It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

1 Ultrasensitive detection of Bacillus anthracis by real time PCR targeting a

2 polymorphism in multi-copy 16S rRNA genes and their transcripts

3

4 Peter Braun¹, Martin Duy-Thanh Nguyen¹, Mathias C. Walter¹ and Gregor Grass^{1*}

⁵ ¹Bundeswehr Institute of Microbiology (IMB), Munich, Germany;
⁶ martin2nguyen@bundeswehr.org (MDTN); peter3braun@bundeswehr.org (PB);
⁷ mathias1walter@bundeswehr.org (MW); gregorgrass@bundeswehr.org (GG)

8 *Correspondence: gregorgrass@bundeswehr.org; Tel.: +49-992692-3981

9

Abstract: The anthrax pathogen Bacillus anthracis poses a significant threat to human 10 health. Identification of B. anthracis is challenging because of the bacterium's close genetic 11 12 relationship to other *Bacillus cereus* group species. Thus, molecular detection is founded on 13 species-specific PCR targeting single-copy genes. Here, we validated a previously recognized multi-copy target, a species-specific SNP present in 2-5 copies in every B. 14 anthracis genome analyzed. For this, a hydrolysis probe-based real time PCR assay was 15 16 developed and rigorously tested. The assay was specific as only B. anthracis DNA yielded 17 positive results, was linear over 9 log_{10} units and was sensitive with a limit of detection (LoD) of 2.9 copies/reaction. Though not exhibiting a lower LoD than established single copy PCR 18 19 targets (*dhp61* or *PL3*), the higher copy number of the *B. anthracis*-specific 16S rRNA gene allele afforded ≤2 unit lower threshold (Ct) values. To push the detection limit even further, 20 the assay was adapted for reverse transcription PCR on 16S rRNA transcripts. This RT-PCR 21 22 assay was also linear over 9 log₁₀ units and was sensitive with a LoD of 6.3 copies/reaction.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

23	In a dilution-series of experiments, the 16S RT-PCR assay achieved a thousand-fold higher
24	sensitivity than the DNA-targeting assays. For molecular diagnostics, we recommend a real
25	time RT-PCR assay variant in which both DNA and RNA serve as templates (thus, no
26	requirement for DNase treatment). This will at least provide results equaling the DNA-based
27	implementation if no RNA is present but will be superior even at the lowest residual rRNA
28	concentrations.
29	
30	

31 Keywords: Anthrax; Bacillus anthracis; 16S rRNA; detection; identification; real time PCR;

32 RT-PCR

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

34 1. Introduction

Within the genus Bacillus the notorious anthrax pathogen Bacillus anthracis poses the 35 36 greatest risk for humans, mammal livestock and wildlife. [1]. Other Bacillus spp. such as B. 37 cereus or B. thuringiensis may also have pathogenic traits related to food-poisoning, 38 infections in immunocompromised persons or production of insecticides [2]. Yet only B. anthracis (and a few B. anthracis-like bacilli) features a unique suite of pathogenicity 39 factors rendering the endospore-forming bacterium a first-rate biothreat agent. These factors 40 are encoded on two plasmids called pXO1 and pXO2, respectively. Plasmid pXO1 encodes 41 42 the anthrax toxin genes producing the lethal toxin (gene products of pagA and lef) and 43 edema toxin (gene products of pagA and cya) [1]. These toxins damage host cells on various 44 levels [3]. Plasmid pXO2 harbors the capsule genes endowing the pathogen with a polyglutamyl capsule which helps evading host immune response [1, 4]. Phylogenetically, B. 45 anthracis belongs to the very closely related Bacillus cereus sensu lato group. Besides the 46 better-known species B. cereus sensu stricto, B. anthracis or B. thuringiensis, the group also 47 48 comprises several other familiar species such as B. weihenstephanensis, B. mycoides, B. 49 cytotoxicus and a variety of lesser-characterized members [5].

In the past, the high degree of genetic relatedness to several *B. cereus* s. *I.* strains has rendered molecular diagnostics of *B. anthracis* challenging (e.g., by polymerase chain reaction assays, PCR). One would think it should be straight forward to identify *B. anthracis* by detecting genetic marker genes (typically *pagA*, *lef*, *cya*, *capB* or *capC*) [6-8] on one or both of its virulence plasmids. Identifying these genes, however, only verifies the presence of

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

55 these plasmids. This is relevant because several B. cereus s. I. isolates are documented to possess very similar virulence plasmids but not necessarily all of these belong to the species 56 57 *B. anthracis.* Further, there are *B. anthracis* strains that lack one or both virulence plasmids. 58 Species-specific molecular identification of *B. anthracis* is achieved by targeting a small 59 number of validated chromosomal targets. These targets comprise sections of genes such as dhp61 (BA_5345; [9]), PL3 (BA_5358; [6]) or mutations characterized as single nucleotide 60 61 polymorphisms (SNPs) e.g., in the rpoB [7] or the plcR [10] gene, respectively. A comprehensive overview of suitable and less ideal specific markers for *B. anthracis* has been 62 provided previously [11]. Notwithstanding, the advantage of assaying for pXO1 or pXO2 63 64 markers over chromosomal ones is that the plasmid markers occur as multi-copy genes (since the virulence plasmids are present in more than one copy per cell) [12]. Large-scale 65 genomic sequencing revealed that in B. anthracis plasmids pXO1 and pXO2 (with their 66 respective PCR-marker genes) are present on average in 3.86 and 2.29 copies, respectively 67 68 [13]. Conversely, no multi-copy chromosomal marker has been employed for B. anthracis 69 detection thus far.

Likewise, ribosomal RNA (particularly 16S rRNA) has not yet been routinely used for identification and detection of *B. anthracis* even though rRNA molecules are generally the most abundant ribonucleic acid entities in cells constituting up to approximately 80% of total RNA [14]. In fact, copies of 16S rRNA transcripts per cell as constituents of ribosomes, number in many thousands e.g., in *E. coli* the number of ribosomes per cell ranges from 8 x 10^3 at a doubling time of 100 min to 7.3 x 10^4 at a doubling time of 20 min [15]. Even in

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

stationary culture, a single E. coli bacterium contains about 6.5 x 10³ copies of ribosomes 76 [16]. Phylogenetically closer to B. anthracis than E. coli is Bacillus licheniformis. For this 77 bacillus the average number of ribosomes per cell was calculated at 1.25 x 10⁴, 3.44 x 10⁴, or 78 9.2 x 10⁴ in cultures growing at 37°C with generation times of 120, 60 and 35 min, 79 80 respectively [17]. While these numbers are well in agreement, somewhat lower numbers of 9 x 10³ ribosomes have been determined for exponentially growing cells of *Bacillus subtilis* 81 82 [18]. While unexplored for B. anthracis, bacterial detection using rRNA genes and transcripts has been successfully harnessed to challenge previous limits of detection (LoD) for other 83 84 pathogens [19-21].

85 In this study, we introduce a species-specific multi-copy chromosomal PCR marker of B. 86 anthracis. This marker is represented by a unique SNP within a variable number of loci of the multi-copy 16S rRNA gene in this organism. Though the 16S rRNA gene sequences feature 87 a very high degree of identity among the B. cereus s.l. group species [22], this SNP has 88 89 previously been identified as unique and present in all publicly available B. anthracis 90 genomic data [23-25]]. Since all 16S rRNA gene copies harboring the SNP have 100% 91 sequence identity, this specific sequence variation represents a distinct 16S rRNA gene allele named 16S-BA-allele. For simplification, all other 16S rRNA gene alleles lacking the 92 93 sequence variation were named 16S-BC-allele. The relative abundance of these 16S-BA-94 and -BC-alleles were recently quantified in 959 B. anthracis isolates [25]. Here we also 95 harnessed this SNP to develop a B. anthracis specific reverse transcription (RT) real time 96 PCR assay. This approach brings the multi-copy marker concept for *B. anthracis* up to a new

97 level owed to the excess numbers of ribosomes (and thus 16S rRNA moieties) in relation to

98 chromosomes within a *B. anthracis* cell.

99 2. Materials and Methods

100 2.1. Bacterial culture, inactivation and DNA samples for quality assessment.

