

Overall vertical transmission of HCV, transmission net of clearance, and timing of transmission.

Professor A E Ades, PhD,¹ Fabiana Gordon, PhD,¹ Karen Scott MSc,² Jeannie Collins PhD,²
 Professor Claire Thorne PhD,³ Lucy Pembrey PhD,⁴ Elizabeth Chappell PhD,²
 Eugènia Mariné-Barjoan MD,⁵ Professor Karina Butler MB, BCh, FRCPI,⁶
 Professor Giuseppe Indolfi, MD,⁷ Professor Diana M Gibb MD,^{2*} Professor Ali Judd PhD,^{2*}
 *Joint last authors

¹ Population Health Sciences, University of Bristol Medical School, Whatley Road Bristol BS8 2PS

² MRC Clinical Trials Unit, University College London, 90 High Holborn, London WC1V 6LJ

³ Population, Policy and Practice Research and Teaching Department, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, 30 Guilford Street, London WC1N 1EH

⁴ London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London WC1E 7HT

⁵ Université Côte d'Azur, Public Health Department. Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nice, Rte St Antoine de Ginestière BP 3079. 06202 Nice cedex 2

⁶ Children's Health Ireland at Crumlin and Temple Street, Dublin, Ireland

⁷ Meyer Children's Hospital and Department Neurofarba, University of Florence, Viale Gaetano Pieraccini, 24, 50139 Firenze FI, Italy

Corresponding author:

Dr AE Ades, Population Health Sciences, University of Bristol Medical School, Whatley Road, Bristol BS8 2PS. t.ades@bristol.ac.uk 44-07879-401-276

Key points

Taking account of infections that would have cleared spontaneously before detection, the rate of HCV vertical transmission is 7.2% (95%CrI 5.6-8.9) in mono-infected women, but transmission “net” of clearance is 3.1% (1.8-4.4) at 3 years, and 2.4% (1.1-4.1) at 5.

Keywords

Hepatitis C virus; HCV; vertical transmission; spontaneous clearance; net transmission

Abstract

Background: It is widely accepted that the risk of HCV vertical transmission (VT) is 5-6% in mono-infected women, and that 25-40% of HCV infection clears spontaneously within 5 years. However, VT and clearance rates have not been estimated from the same datasets, and there is a lack of information on VT rates “net” of clearance.

Methods: We re-analysed data on 1749 children in 3 prospective cohorts to obtain coherent estimates of overall VT rate and VT rates “net” of clearance at different ages. Clearance rates were used to impute the proportion of uninfected children who had been infected and then cleared before testing negative. The proportion of transmission early in utero, late in utero and at delivery was estimated from data on the proportion of RNA positives in samples tested within three days of birth, and differences between elective caesarean and non-elective caesarean deliveries.

Findings: Overall VT rates were 7.2% (95% credible interval 5.6-8.9) in mothers who were HIV negative and 12.1% (8.6-16.8) in HIV-co-infected women. The corresponding rates net of clearance at 5 years were 2.4% (1.1-4.1) and 4.1% (1.7-7.3). We estimated that 24.8% (12.1-40.8) of infections occur early in utero, 66.0% (42.5-83.3) later in utero, and 9.3% (0.5-30.6) during delivery.

Conclusion: Overall VT rates are about 24% higher than previously assumed, but the risk of infection persisting beyond age 5 years is about 38% lower. The results can inform design of trials of to prevent or treat pediatric HCV infection, and strategies to manage children exposed in utero.

250/250

With the discovery of direct acting antivirals (DAAs) to treat hepatitis C virus (HCV), attention is turning to interventions either in pregnancy or in infancy to prevent or treat vertically acquired infection. The WHO's target of HCV elimination by 2030[1] has added further urgency to this issue. According to a 2014 meta-analysis vertical transmission (VT) occurs in 5.8% of infants of HCV-RNA positive mothers who are not HIV-co-infected, and 10.8% if mothers also have HIV.[2] High HCV viral load, and prolonged rupture of membranes have also been associated with higher risk.[3]

The VT and clearance rates reported in the literature depend not only on how pediatric infection is defined, but also on the timing of diagnostic tests. Previous investigators have commented on the lack of standardization in testing schedules and in methods for calculating transmission rates.[21, 22] To illustrate, consider an infant whose first RNA test is at 3 months and is negative. This infant would be counted as uninfected in a prospective study estimating the VT rate. However, there is a possibility that the infant had been infected but that the infection cleared before 3 months. Moreover, if the first negative RNA test was at 6 months, an initial infection would have had longer in which to clear, and the possibility that the child had originally been infected would be correspondingly greater.

