# 1 SARS-CoV-2 wastewater surveillance in Germany: long-term PCR

# 2 monitoring, suitability of primer/probe combinations and biomarker stability

- 3 Johannes Ho<sup>1</sup>, Claudia Stange<sup>1</sup>, Rabea Suhrborg<sup>1</sup>, Christian Wurzbacher<sup>2</sup>, Jörg E. Drewes<sup>2</sup>,
- 4 Andreas Tiehm<sup>1\*</sup>
- <sup>1</sup> TZW: DVGW-Technologiezentrum Wasser, Department of Water Microbiology, Karlsruher
- 6 Straße 84, 76139 Karlsruhe, Germany
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>2</sup> Technical University of Munich, Chair of Urban Water Systems Engineering, Am
- 8 Coulombwall 3, 85748 Garching, Germany
- 9 \* Corresponding author
- 10 Keywords
- 11 wastewater-based epidemiology; biomarker stability; target genes; digital droplet PCR;
- 12 coronavirus
- 13

### 14 Abstract

In recent months, wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) has been shown to be an important 15 tool for early detection of SARS-CoV-2 circulation in the population. In this study, a detection 16 methodology for SARS-CoV-2 RNA (wild-type and variants of concern) in wastewater was 17 developed based on the detection of different target genes (E and ORF1ab) by PEG precipitation 18 and digital droplet PCR. This methodology was used to determine the SARS-CoV-2 19 concentration and the proportion of N501Y mutation in raw sewage of the wastewater treatment 20 plant of the city of Karlsruhe in southwestern Germany over a period of 1 year (June 2020 to 21 22 July 2021). Comparison of SARS-CoV-2 concentrations with reported COVID-19 cases in the NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

catchment area showed a significant correlation. Viral RNA titre trends appeared more than 12 23 24 days earlier than clinical data, demonstrating the potential of wastewater-based epidemiology as an early warning system. Parallel PCR analysis using seven primer and probe systems revealed 25 similar gene copy numbers with E, ORF, RdRP2 and NSP9 assays. RdPP1 and NSP3 generally 26 resulted in lower copy numbers, and in particular for N1 there was low correlation with the other 27 assays due to outliers. The occurrence of the N501Y mutation in the wastewater of Karlsruhe 28 was consistent with the occurrence of the alpha-variant (B.1.1.7) in the corresponding individual 29 clinical tests. In batch experiments SARS-CoV-2 RNA was stable for several days under 30 anaerobic conditions, but the copy numbers decreased rapidly in the presence of dissolved 31 32 oxygen. Overall, this study shows that wastewater-based epidemiology is a sensitive and robust approach to detect trends in the spread of SARS-CoV-2 at an early stage, contributing to 33 successful pandemic management. 34

#### 35 1. Introduction

In the current pandemic situation, wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE) is considered an 36 important tool to estimate SARS-CoV-2 prevalence, genetic diversity and geographical 37 distribution (European Commission, 2021; Kitajima et al., 2020). Sewage systems provide a 38 feasible approach to survey faecal viruses across an entire region (Hart and Halden, 2020; Hill et 39 40 al., 2021), even if asymptomatic courses of infection occur (Oi et al., 2018) and at low frequency of clinical diagnostic testing (Lodder and de Roda Husman, 2020). Therefore, wastewater 41 surveillance can provide an alternative method to detect the spread of infections in different 42 areas - especially for regions with limited diagnostic capacity and without a functioning 43 reporting system, such as developing countries (Hart and Halden, 2020; Kitajima et al., 2020). In 44 addition, wastewater surveillance can help detect variations in circulating strains, allowing 45 comparisons among regions and an assessment of the evolution of the viral genome over time 46 47 (Bisseux et al., 2018). Moreover, wastewater-based surveillance can serve as an early warning

48 system (Chavarria-Miró et al., 2021; Hill et al., 2021; Kitajima et al., 2020). In light of the 49 current pandemic, this approach could determine whether new SARS-CoV-2 infections have 50 occurred in a community or whether the number of infected people decreases after measures in 51 the affected population have been taken (e.g., lockdown or social distancing). Recent studies 52 have shown the overall benefit of WBE for SARS-CoV-2 monitoring (Agrawal et al., 2021a; 53 Medema et al., 2020; Rossmann et al., 2021b; Rossmann et al., 2021a; Westhaus et al., 2021).

