulation and diversity through
- 620 community wastewater sequencing." medRxiv: 2020.2009.2021.20198838.
- Jahn, K., Dreifuss, D., Topolsky, I., Kull, A., Ganesanandamoorthy, P., Fernandez-Cassi, X.
- Bänziger, C. Devaux, A.J.;, Stachler, E., Caduff, L., Cariti, F., Corzón, A. T., Fuhrmann, L.,
- 623 Chen, C., Jablonski, K.P., Nadeau, S., Feldkamp, M., Beisel, C., Aquino, C., Stadler, T., Ort,
- 624 C., Kohn, T., Julian, T.R., Beerenwinkel, N., (2021). Detection and surveillance of SARS-
- 625 CoV-2 genomic variants in wastewater. <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.08.21249379</u>
- Jones, D. L., M. Q. Baluja, D. W. Graham, A. Corbishley, J. E. McDonald, S. K. Malham, L.
- 627 S. Hillary, T. R. Connor, W. H. Gaze, I. B. Moura, M. H. Wilcox and K. Farkas (2020).
- 628 "Shedding of SARS-CoV-2 in feces and urine and its potential role in person-to-person
- 629 transmission and the environment-based spread of COVID-19." Science of The Total
- 630 Environment 749: 141364.
- 631 Kantor, R. S., K. L. Nelson, H. D. Greenwald and L. C. Kennedy (2021). "Challenges in
- 632 Measuring the Recovery of SARS-CoV-2 from Wastewater." Environ Sci Technol.
- Kim, D., J.-Y. Lee, J.-S. Yang, J. W. Kim, V. N. Kim and H. Chang (2020). "The Architecture
- 634 of SARS-CoV-2 Transcriptome." Cell 181(4): 914-921.e910.
- 635 Kirby AE, Walters MS, Jennings WC, Fugitt R, LaCross N, Mattioli M, Marsh ZA, Roberts VA,
- 636 Mercante JW, Yoder J, Hill VR. (2021). Using Wastewater Surveillance Data to Support the
- 637 COVID-19 Response United States, 2020-2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2021 Sep
- 638 10;70(36):1242-1244. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm7036a2. PMID: 34499630; PMCID:
- 639 PMC8437053.
- Launay, M.A., Dittmer, U., Steinmetz, H. (2016) Organic micropollutants discharged by
- 641 combined sewer overflows Characterisation of pollutant sources and stormwater-related
- 642 processes. Water Research 104 (2016) 82-92

- 643 Li, X., Zhang, S., Shi, J., Luby, S.P., Jiang, G., (2021). Uncertainties in estimating SARS-
- 644 CoV-2 prevalence by wastewater-based epidemiology. Chemical Engineering Journal 415
- 645 (2021) 129039
- Liu, H., Q. Zhang, P. Wei, Z. Chen, K. Aviszus, J. Yang, W. Downing, S. Peterson, C. Jiang,
- 647 B. Liang, L. Reynoso, G. P. Downey, S. K. Frankel, J. Kappler, P. Marrack and G. Zhang
- 648 (2021). "The basis of a more contagious 501Y.V1 variant of SARS-COV-2." bioRxiv.
- Lodder, W. and de Roda Husman A. M. (2020). "SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater: potential
- health risk, but also data source." Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 5(6): 533-534.
- 651 Medema, G., F. Been, L. Heijnen and S. Petterson (2020a) "Implementation of
- 652 environmental surveillance for SARS-CoV-2 virus to support public health decisions:
- 653 Opportunities and challenges." Curr Opin Environ Sci Health 17: 49-71.
- 654 Medema, G., L. Heijnen, G. Elsinga, R. Italiaander and A. Brouwer (2020b). "Presence of
- 655 SARS-Coronavirus-2 RNA in Sewage and Correlation with Reported COVID-19 Prevalence
- 656 in the Early Stage of the Epidemic in The Netherlands." Environmental Science &
- 657 Technology Letters 7(7): 511-516.
- Mulder, M., van Voorthuizen, E., Langeveld, J. (2020). Verwijdering van organische
- 659 microverontreinigingen. STOWA rapport 2020-06. (in Dutch: Removal of organic
- 660 micropollutants)
- 661 NEN 6600 2019. Water Sampling Part 1: Wastewater
- 662 Ort,C., Lawrence, M.G., Reungoat, J., Mueller, J.F. (2010). Sampling for PPCPs in
- 663 wastewater systems: comparison of different sampling modes and optimization strategies,
- 664 Environ. Sci. Technol. 44 (2010) 6289–6296.
- 665 Pekin, D., Y. Skhiri, J. C. Baret, D. Le Corre, L. Mazutis, C. B. Salem, F. Millot, A. El Harrak,
- 666 J. B. Hutchison, J. W. Larson, D. R. Link, P. Laurent-Puig, A. D. Griffiths and V. Taly (2011).
- 667 "Quantitative and sensitive detection of rare mutations using droplet-based microfluidics."
- 668 Lab Chip 11(13): 2156-2166.

