1 Original Article

- Factors associated with acceptance of a digital contact tracing application for COVID-19
 in the Japanese working-age population
- 4

5 Tomohiro Ishimaru¹, MD, MPH, PhD, Koki Ibayashi¹, MD, Masako Nagata², MD, PhD,

- ⁶ Seiichiro Tateishi³, MD, PhD, Ayako Hino⁴, MD, PhD, Mayumi Tsuji⁵, MD, PhD, Hajime
- ⁷ Ando⁶, MD, MOH, PhD, Keiji Muramatsu⁷, MD, PhD, and Yoshihisa Fujino¹, MD, MPH,
- 8 PhD, for the CORoNaWork Project
- 9
- ¹⁰ ¹Department of Environmental Epidemiology, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences,
- 11 University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan, Kitakyushu, Japan
- ¹² ²Department of Occupational Health Practice and Management, Institute of Industrial
- 13 Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan,
- 14 Kitakyushu, Japan
- ¹⁵ ³Department of Mental Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of
- 16 Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan, Kitakyushu, Japan
- ¹⁷ ⁴Department of Occupational Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Occupational
- and Environmental Health, Japan, Kitakyushu, Japan

¹⁹ ⁵Department of Environmental Health, School of Medicine, University of Occupational and

- 20 Environmental Health, Japan, Kitakyushu, Japan
- ⁶Department of Work Systems and Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences,
- 22 University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan, Kitakyushu, Japan
- ²³ ⁷Department of Preventive Medicine and Community Health, School of Medicine,
- 24 University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan, Kitakyushu, Japan

25

Corresponding Author: Tomohiro Ishimaru, MD, MPH, PhD, Department of
 Environmental Epidemiology, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of
 Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan, 1-1 Iseigaoka, Yahata-nishi-ku,
 Kitakyushu, Fukuoka 807-8555, Japan

30 Tel: +81-93-603-1611; Fax: +81-93-601-7324; E-mail: ishimaru@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp

31

32 Funding Sources

This study was supported and partly funded by the University of Occupational and 33 Environmental Health, Japan (no grant number), the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour 34 and Welfare (H30-josei-ippan-002, H30-roudou-ippan-007, 19JA1004, 20JA1006, 35 210301-1, and 20HB1004), Anshin Zaidan (no grant number), the Collabo-Health Study 36 Group (no grant number). Hitachi Systems Ltd. (no grant number), and scholarship 37 donations from Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. (no grant numbers). The funders were 38 not involved in the design of the study, the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data, 39 the writing of the article, or the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. 40

41

42 **Conflict of Interest**

43 None declared.

44

45 Acknowledgments

The current members of the CORoNaWork Project, in alphabetical order, are: Prof. Yoshihisa Fujino (present chairperson of the study group), Dr. Hajime Ando, Prof. Hisashi Eguchi, Dr. Kazunori Ikegami, Dr. Arisa Harada, Dr. Ayako Hino, Dr. Tomohiro Ishimaru,

| 49 | Dr. Kyoko Kitagawa, Ms. Ning Liu, Dr. Kosuke Mafune, Prof. Shinya Matsuda, Dr. Ryutaro |
|----|--|
| 50 | Matsugaki, Prof. Koji Mori, Dr. Keiji Muramatsu, Dr. Masako Nagata, Dr. Tomohisa Nagata, |
| 51 | Prof. Akira Ogami, Dr. Rie Tanaka, Dr. Seiishiro Tateishi, Dr. Kei Tokutsu, and Prof. |
| 52 | Mayumi Tsuji. All members are affiliated with the University of Occupational and |
| 53 | Environmental Health, Japan. |
| 54 | |

55 Ethical Considerations & Disclosures

56 This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Occupational and

- 57 Environmental Health, Japan (R2-079).
- 58
- 59 Running Title: Workers' acceptance of a DCT app for COVID-19

