Running title: Dioxins and Type 2 Diabetes

Sex-specific links between pollutants and diabetes

Abstract

 The relationship between persistent organic pollutants (POPs), including dioxins and dioxin-like polychlorinated biphenyls (DL-PCBs), and diabetes incidence in adults has been extensively studied. However, significant variability exists in the reported associations both between and within studies. Emerging data from rodent studies suggest that dioxin exposure disrupts glucose homeostasis in a sex-specific manner. Thus, we performed a meta-analysis of relevant epidemiological studies to investigate whether there are sex-specific associations between dioxin or DL-PCB exposure and type 2 diabetes incidence. Articles were organized into the following subcategories: data stratified by sex (16%), unstratified data (56%), and data from only 1 sex (16% male, 12% female). We also considered whether exposure occurred either abruptly at high levels through a contamination event ("disaster exposure") or chronically at background levels ("non- disaster exposure"). Only 8 studies compared associations between dioxin/DL-PCB exposure and diabetes risk in males versus females within the same population. When all sex-stratified or single sex studies were considered in the meta-analysis, the summary odds ratio (OR) for increased diabetes risk was similar between females and males (1.78 and 1.95, respectively) when comparing exposed to reference populations, suggesting that this relationship is not sex-specific. However, when we considered disaster-exposed populations separately, the association differed substantially between sexes, with females showing a much higher OR than males (2.86 and 1.59, respectively). Moreover, the association between dioxin/DL-PCB exposure and diabetes was stronger for females than males in disaster-exposed populations. In contrast, both sexes had significantly increased ORs in non-disaster exposure populations and the OR for females was lower than males (1.40 and 2.02, respectively). Our review emphasizes the importance of considering sex differences, as well as the mode of pollutant exposure, when exploring the relationship between

- pollutant exposure and diabetes in epidemiological studies.
-

 Keywords: Persistent organic pollutants (POPs), type 2 diabetes (T2D), dioxins, dioxin-like polychlorinated biphenyls (DL-PCBs), sex differences, meta-analysis

-
-

1. Introduction

 Diabetes incidence is increasing worldwide at a rate that cannot be explained solely by genetic predisposition or lifestyle (Franks and McCarthy 2016; Butalia et al. 2016; Knip et al. 2005), prompting investigations into potential alternative sources of diabetes risk. There is emerging evidence pointing to an association between environmental pollutant exposure and type 2 diabetes (T2D) in humans (Carpenter 2008; Hectors et al. 2011; Ngwa et al. 2015; Taylor, David 2001). Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) are man-made toxins, released into the environment through industrial, electrical, and agricultural sources (Hens and Hens 2017; Wikoff, Fitzgerald, and Birnbaum 2012). POPs are typically lipophilic, resistant to degradation, and highly mobile, thus leading to ubiquitous global dispersion and bioaccumulation (Fisher 1999). Pollutant exposure can occur abruptly at high levels, as in a disaster event such as an industrial accident or food contamination, but more frequently occurs at chronic low levels (Marinković et al. 2010). Human exposure to POPs is typically through consumption of fish, meat, eggs, and dairy (Srogi 2008;

Sex-specific links between pollutants and diabetes

Schecter et al. 2006). Despite global efforts to restrict POP production, use continues in some

countries (Jaacks et al. 2019; Azandjeme et al. 2014) and biomonitoring studies continue to detect

POPs in bodily fluids of the general population in North America (Haines et al. 2017; Haines and

Murray 2012).

1.2 Emerging links between POPs and T2D pathogenesis

 T2D is characterized by insufficient insulin production by pancreatic beta cells in the face of peripheral insulin resistance, which results in chronic hyperglycemia (Kahn 2003; Weir and Bonner-Weir 2004; Porte and Kahn E 2001; Chen et al. 2017; Kahn et al. 2009). This disease manifests slowly and early symptoms of metabolic dysfunction, such as impaired glucose tolerance or hyperinsulinemia, can last for years (Porte and Kahn E 2001; Weir and Bonner-Weir 2004; Chen et al. 2017). Clinical diagnoses of diabetes is internationally defined as glycated haemoglobin 78 (HbA1c) \geq 6.5%, fasting plasma glucose \geq 7.0 mmol/L, or 2-hour plasma glucose during an oral 79 glucose tolerance test (OGTT) of \geq 11.1 mmol/L (Gillett 2009). Environmental factors that adversely impact beta cell health and/or peripheral insulin sensitivity could augment underlying vulnerabilities and promote the development of T2D.

 Within the last decade numerous publications have reported positive associations between exposure to POPs and T2D incidence (A. Al-Othman et al. 2014; A. A. Al-Othman, Abd- Alrahman, and Al-Daghri 2015; Aminov, Haase, and Carpenter 2016; Aminov et al. 2016; Arrebola et al. 2013; Cappelletti et al. 2016; Eslami et al. 2016; Everett and Matheson 2010; Everett and Thompson 2012; Gasull et al. 2012; Han et al. 2020; Huang et al. 2015; D. H. Lee et al. 2010; 2011; Lind et al. 2014; Mannetje et al. 2018; Marushka et al. 2017; Patel, Bhattacharya, and Butte 2010; Rahman et al. 2019; Rylander et al. 2015; Singh and Chan 2017; Son et al. 2010; Starling et al. 2014; Suarez-Lopez et al. 2015; Tanaka et al. 2011; Tornevi et al. 2019; Ukropec et al. 2010; Wolf et al. 2019; Wu et al. 2013; Zong et al. 2016). However, the strength of associations between POPs and diabetes incidence varies considerably (D.-H. Lee et al. 2014; Hectors et al. 2011; Ngwa et al. 2015; C. Yang et al. 2017). There are numerous potential explanations for variability in the epidemiology literature, such as the type of POPs studied, exposure duration, level of exposure, method of contaminant analysis, underlying health and genetics of the exposed populations, ongoing medical treatments, how diabetes incidence is determined, and the range of covariates considered. In this meta-analysis, we narrowed down the scope of literature on environmental contaminants by focusing on studies that measured dioxins and dioxin-like (DL) POPs.