101 B. anthracis strains and other Bacilli were cultivated at 37°C on tryptic soy agar plates (TSA, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). Bacteria comprising the negative panel (Supplementary 102 103 Table S1) were grown on appropriate agar media (with 10% CO₂ atmosphere where 104 required) at 37°C until colonies emerged. Risk group 3 (RG-3) B. anthracis strains were 105 cultivated in the biosafety level 3 (BSL-3) facilities at the Bundeswehr Institute of 106 Microbiology (IMB) and then chemically inactivated before further use [26]. RG-2 strains of 107 endospore formers were inactivated by resuspending a loop of colony material in aqueous 108 peracetic acid solution (4% Terralin PAA, Schülke & Mayr GmbH, Norderstedt, Germany) 109 [26]. All other bacterial cultures were inactivated by 70% (v/v) ethanol. Ring trial B. anthracis 110 DNA samples published in [27] were obtained from Instant (Düsseldorf, Germany).

111

112 2.2. Isolation of DNA, RNA and nucleic acid quantification.

Bacterial DNA and RNA was isolated using MasterPure[™] Gram Positive DNA Purification kit
(Lucigen, Middleton, WI, USA). For RNA (+DNA) isolation, RNase treatment was omitted.
DNA and RNA concentrations were quantified using the Qubit dsDNA HS Assay or RNA HS
Assay kits (ThermoFisher Scientific, Darmstadt, Germany) according to the manufacturers'

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

117 protocols. DNA and RNA (+DNA) preparations were stored at -20°C and -80°C, respectively,

118 until further use.

119 2.3. Design and in silico bioinformatic analysis of primer and probe DNA sequences.

120 All relevant DNA sequence data for oligonucleotide design were retrieved from public 121 databases (NCBI). Primer and probe DNA oligonucleotides [25] were designed with 122 Geneious Prime (Biomatters, USA). In silico specificity analysis was performed by probing 123 each primer and probe nucleotide sequences against the NCBI nt databases using BLASTN for short input sequences (Primer BLAST) [28]. The two amplification oligonucleotide primers 124 target a consensus region within the 16S rRNA genes on the chromosome of B. cereus s.l. 125 126 species (Table 1) including *B. anthracis*. The two oligonucleotide probes (Table 1) feature the centrally located discriminatory SNP (pos. 1110 in B. anthracis strain Ames Ancestor, 127 128 NC 007530) [23, 24]. These probes thus either match the allele unique for B. anthracis (named 16S-BA-allele; with an adenine, A at the SNP position) or the general 16S-BC-allele 129 130 (guanine, G at the SNP position), respectively (the two alleles are depicted in Supplementary 131 Figure S1). Due to placement and length restrictions related to another non-discriminatory 132 SNP (pos. 1119) each probe was amended with locked nucleic acids (LNA). LNA are 133 modified nucleic acids in which the sugar is conformationally locked. This rigidity causes exceptional hybridization affinity through stable duplexes with DNA and RNA [29] eventually 134 135 improving mismatch discrimination in SNP genotyping studies. LNA probes as well as 136 primers were purchased from TIB MolBiol (Berlin, Germany).

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

138

139 Table 1: primers and probes.

Oligonucleotide	Sequence (5'-3')
16S SNP F	CGAGCGCAACCCTTGA
16S SNP R	CAGTCACCTTAGAGTGCCC
16S SNP BA probe	6FAM-
	CTT+AGTT+A+C+C+AT+CATT
	BHQ1
16S SNP BC probe	HEX-CTT+AGTT+G+C+C+ATCATT-
	-BHQ1
Dark 16S SNP BC	CTT+AGTT+G+C+C+ATCATT-C3-
probe	spacer*

Locked nucleic acids are designated by prepositioned (+); 6FAM - 6-Fluorescein phosphoramidite; HEX - Hexachloro-fluorescein; BHQ1 - Black Hole Quencher-1. *blocked with a C3-spacer in 3'-position.

143

144 2.4. Real-time and reverse transcription PCR conditions

All (pseudo) duplex real-time PCR amplifications were performed in reaction mixtures of a
final volume of 20 µl containing 2 µL LightCycler® FastStart DNA Master HybProbe mix
(Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 µM of each primer, 0.25 µM of
16S SNP BA probe, 0.75 µM of (dark) 16S SNP BC probe, and various quantities of template

DNA template. All reactions were performed on a LightCycler 480 real-time PCR system fitted with color compensation (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). The optimized amplification conditions were: 95°C for 10 min, and then 45 consecutive cycles of first 15 s at 95°C and then 20 s at 62°C, followed by 20 s at 72°C.

153 Reverse transcription PCR reaction mixtures contained 7.4 µl LightCycler® 480 RNA Master 154 Hydrolysis Probes mix, 1.3 µl Activator, 1 µl Enhancer (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), 0.5 µM of each primer, 0.25 µM of 16S SNP BA probe, 0.75 µM of (Dark) 16S 155 156 SNP BC probe, a variable volume of RNA and/or DNA template. Finally nuclease-free water 157 (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was added to a final volume of 20 µl. Using the LightCycler 480 158 real-time PCR system (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) reverse transcription was 159 performed at 63°C for 3 min followed by an activation step at 95 °C for 30 s and 45 cycles of 160 95 °C for 15 s, 62 °C for 20 s and 72 °C for 1 s.

A fluorescent signal 10-fold higher than the standard deviation of the mean baseline emission was counted a positive detection. Samples were tested in triplicate (unless noted otherwise) and data recorded as Cycle thresholds (Ct) with Ct defined as the PCR cycle at which the fluorescent intensity raised above the threshold [30].

165

166 2.5. Droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) and reverse transcription (RT) ddPCR

167 All DNA and RNA templates used for real-time and reverse transcription PCR were 168 quantified by ddPCR and RT ddPCR, respectively. A 20 µl ddPCR reaction mixture consisted

169 of 10 µl ddPCR Supermix for Probes (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Munich, Germany), 0.9 µM of 170 each primer, 0.15 µM of each probe and 5 µl of template DNA. RT-ddPCR reaction mixtures 171 comprised of 5 µl One-Step RT-ddPCR Advanced Supermix for Probes (Bio-Rad, Munich, 172 Germany), 2 µl of Reverse Transcriptase (Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany; final concentration 20 173 U/μ], 0.6 µl of DTT (Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany; final concentration 10 nM),), 0.9 µM of each primer, 0.15 µM of each probe and 5 µl of template RNA. Droplets were generated 174 175 using a QX200 ddPCR droplet generator (Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany). PCR amplification for 176 both assays was performed on the Mastercycler Gradient (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) with the following conditions: 177

Initial reverse transcription was carried at 48°C for 60 min (only for RT-ddPCR). Enzyme activation at 95°C for 10 min was followed by 40 cycles of a denaturation at 94°C for 30 s and annealing/extension at 58°C for 1 min. Before the samples were cooled to 4°C a final enzyme inactivation was carried out at 98°C for 10 min. Cooling and heating ramp rate was set to 2°C/s for all steps. After PCR runs, droplets were analyzed using the QX100 Droplet Reader (Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany) and absolute target concentrations of each sample were calculated using Quantasoft Pro Software (Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany).

185

186

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

2.6. Generation of PCR positive controls from reference plasmids harboring 16S-BA- or BCallele fragments.

190 Though we generally used genomic DNA from *B. cereus* or *B. anthracis*, respectively, for 191 PCR testing and validation, generic positive control reference plasmids for either allele, the 192 B. anthracis-specific 16S-BA-allele or the B. cereus-specific 16S-BC-allele were constructed. 193 For this, a PCR-amplicon was generated from *B. anthracis* Ames DNA with primers 16S SNP 194 F and 16S SNP R using Platinum[™] Tag DNA Polymerase High Fidelity (ThermoFisher 195 Scientific, Darmstadt, Germany). This DNA comprises a mixture of both alleles in a ratio of 4 196 to 7 [25]. The PCR-amplicon was analyzed on agarose gel electrophoresis, a band of the 197 expected size (57 bp) cut from the gel and gel-purified using QIAquick Gel Extraction kit 198 (QIAGEN, Hilden Germany). PCR products were ligated into pCR2.1 TOPO vector 199 (ThermoFisher Scientific, Darmstadt, Germany) using TOPO TA Cloning kit (Thermo 200 Scientific, Darmstadt, Germany) and transformed into One Shot TOP10 chemically 201 competent cells (ThermoFisher Scientific, Darmstadt, Germany) according to the 202 manufacturer's protocol. Several recombinant plasmids isolated from different clones were 203 sequenced (Eurofins Genomics Germany, Ebersberg, Germany) in order to obtain plasmids 204 harboring either the 16S-BA-allele or the 16S-BC-allele. From these plasmids, PCR products 205 were generated using primers M13 F and M13 R, which contained the target region for the 206 16S rRNA SNP-PCR with either the 16S-BA- or BC-allele. After purification with QIAquick 207 PCR purification kit (QIAGEN, Hilden Germany) PCR products were quantified using digital 208 PCR and diluted as required.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

209

210 2.7. Determination of the specificity (inclusivity/exclusivity) of the B. anthracis 16S rRNA
211 allele assay.

