1	Exoskeleton-assisted walking improves pulmonary and exercise performances
2	more than conventional exercise program in individuals with spinal cord injury:
3	a randomised controlled study
4	Running head: Exoskeleton-assisted walking in SCI
5	
6	Authors:
7	Xiao-Na Xiang, ^{1,2,3,†} (0000-0001-8659-1080) Li-Ming Zhang, ^{1,†} Hui-Yan Zong, ¹ Yi
8	Ou, ¹ Xi Yu, ^{1,2} Yan Liu, ^{1,2} Hong-Ying Jiang, ¹ Hong Cheng, ⁴ Hong-Chen He, ^{1,2,3,*} (0000-
9	0002-3016-022X) and Cheng-Qi He ^{1,2,3,*}
10	†These authors contributed equally to this work.
11	
12	Setting:
13	Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, West China Hospital of Sichuan University.
14	
15	Affiliations:
16	¹ Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, West China Hospital, Sichuan University,
17	Chengdu, 610041 Sichuan, PR China
18	² School of Rehabilitation Sciences, West China School of Medicine, Sichuan
19	University, Chengdu, 610041 Sichuan, PR China
20	³ Key Laboratory of Rehabilitation Medicine in Sichuan Province, West China
21	Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, 610041 Sichuan, PR China
22	
23	Corresponding Author:
24	Hong-Chen He, PhD, Rehabilitation Medicine Centre, West China Hospital, Sichuan
25	University, Chengdu, 610041 Sichuan, PR China. E-mail: hxkfhhc@126.com.
26	Cheng-qi He, PhD, Rehabilitation Medicine Centre, West China Hospital, Sichuan
27 28	University, Chengdu, 610041 Sichuan, PR China. E-mail: <u>hxkfhcq2015@126.com</u> .

29 ABSTRACT

Question: In people with spinal cord injury, does exoskeleton-assisted walking training 30 31 improve pulmonary ventilation function, motor function and related body structure, 32 walking, and activities of daily life equally comparing those with conventional exercise program? Design: Randomised controlled trial with concealed allocation, assessor 33 34 blinding and intention-to-treat analysis. Intervention: Both groups undertook 16 35 sessions of 50-60min training (4 days/week, 4 weeks). Participants in the experimental group received EAW trainings using AIDER system, which assisted standing, walking, 36 and climbing the stairs. The control group received a conventional exercise program 37 which combined aerobic, resistance, flexibility and walking training. Outcome 38 measures: The primary outcome was the pulmonary function test. The secondary 39 outcomes included: a 6-minute walk test with Borg scale (0 to 10) rating of exertion, 40 41 10-metre walk test, basic activities of daily living, trunk control test, lower extremity motor score, muscle tone of lower limb, bone mineral density, and distal femoral 42 43 cartilage at baseline and upon completion of treatment. Results: After 4 weeks of trainings, the experimental group improved more on the forced vital capacity (MD 0.53, 44 95%CI 0.01 to 1.06), predicted FVC% (MD 19.59, 95%CI 6.63 to 32.54) and forced 45 expiratory volume in 1s (MD 0.61, 95%CI 0.15 to 1.07); BADL (MD 19.75, 95%CI 46 47 10.88 to 28.62); and distal femoral cartilage than the control group. Participants 48 completed 6-minute walk test with median 17.3 meters while wearing the exoskeleton. 49 There was no difference in trunk control test, lower extremity motor score, muscle tone, bone mineral density and adverse event. Conclusions: In people with lower thoracic 50 51 neurological level of spinal cord injury, exoskeleton-assisted walking training instead of a conventional excise program has potential benefits to facilitate pulmonary 52 ventilation function, walking, basic activities of daily living and thickness of cartilage. 53 Trial registration: ChiCTR2000034623 54

Keywords: Spinal cord injury; Exoskeleton; Exercise; Pulmonary function test; Walk

57 Introduction

58

Spinal cord injury (SCI) is a worldwide life-disrupting pathological condition with

estimated 17,810 injuries occurred in the United States in 2017,^{1,2} and 3.5 per million 59 in the United Kingdom each year.³ Restoring functions (neuromusculoskeletal and 60 respiratory function, etc.), activities of daily living (walk, grasp, lift, etc.), quality of 61 life and life expectancy post-SCI remain to be medical challenges.⁴ Despite great 62 progress in treatments, it is still difficult to achieve the recovery of motor that leads to 63 64 immobility.⁵ Due to accelerated aging, lifestyle factors, and decreased mobility, even incomplete SCI lesions as low as L4 should be considered as risk factors for 65 cardiopulmonary disability.⁶ A longitudinal decline was reported in forced vital capacity 66 (FVC) and forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁),⁷ that indicates persons with 67 SCI are more likely to suffer pulmonary capacity deficit.⁸ 68

Exercise and Sports Science Australia recommended people with SCI to undertake 69 a combined exercise program which contained moderate aerobic exercise 70 71 (>30min, >5d/week), moderate strength training and flexibility training (>2d/week) for maintaining respiratory function, quality of life and functional independence.9-12 72 73 However, the improvements are limited for people with SCI due to lower extremity motor lesions and training types.¹³ Exercise of upper body limits their maximal exercise 74 capacity and puts them at a disadvantage compared with leg exercise.¹⁴ Hence, a new 75 rehabilitation therapy consisting leg exercise is needed for improving the function and 76 77 independence of people with SCI.

