

Somatically mutated genes in fatty liver disease have minimal influence on germline risk

Jake P. Mann* (1,2), Matthew Hoare* (2,3)

Affiliations

1. Institute of Metabolic Science, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
2. School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom;
3. CRUK Cambridge Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom;

Correspondence:

jm2032@cam.ac.uk ; mwh20@cam.ac.uk

School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom, Cambridge, CB2 0QQ, United Kingdom

Keywords:

NAFLD, alcohol-related liver disease, GPAM, variation, genomic analysis, precision medicine

Number of main figures: 2; number of main tables: 4

Authors' declaration of personal interests:

MH is a co-inventor on a patent detailing the finding of recurrent somatic mutations in chronic liver disease.

Funding:

JPM is supported by a Wellcome Trust fellowship (216329/Z/19/Z); MH is supported by a CRUK Advanced Clinician Scientist fellowship (C52489/A19924); CRUK-OHSU Project Award (C52489/A29681) and CRUK Accelerator award to the HUNTER consortium (C18873/A26813).

Author contributions:

JPM and MH conceived and designed the study. JPM curated and analysed the data. JPM and MH wrote and revised the manuscript

Abstract

Background:

Understanding the genetics of liver disease has the potential to facilitate clinical risk stratification. We recently identified six genes and one lncRNA enriched for acquired somatic mutations in patients with NAFLD and alcohol-related liver disease. We hypothesised that germline variation in these genes would be associated with risk of liver disease development and contribute to prognostication.

Methods:

Genome-wide association study (GWAS) summary statistics were extracted from seven studies (>1.7 million participants) for variants near *ACVR2A*, *ALB*, *CIDEB*, *FOXO1*, *GPAM*, *NEAT1* and *TNRC6B* for: aminotransferases, liver fat, HbA1c, diagnosis of NAFLD, ARLD, and cirrhosis. Findings were replicated using GWAS data from multiple independent cohorts. A phenome-wide association study was performed to examine for related metabolic traits, using both common and rare variants, including gene-burden testing.

Results:

There was no evidence of association between rare germline variants or SNPs near five genes (*ACVR2A*, *ALB*, *CIDEB*, *FOXO1*, and *TNRC6B*) and risk or severity of liver disease. Variants in *GPAM* were associated with liver fat ($p=3.6 \times 10^{-13}$), ALT ($p=2.8 \times 10^{-39}$), and serum lipid concentrations. Variants in *NEAT1* demonstrated borderline significant associations with ALT ($p=1.9 \times 10^{-11}$) and HbA1c, but not with liver fat, as well as influencing waist-to-hip ratio, adjusted for BMI.

Conclusions:

Despite strong selective advantage to acquire somatic mutations at these loci, there was no evidence of an association between germline variation and markers of liver disease, except in

GPAM. Polygenic risk scores based on germline variation alone will not capture prognostic data from genes affected by somatic mutations.

Introduction

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease affects around 25% of the worldwide population and is emerging as the fastest growing form of liver disease in developed countries [1,2]. It encompasses a spectrum of disease from simple hepatic steatosis, through non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) to cirrhosis, with the attendant risks of liver failure and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Given the number of patients at risk of development of NAFLD and subsequent health problems, there is an urgent need to stratify patients based upon long-term risks, so that even countries with well-resourced healthcare schemes can cope with the patient numbers predicted to develop end-stage liver disease over the next few decades.

At present, there are a number of clinically-deployed non-invasive tests, including elastography and serum biomarkers that can predict levels of hepatic fibrosis and long-term risk in NAFLD. Significant interest has been generated in the potential of germline genetic variation in the pathogenesis and prognostication of NAFLD[3]. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) near several genes, including *PNPLA3*[4], *HSD17B13*[5], and *TM6SF2*[6] have been shown to be associated with long-term risk of several disease-related outcomes in NAFLD[7], as well as in alcohol-related liver disease (ARLD)[8], through genome-wide association studies (GWAS). These variants are common, but associated with small effect sizes, when studied in isolation. This has suggested the potential for combining multiple genotypes into a polygenic risk score: the analysis of several SNPs in patients at baseline as a predictive tool for long-term outcomes in both NAFLD[9] and ARLD[8].

Similarly, other studies have focussed on rare pathogenic germline variants, such as in *APOB* the gene that encodes apolipoprotein B, that lead to NAFLD with high penetrance[10]. Although these cases can inform us about underlying disease pathogenesis, their low frequency suggests that

they are unlikely to be informative in the stratification of most patients within the general NAFLD population.

We have recently identified recurrent somatic mutations within the liver of patients with NAFLD and ARLD[11]. Recurrent non-synonymous mutations were seen in 6 coding genes: *ACVR2A*, *ALB*, *CIDEB*, *FOXO1*, *GPAM*, *TNRC6B*, plus the long non-coding (lnc)RNA *NEAT1*. These mutations were found in multiple hepatocyte clones throughout the liver, with evidence of convergent evolution: the identification of independent clones with mutations in the same genes, suggesting strong selection pressure to acquire these variants in the context of fatty liver disease. Amongst these genes, 3 are involved in lipid metabolism suggesting a potential functional role in disease pathogenesis: *CIDEB*, mediates fusion and cargo transfer of cytoplasmic lipid droplets[12]; *FOXO1*, the main transcription factor downstream of insulin[13], but also a major regulator of lipid metabolism[14] and; *GPAM*, encoding GPAT1, the enzyme catalyzing the initial step in triglyceride synthesis[15]. Through functional validation of the hot-spot mutations in *FOXO1*, we identified that this impacted upon the response to insulin, glycolysis and lipid metabolism. Although promising for improving the mechanistic understanding of disease pathogenesis, the prognostic or therapeutic role that these acquired mutations could play in NAFLD and ARLD remains unknown.

As there was strong selective pressure to acquire these mutations, we were interested to explore whether common or rare germline variation near these recurrently mutated genes might be associated with liver-related outcomes in NAFLD. We hypothesised that germline variation providing weaker modulation of disease phenotypes would exist and might improve the performance of polygenic risk scores being developed for prognostication in NAFLD and ARLD.

Amongst these genes, germline coding variants *GPAM* have been associated with serum ALT levels in an exome-wide association study of the UK Biobank cohort[16]. Further study found that these variants were also associated with hepatic fat content and histological markers of liver damage in independent NAFLD cohorts. Other studies using GWAS have replicated these findings in further cohorts[17–19], where rs10787429 in *GPAM* was associated with elevated ALT in both NAFLD and ARLD. Here we use data from multiple GWAS to investigate whether similar associations are observed for the other 5 recurrently mutated genes and one recurrently mutated locus.

Methods

Identification of genes enriched for somatic mutations in ARLD and NAFLD

As described in detail elsewhere[11], whole genome sequencing was performed on diseased, non-malignant hepatic tissue from patients with NAFLD and ARLD undergoing tumour resection or liver transplant. The dN/dScv method[20] was used to identify six coding genes with higher numbers of nonsynonymous mutations relative than expected.