212 PCR specificity for the 16S rRNA SNP assay was assessed by verifying amplification of DNA 213 containing or lacking respective markers. "Inclusivity" was evaluated by (exponential) 214 amplification above threshold levels obtained with template DNA comprising the markers' 215 sequences. Vice versa, "exclusivity" was confirmed by lack of amplification of genomic DNA 216 from *B. cereus* s.*I.* strains reported to lack the particular 16S-BA-allele, but also may harbour 217 the alternative 16S-BC-allele or include no-template negative controls (NTC). Positive PCR 218 results were further analysed via agarose gel electrophoresis demonstrating a single band 219 with a molecular weight corresponding to the predicted size of the 16S rRNA SNP-PCR 220 amplicon (note: this cannot differentiate between the two alternative SNP states in the 16S 221 rRNA gene alleles).

222

223 2.8. Dynamic linear range, PCR efficiency and limit of detection.

The dynamic linearity of the PCR assays were determined over a 9 log₁₀ concentration range for DNA (real-time PCR) and RNA (RT-PCR) templates. Each dilution was assayed 6-fold, and analysis for linearity and PCR-efficiency (E) was performed from the plot of the Ct's versus the logarithm of the target concentrations [31]. The sensitivity of the PCR assay was expressed as the limit of detection (LoD) of 16S rRNA SNP genome or transcript copies. LoD

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

229	was formally defined as the concentration permitting detection of the analyte at least 95% of
230	the time. For this, DNA fragments comprising the 16S rRNA SNP were diluted to between 10
231	and 0 copies per reaction, subjected to real-time PCR with 12 replicates for each dilution
232	step. Probit analysis (plot of fitted model) was performed [32] using StatGraphics Centurion
233	XVI.I (16.1.11; Statgraphics Technologies, The Plains, Virginia, USA) to determine the LoD
234	by fitting template copies against the cumulative fractions of positive PCR observations and
235	used for calculating the lower and upper 95% confidence limits. The LoD of the 16S rRNA
236	SNP RT-PCR was determined likewise using samples with 0-15 rRNA copies per reaction
237	(12 replicates for each dilution step).

238

239 3. Results

240 3.1. Set-up and optimization of a new 16S rRNA gene allele-specific PCR assay.

The "16S SNP BA probe" for hybridization to the B. anthracis specific sequence variation in 241 16S-BA-alleles in the B. anthracis genome was designed such as that the SNP position was 242 243 located centrally. In order to increase fidelity of this probe, six locked nucleic acid (LNA) 244 bases were introduced (Table 1). Likewise, the alternative "16S SNP BC probe" recognizing the non-B. anthracis specific 16S-BC-alleles of B. anthracis features five LNA positions 245 246 (Table 1). The 16S SNP BA probe was verified in silico against the NCBI database to be 247 highly specific for B. anthracis, only genomes of a few bacterial isolates exhibited identical 248 sequences among these was e.g., a small number of Sphingomonas spp. Others, such as a

few genomes annotated as *Staphylococus aureus* had the same one-base-pair mismatch at the SNP-position (relative to *B. anthracis*) and were thus identical to other *B. cereus* s. *I.* genomes, hybridizing perfectly against the alternative "16S SNP BC probe" (supplementary Figure S1).

253 Initially, the 16S SNP BC probe which deviates only by the one central SNP base from the 254 16S SNP BA probe also carried a fluorescent dye/quencher pair. However, since this probe 255 was found to be not entirely specific for recognizing 16S rRNA fragments of B. cereus s. I. 256 members, we decided to additionally design this SNP-competing probe as a fluorescently 257 "dark" probe in order to reduce costs of synthesis (Table 1). Thus, the 16S rRNA SNP-PCR 258 may be considered a pseudo-duplex assay (see below for details). All PCR runs were performed with both probes, typically with the 6FAM-labeled 16S SNP BA probe and the dark 259 260 16S SNP BC probe.

261 In silico analysis against the NCBI nt database confirmed that the PCR amplification primers 262 16S SNP F and 16S SNP R (Table 1) were not species-specific for B. anthracis. Indeed, 263 besides DNA from other members of the *B. cereus s. I.* group, these primers would also 264 amplify genome-sequences of various other bacteria, such as Paenibacillus spp., or the 265 reverse primer would bind to sequences of Alkalihalobacillus clausii or Bacillus licheniformis 266 among others. This ambiguity is not surprising for primers hybridizing against 16S rRNA 267 gene sequences. Conversely, the pivotal factor for the detection assay introduced here is 268 that only the 16S SNP BA probe hybridizes without any mismatch against 16S-BA-allele in B.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

anthracis (supplementary Fig. S1). Thus, the specificity of the PCR assay is uniquely and
entirely governed by the LNA-enhanced 16S SNP BA probe.

271 The 16S rRNA SNP-PCR was robust for deviations from the optimum annealing temperature 272 (62°C; supplementary Table S1). Also, primer (supplementary Table S2), probe 273 (supplementary Table S3) and MgCl₂ (supplementary Table S4) concentrations and pipetting errors (supplementary Table S5) were tolerated quite well. Intra- and inter-assay 274 275 (supplementary Table S6 and S7) variability was determined with positive, weakly positive 276 and negative template DNA. The average PCR variations were at 0.0-1.1% (intra-assay) and 277 1.1-1.2% (inter-assay), respectively (supplementary Table S6 and S7), indicating high 278 precision of the PCR. Melt point analysis of the 16S-BA-allele PCR product vs. the 16S-BCallele PCR product (supplementary Fig. S2) indicated specific amplification of each allele 279 280 fragment.

281

3.2. Competitive amplification - inhibition of the 16S-BA-allele fragment-PCR by excess of
 the alternative 16S-BC-allele.

Though the new 16S rRNA SNP-PCR assay was tested very robust and precise, we were wondering to which degree the assay would be inhibited by large excesses of the alternative 16S-BC-allele fragment featuring a single mismatch at the SNP located centrally in the hybridizing 16S-BA-allele specific PCR probe (supplementary Fig. S1). For testing this, we first evaluated which probe ratio (16S rRNA SNP BA vs. BC probe) would yield the lowest

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

289 residual fluorescence values (in the 6FAM-channel of the 16S-BA-allele-specific probe) when 290 providing only 16S-BC-allele containing DNA as PCR template. In these tests, the 291 concentration of the 16S-BA-allele specific probe was kept constant at 0.25 µM. The 292 resulting 6FAM-fluorescence values were very low compared to regular amplification 293 (supplementary Table S8), signals were weakly linearly increasing and no Ct values were 294 detected. The lowest fluorescence, barely above the negative control level, was recorded at 295 a ratio of 0.25 / 0.75 µM (16S rRNA SNP-BA probe /-BC probe). Thus, this ratio was used for 296 all following tests.