For WBE purposes, SARS-CoV-2 is detected in wastewater using PCR-based methods. Multiple sets of PCR primers and probes have been published, targeting different locations of the genome such as the S, N and E gene (Cervantes-Avilés et al., 2021; Kitajima et al., 2020). However, there is a lack of data with respect to the reliability of primers/probes and genes at different positions of the genome, thus hampering the quantitative comparison of results.

Recently, predominantly RT-qPCR methods have been published. However, as an alternative to quantitative real-time PCR, digital droplet PCR (ddPCR) can be used for wastewater monitoring (Cervantes-Avilés et al., 2021), which is a quantitative PCR method allowing an absolute quantification of DNA or RNA. By using ddPCR, a higher sensitivity can be achieved compared to qPCR since PCR inhibitors and the competition of background DNA and target molecules play a negligible role (Rački et al., 2014).

The genome of the SARS-CoV-2 virus is subject to a high mutation rate. Mutations are 65 distributed across the genome and either have no effect on the phenotype (silent mutation) or can 66 lead to altered infectivity and pathogenicity (Mercatelli and Giorgi, 2020). At the end of 2020 67 and the beginning of 2021, variants (B.1.1.7 [alpha], B.1.351 [beta] and P.1 [gamma]) with 68 increased transmission and clinical importance appeared (Sandoval Torrientes et al., 2021). 69 70 These SARS-CoV-2 variants were classified as variants of concern (VoC). All three VoCs have the mutation A23063T, also named N501Y, in common, which is involved in the receptor-71 binding mechanism and may have clinical impacts (Makowski et al., 2021). For the single base 72

mutation, a primer/probe set that allows the specific detection of the mutation has been described
(Heijnen et al., 2021; Korukluoglu et al., 2021). The detection of mutations of SARS-CoV-2 in
wastewater additionally reveals a more detailed view of the infection process and the local
spread of virus strains.

The SARS-CoV-2 RNA is excreted with the faeces of infected patients (Bogler et al., 2020). 77 This viral RNA reaches the wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) via the sewer system, where 78 79 composite samples for wastewater monitoring are usually taken from the influent. However, relatively little is known about the stability of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in sewer systems (Hart and 80 Halden, 2020). In general, stability is strongly influenced by various environmental factors such 81 82 as temperature, pH, biological activity or solid content (Foladori et al., 2020). In laboratory experiments, significantly higher reductions were determined at higher temperatures (Ahmed et 83 al., 2020; Gundy et al., 2009). Coronaviruses are enveloped viruses, and an intact envelope is 84 important for virus pathogenicity (Mandala et al., 2020). Overall, there are indications that 85 enveloped viruses are less resistant to environmental conditions and inactivation compared to 86 non-enveloped viruses such as adeno- or noroviruses (Gundy et al., 2009; Ye et al., 2016). The 87 virus envelope is highly sensitive to chemical and physical conditions (e.g., pH, lipid solvents, 88 89 disinfectants) (Mohan et al., 2021; Scheller et al., 2020). In contrast, the capsid protein is 90 probably less subjected to lipid solvents, temperature and pH changes, although so far, little information is available on its stability. 91

92 In this context, the aims of this study were (i) to establish a robust wastewater monitoring 93 procedure including the evaluation of different target genes and the use of digital droplet PCR, 94 (ii) to apply the WBE approach in southern Germany, (iii) to determine the distribution of 95 SARS-CoV-2 variants and (iv) to investigate the stability of its genome in wastewater samples.

- 96 2. Material and Methods
- 97 2.1 Study sites, sample collection and storage

Long-term monitoring was performed between June 2020 and July 2021 at the WWTP of the
city of Karlsruhe. Karlsruhe is the second-largest city of the German federal state BadenWürttemberg and located in southwestern Germany. The WWTP of Karlsruhe has a capacity of
850,000 population equivalents and treats the sewage of about 370,000 people.

We collected 24-h-composite samples (from 0 to 12 pm) twice a week using a flow-proportional autosampler. The collected samples were homogenised using the dispersing and homogenizing tool MiniBatch D-9 (MICCRA GmbH) and transported to the laboratory at 4°C. Upon arrival, the samples were concentrated and analysed according to the method described below. In total, 89 samples were taken in the study area as duplicates. Wastewater samples from other sites in southern Germany (Leonberg, Baden-Wuerttemberg and Berchtesgadener Land, Bavaria) were also used for the evaluation of different target genes (802 samples in total).