Annotation of somatic mutants and genomic regions

After removal of duplicates, somatic mutations at *ACVR2A*, *ALB*, *CIDEB*, *FOXO1*, *GPAM*, and *TNRC6B*, plus one non-coding lncRNA *NEAT1*[11] were annotated with predicted consequence, impact on transcript, and prevalence within the 1000 Genomes dataset[21] (n=2,504), Exome Sequencing Project[22] (n=6,503), and gnomAD[23] (n=141,456) using the Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor[24]. For the variants that had previously been identified in any of the above population genomic sequencing datasets, we searched Phenoscanner[25] and the Common Metabolic Disease Portal[26] for any evidence of association with metabolic traits. No data was available on Phenoscanner for these ultra-rare variants. The Common Metabolic Disease Portal search yielded 96 variant-trait associations therefore, rather than apply genome-wide association significance cut-off, the critical p-value for significance for this analysis only was $p < 5.2 \times 10^{-4}$ (i.e. 0.05 / 96).

In addition, we annotated each of the six genes with their expected and observed number of predicted loss of function (pLoF) and missense mutations from gnomAD[23]. In brief, the ratio between observed and expected pLoF mutants is an indicator of each gene's tolerance to haploinsufficiency. Such that a gene with a low observed / expected ratio (defined as the upper

95% confidence interval <0.35) has fewer pLoF mutants than other genes, suggesting that humans are relatively intolerant of haploinsufficiency.

Association with markers of liver disease from genome-wide association studies

Summary statistics from genome-wide association studies (GWAS) were searched for all variants within the genomic regions for the seven regions of interest (**SupTable 1**). Regions included were (GRCh37): *ACVR2A*: chr2:148,602,086-148,688,393; *ALB*: chr4:74,262,831-74,287,129; *CIDEB*: chr14:24,774,302-24,780,636; *FOXO1*: chr13:41,129,804-41,240,734; *GPAM*: chr10:113,909,624-113,975,135; *NEAT1*: chr11:65,190,245-65,213,011; *TNRC6B*: chr22:40,440,821-40,731,812.

In addition, for comparison, we extracted summary statistics for four well-validated genome-wide significance risk variants for fatty liver disease: rs738409C>G in *PNPLA3*[4], rs58542926C>T in *TM6SF2*[6], rs2642438A>G in *MTARC1*[27], and rs72613567TA>T in *HSD17B13*[5]. However, for some studies data on rs72613567TA>T was not available therefore we used rs13125522A>G as a proxy, which is in strong linkage disequilibrium ($R^2=0.97$) with rs72613567[28].

Summary statistics were obtained from the Pan-UK BioBank analysis[29] for alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c), diagnosis of NAFLD (phecode-571.5), diagnosis of ARLD (phecode-317.11), liver fibrosis (icd10-K74), cirrhosis (phecode-571), and other liver diseases (phecode-571.5).

We also obtained summary statistics for diagnosis of NAFLD from Anstee et al.[30] and MRI liver fat from Liu et al.[31], which utilises UK BioBank data. These data were accessed through GWAS

Catalogue[32]. For replication of findings, we first obtained categorical data on liver-related diagnoses from the FinnGen study: NAFLD, ARLD, hepatocellular carcinoma and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, and cirrhosis. For replication of observations for ALT, we obtained data from BioBank Japan[33] and Pazoki et al.[34]. For replication of findings for HbA1c, we obtained summary statistics on HbA1c from the MAGIC consortium (trans-ancestry meta-analysis by Chen et al.[35]) and BioBank Japan, plus diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in East Asian individuals from Spracklen et al.[36]. The total number of unique participants included from these GWAS summary statistics was 1,628,945.

All variants from the above regions were extracted, and coordinates from FinnGen were carried over from GRCh38 to GRCh37 using the Ensembl Assembly Converter. Manhattan plots were produced for each trait, illustrating only variants within the regions of interest. Significance was defined as $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$. Within regions that had variants with significant association, we used FIVEx to look for expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL), which extracts data from the European Bioinformatic Institute eQTL Catalogue[37].

Gene-based phenome-wide association study for common variants

We sought to explore associations between germline variation in the coding genes and lncRNA of interest and metabolic traits using a phenome-wide association study approach. Phenoscanner[25] and the Common Metabolic Diseases Knowledge Portal[26] were searched for each of the six coding genes plus *NEAT1*. Rare variants (mean allele frequency (MAF) < 0.01) were excluded and results were filtered for traits relevant to ARLD and NAFLD. Significance was defined as $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$. Data on eQTLs was obtained using the Qtlizer package for R[38] for all significant associations.

We also searched for any metabolite-wide associations within the regions of interest using data from Lotta et al.[39] (<https://omicscience.org/apps/crossplatform/>); however, we did not identify any significant ($p < 4.9 \times 10^{-10}$, as defined by the authors) associations.

Association between rare coding variants and traits related to NAFLD or ARLD

We next investigated whether rare coding variants individually, or combined using gene-burden analyses, were associated with markers of liver disease or related metabolic traits. We used data from <https://genebass.org/> [40] and <https://azphewas.com/> [41], which derive data from the UK BioBank 300k Exomes. These analyses were not available for the lncRNA *NEAT1*. Extracted associations for: individual variants and gene-burden tests for predicted loss of function (pLoF), missense, and synonymous variants. Significance, as defined by the original studies, was $p < 2.5 \times 10^{-8}$ for GeneBass (using SKAT-O test) and $p < 2.0 \times 10^{-9}$ ($-\log_{10}(8.7)$) for AZPheWAS.

Analyses

Data were analysed using R 4.0.2[42] and the code used in analyses is available from <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4656979>.

Results

We have recently identified recurrent somatic mutations in non-malignant liver tissue from individuals with ARLD and NAFLD through laser capture microdissection and whole genome sequencing[11]. Through this approach we identified six coding genes (*ACVR2A*, *ALB*, *CIDEB*, *FOXO1*, *GPAM* and *TNRC6B*) and one lncRNA (*NEAT1*) significantly enriched for acquired somatic variants. We hypothesised that given the selective advantage these variants must endow, rare pathogenic variants of these regions, associated with features of liver disease, might be identified.

Specific somatic mutations in recurrently mutated genes are not found in the germline

We previously identified 129 unique variants across these six coding genes and one lncRNA (**Supplementary Table 2**), the majority of which were either missense (49/129, 38%) or non-coding exonic variants in *NEAT1* (49/129, 38%), and predicted to have a moderate or high impact upon protein structure (61/129, 47%, **Table 1**). These variants are extremely rare in the germline, with 118/129 (92%) having never been identified across 150,463 individuals from gnomAD, 1000G, or the Exome Sequencing Project. The most common of these eleven previously reported variants was rs368997599 G>A (p.Arg45Trp) in *CIDEB*, which was identified in 10 individuals from gnomAD in the heterozygous state, 7 of whom are of Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry. Even in this genetic ancestry p.Arg45Trp in *CIDEB* remained an ultra-rare mutation with allele frequency = 7.0×10^{-4} . There was no evidence of associations between any of the previously identified variants and metabolic traits in the Common Metabolic Disease Portal (**Supplementary Table 3**).