Exoskeleton-assisted walking (EAW) refers to a robotic suit worn on the body 78 enabling a person with paralysis to stand and walk,¹⁵ that has been confirmed to help 79 individuals with thoracic and lumbar SCI to walk safely.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ A quantity of studies^{19,20} 80 has manifested the EAW can be used a moderate-intensity level of exercise according 81 to the heart rate, oxygen demand, and rate of perceived exertion. Nevertheless, these 82 clinical trials were designed as observational study, and the changes of pulmonary 83 ventilation function followed by EAW have not been reported yet. It is unclear that 84 85 whether better pulmonary caused by EAW training performed better exercise capacity in walking. There is lack of evidence that related to the effect of EAW training on 86 respiratory function, quality of life and functional independence for people with SCI. 87 Further, Further, the relation between musculoskeletal function, pulmonary function, 88

and walk ability are not yet completely understood.

90 Therefore, the research question for this randomised trial was:

In people with SCI, do EAW training provide equivalent benefits on the pulmonary
 ventilation and musculoskeletal function, and the functional independence to those

- 93 obtained in a conventional exercise program?
- 94

95 METHODS

96 Design

97 This was a single-blinded, randomized controlled efficacy trial with 2 parallel 98 groups and intention-to-treat analysis. The study protocol has been registered at Chinese 99 Clinical Trial Registry (ChiCTR2000034623) and approved by the medical ethics 100 committee of West China Hospital of Sichuan University. All patients were informed 101 of the procedure, the use of their data and images for research. They understood the 102 purposes and provided written informed consent according to the 1964 Declaration of 103 Helsinki prior to their participation.

The individuals were randomly divided into one of two groups in a 1:1 ratio by 104 105 simple randomization method, using a computer-generated simple random table. The sequences were preserved using closed envelop method by one researcher who did not 106 107 participant in the trainings and assessments. Clinical data except walking parameters 108 were measured at baseline and post-training by two clinical researchers who were blinded and did not know the group to which each participant belonged. Walking 109 parameters were assessed by two researchers who participated in an EAW program or 110 111 a conventional program. Clinical data was recorded after averaging.

112 Participants

From July 2020 to March 2021, we prospectively enrolled all individuals aged between 15 and 65 years with a diagnosed SCI between T4 and L1 at least 1 month. Participants were recruited from inpatients in 3 units of Rehabilitation centre, West China Hospital, Sichuan University. In addition, the eligible individuals met the following inclusion criteria: (1) American spinal injuries association impairment scale (AIS)²¹ classified with A, B or C, (2) the height was between 1.50 meters and 1.85

meters and (3) stopped smoking for over 6 months. Exclusion criteria were: (1) spasticity of any lower extremity muscle scored over 2 according to the Modified Ashworth Scale,²² (2) unstable fracture, (3) had EAW training experience before, (4) hypertension, (5) severe osteoporosis (bone mineral density t-score< -3.5), (6) any respiratory or other neurological diseases, (7) overweight (> 100 Kg).

124 Interventions

All participants were received 16 sessions of exercise training for 50 to 60 minutes per session, 1 session per day, 4 sessions per week for 4 weeks. Exercise intensity was requested to reach 60% to 70% maximal heart rate (HR, $HR_{max}=220 - age$) that is checked with the values of a heart rate sensor (Polar H10, POLAR[®] China). All participants were scheduled to perform occupational therapy, endurance training cycling using upper limb, and biofeedback therapy once per day. Medications and rehabilitation nursing were ordered based on the medical condition.

132 Experimental group

133 The AIDER (AssItive DEvice for paRalyzed patient) powered robotic exoskeleton (generation IV, Buffalo Robot Technology Co. Ltd, Chengdu, China) was used for the 134 EAW training. A mobile phone application (Android) as an additional treatment system 135 switched different training modes (from sit to stand, walk, climb, etc.). Body-weight-136 137 supported AIDER system was applied at the beginning of this program to protect users. 138 All subjects were individually fitted to the robotic exoskeleton according to pelvic width, thigh length, and shank length. Training session included sitting, standing, 139 walking, climbing stairs and slope with maximal assistance-walking mode under 140 141 monitoring of experimented therapists. A progressive EAW program was designed for participants that shown in Table 1. 142

143 *Control group*

Individuals in the control group undertook a conventional exercise program that included strength training using dumbbell between 5 kg and 20 kg, dynamic balance training in sitting or standing position, flexibility training, and walking training with brace. The time proportion of each training depended on the situations of individuals.

148 **Outcome measures**

149

150

Outcome measures were collected and analysed at the baseline and end of 16session intervention period.

151 *Primary outcome*

Pulmonary ventilation function test was completed with a computerized 152 spirometer (Vyntus[™] SPIRO PC Spirometer, Vyaire Medical Inc., Mettawa, US) based 153 on the standardized procedures as the American Thoracic Society²³ described. To 154 155 determine pulmonary ventilation function, participants performed test seating in the wheelchair and were forbidden to disclose their intervention assignment to the assessor. 156 The test was performed with the participants wearing a nose clip. If the participant 157 coughed or made a mistake, the numerical values were not recorded. Three repeated 158 maneuvers were performed, separated by a five-minute rest and the best result was 159 recorded automatically. The test consisted of the assessments of FVC, predicted FVC%, 160 161 FEV₁, forced expiratory flow (FEF_{25/50/75}), peak expiratory flow (PEF), and maximal voluntary ventilation (MVV).24 162