61 Abstract

| 62 | Objective: This study aimed to determine factors associated with acceptance of a Digital |
|----|---|
| 63 | Contact Tracing (DCT) app for Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in the Japanese |
| 64 | working-age population. |
| 65 | Methods: A cross-sectional study was performed for 27,036 full-time workers registered |
| 66 | with an internet survey company during December 2020 in Japan. |
| 67 | Results: The rate of downloading the DCT app was 25.1%. The DCT app was more |
| 68 | likely to be accepted by people with married status, university graduation or above, |
| 69 | higher income, and occupations involving desk work. Fear of COVID-19 transmission, |
| 70 | wearing a mask, using hand disinfection, willingness to be vaccinated against COVID-19, |
| 71 | and presence of an acquaintance infected with COVID-19 were also associated with a |
| 72 | greater likelihood of adopting the app. |
| 73 | Conclusions: The present findings have important implications for widespread adoption |
| 74 | of DCT apps in working-age populations in Japan and elsewhere. |
| 75 | |
| 76 | Keywords: Contact tracing, COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, smartphone, worksite |
| | |

78 Introduction

Digital Contact Tracing (DCT) has been used in many countries in response to the 79 coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. DCT apps implemented in mobile 80 devices (e.g. smartphones) can be employed to detect close contact with infected 81 individuals and prevent the spread of COVID-19.¹ Although the method of contact tracing 82 has been used for a long time, traditional paper-based surveys are complicated and 83 time-consuming, and are affected by loss of questionnaires and huge amounts of data 84 entry.² With the recent development of digital technology and the widespread use of 85 mobile devices, DCT has overcome the shortcomings of traditional paper-based surveys 86 and made it possible to conduct surveys more quickly. In recent years, DCT has been 87 associated with effective control of Ebola hemorrhagic fever in Sierra Leone, tuberculosis 88 in Botswana, and whooping cough in the United States.³ 89

90

Ensuring that large numbers of people install DCT apps is essential for effective 91 operation of DCT against COVID-19.⁴⁻⁶ Previous studies revealed that demographic, 92 behavioral, psychological, and COVID-19-related factors all influence the installation of 93 DCT apps.^{1, 3, 7-9} From the demographic aspect, ethnic minorities, migrants, low-income 94 people, and elderly people were less likely to install the apps.³ From the behavioral 95 aspect, non-smoking status was associated with high app acceptance, while lack of 96 information technology skills and not having a smartphone were associated with low app 97 acceptance.^{1, 8} From the psychological aspect, low app acceptance was associated with 98 doubts about the effectiveness of DCT apps, anxiety about the collection of personal 99 information, and distrust of the government and app developers.^{8, 9} From the 100 101 COVID-19-related aspect, acceptance of new lifestyles under the COVID-19 epidemic

such as use of hand sanitizers, avoidance of public transportation, and wearing masks
 was associated with app use.^{7, 8}

104

Because the working-age population is at the epicenter of COVID-19 infections, it is 105 important to increase the acceptance of DCT apps in this population.¹⁰ In other words, 106 the public health benefits of adopting these apps need to be particularly recognized by 107 the working-age population.^{9, 11} In countries where installation of DCT apps is optional. 108 such as North America, Europe, Oceania, and Japan, the installation rates tend to be 109 lower compared with countries where it is mandatory.^{1, 7, 12} However, few studies have 110 evaluated the factors associated with acceptance of DCT apps using sufficient sample 111 sizes of workers.¹³ The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the factors 112 associated with acceptance of a DCT app for COVID-19 in the working-age population of 113 Japan, where app installation is optional. The findings provide insights that can help to 114 facilitate the adoption of DCT apps among workers. 115

116

117 Methods

118 Study design and participants

The present study had a cross-sectional design and used baseline questionnaire data from a prospective cohort research project in Japan, the Collaborative Online Research on the Novel-coronavirus and Work (CORoNaWork), between 22 and 26 December 2020.¹⁴ The study supplements another study from the CORoNaWork project that evaluated occupational factors associated with DCT adoption.¹⁰ During the research period, COVID-19 vaccines had not yet been introduced in Japan and the third wave of infection was at its peak, with more than 3,000 new infections per day.¹⁵ The inclusion

criteria for the study were: full-time worker status, age between 20 and 65 years, and registration with an internet survey company. Invitations were sent by e-mail to 605,381 people registered with an internet survey company, of whom 55,045 people accessed the linked website. Of these, 33,302 participants who met the inclusion criteria provided informed consent and completed the baseline survey in an online format. After excluding 6,266 participants who gave incorrect answers to questions designed to identify inappropriate responses, 27,036 participants were eligible for the analysis.