297 Next, a constant 100 template copies of the 16S-BA-allele fragment per reaction were 298 titrated against increasing copy numbers of the alternative 16S-BC-allele fragment. 299 Supplementary Figure S3 and supplementary Table S9 show that an excess of 16S-BCallele to BA-allele fragments of 10⁶, 10⁵, 10⁴ or 10³ to 1 (supplementary Table S9; assay #1-300 301 4) inhibits detection of the 16S-BA-allele fragment. This is because there was neither any 302 bone fide sigmoidal PCR amplification, nor were there any fluorescence signals with values 303 meaningfully above the 16S-BC-allele-only controls (assays #11 and #12). Starting with 7.5 x 304 10⁴ copies of competing 16S-BC-alleles (vs. 100 16S-BA-allele copies, i.e., 750:1; assay #5) 305 both a regular Ct value was provided and fluorescence started to markedly increase above 306 base level. At a ratio of 500 to 1 (16S-BC- to BA-alleles), B. anthracis detection became 307 possible (assays #6 vs. #12; #7). Latest at a surplus of equal or less than 100:1 (assay #8) 308 detection of 16S-BA-allele among BC-alleles was robustly possible. Thus, at the very least a 309 single copy of 16S-BA-allele can be detected in the presence of 100 BC-alleles.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

310

311 3.3. Sensitivity and specificity of the 16S rRNA SNP-PCR assay.

312 Similar to earlier work [33], we sought to harness the specificity of SNP-interrogation without 313 assaying the alternative SNP state (i.e., the 16S-BC-allele here). Because detecting the 16S-314 BC-allele was not of interest for the assay at hand, the respective labelled 16S SNP BC 315 probe was replaced by an unlabeled, fluorescently "dark" probe (i.e., a BA allele SNP-316 competitor probe; Table 1). In effect, primers would still amplify both alleles; however, the 317 fluorescent probe for the 16S-BA-allele would be outcompeted by the dark probe on 16S-BC-allele targets and the fluorescent 16S rRNA BA SNP probe would only generate signals 318 319 in the presense of cognate 16S-BA-allele sequences. Thus, this approach using a dark 320 competing probe would diminish the inadvertent generation of unspecific fluorescence 321 generated by mishybridization of 16S rRNA BA SNP probes to 16S-BC-allele sequences.

322 To formally validate the sensitivity of the 16S rRNA SNP-PCR assay, a panel of 14 different 323 B. anthracis DNAs was employed. These B. anthracis strains represent all major branches A, 324 B and C [34] including prominent sub-branches [35] of the global B. anthracis phylogeny 325 (supplementary Table S10). All DNAs produced positive PCR results. Similarly, we tested a 326 "specificity panel" of potentially cross-reacting organisms (supplementary Table S11). This 327 panel included 13 DNAs of non-anthracis B. cereus s. I. strains. Also included were DNAs of common animal host organisms such as cattle, goat, sheep and human. Neither of these 328 329 DNAs yielded any positive PCR results. Finally, DNAs of organisms relevant for differential 330 diagnostics and other prominent microbial pathogens were also assayed by the new B.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

anthracis specific 16S rRNA SNP-PCR (supplementary Table S12). Again, none of these DNAs resulted in false-positive PCR results. Of note, *Sphingomonas zeae* JM-791 [36] harboring 16S rRNA genes 100% identical in the region of the 16S SNP BA probe but differences in the primer binding sites, yielded negative PCR results. These results clearly indicated that the new PCR is both sensitive and specific for *B. anthracis*.

336 3.4. Linear dynamic range, efficiency and limit of detection of the B. anthracis specific 16S
337 rRNA SNP-PCR assay.

The linear dynamic range of the new PCR was determined based on measurements of serial 338 DNA dilutions using recombinant 16S-BA-allele fragments or genomic DNA of B. anthracis 339 Ames, respectively, as templates (Figure 1). Linearity was observed over a range from 10^{1} to 340 10⁹ copies per reaction for cloned template DNA (Figure 1A; supplementary Table S13). In 341 342 nine out of nine PCR replicates, positive signals were obtained down to 10¹ copies per reaction. At 10⁰, two out of nine reactions were negative, thus defining the lower limit of the 343 344 linear dynamic range. The coefficient of determination (R^2) was calculated as >0.999. From 345 the slope of the linear regression, the efficacy of the PCR was derived as 2.0 (which is 346 100.1% of the theoretical optimum). Thus, the 16S rRNA SNP-PCR assay performed very 347 well over a wide 9 log₁₀ concentration range of template DNA.

The *B. anthracis* Ames genome harbors four copies of the 16S-BA-allele and seven copies of the BC-allele. Linear range parameters were very similar to that of cloned 16S-BA-allele DNA-fragment (Figure 1B; supplementary Table S13). Because of the upper concentration limit of our *B. anthracis* Ames DNA preparations, the highest value in the linear range was

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

10⁶ genome copies. Thus, here the linear range covered target concentrations from 10⁰ to 352 10^{6} copies per reaction. The coefficients of determination (R²) was determined as >0.999 and 353 the efficacy of the PCR as 1.99 (which is 98.7% of the theoretical optimum). This indicated 354 that the 16S rRNA SNP PCR assay yielded very similar results in these experiments whether 355 356 recombinant target DNA or authentic B. anthracis DNA was used as templates. Note though, a single B. anthracis Ames genome carries four copies of the 16S-BA-allele. This explains 357 why all PCRs yielded positive signals with DNA template at 10[°] copies (genome equivalents), 358 whereas PCRs using single copy recombinant template did not. 359



It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



362 Figure 1: Linearity of the 16S rRNA SNP-PCR. Serial dilutions of DNA of (A.) a fragment 363 comprising the 16S-BA-allele or (B.) B. anthracis strain Ames were serially diluted 1:10, 364 PCR-tested and template copies (A.) or genome equivalents (B.) plotted against Ct values. 365 Indicated in the graphs are the slopes of the linear regressions and the coefficients of determination (R^2). Individual data points represent average values from n = 3 x 3 PCR-tests. 366 367 Next, we determined the LoD for the 16S rRNA SNP-PCR assay by probit analysis (Figure 2; 368 numerical data in supplementary Table S14). The assay had a limit of detection of 2.9 copies 369 per reaction. This calculates to about 0.6 copies/µl with a probability of success of 95% with



It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 2: Limit of detection (LoD) of the 16S rRNA SNP-PCR (analytical sensitivity). DNA fragments comprising the 16S-BA-allele were diluted to the indicated copies per reaction (numerical data in supplementary Table S14) and subjected to real time PCR (12 replicates for each data point). Probit analysis (plot of fitted model) was performed to determine the LoD by fitting template copies against the cumulative fractions of positive PCR observations (blue squares and line) and used for calculating the lower and upper 95% confidence limits (red lines).

371

379 3.5. Comparison of the new 16S rRNA SNP-PCR assay with existing PCR assays.

In order to further assess the performance of the 16S rRNA SNP-PCR assay, we compared it with other established PCR assays for *B. anthracis* identification currently used in our laboratory. These assays target the single copy genes *dhp61* [9] or *PL3* [6] that have been individually validated before and compared to other commonly used *B. anthracis* PCRs [11]. Using log_{10} dilutions of *B. anthracis* Ames DNA, the 16S rRNA SNP-PCR exhibited markedly, at least three units, lower Ct values (27.9±0.4; 31.7±0.1; 35.4±0.7) than *dhp61* (32.1±0.0;

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

386 35.4 \pm 0.6; 38.9 \pm 1.5) or *PL3* (31.8 \pm 0.2; 36.1 \pm 0.7; >40) at 1,000, 100 or 10 genome 387 equivalents, respectively (Fig. 3). *B. cereus* DNA did not result in amplification by any PCR 388 assay. This result strongly suggested that the multi-copy 16S rRNA SNP-PCR assay 389 performs competitively when compared back-to-back with established PCR assays for the 390 detection of *B. anthracis*.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



Figure 3: Comparison of the new 16S rRNA SNP-PCR assay with existing PCR assays. Different numbers of *B. anthracis* Ames template DNA (1,000, 100 or 10 genome equivalents per reaction), non-target DNA (10^5 templates of *B. cereus* DNA) or water (negative) control were subjected to real time PCR using the new 16S rRNA SNP assay (A), published *dhp61* gene assay [9] (B) or published *PL3* gene assay [6] (C). Representative amplification curves (from n=3 with similar results) are shown.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

399 3.6. Challenge of the new 16S rRNA SNP-PCR assay with samples from a ring trial.

