Associations between sustainable development goals accelerators and 1 well-being, by household heads' disability status among adolescents in 2 3 Zambia – a cross-sectional study. 4 David Chipanta^{12*}, Janne Estill¹, Heidi Stöckl³, Lucas Hertzog⁴, Elona Toska⁴⁵⁶, 5 Patrick Chanda⁷, Jason Mwanza⁷, Kelly Kaila⁸, Chisangu Matome⁹, Gelson 6 Tembo^{9,10}. Olivia Keiser¹. Lucie Cluver^{11,12} 7 8 ^{1*}Institute of Global Health, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland. 9 ²Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) 10 ³ Institute for Medical Information Processing, Biometry, and Epidemiology 11 Medical Faculty, Ludwig Maximilians University München, Germany⁴Centre for 12 Social Science Research, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa. 13 ⁵Department of Social Policy and Intervention, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. 14 ⁶Department of Sociology, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa. 15 ⁷Social Work and Sociology, University of Zambia, Lusaka, Zambia. 16 ⁸Disability Inclusion Project Luapula, International Labour Organisation, Lusaka, 17 Zambia. 18 ⁹ Palm Associates Limited, Lusaka, Zambia. 19 ¹⁰Economics and Agricultural Sciences, University of Zambia, Lusaka, Zambia. 20 ¹¹Centre for Evidence-Based Intervention, Department of Social Policy and 21 Intervention, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK 22 ¹²Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health, University of Cape Town, Cape 23 Town, South Africa 24 25 Corresponding author: Email: <u>chipanta@hotmail.com</u>; <u>david.chipanta@etu.unige.ch</u> 26 27 28 E-mail addresses of authors: JE: janne.estill@unige.ch 29 HS: heidi.stoeckl@ibe.med.uni-muenchen.de 30 LH: lucas.hertzog@uct.ac.za 31 ET: Elona.toska@uct.ac.za 32 PC: patrick.chanda@unza.zm; patrickchanda@ymail.com 33 JM: jasonmwanza2@gmail.com 34 KK: kaira@ilo.org 35 36 CM: chisangu@palmassociates.org GT: tembogel@gmail.com 37 OK: Olivia.Keiser@unige.ch 38 LC: lucie.cluver@spi.ox.ac.uk 39

40 Associations between sustainable development goals accelerators

and well-being, by household heads' disability status among

42 adolescents in Zambia – a cross-sectional study.

43

Abstract

44 **Objectives:**

- 45 We examined associations between accelerators (interventions impacting two or
- ⁴⁶ more SDG targets) and well-being indicators among adolescents in Zambia.

47 Methods:

- 48 We randomly sampled 1,800 households receiving social cash transfers (SCT) in
- 49 four districts, surveyed adults 16 years and older. Using multivariable logistic
- 50 regressions, stratified by household heads disability status, we examined
- associations between accelerators (SCT, life-long learning (LLL), mobile phone
- 52 access (MPA)) and seven well-being indicators among adolescents 16 to 24 years
- old. We predicted adolescents' probabilities of reporting indicators using marginal
- 54 effects models.

55 Results:

- 56 We included 1,725 adolescents, 881(51.1%) girls. MPA was associated with no
- poverty (adjusted Odds Ratio [aOR] 2.08, p<0.001), informal cash transfers (aOR
- 1.82 p=0.004), seeking mental support (aOR 1.61, p=0.020); SCT with no health
- 59 access restrictions related to disability (aOR 2.56, p=0.004), lesser odds seeking
- 60 mental support (aOR 0.53, p=0.029); LLL with informal cash transfers (aOR 3.49,
- p<0.001), lower school enrolment (aOR 0.70, p=0.004). Adolescents living with
- disabled household heads reported worse poverty, good health, less suicidal
- 63 ideation.

64 **Conclusions:**

- 65 Accelerators SCT, LLL, MPA were associated with well-being indicators.
- 66 Adolescents living with disabled household heads benefited less.

67

68 Relevance to SDGs:

- ⁶⁹ This paper shows that adopting accelerators can help achieve SDGs-aligned well-
- ⁷⁰ being indicators for adolescents living in poverty. However, accelerators may not
- offset disability-related inequalities. Adolescents living with disabled household
- heads may require more attention to achieve the SDGs.

73 **SDGs targets:**

- 1.2. no poverty; 1.3.1 social cash transfers, Informal cash transfers; 3. good Health;
- 3.4. no suicidal ideation; 3.4. seeking mental support; 4.1. school enrolment; 10. no
- ⁷⁶ health access restrictions related to disability.
- 77

78 Introduction

Adolescents are a crucial population group to attain the Sustainable Development 79 80 Goals (SDGs). Individuals aged 15 to 24 years comprise 15.5% (1.21 billion) of the global population, reaching 1.29 billion by 2030 [1]. Adolescence is a decisive period 81 82 to intervene on multiple SDGs. The rapidly developing physical and mental growth, transition into adulthood taking place during adolescence have strong impact on 83 84 health and well-being in adulthood [2,3]. In sub-Saharan Africa, where the growth of 85 adolescents' population is fast, the potential to improve their well-being is more 86 constrained [1]. The region's adolescents have high rates of mental health conditions, suicide, HIV, and other diseases [4]. A 10-year-old child is six times more 87 likely to die by age 24 in sub-Saharan Africa than in North America or Europe. 88 89 Globally, suicide is the second leading cause of death among adolescents aged 15 -90 25 years [4]. Suicidal ideation, defined as a preoccupation with thoughts of killing 91 oneself, and planning of suicide among adolescents aged 13-17 years in low-92 income and middle-income countries were the highest in Africa [5]. Not being in 93 employment, education, or training (NEET) also negatively impacts adolescents' 94 well-being and successful transition into adulthood [6]. A quarter (25.9%) of 95 adolescent girls and 15.8% of boys in sub-Saharan Africa were NEET in 2019 [7]. Of those employed, the majority (94.9 %) were in informal employment, living in 96 97 extreme poverty, on less than US\$1.90 a day [7]. Mobile phone use which is among interventions that could improve adolescents' achievements of the SDGs is also 98 99 limited in the region [8,9].