1.3 Dioxins and dioxin-like pollutants

 Various chemicals are classified as POPs, including polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and dibenzofurans (PCDD/Fs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), organochlorine pesticides (OCPs), poly fluorinated alkyl substances (PFAS), and polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs). Dioxins and DL-PCBs are structurally similar polycyclic, halogenated aromatic chemicals (**Figure 1**) that share a common mechanism of action via binding to the intracellular aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR).

Sex-specific links between pollutants and diabetes

 The dioxin family consists of oxygen-linked chlorinated benzene rings that vary in position and number of halogens (**Figure 1A**). The toxicity of different dioxin compounds is related to their pattern of halogenation. For example, TCDD (2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p-*dioxin; **Figure 1A**) is the most toxic and stable dioxin, with a half-life of 7-11 years in humans (D.-H. Lee et al. 2014; Schecter et al. 2006; Srogi 2008). During much of the 1900's TCDD was produced as a contaminant from industrial processing, but was eventually recognized as a health hazard to industrial workers (Calvert et al. 1999; Cappelletti et al. 2016; Kyle Steenland et al. 1999; Vena et al. 1998) and local communities (Huang et al. 2015; Karnes, Winquist, and Steenland 2014; Chang et al. 2010; Ukropec et al. 2010; MacNeil et al. 2009; Karouna-Renier et al. 2007; Cranmer et al. 2000). Widespread distribution of TCDD occurred during the Vietnam war when Agent Orange, a defoliate contaminated with TCDD, was used by the US military as part of a chemical warfare program known as Operation Ranch Hand (Henriksen, Gary et al. 1997; Kim et al. 2003; Longnecker and Michalek 2000; Michalek and Pavuk 2008; K. Steenland et al. 2001). Furthermore, in 1976 an industrial disaster in Seveso, Italy released tonnes of nearly pure TCDD gas into the local regions. Other dioxins commonly considered within the literature and included in our review are 1,2,3,6,7,8-Hexachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin (HxCDD), 1,2,3,4,6,7,8- Heptachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin (HpCDD), and 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-Octachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin (OCDD) (**Figure 1A**). However, TCDD has been more widely studied for sub-lethal exposure effects compared to other dioxins, as it was involved in more disaster and occupational exposure events (Birnbaum and Couture 1988; Couture, Elwell, and Birnbaum 1988; Rozman 1999; Schwetz et al. 1973; Viluksela et al. 1997; 1998).

 The PCB family includes 209 separate congeners, 12 of which are considered DL-PCBs (US Environmental Protection Agency 2003) (**Figure 1B**). PCBs are exceptionally stable, heat resistant and non-flammable, and were intentionally manufactured between the 1920's to 70's for electrical components, hydraulic fluids, lubricants, and industrial insulating or heat-exchange fluids (White and Birnbaum 2009; Wikoff, Fitzgerald, and Birnbaum 2012). Disaster exposure to DL-PCBs occurred in Michigan, US in 1973 when animal feed accidently contaminated with PCBs was distributed to farms (Vasiliu et al. 2006). Additionally, between 1978 to 1979, rice-bran oil contaminated with PCBs and PCDFs poisoned thousands of inhabitants of Yucheng, Taiwan with "oil disease" (S. L. Wang et al. 2008). These incidents of disaster exposure to DL-PCBs, albeit far from isolated events, are the most widely studied when considering pathologies related to metabolic diseases (Hens and Hens 2017; Taylor, David 2001).

1.4 Evidence for a causal link between dioxin exposure and diabetes

 Dioxins and DL-PCBs act as ligands for AhR, leading to upregulation of target genes such as cytochrome P450 *(Cyp)1a1*. Our lab showed that TCDD-exposed mice have persistent CYP1A1 upregulation in pancreatic islets, where insulin-secreting beta cells reside, a sign that TCDD reaches the endocrine pancreas *in vivo* (Ibrahim et al. 2020). A single high-dose injection of TCDD in mice caused hypoinsulinemia *in vivo* for up to 6 weeks and reduced glucose-stimulated insulin secretion in islets *ex vivo* (Hoyeck et al. 2020; Ibrahim et al. 2020)*.* Furthermore, direct TCDD exposure *in vitro* caused *CYP1A1* upregulation and suppressed glucose-induced insulin secretion in both mouse and human islets (Ibrahim et al. 2020; Kurita et al. 2009). These data suggest that 149 TCDD may be driving metabolic dysfunction, at least in part, via direct effects on pancreatic islets.

Sex-specific links between pollutants and diabetes

 There is also emerging evidence that female rodents are more susceptible to the diabetogenic effects of dioxins compared with male rodents (Matteo et al. 2020; Hoyeck et al. 2020; Naville et al. 2013). For example, while a single high-dose injection of TCDD at 8 weeks of age caused persistent hypoinsulinemia in both male and female mice *in vivo*, only male mice had increased insulin sensitivity and altered islet cell composition, and only female mice developed transient hyperglycemia following TCDD exposure (Hoyeck et al. 2020). Consistent with the single high-dose model, repeated low-dose TCDD exposure for 12 weeks starting at 6-8 weeks of age both exacerbated and accelerated the onset of high fat diet (HFD)-induced glucose intolerance in female but not male mice (Matteo et al. 2020). Naville et al. (2013) also observed exacerbated HFD-induced glucose intolerance in female, but not male mice, exposed chronically from 5-10 weeks of age to a low-dose mixture of pollutants that included TCDD.