Infections that clear within the first 3 or 6 months do not require treatment or prevention, as it is assumed that they have no clinical significance. Even so, if it is true that unobserved spontaneous clearance is occurring, then VT rates calculated by the usual methods must be under-estimates. This introduces an undesirable lack of clarity about how much clearance might already be “factored in” to the commonly cited 5-10% transmission rates.

Unfortunately, very few studies have estimated both VT and clearance rates in the exact same dataset.[22, 23] A single, coherent, account of the underlying VT rate and the VT rate net of clearance at different ages is needed to inform strategies for prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of vertically-acquired infection, and are especially important for planning trials of

preventive and therapeutic interventions. Information on the timing and mechanisms of transmission may also be helpful in determining the optimal timing of preventive treatment in pregnancy.

In this paper, we use data on individual mother-child pairs from three published European cohorts to estimate, for the first time, both the overall rate of confirmed VT and the VT rates “net” of clearance at ages between 6 months and 5 years. The clearance rates assumed in this study are those relating to confirmed infection, estimated previously from the same data.[24]

The analysis described here looks at a limited number of risk factors, mother’s HCV-RNA viral load, mother’s HIV coinfection, and mode of delivery. We also investigate the timing and mechanism of infection, by estimating the proportion of infection that occurs early in utero, later in utero and during delivery.

METHODS

Data sources

Three prospective studies following infants born to HCV anti-body positive mothers were included: European Pediatric HCV Network (EPHN);[3, 8, 25, 26] the British Paediatric Surveillance Unit (BPSU) study, which included 3 hospitals in Dublin, Irish Republic and centres across the UK;[10] and the ALHICE study (Alpes-Maritimes, Languedoc, Haute Garonne Infection C chez l’Enfant).[27] The process by which these studies were selected has been described previously.[24]

Definitions

Infants were regarded as *Infected* if they were anti-HCV positive after 18 months and/or had at least two positive RNA tests; otherwise they were *Uninfected* if they tested RNA negative at least once after age 6 weeks or if their final anti-HCV test was negative; otherwise they

were *Indeterminate*. Note that “Infected” is to be interpreted as “ever-infected” because it is recognized that infected infants can clear infection, and that “uninfected” infants may have been infected and cleared. Supporting details are given in Supplementary Materials.

Ages at which tests are performed play a key role in the analysis. In Indeterminate infants we define *Age at last anti-HCV positive under 18 months*: the later the last positive anti-HCV test, the more likely the infant is to be infected. We also define *Age at last RNA negative under 6 weeks*: the later this is the less likely the infant is to have been infected. In Uninfected infants we also define *Age at last RNA negative under 6 weeks*, and in addition *Age at first anti-HCV negative test or the first negative RNA test over 6 weeks, whichever is earliest*. This is age when the infant is first known to be uninfected: the later this is the more likely the infant is to have been infected and cleared.

Statistical methods

Our objective was to estimate the risk of vertical infection, the impact of risk factors (mother’s HIV and HCV-RNA viral load), and the proportions of infection transmitted Early in Utero (EiU), Late in Utero (LiU) and at delivery. The proportion transmitted EiU is informed directly by the proportion RNA positive in the first 3 days. Assuming that children delivered by elective caesarean cannot acquire infection during delivery, the difference between overall transmission rates in ECS and non-ECS modes of delivery informs the proportion of non-EiU transmission that is LiU as opposed to occurring during delivery, among those not delivered by ECS.

The underlying model assumes that infants can be infected EiU, LiU or during delivery, with infection at each stage being conditional on not being infected at an earlier stage. Data is available on risk factors (Study: EPHN, BPSU, ALHICE; mother’s HIV status; and mother’s HCV viral load measured as near as possible to delivery: Low, High (>600 copies/ml)). Risk

factors impact on risk of transmission in each of the three routes as they would in a standard logistic regression, but it is assumed the impact is the same for each route. We assumed that there could be an interaction between mother's HIV and HCV-RNA viral load, so that the log odds ratio associated viral load could depend on HIV status. However, we set this constrained interaction model so that the log risk attached to HIV and high HCV viral load combined had to be greater than the risk of either factor alone, but no more than both added together. Standard interaction and main effect models were investigated as sensitivity analyses. All models controlled for study effects.