133

134 The Contact-Confirming Application (COCOA) DCT app in Japan

The COCOA is the only DCT app in Japan and was released by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare in June 2020. The COCOA uses a decentralized approach through Bluetooth and is designed not to store personal information on a central server controlled by the government or the developer. Furthermore, downloading and deleting the COCOA is optional. If a person comes into contact with a COVID-19-positive person within a 1-m radius for more than 15 minutes, the person is notified as a close contact.⁶

141

142 Dependent variable

The dependent variable in the study was the following question about adoption of the COCOA: "Have you downloaded the Contact-Confirming Application (COCOA)?" The response options were "yes" and "no".

146

147 Independent variables

Based on relevant studies, the independent variables were divided into four aspects:

demographic, behavioral, psychological, and COVID-19 transmission-related variables.^{1,}

^{3, 7-9} Demographic variables included sex, age, marital status, education, annual 150 household income (in Japanese yen), occupation (desk work, work with interpersonal 151 communication, manual work), and family members living together. Behavioral variables 152 included smoking status, alcohol consumption, and regular doctor's visits for chronic 153 diseases. Psychological variables included fear of COVID-19 transmission. Variables 154 related to COVID-19 transmission included wearing a mask, using hand sanitizer, 155 willingness to be vaccinated against COVID-19, and presence of an acquaintance 156 infected with COVID-19. 157

158

159 Statistical analysis

Factors associated with COCOA adoption were evaluated by univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses, and the results were presented as odds ratios [ORs] and 95% confidence intervals [CIs]. The multivariate analyses were adjusted for sex, age, marital status, education, and annual household income, based on relevant studies.^{1, 3, 7-9} The *P*-values were two-sided, and statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using Stata/SE 16.1 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

167

168 **Results**

Table 1 shows the general characteristics of the study participants. Of the 27,036 participants, around half were men (51.1%), married (55.6%), educated at university or graduate school (48.7%), and engaged in desk work (49.8%). Regarding acceptance of the DCT app for COVID-19, 6,786 respondents (25.1%) reported that they had downloaded the COCOA.

174

Table 2 presents the factors associated with acceptance of the DCT app for COVID-19. In 175 the multivariate analyses, married (adjusted OR: 1.29; 95% CI: 1.17-1.43) and 176 divorced/widowed (adjusted OR: 1.16; 95% CI: 1.09–1.25) individuals had significantly 177 higher acceptance of the DCT app than single individuals. Similarly, people with a 178 university or graduate school degree had higher acceptance of the DCT app than people 179 with a junior high school or high school degree (adjusted OR: 1.19; 95% CI: 1.11–1.28). 180 Higher annual income was associated with higher acceptance of the app (≥8,000,000 181 yen: adjusted OR: 2.08; 95% CI: 1.80-2.39). In contrast, acceptance of the app was 182 183 lower among people living with family members (adjusted OR: 0.82; 95% CI: 0.76–0.89). 184

From the behavioral aspect, past smokers were more accepting of the app than 185 non-smokers (adjusted OR: 1.24; 95% CI: 1.15-1.34), but there was no significant 186 difference for smokers. Patients who regularly visited the hospital for chronic diseases 187 were more likely to accept the app than patients who did not (adjusted OR: 1.39; 95% CI: 188 1.32–1.48). From the psychological aspect, the odds of accepting the app were 2.29 189 times (95% CI: 2.13–2.47) higher in people who feared COVID-19 transmission. From 190 the COVID-19-related aspect, people who always wore a mask (adjusted OR: 2.44; 95% 191 CI: 1.94–3.08) or used hand sanitizer (adjusted OR: 1.88; 95% CI: 1.71–2.07) were more 192 likely to accept the app than people who rarely or never performed these actions. 193 194 Acceptance of the app had 1.83 times higher odds (95% CI: 1.73–1.94) among people who were willing to receive the COVID-19 vaccine than among people who were not. 195 Similarly, people who had an acquaintance infected with COVID-19 had 1.76 times 196 higher odds (95% CI: 1.61–1.93) of accepting the app than people who did not. 197