163 Secondary outcomes

Walking parameters were assessed by the 6MWT (6-minute walk test) for distance 164 and 10MWT (10-metre walk test) for speed. Tests were performed in door in accordance 165 with the guidelines of the American Thoracic Society²⁵. The participant's heart rate, and 166 the rate of perceived exertion (RPE) based on the Borg scale²⁶ during the 6MWT were 167 recorded. Participants in EAW group were allowed to wear the exoskeleton, while those 168 in control group using the knee-ankle-foot orthoses if they had one. Modified Barthel 169 index (MBI) were reported to demonstrate the ability of basic activities of daily living 170 (BADL).²⁷ 171

Moreover, trunk control test (TCT)²⁸ and lower extremity motor score (LEMS)²⁹ were reported to clarify the reasons of ventilation and walking improvement, and the recovery of muscle strength. The thickness of distal femoral cartilage that consisted of medial condyle, intercondylar area, and lateral condyle measured by musculoskeletal ultrasound were compared. The value of Wards triangle bone mineral density (BMD) evaluated by dual-energy X-Ray absorptiometry, and muscle tone of lower limb estimated by modified Ashworth scale (MAS)³⁰ were recorded. The rate of adverse

179 events was used for safety indicator.

180 Data Analysis

As for the sample size, a pilot study³¹ was performed for determinizing the effect size (1.03975) of primary outcome (FVC). It was calculated that at least 16 participants were required for each group according to a significance level of 5% and study power of 80%. The final sample size was 40 participants when considered the rate of dropping out as 20%.

Analyses were completed according to intention-to-treat principle. Data with normally distributed were recorded as means (SDs), others were described as median (IQR) where necessary. The differences between groups were reported as means (95% CI). Pearson correlation test or Spearman rank correlation test was performed to discuss the relation between the results of 6MWT, TCT and ventilation parameters with statistically significant difference between groups.

192

193 **RESULTS**

194 Flow of participants

A total of 134 individuals with SCI were screened from July 2020 to March 2021, of which 94 were excluded as per exclusion criteria (n=81) or declining to commit the full participation (n=13). 40 eligible individuals were randomized to either EAW group (n=20) or control group (n=20). Two groups were comparable on the baseline characteristics (Table 2). Figure 1 represents the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) diagram.

201 Compliance with the study protocol

After pre-intervention assessment, one individual in the EAW group, and one in the control group withdrew the study and did not accept the final assessment because of own desire to be discharged from hospital.

205 Effect of intervention on pulmonary ventilation function

EAW group showed improvements in pulmonary ventilation function by the end of 16-session intervention period. EAW training was estimated to be more favourable than conventional exercise program for several measures of pulmonary ventilation

function, including FVC, FVC%_{predictied}, and FEV₁. The results for FEF₂₅₋₇₅, PEF, and MVV supported that EAW training might be equivalent to conventional exercise program. The estimates and their 95% confidence intervals are presented in Table 3.

212 Effect of intervention on walking parameters and BADL

Of the 21 participants who completed the final 6MWT and 10MWT, 2 were in the control group, 19 in the EAW group while wearing the exoskeleton in door. The distance and speed in the EAW group were 15.9 (5.0) meters and 0.049 (0.164) m/s, respectively. PRE and heart rate were 3.47 (1.47) and 114.5 (18.0) bpm during the 6MWT.

Both groups showed statistical improvement in the BADL after interventions. The improvement was estimated to be 19.75 greater in the EAW group (95% CI 10.88 to 28.62) than in the control group. These better results on the MBI were achieved with a mean difference of 28.8 (SD 15.0) within the EAW group and 14.0 (SD 11.5) within the control group by the end of trainings. These results are presented in Table 4.

222 Effect of intervention on motor function

Both groups showed statistical improvement in the TCT by the end of trainings, while the improvement of the EAW training might be equivalent to conventional exercise program. Additionally, the control group showed improvement in LEMS by the end of trainings. Nonetheless, the results of LEMS and MAS did not have estimates that clearly favoured one intervention over the other. These results are presented in Table 4, with individual-participant data in Table 6 on the eAddenda.

229 Effect of intervention on bone and cartilage

EAW training was estimated to be more favourable than conventional exercise program for protecting distal femoral cartilage. The effect on BMD was unclear (MD 0.07 g/cm^3 , 95% CI –0.21 to 0.06). The confidence intervals around these effect estimates confirmed the benefit (Table 5).

The exercise training in both groups was well tolerated and there were 3 adverse events in the EAW group. Adverse events consisted of fall (n=1) and heel indentation (n=2), that disappeared within 24 hours and caused no injury to participants. Individual participant data for all outcomes are presented in Table 6 on the eAddenda.

239 **DISCUSSION**

For individuals with T4 to L1 SCI, EAW training instead of conventional exercise program improved the pulmonary ventilation function, trunk control, and BADL. Moreover, it promoted the walking ability, and protected the cartilage. However, it is estimated that neither were effective for motor function recovery and bone loss prevention. It is essential to consider whether the benefits from EAW training are robust (as reflected in the confidence intervals) to warrant recommending it over conventional exercise program.