400	Along this line of reasoning, we next challenged the 16S rRNA SNP-PCR assay with
401	samples from a previous ring trial for <i>B. anthracis</i> nucleic acid detection [27]. Again, the test
402	was performed in comparison with the established PCR assays for <i>B. anthracis</i> identification,
403	dhp61 [9] and PL3 [6]. Each of the assays was able to correctly identify the two positive out
404	of four samples (supplementary Figure S4). Similar to evaluating known concentrations
405	(Figure 3), the 16S rRNA SNP-PCR assay performed the best. It yielded the lowest Ct values
406	(supplementary Figure S4), at least 2 units lower than that of <i>dhp61</i> or <i>PL3</i> PCR. The 16S
407	rRNA SNP-PCR assay may thus be ideally suited for this kind of analysis in which low target
408	DNA quantities can be expected.

409

410 3.7. Challenge of the new 16S rRNA SNP-PCR assay with total DNA from spiked soil411 samples.

Since the 16S rRNA SNP-PCR assay performed well thus far even in the presence of *E. coli* and human (supplementary Figure S4) or competing *B. cereus* (supplementary Figure S3) DNA, we evaluated to what extent the assay would be able to detect target DNA in spiked soil samples. These samples were spiked with cells of *E. coli* and *F. tularensis* and cells or endospores of *B. anthracis* and/or *B. thuringiensis* and were subjected to DNA purification. As above, the 16S rRNA SNP-PCR assay was conducted in comparison with the established PCR assays for *B. anthracis* identification *dhp61* [9] and *PL3* [6]. Supplementary Figure S5

419	shows the PCR amplification curves. Samples #1, #2 and #4 were samples spiked with <i>B</i> .
420	anthracis, sample #3 only contained E. coli and B. thuringiensis. Sample #4 had a large
421	excess of <i>B. thuringiensis</i> over <i>B. anthracis</i> (a factor of 10 ⁴). The 16S rRNA SNP-PCR assay
422	detected <i>B. anthracis</i> in samples #1 and #2 but not in #4. Conversely, <i>dhp61</i> or <i>PL3</i> assays
423	detected all three positive samples. The failure to detect <i>B. anthracis</i> by the 16S rRNA SNP-
424	PCR assay in sample #4 is in line with our initial tests using massive excess of <i>B. cereus</i>
425	DNA competing with <i>B. anthracis</i> detection (supplementary Figure S3; Table S9). Notably,
426	the 16S rRNA SNP-PCR exhibited markedly, about three units, lower Ct values (23.6±0.7 or
427	16.4±0.0) than <i>dhp61</i> (26.2±0.1 or 19.9±0.1) or <i>PL3</i> (25.7±0.0 or 19.5±0.1) for samples #1
428	and #2, respectively. This result confirmed our preceding findings that the 16S rRNA SNP-
429	PCR assay can reach a lower detection limit than established assay as long as there is no
430	large excess of other B. cereus s.I. DNA competing for amplification primers.

431

432 3.8. The new 16S rRNA SNP-PCR assay also functions as a RT-PCR assay.

We reasoned that the real time 16S rRNA SNP-PCR assay targeting *B. anthracis* DNA may be converted into a RT-PCR assay targeting RNA in the form of 16S-BA-allele transcripts that harbor the *B. anthracis*-specific SNP. In order to test this, cells of *B. anthracis* Sterne or *B. cereus* 10987 were grown to exponential growth phase, inactivated and total nucleic acids (including genomic DNA) was isolated alongside parallel preparations of DNA only. The onestep RT-PCR reaction was thus run with a mixture of genomic DNA and RNA, which can both be targeted by the assay. For comparison, the above validated 16S rRNA real time

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

SNP-PCR was conducted in parallel with genomic DNA as the only template (no RTreaction). When using identical samples, RT-PCR reactions (with templates consisting of total RNA and DNA) resulted in intensely lower Ct values than without reverse transcription (since only genomic DNA served as template; Fig. 4). This result indicated that the 16S rRNA SNP-PCR assay functions both for DNA- and RNA-based (RT) PCR. Notably, differences in Ct values (RT-PCR vs. PCR) were in the range between 9 and 10 units. This translates to an about 1,000-fold improvement using RT-PCR over DNA-only PCR.



Figure 4: Comparison of the 16S rRNA SNP-PCR assay (DNA-only) with the RT-16S rRNA SNP-PCR assay (DNA+RNA). Total DNA or total DNA+RNA isolated from exponentially growing cells of *B. anthracis* or *B. cereus*, respectively, were used for PCR amplification of

451 16S-BA-allele DNA (A) or additionally after reverse transcription of 16S rRNA (ribosomal

452 RNA) (B). Representative amplification curves (from n=3 with similar results) are shown.

453 3.9. Linear dynamic range, efficiency and limit of detection of the B. anthracis 16S rRNA SNP

454 RT-PCR assay.

To further characterize the RT-PCR, we determined the linear dynamic range and 455 determined the LoD (Probit) of the 16S rRNA SNP RT-PCR using total RNA/DNA of B. 456 457 anthracis Sterne (similar to DNA-only templates, see above). The RT-PCR was linear over a range from 10[°] to 10⁸ template rRNA+DNA per reaction (Figure 4A; supplementary Table 458 459 S15). The coefficient of determination (R^2) was 0.9982 and the efficacy of the RT-PCR was 460 1.92 (which is 92.3% of the theoretical optimum). Thus, the 16S rRNA SNP RT-PCR assay 461 performed well over a wide 9 log₁₀ concentration range of template RNA+DNA (higher template numbers than 1.5×10^8 were not tested). 462



It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



464

Figure 5: Linearity and LoD of the 16S rRNA SNP RT-PCR. Serial dilutions of RNA (with 465 466 DNA) of B. anthracis strain Sterne were serially diluted 1:10, RT-PCR-tested and template 467 copies plotted against Ct values (A). Indicated in the graph is the slope of the linear 468 regression and the coefficients of determination (R^2). Individual data points represent 469 average values from n = 3 x 3 PCR-tests. Analytical sensitivity of the 16S rRNA SNP RT-PCR was determined by diluting samples from (A) to the indicated copies per reaction 470 (numerical data in supplementary Table S16) and subjected to RT-PCR (12 replicates for 471 472 each data point). To determine the LoD, probit analysis (plot of fitted model, blue squares 473 and line) was performed (as in Fig. 2) and the lower and upper 95% confidence limits (red 474 lines) determined (B).

The LoD for the 16S rRNA SNP RT-PCR assay as determined by probit analysis (numerical data in supplementary Table S16) was 6.3 copies per reaction. This calculates to about 1.3 copies/ μ l with a probability of success of 95% with confidence interval of 5.0 – 8.9 copies/assay. Thus, the RT-PCR reaction performed similarly well as the PCR reaction.

479 Mindful of the about 3 log₁₀ units higher number of 16S rRNAs in cells than genomes,
480 detection of *B. anthracis* with the rRNA-directed RT-PCR is superior to the respective real
481 time PCR assay and all other *B. anthracis* PCR assays tested.

482

483 4. Discussion

484 Use of SNPs as reliable markers for the identification of *B. anthracis* among its closest 485 relatives of the *B. cereus* group is not a novel approach. This has previously been achieved 486 with high specificity and sensitivity for nucleotide position 640 in the plcR gene [10] or at 487 position 1050 in the purA gene [33] and diverse assays were thoroughly evaluated in [11]. 488 Likewise, ribosomal gene sequences and intergenic transcribed spacers (ITS) between 16S 489 and 23S rRNA genes have also been employed for B. anthracis identification in the past [37-490 40]. However, while these authors focused on the specific identification of *B. anthracis*, they 491 neglected the potential of developing a sensitive assay making use of the multi-copy nature 492 of their targets. An interesting exception is a study on fluorescent DNA-heteroduplex 493 detection of *B. anthracis* [41]. Herein detection was preceded by general PCR-amplification 494 of a fragment of the 16S rRNA gene region of B. cereus s. I. group strains containing a 495 presumably specific SNP (pos. 980). This SNP, however, is neither specific for *B. anthracis* 496 nor for the *B. cereus* s.*I.* group [24]. Anyway, Merrill et al. succeeded in establishing a LoD for their PCR of approximately 0.05 pg of purified B. anthracis genomic DNA (which can be 497 498 calculated to represent 10 to 20 cell equivalents per reaction) [41]. This is higher than the 499 LoD of about 1 to 2 cell equivalents per reaction found in our study. More importantly, Merrill