#### 109 2.2 Sample processing

### 110 **2.2.1** Concentration

For sample concentration, a volume of 45 mL of wastewater sample was centrifuged (30 min; 111 5,000 g) to settle larger particles. The supernatant was than concentrated using PEG/NaCl 112 precipitation as described by Wu et al. (2020), with slight modifications. Briefly, 10% (w/v) 113 PEG 8000 (Carl Roth) and 2.25% NaCl (w/v) (Carl Roth) were added to the sample and mixed. 114 The mixture was agitated on a shaking incubator at 120 rpm for 2 h on ice, and subsequently, 115 samples were centrifuged at 20,000 g for 2 h at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded, and the 116 117 pellet was resuspended in 600 µL PCR-grade water (ThermoFisher Scientific). For all samples two independent replicates were analysed. 118

# 119 2.2.2 Nucleic acid extraction

Nucleic acids were extracted directly after concentration using the innuPrep Virus DNA/RNA
Kit – IPC16 (Analytik Jena GmbH) as described by the manufacturer (protocol for isolation from

122  $600 \,\mu\text{L}$  cell-free body fluid). Extracted nucleic acids were eluted in a final volume of  $100 \,\mu\text{L}$  and 123 analysed immediately or stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

# 124 **2.2.3 PCR detection**

The SARS-CoV-2-specific sequences were quantified using one-step RT-ddPCR. For the PCR analyses, seven primers/probe sets were compared (Table 1). All wastewater samples were analysed using two duplex PCR assays with the primers and probe sets 1–4. Additional investigations of selected samples for the evaluation of alternative primers and probes (set 5-7) and the detection of the N501Y mutation and wildtype (sets 8 and 9) were carried out. All primers and probes were obtained from ThermoFisher Scientific.

131

#### **132 Table 1:** Primers and probes used for ddPCR analysis

N 0.	Designation of primer/probe system in the text	Primer ID	Target- gene	Sequence (5'–3')	Reference
1	N1	2019-nCoV_N1-F	- N	GACCCCAAAATCAGCGAAAT	US Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020
		2019-nCoV_N1-R		TCTGGTTACTGCCAGTTGAATCTG	
		2019-nCoV_N1-P		FAM-ACCCCGCATTACGTTTGGTGGACC-QYS	
2	E	E_Sarbeco_F1	E	ACAGGTACGTTAATAGTTAATAGCGT	Corman et al., 2020
		E_Sarbeco_R2		ATATTGCAGCAGTACGCACACA	
		E_Sarbeco_P1		FAM-ACACTAGCCATCCTTACTGCGCTTCG-QYS	
3	ORF	HKU-ORF1b-nsp14F	ORF1b	TGGGGYTTTACRGGTAACCT	Chu et al., 2020
		HKU- ORF1b-nsp14R		AACRCGCTTAACAAAGCACTC	
		HKU-ORF1b-nsp141P		VIC-TAGTTGTGATGCWATCATGACTAG-QYS	
4	RdRP1	RdRP_SARSr-F2	ORF1ab (RdRP)	GTGARATGGTCATGTGTGGCGG	Corman et al., 2020
		RdRP_SARSr-R1		CARATGTTAAASACACTATTAGCATA	
		RdRP_SARSr-P2		VIC-CAGGTGGAACCTCATCAGGAGATGC-QYS	
5	RdRP2	Modified RdRP-F	ORF1ab (RdRP)	AAATGGTCATGTGTGGCGGT	Muenchhoff et
		Modified RdRP-R		GTTAAAAACACTATTAGCATAAGCAGTTGT	al., 2020
		RdRP_SARSr-P2		VIC-CAGGTGGAACCTCATCAGGAGATGC-QYS	Corman et al., 2020
6	NSP3	nCoV_IP2-12669F	ORF1ab	ATGAGCTTAGTCCTGTTG	Corman et al., 2020
		nCoV_IP2-12759R		CTCCCTTTGTTGTGTTGT	
		nCoV_IP2-12696bP		FAM-AGATGTCTTGTGCTGCCGGTA-QYS	
7	NSP9	Lu_orf1ab_F	ORF1ab	AGAAGATTGGTTAGATGATGATAGT	Lu et al., 2020
		Lu_orf1ab_R		TTCCATCTCTAATTGAGGTTGAACC	
		Lu_orf1ab_P		VIC-TCCTCACTGCCGTCTTGTTGACCA-QYS	
8	-	501 wildtype_F	S (N501 wildtype)	CATATGGTTTCCAACCCACTA	Korukluoglu et al., 2021
		501_R		GGTGCATGTAGAAGTTCAAAAGAAAGT	
		501_P		FAM-TGGTGTTGGTTACCAACCATACAGAG-QYS	
9	-	501Y_F	S (N501Y mutation)	CATATGGTTTCCAACCCACTT	Korukluoglu et al., 2021
		501_R		GGTGCATGTAGAAGTTCAAAAGAAAGT	
		501_P		FAM-TGGTGTTGGTTACCAACCATACAGAG-QYS	