The estimate of the differences between the groups on the predicted FVC% and 247 FEV_1 (19.6% and 0.61L) were more beneficial than the minimal clinically important 248 difference. Because the minimal clinically important difference for predicted FVC% 249 and FEV₁ are 2-6% by distribution-based method,³² and 0.1L according to previous 250 study by the anchor-based method.³³ Moreover, the estimate of the effects on predicted 251 FVC% from post- to pre- intervention in EAW group and control group and were 14.4% 252 253 and -0.6%, respectively. And effects on changes of FEV₁ were 0.53L and -0.02L for EAW trainings and conventional exercise program. 254

Both groups were required equal intensity and amounts of time training in this 255 study. Therefore, the effects on pulmonary ventilation function might be considered as 256 a 'potential' bonus, that was meaningful for people with SCI. Upper limb exercise are 257 limited for improving a maximal external power output, peak oxygen consumption, 258 heart rate, total peripheral resistance, and responses for cardiac stroke volume.¹⁴ 259 Another underlying mechanism might be the potential of EAW to recruit trunk 260 muscles.^{34,35} Alamro et al. ³⁶ and Guan et al.³⁷ have demonstrated that overground 261 walking by exoskeleton elicits greater activation of trunk muscles compared to 262 treadmill walking, even after controlling for the use of hand-held assistive devices. The 263 benefits for pulmonary ventilation function are consistent with other research,^{20,38-40} 264 although it reported a higher VO2 peak among the incomplete SCI individuals after EAW 265 training. 266

267 Robotic exoskeleton was achievable to enhance the walking capacity. The greater 268 distance on the 6MWT was achieved with a higher predicted FVC% (r=0.54) and less

exertion (r=-0.61). The improvement of walking performance was not caused by the recovery of lower limb motor function. All participants were able to perform walking while wearing the exoskeleton, that was similar to McIntosh et al.⁴¹ and Sale et al.⁴² Longer training duration may result in longer distance. Benson et al.⁴³ found the minimal distance of 6MWT after 10-week trainings was 91 meters which was more than 5 times than our average distance.

275 Additionally, we found that individuals with lower neurologic injury level (T11 to L1) had similar mean improvement (15.6 meters) than others (16.0 meters). The age or 276 neurologic injury level did not perform as an associated factor for walking distance. 277 Participants who younger than 40 years-old completed 15.5 meters during 6WMT, with 278 that of 17.3 meters for older participants. This was inconsistent with previous study⁴⁴ 279 that reported walking speed and performances were significantly associated with injury 280 281 level. The inconsistence caused by product update according to the result of Guanziroli et al.45 282

Beyond this, the application of EAW and product update led to the differences between groups on walking and going stair, that two issues of MBI. Hence, participants in the EAW group were accessible to gain the scores on these two aspects with exoskeleton. However, besides EAW and conventional exercise program, occupational therapy is also benefit for the ability of ADL.⁴⁶ Thus, the possible effect of two technologies on BADL is overestimated and needs to be considered.

In addition, the effects on bone and cartilage were further explored. There was an 289 increase of BDM in the EAW group, although the estimate was unclear and the values 290 before intervention were different. The effect on EAW on BMD of Wards triangle was 291 consistent with the study of Karelis et al.⁴⁷, which reported an improvement with 292 clinical meaning measured by peripheral quantitative computed tomography on tibia. 293 294 Different training prescriptions lead to different effects on joint, although the effect on 295 cartilage was merely reported in EAW training. Optional duration (less than 1 hour) is 296 helpful for increasing the thickness of distal femoral cartilage according to Yilmaz et al.,⁴⁸ while higher intensity is harmful for cartilage.⁴⁹ Therefore, the EAW had potential 297 benefit for protecting the cartilage for SCI and the training prescription in this study 298

was suitable.

This study was limited to the number of training session, although our feasibility 300 301 study has proved this training period realized the application of EAW and was most 302 achievable in inpatient rehabilitation in the health care system. Although we tried to avoid the detection bias, it was inevitable during the 6MWT. In this study, the range of 303 age is 40 and the used equation underestimates the HR_{max} in older adults.⁵⁰ Moreover, 304 305 the validity of equation has not been established in a study sample that included an adequate number of individuals with SCI. This might have resulted in misestimation of 306 the training intensity. Further, gait parameters should be recorded and compared while 307 walking without the exoskeleton. 308

In summary, the study successfully manifested that EAW training has the potential to improve performance in pulmonary ventilation function, trunk control, and BADL among individuals with SCI at least equivalently with conventional exercise program. Additionally, it promoted the walking ability, and protected the cartilage better than conventional exercise program. However, it is estimated that neither were effective for motor function recovery and bone loss prevention.

315

What was already known on this topic: Pulmonary ventilation and motor function are most frequently affected by spinal cord injury. Recovery of motor function is hard to achieve, while progression in pulmonary ventilation function helps to improve motor performance and activities of daily livings. Conventional exercise programs help to maintain pulmonary ventilation function and activities of daily livings. Exoskeletonassisted walking is a new technique for gain walking ability among people with spinal cord injury.

What this study adds: In people with spinal cord injury, exoskeleton-assisted walking training improve performance in pulmonary ventilation function, trunk control, and basic activities of daily livings among individuals with SCI equivalently with conventional exercise program. Other benefits of the exoskeleton-assisted walking were better improvement in walking ability and protection for joint cartilage. However, neither were effective for motor function recovery and bone loss prevention.