Urgent government coordinated actions are needed to accelerate the achievement of 100 SDGs for the regions' adolescents, particularly in the context of the Coronavirus 101 Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic [10]. The United Nations Development 102 Programme (UNDP) defines accelerators as interventions or circumstances that 103 positively impacts two or more SDGs targets [11]. Studies on accelerators have 104 shown multiple, large, and favourable changes in adolescents' mental health, the 105 experience of transactional sex, violence, HIV prevention and treatment, and other 106 107 SDG aligned outcomes from combining and re-arranging existing interventions. For 108 example, social protection including cash transfers, education, safe schools, food security, parenting programmes, role of caregivers, and psychosocial support have 109 110 been shown to be accelerators [12-14].

111 Disability is a serious threat to achieving the SDGs [15]. More than 1 billion people 112 worldwide are estimated to be living with disabilities. The majority are left behind in 113 several SDGs [15,16]. On the other hand, cash transfer programmes, in general not only include people with disabilities [15], but also often pair the programmes with 114 115 training (life-long learning) to emphasize or explain programme objectives. The 116 programmes also deliver cash and other services via mobile phones to individuals and households [17]. Social cash transfers (SCT), life-long learning (LLL) and mobile 117 118 phone access (MPA) could potentially be accelerators and support adolescents in 119 households headed by persons with disabilities. We, therefore, aimed to test whether 120 SCT, LLL and MPA fulfil the definition of accelerator in this study, and how they interact with the household heads' disability status in improving the SDGs aligned 121 well-being indicators for adolescents. 122

123 Methods:

124 Data sources and sample

We used the baseline data collected in August to September 2019 for the evaluation 125 of the United Nations Partnership on the Rights of People with Disabilities 126 127 (UNPRPD) project in Luapula province [18]. The UNPRPD started in January 2019 128 in two Luapula province districts in Zambia and will end in December 2021. It aims to 129 increase HIV and sexual and reproductive health services among girls and women with disabilities receiving SCT in two districts. We also collected data from two 130 131 districts in the same province not covered by the UNPRPD, but receiving SCT, to 132 provide comparators in the evaluation.

Households are eligible to receive the SCT if government authorities identify them as 133 extremely poor through measures of standards of living and satisfying one or more of 134 the following criteria: women-headed; headed by a person aged 65 years or older, 135 have a member with a disability; have adult members who are unable to work or 136 support themselves economically and host orphans and vulnerable children, i.e., any 137 138 child below 18 years who may be living with HIV, has lost one or both parents to HIV, or from any cause, or lives in a community affected by HIV [19]. Eligible households 139 140 received ZMK90 (USD12) per month, and ZMK180 (USD24) if they included a

141 person with a disability. The payments were disbursed every two months through a

local pay point manager, the post office, or the recipients' bank account [19].

143 Sample size calculation

144 We calculated a minimum sample size of 1,800 households, from 90 community welfare action committees (CWACs) which are political units, and 20 households per 145 146 CWAC. Our sample size calculation assumed a statistical significance (α) of 0.05, power of 80% and Intra-class Correlation Coefficients (ICCs) (p) of 0.01 to 0.08 and 147 intervention effect (\Box) of at least 0.20 on HIV prevention services including condom 148 use [20]. We sampled respondents in two stages. In stage one, we sampled CWACs 149 150 using proportional probability sampling without replacement so CWACs with more 151 households and typically with more services would be more likely to be selected. In 152 stage two, from each CWAC, we sampled 25 households, instead of 20, to allow for 153 non-response.

154 **Procedures**

Trained fieldworkers first obtained and recorded consent from every respondent 155 aged 16 years or older on the electronic tablets (thumbprints for oral, and signatures 156 157 for written consents). They then administered a questionnaire in the area's local language on the electronic tablets installed with Open Data Kit software to the 158 159 household head and all household members aged 16 years or older who consented. 160 The survey contains questions on socio-demographic characteristics, self-rated 161 poverty, health and well-being, mental health, school enrolment, disability status, proximity to health facilities, health access restrictions related to disabilities, health 162 services, receipt of SCT offered by the government, non-governmental organizations 163 and individuals, training, and MPA. We derived the guestions from piloted and 164 165 validated tools, including the UNICEF Innocenti tools and Demographic and Health

166 Survey. We translated the questions from English into the local language. We trained

the fieldworkers using role plays to ensure understanding and standardized

administration of the questionnaire. We stored and electronically transferred the data

to a secure server. We analyzed responses only from respondents aged 16 to 24years.

- 171 The study protocol was reviewed by the University of Zambia Humanities and Social
- Sciences Research Ethics Committee (IRB Approval No. 2019-April-001) and the
- ethics committee in the Canton of Geneva (no 2019-00500).

174 *Measures and variables:*

We identified and three potential accelerators: 1) SCT, 2) LLL, and 3) MPA, and 175 seven SDGs aligned indicator target outcomes in the data based on our review of 176 177 the literature. We defined the accelerators as follows: SCT provided by the 178 government with the question: "During the past 12 months, has the respondent or any household member received money or goods, including food, clothing, livestock, 179 or medicines from any of the following government programmes, social cash 180 181 transfers and other government transfers?" (Other government transfers combined 182 respondents or their household's receipt of school uniforms, scholarships, food 183 security pack, school feeding, and farm input subsidy), coded no, yes; LLL, 184 combined participation in government offered training on HIV, disability, gender-185 based violence, human rights, sexual and reproductive rights, job skills, social 186 protection and economic empowerment derived from the question: 'During the past 12 months, have you or any of the household members received any training 187 188 provided by the government on general health, food and nutrition, sexual and 189 reproductive rights, HIV, human rights, and gender-based violence, social protection, job skills, and economic empowerment?" coded yes if the participant responded to 190 191 have participated in any of the training, otherwise no; MPA with the question "What 192 phone number is used at this house?" (Response options were no phone, phone 193 number)" coded no for no phone, yes for phone number.