To simplify the analysis, mother-child pairs lacking data on mode of delivery or mother's HIV-status, and cases where the mother was known to be HCV-RNA negative were excluded. Mother's RNA status was unknown in 67% of the remaining records, and where RNA status was known to be positive, HCV viral load was unknown in 43% (Table 1). To make best use of data available we assumed that the proportions of mothers with low viral load, or no detectable RNA, stratified by both mother's HIV status and mode of delivery were exactly the same as where this information was known in the EPHN study. We assessed robustness of conclusions to these assumptions by sensitivity analyses assuming higher or lower proportions with low viral load and with no HCV RNA.

In outline, the statistical analysis estimates the probability that each child of indeterminate status is infected, based on their risk group and the age when they were last anti-HCV positive and the age at the last HCV-RNA negative if this was under 6 weeks. Similarly, the probability that each uninfected child was originally infected and then cleared is calculated, based again on risk group, and on the age when they were first ascertained as uninfected. The basis for these probability calculations is shown in Supplementary materials Table S1. The probabilities of infection in indeterminate and uninfected children are then added together,

and added to the number of children with confirmed infection to form a notional transmission rate

To estimate the overall VT rate, the analytic method in a sense “restores” the proportion of uninfected children who were originally infected but then cleared, based on the rate of clearance and age when they were first known to be negative, and then, to estimate the net VT rates at selected ages, it “removes” them assuming the same clearance rate.

The statistical analysis was carried out using Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo estimation. Details of the statistical methods are given in the Supplementary Materials.

RESULTS

The proportions infected, indeterminate and uninfected and the risk factor distributions are shown in Table 1.

Figure 1 panel A1 shows the probability that uninfected children were anti-HCV positive by age; B1 the probability that infected children were RNA negative under 6 weeks of age; C1 the probability that an infected child had not cleared by age. These functions had been estimated from the three cohorts in advance. Panel A2 is a histogram showing age at last positive anti-HCV among children with indeterminate status; B2 shows age at last RNA negative under 6 weeks in uninfected and indeterminate children; C2 shows age at first RNA or anti-HCV negative among uninfected children. The mean age when uninfected children of mono-infected women were first known to be uninfected was 5.2 months in, and 4.4 months in HIV co-infected women

Table 2 illustrates the results of imputing the probability of infection in each indeterminate and uninfected child. In addition to the 96 observed infections, there were a further estimated 10.2 infections among the 223 children with indeterminate status, and a further 9.0

unobserved infections among the 1430 nominally uninfected infants. In all, there were therefore an estimated total 115.2 infections. The VT rate among the uninfected is under 1%, but the imputed 9.0 “missed” infections represents 7.8% of the total 115.2. In the entire combined cohort of 1749, there is a nominal VT rate of 6.6% (6.2 – 7.1) (Table 2). Note, however, that the study population included 67% mothers who were anti-HCV positive but with unknown HCV-RNA status, a proportion of whom would have been RNA negative and would not have transmitted.

Analysis of risk factors (Table 3) suggests no important differences between studies, and strong effects of both maternal HIV status and maternal HCV-RNA viral load. Also shown are the absolute risks of transmission at each stage: early in utero, late in utero and at delivery, in the HIV negative low HCV-RNA viral load group. The proportion of transmission by each route (Table 4) indicates that in non-ECS deliveries, 24.8, 66.0 and 9.3 percent of transmissions occur early in utero, late in utero and at delivery. Among ECS deliveries we estimated 27.5% early and 72.5 late in utero. However, credible intervals were wide.

The overall VT rates by maternal HIV status, HCV viral load and mode of delivery are shown in Table 5, and the average *net* VT rates at ages from 3 months to 5 years are plotted in Figure 2 separately for children of mono-infected and HIV-co-infected mothers. In these groups overall transmission risks are 7.2% and 12.1% respectively, falling to VT rates net of clearance at 5 years of 2.4% (1.1-4.1) and 4.1% (1.7-7.3).