 Considering the sex differences reported in mouse models, we hypothesized that there may also be sex-specific associations between dioxin/DL pollutant exposure and T2D incidence in humans. To explore this question, we performed a meta-analysis of epidemiological studies that assessed exposure to either dioxins or DL-PCBs and incidence of T2D or metabolic syndrome. We also considered the mode of pollutant exposure, where a disaster event (e.g. Seveso) reflects abrupt, high-dose exposure versus a non-disaster chronic exposure scenario, ranging from low- dose background levels (e.g. general population) to higher-dose exposure (e.g. occupational settings). Importantly, abrupt exposure via disaster can lead to a prolonged period of high concentration pollutant exposure due to the long half-life of dioxins and DL pollutants (Fisher, 1999).

2. Methods

2.1 Literature search strategy

 We conducted a literature search for studies examining POPs, dioxins, or benzofurans and diabetes in humans via PubMed on May 17, 2021. MeSH terms, substance registry numbers, and keywords were used. The search terms can be found in **Supplementary Table 1**. Review articles were excluded unless original data were presented. A filter for human studies was applied for studies published before 2020. A simple secondary search was conducted in Google Scholar to supplement the PubMed search.

 Records were screened by two independent reviewers using the following inclusion criteria: the population included human adults exposed to dioxins, DL-PCBs, or benzofurans, and an outcome of T2D, hyperglycemia, prediabetes, metabolic syndrome, or glucose intolerance.

2.2 Data extraction

Data extracted from each study included: authors, publication year, cohort location, type of study

(e.g. cohort, cross-sectional, case-control), sample size, type of exposure (disaster or non-disaster),

specific pollutant(s) measured, diabetes assessment method, outcome determined, considerations

made for sex-specific associations (whether data was stratified by sex, unstratified by sex, or only

considered 1 sex), analysis strategy (e.g. covariates), and measures of association (see **Table 1** for

Sex-specific links between pollutants and diabetes

 key details and **Supplementary Table 2** for additional information on each study). Study quality was determined by 2 independent assessors. All studies included in the meta-analysis were assessed for publication bias via funnel plot (**Supplementary Figures 1-3**). Primary summary measures were usually stated as risk ratio (RR) or odds ratio (OR), however incidence rate ratio (IRR), and incidence density ratio (IDR) association measures were also included.

2.3 Meta-analysis strategy

197 All forest plots included in the meta-analysis were accompanied with I^2 -statistics to explore for heterogeneity (Borenstein et al. 2009). All analyses were performed using a random-effects model with OR/RR/IDR summary measures and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). We first performed grouped analysis combining both sexes in all stratified and non-stratified studies. Then, sex-specific associations between T2D incidence and contaminant exposure were evaluated using sub-group analysis via forest plot for male and female populations separately. Lastly, to accurately assess sex-specific susceptibility to T2D within a population, we modeled the difference in the measures of association [Female (OR)] – [Male (OR)] in each sex-stratified study to generate a summary risk difference (RD). Standard errors were calculated based on the 95% CIs from each study.

 We also further investigated heterogeneity in the measures of association across mode of exposure (non-disaster versus disaster), type of pollutant, and geographical location (continent). "Non-disaster exposure" was defined to include long-term background low-level exposure typical of the general population (for example through consumption of high fat animal products), chronic "moderate-level" residential exposure in a contaminated region, or long-term high-level occupational exposure (typically military or industrial). "Disaster-exposure" was defined as abrupt, high-level exposure from sudden release of pollutant(s), as through industrial accident or food contamination.

 We examined the contribution of publication bias and small study size within the available literature with funnel plots and Egger's tests (Borenstein et al. 2009) (**Supplementary Figures 1- 3**). All analyses were performed using the STATA(SE) 16 statistical software (StataCorp. 2019. *Stata Statistical Software: Release 16*. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC)

3. Results

3.1 Summary of articles

Our literature search identified 863 articles, of which 81 met our inclusion criteria (**Figure 2**).

Studies were excluded based on the following criteria: (1) studies that did not examine POPs (528

articles); (2) studies that did not examine dioxins or DL POPs (64 articles); (3) studies that did not

 examine T2D or related metabolic outcomes(105 articles); (4) animal studies or early life exposure studies (37 articles); (5) duplicate studies (48 articles). The age range of study populations in the

81 included studies was >20-59 years (**Supplementary Table 2**).

Sex-specific links between pollutants and diabetes

 Of the 81 articles that initially met our inclusion criteria, the majority (56%, 45 articles) examined sex-unstratified data (i.e. combined male and female) and only 13 studies stratified data by sex (**Figure 2**). There were also 13 studies with data from males only and 10 studies with data from females only (**Figure 2A**). We proceeded to perform our meta-analysis on the 18 articles that either stratified data by sex or reported data from 1 sex and also reported risk association values (Bertazzi et al. 2001; Han et al. 2020; Huang et al. 2015; 2017; Silverstone et al. 2012; Turyk et al. 2009; Vasiliu et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2008; Cappelletti et al. 2016; Kang et al. 2006; Kim et al. 2003; Michalek and Pavuk 2008; Persky et al. 2012; K. Steenland et al. 2001; Yamamoto et al. 2015; Berg et al. 2021; Rylander et al. 2015; Zong et al. 2018). Studies were excluded from meta- analysis if they (1) presented data that was not stratified by sex (45 articles); (2) did not report measures of association (i.e., OR/RR/IDR) (10 articles); (3) repeated measures within an already studied population (of these, only the most recent study was included) (6 articles); (4) did not determine exposure by serum pollutant concentrations (1 article); and (5) did not use an appropriate reference group (1 article) (**Figure 2A**). All studies reported clinical or self-reported T2D or metabolic syndrome diagnosis; most studies of the latter category medically verified self- reported cases. Publication bias using funnel plots and Egger's test was found to be significant for all studies (p = 0.049) (**Supplementary Figure 1**). However, this significance was driven by the 245 female only data ($p = 0.025$) (**Supplementary Figure 2**). Male only data showed no publication bias (**Supplementary Figure 3**).