194

195 We defined the SDG aligned indicator outcomes as follows: No poverty with the 196 question: "Do you consider your household to be nonpoor, moderately poor, or very 197 poor?" coded very poor, moderately poor; Informal cash transfers with the 198 question: "During the past 12 months, has the respondent or any household 199 members received money or goods, including food, clothing, livestock, or medicines 200 from individuals who are not part of the family or non-governmental organizations?" 201 coded no, yes; Good health with the question: "Have you been sick or injured in the 202 last two weeks?" coded physically sick, not sick; No suicidal ideation with the 203 question: "Did you have thoughts of hurting or killing yourself? coded yes, no; 204 Seeking mental support with the question "What health facility or other institutions or 205 persons did you see for any of the identified mental health issues?" coded no did not 206 see; yes saw. Seeking mental support proxied having mental health problems and 207 seeking help to resolve them. School enrolment combined the responses from the 208 question: "Are you currently attending school? (Check relevant choice) nursery/pre-209 school, other grades full-time, other grades part-time, community school, full-time, correspondence, adult literacy class, tertiary school" coded no, yes. The proportion 210 211 of adolescents currently in school versus those not in school for 20 to 24-year-olds, 212 coded no, yes; No health access restrictions related to a disability with the

213 question: "Are you limited in accessing health services because of your

- 214 impairment?" coded limited, not limited.
- 215

We controlled for age (16 to 19, 20 to 24 years), biological sex (male, female),

- disability status (not disabled, disabled), proximity to health facility (<7 or \geq 7
- kilometres), and district (Kawambwa, Nchelenge, Mansa, and Samfya). We
- assessed household heads' disability with questions from the Washington Group
- 220 Short Questions (WGSQ) on disabilities. The WGSQ asks respondents if they have
- 221 difficulties with seeing, hearing, walking, cognition, self-care, or communicating. For
- each disability type, the answer options are "no"; "yes a little"; "yes a lot"; "cannot
- 223 at all." We defined a respondent as disabled in the disability type they answered:
- 224 "yes a lot" or "cannot at all" and grouped the disability variables into a composite
- variable reflecting if the respondent had any of the six types of disability [21].

226 Analysis

We conducted analyses in three steps. First, we explored the socio-demographic 227 228 characteristics, hypothesized accelerators and SDGs aligned outcomes by 229 household head's disability status. Second, we tested for associations between each SDG-aligned outcome and hypothesized accelerators simultaneously using the 230 231 Fishers exact test and reported crude proportions, 95% confidence intervals (CI) and 232 p-values. We adjusted for covariates in multivariable logistic regressions and 233 corrected for multiple hypothesis testing using the Benjamin, Yekutieli, Krieger (BYK) 234 False Discovery Rate Sharpened Qs [22]. We interpreted the FDR adjusted p-value 235 as a p-value of 0.05, resulting in 5% of significant tests being false positives. FDR 236 adjusted p-values result in fewer false positives than non-FDR adjusted p-values. 237 Third, we predicted adolescents' probabilities of experiencing each outcome from no 238 accelerators to cumulative accelerators combinations by household heads' disability 239 status using marginal effects models with the Stata margins command keep other 240 covariates at their mean values. We reported the changes in probabilities for each 241 indicator.

- As a sensitivity analysis, we calculated adjusted probabilities of experiencing each
- 243 outcome from multiple-outcome probit models that correlated the error terms of three
- 244 potential accelerators in each model, using the mvprobit command in Stata 14.1 set
- at 50 random draws. Each regression regressed one of the seven SDG aligned
- outcomes for adolescents on the three accelerators controlling for sociodemographic
- factors. We clustered analyses at the CWAC level and used Stata version 14.1 foranalysis.

249 **Results:**

The sample comprised 1,725 adolescents from 1,545 households in 90 CWACs.

- Overall, 881 (51.1%) were girls and 844 (48.9%) boys. The median age in years was
- 19 (interquartile range 17 to 21). Eight per cent (145) of the adolescents lived with
- household heads with disabilities. Half (75, 51.7%) of household heads with
- disabilities reported at least "a lot" of difficulties in remembering, 43 (29.6%) in
- seeing and 14 (9.6%) in self-care. Many socio-demographic characteristics and SDG

aligned targets indicators differed significantly between adolescents living with

household heads with and without disability; the three hypothesized accelerators did
 not differ.

259

260 [Insert Table 1 here]

261

262 The three hypothesized accelerators - SCT, LLL and MPA – were significantly 263 associated with no poverty, informal cash transfers, good health, no suicidal ideation, 264 school enrolment and no health access restrictions related to disabilities when we 265 did not control for socio-demographic covariates. However, SCT was associated with 266 lower levels of seeking mental support among adolescents (Table 2A). Adolescents 267 with MPA, reported higher levels of no poverty (39% versus 23.9%, p <0.001), 268 accessing informal cash transfers (26.6% versus 16%, p<0.001), good health (34.5% versus 30.2%, p=0.042), seeking mental support (38.4% versus 26.9%; p<0.000) 269 270 and school enrolment (48.8% versus 39.7%, p <0.001) than those without MPA.

After adjusting for age, gender, household heads' disability status, distance from the 271 272 nearest health facility and district, all hypothesized accelerators remained associated 273 with two or more SDG-aligned outcomes (Table 2B). Good health and no suicidal 274 ideation were no longer associated with any hypothesized accelerator. Having 275 access to a mobile phone was associated with higher odds of no poverty, accessing 276 informal cash transfers, seeking mental support and school enrolment. SCT were associated with higher odds of informal cash transfers, no health access restrictions 277 278 related to disability but lower odds of seeking mental support. LLL was associated with increased odds of accessing informal cash transfers but lower odds of school 279 280 enrolment.