- 669 Prado, T., T. M. Fumian, C. F. Mannarino, P. C. Resende, F. C. Motta, A. L. F. Eppinghaus,
- 670 V. H. Chagas do Vale, R. M. S. Braz, J. d. S. R. de Andrade, A. G. Maranhão and M. P.
- 671 Miagostovich (2021). "Wastewater-based epidemiology as a useful tool to track SARS-CoV-2
- and support public health policies at municipal level in Brazil." Water research 191: 116810-
- 673 116810.
- 674 Raaben, M., A. W. C. Einerhand, L. J. A. Taminiau, M. van Houdt, J. Bouma, R. H.
- 675 Raatgeep, H. A. Büller, C. A. M. de Haan and J. W. A. Rossen (2007). "Cyclooxygenase
- 676 activity is important for efficient replication of mouse hepatitis virus at an early stage of
- 677 infection." Virology journal 4: 55-55.
- 678 Rios G, Lacoux C, Leclercq V, Diamant A, Lebrigand K, Lazuka A, Soyeux E, Lacroix S,
- 679 Fassy J, Couesnon A, Thiery R, Mari B, Pradier C, Waldmann R, Barbry P. (2021).
- 680 Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 variants alterations in Nice neighborhoods by wastewater nanopore
- 681 sequencing. Lancet Reg Health Eur. 2021 Aug 17:100202. doi:
- 682 10.1016/j.lanepe.2021.100202. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 34423327; PMCID:
- 683 PMC8372489.
- 684 Rose, C., Parker, A., Jefferson, B., & Cartmell, E. (2015). The Characterization of Feces and
- 685 Urine: A Review of the Literature to Inform Advanced Treatment Technology. Critical reviews
- in environmental science and technology, 45(17), 1827–1879.
- 687 https://doi.org/10.1080/10643389.2014.1000761
- 688 Rothman JA, Loveless TB, Kapcia J 3rd, Adams ED, Steele JA, Zimmer-Faust AG, Langlois
- 689 K, Wanless D, Griffith M, Mao L, Chokry J, Griffith JF, Whiteson KL. (2021). RNA viromics of
- 690 Southern California wastewater and detection of SARS-CoV-2 single nucleotide variants.
- 691 Appl Environ Microbiol. 2021 Sep 22:AEM0144821. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01448-21. Epub
- 692 ahead of print. PMID: 34550753.
- 693 Rynkiewicz, P., G. A. Babbitt, F. Cui, A. O. Hudson and M. L. Lynch (2021). "A comparative
- 694 survey of Betacoronavirus binding dynamics relevant to the functional evolution of the highly
- 695 transmissible SARS-CoV-2 variant N501Y." bioRxiv.

- 696 Schilperoort, R.P.S., Dirksen, J., Langeveld, J.G., Clemens, F.H.L.R. (2012). Assessing
- 697 characteristic time and space scales of in-sewer processes by analysis of one year of
- 698 continuous in-sewer monitoring data. Water Science and Technology, 66 (8), pp. 1614-1620.
- 699 Schang C., Crosbie N.D., Nolan M., Poon R., Wang M., Jex A., John N., Baker L., Scales P.,
- 700 Schmidt J., Thorley B.R., Hill K., Zamyadi A., Tseng C.-W., Henry R., Kolotelo P., Langeveld
- J., Schilperoort R., Shi B., Einsiedel S., Thomas M., Black J., Wilson S., McCarthy D.T.
- 702 (2021). Passive Sampling of SARS-CoV-2 for Wastewater Surveillance. Environmental
- 703 Science and Technology 55 (15). 10432-10441
- 704 Shkoporov A. N. Clooney, A.G., Sutton, T.D.S., Ryan, F.J., Daly, K.M., Nolan, J.A.,
- 705 McDonnell,S.A., Khokhlova, E.V., Draper,L.A., Forde,A., Guerin, E., Velayudhan,V.,
- Ross, R.P., Hill, C. (2019). The Human Gut Virome Is Highly Diverse, Stable, and Individual
- 707 Specific Cell Host & Microbe 26, 527–541. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2019.09.009
- 708 Siranosian, B.A., Tamburini, F.B., Sherlock, G. et al. Acquisition, transmission and strain
- diversity of human gut-colonizing crAss-like phages. Nat Commun 11, 280 (2020).
- 710 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-14103-3
- 711 Stachler, E., C. Kelty, M. Sivaganesan, X. Li, K. Bibby and O. C. Shanks (2017).
- 712 "Quantitative CrAssphage PCR Assays for Human Fecal Pollution Measurement." Environ
- 713 Sci Technol 51(16): 9146-9154.
- 714 Sweetapple C, Melville-Shreeve P, Chen AS, Grimsley JMS, Bunce JT, Gaze W, Fielding S,
- 715 Wade MJ. Building knowledge of university campus population dynamics to enhance near-to-
- 716 source sewage surveillance for SARS-CoV-2 detection. Sci Total Environ. 2021 Sep
- 717 20;806(Pt 1):150406. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150406. Epub ahead of print. PMID:
- 718 34571237; PMCID: PMC8450208.
- 719 Taboada B, Morán P, Serrano-Vázquez A, Iša P, Rojas-Velázquez L, et al. (2021) The gut
- virome of healthy children during the first year of life is diverse and dynamic. PLOS ONE
- 721 16(4): e0240958. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240958</u>