### 133

The ddPCR was performed on the QX200 Droplet Digital PCR System (BioRad) using the One-134 step RT-ddPCR Advanced Kit for Probes (BioRad). Reactions were set up in a final volume of 135 20 µL, following the manufacturer's instructions, using 3 µL of nucleic acid extract. The 136 reaction mixture consisted of One-step RT-ddPCR Supermix (BioRad), 20 units/µL reverse 137 transcriptase (BioRad), 15 mM DTT (BioRad), 900 nM primer (forward and reverse), 250 nM 138 probe and RNase-free water. The mixture was combined with 70 µL droplet generation oil in the 139 140 Droplet Generator (BioRad), and the resulting droplets were transferred to a 96-well plate for PCR cycling. Cycling conditions were as follows: 60 min reverse transcription at 42°C (1 cycle), 141 10 min enzyme activation at 95°C (1 cycle), 30 s denaturation at 95°C/1 min annealing/extension 142 cycle at 55°C (40 cycles), 10 min enzyme deactivation at 98°C (1 cycle) and a hold step at 4°C 143 until reading on the QX100 droplet reader (BioRad). Data analysis was performed with the 144

QuantaSoft software (BioRad). No-template control and a positive control (synthetic RNA,
4BLqSARS-CoV-2 RNA, 4base lab AG) were included in each ddPCR assay. QuantaSoft and
QuantaSoft Analysis Pro (BioRad) were used to manually threshold and export the data.
Concentrations per reaction were converted to copies per mL of wastewater.

Previous reports showed an actual detection limit of 3 copies per reaction for the ddPCR (Alteri et al., 2020). In this case, the detection limit also represents the limit of quantification. Based on the initial volume of wastewater and the volume of RNA extract used in the PCR reaction, a detection limit of 2.5 gene copies per mL of wastewater was calculated.

153 **2** 

### 2.3 COVID-19 cases in the model area

Epidemiological data on COVID-19 cases in the studied area were retrieved from the daily 154 COVID-19 infections the report on in city and districts of Karlsruhe 155 (https://corona.karlsruhe.de/aktuelle-fallzahlen), which is based on official data of the Federal 156 Robert Koch Institute in charge of public health surveillance. In addition, the Association of 157 Accredited Laboratories in Medicine (ALM e.V.) submit the number of variant-specific PCR 158 examinations for Baden-Württemberg on a weekly basis; reports containing this data are 159 published regularly by the State Health Office of Baden-Württemberg. 160

#### 161 **2.4** Statistical analysis

Basic mathematical calculations (mean, median, sliding average) were performed in Microsoft Excel (14.0.7212.5). More complex statistics were calculated in Python (3.7.6) with the SciPy library (1.6.2) according to Virtanen et al. (2020). Bonferroni-correction was applied to all p values by multiplication with the number of samples (n).