281 [Insert Tables 2A and 2B here]

282

Figure 1 shows the changes in probabilities of experiencing each of the seven SDG-283 284 aligned outcomes from potential accelerators compared to no accelerators: 1) SCT 285 alone, 2) SCT plus LLL, 3) SCT plus MPA, 4) SCT, plus LLL and MPA. Results are 286 stratified by disability status of the household head. Potential accelerators were 287 associated with an absolute increase of at least 0.02 in the probability of SDG 288 aligned targets indicators. However, the probability of seeking mental support was 289 decreased by SCT alone, SCT plus LLL, and SCT plus MPA. The probability of 290 school enrolment was also decreased by SCT plus LLL.

Adolescents with household heads with disabilities had lower probabilities of reporting no poverty, accessing informal cash transfers, good health and no suicidal ideation from no potential accelerators than their counterparts without household heads with disabilities. They further reported lower probability changes from potential accelerators in no poverty, accessing informal cash transfers, good health, and no health access restrictions related to disability. The probability increase in no suicidal ideation from potential accelerators was higher among adolescents living with

298 household heads with disabilities. Changes in seeking mental support and school

enrolment did not differ by the disability status of the household head. The greatest

300 probability changes from receiving no potential accelerators to receiving potential

301 accelerators were in accessing informal cash transfers (Figure 1).

302

303 [Insert Figure 1 here]

304

Synergies – combinations – of potential accelerators were associated with changes in the probabilities of experiencing levels of SDG-aligned targets indicators outcomes for adolescents living with household heads with and without disabilities. A combination of all potential accelerators - SCT, LLL and MPA – was associated with a 0.15 and 0.11 probability increase in levels of no poverty for adolescents living with household heads without and with disabilities; 0.37 and 0.30 probability increase in

311 levels of accessing informal cash transfers and 0.14 and 0.13 of experiencing no

312 health access restrictions related to a disability, respectively (Figure 2).

313

314 [Insert Figure 2 here]

315

The sensitivity analysis results between the models we used and the models that account for correlations between the error terms of the potential accelerators were equivalent. However, the p-values were lower in the outcome models accounting for the correlation between potential accelerators (Supplementary Table 1).

320 Discussion

321 This study examined associations between potential accelerators - SCT, LLL, and 322 MPA - and SDG aligned well-being indicator targets - 1.2 no poverty; 1.3.1 SCT, Informal cash transfers; 3.0 good Health; 3.4 no suicidal ideation, seeking mental 323 324 support; 4.1 school enrolment; and 10.0 no health access restrictions related to 325 disability - among adolescents. We found high potential for improving vulnerable 326 adolescents' SDG-aligned well-being by combining SCT, LLL and MPA interventions. Our findings fit within an emerging body of evidence confirming that 327 328 SCT, LLL and MPA are accelerators for adolescents [8,10,13]. It further found that 329 adolescents benefited unequally depending on their household heads' disability 330 status and that combining existing interventions may not overcome inequalities 331 arising out of the disability of the household head. 332 Several studies conducted in Zambia and elsewhere confirm our results that SCT were associated with multiple SDG aligned target outcomes such as higher levels of 333

informal cash transfers, no health access restrictions related to disability, and lower
 levels of seeking mental support. Studies conducted in Zambia show that SCT

reduced relative poverty, increased women's satisfaction regarding their children's

- well-being, and schooling among school-going adolescents [23,24]. SCT also
- increased material well-being (children's material needs met), food insecurity, and

339 asset ownership [25]. In sub-Sahara Africa and elsewhere, SCT have been shown to increase psychological well-being, and decrease relative and absolute poverty 340 341 [26,27]. In our study, receiving SCT alone was associated with a substantial 342 decrease in seeking mental support. Combining SCT with LLL or MPA was 343 associated with more reductions in seeking mental support. This result suggests that households' lack of money, LLL opportunities, and MPA may have necessitated 344 345 respondents to seek mental support. Providing SCT, LLL and MPA interventions may be vital for addressing the mental support needs of adolescents living in 346

347 poverty.

348 However, in our study SCT were not associated with good health; neither were MPA and LLL. This result fits within a body of evidence showing that cash transfers have 349 350 positive, complex, and mixed effects on health. A review of 56 studies from low and 351 middle-income countries found that cash transfers increased dietary diversity, 352 access and utilization of health services but had little impact on children's anthropometric measures [26]. In high-income countries, self-rated health, chronic 353 354 health conditions, and mortality for cash transfer recipients were worse than among 355 non-recipients. On the contrary, in the United States, cash transfers were associated with improved self-rated health [28]. One reason why SCT, MPA, and LLL in our 356 357 study were not associated with good health could be that physical illnesses among 358 our sample was widespread. Two-thirds (67.8%, n=1169) of adolescents reported physical illnesses. Another is that malaria is endemic in the study area [29]. SCT, 359 360 MPA and LLL alone might have been insufficient to resolve these illnesses. Innovative prevention and treatment of malaria, and other illnesses, combined with 361

362 SCT, MPA, and LLL, should be implemented.

Contrary to views that cash transfers and other public transfers reduce informal 363 transfers [30], our study found the opposite result; SCT were associated with 364 increased receipt of informal cash transfers. This result is supported by evidence 365 [31,32]. One explanation for our study's finding is that the process of receiving SCT 366 may have identified households who were in need of financial and material support, 367 linked them to support, strengthened trust of each other, increased social inclusion 368 369 and solidarity [31-33]. Another explanation is that our study did not include pensions and social security transfers analyzed in the study that found contradictory findings 370 371 to our study results [30]. Pensions and social security transfers, derived from 372 mandatory savings employees make during employment, tend to be larger than 373 SCT. In addition, pensions and social security transfers recipients may be wealthier, 374 making them less likely to be perceived as in need of informal cash transfers [31].