Sensitivity analyses (Table 6) suggest that the main results, namely the overall VT rates and the proportion of infection by each route, are relatively insensitive both to model choice, and to assumptions about missing data. Goodness of fit statistics fail to distinguish between the alternative models (a difference of less than 3 is not regarded as meaningful), and none of the

variations in modelling assumptions raise or lower key estimates by more than 5%, well within the statistical uncertainty of the preferred case model.

DISCUSSION

There has been little discussion of how the HCV VT rate should be calculated and reported: in particular, the question about how or whether clearance should be taken into account has received no attention. The few studies that investigated VT and clearance in the exact same data,[22, 23, 30] have reported VT rates of confirmed infection of 13.3%, 4.7% and 6.5% respectively, and 75%, 33.3% and 45% clearance before 5 years. These figures, however, are based on a total 32 infected children and do not account for unobserved clearance prior to age when infection status is ascertained.[24] The widely cited 25%-40% clearance rate[13] is based on data from the EPHN,[31] but the analysis included retrospectively recruited children, which is likely to have resulted in underestimates of clearance.[24]

The analysis here estimated 19.2 infections among the indeterminate and uninfected children, in addition to the 96 observed infections. The nominal transmission rate of 6.6% (Table 3) is in a population that includes 67.2% anti-HCV positive mothers with unknown PCR status, and is therefore lower than the VT rate that would be observed in a 100% HCV-RNA positive population. However, based on the VT rates in mono-infected and HIV-infected groups and the proportion HIV infected, it can be calculated that the 6.6% nominal rate is exactly what would be expected if 30.2% of the mothers with unknown HCV-RNA status were HCV-RNA negative and had a zero transmission rate. This accords with fact that 28% of the anti-HCV positive mothers were HCV-RNA negative in the EPHN cohort, and 31% in ALHICE.

When comparing results to previous literature, it is useful to consider VT rates in HIV uninfected and HIV co-infected mothers separately. The most recent meta-analysis of VT rates[2] reports 5.8% VT in HIV negative women. If we now apply 25%-40% clearance rates[31] (average 32.5%) to this, we predict that 3.9% of infants born to HCV-RNA positive mothers remain infected at 5 years. These figures can be compared to our estimated 7.2% overall transmission in mono-infected women and 2.4% net transmission at age 5 years. Thus, according to our analysis, the extent of VT is 24% higher than the accepted estimate, while the extent of chronic infection remaining at 5 years is 38% lower. Credible intervals should of course be taken into account (Figure 3).

It appears that the meta-analysis VT rate of 5.8%, set against our estimate of 7.2%, represents a VT rate net of clearance at just under 6 months.[24] This accords with our finding that the average age at which uninfected children were first known to be uninfected was 5.1 months.

The analysis has a number of limitations. Much of the data was collected at a time when PCR tests were less accurate: various estimates of sensitivity and specificity of the tests used during this period have been made,[24, 32, 33] but, like most investigators, we have taken test results at face value for the sake of simplicity. This may have impacted on the classification of individuals as infected, uninfected and indeterminate, and on the assumed time to loss of anti-HCV in uninfected infants, time to clearance, and time to positive RNA in infected infants. Another drawback is the extent of missing data on mother's viral load and RNA status. Although sensitivity analyses reveal that results are relatively robust against large changes in the assumed proportions RNA negative or with low viral load, the lack of data on this important risk factor has prevented us from investigating whether HIV and HCV-RNA status might impact transmission differently in utero or at delivery, or whether clearance rates themselves may be affected by risk factor. These questions do not appear to have been investigated previously.

Interpretation of any analysis based on confirmed infection is difficult because estimates of both VT and clearance rates will depend on the frequency and timing of testing. An alternative would be to base the clearance analysis not on “confirmed infection” but the presence of HCV-RNA.[24] This may make it easier to evaluate alternative protocols for early diagnosis of vertically-acquired infection, although the significance of viraemia in the absence of confirmed infection is not known.

Finally, our 12.2% estimate of the VT rate in HIV co-infected women may be of little contemporary relevance. Information on HIV treatment in two of our three cohorts was incomplete, but the majority of co-infected women would have been treated with the earlier generation of anti-retroviral drugs available up to 2003. Limited evidence from more recent European cohort studies including HIV/HCV co-infected women suggest substantially lower HCV VT rates, in the range 2.8%-5.9%,[34-36] in the context of high coverage with antiretroviral therapy and thus high levels of HIV suppression in pregnancy.