 The final 18 studies were subsequently categorized by mode of chemical exposure. Interestingly, of the studies conducted on disaster-exposed populations, 57% were stratified by sex, 29% contained female-only data, 14% were unstratified and none examined male-only data (**Figure 2B**). In contrast, for studies examining non-disaster exposure to dioxin or DL-PCBs, the majority reported sex-unstratified data (59%), followed by male-only data as the second most predominant (18%), and sex-stratified or female-only data composing only 12% and 11% of studies, respectively (**Figure 2B**). Of the 18 articles included in our meta-analysis, 15 articles were non-disaster exposure (7 male-only, 5 stratified, 3 female-only) and 3 articles were disaster exposure, all of which reported sex-stratified data (**Figure 2**).

3.2 Both sexes show a significant association between pollutant exposure and T2D

 When examining pooled data from all 18 studies, we found that both sexes showed a significant association between pollutant exposure and T2D (**Figure 3, Table 2**). Males showed a 1.95x 260 increased summary risk between exposed and reference populations (95% CI = 1.56 -2.43) and females showed a 1.78x increased risk (95% CI = 1.37-2.31) relative to control populations 262 (Figure 3). Interestingly, variance due to heterogeneity was significant between the sexes $(I^2 =$ 51.9% , $p = 0.001$), indicating that additional variables contribute to determining this association. There was also no significant difference between the sexes when we examined the calculated RD within studies that reported sex-stratified data (RD = 1.18, 95% CI = 0.75-1.87) (**Figure 4)**.

 We next looked for sex differences when studies were further subcategorized by population location or type of pollutant (**Table 2**). There were no clear sex differences within either of these subcategories, with the exception of DL-PCBs, which showed a significant association with T2D 269 in females (OR = 1.89, 95% CI = 1.16-3.09; 4 studies), but not males (OR = 1.45, 95% CI = 0.63- 3.38; 3 studies) (**Table 2**). However, most of these subcategories had too few studies to rule out potential sex differences.

Sex-specific links between pollutants and diabetes

3.3 Sex differences when considering mode of exposure

 Our meta-analysis revealed clear sex-specific associations when we categorized studies by mode of exposure (i.e., disaster versus non-disaster). Males exposed to pollutants via non-disaster showed a significant 2.02x increased risk for T2D incidence relative to control populations (95% CI = 1.58-2.59), compared to a non-significant 1.59x increased risk when exposed via disaster (95% CI = 0.95-2.66) (**Figure 5A, Table 2**). Females show the opposite trend, with a modest 1.40x 278 increased risk associated with non-disaster exposure $(95\% \text{ CI} = 1.17-1.68)$ compared to a pronounced 2.86x increased risk associated with disaster exposure (95% CI = 1.70-4.84) (**Figure 5B, Table 2**). Therefore, in disaster exposure conditions, the increased risk for T2D is primarily driven by a significant increase in the OR for females but not males.

 To further investigate these sex differences, we performed a meta-analysis on only sex- stratified studies using the calculated differences in association measures between the sexes within the same population (**Figure 6**). As expected, there was no difference in the risk difference 285 between the sexes when considering non-disaster exposure studies (OR = 0.93 , 95% CI = 0.57 - 1.52). Interestingly, there was a 1.95x increase in risk difference between the sexes when only disaster-exposure studies were analyzed (95% CI = 0.99-3.84) (**Figure 6**).

4. Discussion

 A total of 18 articles were included in this meta-analysis to investigate whether there are sex- specific associations between dioxin or DL-PCB exposure and T2D incidence in adult populations. When we considered all studies together, there were no sex differences in this association, but sex differences emerged when data was further stratified by mode of exposure. We found that the strength of association between exposure to dioxin/DL-PCBs via disaster and incident diabetes was stronger in females than males. Importantly, this sex difference was consistent when we calculated the summary OR for females versus males as well as the risk difference within matched populations. To our knowledge, mode of exposure has not previously been considered when examining sex differences in epidemiology literature on pollutant exposure.

 Our review of the epidemiological literature revealed important gaps that limited our meta-analysis of sex-specific associations between pollutant exposure and diabetes. Sex-stratified studies accounted for only 16% of the literature examining links between diabetes and dioxin or DL-PCB exposure. Furthermore, most studies (56%) in the general population did not stratify data by sex, which limited our analysis of non-disaster exposure. Additional research in sex-stratified populations is essential to confirm the sex differences revealed by our meta-analysis in disaster- exposed populations and to elucidate potential sex differences in the general population. Female only data showed publication bias, whereas male only data did not, which strengthens our assertion that sex-differences need to be considered in future epidemiological studies in the general population. It would also be of interest to understand if the pollutant burden differs between sexes following disaster-exposure, as this could contribute to the sex differences found in our meta- analyses. A more comprehensive meta-analysis that also considers other POPs, such as organochlorine pesticides or perfluorinated chemicals, could facilitate a more complete picture of the literature and identify potential sex-specific associations. In addition, carefully controlled studies in model systems can provide valuable insight into potential mechanistic links, different

Sex-specific links between pollutants and diabetes

 modes of pollutant exposure (e.g. chronic low-dose versus single high-dose), and sex-specific diabetes pathogenesis.

 Despite limitations in sex-stratified epidemiological literature, we noted parallels between the human and rodent data that strengthen our hypothesis that females may be more prone to dioxin/DL pollutant-induced diabetes than males. For example, in human populations with disaster-exposure to dioxins/DL-PCBs, females had a higher risk for T2D than males. The rodent study that best models a disaster exposure scenario is a single, high-dose TCDD exposure protocol, in which TCDD-exposed female but not male mice developed transient hyperglycemia compared to vehicle-exposed controls (Hoyeck et al. 2020). To mimic the background-level exposure that humans experience in non-disaster settings, a repeated low-dose chemical exposure protocol in rodents is often used. Our lab reported that low-dose TCDD exposure for 12 weeks did not disrupt glucose homeostasis in male or female mice fed a chow diet (Matteo et al. 2020). However, TCDD accelerated the onset of high fat diet-induced hyperglycemia in female mice but not male mice (Matteo et al. 2020). The epidemiology data in non-disaster cohorts showed a consistent increased risk of diabetes incidence in both males and females but these studies do not consider BMI or diet composition, which may be important covariates contributing to sex-specific associations. Another consideration is that low-dose TCDD exposure for 12 weeks in mice is far from the life-long exposure experienced by humans. So while male mice did not develop hyperglycemia in this timeframe, longer-term studies are certainly warranted given the clear epidemiological association between background level TCDD exposure and increased diabetes incidence in males.