375 The positive associations found in our study between MPA and no poverty, informal 376 cash transfers, and school enrolment are also supported by evidence [8,34]. Access 377 to mobile phones can promote adolescents' wellbeing, expand their social networks 378 and personal growth opportunities [8]. Social protection and cash transfers are being 379 delivered via mobile phones, alongside electronic vouchers, bank accounts and 380 other payment systems to adults in households [35]. Mobile phones use also 381 enables access to vital services [8,34]. Informal financial transfers make the bulk of 382 financial transactions transferred via mobile phones in sub-Sahara Africa [36]. The negative association found in our study between MPA and seeking mental support 383

suggests that lack of mobile phone access may be mentally distressing for
adolescents. One main reason is that they may miss out on informal cash transfers
and other services to improve their well-being [8,34,36]. Providing mobile phones to
households who do not have them, is being done and can help improve adolescents
access to social protection, cash transfers and mental support [35].

389 In our study, LLL's associations - increase in informal cash transfers, and reductions 390 in odds of seeking mental support and school enrollment – are limited than those of 391 SCT and MPA, but no less critical. LLL re-enforces and complements the objectives 392 of social protection and cash transfer programmes. LLL may bring participants 393 together, potentially increasing their social networks - addressing the needs to seek 394 mental support - and informal cash transfers. LLL is unlikely to have pulled 395 adolescents out of school. Two-thirds of adolescents were already not attending 396 school. LLL and the skills it provides can be beneficial to these adolescents [37].

397 Adolescents did not evenly benefit from SCT alone, with LLL, MPA or LLL and MPA, 398 although they benefited from these accelerators. Adolescents living with household 399 heads with disabilities reported lower benefits from these accelerators in no poverty, 400 informal cash transfers, good health, and no disability health access restrictions than those living with household heads without disabilities. Previous studies, including a 401 402 systematic review, support this finding showing that living with a household member 403 with a disability had high cost and poverty implications for the household [38,39]. 404 These studies concluded that households must spend as much as 26% more resources to obtain an equivalent standard of living compared to those without 405 406 disabilities [38.39]. Adolescents in our sample living with household heads with 407 disabilities reported themselves poorer, may have had much more diminished 408 resources and saddled with caregiving responsibilities adversely affecting their well-409 being than their peers living with household heads without disabilities. However, adolescents living with household heads with disabilities reported greater benefits 410 411 from accelerators in no suicidal ideation. Their probabilities of reporting no suicidal 412 ideation from no accelerators were lower compared to their peers without household 413 heads with disabilities. This result suggests that household heads' disability status 414 may have mitigated suicidal ideation among adolescents. Such adolescents might have benefited from parental supervision during caregiving which is known to be 415 416 protective against suicidal behaviour [40]. However, this study did not look at the role 417 of the household head's disability status on adolescents' suicidal ideation. Overall, 418 accelerators appear to impact adolescents' well-being. However, adolescents living 419 with household heads with disabilities were doing worse than their peers living with 420 household heads without disabilities before and after the accelerators. New 421 interventions focused at households may be required. These could include attention 422 to adolescents and parents' relationships, increased psychosocial, mental and 423 financial support to offset adolescents' household's disabilities-related inequalities [38]. 424

425 Limitations

It is important to note that association does not imply causal relationships. In this
study we could not attribute causation due to the study's cross-sectional nature, and

- neither could we generalize the results outside the study area and population group.
- 429 However, many countries in sub-Saharan Africa are implementing similar
- 430 programmes and could find our results useful in their contexts. We performed a
- 431 complete sample analysis due to the low prevalence of disability in our sample,
- 432 which might have missed nuanced differences experienced by adolescent girls
- 433 compared to boys. The prevalence of disability in our sample was low limiting our
- ability for further analysis by type of disability. We did not have variables on
- 435 occupational type of the household head, and others which could affect household
- dynamics including adolescents' well-being. We did not input the missing data
- because it was less than 5%. However, we show that adopting accelerators can help
- 438 achieve SDGs-aligned well-being indicators for adolescents living in poverty.

439 **Conclusion**

- 440 Our study found multiple and substantial benefits from accelerators SCT, LLL and
- 441 MPA delivered individually and in combinations, on SDG aligned well-being among
- 442 adolescents living in poverty. Adolescents living with household heads with
- disabilities benefited less. New interventions maybe necessary to correct disability-
- related inequalities between households. More research is needed to understand
- combinations of interventions that improve the well-being of adolescents living with
- 446 household members who are disabled.

447

448 **Funding**

- The authors declare that this study received funding from United Kingdom Research
- in Innovation (UKRI) Global Challenges Research Fund (GCRF) Accelerating
- 451 Achievement for Africa's Adolescents Hub (Principle Investigator Prof. Lucie Cluver).
- 452 The funder was not involved in the study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of
- data, the writing of this article or the decision to submit it for publication.