Whilst all of the 6 coding genes had the expected number of missense mutations, four of the six (*ACVR2A*, *ALB*, *FOXO1*, and *TNRC6B*) are under selection pressure to prevent against haploinsufficiency; in gnomAD there were significantly fewer predicted loss of function (pLoF) variants observed than expected (**Table 1**). This implies that there are a reduced number of germline variants in these genes that will cause pLoF, compared to other coding genes and potential haploinsufficiency in these genes is deleterious. The exception to this was *CIDEB*, where there was an expected number of missense and pLoF mutations, but no reports of these rare variants associated with liver phenotypes. Overall, the specific somatic mutations that we had previously identified are very rare in the germline, due to negative selection pressure and not known to be associated with the development of liver disease.

Among recurrently mutated genes only germline exonic variation in *GPAM* is associated with liver phenotypes

Given the rarity (or absence) of these specific 129 variants in the germline, we investigated whether other rare variants at these loci were associated with liver disease (or related metabolic traits). We used data from <https://genebass.org/> and <https://azphewas.com/> (n=281,852 from UK BioBank), which tests whether exonic germline variants either individually, or cumulatively using a gene-based burden method, demonstrated an association with phenotypes.

Analysis of individual coding variants found that p.Ile43Val in *GPAM* was associated with differences in serum lipids and the synonymous mutation 10-112157327-T-A (p.Pro681Pro) in *GPAM* was associated with ALT (**Table 2**). Exonic variants in other genes were associated with related metabolic traits, but not with liver disease phenotypes (**Table 2 & Supplementary Table 4**). Using gene-based burden testing, which adds together all variants within a single category (e.g. pLoF or missense), variants in *ALB* demonstrated associations with serum lipids, but no other markers of liver disease. Burden testing for pLoF variants in *TNRC6B* demonstrated a

significant association with alcohol consumption habits, but no other markers of alcohol-related disease (**Supplementary Table 4**). Therefore, amongst the 6 recurrently mutated genes only rare germline coding variants in *GPAM* have been identified to be associated with serum lipid levels, rather than liver phenotypes.

Germline variation at *GPAM*, but not other somatically mutated genes, is associated with liver phenotypes

We next explored whether more common germline variants might be associated with liver phenotypes in previously published datasets. We utilised the summary statistics from the UK BioBank cohort of 420,000 subjects[29] and searched for variants within these seven regions (**Figures 1-2, Table 3, & Supplementary Figure 1**). As previously described[16,17], we observed genome-wide significant variants within *GPAM*, associated with elevated serum levels of ALT (Table 2, e.g. rs10787429 C>T beta=.006, $p=2.8 \times 10^{-39}$), AST, and liver fat by MR imaging (e.g. rs11446981 T>TA beta=-.003, $p=3.6 \times 10^{-13}$). There were no significant associations between SNPs near any of the other recurrently mutated coding genes and disease correlates in NAFLD or ARLD.

We validated these associations across a European-ancestry GWAS meta-analysis ($n=753,010$)[34] and in the BioBank Japan cohort ($n=134,182$)[33]; **Figure 2, Supplementary Figure 1 & Supplementary Table 5**). The magnitude of effect size for the lead variants within *GPAM* was similar to that for well-established loci in *HSD17B13* and *MTARC1*, but smaller than observed for risk variants in *PNPLA3* and *TM6SF2* (**Supplementary Table 6**). For example, for change in hepatic fat[31]: 10-113950257-T-TA in *GPAM* beta = -.06, $p=3.60 \times 10^{-13}$; compared to rs738409C>G in *PNPLA3*: beta = 0.22, $p=1.5 \times 10^{-133}$; and rs58542926T>C in *TM6SF2* beta = 0.33, $p=2.5 \times 10^{-116}$. Therefore, the only somatically-mutated gene for which germline variation was associated with liver disease was *GPAM*.

Germline variation at the long non-coding RNA *NEAT1* is associated with liver phenotypes and glycaemic control

In the UK BioBank cohort we observed genome-wide significant variants within the lncRNA *NEAT1* for elevated serum ALT (**Figure 1**). This was replicated in the European ancestry ALT meta-analysis, though not in the BioBank Japan ALT results (**Figure 2**). The lead variant for *NEAT1* (rs595366 T>A) was also found to have an eQTL for *NEAT1* in both adipose and liver tissue.

However, no associations were identified between variants at *NEAT1* and categorical definitions of liver disease (e.g. diagnosis of NAFLD or ARLD, **Figures 1-2**) or severity of liver disease (e.g. cirrhosis). This was consistent across data from the UK BioBank and FinnGen (**Supplementary Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 5**) datasets.

Given the causal associations between insulin resistance and hepatic steatosis, we investigated whether variants were associated with HbA1c or a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Variants in *NEAT1* were associated with HbA1c levels in the UK BioBank (e.g. rs34743766 C>CA, $\beta=0.1$, $p=8.5 \times 10^{-9}$) and had an associated eQTL for *NEAT1* in adipose tissue, but this was not replicated for diagnosis of T2DM or other analyses of HbA1c (**Supplementary Figure 1**). These associations are interesting as expression levels of *NEAT1* have previously been associated with both the presence of diabetes[43] and the progression of diabetic complications[43,44].

Germline variation at recurrently mutated genes is associated with metabolic phenotypes

We then explored whether common variants in or near these regions were associated with other related metabolic traits that affect patients with NAFLD, using a gene- (or region-) based

phenome-WAS from two sources (Phenoscaner[25] and Common Metabolic Disease Portal[26], n=7,637-1,546,260, **Supplementary Table 7**) . In addition to its association with ALT, variants in or near *GPAM* influenced the concentration of many serum lipids (**Table 4**), including LDL cholesterol and triglyceride levels. We also identified variants near *NEAT1* that were associated with a diagnosis of T2DM, waist-to-hip ratio and had a significant eQTL for *NEAT1* in adipose tissue. In addition, variants in or near *TNRC6B* were associated with BMI and creatinine, as were those in *ACVR2A*. Therefore, germline variation in these genes may impact on related phenotypes that accompany the metabolic syndrome.

Discussion

Investigating the genetics of fatty liver disease has the potential to inform our understanding of disease biology and facilitate clinical risk stratification for affected patients[3]. We recently identified six protein-coding genes and one lncRNA (*NEAT1*) that are enriched for somatic mutations in patients with NAFLD or ARLD[11]. In this study we find that germline variation in only one, *GPAM*, was robustly associated with markers of liver disease. Therefore, genetic risk scores capturing only germline variation will not include the pathogenicity conveyed by these regions and additional strategies may be needed to understand the prognostic implications of these somatic mutations.