A major contribution of this paper is that it introduces methodology for simultaneously estimating overall VT rates and rates net of clearance. The novel element is the imputation of previously cleared infections among uninfected children, based on the age at which they were first known to be uninfected. This allows the investigator, for the first time, to accurately project the VT rate net of clearance at any age. Somewhat similar methodology, also applied in the present paper, has been used previously to impute the number of infections among indeterminates in studies of VT rates of both HCV[10] and HIV before PCR testing became widely available,[37-39] although in these studies the antibody loss curve was estimated simultaneously with the VT rate.

The second contribution is the findings on VT net of clearance. While they must be regarded as no more than provisional, given the shortcomings in the data, it is hoped that they will help inform trials of treatments in pregnancy to prevent vertical transmission. A recent phase I

trial of 8 women suggested that sofosbuvir-ledipasvir in pregnancy was well-tolerated, achieved maternal cure and prevented VT[4] and further trials are under way.[5, 6] The recommended care of children exposed in utero is to delay diagnosis until 18 months and then refer anti-HCV positives for RNA confirmatory testing at 3 years prior to treatment.[13] It is questionable whether this strategy is viable where there is substantial loss to follow-up, as has been reported in infants born to HCV-infected women in the US,[18-20, 40] Our results may therefore also be relevant to evaluate alternative diagnostic and treatment strategies if and when treatments are licensed for use in children under 3 years.

2966 / 3000 words

Notes

Author contributions AEA conceived and carried out the analyses with the assistance of FG. AEA wrote the first and subsequent drafts of the paper. KS carried out the literature search and review. AEA, AJ, JC, and DMG were co-investigators on the HCVAVERT project, and AJ was the principal investigator. EC was a researcher on the HCVAVERT project. LP, EM-B, DMG and KB were senior or principal investigators on the 3 contributing studies: EPHN (European Pediatric HCV Network); ALHICE (Alpes-Maritimes, Languedoc, Haute Garonne Infection C chez l'Enfant); BPSU (British Pediatric Surveillance Unit). GI provided clinical input on hepatology and management of pediatric HCV. Curation of the original data files available to the project was the responsibility of LP and CT (EPHN), DMG, JC and KB (BPSU), and EM-B (ALHICE). Subsequent data processing was by FG and AEA. All authors critically reviewed and revised drafts as necessary and approved the final version for submission.

Disclaimer The funding sources did not have any influence on study design, data collection, analysis and interpretation of the data, writing of the report, or the decision to submit for publication.

Financial support. This work was supported by the Medical Research Council [MR/R019746/1], through the Joint Global Health Trials scheme. Work at GOSICH was supported by the National Institute of Health Research Biomedical Research Centre, Great Ormond Street Hospital.

Potential conflict of interest <To be completed, based on ICJME forms>

Acknowledgements The authors express their gratitude to all those who have contributed to the EPHN, BPSU and ALHICE studies.

References:

1. World Health Organization. Combating hepatitis B and C to reach elimination by 2030. Geneva: World Health Organization, **2016**.
2. Benova L, Mohamoud YA, Calvert C, Abu-Raddad LJ. Vertical transmission of hepatitis C virus: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis* **2014**; 59(6): 765-73.
3. Tovo PA, Calitri C, Scolfaro C, Gabiano C, Garazzino S. Vertically acquired hepatitis C virus infection: Correlates of transmission and disease progression. *World J Gastroenterol* **2016**; 22(4): 1382-92.
4. Chappell CA, Scarsi KK, Kirby BJ, et al. Ledipasvir plus sofosbuvir in pregnant women with hepatitis C virus infection: a phase I pharmacokinetic study. *Lancet Microbe* **2020**; 1(5): e200-e8.
5. Chappell C. Identifier: NCT04382404, Treatment of Chronic Hepatitis C During Pregnancy With Sofosbuvir/Velpatasvir. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04382404>. Accessed 7 June.
6. Giles M. Identifier: ACTRN12619000054112, A pharmacokinetic (PK) study of directly acting antiviral therapy for hepatitis C in pregnancy. Available at: <https://anzctr.org.au/Trial/Registration/TrialReview.aspx?ACTRN=12619000054112>. Accessed 7 June.
7. Dunn DT, Gibb DM, Healy M, et al. Timing and interpretation of tests for diagnosing perinatally acquired hepatitis C virus infection. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* **2001**; 20(7): 715-6.
8. Mok J, Pembrey L, Tovo PA, Newell ML, European Paediatric Hepatitis CVN. When does mother to child transmission of hepatitis C virus occur? *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* **2005**; 90(2): F156-60.
9. Resti M, Jara P, Hierro L, et al. Clinical features and progression of perinatally acquired hepatitis C virus infection. *J Med Virol* **2003**; 70(3): 373-7.
10. Gibb DM, Goodall RL, Dunn DT, et al. Mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C virus: evidence for preventable peripartum transmission. *Lancet* **2000**; 356(9233): 904-7.
11. Murakami J, Nagata I, Iitsuka T, et al. Risk factors for mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C virus: Maternal high viral load and fetal exposure in the birth canal. *Hepatol Res* **2012**; 42(7): 648-57.
12. European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL recommendations on treatment of hepatitis C: Final update of the series. *J Hepatol* **2020**; 73(5): 1170-218.
13. Ghany MG, Morgan TR, Panel A-IHCG. Hepatitis C Guidance 2019 Update: American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases-Infectious Diseases Society of America Recommendations for Testing, Managing, and Treating Hepatitis C Virus Infection. *Hepatology* **2020**; 71(2): 686-721.
14. Malik F, Bailey H, Chan P, et al. Where are the children in national hepatitis C policies? A global review of national strategic plans and guidelines. *JHEP Rep* **2021**; 3(2): 100227.
15. World Health Organization (WHO). Guidelines for the care and treatment of persons diagnosed with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. Geneva: WHO, **2018**.
16. Indolfi G, Easterbrook P, Dusheiko G, et al. Hepatitis C virus infection in children and adolescents. *The Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology* **2019**; 4(6): 477-87.
17. Indolfi G, Fischler B, Gonzalez-Peralta RP, et al. Comparison of Recommendations for Treatment of Chronic Hepatitis C Virus Infection in Children and Adolescents: A Position Paper of the Federation of International Societies of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* **2020**; 70(5): 711-7.
18. Bhardwaj AM, Mhanna MJ, Abughali NF. Maternal risk factors associated with inadequate testing and loss to follow-up in infants with perinatal hepatitis C virus exposure. *J Neonatal Perinatal Med* **2021**; 14(1): 123-9.
19. Chappell CA, Hillier SL, Crowe D, Meyn LA, Bogen DL, Krans EE. Hepatitis C Virus Screening Among Children Exposed During Pregnancy. *Pediatrics* **2018**; 141(6).
20. Epstein RL, Sabharwal V, Wachman EM, et al. Perinatal Transmission of Hepatitis C Virus: Defining the Cascade of Care. *J Pediatr* **2018**; 203: 34-40 e1.