454

- 455
- 456
- 457
- 458
- 459
-
- 460
- 461
- 462
- 463
- 464

465			
466			
467			
468			

- 469

470 **References**

- 1. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UNDESA). World Population Prospects 2019: Highlights. New York: United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs; 2019. Report No.: (ST/ESA/SER.A/423)..
- 2. Sawyer SM, Peter SA, Dakshitha W, George P. The age of adolescence. The Lancet Child and Adolescent Health. 2018 March; 2(3): 223-228.
- 3. World Health Organization (WHO). Guidelines on mental health promotive and preventive interventions for adolescents: helping adolescents thrive. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020. Report No.: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
- 4. World Health Organization (WHO). Adolescent and young adult health. [Online].; 2021 [cited 2021 February 5. Available from: <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-</u>sheets/detail/adolescents-health-risks-and-solutions.
- 5. Uddin R, Burton NW, Maple M, Khan SR, Khan A. Suicidal ideation, suicide planning, and suicide attempts among adolescents in 59 low-income and middle-income countries: a population-based study. Lancet Child Adolescent Health. 2019 Apr; 3(4)(doi: 10.1016/S2352-4642(18)30403-6.): 223-233..
- 6. Dickens L, Marx P. NEET as an Outcome for Care Leavers in South Africa: The Case of Girls and Boys Town. Emerging Adulthood. 2020; 8(1 https://doi.org/10.1177/2167696818805891): 64-72.
- International Labour Organisation (ILO). Global Employment Trends for Youth 2020: Technology and the future of jobs. Geneva: International Labour Organisation, International Labour Office; 2020.
- Porter G, Hampshire K, Lannoy AD, Bango A, Munthali A, Robson E, et al. Youth Livelihoods in the Cellphone Era: Perspectives from Urban Africa. Journal of International Development. 2018 January; 30(DOI: 10.1002/jid.3340): 539–558.
- International Telecommunication Union (ITU). Mobile-cellular Subscriptions Declining in 2020. [Online].; 2021 [cited 2021 February 2. Available from: <u>https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Pages/ff2020interactive.aspx</u>.
- 10. Desmond C, Sherr L, Cluver L. Covid-19: accelerating recovery. Vulnerable Children and Youth Studies. 2020. May;(DOI: 10.1080/17450128.2020.1766731).
- 11. United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). SDG Accelerator and Bottleneck Assessment tool. New York: United Nations Development Programme, Sustainable Development Cluster,

UNDP Bureau for Policy; 2017.

- 12. Cluver LD, Orkin FM, Meinck F, Yakubovich AR, Sherr L. Can Social Protection Improve SustainableDevelopment Goals for Adolescent Health? PLoS ONE. 2016 October;(e0164808.doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0164808): 11(10).
- Cluver LD, Orkin FM, Campeau L, Toska E, Webb D, Carlqvist A, et al. Improving lives by accelerating progress towards the UN Sustainable Development Goals for adolescents living with HIV: a prospective cohort study. Lancet Child Adolescence. 2019 April; 3(https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642(19)30033-1): 245–54.
- 14. Cluver LD, Rudgard WE, Toska E, Zhou S, Campeau L, Shenderovich Y, et al. Violence prevention accelerators for children and adolescents in South Africa: A path analysis using two pooled cohorts. PLoS Medicine. 2020 November; e1003383(https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003383): 17(11).
- 15. Nations U. Disability and Development Report. Realizing the Sustainable Development Goals by, for and with persons with disabilities 2018. New York: United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs ; 2019. Report No.: 9789210479035(PDF).
- WHO, World Health Organization. WHO global disability action plan 2014-2021. Better health for all people with disability. Geneva: WHO, World Health Organization; 2015. Report No.: ISBN 978 92 4 150961 9.
- Devereux S, Vincent K. Using Technology to Deliver Social Protection: Exploring Opportunities and Risks. In http://www.jstor.org/stable/27806713, editor. Development in Practice 20, no. 3.; 2010. p. 367-79.
- United Nations Partnership on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. http://unprpd.org/ourprogrammes/78. [Online].; 2020 [cited 2020 August 2020 10. Available from: http://unprpd.org/our-programmes/78.
- 19. Ministry of Community Development and Social Service, Government of the Republic of Zambia. Social Cash Transfers, Investing investing in People's lives, transforming communities, Social Cash Transfer Guidelines. Guidelines. Lusaka: Ministry of Community Development and Social Service; 2018.
- 20. Handa S, Hoop Td, Morey M, Seidenfeld D. ICC Values in International Development: Evidence across Many Domains in subSaharan Africa..
- 21. Washington Group on Disability Statistics. The Data Collection Tools Developed by the Washington Group on Disability Statistics and their Recommended Use. Hyattsville: United Nations Statistical Comission, Washington Group on Disability Statistics; 23 October 2017.
- Anderson ML. Multiple Inference and Gender Differences in the Effects of Early Intervention: A Reevaluation of the Abecedarian, Perry Preschool, and Early Training Projects. Journal of the American Statistical Association. 2008; 103(484 https://doi.org/10.1198/016214508000000841): 1481-1495.
- 23. Sudhanshu H, Luisa N, David S, Gelson T, Benjamin D. Can unconditional cash transfers raise

long-term living standards? Evidence from Zambia. Journal of Development Economics. 2018 July; 133(doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2018.01.008): 42-65.