198

199 **Discussion**

In the present study, the rate of downloading the COCOA in Japan was not very high at 200 25.1%. The results revealed that there were several factors associated with downloading 201 of the DCT app for each of the demographic, behavioral, psychological, and 202 COVID-19-related variables. Although different countries have differing policies toward 203 DCT apps, the present results suggest that adoption of DCT apps may be influenced by 204 individual characteristics. The findings of the present study have important implications 205 for the widespread adoption of DCT apps in working-age populations in Japan and 206 elsewhere. 207

208

From the demographic aspect, the present study showed that the DCT app was more 209 likely to be accepted by people with married status, university graduation or above. 210 higher income, and desk work. These findings suggest that relatively wealthy people in 211 society are more likely to use DCT apps, consistent with previous studies conducted in 212 many countries including the United States, the United Kingdom, Switzerland, and 213 Japan.^{8, 16-18} In contrast, the present study found that living with family members tended 214 to reduce the use of the DCT app, which was inconsistent with a previous study.¹⁹ 215 Although the reason for the discrepancy remains unclear, it is possible that family 216 interactions reduced anxiety about COVID-19, which in turn reduced the need for the 217 DCT app. Conflicting results were also reported in another study. Specifically, Tomczyk et 218 al.²⁰ pointed out that greater perceived usefulness of DCT apps was associated with 219 greater fear of COVID-19 infection and lower adoption of the apps. Therefore, people 220 who are afraid of letting their families know that they are infected with COVID-19 may 221

tend to avoid DCT apps.

223

From the behavioral aspect, individuals with regular doctor's visits for chronic diseases 224 and past smokers were more willing to adopt the DCT app, while current smokers 225 showed no association in the present study. The severity of COVID-19 was reported to 226 be higher in people with regular doctor's visits for chronic diseases such as hypertension 227 and respiratory disease, and in smokers.²¹ Therefore, the present results suggest that 228 people with a greater risk of severe COVID-19 are more positive about adopting DCT 229 apps. On the contrary, current smokers generally have limited access to health 230 information, which may have attenuated the result.²² Measures are needed to facilitate 231 adoption of DCT apps by these people. For example, it may be useful for family 232 physicians to encourage their patients to adopt DCT apps. 233

234

From the psychological aspect, fear of COVID-19 transmission was strongly associated 235 with higher acceptance of the DCT app, consistent with a previous study in the United 236 Kingdom that found a positive association between risk perception for COVID-19 237 infection and acceptance of DCT apps.¹⁷ Kawakami et al.¹⁹ found that installation of a 238 DCT app mitigated the psychological distress associated with the risk of COVID-19 239 infection. Taken together, the findings suggest that fear of COVID-19 transmission 240 increases the adoption of DCT apps and that appropriate adoption of DCT apps may 241 242 reduce anxiety about COVID-19.

243

From the COVID-19-related aspect, wearing a mask, using hand disinfection, willingness to be vaccinated against COVID-19, and presence of an acquaintance infected with

COVID-19 led to greater likelihood of adopting the DCT app. A previous study in Singapore demonstrated similar findings for mask wearing and hand sanitizer use.⁷ A similar trend was also observed in a study conducted in the United States, wherein people infected with COVID-19 had a higher acceptance rate of DCT apps.¹⁸ Based on these findings, posting Quick Response codes for DCT apps on the packages of masks and hand sanitizers and at vaccination sites may be an effective measure. A function for inviting friends within the apps may also be effective.⁹

253

There are several limitations to this study. First, we recruited participants who were 254 registered with an internet research company. Therefore, we may have selected people 255 who actively used the internet, smartphones, and apps. However, we consider this 256 potential selection bias to be negligible because the COCOA downloading rate 257 announced by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare in December 2020 was 258 20.8%,²³ and thus close to the rate in the present study (25.1%). Second, the present 259 study used cross-sectional data for a single period of time. Therefore, the findings can 260 only suggest associations between factors for this given period of time, and cannot 261 capture changes over time within individuals. Third, in the multivariate logistic regression 262 analyses, there was a possibility of unmeasured confounding. Unmeasured personal 263 habits and behavioral patterns may have influenced the adoption of the DCT app. 264