330	Ethics approval and consent to participate: This study received approval from the
331	Ethics Committee of West China Hospital of Sichuan University and registered at the
332	Chinese Clinical Trial Registry with the following identifier: ChiCTR2000034623.
333	Participants gave written informed consent before starting data collection.
334	
335	Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful for the assistance from Professor Hong
336	Cheng. Additionally, we also thank the participants who were involved in the project.
337	
338	Conflict of interest: The authors report no conflicts of interest.
339	
340	Source of support: This research study was supported by the National Key R&D
341	Program of China [grant numbers 2017YFB1302305].
342	
343	Availability of data and materials: The dataset used in the study is available from the
344	corresponding author on reasonable request.
345	
346	Correspondence: Hong-Chen He, Rehabilitation Medicine Centre, West China
347	Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, PR China. E-mail: hxkfhhc@126.com;
348	Cheng-qi He, Rehabilitation Medicine Centre, West China Hospital, Sichuan University,
349	Chengdu, Sichuan, PR China. E-mail: hxkfhcq2015@126.com.
350	
351	
352	References
353	1. Jain NB, Ayers GD, Peterson EN, et al. Traumatic spinal cord injury in the United
354	States, 1993-2012. JAMA. 2015;313(22):2236-2243.
355	2. Ge L, Arul K, Ikpeze T, Baldwin A, Nickels JL, Mesfin A. Traumatic and
356	Nontraumatic Spinal Cord Injuries. World Neurosurg. 2018;111:e142-e148.

357	3. Bao FP, Zhang HG, Zhu SM. Anesthetic considerations for patients with acute
358	cervical spinal cord injury. Neural Regen Res. 2017;12(3):499-504.
359	4. Zhai HW, Gong ZK, Sun J, et al. Ganglioside with nerve growth factor for the
360	recovery of extremity function following spinal cord injury and somatosensory evoked
361	potential. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2015;19(12):2282-2286.
362	5. Lee BB, Cripps RA, Fitzharris M, Wing PC. The global map for traumatic spinal
363	cord injury epidemiology: update 2011, global incidence rate. Spinal Cord.
364	2014;52(2):110-116.
365	6. Legg Ditterline BE, Aslan SC, Randall DC, Harkema SJ, Castillo C, Ovechkin AV.
366	Effects of Respiratory Training on Heart Rate Variability and Baroreflex Sensitivity in
367	Individuals With Chronic Spinal Cord Injury. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2018;99(3):423-

368 432.

369 7. Stolzmann KL, Gagnon DR, Brown R, Tun CG, Garshick E. Longitudinal change

in FEV1 and FVC in chronic spinal cord injury. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*. 2008;177(7):781-786.

Malas FÜ, Köseoğlu F, Kara M, et al. Diaphragm ultrasonography and pulmonary
 function tests in patients with spinal cord injury. *Spinal cord.* 2019;57(8):679-683.

374 9. Tweedy SM, Beckman EM, Geraghty TJ, et al. Exercise and sports science
375 Australia (ESSA) position statement on exercise and spinal cord injury. *J Sci Med Sport*.

376 2017;20(2):108-115.

10. Gaffurini P BL, Calza S, Calabretto C, Orizio C, Gobbo M. Energy metabolism

378 during activity-promoting video games practice in subjects with spinal cord injury:

- evidences for health promotion. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med.* 2013;49((1)):23-29.
- 11. Neville BT, Murray D, Rosen KB, Bryson CA, Collins JP, Guccione AA. Effects
- 381 of Performance-Based Training on Gait and Balance in Individuals With Incomplete
- 382 Spinal Cord Injury. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2019;100(10):1888-1893.
- 12. Liu H, Li J, Du L, et al. Short-term effects of core stability training on the balance
- and ambulation function of individuals with chronic spinal cord injury: a pilot
 randomized controlled trial. *Minerva Med.* 2019;110(3):216-223.
- 13. Battikha M, Sa L, Porter A, Taylor JA. Relationship between pulmonary function
- and exercise capacity in individuals with spinal cord injury. Am J Phys Med Rehabil.
- 388 2014;93(5):413-421.
- 14. Theisen D. Cardiovascular determinants of exercise capacity in the Paralympic
 athlete with spinal cord injury. *Exp Physiol.* 2012;97(3):319-324.
- 391 15. Geigle PR, Kallins M. Exoskeleton-Assisted Walking for People With Spinal Cord
- 392 Injury. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*. 2017;98(7):1493-1495.
- 393 16. Kozlowski AJ, Bryce TN, Dijkers MP. Time and Effort Required by Persons with
- 394 Spinal Cord Injury to Learn to Use a Powered Exoskeleton for Assisted Walking. *Top*
- *Spinal Cord Inj Rehabil.* 2015;21(2):110-121.
- 396 17. Birch N, Graham J, Priestley T, et al. Results of the first interim analysis of the
- 397 RAPPER II trial in patients with spinal cord injury: ambulation and functional exercise
- 398 programs in the REX powered walking aid. *J Neuroeng Rehabil.* 2017;14(1):60.
- 399 18. Xiang XN, Ding MF, Zong HY, et al. The safety and feasibility of a new
- 400 rehabilitation robotic exoskeleton for assisting individuals with lower extremity motor