For five (*ACVR2A*, *ALB*, *CIDEB*, *FOXO1*, *TNRC6B*) of the six protein-coding genes under investigation in this study, we found no evidence for association between germline variation and liver disease. These observations were consistent across multiple data sources, traits, genetic ancestries, and analysis methodologies, for example both common variant analyses and rare-variant gene burden testing. This was an unexpected observation, given the genetic evidence for their selective advantage in hepatocyte clones in diseased non-malignant NAFLD and ARLD[11]. Moreover, *CIDEB* and *FOXO1* have well-established functions as a lipid droplet-associated protein[12] and a component of the insulin signalling cascade[14], respectively. Conversely, our previous study did not identify acquired somatic mutations in genes with strong germline associations with liver disease (e.g. *PNPLA3*, *TM6SF2*). Collectively, this suggests that the influence of germline and acquired variants on parenchymal liver disease occurs through independent mechanisms (and genes).

It should be noted that the methodology employed in this study does not exclude the possibility that individuals with rare loss of function mutations in these genes may have liver-related phenotypes. Identification and studying human knock-outs for these genes is an alternative

strategy for investigating whether germline variation plays a role in liver disease, as has been illustrated for other conditions[45,46]. However, our data suggest that these individuals will be very rare.

Our analysis has replicated the known associations between variants in or near *GPAM* and liver fat, as well as ALT and AST. Whilst we did not show these variants to influence diagnosis of NAFLD, this has been demonstrated by others[18] with larger sample sizes, variants in *GPAM* (particularly p.Ile43Val) are associated with radiological and histological diagnosis of NAFLD[16–19]. However, it is important to note that variants in *GPAM* have a comparatively small effect size compared to variants in *PNPLA3* and *TM6SF2*, but similar to those in *HSD17B13* and *MTARC1*.

We identified borderline associations between variants in *NEAT1*, a long non-coding RNA (lncRNA), with ALT and HbA1c. Our more broad analyses implicated *NEAT1* in influencing multiple metabolic traits (e.g. serum triglycerides, diagnosis of T2DM, and coronary artery disease), that are of potential relevance to patients with NAFLD. These results point towards a primary role on insulin resistance, potentially through modulation of adipose tissue biology, as several variants in this lncRNA also had significant eQTLs in adipose tissue. The biology of *NEAT1* is poorly understood, but there is some *in vitro* evidence for its role in adipogenesis[47]. We suggest that the subtle effects of germline variants in *NEAT1* on ALT are likely indirect, via perturbation of insulin resistance and/or development of T2DM[48], however further work is required to establish this. This data also underlines the principle that the genomic regions enriched for somatic mutations in our original analysis are principally those involved in metabolism.

Clinically, one aim of human genetics is to stratify patients into high- and low- risk groups for disease progression using polygenic gene scores. Such an approach can identify individuals with

a five-fold increased risk of coronary artery disease[49]. This would be of particular use for NAFLD and ARLD, both common conditions where only a minority of individuals progress to liver-related clinical events. To date there have been four PGS published for liver disease[8,9,50,51], all derived using genome-wide significant hits, and therefore none of our seven genomic regions of interest were included. If a genome-wide PGS were derived[52], which included weighting from sub-genome wide-significant variants, then variants in or near *GPAM* would contribute. However, they would still receive comparatively minimal weighting compared to variants in *PNPLA3* and *TM6SF2*. More broadly, it is not clear how the magnitude of prognostic implication would compare for germline variation risk scores compared to somatic mutations, as the prognostic implication of these remains unknown. Our results illustrate that integration of somatic mutants into prognostic tools will be a complex process and separate from existing methods for polygenic gene scores.

To understand the role that somatically mutated genes could play in germline PGS there is a need for more independent cohorts with liver-directed exomic or targeted sequencing. This will facilitate PGS derivation and validation against hard clinical outcomes (e.g. liver transplantation) to formally test whether variants in or near *ACVR2A*, *ALB*, *CIDEB*, *FOXO1*, and *TCNR6B* are prognostic.

Conclusion

Out of seven genomic regions with strong evidence for playing a role in NAFLD and ARLD through somatic mutations, only germline variation in *GPAM* is associated with liver disease. Other genes analysed, including the lncRNA *NEAT1*, have a role in metabolic pathways. Therefore, genes with pathogenic somatic mutations in NAFLD and ARLD are distinct to those that confer germline risk and would not be captured by polygenic risk scores. Novel approaches will be required to integrate somatic and germline variation with clinical variables for risk prediction algorithms.

Acknowledgements

We want to acknowledge the participants and investigators of FinnGen, UK BioBank, BioBank Japan, EPoS, Million Veterans, and MAGIC Consortium studies.

References

- [1] Huang DQ, El-Serag HB, Loomba R. Global epidemiology of NAFLD-related HCC: trends, predictions, risk factors and prevention. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2021;18:223–38.
- [2] Paik JM, Golabi P, Younossi Y, Mishra A, Younossi ZM. Changes in the Global Burden of Chronic Liver Diseases From 2012 to 2017: The Growing Impact of NAFLD. *Hepatology* 2020;72:1605–16.
- [3] Eslam M, George J. Genetic contributions to NAFLD: leveraging shared genetics to uncover systems biology. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2020;17:40–52.
- [4] Romeo S, Kozlitina J, Xing C, Pertsemlidis A, Cox D, Pennacchio L a., et al. Genetic variation in PNPLA3 confers susceptibility to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Nat Genet* 2008;40:1461–5.
- [5] Abul-Husn NS, Cheng X, Li AH, Xin Y, Schurmann C, Stevis P, et al. A Protein-Truncating *HSD17B13* Variant and Protection from Chronic Liver Disease. *N Engl J Med* 2018;378:1096–106.
- [6] Kozlitina J, Smagris E, Stender S, Nordestgaard BG, Heather H, Tybjærg-hansen A, et al. Exome-wide association study identifies TM6SF2 variant that confers susceptibility to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Nat Genet* 2014;46:352–6.
- [7] Grimaudo S, Pipitone RM, Pennisi G, Celsa C, Cammà C, Di Marco V, et al. Association Between PNPLA3 rs738409 C>G Variant and Liver-Related Outcomes in Patients With Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2020;18:935–44.e3.
- [8] Innes H, Buch S, Hutchinson S, Guha IN, Morling JR, Barnes E, et al. Genome-wide Association Study for Alcohol-related Cirrhosis Identifies Risk Loci in *MARC1* and *HNRNPUL1*. *Gastroenterology* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2020.06.014>.
- [9] Bianco C, Jamialahmadi O, Pelusi S, Baselli G, Dongiovanni P, Zannoni I, et al. Non-invasive stratification of hepatocellular carcinoma risk in non-alcoholic fatty liver using polygenic risk scores. *J Hepatol* 2021;74:775–82.
- [10] Pelusi S, Baselli G, Pietrelli A, Dongiovanni P, Donati B, McCain MV, et al. Rare Pathogenic Variants Predispose to Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Sci Rep* 2019;9:3682.
- [11] Ng SWK, Rouhani FJ, Brunner SF, Brzozowska N, Aitken SJ, Yang M, et al. Convergent somatic mutations in metabolism genes in chronic liver disease. *Nature* 2021:1–6.
- [12] Ye J, Li JZ, Liu Y, Li X, Yang T, Ma X, et al. Cideb, an ER- and lipid droplet-associated protein, mediates VLDL lipidation and maturation by interacting with apolipoprotein B. *Cell Metab* 2009;9:177–90.
- [13] Puigserver P, Rhee J, Donovan J, Walkey CJ, Yoon JC, Oriente F, et al. Insulin-regulated hepatic gluconeogenesis through FOXO1–PGC-1 α interaction. *Nature* 2003;423:550–5.
- [14] Zhang W, Patil S, Chauhan B, Guo S, Powell DR, Le J, et al. FoxO1 regulates multiple metabolic pathways in the liver: effects on gluconeogenic, glycolytic, and lipogenic gene expression. *J Biol Chem* 2006;281:10105–17.
- [15] Gonzalez-Baró MR, Lewin TM, Coleman RA. Regulation of Triglyceride Metabolism. II. Function of mitochondrial GPAT1 in the regulation of triacylglycerol biosynthesis and insulin action. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2007;292:G1195–9.
- [16] Jamialahmadi O, Mancina RM, Ciociola E, Tavaglione F, Luukkonen PK, Baselli G, et al. Exome-Wide Association Study on Alanine Aminotransferase Identifies Sequence Variants in the GPAM and APOE Associated With Fatty Liver Disease. *Gastroenterology* 2021;160:1634–46.e7.
- [17] Hakim A, Moll M, Brancale J, Liu J, Lasky-Su JA, Silverman EK, et al. Genetic variation in the mitochondrial glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase is associated with liver injury.