500 et al. also took the effort to determine the detection limit of their presumably specific SNP in 501 mixtures of 16S rRNA gene amplicons from B. anthracis and B. cereus [41]. The authors 502 observed a detection limit of 1 out of 50 for *B. anthracis* DNA mixed with *B. cereus* DNA. 503 They explained this limit as narrowed by methodological constraints and from competitive 504 hybridization dynamics during probe annealing [41]. This finding can be compared with our 505 results. The PCR assay developed here was able to detect at least one *B. anthracis*16S-BA-506 allele target among 100 BC-allele targets (supplementary Fig. S3 and supplementary Table 507 S9). At higher alternative (16S-BC-allele) concentrations, these templates will outcompete 508 the 16S-BA-allele for primer binding. Thus, the higher the fraction of 16S-BC-allele, the lower 509 the relative amplification of 16S-BA-allele resulting in increasingly non-exponential 510 amplification of the latter. In contrast, for a SNP in the DNA target plcR used for the 511 differentiation of B. anthracis from B. cereus, a 20,000-fold excess of the alternate B. cereus 512 allele did not preclude the detection of the *B. anthracis* allele [42]. With *B. cereus* spore counts in soils spanning a wide range of 1 x 10^1 to 2.5 x 10^4 CFU per g soil [43], the *plcR* 513 514 SNP-PCR should be able to detect *B. anthracis* in practically any sample. Here, the new 16S 515 rRNA SNP-PCR on DNA as target molecule would fall short with only covering up to medium 516 B. cereus-loaded soils. However, when targeting ribosomal RNA the sensitivity (LoD) of the 517 16S rRNA SNP RT-PCR would be at least three orders of magnitude increased. Then it should be possible to challenge the LoD values achieved by the plcR SNP-PCR (25 fg DNA 518 519 or about 5 genome equivalents) [42].

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

520 A potential limitation of the multi-copy nature of the 16S-BA-allele may be the variable 521 abundance of this allele in different *B. anthracis* strains. Previously, we could show that most 522 B. anthracis strains harbor 3 (58.39%) 16S-BA-alleles. There are, however also a number of 523 isolates only possessing 2 (23.04%), 4 (17.10%) 5 (1.15%) and a single one with only 1 524 (0.31%) 16S-BA-alleles [25]. Thus, in most cases this multi-copy gene allele can be 525 harnessed nevertheless. A more typical multi-copy marker for detection of bacterial biothreat 526 agents (and of other pathogens) constitute insertion sequence (IS) elements, which are wide-527 spread mobile genetic entities. For instance in Brucella spp. IS711 occurs in multiple 528 genomic copies and thus, the detection of this IS711 is very sensitive. B. melitensis and B. 529 suis contain seven complete copies, B. abortus carries six complete and one truncated IS711 530 copies, B. ovis, B. ceti and B. pinnipedialis even more than 20 copies [44]. Consequently, the 531 lowest concentration of Brucella sp. DNA that could be detected was about ten times lower 532 for IS711 than e.g., for single copy genes bcsp31 (Brucella cell surface 31 kDa protein) or 533 per (perosamine synthetase), respectively [45]. Similarly, in Coxiella burnetii, detection 534 sensitivity of specific IS1111 was compared to that of the single-copy icd gene (isocitrate 535 dehydrogenase) [46]. While both PCRs for *icd* and *IS1111* had similar LoDs of 10.38 and 536 6.51, respectively, sensitivity of *IS1111* was still superior because of its multiple copy nature. 537 Between 7 and 110 copies of this mobile element were found in various C. burnetii isolates 538 [46].

539 The differences in threshold values (Δ Ct = 9.96±0.65) of identical samples obtained from 540 (RT)-PCR using 16S-BA-allele DNA-only vs. 16S-BA-alleleDNA+RNA is enormous. There is

an approximate factor of about 1,000 (2^{9.96}) times more template in the DNA+RNA sample 541 542 than in the DNA-only sample. This factor favorably agrees with the numbers of genome 543 copies and 16S rRNA transcripts in cells [17, 18]. Similar to the work at hand, earlier work 544 employed a combination of a DNA multi-copy marker and sensitive detection of rRNA 545 transcript targets in Mycobacterium ulcerans [20]. The authors determined a LoD of 6 copies of the 16S rRNA transcript target sequence. For comparison, a LoD of two target copies of 546 the high-copy insertion sequence element IS2404 which is present in 50 to 100 copies in 547 548 different *M. ulcerans* strains was calculated from parallel experiments [20]. Ribosomal RNA 549 detection was also utilized for *Mycobacterium leprae* diagnosis by the same research team. 550 Here, a LoD of three *M. leprae* target copies was achieved for a novel 16S rRNA RT-PCR 551 assay; the same value as determined for the *M. leprae* specific multi-copy repetitive DNA 552 target assayed in parallel [21]. On first glance these values do not especially speak in favor 553 of querying for 16S rRNA transcripts, however, one has to consider the high numbers of 554 these molecules per cell in comparison to DNA markers (including the high-copy ones). 555 Thus, the chance of capturing one of the more abundant rRNA molecules should be higher 556 than that of the more limited DNA molecules. Indeed, this idea was explored e.g., for 557 Escherichia coli, Enterococcus faecalis, Staphylococcus aureus, Clostridium perfringens, and 558 Pseudomonas aeruginosa by [19]. Comparative quantitative detection of these bacteria by 559 RT-PCR (16S rRNA) vs. PCR (16S rRNA genes) revealed that the rRNA-detecting assay 560 was 64- to 1,024-fold more sensitive than the one detecting DNA. Similarly, work on 561 pathogenic spirochete Leptospira spp. found that 16S rRNA-based assays were at least 100-

fold more sensitive than a DNA-based approach [47]. These authors also found that 562 563 Leptospiral 16S rRNA molecules remain appreciably stable in blood. From this insight, the 564 authors then highlighted the potential use of 16S RNA targets for diagnosis of early infection. 565 Nevertheless, potential limitations of this approach were also noted. Efficacy of the required 566 reverse transcription reaction has to be considered, RNA molecules are notoriously less stable than other biomarkers and their cellular abundance (and as a consequence their 567 568 detection) can be expected to be variable [47]. Finally, though for qualitative detection not 569 required, absolute quantification of microbial cells based solely on enumeration of RNA 570 molecules is complicated because of these variations in transcript numbers depending, e.g. 571 on growth phase [47]. However, the cell numbers determined by RT-PCR were similar when compared along-side standard methods such as cell counts, PCR or fluorescence in situ 572 573 hybridization (FISH) [48]. Yet, in certain instances, there might be an additional advantage of 574 performing PCR on rRNA directly (via RT-PCR) instead of targeting DNA (including DNA of 575 rRNA genes). Because DNA is more stable than RNA, DNA may originate from both live and 576 dead bacterial cells. In contrast, rRNA molecules may be considered to be more closely associated with viable bacteria [49]. Though this might also be possible with the new PCR 577 578 assays introduced in the work at hand, we chose to combine DNA and rRNA detection in a 579 single test-tube for the sake of simplicity (no troublesome DNase treatment of purified RNA 580 required) and depth of detection.

581

582 5. Conclusions

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

583	In this work, we designed and validated a new PCR-based detection assay for the biothreat
584	agent B. anthracis. This assay can be run as a real-time PCR with solely DNA as template or
585	as a RT-real-time version using both cellular nucleic acid pools (DNA and RNA) as template.
586	This assay was found to be highly species specific yielding no false positives and was
587	sensitive with a LoD of about 0.6 copies/ μ l (DNA-only) and about 1.3 copies/ μ l (DNA+RNA).
588	With the high abundance of 16S rRNA moieties in cells this assay can be expected to
589	facilitate the detection of <i>B. anthracis</i> by PCR.

590

591

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online: Supplementary Tables 1 – 16;
Supplementary Figures 1 – 6.