- 401 complete lesions following spinal cord injury (SCI): an observational study. *Spinal*402 *Cord.* 2020;58(7):787-794.
- 403 19. Asselin P, Knezevic S, Kornfeld S, et al. Heart rate and oxygen demand of powered
 404 exoskeleton-assisted walking in persons with paraplegia. *J Rehabil Res Dev.*405 2015;52(2):147-158.
- 20. Escalona MJ, Brosseau R, Vermette M, et al. Cardiorespiratory demand and rate of
 perceived exertion during overground walking with a robotic exoskeleton in long-term
- 408 manual wheelchair users with chronic spinal cord injury: A cross-sectional study. Ann
- 409 *Phys Rehabil Med.* 2018;61(4):215-223.
- 410 21. Roberts TT, Leonard GR, Cepela DJ. Classifications In Brief: American Spinal
- 411 Injury Association (ASIA) Impairment Scale. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.*412 2017;475(5):1499-1504.
- 413 22. Meseguer-Henarejos AB, Sanchez-Meca J, Lopez-Pina JA, Carles-Hernandez R.
- 414 Inter- and intra-rater reliability of the Modified Ashworth Scale: a systematic review
- and meta-analysis. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med.* 2018;54(4):576-590.
- 416 23. Graham BL, Steenbruggen I, Miller MR, et al. Standardization of Spirometry 2019
- 417 Update. An Official American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society
- 418 Technical Statement. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2019;200(8):e70-e88.
- 419 24. Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, et al. Standardisation of spirometry. *Eur*420 *Respir J.* 2005;26(2):319-338.
- 421 25. ATS statement: guidelines for the six-minute walk test. American journal of
- 422 *respiratory and critical care medicine*. 2002;166(1):111-117.

423	26. Holmlund T, Ekblom-Bak E, Franzen E, Hultling C, Wahman K. Intensity of
424	physical activity as a percentage of peak oxygen uptake, heart rate and Borg RPE in
425	motor-complete para- and tetraplegia. PLoS One. 2019;14(12):e0222542.

- 426 27. Furlan JC, Noonan V, Singh A, Fehlings MG. Assessment of disability in patients
- with acute traumatic spinal cord injury: a systematic review of the literature. *Journal of neurotrauma*. 2011;28(8):1413-1430.
- 429 28. Quinzaños J, Villa AR, Flores AA, Pérez R. Proposal and validation of a clinical
- 430 trunk control test in individuals with spinal cord injury. Spinal cord. 2014;52(6):449-
- 431 454.
- 432 29. Wilson JR, Jaja BNR, Kwon BK, et al. Natural History, Predictors of Outcome, and
- 433 Effects of Treatment in Thoracic Spinal Cord Injury: A Multi-Center Cohort Study from
- the North American Clinical Trials Network. *J Neurotrauma*. 2018;35(21):2554-2560.
- 435 30. Akpinar P, Atici A, Ozkan FU, et al. Reliability of the Modified Ashworth Scale
- and Modified Tardieu Scale in patients with spinal cord injuries. *Spinal Cord*.
 2017;55(10):944-949.
- 438 31. Xiao-na X, Hui-yan Z, Yi O, et al. *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation*.
 439 2021.
- 440 32. du Bois RM, Weycker D, Albera C, et al. Forced vital capacity in patients with
- idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: test properties and minimal clinically important
 difference. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2011;184(12):1382-1389.
- 443 33. Gompelmann D, Kontogianni K, Schuhmann M, Eberhardt R, Heussel CP, Herth
- 444 FJ. The minimal important difference for target lobe volume reduction after endoscopic

- 445 valve therapy. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis.* 2018;13:465-472.
- 34. Triolo RJ, Boggs L, Miller ME, Nemunaitis G, Nagy J, Bailey SN. Implanted
 electrical stimulation of the trunk for seated postural stability and function after cervical
 spinal cord injury: a single case study. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*.
 2009:90(2):340-347.
- 450 35. Hart N, Laffont I, de la Sota AP, et al. Respiratory effects of combined truncal and

451 abdominal support in patients with spinal cord injury. Archives of physical medicine

- 452 *and rehabilitation*. 2005;86(7):1447-1451.
- 453 36. Alamro RA, Chisholm AE, Williams AMM, Carpenter MG, Lam T. Overground
- 454 walking with a robotic exoskeleton elicits trunk muscle activity in people with high-

455 thoracic motor-complete spinal cord injury. *J Neuroeng Rehabil.* 2018;15(1):109.

- 456 37. Guan X, Kuai S, Ji L, Wang R, Ji R. Trunk muscle activity patterns and motion
- 457 patterns of patients with motor complete spinal cord injury at T8 and T10 walking with
- different un-powered exoskeletons. J Spinal Cord Med. 2017;40(4):463-470.
- 459 38. Evans N, Hartigan C, Kandilakis C, Pharo E, Clesson I. Acute Cardiorespiratory

460 and Metabolic Responses During Exoskeleton-Assisted Walking Overground Among

- 461 Persons with Chronic Spinal Cord Injury. Top Spinal Cord Inj Rehabil. 2015;21(2):122-
- 462 132.
- 39. Jang YC, Park HK, Han JY, Choi IS, Song MK. Cardiopulmonary function after
 robotic exoskeleton-assisted over-ground walking training of a patient with an
 incomplete spinal cord injury: Case report. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2019;98(50):e18286.
 40. Gorman PH, Scott W, VanHiel L, Tansey KE, Sweatman WM, Geigle PR.