- 722 Tandukar, S., Sherchan, S., P., & Haramoto, E. (2020) Applicability of crAssphage, pepper
- mild mottle virus, and tobacco mosaic virus as indicators of reduction of enteric viruses
- during wastewater treatment. www.nature.com/scientificreports. 10:3616 |
- 725 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-60547-9
- 726 Tegally, H., E. Wilkinson, M. Giovanetti, A. Iranzadeh, V. Fonseca, J. Giandhari, D. Doolabh,
- 727 S. Pillay, E. J. San, N. Msomi, K. Mlisana, A. von Gottberg, S. Walaza, M. Allam, A. Ismail, T.
- Mohale, A. J. Glass, S. Engelbrecht, G. Van Zyl, W. Preiser, F. Petruccione, A. Sigal, D.
- Hardie, G. Marais, M. Hsiao, S. Korsman, M. A. Davies, L. Tyers, I. Mudau, D. York, C.
- 730 Maslo, D. Goedhals, S. Abrahams, O. Laguda-Akingba, A. Alisoltani-Dehkordi, A. Godzik, C.
- 731 K. Wibmer, B. T. Sewell, J. Lourenço, L. C. J. Alcantara, S. L. Kosakovsky Pond, S. Weaver,
- 732 D. Martin, R. J. Lessells, J. N. Bhiman, C. Williamson and T. de Oliveira (2021). "Emergence
- of a SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern with mutations in spike glycoprotein." Nature.
- 734 US-CDC (2020). "2019-Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Real-Time rRT-PCR Panel Primers
- 735 and Probes." https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/rt-pcr-panel-primer-probes.html
- 736 (accessed May 19, 2020).
- Volz, E., S. Mishra, M. Chand, J. C. Barrett, R. Johnson, L. Geidelberg, W. R. Hinsley, D. J.
- T38 Laydon, G. Dabrera, A. O'Toole, R. Amato, M. Ragonnet-Cronin, I. Harrison, B. Jackson, C.
- 739 V. Ariani, O. Boyd, N. J. Loman, J. T. McCrone, S. Gonçalves, D. Jorgensen, R. Myers, V.
- Hill, D. K. Jackson, K. Gaythorpe, N. Groves, J. Sillitoe, D. P. Kwiatkowski, S. Flaxman, O.
- 741 Ratmann, S. Bhatt, S. Hopkins, A. Gandy, A. Rambaut and N. M. Ferguson (2021).
- 742 "Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 Lineage B.1.1.7 in England: Insights from linking
- r43 epidemiological and genetic data." medRxiv: 2020.2012.2030.20249034.
- 744 Vosse, M. (2013). Rioolvreemd water rioolwaterzuiveringsinrichting Dokhaven. Technical
- report of RoyalHaskoningDHV (in Dutch).
- 746 Wang, D., B. Hu, C. Hu, F. Zhu, X. Liu, J. Zhang, B. Wang, H. Xiang, Z. Cheng, Y. Xiong, Y.
- 747 Zhao, Y. Li, X. Wang and Z. Peng (2020). "Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized
- 748 Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus–Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China." JAMA
- 749 323(11): 1061-1069.

- 750 Wang, P., L. Liu, S. Iketani, Y. Luo, Y. Guo, M. Wang, J. Yu, B. Zhang, P. D. Kwong, B. S.
- 751 Graham, J. R. Mascola, J. Y. Chang, M. T. Yin, M. Sobieszczyk, C. A. Kyratsous, L. Shapiro,
- 752 Z. Sheng, M. S. Nair, Y. Huang and D. D. Ho (2021). "Increased Resistance of SARS-CoV-2