- Hepatology 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.32038>.
- [18] Vujkovic M, Ramdas S, Lorenz KM, Guo X, Darlay R, Cordell HJ, et al. A trans-ancestry genome-wide association study of unexplained chronic ALT elevation as a proxy for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease with histological and radiological validation. *bioRxiv* 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.26.20248491>.
- [19] Haas ME, Pirruccello JP, Friedman SN, Emdin CA, Ajmera VH, Simon TG, et al. Machine learning enables new insights into clinical significance of and genetic contributions to liver fat accumulation. *medRxiv* 2020:2020.09.03.20187195.
- [20] Martincorena I, Raine KM, Gerstung M, Dawson KJ, Haase K, Van Loo P, et al. Universal Patterns of Selection in Cancer and Somatic Tissues. *Cell* 2018;173:1823.
- [21] The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium. A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* 2015;526:68–74.
- [22] Project NES, Others. Exome variant server 2015.
- [23] Karczewski KJ, Francioli LC, Tiao G, Cummings BB, Alföldi J, Wang Q, et al. The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. *Nature* 2020;581:434–43.
- [24] McLaren W, Gil L, Hunt SE, Riat HS, Ritchie GRS, Thormann A, et al. The Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor. *Genome Biol* 2016;17:122.
- [25] Kamat MA, Blackshaw JA, Young R, Surendran P, Burgess S, Danesh J, et al. PhenoScanner V2: an expanded tool for searching human genotype-phenotype associations. *Bioinformatics* 2019;35:4851–3.
- [26] <https://hugeamp.org/>. Common Metabolic Diseases Knowledge Portal. Common Metabolic Diseases Knowledge Portal n.d.
- [27] Emdin CA, Haas ME, Khera AV, Aragam K, Chaffin M, Klarin D, et al. A missense variant in Mitochondrial Amidoxime Reducing Component 1 gene and protection against liver disease. *PLoS Genet* 2020;16:e1008629.
- [28] Machiela MJ, Chanock SJ. LDlink: a web-based application for exploring population-specific haplotype structure and linking correlated alleles of possible functional variants. *Bioinformatics* 2015;31:3555–7.
- [29] Pan-UKB team. Pan-UK BioBank. <https://pan.ukbb.broadinstitute.org> n.d.
- [30] Anstee QM, Darlay R, Cockell S, Meroni M, Govaere O, Tiniakos D, et al. Genome-wide association study of non-alcoholic fatty liver and steatohepatitis in a histologically-characterised cohort. *J Hepatol* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2020.04.003>.
- [31] Liu Y, Bastly N, Witcher B, Bell JD, Sorokin EP, van Bruggen N, et al. Genetic architecture of 11 organ traits derived from abdominal MRI using deep learning. *Elife* 2021;10. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.65554>.
- [32] Buniello A, MacArthur JAL, Cerezo M, Harris LW, Hayhurst J, Malangone C, et al. The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog of published genome-wide association studies, targeted arrays and summary statistics 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2019;47:D1005–12.
- [33] Nagai A, Hirata M, Kamatani Y, Muto K, Matsuda K, Kiyohara Y, et al. Overview of the BioBank Japan Project: Study design and profile. *J Epidemiol* 2017;27:S2–8.
- [34] Pazoki R, Vujkovic M, Elliott J, Evangelou E, Gill D, Ghanbari M, et al. Genetic analysis in European ancestry individuals identifies 517 loci associated with liver enzymes. *Nat Commun* 2021;12:2579.
- [35] Chen J, Spracklen CN, Marenne G, Varshney A, Corbin LJ, Luan J 'an, et al. The trans-ancestral genomic architecture of glycemic traits. *Nat Genet* 2021;53:840–60.
- [36] Spracklen CN, Horikoshi M, Kim YJ, Lin K, Bragg F, Moon S, et al. Identification of type 2 diabetes loci in 433,540 East Asian individuals. *Nature* 2020;582:240–5.
- [37] Kwong A, Boughton AP, Wang M, VandeHaar P, Boehnke M, Abecasis G, et al. FIVEx: an interactive multi-tissue eQTL browser. *bioRxiv* 2021:2021.01.22.426874. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.22.426874>.