594

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, G.G. and P.B; investigation, P.B., M.D.T.N., M.C.W.; methodology, M.D.T.N., and P.B.; formal analysis and validation, P.B., M.D.T.N. and G.G.; resources, G.G. and M.C.W.; data curation, P.B., M.D.T.N. and M.C.W.; writing original draft preparation, G.G., and P.B.; writing—review and editing, P.B., M.D.T.N., M.C.W. and G.G.; visualization, M.D.T.N., P.B. and G.G.; supervision and project administration, G.G., and P.B.; funding acquisition, G.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

602 Funding: This research was funded by funds from the Medical Biological Defense Research

603 Program of the Bundeswehr Joint Medical Service.

604 Acknowledgments: The authors thank Mandy Knüper (Bundeswehr Institute of Microbiology) for support with probit-analysis and the gift of DNA from spiked soil samples, 605 606 Stefanie Gläser (Justus-Liebig-University Gießen, Germany) Erwin Märtlbauer (Ludwig-607 Maximilians-University, Munich, Germany) and Monika-Ehling Schultz (University of Veterinary Medicine, Vienna, Austria) for several B. cereus strains, as well as Paul Keim 608 609 (Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, USA), and Wolfgang Beyer (Hohenheim University, 610 Stuttgart, Germany) for the gift of *B. anthracis* strains/DNA. Thanks are due to Rahime 611 Terzioglu for technical assistance and Olfert Landt (TIB Molbiol, Berlin) for support in probe 612 design.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. Opinions, interpretations, conclusions, and recommendations are those of the authors and are not necessarily endorsed by any governmental agency, department or other institutions. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

618 References

619 [1] P.C. Turnbull, World Health Organization. Anthrax in humans and animals, in: P.T.
620 (Editor) (Ed.) WHO Press, Geneva (CH), 2008.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- 621 [2] M. Ehling-Schulz, D. Lereclus, T.M. Koehler, The Bacillus cereus roup: Bacillus species
- 622 with pathogenic potential, Microbiol Spectr 7(3) (2019).
- 623 [3] M. Mock, A. Fouet, Anthrax, Annu Rev Microbiol 55 (2001) 647-71.
- [4] T.M. Jelacic, D.J. Chabot, J.A. Bozue, S.A. Tobery, M.W. West, K. Moody, D. Yang, J.J.
- 625 Oppenheim, A.M. Friedlander, Exposure to *Bacillus anthracis* capsule results in suppression
- 626 of human monocyte-derived dendritic cells, Infect Immun 82(8) (2014) 3405-16.
- [5] R. Patino-Navarrete, V. Sanchis, Evolutionary processes and environmental factors
 underlying the genetic diversity and lifestyles of *Bacillus cereus* group bacteria, Res Microbiol
 168(4) (2017) 309-318.
- [6] P.R. Wielinga, R.A. Hamidjaja, J. Agren, R. Knutsson, B. Segerman, M. Fricker, M.
 Ehling-Schulz, A. de Groot, J. Burton, T. Brooks, I. Janse, B. van Rotterdam, A multiplex
 real-time PCR for identifying and differentiating *B. anthracis* virulent types, Int J Food
 Microbiol 145 Suppl 1 (2011) S137-44.
- [7] H. Ellerbrok, H. Nattermann, M. Ozel, L. Beutin, B. Appel, G. Pauli, Rapid and sensitive
 identification of pathogenic and apathogenic *Bacillus anthracis* by real-time PCR, FEMS
 Microbiol Lett 214(1) (2002) 51-9.
- [8] V. Ramisse, G. Patra, H. Garrigue, J.L. Guesdon, M. Mock, Identification and
 characterization of *Bacillus anthracis* by multiplex PCR analysis of sequences on plasmids
 pXO1 and pXO2 and chromosomal DNA, FEMS Microbiol Lett 145(1) (1996) 9-16.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

[9] M.H. Antwerpen, P. Zimmermann, K. Bewley, D. Frangoulidis, H. Meyer, Real-time PCR
system targeting a chromosomal marker specific for *Bacillus anthracis*, Mol Cell Probes 22(56) (2008) 313-5.

- [10] W.R. Easterday, M.N. Van Ert, T.S. Simonson, D.M. Wagner, L.J. Kenefic, C.J. Allender,
- P. Keim, Use of single nucleotide polymorphisms in the *plcR* gene for specific identification of
- 645 Bacillus anthracis, J Clin Microbiol 43(4) (2005) 1995-7.
- 646 [11] J. Ågren, R.A. Hamidjaja, T. Hansen, R. Ruuls, S. Thierry, H. Vigre, I. Janse, A.
- Sundström, B. Segerman, M. Koene, C. Löfström, B. Van Rotterdam, S. Derzelle, In silico
 and in vitro evaluation of PCR-based assays for the detection of *Bacillus anthracis*chromosomal signature sequences, Virulence 4(8) (2013) 671-85.
- [12] T. Straub, C. Baird, R.A. Bartholomew, H. Colburn, D. Seiner, K. Victry, L. Zhang, C.J.
- 651 Bruckner-Lea, Estimated copy number of Bacillus anthracis plasmids pXO1 and pXO2 using
- digital PCR, J Microbiol Methods 92(1) (2013) 9-10.
- [13] A. Pena-Gonzalez, R.L. Rodriguez, C.K. Marston, J.E. Gee, C.A. Gulvik, C.B. Kolton, E.
 Saile, M. Frace, A.R. Hoffmaster, K.T. Konstantinidis, Genomic characterization and copy
 number variation of *Bacillus anthracis* plasmids pXO1 and pXO2 in a historical collection of
 412 strains, mSystems 3(4) (2018).
- 657 [14] M.C. Hansen, A.K. Nielsen, S. Molin, K. Hammer, M. Kilstrup, Changes in rRNA levels
 658 during stress invalidates results from mRNA blotting: fluorescence in situ rRNA hybridization

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

659 permits renormalization for estimation of cellular mRNA levels, J Bacteriol 183(16) (2001)

660 4747-51.

- [15] H. Bremer, P.P. Dennis, Modulation of chemical composition and other parameters of
- the cell at different exponential growth rates, EcoSal Plus 3(1) (2008).
- 663 [16] J.R. Wiśniewski, D. Rakus, Multi-enzyme digestion FASP and the 'Total Protein
- 664 Approach'-based absolute quantification of the Escherichia coli proteome, J Proteomics 109

665 (2014) 322-31.

- 666 [17] M.S. van Dijk-Salkinoja, R.J. Planta, Rate of ribosome production in *Bacillus*667 *licheniformis*, Journal of Bacteriology 105(1) (1971) 20-27.
- [18] A. Barrera, T. Pan, Interaction of the *Bacillus subtilis* RNase P with the 30S ribosomal
 subunit, RNA 10(3) (2004) 482-92.
- [19] K. Matsuda, H. Tsuji, T. Asahara, Y. Kado, K. Nomoto, Sensitive quantitative detection
 of commensal bacteria by rRNA-targeted reverse transcription-PCR, Applied and
 Environmental Microbiology 73(1) (2007) 32-39.
- [20] M. Beissner, D. Symank, R.O. Phillips, Y.A. Amoako, N.Y. Awua-Boateng, F.S. Sarfo, M.
- Jansson, K.L. Huber, K.H. Herbinger, F. Battke, T. Löscher, O. Adjei, G. Bretzel, Detection of
 viable *Mycobacterium ulcerans* in clinical samples by a novel combined 16S rRNA reverse
 transcriptase/IS2404 real-time qPCR assay, PLoS Negl Trop Dis 6(8) (2012) e1756.
- 677 [21] M. Beissner, A. Woestemeier, M. Saar, K. Badziklou, I. Maman, C. Amedifou, M.
 678 Wagner, F.X. Wiedemann, K. Amekuse, B. Kobara, K.H. Herbinger, A.B. Kere, T. Löscher,

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

679 G. Bretzel, Development of a combined RLEP/16S rRNA (RT) qPCR assay for the detection

- of viable *M. leprae* from nasal swab samples, BMC Infect Dis 19(1) (2019) 753.
- [22] C. Ash, J.A. Farrow, M. Dorsch, E. Stackebrandt, M.D. Collins, Comparative analysis of
- 682 Bacillus anthracis, Bacillus cereus, and related species on the basis of reverse transcriptase
- sequencing of 16S rRNA, Int J Syst Bacteriol 41(3) (1991) 343-6.
- [23] B. Candelon, K. Guilloux, S.D. Ehrlich, A. Sorokin, Two distinct types of rRNA operons in

the *Bacillus cereus* group, Microbiology 150(Pt 3) (2004) 601-11.