265

266 **Conclusions**

The present study revealed factors associated with adoption of a DCT app among the working-age population in Japan. Use of the COCOA among Japanese workers was not sufficient, and it is important to develop an approach based on the present findings. We

found that relatively wealthy people in society were more likely to use the DCT app. In 270 addition, people with a greater risk of severe COVID-19 were more positive about 271 adopting the DCT app. Fear of COVID-19 transmission increased adoption of the DCT 272 app, suggesting that appropriate adoption of DCT apps can reduce anxiety about 273 COVID-19. Because wearing a mask, using hand disinfection, and willingness to be 274 vaccinated against COVID-19 were associated with greater likelihood of adopting the 275 276 DCT app, posting Quick Response codes for DCT apps on the packages of masks and hand sanitizers and at vaccination sites may be an effective measure. 277

278

279 **References**

Elkhodr M, Mubin O, Iftikhar Z, et al. Technology, Privacy, and User Opinions of
 COVID-19 Mobile Apps for Contact Tracing: Systematic Search and Content Analysis. *J Med Internet Res.* 2021;23:e23467.

283 2. Kouliaridis V, Kambourakis G, Chatzoglou E, Geneiatakis D, Wang H. Dissecting 284 contact tracing apps in the Android platform. *PLoS One*. 2021;16:e0251867.

285 3. Anglemyer A, Moore TH, Parker L, et al. Digital contact tracing technologies in 286 epidemics: a rapid review. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2020;8:CD013699.

Oldeweme A, Martins J, Westmattelmann D, Schewe G. The Role of Transparency,
 Trust, and Social Influence on Uncertainty Reduction in Times of Pandemics: Empirical
 Study on the Adoption of COVID-19 Tracing Apps. *J Med Internet Res.* 2021;23:e25893.

290 5. Rodriguez P, Grana S, Alvarez-Leon EE, et al. A population-based controlled
 291 experiment assessing the epidemiological impact of digital contact tracing. *Nat Commun.*

- **292 2021;12:587**.
- 293 6. Nakamoto I, Jiang M, Zhang J, et al. Evaluation of the Design and Implementation of

a Peer-To-Peer COVID-19 Contact Tracing Mobile App (COCOA) in Japan. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*. 2020;8:e22098.

296 7. Saw YE, Tan EY, Liu JS, Liu JC. Predicting Public Uptake of Digital Contact Tracing
297 During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Results From a Nationwide Survey in Singapore. *J Med*298 *Internet Res.* 2021;23:e24730.

8. von Wyl V, Hoglinger M, Sieber C, et al. Drivers of Acceptance of COVID-19
Proximity Tracing Apps in Switzerland: Panel Survey Analysis. *JMIR Public Health Surveill.* 2021;7:e25701.

302 9. Garrett PM, White JP, Lewandowsky S, et al. The acceptability and uptake of
 303 smartphone tracking for COVID-19 in Australia. *PLoS One*. 2021;16:e0244827.

10. Ishimaru T, Ibayashi K, Nagata M, et al. Industry and workplace characteristics associated with the downloading of a COVID-19 contact tracing app in Japan: a nation-wide cross-sectional study. *Environmental health and preventive medicine*. 2021;26:94.

308 11. Garrett PM, Wang Y, White JP, et al. Young Adults View Smartphone Tracking
309 Technologies for COVID-19 as Acceptable: The Case of Taiwan. *Int J Environ Res Public*310 *Health.* 2021;18.

12. Salathe M, Althaus C, Anderegg N, et al. Early evidence of effectiveness of digital
contact tracing for SARS-CoV-2 in Switzerland. *Swiss Med Wkly*. 2020;150:w20457.

13. Kolasa K, Mazzi F, Leszczuk-Czubkowska E, Zrubka Z, Péntek M. State of the Art in

Adoption of Contact Tracing Apps and Recommendations Regarding Privacy Protection

and Public Health: Systematic Review. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*. 2021;9:e23250.

14. Fujino Y, Ishimaru T, Eguchi H, et al. Protocol for a Nationwide Internet-based Health

Survey of Workers During the COVID-19 Pandemic in 2020. *J uoeh*. 2021;43:217-225.

15. Ishimaru T, Okawara M, Ando H, et al. Gender differences in the determinants of

319 willingness to get the COVID-19 vaccine among the working-age population in Japan.

320 Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2021:in press.

16. Machida M, Nakamura I, Kojima T, et al. Acceptance of a COVID-19 Vaccine in

Japan during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Vaccines*. 2021;9.