21. Ceci O, Margiotta M, Mareello F, et al. High rate of spontaneous viral clearance in a cohort of vertically infected hepatitis C virus infants: what lies behind? *J Hepatol* **2001**; 35(5): 687-8.
22. Shebl FM, El-Kamary SS, Saleh DA, et al. Prospective cohort study of mother-to-infant infection and clearance of hepatitis C in rural Egyptian villages. *J Med Virol* **2009**; 81(6): 1024-31.
23. Mast EE, Hwang LY, Seto DS, et al. Risk factors for perinatal transmission of hepatitis C virus (HCV) and the natural history of HCV infection acquired in infancy. *J Infect Dis* **2005**; 192(11): 1880-9.
24. Ades AE, Gordon F, Scott K, et al. Spontaneous clearance of vertically-acquired hepatitis C infection: implications for testing and treatment Submitted to *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*, **2021**.
25. European Paediatric Hepatitis CVN. A significant sex--but not elective cesarean section--effect on mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C virus infection. *J Infect Dis* **2005**; 192(11): 1872-9.
26. Pembrey LJ, University of L. Mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C virus : a European epidemiological collaboration. London: University of London, **2006**.
27. Marine-Barjoan E, Berrebi A, Giordanengo V, et al. HCV/HIV co-infection, HCV viral load and mode of delivery: risk factors for mother-to-child transmission of hepatitis C virus? *AIDS* **2007**; 21(13): 1811-5.
28. Ades AE, Sutton AJ. Multiparameter Evidence Synthesis in Epidemiology and Medical Decision-Making: Current Approaches. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series A (Statistics in Society)* **2006**; 169(1): 5-35.
29. De Angelis D, Presanis AM, Conti S, Ades AE. Estimation of HIV Burden through Bayesian Evidence Synthesis. *Statistical Science* **2014**; 29(1): 9-17.
30. Ceci O, Margiotta M, Mareello F, et al. Vertical transmission of hepatitis C virus in a cohort of 2,447 HIV-seronegative pregnant women: a 24-month prospective study. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* **2001**; 33(5): 570-5.
31. European Paediatric Hepatitis C Virus Network. Three broad modalities in the natural history of vertically acquired hepatitis C virus infection. *Clin Infect Dis* **2005**; 41(1): 45-51.
32. Polywka S, Pembrey L, Tovo PA, Newell ML. Accuracy of HCV-RNA PCR tests for diagnosis or exclusion of vertically acquired HCV infection. *J Med Virol* **2006**; 78(2): 305-10.
33. Thomas SL, Newell ML, Peckham CS, Ades AE, Hall AJ. Use of polymerase chain reaction and antibody tests in the diagnosis of vertically transmitted hepatitis C virus infection. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* **1997**; 16(10): 711-9.
34. Aebi-Popp K, Duppenhaler A, Rauch A, De Gottardi A, Kahlert C. Vertical transmission of hepatitis C: towards universal antenatal screening in the era of new direct acting antivirals (DAAs)? Short review and analysis of the situation in Switzerland. *J Virus Erad* **2016**; 2(1): 52-4.
35. Benhammou V, Tubiana R, Matheron S, et al. HBV or HCV Coinfection in HIV-1-Infected Pregnant Women in France: Prevalence and Pregnancy Outcomes. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* **2018**; 77(5): 439-50.
36. Snijdewind IJ, Smit C, Schutten M, et al. Low mother-to-child-transmission rate of Hepatitis C virus in cART treated HIV-1 infected mothers. *J Clin Virol* **2015**; 68: 11-5.
37. Dunn DT, Newell ML, Mayaux MJ, et al. Mode of delivery and vertical transmission of HIV-1: a review of prospective studies. *Perinatal AIDS Collaborative Transmission Studies. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* (1988) **1994**; 7(10): 1064-6.
38. Duong T, Ades AE, Gibb DM, Tookey PA, Masters J. Vertical transmission rates for HIV in the British Isles: estimates based on surveillance data. *Bmj* **1999**; 319(7219): 1227-9.
39. Dunn DT, Ades AE. Estimating the HIV Vertical Transmission Rate and the Pediatric AIDS Incubation Period from Prospective Data. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* **1996**; 91(435): 935-43.
40. Lopata SM, McNeer E, Dudley JA, et al. Hepatitis C Testing Among Perinatally Exposed Infants. *Pediatrics* **2020**; 145(3).

Table 1. Infection status and risk factor distribution in the three cohorts, after removal of records with missing HIV, missing mode of delivery, and RNA-negative mothers. NK: not known. ECS: Elective caesarean section. RNA+: HCV RNA positive. Mean and 95% CrI.

		EPHN		BPSU		ALHICE		TOTAL	
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
TOTAL		1256	100	342	100	151	100	1749	100
Infection status	Infected	69	5.5	15	4.4	12	7.9	96	5.5
	Indeterminate	121	9.6	102	29.8	0	0.0	223	12.8
	Uninfected	1066	84.9	225	65.8	139	92.1	1430	81.8
Mother's HIV	No	1053	83.8	321	93.1	105	69.5	1479	84.6
	Yes	203	16.2	21	6.9	46	30.5	270	15.4
Mother's HCV Viral Load	Low	167	13.3	0	0.0	94	62.3	261	14.9
	High	29	2.3	0	0.0	39	25.8	68	3.9
	NK but RNA+	240	19.1	0	0.0	4	2.6	244	14.0
	RNA NK	820	65.3	342	100	14	9.3	1176	67.2
Mode of Delivery	ECS	373	29.7	26	3.3	35	23.2	434	24.8
	Non-ECS	883	70.3	316	96.7	116	76.8	1315	75.2

Table 2. Observed and unobserved infections, nominal overall vertical transmission rates.