### 166 2.5 Biomarker stability tests

Batch experiments were established in 1-L glass bottles using untreated wastewater from the 167 168 WWTP of Karlsruhe. The 24-hr composite sample of the previous day (4 L) was transported to the laboratory and directly used for the experiment. Overall, six test bottles containing 600 mL of 169 wastewater were set up. Three bottles with wastewater were purged with ambient air using 170 aquarium pumps, and the other three bottles were purged with nitrogen gas for ten minutes and 171 172 then sealed immediately. The bottles were incubated at room temperature. Samples were taken to determine dissolved oxygen (DO) and pH using a WTW meter (36020 IDS) with optical sensor 173 (FDO 925) and pH electrode (SenTrix) from Xylem Analytics. After each sampling the 174 wastewater was purged again with ambient air or nitrogen for five minutes. The PCR samples 175 176 (40 ml) were processed using the Vac-Man Laboratory Vacuum Manifold (Promega) and the Maxwell<sup>®</sup> RSC Enviro Wastewater TNA Kit (Promega). 177

### 178 **3.** Results and discussion

### 179 **3.1** Suitability of four different primer and probe systems

180 The ddPCR data for a total of 802 field samples, including results for four primer and probe sets 181 targeting N, E, ORF and RdRP genes (2019-nCoV-N1, E\_Sarbeco, HKU-ORF1b-nsp14, RdRP\_SARS; hereinafter referred to as N1, E, ORF and RdRP1), were compared (Fig. 1). The 182 standard deviation of independent replicates was 26%. Using N1, 94% of the samples showed 183 184 higher values than the detection limit. For other primer and probe combinations the proportion of samples showing values higher than the detection limit were considerably lower (62% for E, 185 54% for ORF and 20% for RdRP1). A similar trend was observed for gene copy numbers, with 186 highest values for N1 and lowest one for RdRP1. Median values were 27.5, 11.8, 11.5 and 8.5 187 gene copies per mL for N1, E, ORF and RdRP1, respectively. 188

189 In particular for N1, we observed several outliers. In some cases, the N1 values were more than 2 190  $\log_{10}$  levels higher than the values obtained by the other assays. Linear regression between the

data (Fig. 1) did show low correlation coefficients or no significance (p > 0.05) for all combinations with the N1 primer/probe set. The E and ORF correlated well, with ORF giving slightly lower values as compared to E (slope = 1.24 at R<sup>2</sup> = 0.96, p < 0.001 and n = 399). Both E and ORF gave higher slope values of 2.83 and 2.29 compared to RdRP1, indicating a noticeable difference. To exclude internal errors for the N1 assay, these extreme values were confirmed by additional replicates.

The copy numbers of the four tested assays differed showing the following order: N1 > E  $\approx$  ORF > RdRP1. It was hypothesised that the differences in the gene copy numbers may be due to a non-optimal primer design or the localisation and stability of the target genes on the SARS-CoV-2 genome. The second hypothesis was supported by the preliminary observation that the gene copy numbers decreased towards the 5' end indicating a preferential degradation of the SARS-CoV-2 genome from the 5'end. This hypothesis was tested with additional primers on the same sample set (see Section 3.3).





Fig. 1. Linear regression of four different primer/probe systems for the analysis of 802 samples. Charts show gene copies per mL
 wastewater. Values with ≥ tenfold deviation are shown as X. Trend lines were generated using all values.

For the same primers, differences between gene copy numbers or Ct values have also been 207 208 reported previously. For example, Vogels et al. (2020) observed similar Ct values for N1, E and ORF but higher Ct values for RdRP1 in clinical samples. Similar findings with N1, E and RdRP1 209 210 have been reported by Muenchhoff et al. (2020) for stool samples and for E and RdRP1 in wastewater samples (Bertrand et al., 2021). Higher copy numbers (corresponding to lower Ct 211 values) for the N1 primers in wastewater have been reported by Gerrity et al. (2021) in 212 comparison to N2, E and ORF, by Pérez-Cataluña et al. (2021) in comparison to N2 and E, by 213 214 Randazzo et al. (2020) for N2 and N3 as well as by Fernandez-Cassi et al. (2021) and Peccia et al. (2020) for N2. Due to low values using RdRP1 and the high outliers using N1, WBE data 215 216 reporting in our study was performed using only E and ORF. Similar decisions have been made by Gonzalez et al. (2020) and Westhaus et al. (2021); both studies excluded N1 for wastewater 217 monitoring. Considering the observed effects, initial comparison of detection methods and the 218 219 parallel detection of at least two SARS-CoV-2-specific genes is recommended to assure reliable WBE. 220