323 17. Lewandowsky S, Dennis S, Perfors A, et al. Public acceptance of

privacy-encroaching policies to address the COVID-19 pandemic in the United Kingdom.

325 PLoS One. 2021;16:e0245740.

18. Zhang B, Kreps S, McMurry N, McCain RM. Americans' perceptions of privacy and
 surveillance in the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLoS One*. 2020;15:e0242652.

19. Kawakami N, Sasaki N, Kuroda R, Tsuno K, Imamura K. The Effects of Downloading

a Government-Issued COVID-19 Contact Tracing App on Psychological Distress During

the Pandemic Among Employed Adults: Prospective Study. *JMIR Ment Health*.
 2021;8:e23699.

20. Tomczyk S, Barth S, Schmidt S, Muehlan H. Utilizing Health Behavior Change and
Technology Acceptance Models to Predict the Adoption of COVID-19 Contact Tracing
Apps: Cross-sectional Survey Study. *J Med Internet Res.* 2021;23:e25447.

21. Rahman A, Sathi NJ. Risk factors of the severity of COVID-19: A meta-analysis. *Int J Clin Pract.* 2021;75:e13916.

22. Paul CL, Ross S, Bryant J, Hill W, Bonevski B, Keevy N. The social context of
 smoking: A qualitative study comparing smokers of high versus low socioeconomic
 position. *BMC Public Health*. 2010;10:211.

340 23. Ministry of Health Labour and Welfare. COVID-19 Contact-Confirming Application.

341 2021; Available at: <u>https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/cocoa_00138.html</u>.

342 Accessed 14 Jul, 2021.

Acceptance of digital contact tracing application for COVID-19 Total Yes No N=27.036 n=6,786 n=20,250 n (%) n (%) n (%) Sex Women 13,222 (48.9) 3,181 (46.9) 10,041 (49.6) 3,605 (53.1) 10,209 (50.4) Men 13,814 (51.1) Age 20-39 years 6,763 (25.0) 1,669 (24.6) 50,94 (25.2) 40-49 years 8,011 (29.6) 1,886 (27.8) 6,125 (30.2) 50-59 years 9,012 (33.4) 2,359 (34.8) 6,653 (23.9) 60-65 years 3,250 (12.0) 872 (12.8) 2,378 (11.7) Marital status 1,991 (29.4) Single 9,164 (33.9) 7,173 (35.4) Divorced or widowed 2,843 (10.5) 722 (10.6) 2,121 (10.5) Married 15,029 (55.6) 4,073 (60.0) 10,956 (54.1) Education Junior high or high school 7,321 (27.1) 1,647 (24.3) 5,674 (28.0) Vocational school or college 6,544 (24.2) 1,552 (22.9) 4,992 (24.7) University or graduate school 13,171 (48.7) 3,587 (52.8) 9,584 (47.3) Annual household income < 2,000,000 yen 1,709 (6.3) 277 (4.1) 1,432 (7.1) 2,000,000-3,999,999 yen 4,360 (21.5) 5,548 (20.5) 1,188 (17.5) 4,000,000-7,999,999 ven 11,927 (44.1) 2,925 (43.1) 9,002 (44.5) \geq 8,000,000 yen 7,852 (29.1) 2,396 (35.3) 5,456 (26.9) Job type Manual work 6,641 (24.6) 1,283 (18.9) 5,358 (26.5) 13,468 (49.8) Desk work 3,736 (55.1) 9,732 (48.1) Work involving interpersonal 6,927 (25.6) 1,767 (26.0) 5,160 (25.5) communication Family living together No 5,807 (21.5) 1,400 (20.6) 4,407 (21.8) Yes 21,229 (78.5) 5,386 (79.4) 15,843 (78.2) Smoking 11,092 (54.8) Never 14,587 (54.0) 3,495 (51.5) Past 5,445 (20.1) 1,551 (22.9) 3,894 (19.2)

7,004 (25.9)

1,740 (25.6)

5,264 (26.0)