- 467 Comparison of peak oxygen consumption response to aquatic and robotic therapy in
- 468 individuals with chronic motor incomplete spinal cord injury: a randomized controlled
- 469 trial. Spinal Cord. 2019;57(6):471-481.
- 470 41. McIntosh K, Charbonneau R, Bensaada Y, Bhatiya U, Ho C. The Safety and
- 471 Feasibility of Exoskeletal-Assisted Walking in Acute Rehabilitation After Spinal Cord
- 472 Injury. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2020;101(1):113-120.
- 473 42. Sale P, Russo EF, Scarton A, Calabro RS, Masiero S, Filoni S. Training for mobility
- 474 with exoskeleton robot in spinal cord injury patients: a pilot study. *Eur J Phys Rehabil*
- 475 *Med.* 2018;54(5):745-751.
- 476 43. Benson I, Hart K, Tussler D, van Middendorp JJ. Lower-limb exoskeletons for
- 477 individuals with chronic spinal cord injury: findings from a feasibility study. *Clin*478 *Rehabil.* 2016;30(1):73-84.
- 479 44. Louie DR, Eng JJ, Lam T, Spinal Cord Injury Research Evidence Research T. Gait
- 480 speed using powered robotic exoskeletons after spinal cord injury: a systematic review
- 481 and correlational study. *J Neuroeng Rehabil.* 2015;12:82.
- 482 45. Guanziroli E, Cazzaniga M, Colombo L, Basilico S, Legnani G, Molteni F.
- 483 Assistive powered exoskeleton for complete spinal cord injury: correlations between
- 484 walking ability and exoskeleton control. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med.* 2019;55(2):209-216.
- 485 46. Caizhong X, Chunlei S, Beibei L, Zhiqing D, Qinneng D, Tong W. The application
- 486 of somatosensory evoked potentials in spinal cord injury rehabilitation.
- 487 *NeuroRehabilitation*. 2014;35(4):835-840.
- 488 47. Karelis AD, Carvalho LP, Castillo MJ, Gagnon DH, Aubertin-Leheudre M. Effect

- on body composition and bone mineral density of walking with a robotic exoskeleton
 in adults with chronic spinal cord injury. *J Rehabil Med.* 2017;49(1):84-87.
- 491 48. Yilmaz B, Demir Y, Ozyoruk E, Kesikburun S, Guzelkucuk U. The effect of knee
- 492 joint loading and immobilization on the femoral cartilage thickness in paraplegics.
- 493 Spinal Cord. 2016;54(4):283-286.
- 494 49. Teichtahl AJ, Wang Y, Heritier S, et al. The interaction between physical activity
- 495 and amount of baseline knee cartilage. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2016;55(7):1277-1284.
- 496 50. Tanaka H, Monahan KD, Seals DR. Age-predicted maximal heart rate revisited.
- 497 *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2001;37(1):153-156.
- 498



Figure 1. Design and flow of participants through the trial.

Table 1 Description of the EAW program in the experimental group

Exercise	Session
From sit to stand and standing training using body-weight-supported AIDER system, controlled by therapist.	1
Standing training and shifting of the body centre of gravity using body-weight-supported AIDER system, controlled by patient.	1
Walking training using body-weight-supported AIDER system, controlled by patient.	1
From sit to stand, standing training and shifting of the body centre of gravity using AIDER system, controlled by patient.	2
Walking training (walk straight) using AIDER system, controlled by patient. Turning around with the help of therapists.	1
Walking training (walk straight and turn around) using AIDER system, controlled by patient.	6
Climb the slope using AIDER system, controlled by therapist.	1
Climb the slope using AIDER system, controlled by patient.	1
Take the stairs using AIDER system, controlled by therapist.	1
Take the stairs using AIDER system, controlled by patient.	1

EAW = exoskeleton-assisted walking, AIDER = AssItive DEvice for paRalyzed patient

Table 2

Baseline characteristics of participants

Characteristic	EAW (n=20)	Con (n=20)					
Demographic							
Age (yr), mean (SD)	36.6 (11.7)	37.7 (12.6)					
Height <i>(cm)</i> , mean (SD)	166.2 (6.4)	170.0 (6.2)					
Weight <i>(kg)</i> , mean (SD)	56.1 (9.1)	64.0 (10.0)					
Gender, No. (%)							
Female	4 (20.0)	3 (15.0)					
Male	16 (80.0)	17 (75.0)					
Clinical							
NLI, No. (%)							
T4-T10	12 (60.0)	12 (60.0)					
T11-L1	8 (40.0)	8 (40.0)					
AIS, No. (%)							
А	15 (75.0)	10 (50.0)					
В	3 (15.0)	2 (10.0)					
С	2 (10.0)	8 (40.0)					
DOI (mth), median (IQR)	2.0 (4.5)	2.0 (0.5)					

EAW = exoskeleton-assisted walking group, Con = control group, NLI = Neurological level of injury, AIS = American spinal injuries association impairment scale, DOI = duration of injury

Table 3

Outcome	EAW (n=20)		Con (n=20)		Difference within grou	Difference within groups (Post minus pre)	
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	EAW	Con	EAW minus Con
FVC (L)	3.0	3.4	2.9	2.8	0.40	-0.04	0.53
	(0.9)	(0.9)	(0.6)	(0.8)	(0.62)	(0.48)	(0.01 to 1.06)
Predicted	72.4	86.9	67.9	67.3	14.41	-0.62	19.59
FVC%	(16.8)	(22.6)	(14.1)	(17.5)	(15.89)	(11.25)	(6.30 to 32.54)
FEV ₁ (<i>L</i>)	2.6	3.1	2.5	2.5	0.53	-0.25	0.61
	(0.8)	(0.8)	(0.6)	(0.7)	(0.50)	(0.38)	(0.15 to 1.07)
FEF ₇₅ (L/s)	5.0	6.4	5.5	5.7	1.36	0.21	0.61
	(1.6)	(1.4)	(1.6)	(1.7)	(1.19)	(1.15)	(-0.38 to 1.59)
FEF ₅₀ (L/s)	3.6	4.3	3.6	3.5	0.67	-0.09	0.79
	(1.1)	(1.4)	(1.0)	(1.2)	(1.14)	(1.04)	(-0.23 to 1.61)
FEF ₂₅ (L/s)	1.5	1.9	1.4	1.5	0.40	0.68	0.40
	(0.7)	(1.5)	(0.9)	(1.1)	(0.79)	(0.82)	(-0.28 to 1.07)
PEF (L/s)	5.6	6.7	5.8	5.9	1.04	0.11	0.78
	(1.5)	(1.4)	(1.7)	(1.9)	(1.40)	(1.59)	(-0.28 to 1.84)
MVV <i>(L)</i>	99.6	111.3	102.1	97.7	11.65	-4.42	13.59
	(31.1)	(28.9)	(30.0)	(27.0)	(13.88)	(20.72)	(-4.33 to 31.51)