	Infected	Indeterminate	Uninfected	Total
Totals	96	223	1430	1739
Observed infections	96	-	-	115.2 (108.7-124.2)
Unobserved infections	-	10.2 (7.1 – 13.8)	9.0 (4.3-17.1)	
VT rate %	-	4.7 (3.2-6.3)	0.6 (0.3-1.2)	6.6 (6.2-7.1)

Table 3. Risk of vertical transmission by route, and odds ratios for study and risk group, median and 95% CrI.

	Median	2.5%	97.5%
Risk of transmission, %, by route			
Early in utero	1.38	0.64	2.59
Late in utero	3.88	2.24	5.87
Delivery	0.38	0.03	1.97
Odds ratios: study			
EPHN	1 (ref)	-	-
BPSU	1.23	0.64	2.20
ALHICE	0.98	0.47	1.87
Odds ratios: risk group			
HIV-, Low VL	1 (ref)	-	-
HIV-, High VL	2.66	1.19	6.12
HIV+, Low VL	1.75	1.08	3.12
HIV+, High VL	3.43	1.69	8.03

Table 4. Percent of vertical infection by stage, mean and 95% CrI.

	Elective Caesarean	Non- Elective Caesarean
Early in utero	27.5 (13.3-45.8)	24.8 (12.1-40.8)
Late in utero	72.5 (54.2-86.7)	66.0 (42.5-83.3)
At delivery	-	9.3 (0.5 – 30.6)

Table 5. Overall VT rates, by subgroup.

Mode of delivery	HCV Viral load	HIV -ve			HIV +ve		
		mean	2.5%	97.5%	mean	2.5%	97.5%
ECS	Low	5.6	3.7	7.6	9.6	5.6	14.8
	High	14.2	7.1	23.5	17.5	10.2	27.7
Non-ECS	Low	6.1	4.2	8.2	10.6	6.2	16.2
	High	15.3	8.1	24.6	19.1	11.4	29.8
Weighted average		7.2	5.6	8.9	12.1	8.6	16.8

Table 6. Sensitivity analyses. Comparison of preferred model and alternatives. The preferred model is constrained interaction and assumes that 68.9% of the HIV -ve with unknown HCV RNA status are RNA positive, and 90.7% of the HIV +ve. Goodness of fit is posterior mean deviance.

	Goodness of fit	Overall VT, % HIV-	Overall VT, % HIV+	Transmission by stage, %	
Preferred model					
<i>Constrained interaction</i>	741.6	7.2	12.1	24.8	9.3
Statistical uncertainty in preferred model					
<i>Lower (2.5%) credible limit</i>	-	5.6	8.6	12.1	0.5
<i>Upper (97.5%) credible limit</i>	-	8.9	16.8	40.8	30.6
Model choice:					
<i>Main effect model</i>	742.4	7.2	11.8	24.6	9.3
<i>Simple interaction model</i>	743.0	7.2	11.8	24.6	9.2
Proportion Low HCV viral load and proportion RNA -ve					
<i>Both odds lower by a factor of 1.6</i>	742.2	7.4	12.2	24.6	9.1
<i>Both odds higher by a factor of 1.6</i>	740.9	7.0	12.1	24.8	9.4

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Panel **A1**. Assumed proportion of uninfected children remaining anti-HCV positive at each age up to 18 months. **B1** Assumed proportion of infected children who are not initially RNA positive remaining RNA negative by age up to 6 weeks. **C1** proportion of infection not yet cleared, by age up to 5 years. **A2** proportion of indeterminates with last anti-HIV +ve at each age. **B2** proportion of uninfected and indeterminate with last RNA negative at each age (< 6 weeks). **C2** proportion of uninfected children with the first test indicating they were uninfected at each age.

Figure 2. Overall vertical transmission (horizontal lines) and vertical transmission net of clearance at different ages: by mother's HIV and weighted average of HIV- and HIV+.

Figure 3. Left bar: VT rate of 5.8% (95%CrI: 4.2%-7.8%) in HCV mono-infected women[2], and spontaneous clearance 32.5% (25%-40%).[12, 16] Right bar: this study with VT rate of 7.2% (5.6-8.9) with 65.1% clearance (50.1%-81.6%). Blue segments: infection that clears within 5 years. Red segments: infection remaining after 5 years.

Figure 1




Figure 2.




Figure 3.