Table 1. General characteristics of the study participants

345

Current

| 347 | Table 1. | General | characteristics | of the s | study | participants (| (cont.) |) |
|-----|----------|---------|-----------------|----------|-------|----------------|---------|---|
| | | | | | | | | |

| | Total | 1 0 | digital contact tracing on for COVID-19 | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---|--|--|
| | Iotai | Yes | No | | |
| | N=27,036 | n=6,786 | n=20,250 | | |
| | n (%) | n (%) | n (%) | | |
| Alcohol intake | | | | | |
| Never | 11,472 (42.4) | 2,699 (39.8) | 8,773 (43.3) | | |
| Occasionally | 4,547 (16.8) | 1,186 (17.5) | 3,361 (16.6) | | |
| Regular | 11,017 (40.8) | 2,901 (42.7) | 8,116 (40.1) | | |
| Regular doctor's visits for chronic | | | | | |
| diseases | | | | | |
| No | 17,526 (64.8) | 4,008 (59.1) | 13,518 (66.8) | | |
| Yes | 9,510 (35.2) | 2,778 (40.9) | 6,732 (33.2) | | |
| Fear of COVID-19 transmission | | | | | |
| No | 7,019 (26.0) | 1,049 (15.5) | 5,970 (29.5) | | |
| Yes | 20,017 (74.0) | 5,737 (84.5) | 14,280 (70.5) | | |
| Wearing a mask | | | | | |
| Rarely/never | 682 (2.5) | 84 (1.2) | 598 (3.0) | | |
| Often | 3,046 (11.3) | 570 (8.4) | 2,476 (12.2) | | |
| Always | 23,308 (86.2) | 6,132 (90.4) | 17,176 (84.8) | | |
| Using hand sanitizer | | | | | |
| Rarely/never | 3,740 (13.8) | 633 (9.3) | 3,107 (15.3) | | |
| Often | 8,282 (30.6) | 1,965 (29.0) | 6,317 (31.2) | | |
| Always | 15,014 (55.4) | 4,188 (61.7) | 10,826 (53.5) | | |
| Willing to receive the COVID-19 | | | | | |
| vaccine | | | | | |
| No | 16,889 (62.5) | 3,467 (51.1) | 13,422 (66.3) | | |
| Yes | 10,147 (37.5) | 3,319 (48.9) | 6,828 (33.7) | | |
| Presence of an acquaintance | | | | | |
| infected with COVID-19 | | | | | |
| No | 24,760 (91.6) | 5,947 (87.6) | 18,813 (92.9) | | |
| Yes | 2,276 (8.4) | 839 (12.4) | 1,437 (7.1) | | |

348 COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019.

| Catagony | Variable | | Univariate | | | Multivariate* | | |
|-------------|-------------------------------|------|---------------|---------|------|---------------|---------|--|
| Category | variable | OR | (95% CI) | P value | OR | (95% CI) | P value | |
| Demographic | Sex | | | | | | | |
| | Women | 1.00 | - | - | 1.00 | - | - | |
| | Men | 1.12 | (1.06–1.18) | < 0.001 | 1.03 | (0.96 - 1.10) | 0.402 | |
| | Age | | | | | | | |
| | 20–39 years | 1.00 | - | - | 1.00 | - | - | |
| | 40–49 years | 0.94 | (0.87–1.01) | 0.108 | 0.90 | (0.83–0.98) | 0.011 | |
| | 50–59 years | 1.08 | (1.01–1.16) | 0.033 | 0.99 | (0.91 - 1.07) | 0.752 | |
| | 60–65 years | 1.12 | (1.02–1.23) | 0.020 | 1.01 | (0.91–1.12) | 0.873 | |
| | Marital status | | | | | | | |
| | Single | 1.00 | - | - | 1.00 | - | - | |
| | Divorced or widowed | 1.23 | (1.11–1.35) | < 0.001 | 1.16 | (1.09 - 1.25) | < 0.00 | |
| | Married | 1.34 | (1.26–1.42) | < 0.001 | 1.29 | (1.17–1.43) | < 0.001 | |
| | Education | | | | | | | |
| | Junior high or high school | 1.00 | - | - | 1.00 | - | - | |
| | Vocational school or college | 1.07 | (0.99–1.16) | 0.089 | 1.08 | (0.99 - 1.17) | 0.078 | |
| | University or graduate school | 1.29 | (1.21–1.38) | < 0.001 | 1.19 | (1.11–1.28) | < 0.001 | |
| | Annual household income | | | | | | | |
| | < 2,000,000 yen | 1.00 | - | - | 1.00 | - | - | |
| | 2,000,000-3,999,999 yen | 1.41 | (1.22–1.63) | < 0.001 | 1.40 | (1.21–1.61) | < 0.001 | |
| | 4,000,000–7,999,999 yen | 1.68 | (1.47–1.92) | < 0.001 | 1.60 | (1.39–1.83) | < 0.001 | |
| | \geq 8,000,000 yen | 2.27 | (1.98–2.60) | < 0.001 | 2.08 | (1.80-2.39) | < 0.00 | |
| | Job type | | | | | | | |
| | Manual work | 1.00 | - | - | 1.00 | - | - | |
| | Desk work | 1.60 | (1.49–1.72) | < 0.001 | 1.49 | (1.38–1.60) | < 0.00 | |
| | Work involving interpersonal | 1.43 | (1.32–1.55) | < 0.001 | 1.36 | (1.25 - 1.48) | < 0.00 | |
| | communication | | | | | | | |
| | Family living together | | | | | | | |
| | No | 1.00 | - | - | 1.00 | - | - | |
| | Yes | 1.07 | (1.00 - 1.15) | 0.049 | 0.82 | (0.76–0.89) | < 0.001 | |