- Hjelma L, Handa S, de Hoop J, Palermo T. Poverty and perceived stress: Evidence from two unconditional cash transfer programs in Zambia. Social Science & Medicine. 2017 March; 177(https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.01.023): 110-117.
- Natalia LHS, Peterman A, Seidenfeld D, Tembo G. Does money buy happiness? Evidence from an unconditional cash transfer in Zambia. SSM - Population Health. 2018 April; 4(https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2018.02.002): 225-235.
- 26. Bastagli F, Hagen-Zanker J, Harman L, Barca V, Sturge G, Schmidt T, et al. Cash transfers: what does the evidence say? A rigorous review of programme impact and of the role of design and implementation features. London: Overseas Development Institute (ODI); 2016.
- Haushofer J, Mudida R, Shapiro JP. The Comparative Impact of Cash Transfers and a Psychotherapy Program on Psychological and Economic Well-being Research NBoE, editor. Cambridge Mass: National Bureau of Econmic Research; 2020.
- 28. Sun S, Huang J, Hudson DL. Cash Transfers and Health. Annual Review of Public Health. 2021 January; 42: 363–80.
- 29. Ministry of Health, Government of the Republic of Zambia. Zambia National Malaria Indicator Survey. Lusaka: Ministry of Health, Ministry of Health, Central Statistics Office; 2018.
- Nikolov P, Bonci M. Do Public Program Benefits Crowd Out Private Transfers in Developing Countries? A Critical Review of Recent Evidence. World Development. 2020 October; 134(104967).
- 31. Evans DK, Kosec K. Do Cash Transfers Reduce Trust and Informal Transfers? IFPRI Discussion Paper. Washington, DC: International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI), Development Strategy and Governance Division; 2020. Report No.: 01994.
- Martin Evans SHaHS. Informal taxes and transfers in sub-Saharan Africa: A review and analysis of incidence in Rwanda. Working paper. London: Overseas Development Institute (ODI), December; 2020. Report No.: 598.
- 33. Asfaw S, Davis B. Can Cash Transfer Programmes Promote Household Resilience? Cross-Country Evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa. In Lipper L. MN,ZD,AS,BG, editor. Climate Smart Agriculture. Natural Resource Management.: Springer Cham; 2018. p. 227-250.
- 34. Giovanelli A, Ozer EM, Dahl RE. Leveraging Technology to Improve Health in Adolescence: A Developmental Science Perspective. Journal of Adolescent Health. 2020 August 2020; 67(2: DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2020.02.020): S7eS13.
- 35. Barca V, Hurrell A, MacAuslan I, Visram A, Willis J. Paying attention to detail: how to transfer cash in cash transfers. Enterprise Development and Microfinance. 2003 March; 24(1).
- 36. Koomson I, Bukari C, AVillano R. Mobile money adoption and response to idiosyncratic shocks: Empirics from five selected countries in sub-Saharan Africa. Technological Forecasting and Social

Change. 2021 167; 167(120728).

- 37. Ministry of Education. Educational Statistical Bulletin 2017. Statistical Bulletin. Lusaka: Ministry of Education, Republic of Zambia, Directorate of Planning and Information; 2018.
- 38. Mitra S, Palmer M, Kim H, Mont D, Groce N. Extra costs of living with a disability: A review and agenda for research. Disability and Health Journal. 2017 October; 10(4): 475 484.
- Asuman D, Ackah CG, Agyire-Tettey F. Disability and Household Welfare in Ghana: Costs and Correlates. Journal of Family and Economic Issues. 2020 December;(https://doi.org/10.1007/s10834-020-09741-5).
- 40. Kushal SA, Amin YM, Reza S, Shawon MSR. Parent-adolescent relationships and their associations with adolescentsuicidal behaviours: Secondary analysis of data from 52 countries using the Global School-based Health Survey. EClinical Medicine. 2020 December; (doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100691).

472			
473			
474			
475			
476			
477			
478			
479			
480			
481			
482			
483			
484			
485			
486			
487			
488			
489			
490			
491			

492

493

494

495

Table 1: Social demographic ch by disability status of the house	aracteristi hold head	cs, hyp	othesized	acceler	ators and SD	G-aligne	ed targets
	not dis	abled	disat	bled	P-value	Total	%
Variables	n=1580	%	n=145	%		1725	
Socio-demographic characteristics							
Age (years) 16 – 19	951	60.2	67	46.2		1018	59.0
20 – 24	629	39.8	78	53.8	0.001	707	41.0
Sex, Male	787	49.8	57	39.3		844	48.9
Female	793	50.2	88	60.7	0.015	881	51.1
Distance to nearest the health	4004	04 7	404	00.4		4.440	04.0
facility (kilometres) 0 – 6	1291	81.7	121	83.4		1412	81.9
7 and over	242	15.3	19	13.1	0.489	261	15.1
Missing	47	3.0	5	3.4		52	3.0
District Kawambwa	502	31.8	55	37.9		557	32.3

19.7

378 23.9

389 24.6

311

22

31

15.2

21.4

0.339

37 25.5

333

19.3

409 23.7

426 24.7

Hypothesized accelerators	

Mansa

Samfya

Nchelenge

SCT No	157	9.9	15	10.3		172	10.0
Yes	1408	89.1	128	88.3		1536	89.0
Missing	15	0.9	2	1.4	0.862	17	1.0
MPA No	1081	68.4	101	69.7		1182	68.5
Yes	499	31.6	44	30.3	0.759	543	31.5
LLL No	868	54.9	68	46.9		936	54.3
Yes	697	44.1	75	51.7	0.069	772	44.8
Missing	15	0.9	2	1.4		17	1.0
Ū.							

SDG 1.2. No poverty Very							
poor	1103	69.8	115	79.3		1218	70.6
Moderately poor	462	29.2	28	19.3	0.012	490	28.4
Missing	15	0.9	2	1.4		17	1.0
Witcomg	10	0.0	-				1.0
SDG 1.3.1 Informal cash							
transfers No	1260	79.7	118	81.4		1378	79.9
Yes	305	19.3	25	17.2	0.561	330	19.1
Missing	15	0.9	2	1.4		17	1.0
SDG 3. Good health							
Physically sick	1062	67.2	107	73.8		1169	67.8
Not sick	503	31.8	36	24.8	0.086	539	31.2
Missing	15	0.9	2	1.4		17	1.0
0							
SDG 3.4 No suicidal ideation							
Yes	156	9.9	29	20.0		185	10.7
No	1404	88.9	114	78.6	0 000	1518	88.0
Missing	20	1 2	2	1 1	0.000	22	1 2
wissing	20	1.3	Z	1.4		22	1.5
SDG 3.4. Seeking mental							
support No	1087	68.8	100	69.0		1187	68.8
Yes	479	30.3	43	29.7	0.898	522	30.3
Missing	14	0.9	2	1.4		16	0.9
SDG 4.1. School enrolment							
No	901	57.0	89	61.4		990	57.4
Yes	678	42.9	56	38.6	0.314	734	42.6
SDG 10. No health access							
restrictions related to disability							
Limited	243	15.4	21	14.5		264	15.3
Not limited	1290	81.6	119	82.1	0.791	1409	81.7
Minuted	1200	3.0	5	3 /	0.701	52	3.0
Nileeina	47	5.0	J	5.4		JZ	5.0
Missing							