 One potential mechanism for the effects of dioxin/DL-PCB exposure on glucose homeostasis is AhR activation in metabolic tissues, including pancreatic islets (Ibrahim et al. 2020). Interestingly, AhR upregulates CYP enzyme isoforms in a sex-specific manner (Kwekel et al. 2010; L. Yang and Li 2012) and several studies have reported higher levels of CYP enzymes, including CYP1A1, CYP1A2, CYP1B1 in females compared to males in mice, pigs, and humans (Skaanild and Friis 1999; Finnström et al. 2002; Lu et al. 2013). In addition, Roh et al. (2015) reported that in humans, females had significantly higher AhR ligand activity (including both exogenous and endogenous ligands) than males. There was also a significant association between increased serum AhR ligand activity and T2D (Roh et al. 2015). The AhR pathway is involved in multiple essential cellular functions, including xenobiotic metabolism, cell cycle, inflammation, circadian rhythm, adhesion and migration, cellular plasticity, and estrogen receptor signaling (Larigot et al. 2018; Nebert 2017; Quintana and Sherr 2013; Bock 2018; Kung, Murphy, and White 2009; Anderson et al. 2013; Lu et al. 2013; Swedenborg and Pongratz 2010). Exploring potential mechanisms underlying sex differences in AhR activation and CYP enzyme expression are beyond the scope of this article, but deserve further study.

 Age of exposure is an important covariate that we could not control for in our meta- analysis, but we limited our inclusion criteria to studies on adult populations to minimize variability. Childhood exposure to dioxins and DL-PCBs is associated with myriad complications that can present at birth (Tawara et al. 2009; Nghiem et al. 2019) and childhood (Nguyen et al. 2018; Nishijo et al. 2012; Tai et al. 2016; Tran et al. 2016; Pham et al. 2020; Z. Wang et al. 2019; Ames et al. 2019), and subsequently impact adult health. There are also interesting sex-specific effects reported in these populations (Nishijo et al. 2012; Nguyen et al. 2018; Tai et al. 2016; Pham et al. 2020; Z. Wang et al. 2019; Ames et al. 2019), further emphasizing the need for sex-stratified data in the epidemiology literature. However, the current study was intentionally designed to focus on the association between adult exposure and adult presentation of T2D.

Sex-specific links between pollutants and diabetes

 In summary, this review compared articles that examined associations between T2D incidence and pollutant exposure from either a disaster or non-disaster setting, which included high occupational background exposure and low ubiquitous background exposure. Females showed statistically significant associations between dioxin/DL-PCB exposure and increased diabetes risk under disaster conditions, whereas males did not. More epidemiological studies with sex-stratified data are needed to confirm this observation and further investigate potential sex differences within the general population. Collectively, this work will help to inform legislation and policy-makers on taking measures towards pollutant control.

Acknowledgements

 We thank Myriam Hoyeck and Erin Mulvihill for thoughtful discussions of the literature and assistance with literature searches and article classification. We thank Kayleigh Rick for her assistance with the literature search. We thank Geronimo Matteo for his assistance in article preparation. This research was supported by a Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) Project Grant (#PJT-2018-159590).

Conflict of Interest Statement

- *The authors declare they have no actual or potential competing financial interests.*
-
-

References

- Al-Othman, Abdulaziz A., Sherif H. Abd-Alrahman, and Nasser M. Al-Daghri. 2015. "DDT and Its Metabolites Are Linked to Increased Risk of Type 2 Diabetes among Saudi Adults: A Cross-Sectional Study." *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 22 (1): 379–86. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3371-0.
- Al-Othman, Abdulaziz, Sobhy Yakout, Sherif H. Abd-Alrahman, and Nasser M. Al-Daghri.
- 2014. "Strong Associations between the Pesticide Hexachlorocyclohexane and Type 2
- Diabetes in Saudi Adults." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public*
- *Health* 11 (9): 8984–95. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph110908984.
- Ames, Jennifer, Marcella Warner, Claudia Siracusa, Stefano Signorini, Paolo Brambilla, Paolo Mocarelli, and Brenda Eskenazi. 2019. "Prenatal Dioxin Exposure and Neuropsychological
- Functioning in the Seveso Second Generation Health Study." *International Journal of*
- *Hygiene and Environmental Health* 222 (3): 425–33.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2018.12.009.

 Aminov, Zafar, Richard Haase, and David O. Carpenter. 2016. "Diabetes in Native Americans: Elevated Risk as a Result of Exposure to Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)." *Reviews on Environmental Health* 31 (1): 115–19. https://doi.org/10.1515/reveh-2015-0054.