- [38] Munz M, Wohlers I, Simon E, Reinberger T, Busch H, Schaefer AS, et al. Qtlizer: comprehensive QTL annotation of GWAS results. *Sci Rep* 2020;10:20417.
- [39] Lotta LA, Pietzner M, Stewart ID, Wittemans LBL, Li C, Bonelli R, et al. A cross-platform approach identifies genetic regulators of human metabolism and health. *Nat Genet* 2021;53:54–64.
- [40] Karczewski KJ, Solomonson M, Chao KR, Goodrich JK, Tiao G, Lu W, et al. Systematic single-variant and gene-based association testing of 3,700 phenotypes in 281,850 UK Biobank exomes. *bioRxiv* 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.19.21259117>.
- [41] Wang Q, Dhindsa RS, Carss K, Harper AR, Nag A, Tachmazidou I, et al. Rare variant contribution to human disease in 281,104 UK Biobank exomes. *Nature* 2021;597:527–32.
- [42] R Core Team. A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing 2019.
- [43] Alfaifi M, Ali Beg MM, Alshahrani MY, Ahmad I, Alkhathami AG, Joshi PC, et al. Circulating long non-coding RNAs NKILA, NEAT1, MALAT1, and MIAT expression and their association in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care* 2021;9. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjdr-2020-001821>.
- [44] Huang S, Xu Y, Ge X, Xu B, Peng W, Jiang X, et al. Long noncoding RNA NEAT1 accelerates the proliferation and fibrosis in diabetic nephropathy through activating Akt/mTOR signaling pathway. *J Cell Physiol* 2019;234:11200–7.
- [45] Finer S, Martin HC, Khan A, Hunt KA, MacLaughlin B, Ahmed Z, et al. Cohort Profile: East London Genes & Health (ELGH), a community-based population genomics and health study in British Bangladeshi and British Pakistani people. *Int J Epidemiol* 2020;49:20–1i.
- [46] Minikel EV, Karczewski KJ, Martin HC, Cummings BB, Whiffin N, Rhodes D, et al. Evaluating drug targets through human loss-of-function genetic variation. *Nature* 2020;581:459–64.
- [47] Gernapudi R, Wolfson B, Zhang Y, Yao Y, Yang P, Asahara H, et al. MicroRNA 140 Promotes Expression of Long Noncoding RNA NEAT1 in Adipogenesis. *Mol Cell Biol* 2016;36:30–8.
- [48] Loomba R, Friedman SL, Shulman GI. Mechanisms and disease consequences of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Cell* 2021;184:2537–64.
- [49] Khera AV, Chaffin M, Aragam KG, Haas ME, Roselli C, Choi SH, et al. Genome-wide polygenic scores for common diseases identify individuals with risk equivalent to monogenic mutations. *Nat Genet* 2018;50:1219–24.
- [50] Emdin CA, Haas M, Ajmera V, Simon TG, Homburger J, Neben C, et al. Association of Genetic Variation With Cirrhosis: A Multi-Trait Genome-Wide Association and Gene-Environment Interaction Study. *Gastroenterology* 2021;160:1620–33.e13.
- [51] Namjou B, Lingren T, Huang Y, Parameswaran S, Cobb BL, Stanaway IB, et al. GWAS and enrichment analyses of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease identify new trait-associated genes and pathways across eMERGE Network. *BMC Med* 2019;17:135.
- [52] Evans DM, Visscher PM, Wray NR. Harnessing the information contained within genome-wide association studies to improve individual prediction of complex disease risk. *Hum Mol Genet* 2009;18:3525–31.

Figure and table legends

Table 1: Characteristics of variants identified as somatic mutants in non-malignant NAFLD and ARLD liver tissue. Features of 129 unique somatic mutants across six protein-coding genes and one lncRNA (*NEAT1*). Variants were annotated using Variant Effect Predictor to derive a predicted consequence and severity of impact. Germline frequency of variants was assessed across any of gnomAD, 1000G, and ESP (n=150,463). Data on predicted loss of function (pLoF), observed and expected (O/E) and missense variants was obtained from gnomAD v2.

Table 2: Top associations from rare variant and gene-burden analyses. Summary statistics were obtained from analyses of individual rare variants (using UK BioBank 300k Exomes) or gene-burden testing for pLoF or missense variants.

Table 3: Genome-wide significant associations of common variants within regions of interest with eQTLs. Lead variants from the six protein-coding genes and one lncRNA (*NEAT1*) of interest associated with markers of liver disease or glycaemic control. GWAS summary statistics were obtained from the UK BioBank or Pazoki et al. (2021). Expression quantitative trait locus (eQTL) data were obtained from FIVEx.

Table 4: Associations between common variants in or near regions of interest and related metabolic traits. Gene-based PheWAS performed using Phenoscanner and Common Metabolic Disease Portal to identify common variants significantly associated with the six protein-coding genes and one lncRNA (*NEAT1*) of interest. eQTL data were obtained using the Qtlizer package for R.

SupTable 1: Summary of data sources for genome-wide association study summary statistics used, including number of participants.

SupTable 2: List of all included somatic variants with full annotation from Variant Effect Predictor.

SupTable 3: Associations between somatic variants previously identified in the germline and metabolic traits from the Common Metabolic Disease Portal. Critical p-value for significance $p < 5.2 \times 10^{-4}$.

SupTable 4: Full results from rare exonic variant and gene-burden analyses.

SupTable 5: Full results from single common variant analysis for markers of liver disease from all studies (discovery and replication) across all regions of interest.

SupTable 6: Comparison of lead variants within GPAM region with well-established genome-wide significant variants across all studied traits.

SupTable 7: Full results from gene-based PheWAS for common variants for associated metabolic traits from Phenoscanner and Common Metabolic Disease Knowledge Portal.

Figure 1: Association between common variants at recurrently mutated regions and markers of liver disease or glycaemic control. Manhattan plots focusing on the six protein-coding genes and one lncRNA (*NEAT1*) of interest, illustrating all variants within their genomic coordinates. $-\log_{10}$ p-value was obtained from summary statistics from the UK BioBank for

alanine aminotransferase (ALT), liver fat (from Liu et al., 2021), glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c), and alcohol-related liver disease (ARLD). Significance was defined as $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$.

Figure 2: Association between common variants at recurrently mutated regions and markers of liver disease or glycaemic control. Manhattan plots focusing on the six protein-coding genes and one lncRNA (*NEAT1*) of interest, illustrating all variants within their genomic coordinates. $-\log_{10}$ p-value was obtained from summary statistics from the UK BioBank for aspartate aminotransferase (AST), hepatic fibrosis, and cirrhosis. Data on diagnosis of NAFLD was obtained from Anstee et al. (2021).

SupFig1: Replication analyses for association between common variants and markers of liver disease or glycaemic control. Manhattan plot focusing on the six protein-coding genes and one lncRNA (*NEAT1*) of interest, illustrating all variants within their genomic coordinates. $-\log_{10}$ p-value was obtained from summary statistics from Paroki et al. (2021) and BioBank Japan for ALT, Spracklen et al. (2020) for diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), and from FinnGen for diagnosis of alcohol-related liver disease and cirrhosis.