#### 221 **3.2** Results of the WBE for the city of Karlsruhe

For the investigated WWTP, 89 samples were taken during the 1-year survey from June 2020 to 222 July 2021; this period included both the second and third waves of COVID-19 infections in 223 Germany. For each sample, two independent replicates were analysed using E and ORF assays. 224 An average SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentration was calculated from the results of the two 225 replicates and the gene copy numbers for E and ORF. Figure 2 displays infection numbers and 226 SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentrations (sliding average of three) over time. Both data curves show a 227 similar but time-delayed trend (Fig. 2, A). By shifting the individual diagnostic testing data set 228 forward, the correlation coefficient increased to a maximum of 0.89 (p < 0.001) at 16 days (Fig. 229 3 and Fig. S1 and S2 in Supplementary Information). With this time shift, case numbers and 230 ddPCR data matched at increasing and decreasing phases of the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> waves. 231

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Fig. 2. (A) Results of wastewater monitoring and infection numbers and (B) time-shifted infection numbers and biomarkerconcentrations for the study area.





232

**Fig. 3.** Correlation of infection numbers and ddPCR values with a time shift of 16 days.

The observed time gain of 16 days for WBE in our study is higher than the values reported in previous studies. Time shifts in WBE of 2–4 days (Hillary et al., 2021; Nemudryi et al., 2020), 6–8 days (Peccia et al., 2020), 7 days (Medema et al., 2020), 8 days (Hamouda et al., 2021;

Wurtzer et al., 2020), 11 days (Róka et al., 2021) and 10–14 days (Agrawal et al., 2021a) have been reported. In some studies, no correlation could be found due to PCR noise or low case numbers (D'Aoust et al., 2021; Westhaus et al., 2021).

243 These varying findings can be explained with the high number of variables in diagnostic individual testing: availability of rapid antigen tests, the speed of clinical tests (availability, 244 workload of labs, testing strategy), positive testing rate and the speed of reporting. These factors 245 246 might change throughout time and differ among SARS-CoV-2 waves (e.g., lockdowns or applied testing strategies). Wastewater monitoring has the advantage that the data for the catchment area 247 can be available with a single measurement within 48 hours. In summary, good correlation of 248 249 case numbers and SARS-CoV-2 gene copy numbers could be achieved with the detection of the E and ORF genes. The shapes of the E and ORF curves reflect the observed development of 250 COVID-19 cases. 251

Based on the correlation and a methodological detection limit of 2.5 genomic copies per mL 252 sewage by ddPCR, the theoretical limit of detection for the wastewater monitoring is approx. 20 253 254 infections per 100,000 inhabitants. The results of another German study indicated that RT-qPCR can detect 50 acute infected persons per 100,000 inhabitants in dry-weather periods (Westhaus et 255 al., 2021). In our study one genomic copy per mL is equivalent to 7 active cases per 100,000 256 257 inhabitants. However, the case/gene copy number factor is hard to compare with literature, as parameters often differ (e.g., cumulative cases (Medema et al., 2020) or gene copies per day 258 (Agrawal et al., 2021a)). Furthermore, these values are highly specific for a sewer system and 259 depend on numerous boundary conditions, such as characteristics of the sewer system. 260 Therefore, SARS-CoV-2 copy number/number of infections factors cannot be transferred 261 262 directly to other catchment areas.

#### 263 **3.3** Extended evaluation of primer and probe systems

The results for the primers/probes N1, E, ORF and RdRP1 exhibited the highest gene copy 264 265 numbers for N1 and the lowest one for RdRP1. Based on these results, it could be hypothesised that the SARS-CoV-2 genome degradation might preferably start from the 5' end. In general, 266 viral RNA can be degraded enzymatically via endonucleases, 3'-to-5' exonucleases and 5'-to-3' 267 exonucleases (Houseley and Tollervey, 2009). However, viruses have developed specific 268 mechanisms to counteract this degradation. These include various 5' end modifications, as well 269 as processes of mimicking cellular RNAs via 'cap snatching' or even direct blocking of receptor 270 proteins, thus preventing recognition (Dickson and Wilusz, 2011; Markiewicz et al., 2021). The 271 genome of coronaviruses has a 5'-terminal cap structure and a poly(A) sequence at the 3'-end 272 273 (Kim et al., 2020). To our knowledge, information about the specific enzymatic degradation processes of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater is not available yet. 274 To test the hypothesis of the preferential 5' end degradation, two additional genes located more 275

closely to the 5' end, namely NSP3 and NSP9, and an alternative primer for the RdRP gene
(hereinafter referred to as RdRP2) were investigated with a set of 64 samples (Fig. 4). For this
set, samples with high, medium and low copy numbers were selected. High N1 outliers were
excluded.