Aminov, Zafar, Richard Haase, Robert Rej, Maria J. Schymura, Azara Santiago-Rivera, Gayle

Sex-specific links between pollutants and diabetes

- Population-Based Study." *Medicine (United States)* 94 (42): e1730.
- https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000001730.
- Ibrahim, Muna, Erin M. MacFarlane, Geronimo Matteo, Myriam P. Hoyeck, Kayleigh R.C.
- Rick, Salar Farokhi, Catherine M. Copley, Shannon O'Dwyer, and Jennifer E. Bruin. 2020.
- "Functional Cytochrome P450 1A Enzymes Are Induced in Mouse and Human Islets
- Following Pollutant Exposure." *Diabetologia* 63 (1): 162–78.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-019-05035-0.
- Jaacks, Lindsay M., Sudesh Yadav, Parinya Panuwet, Sushil Kumar, Girish H. Rajacharya, Cierra Johnson, Ishita Rawal, et al. 2019. "Metabolite of the Pesticide DDT and Incident Type 2 Diabetes in Urban India." *Environment International* 133 (May): 105089. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.105089.
- Kahn, S. E. 2003. "The Relative Contributions of Insulin Resistance and Beta-Cell Dysfunction to the Pathophysiology of Type 2 Diabetes." *Diabetologia* 46 (1): 3–19. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-002-1009-0.
- Kahn, S. E., S. Zraika, K. M. Utzschneider, and R. L. Hull. 2009. "The Beta Cell Lesion in Type 2 Diabetes: There Has to Be a Primary Functional Abnormality." *Diabetologia* 52 (6): 1003–12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-009-1321-z.
- Kang, Han K., Nancy A. Dalager, Larry L. Needham, Donald G. Patterson, Peter S.J. Lees, Katherine Yates, and Genevieve M. Matanoski. 2006. "Health Status of Army Chemical Corps Vietnam Veterans Who Sprayed Defoliant in Vietnam." *American Journal of Industrial Medicine* 49 (11): 875–84. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.20385.
- Karnes, Conny, Andrea Winquist, and Kyle Steenland. 2014. "Incidence of Type II Diabetes in a Cohort with Substantial Exposure to Perfluorooctanoic Acid." *Environmental Research* 128: 78–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2013.11.003.
- Karouna-Renier, Natalie K., K. Ranga Rao, John J. Lanza, Deeya A. Davis, and Patricia A. Wilson. 2007. "Serum Profiles of PCDDs and PCDFs, in Individuals near the Escambia Wood Treating Company Superfund Site in Pensacola, FL." *Chemosphere* 69 (8): 1312–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.05.028.
- Kim, Joung Soon, Hyun Sul Lim, Sung Il Cho, Hae Kwan Cheong, and Min Kyung Lim. 2003. "Impact of Agent Orange Exposure among Korean Vietnam Veterans." *Industrial Health* 41 (3): 149–57. https://doi.org/10.2486/indhealth.41.149.
- Knip, Mikael, Riitta Veijola, Suvi M. Virtanen, Heikki Hyoty, Outi Vaarala, and Hans K. Akerblom. 2005. "Environmental Triggers and Determinants of Type 1 Diabetes." *Diabetes* 54 (2): S125–36. https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdr334.
- Kung, Tiffany, K. A. Murphy, and L. A. White. 2009. "The Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor (AhR) Pathway as a Regulatory Pathway for Cell Adhesion and Matrix Metabolism." *Biochemical Pharmacology* 77 (4): 536–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2008.09.031.

Kurita, Hisaka, Wataru Yoshioka, Noriko Nishimura, Naoto Kubota, Takashi Kadowaki, and Chiharu Tohyama. 2009. "Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor-Mediated Effects of 2,3,7,8- Tetrachlorodibenzo-p- Dioxin on Glucose-Stimulated Insulin Secretion in Mice." *Journal of*

- *Applied Toxicology* 29 (8): 689–94. https://doi.org/10.1002/jat.1459.
- Kwekel, Joshua C., Varsha G. Desai, Carrie L. Moland, William S. Branham, and James C. Fuscoe. 2010. "Age and Sex Dependent Changes in Liver Gene Expression during the Life Cycle of the Rat." *BMC Genomics* 11 (1). https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-11-675.
- Larigot, Lucie, Ludmila Juricek, Julien Dairou, and Xavier Coumoul. 2018. "AhR Signaling Pathways and Regulatory Functions." *Biochimie Open* 7: 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopen.2018.05.001.
- Lee, Duk-Hee, Miquel Porta, David R. Jacobs Jr., and Laura N. Vandenberg. 2014. "Chlorinated Persistant Organic Pollutants, Obesity, and Type 2 Diabetes." *Endocrine Reviews* 35 (4): 557–601.
- Lee, Duk Hee, P. Monica Lind, David R. Jacobs, Samira Salihovic, Bert Van Bavel, and Lars Lind. 2011. "Polychlorinated Biphenyls and Organochlorine Pesticides in Plasma Predict Development of Type 2 Diabetes in the Elderly: The Prospective Investigation of the Vasculature in Uppsala Seniors (PIVUS) Study." *Diabetes Care* 34 (8): 1778–84. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc10-2116.
- Lee, Duk Hee, Michael W. Steffes, Andreas Sjödin, Richard S. Jones, Larry L. Needham, and David R. Jacobs. 2010. "Low Dose of Some Persistent Organic Pollutants Predicts Type 2 Diabetes: A Nested Case-Control Study." *Environmental Health Perspectives* 118 (9): 1235–42. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0901480.
- Lind, Lars, Björn Zethelius, Samira Salihovic, Bert Van Bavel, and P. Monica Lind. 2014. "Circulating Levels of Perfluoroalkyl Substances and Prevalent Diabetes in the Elderly." *Diabetologia* 57 (3): 473–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-013-3126-3.
- Longnecker, Matthew P., and Joel E. Michalek. 2000. "Serum Dioxin Level in Relation to Diabetes Mellitus among Air Force Veterans with Background Levels of Exposure." *Epidemiology* 11 (1): 44–48. https://doi.org/10.1097/00001648-200001000-00010.
- Lu, Yuan Fu, Tao Jin, Yasha Xu, Dan Zhang, Qin Wu, Yu Kun Jennifer Zhang, and Jie Liu. 2013. "Sex Differences in the Circadian Variation of Cytochrome P450 Genes and Corresponding Nuclear Receptors in Mouse Liver." *Chronobiology International* 30 (9): 1135–43. https://doi.org/10.3109/07420528.2013.805762.
- MacNeil, Jessica, N. Kyle Steenland, Anoop Shankar, and Alan Ducatman. 2009. "A Cross- Sectional Analysis of Type II Diabetes in a Community with Exposure to Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA)." *Environmental Research* 109 (8): 997–1003. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2009.08.002.
- Mannetje, Andrea, Amanda Eng, Chris Walls, Evan Dryson, Jeroen Douwes, Pier Bertazzi, Simon Ryder-Lewis, et al. 2018. "Morbidity in New Zealand Pesticide Producers Exposed to 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-Dioxin (TCDD)." *Environment International* 110 (October 2017): 22–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2017.09.018.
- Marinković, Natalija, Daria Pašalić, Goran Ferenčak, Branka Gršković, and Ana Stavljenić Rukavina. 2010. "Dioxins and Human Toxicity." *Arhiv Za Higijenu Rada i Toksikologiju* 61 (4): 445–53. https://doi.org/10.2478/10004-1254-61-2010-2024.