- 686 [24] J.R. Hakovirta, S. Prezioso, D. Hodge, S.P. Pillai, L.M. Weigel, Identification and
- analysis of informative single nucleotide polymorphisms in 16S rRNA gene sequences of the
 Bacillus cereus group, J Clin Microbiol (2016).
- [25] P. Braun, F. Zimmermann, M.C. Walter, S. Mantel, K. Aistleitner, I. Stürz, G. Grass, K.
 Stoecker, In-depth analysis of Bacillus anthracis 16S rRNA genes and
 transcripts reveals intra- and intergenomic diversity and facilitates anthrax detection, bioRxiv
 (2021) 2021.08.02.454851.
- [26] P. Braun, G. Grass, A. Aceti, L. Serrecchia, A. Affuso, L. Marino, S. Grimaldi, S. Pagano,
- 694 M. Hanczaruk, E. Georgi, B. Northoff, A. Schöler, M. Schloter, M. Antwerpen, A. Fasanella,
- 695 Microevolution of anthrax from a young ancestor (M.A.Y.A.) suggests a soil-borne life cycle
- 696 of Bacillus anthracis, PLoS ONE 10(8) (2015) e0135346.

698

697 [27] U. Reischl, M. Ehrenschwender, A. Hiergeist, M. Maaß, M. Baier, D. Frangoulidis, G.

Grass, H. von Buttlar, H. Scholz, V. Fingerle, S. Sing, R. Dumke, I. Reiter-Owona, A.B.

Anders, Bacterial and fungal genome detection PCR/NAT: comprehensive discussion of the June 2018 distribution for external quality assessment of nucleic acid-based protocols in diagnostic medical microbiology by INSTAND e.V., GMS Z Forder Qualitatssich Med Lab 10(ISSN 1869-4241) (2019) Doc01.

703 [28] S.F. Altschul, W. Gish, W. Miller, E.W. Myers, D.J. Lipman, Basic local alignment search
704 tool, J Mol Biol 215(3) (1990) 403-10.

705 [29] A.A. Koshkin, S.K. Singh, P. Nielsen, V.K. Rajwanshi, R. Kumar, M. Meldgaard, C.E.

706 Olsen, J. Wengel, LNA (Locked Nucleic Acids): Synthesis of the adenine, cytosine, guanine,

707 5-methylcytosine, thymine and uracil bicyclonucleoside monomers, oligomerisation, and

unprecedented nucleic acid recognition, Tetrahedron 54(14) (1998) 3607-3630.

[30] S. Perelle, F. Dilasser, J. Grout, P. Fach, Detection by 5'-nuclease PCR of Shiga-toxin
producing *Escherichia coli* O26, O55, O91, O103, O111, O113, O145 and O157:H7,
associated with the world's most frequent clinical cases, Mol Cell Probes 18(3) (2004) 18592.

[31] G. Stolovitzky, G. Cecchi, Efficiency of DNA replication in the polymerase chain reaction,
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93(23) (1996) 12947-52.

[32] A. Forootan, R. Sjöback, J. Björkman, B. Sjögreen, L. Linz, M. Kubista, Methods to
determine limit of detection and limit of quantification in quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR),
Biomolecular detection and quantification 12 (2017) 1-6.

[33] L.M. Irenge, J.F. Durant, H. Tomaso, P. Pilo, J.S. Olsen, V. Ramisse, J. Mahillon, J.L.
Gala, Development and validation of a real-time quantitative PCR assay for rapid
identification of *Bacillus anthracis* in environmental samples, Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 88(5)
(2010) 1179-92.

[34] J.W. Sahl, T. Pearson, R. Okinaka, J.M. Schupp, J.D. Gillece, H. Heaton, D. Birdsell, C.
Hepp, V. Fofanov, R. Noseda, A. Fasanella, A. Hoffmaster, D.M. Wagner, P. Keim, A *Bacillus anthracis* genome sequence from the Sverdlovsk 1979 autopsy specimens, MBio
7(5) (2016) e01501-16.

[35] M. Antwerpen, W. Beyer, O. Bassy, M.V. Ortega-García, J.C. Cabria-Ramos, G. Grass,

R. Wölfel, Phylogenetic placement of isolates within the Trans-Eurasian clade A.Br.008/009
of *Bacillus anthracis*, Microorganisms 7(12) (2019) 689.

729 [36] P. Kämpfer, H.J. Busse, J.A. McInroy, S.P. Glaeser, Sphingomonas zeae sp. nov.,

r30 isolated from the stem of Zea mays, Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 65(8) (2015) 2542-2548.

[37] U. Nübel, P.M. Schmidt, E. Reiß, F. Bier, W. Beyer, D. Naumann, Oligonucleotide
microarray for identification of *Bacillus anthracis* based on intergenic transcribed spacers in
ribosomal DNA, FEMS Microbiology Letters 240(2) (2004) 215-223.

[38] D. Daffonchio, N. Raddadi, M. Merabishvili, A. Cherif, L. Carmagnola, L. Brusetti, A.
Rizzi, N. Chanishvili, P. Visca, R. Sharp, S. Borin, Strategy for identification of *Bacillus cereus* and *Bacillus thuringiensis* strains closely related to *Bacillus anthracis*, Appl Environ
Microbiol 72(2) (2006) 1295-301.

[39] A. Cherif, S. Borin, A. Rizzi, H. Ouzari, A. Boudabous, D. Daffonchio, *Bacillus anthracis*diverges from related clades of the *Bacillus cereus* group in 16S-23S ribosomal DNA
intergenic transcribed spacers containing tRNA genes, Appl Environ Microbiol 69(1) (2003)
33-40.

[40] A.V. Hadjinicolaou, V.L. Demetriou, J. Hezka, W. Beyer, T.L. Hadfield, L.G. Kostrikis,
Use of molecular beacons and multi-allelic real-time PCR for detection of and discrimination
between virulent *Bacillus anthracis* and other *Bacillus* isolates, J Microbiol Methods 78(1)
(2009) 45-53.

[41] L. Merrill, J. Richardson, C.R. Kuske, J. Dunbar, Fluorescent heteroduplex assay for
monitoring *Bacillus anthracis* and close relatives in environmental samples, Appl Environ
Microbiol 69(6) (2003) 3317-26.

[42] W.R. Easterday, M.N. Van Ert, S. Zanecki, P. Keim, Specific detection of *Bacillus anthracis* using a TaqMan mismatch amplification mutation assay, Biotechniques 38(5)
(2005) 731-5.

[43] M. Altayar, A.D. Sutherland, *Bacillus cereus* is common in the environment but emetic
toxin producing isolates are rare, Journal of Applied Microbiology 100(1) (2006) 7-14.

754 [44] M. Mancilla, M. Ulloa, I. López-Goñi, I. Moriyón, A. María Zárraga, Identification of new

155 IS711 insertion sites in *Brucella abortus* field isolates, BMC Microbiol 11 (2011) 176.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

[45] L. Bounaadja, D. Albert, B. Chénais, S. Hénault, M.S. Zygmunt, S. Poliak, B. GarinBastuji, Real-time PCR for identification of *Brucella* spp.: a comparative study of *IS711*, *bcsp31* and *per* target genes, Vet Microbiol 137(1-2) (2009) 156-64.

- [46] S.R. Klee, J. Tyczka, H. Ellerbrok, T. Franz, S. Linke, G. Baljer, B. Appel, Highly
 sensitive real-time PCR for specific detection and quantification of *Coxiella burnetii*, BMC
 Microbiol 6 (2006) 2.
- 762 [47] B.T. Backstedt, O. Buyuktanir, J. Lindow, E.A. Wunder, Jr., M.G. Reis, S. Usmani-
- 763 Brown, M. Ledizet, A. Ko, U. Pal, Efficient detection of pathogenic Leptospires using 16S
- 764 ribosomal RNA, PLoS ONE 10(6) (2015) e0128913.
- [48] H. Kubota, H. Tsuji, K. Matsuda, T. Kurakawa, T. Asahara, K. Nomoto, Detection of
 human intestinal catalase-negative, Gram-positive cocci by rRNA-targeted reverse
 transcription-PCR, Applied and Environmental Microbiology 76(16) (2010) 5440-5451.
- [49] H. Tsuji, K. Matsuda, K. Nomoto, Counting the countless: Bacterial quantification by
 targeting rRNA molecules to explore the human gut microbiota in health and disease, Front
 Microbiol 9 (2018) 1417.
- 771