350 Table 2. Factors associated with acceptance of the digital contact tracing application for COVID-19

| Category | Veriable | Univariate | | | Multivariate* | | |
|------------------|--|------------|---------------|---------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| Category | Variable | OR | (95% CI) | P value | OR | (95% CI) | P value |
| Behavioral | Smoking | | | | | | |
| | Never smoking | 1.00 | - | - | 1.00 | - | - |
| | Past smoking | 1.26 | (1.18–1.36) | < 0.001 | 1.24 | (1.15–1.34) | < 0.001 |
| | Current smoking | 1.05 | (0.98–1.12) | 0.156 | 1.05 | (0.98 - 1.12) | 0.212 |
| | Alcohol intake | | | | | | |
| | Never | 1.00 | - | - | 1.00 | - | - |
| | Occasionally | 1.15 | (1.06–1.24) | 0.001 | 1.12 | (1.03–1.21) | 0.006 |
| | Regular | 1.16 | (1.09–1.23) | < 0.001 | 1.08 | (1.02 - 1.15) | 0.014 |
| | Regular doctor's visits for chronic diseases | | | | | | |
| | No | 1.00 | - | - | 1.00 | - | - |
| | Yes | 1.39 | (1.32–1.47) | < 0.001 | 1.39 | (1.32–1.48) | < 0.001 |
| Psychological | Fear of COVID-19 transmission | | | | | | |
| | No | 1.00 | - | - | 1.00 | - | - |
| | Yes | 2.29 | (2.13–2.46) | < 0.001 | 2.29 | (2.13–2.47) | < 0.001 |
| COVID-19 related | Wearing a mask | | | | | | |
| | Rarely/never | 1.00 | - | - | 1.00 | - | - |
| | Often | 1.64 | (1.28–2.10) | < 0.001 | 1.57 | (1.23–2.01) | < 0.001 |
| | Always | 2.54 | (2.02-3.20) | < 0.001 | 2.44 | (1.94–3.08) | < 0.001 |
| | Using hand sanitizer | | | | | | |
| | Rarely/never | 1.00 | - | - | 1.00 | - | - |
| | Often | 1.53 | (1.38–1.69) | < 0.001 | 1.50 | (1.36–1.66) | < 0.001 |
| | Always | 1.90 | (1.73–2.08) | < 0.001 | 1.88 | (1.71–2.07) | < 0.001 |
| | Willing to receive the COVID-19 vaccine | | | | | | |
| | No | 1.00 | - | - | 1.00 | - | - |
| | Yes | 1.88 | (1.78–1.99) | < 0.001 | 1.83 | (1.73–1.94) | < 0.001 |
| | Presence of an acquaintance infected with | | | | | | |
| | COVID-19 | | | | | | |
| | No | 1.00 | - | - | 1.00 | - | - |
| | Yes | 1.85 | (1.69 - 2.02) | < 0.001 | 1.76 | (1.61 - 1.93) | < 0.001 |

351 Table 2. Factors associated with acceptance of the digital contact tracing application for COVID-19 (cont.)

352 COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.

353 *Adjusted for sex, age, income, marital status, education, and annual household income.