	<i>ACVR2A</i>	<i>ALB</i>	<i>CIDEB</i>	<i>FOXO1</i>	<i>GPAM</i>	<i>NEAT1</i>	<i>TNRC6B</i>	Overall
Variant consequence								
Stop gained	2	2	2	0	1	0	3	10 (7.8%)
Stop lost	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1 (0.8%)
Missense variant	12	4	17	3	11	0	2	49 (38%)
Missense variant (in splice region)	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	4 (3.1%)
Synonymous variant	0	5	1	0	0	0	1	7 (5.4%)
Non-coding variant (within lncRNA)	0	0	0	0	0	49	0	49 (38%)
Variant upstream of lncRNA	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1 (0.8%)
Variant downstream of lncRNA	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	8 (6.2%)
Impact on protein structure								
HIGH	2	2	2	0	2	0	3	11 (8.5%)
LOW	0	5	3	0	1	0	1	10 (7.8%)
MODERATE	12	5	17	3	10	0	3	50 (38.8%)
MODIFIER	0	0	0	0	0	58	0	58 (45%)
Allele frequency in germline								
≤.1%	1	1	5	0	3	0	1	11 (8.5%)
Nil	13	11	17	3	10	58	6	118 (91.5%)
pLoF in gnomAD								
Observed / expected variants, n	1 / 28	7 / 34	18 / 12	0 / 17	23 / 48		9 / 91	
Observed / expected ratio (95% CI)	0.04 (0.01-0.17)	0.21 (0.12-0.39)	1.51 (1.02-1.92)	0 (0-0.17)	0.48 (0.34-0.68)		0.1 (0.06-0.17)	
Missense in gnomAD								
Observed / expected variants, n	123 / 277	288 / 321	123 / 127	221 / 305	359 / 440		733 / 987	
Observed / expected ratio (95% CI)	0.44 (0.38-0.52)	0.9 (0.81-0.99)	0.97 (0.84-1.13)	0.72 (0.65-0.81)	0.82 (0.72-0.89)		0.74 (0.7-0.79)	

Table 1: Characteristics of variants identified as somatic mutants in non-malignant liver tissue. Features of 129 unique somatic mutants across six protein-coding genes and one lncRNA (*NEAT1*). Variants were annotated using Variant Effect Predictor to derive a predicted consequence and severity of impact. Germline frequency of variants was assessed across any of gnomAD, 1000G, and ESP (n=150,463). Data on observed and expected (O/E) predicted loss of function (pLoF) and missense variants was obtained from gnomAD v2.

Variant-level analyses									
Gene	Phenotype	Variant	AA change	Allele frequency	Model	Beta	P value	Total	source
<i>ACVR2A</i>	Creatinine	2-147899548-G-A	p.Pro118Pro	0.30	genotypic	0.03	6.84E-25	254,544	AZ
<i>GPAM</i>	Alanine aminotransferase	10-112157327-T-A	p.Pro681Pro	0.28	genotypic	0.03	9.11E-19	255,248	AZ
<i>GPAM</i>	Alkaline phosphatase	10-112157327-T-A	p.Pro681Pro	0.28	dominant	0.04	2.61E-24	255,341	AZ
<i>GPAM</i>	Apolipoprotein A	10-112180571-T-C	p.Ile43Val	0.27	genotypic	0.04	1.16E-42	231,005	AZ
<i>GPAM</i>	Cholesterol	10-112180571-T-C	p.Ile43Val	0.27	genotypic	0.03	2.12E-17	253,523	AZ
<i>GPAM</i>	Direct bilirubin	10-112157327-T-A	p.Pro681Pro	0.28	genotypic	0.02	1.20E-08	217,133	AZ
<i>GPAM</i>	HDL cholesterol	10-112180571-T-C	p.Ile43Val	0.27	genotypic	0.04	3.95E-43	232,376	AZ
<i>GPAM</i>	Hip circumference	10-112180571-T-C	p.Ile43Val	0.27	genotypic	-0.02	1.59E-08	265,294	AZ
<i>GPAM</i>	LDL direct	10-112180571-T-C	p.Ile43Val	0.27	genotypic	0.02	1.03E-09	253,059	AZ
<i>GPAM</i>	Leg fat mass (right)	10-112180571-T-C	p.Ile43Val	0.27	genotypic	-0.01	3.47E-08	261,190	AZ
<i>GPAM</i>	Total bilirubin	10-112157327-T-A	p.Pro681Pro	0.28	genotypic	0.02	1.34E-12	254,316	AZ
<i>GPAM</i>	Triglycerides	10-112180571-T-C	p.Ile43Val	0.27	genotypic	-0.02	2.07E-13	253,317	AZ
<i>TNRC6B</i>	Body mass index (BMI)	22-40301373-A-G	-	0.34	genotypic	-0.02	2.19E-10	267,287	AZ
<i>TNRC6B</i>	Creatinine	22-40301172-TGCA-T	p.Gln524del	0.30	genotypic	0.02	2.58E-09	255,188	AZ
<i>TNRC6B</i>	Impedance of whole body	22-40301373-A-G	-	0.34	genotypic	0.02	1.93E-16	263,528	AZ
<i>TNRC6B</i>	Total bilirubin	22-40301172-TGCA-T	p.Gln524del	0.30	genotypic	-0.02	3.87E-08	254,308	AZ
Gene burden analyses									
Gene	Phenotype				Burden test	Beta	pval	Total	Source
<i>ALB</i>	Apolipoprotein B				ptv	0.75	7.29E-13	255,235	AZ
<i>ALB</i>	Cholesterol				pLoF	0.03	3.45E-10	268,558	Genebass
<i>ALB</i>	Direct bilirubin				mis	0.003	1.86E-08	228,253	Genebass
<i>ALB</i>	LDL direct				ptv	0.56	5.65E-08	256,046	AZ
<i>ALB</i>	Total bilirubin				mis	0.003	1.60E-11	267,473	Genebass

<i>TNRC6B</i>	Frequency of failure to fulfil normal expectations due to drinking alcohol in last year	pLoF	0.03	1.67E-24	51,479	Genebase
---------------	---	------	------	----------	--------	----------

Table 2: Top associations from rare variant and gene-burden analyses. Summary statistics were obtained from analyses of individual rare variants (using UK BioBank 300k Exomes) or gene-burden testing for pLoF or missense variants. AA, amino acid; AZ, AstraZeneca PheWAS; mis, missense variants; pLoF, predicted loss of function; ptv, protein truncating variant; snv, single nucleotide variant.

Variant	Gene	Trait	Beta	P value	EAF	Source	n	eQTL gene (tissue)	eQTL beta (p-value)	eQTL_study
rs10787429 C > T	<i>GPAM</i>	ALT	0.006	2.80E-39	0.27	European GWAS meta-analysis	753,010	Nil		
rs7096937 T > C	<i>GPAM</i>	AST	-0.02	2.77E-09	0.73	UK BioBank	419,034	Nil		
rs11446981 T > TA	<i>GPAM</i>	Liver fat	-0.06	3.60E-13	0.70	UK BioBank	32,858	Nil		
rs595366 T > A	<i>NEAT1</i>	ALT	-0.003	1.90E-11	0.27	European GWAS meta-analysis	753,010	NEAT1 (adipose)	-0.4 (p=6.5x10 ⁻¹⁷)	FUSION
rs34743766 C > CA	<i>NEAT1</i>	HbA1c	0.01	8.49E-09	0.17	UK BioBank	419,446	NEAT1 (adipose)	0.27 (p=9.3x10 ⁻¹⁷)	TwinsUK


Table 3: Genome-wide significant associations of common variants within regions of interest with eQTLs. Lead variants from the six protein-coding genes and one lncRNA (*NEAT1*) of interest associated with markers of liver disease or glycaemic control. GWAS summary statistics were obtained from the UK BioBank or Pazoki et al. (2021). Expression quantitative trait locus (eQTL) data were obtained from FIVEx. EAF, effect allele frequency.