- https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010746.
- Persky, Victoria, Julie Piorkowski, Mary Turyk, Sally Freels, Robert Chatterton, John Dimos, H.
- Leon Bradlow, et al. 2012. "Polychlorinated Biphenyl Exposure, Diabetes and Endogenous
- Hormones: A Cross-Sectional Study in Men Previously Employed at a Capacitor
- Manufacturing Plant." *Environmental Health: A Global Access Science Source* 11 (1): 1–
- 11. https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-11-57.
- Pham, Thao Ngoc, Muneko Nishijo, Tai The Pham, Hoa Thi Vu, Nghi Ngoc Tran, Anh Hai Tran, Quyet Do, Tomoya Takiguchi, Yoshikazu Nishino, and Hisao Nishijo. 2020. "Dioxin Exposure and Sexual Dimorphism of Gaze Behavior in Prepubertal Vietnamese Children Living in Da Nang, a Hot Spot for Dioxin Contamination." *Science of the Total Environment* 749: 141083. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141083.
- Porte, Daniel, and Steven E. Kahn E. 2001. "β-Cell Dysfunction and Failure in Type 2 Diabetes: Potential Mechanisms." *Diabetes* 50 (SUPPL. 1): 9–12. https://doi.org/10.2337/diabetes.50.2007.s160.
- Quintana, Francisco J., and David H. Sherr. 2013. "Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor Control of Adaptive Immunity." *Pharmacological Reviews* 65 (4): 1148–61. https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.113.007823.
- Rahman, Mohammad L., Cuilin Zhang, Melissa M. Smarr, Sunmi Lee, Masato Honda, Kurunthachalam Kannan, Fasil Tekola-Ayele, and Germaine M. Buck Louis. 2019. "Persistent Organic Pollutants and Gestational Diabetes: A Multi-Center Prospective Cohort Study of Healthy US Women." *Environment International* 124 (November 2018): 249–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.01.027.
- Roh, Eun, Soo Heon Kwak, Hye Seung Jung, Young Min Cho, Youngmi Kim Pak, Kyong Soo Park, Seong Yeon Kim, and Hong Kyu Lee. 2015. "Serum Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor Ligand Activity Is Associated with Insulin Resistance and Resulting Type 2 Diabetes." *Acta Diabetologica* 52 (3): 489–95. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00592-014-0674-z.
- Rozman, Karl K. 1999. "(HpCDD), after Oral Administration , Obeys Haber ' s Rule of Inhalation Toxicology" 109: 102–9.
- Rylander, Charlotta, Torkjel Manning Sandanger, Therese Haugdahl Nøst, Knut Breivik, and Eiliv Lund. 2015. "Combining Plasma Measurements and Mechanistic Modeling to Explore the Effect of POPs on Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Norwegian Women." *Environmental Research* 142: 365–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2015.07.002.
- Schecter, Arnold, Linda Birnbaum, John J. Ryan, and John D. Constable. 2006. "Dioxins: An Overview." *Environmental Research* 101 (3): 419–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2005.12.003.
- Schwetz, B.A., J.M Norris, G.L. Sparschu, V.K. Rowe, P.J. Gehring, J.L. Emerson, and C.G. Gerbig. 1973. "Toxicology of Chlorinated Dibenzo-p-Dioxins." *Environmental Health*
- *Perspectives* 5: 87–99.
- Silverstone, Allen E., Paula F. Rosenbaum, Ruth S. Weinstock, Scott M. Bartell, Herman R.

Sex-specific links between pollutants and diabetes

826 **Table 1**. Summary of 18 articles investigating the association between dioxins and/or DL-PCBs

827 and diabetes incidence included in the meta-analysis.

828

829

Sex-specific links between pollutants and diabetes

- 830 **Table 2.** "Male Only" and "Female Only" subgroup analyses of associations between dioxin/DL
- 831 chemical exposure and T2D outcome. **Note:** "n" = number of entries included in each analysis out 832 of all studies.
	- **Heterogeneity Summary Estimates** \mathbf{n} \mathbf{l}^2 **² p-value OR 95% CI Males Type of Pollutant** Overall 15 51.3% 0.008 1.95 1.56 - 2.43 TCDD | 5 48.6% 0.100 | 1.84 1.31 - 2.58 PCDD/Fs 4 66.8% 0.029 2.35 1.57 - 3.52 Total PCBs | 1 n/a n/a | 1.74 0.91 - 3.33 DL-PCBs | 3 71.4% 0.030 | 1.45 0.63 - 3.38 PCB-118 | 2 56.7% 0.128 | 2.51 0.78 - 8.10 **Mode of Exposure** Overall 15 53.3% 0.008 1.95 1.56 - 2.43 Disaster 3 0% 0.562 1.59 0.95 - 2.66 Non-disaster | 12 61.4% 0.003 | 2.02 1.58 - 2.59 **Continent** Overall 15 53.3% 0.008 1.95 1.56 - 2.43 Asia 6 53.8% 0.055 2.49 1.62 - 3.81 Europe 1 2 51.3% 0.152 1.64 0.49 - 5.48 North America | 7 55.1% 0.037 | 1.67 1.22 - 2.27 **Females Type of Pollutant** Overall 11 47.7% 0.039 1.78 1.37 - 2.31 TCDD 1 n/a n/a 2.20 1.03 – 4.72 PCDD/Fs 2 68.9% 0.073 1.55 0.80 - 3.00 Total PCBs 1 n/a n/a 2.33 1.25 – 4.34 DL-PCBs | 4 73.4% 0.010 | 1.89 1.16 - 3.09 PCB-118 | 3 0.0% 0.569 | 1.91 0.87 – 4.20 **Mode of Exposure** Overall 11 47.7% 0.039 1.78 1.37 - 2.31 Disaster 3 32.7% 0.226 2.86 1.70 – 4.84 Non-disaster | 8 3.1% 0.406 1.40 1.17 – 1.68 **Continent** Overall 11 47.7% 0.039 1.78 1.37 - 2.31 Asia 1.15 – 4 76.3% 0.005 2.38 1.15 – 4.92 Europe 1 3 0.0% 0.887 2.10 1.26 – 3.51 North America $\begin{vmatrix} 4 & 16.9\% & 0.307 \end{vmatrix}$ 1.44 1.12 – 1.84
- 833
- 834