Mean (SD) of groups, mean (SD) difference within groups, and mean (95% CI) difference between groups for the pulmonary ventilation function

EAW = exoskeleton-assisted walking group, Con = control group, FVC = Forced vital capacity, FEV₁ = Forced expiratory volume in 1 second, FEF = Forced expiratory flow, PEF = Peak expiratory flow, MVV = Maximal voluntary ventilation

Table 4

Mean (SD) or median (IQR) of groups, mean (SD) difference within groups, and mean (95% CI) difference between groups for motor function, the distal femoral cartilage and bone mineral density (BMD)

Outcome	EAW (EAW (n=20)		(n=20)	Difference within grou	Difference between groups	
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	EAW	Con	EAW minus Con
тст	54.4 (23.6)†	74.0 (35.8) †	40.6 (19.6)	61.0 (26.0)	25.0 (35.0)†	19.6 (19.2)	N/A
MAS, n (%)							
Grade 0	18 (90)	18 (90)	18 (90)	18 (90)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 1	1 (5)	1 (5)	2 (10)	1 (5)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 1+	1 (5)	1 (5)	0 (0)	1 (5)	N/A	N/A	N/A
LEMS [†]	1.0 (10.8)	1.5 (7.8)	0 (7.5)	5 (13.8)	0 (0)	0.5 (4.5)	N/A
Left medial condyle <i>(mm)</i>	1.49 (0.51)	1.80 (0.31)	1.59 (0.54)	1.46 (0.52)	0.31 (0.50)	-0.13 (0.35)	0.34 (0.06 to 0.61)
Left intercondylar area <i>(mm)</i>	2.02 (0.25)	2.31 (0.45)	1.94 (0.50)	1.85 (0.44)	0.29 (0.41)	-0.09 (0.45)	0.47 (0.18 to 0.75)
Left lateral condyle <i>(mm)</i>	1.99 (0.38)	2.13 (0.30)	1.91 (0.44)	1.87 (0.43)	0.14 (0.35)	-0.04 (0.28)	0.26 (0.02 to 0.50)
Right medial condyle <i>(mm)</i>	1.52 (0.40)	1.78 (0.28)	1.55 (0.42)	1.52 (0.39)	0.26 (0.34)	-0.03 (0.38)	0.26 (0.04 to 0.47)
Right intercondylar area (<i>mm</i>)	2.04 (0.48)	2.30 (0.39)	1.85 (0.50)	1.94 (0.48)	0.26 (0.34)	-0.09 (0.40)	0.32 (0.03 to 0.60)
Right lateral condyle <i>(mm)</i>	1.97 (0.43)	2.20 (0.28)	2.05 (0.54)	1.90 (0.51)	0.24 (0.45)	-0.15 (0.39)	0.31 (0.04 to 0.60)
BMD (g/cm³)	0.78 (0.14)	0.81 (0.20)	0.90 (0.19)	0.89 (0.22)	0.04 (0.09)	-0.02 (0.13)	0.07 (-0.21 to 0.06)

EAW = exoskeleton-assisted walking group, Con = control group, TCT = trunk control test, MAS = modified Ashworth scale, LEMS = lower extremity motor score, BMD= bone mineral density, [†]= median (IQR)

Table 5

Mean (SD) of groups, mean (SD) difference within groups, and mean (95% CI) difference between groups for the basic activities of daily living (BADL)

Outcome	EAW (n=20)		Con (n=20)		Difference within groups (Post minus pre)		Difference between groups
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	EAW	Con	EAW minus Con
MBI	34.8 (14.7)	65.0 (17.5)	29.8 (14.7)	42.5 (15.0)	28.8 (15.0)	14.0 (11.5)	19.8 (10.9 to 28.6)

EAW = exoskeleton-assisted walking group, Con = control group, MBI = modified Barthel index

Table 6

Outcomes of correlation of physical function and fitness

Characteristic	Correlation coefficient	<i>p</i> -value
FVC – distance	0.122	0.620
Predicted FVC% – distance	0.540	0.017
FEV ₁ – distance	0.075	0.761
TCT – distance	0.427	0.068
PRE – distance	-0.605	0.006
FVC – TCT	-0.062	0.801
Predicted FVC% – TCT	0.210	0.387
FEV ₁ – TCT	0.044	0.858
RPE – TCT	-0.394	0.095
FVC – RPE	-0.295	0.220
Predicted FVC% – RPE	-0.596	0.007
FEV1 – RPE	-0.290	0.228

FVC = Forced vital capacity, FEV_1 = Forced expiratory volume in 1 second, TCT = trunk control test, RPE = rate of perceived exertion