753 Variants B.1.351 and B.1.1.7 to Antibody Neutralization." bioRxiv.

- Weiß, G., Brombach, H., and Haller, B., (2002). Infiltration and inflow in combined sewer
- 755 systems: long-term analysis. Water Science & Technology 45 (7) 11-19
- 756 Wilder, M.L., Middleton, F., Larsen, D.A., Du Q., Fenty A., Zeng, T., Insaf, T., Kilaru, P.,
- 757 Collins M., Kmush, B., Green, H.C., (2020). Co-quantification of crAssphage increases
- confidence in wastewater-based epidemiology for SARS-CoV-2 in low prevalence areas.
- 759 Water Research X 11 (2021) 100100
- Wolfe MK, Topol A, Knudson A, Simpson A, White B, Vugia DJ, Yu AT, Li L, Balliet M,
- 761 Stoddard P, Han GS, Wigginton KR, Boehm AB. High-Frequency, High-Throughput
- 762 Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater Settled Solids at Eight Publicly Owned
- 763 Treatment Works in Northern California Shows Strong Association with COVID-19 Incidence.
- 764 mSystems. 2021 Oct 26;6(5):e0082921. doi: 10.1128/mSystems.00829-21. Epub 2021 Sep
- 765 14. PMID: 34519528; PMCID: PMC8547422.
- 766 Wölfel, R., V. M. Corman, W. Guggemos, M. Seilmaier, S. Zange, M. A. Müller, D. Niemeyer,
- 767 T. C. Jones, P. Vollmar, C. Rothe, M. Hoelscher, T. Bleicker, S. Brünink, J. Schneider, R.
- 768 Ehmann, K. Zwirglmaier, C. Drosten and C. Wendtner (2020). "Virological assessment of
- hospitalized patients with COVID-2019." Nature 581(7809): 465-469.
- Wu, F., Xiao, A., Zhang, J., Moniz, K., Endo, N., Armas, F., Bushman, M., Chai, P.R.
- 771 Duvallet, C., Erickson, T.B., Foppe, K., Ghaeli, N., Gu, X., Hanage, W.P., Huang, K.H., Lin,
- W., Lee, Matus, M., McElroy, K.E., Rhode, S.F., Wuertz, S., Thompson, J., Alm, E.J., (2021)
- 773 Wastewater Surveillance of 1 SARS-CoV-2 across 40 U.S. states. medRxiv preprint doi:
- 774 https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.10.21253235
- Xiao, F., M. Tang, X. Zheng, Y. Liu, X. Li and H. Shan (2020). "Evidence for Gastrointestinal
- 776 Infection of SARS-CoV-2." Gastroenterology 158(6): 1831-1833

- 777 Yaniv K, Shagan M, Lewis YE, Kramarsky-Winter E, Weil M, Indenbaum V, Elul M, Erster O,
- 778 Brown AS, Mendelson E, Mannasse B, Shirazi R, Lakkakula S, Miron O, Rinott E, Baibich
- 779 RG, Bigler I, Malul M, Rishti R, Brenner A, Friedler E, Gilboa Y, Sabach S, Alfiya Y, Cheruti
- 780 U, Nadav Davidovich, Moran-Gilad J, Berchenko Y, Bar-Or I, Kushmaro A. (2021). City-level
- 781 SARS-CoV-2 sewage surveillance. Chemosphere. 2021 Nov;283:131194. doi:
- 782 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.131194.

783

784



Figure 1. Catchment areas in the Rotterdam Rijnmond area used for wastewater surveillance with their population size.





domestic wastewater is calculated per sample by dividing the theoretical domestic

wastewater production (# inhabitants from figure 1 * 120 lpppd) by the measured 24 flow.



Figure 3. Flow normalised versus unnormalised SARS-CoV-2 concentrations at site INF3 during dry weather and wet weather. The trendline for the dry weather data (flow < $V_{dwf ref}$) shows a high correlation between normalized and unnormalised data, but this is lost in the wet weather data.



Figure 4. Flow normalised vs unnormalised for catchment INF3



Figure 5. Short-term trends normalised vs unnormalised for catchment INF3. Weeks with a differing trend are indicated



Figure 6. SARS-CoV-2 trends in INF3 catchment. On left axis lab results, flow normalised,



CrAss normalised and EC normalised, on right axis the daily flow volume.

Figure 7. CrAssphage daily load per person in INF3 catchment related to time (top) and related to daily flow (bottom). The solid lines shows the average (2.0×10^{10} GC/ml) and the dotted lines are the 2s boundaries ($5.2 \times 10^9 - 3.4 \times 10^{10}$ GC/ml).



Figure 8. Correlation between daily SARS-CoV-2 load per 100.000 inhabitants and flow





Figure 9. Normalisation of SARS-CoV-2 at the Katendrecht catchment







Figure 11. Distribution of CrAssphage GC/ml in human stool samples (n=221) from Rotterdam. Frequency of data below the detection limit (140 GC/ml) is shown in light blue. Normal distribution fitted through the log-transformed concentrations in stool samples of the low shedder (<10^{6.5} GC/ml; mean: 3.474; standard deviation 0.843; in grey) and the high shedder ($\geq 10^{6.5}$ GC/ml; mean: 8.413; standard deviation 0.950; in orange).