Gene	Variant	Trait	Beta (SE)	P value	n	eQTL
ACVR2A	rs13008838 A>G	eGFR-creat (serum creatinine)	-0.003 (0.0004)	4.97E-15	754,661	ACVR2A: Adipose - Subcutaneous (+), Muscle skeletal (+), Artery - Tibial (+), Artery - Aorta (+), Pancreas (+), Artery - Coronary (+), Adipose - Visceral (Omentum) (+)
ACVR2A	rs3764955 G>C	Serum creatinine	0.020 (0.003)	2.01E-08	182,901	ACVR2A: Artery - Coronary (+), Adipose - Visceral (Omentum) (+), Adipose - Subcutaneous (+), Pancreas (+), Artery - Aorta (+), Artery - Tibial (+), Muscle skeletal (+)
CIDEB	rs12590407 G>A	Diastolic blood pressure	-0.010 (0.002)	9.11E-12	552,754	
FOXO1	rs2253001 A>T	Basal metabolic rate	0.010 (0.002)	1.03E-08	331,307	
GPAM	rs10787429 T>C	Alanine transaminase	-0.030 (0.005)	3.44E-09	141,341	GPAM: Artery - Tibial (-)
GPAM	rs7898213 T>C	Alkaline phosphatase	-0.030 (0.004)	5.00E-11	112,189	
GPAM	rs2792759 C>T	Bilirubin	-0.010 (0.001)	4.10E-09	467,109	GPAM: Artery - Tibial (-)
GPAM	rs2297991 T>C	HDL cholesterol	-0.030 (0.003)	5.21E-20	191,159	GPAM: Artery - Tibial (-)
GPAM	rs1129555 A>G	LDL cholesterol	0.030 (0.004)	1.00E-15	-	GPAM: Artery - Tibial (-)
GPAM	rs1129555 A>G	Total cholesterol	0.030 (0.004)	2.00E-18	-	GPAM: Artery - Tibial (-)
GPAM	rs2254537 T>A	Triglycerides	0.020 (0.002)	8.23E-17	482,392	GPAM: Artery - Tibial (-)
NEAT1	rs10896037 A>G	Chronic kidney disease	0.060 (0.009)	5.98E-10	140,966	NEAT1: Adipose - Visceral (Omentum) (-), Muscle skeletal (-), Adipose - Subcutaneous (-), Artery - Aorta (-)
NEAT1	rs12801636 G>A	Coronary artery disease	-0.040 (0.004)	6.74E-17	1,546,260	
NEAT1	rs2306363 G>T	Diastolic blood pressure	-0.030 (0.002)	1.39E-28	552,754	
NEAT1	rs4930319 G>C	eGFR-creat (serum creatinine)	0.003 (0.0004)	8.69E-27	757,454	NEAT1: Adipose - Subcutaneous (-), Adipose - Visceral (Omentum) (-), Artery - Aorta (-), Muscle skeletal (-)
NEAT1	rs2236682 G>T	Serum creatinine	0.020 (0.004)	2.66E-10	182,901	NEAT1: Muscle skeletal (-), Artery - Aorta (-), Adipose - Visceral (Omentum) (-), Adipose - Subcutaneous (-)
NEAT1	rs2306363 G>T	Systolic blood pressure	-0.020 (0.002)	3.90E-20	550,853	
NEAT1	rs10750766 C>A	Triglycerides	0.020 (0.002)	9.96E-15	459,761	
NEAT1	rs947791 G>A	Type 2 diabetes	0.050 (0.004)	2.71E-16	1,016,100	NEAT1: Artery - Aorta (+), Pancreas (+), Muscle skeletal (+), Artery - Tibial (+), Adipose - Visceral (Omentum) (+), Adipose - Subcutaneous (+)
NEAT1	rs11227217 C>T	Waist-hip ratio	0.020 (0.002)	2.60E-15	997,776	NEAT1: Adipose - Visceral (Omentum) (+), Muscle skeletal (+), Adipose - Subcutaneous (+), Artery - Aorta (+), Artery - Tibial (+), Pancreas (+)
NEAT1	rs4645917 G>A	Waist-hip ratio adj BMI	-0.030 (0.002)	5.05E-18	969,126	NEAT1: Muscle skeletal (-), Artery - Tibial (-)
TNCR6B	rs4820410 A>G	BMI	-0.020 (0.001)	1.72E-26	1,326,100	TNCR6B: Artery - Tibial (+)


<i>TNCR6B</i>	rs2294352 G>A	eGFR-creat (serum creatinine)	0.010 (0.001)	2.90E-32	772,751	
<i>TNCR6B</i>	rs470113 A>G	Pulse pressure	0.020 (0.003)	4.33E-08	317,539	TNRC6B: Artery - Aorta (+), Artery - Tibial (+), Artery - Aorta (+), Artery - Tibial (+)
<i>TNCR6B</i>	rs2294352 G>A	Serum creatinine	-0.030 (0.004)	1.06E-15	191,380	
<i>TNRC6B</i>	rs5995840 T>C	Body mass index	0.030 (0.004)	1.02E-11	173,430	TNRC6B: Artery - Aorta (+), Artery - Tibial (+), Atherosclerotic aortic root NA

Table 4: Associations between common variants in or near regions of interest and related metabolic traits. Gene-based PheWAS performed using Phenoscanner and Common Metabolic Disease Portal to identify common variants significantly associated with the six protein-coding genes and one lncRNA (*NEATI*) of interest. eQTL column provides the gene that have significant eQTLs for that variant, the tissues, and the direction (+, positive eQTL; -, negative eQTL). eQTL data were obtained using the Qtlizer package for R.


ALT (UK BioBank: n=420,449)




Hepatic fat (UK BioBank: n=32,858)




HbA1c (UK BioBank: n=419,446)




Alcohol-related liver disease diagnostic code (UK BioBank: n=393,099)




ALT (European GWAS meta-analysis: n=753,010)




ALT (BioBank Japan: n=134,182)




AST (UK BioBank: n=419,034)




NAFLD diagnosis (EPoS: n=19,264)




Alcohol-related liver disease diagnostic code (FinnGen: n=215,008)




HbA1c (MAGIC: n=204,339)




HbA1c (BioBank Japan: n=42,790)



Type 2 diabetes diagnosis (East Asian GWAS meta-analysis: n=433,540)



Cirrhosis diagnostic code (UK BioBank: n=427,488)



Cirrhosis diagnostic code (FinnGen: n=218,792)