Table 2A: Crude analysis of associations between hypothesized accelerators and SDG aligned targets

-						
	Hypothesized accelerators, absolute values [proportions], p-value					
-		SCT		LLL		MPA
SGD-aligned targets	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
1.2. No poverty	442 [28.8%],	46 [26.7%]; 0.318	207[26.8%],	281 [30.1%]; 0.074	212[39.0%],	278 [23.9%]; <0.001
1.3.1 Informal cash transfers	323 [21.0%],	7[4.7%]; <0.001	228[29.5%],	102 [10.9%]; <0.001	144[26.6%],	186 [16.0%]; <0.001
3. Good health	489 [31.8%],	50 [29.7%]; 0.258	253[32.8%],	285 [30.5%]; 0.168	187[34.5%],	352 [30.2%]; 0.042
3.4. No suicidal ideation	1381[90.2%],	137 [79.7%]; <0.001	674[87.6%],	843 [90.4%]; 0.044	475[87.6%],	1043 [89.8%]; 0.102
3.4 Seeking mental support	447 [29.1%],	75 [43.6%]; <0.001	278[36.0%],	244 [26.1%]; <0.001	208[38.4%],	314 [26.9%]; <0.001
4.1. School enrolment	662 [43.1%],	65 [37.8%]; 0.103	302[39.1%],	424 [45.4%];0.005	265[48.8%],	469 [39.7%]; <0.001
10. No health restrictions related to disability	1293[85 8%]	116[69.9%]· <0.001	613[82 0%]	795 [86 0%] [.] 0 014	453[83 9%]	956 [84 4%] [.] 0 425
Fisher's exact test.	.200[00.070],					

Table 2B: Associations between hypothesized accelerators and SDG aligned targets indicators adjusted for social demographic characteristics

Ŭ l	Hypothesized Accelerato	rs (Adjusted Odds Ratios, 95%	% Confidence Intervals, P-
		value)	
SGD-aligned Targets	SCT	LLL	MPA
1.2. No poverty	1.15[0.66 - 1.98], 0.624	0.86[0.59 - 1.23], 0.398	2.08[1.39 - 3.09], 0.001*
1.3.1 Informal			
transfers	7.68[2.56 - 23.01], 0.000*	3.49[2.24 - 5.45], 0.001*	1.82 [1.21 - 2.74], 0.004*
3. Good health	1.06[0.55 - 2.04], 0.859	1.14[0.85 - 1.54], 0.379	1.27[0.89 - 1.80], 0.184
3.4. No suicidal			
ideation	1.93[0.93 - 3.99], 0.077	0.95[0.59 - 1.49], 0.809	0.86[0.49 - 1.51], 0.594
3.4 Seeking mental			
support	0.53[0.29 - 0.94], 0.029*	1.34[0.99 - 1.80], 0.054	1.61[1.08 - 2.40], 0.020*
4.1. School			
enrolment	1.22[0.87 - 1.72], 0.246	0.70 [0.55 - 0.89], 0.004*	1.65[1.25 - 2.18], 0.001*
10. No disability			
health access			
restrictions	2.56[1.35 - 4.88], 0.004*	0.67[0.42 - 1.07], 0.097	0.92[0.58 - 1.45], 0.713
Type of test conducted	Wald Test *Statistically signi	ficant (n< 05) after multiple by	nothesis testing correction

Type of test conducted Wald Test. *Statistically significant (p<.05) after multiple hypothesis testing correction with the FDR sharpened Qs. Adjusted for age, gender, household head disability status, distance to the nearest health facility and district.



Figure 1: Levels of probability change in SDG-aligned targets indicators outcomes from 1) SCT alone, 2) SCT plus LLL 3) SCT plus MPA, and 4) SCT plus LLL and MPA, stratified by household heads' disability status – without (blue bars) and with disabilities (Orange bars).



Figure 2: Changes in probability levels of SDG-aligned outcomes for adolescents living with household heads without (A) and with disabilities (B) from synergies of interventions.

	Hypothesized accelerators, Coefficient [95% CI], P-value						
SGD-aligned targets	SCT	LLL	MPA				
1.2. No poverty	0.15 [-0.08 - 0.37], 0.197	-0.14 [-0.270.01], 0.043	0.44 [0.30 - 0.57], <0.001				
1.3.1 Informal cash transfers	0 80 [0 44 - 1 17] ~0 001	0.66 [0.51 - 0.81] <0.001	0 35 [0 20 - 0 50] ~0 001				
3. Good health	0.14 [-0.08 - 0.36], 0.215	0.04 [009 - 0.17],0.549	0.13 [-0.01 - 0.26], 0.064				
3.4. No suicidal ideation	0.44 [0.20 - 0.69], <0.001	-0.17 [-0.330.01], 0.048	-0.08 [-0.26 - 0.09], 0.342				
3.4 Seeking mental support	-0.44 [-0.650.23], <0.001	0.29 [0.16 - 0.42], <0.001	0.31 [0.18 - 0.45], <0.001				
4.1. School enrolment	'0.17 [-0.04 - 0.40], 0.117	-0.23 [-0.360.1], <0.001	0.31 [0.17 - 0.45], <0.001				
10. No health restrictions related to							
disability	0.65 [0.42 - 0.88], <0.001	-0.22 [-0.370.08], 0.003	-0.02 [18 - 0.13], 0.776				

Supplementary Table 1: Associations between hypothesized accelerators and SDG aligned targets using probit models

Probit models accounting for correlation between error terms. Adjusted for age, gender, household head disability status, distance to the nearest health facility and district