835

836

Sex-specific links between pollutants and diabetes

Figure Legends

- **Figure 1.** Chemical structure of (**A**) dioxins and (**B**) dioxin-like (DL) PCBs commonly
- considered in epidemiological studies.

- **Figure 2.** (**A)** Summary of inclusion and exclusion criteria for articles analysed in this meta-
- analysis, and (**B)** the proportion of studies that were sex-stratified, sex-unstratified, or considered
- male-only or female-only populations.

 Figure 3. Forest plot of all studies included in meta-analysis, separated by sex. Summary effect measures are significant for both males and females.

- **Figure 4.** Forest plot of risk difference for pollutant exposure and diabetes incidence between
- males and females from the same study population (only sex-stratified studies included).
- Summary measures were generated from the difference in summary effect measures between the
- sexes (Females Males).

- **Figure 5.** Forest plot of association between pollutant exposure and diabetes incidence with
- separate analysis for disaster and non-disaster exposure in (**A)** males and **(B)** females.

- **Figure 6.** Forest plot of risk difference for disaster versus non-disaster pollutant exposure and
- diabetes incidence between males and females from the same study population (only sex-
- stratified studies included). Summary measures were generated from the difference in summary

effect measures between the sexes (Females - Males) and stratified by mode of exposure.

Running title: Dioxins and Type 2 Diabetes

1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Heptachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-Octachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin **HpCDD**

1,2,3,6,7,8-Hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin
HxCDD

OCDD

2,3,3',4,4'-Pentachlorobiphenyl
PCB 105

3,3',4,4',5-Pentachlorobiphenyl **PCB 126**

2,3',4,4',5-Pentachlorobiphenyl
PCB 118

2,3,3',4,4',5-Hexachlorobiphenyl **PCB 156**

Sex-specific links between pollutants and diabetes

Figure 2

Sex-specific links between pollutants and diabetes

Figure 3

REFERENCE

OR (95% CI) % Weight

Males				
Bertazzi et al. 2001			0.60(0.09, 3.84)	0.75
Huang et al. 2015			3.30 (1.99, 5.47)	5.07
Vasiliu et al. 2006			1.74 (0.91, 3.33)	3.90
Wang et al. 2008			1.70 (0.66, 4.36)	2.37
Cappelletti et al. 2016			2.39 (1.67, 3.42)	6.59
Kang et al. 2006			1.49 (1.10, 2.02)	7.18
Kim et al. 2003			2.69 (1.09, 6.65)	2.51
Michalek and Pavuk 2008			1.58 (1.12, 2.23)	6.70
Steenland et al. 2001			3.21(1.81, 5.71)	4.46
Huang et al. 2017			1.59 (1.22, 2.08)	7.59
Yamamoto et al. 2015			4.98 (1.17, 21.18)	1.17
Persky et al. 2012			2.70 (1.28, 5.70)	3.28
Silverstone et al. 2012			0.71(0.36, 1.40)	3.72
Turyk et al. 2009			1.40 (0.48, 4.06)	1.97
Han et al. 2020			4.62 (1.52, 14.05)	1.83
Subgroup, DL $(l^2 = 53.3\%, p = 0.008)$			1.95 (1.56, 2.43)	59.08
Females				
Bertazzi et al. 2001			2.20 (1.03, 4.72)	3.19
Huang et al. 2015			2.30 (1.20, 4.40)	3.90
Vasiliu et al. 2006			2.33 (1.25, 4.34)	4.10
Wang et al. 2008			5.50 (2.28, 13.28)	2.61
Rylander et al. 2015			1.55(0.41, 5.86)	1.36
Zong et al. 2018			1.24 (0.95, 1.61)	7.62
Berg et al. 2021			2.24 (1.00, 5.01)	2.97
Huang et al. 2017			1.16 (0.80, 1.68)	6.43
Silverstone et al. 2012			1.51 (1.02, 2.24)	6.19
Turyk et al. 2009			1.10(0.21, 5.66)	0.94
Han et al. 2020			3.08 (0.92, 10.33)	1.60
Subgroup, DL (I^2 = 47.7%, p = 0.039)			1.78 (1.37, 2.31)	40.92
Heterogeneity between groups: $p = 0.615$				
Overall, DL ($I^2 = 51.9\%$, p = 0.001)			1.88 (1.59, 2.22)	100.00
.0625		16		

NOTE: Weights and between-subgroup heterogeneity test are from random-effects model

Sex-specific links between pollutants and diabetes

 $\, {\bf B}$

 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{A}}$

NOTE: Weights and between-subgroup heterogeneity test are from random-effects model

Sex-specific links between pollutants and diabetes

Figure 6

NOTE: Weights are from random-effects model