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Fig. 4. The ddPCR results of 64 samples with seven different primer and probe sets. Dots represent single values. Bars represent
25 and 75%-intervals, numbers represent the medians. SARS-CoV-2 gene and primer positions according to the reference

283 genome NC\_045512.2 (Wu et al., 2020).

280

Generally, the observed median gene copy numbers differed between 17.5 (RdRP1) and 84.6 284 copies per mL (N1). The medians followed the order N1, RdRP2, NSP9, E, ORF, NSP3, RdRP1. 285 The E, ORF, RdRP1, RdRP2, NSP3 and NSP9 primer/probe sets showed a good correlation with 286 coefficients up to 1.00 (p < 0.05) (Fig. S3 in Supplementary Information). All correlation 287 coefficients with the N1 assay were generally lower and ranged from -0.24 (RdRP2) to 0.74 288 (ORF). Comparing the slopes of the linear regression, nearly all significant correlations, except 289 290 those with RdRP1, ranged from 0.5x to 2.0x (n = 46-58, p < 0.05). Generally, RdRP1 provided considerably lower values than the other genes (0.23x-0.46x, n = 50-47, p < 0.05). 291

Based on this analysis, we can conclude the following: (i) due to the outliers observed with N1 and the low gene copy numbers using RdRP1 and NSP3, these primer/probe sets are not recommended for wastewater surveillance, (ii) E, ORF, RdRP2 and NSP9 worked well for monitoring using ddPCR and provided comparable gene copy numbers (average of deviations: 14%) and (iii) the hypothesis of the 5'-directed degradation of the SARS-CoV-2 genome was rejected.

The effect of deviating between the different PCR-assays might be explained with variations in PCR efficiency and secondary structures of target regions in the viruses. Primers and probes did not show any mismatches in comparison with the corresponding target sequences (e.g., SARS-CoV-2 reference sequence NC\_045512.2), except for the RdRP1 reverse primer with a single nucleotide mismatch in the middle. This mismatch could lead to lower copy numbers (Vogels et al., 2020); however, single mismatches not in close 3'proximity are not that critical (Lefever et al., 2013).

Overall, we strongly recommend the application of different primers and probes. Such an approach will assure reliable and robust results and prevent underestimation of results due to newly occurring primer binding site mutations. Additionally, multiple targets will ensure comparability with earlier results in the case a primer has to be exchanged. A good example for this is the N3 forward primer published by the CDC, with a mutated nucleotide position in about 4% of observed sequences (Vogels et al., 2020).

#### 311 **3.4** Spread of VoC in the model area

We also quantified VoC of sewage samples in the sewershed of the city of Karlsruhe. The proportion of N501Y to wildtype sequences was compared with publicly available data from the diagnostic tests of infected persons. The proportion of the N501Y mutation in wastewater follows the same course as the trend seen for infected persons (Fig. 5).





Fig. 5. Concentration of N501Y mutation divided by the total gene copy numbers of the S-gene (ratio of wildtype and N501Y
mutation) in wastewater, and ratios of variants of concern (VoC) in new COVID-19 patients in Baden-Württemberg by variantspecific PCR according to the Association of Accredited Laboratories in Medicine (ALM e.V).

The earliest detection of N501Y was made in the wastewater sample of December 28, 2020. The proportion of N501Y gradually increased to 97% on March 11, 2021, and then settled at around 86%. In December 2020, the state of Baden-Württemberg reported the detection of the alpha variant (B.1.1.7) for the first time, corresponding to the first detection of N501Y in wastewater from Karlsruhe. After the first detections of variants containing the N501Y mutation, the increase followed a trend that is comparable with the increase of N501Y-containing variants in patients in Baden-Wuerttemberg.

Analogous to a Dutch study (Heijnen et al., 2021), the results of this study show the applicability of RT-ddPCR to detect the spread of relevant mutations of SARS-CoV-2 in the population. Effluent monitoring of mutations by RT-ddPCR is a rapid and efficient method to detect the occurrence of VoC on a community level and could also be used as an early warning system for

SARS-CoV-2 variants. In some studies, next-generation sequencing (NGS) techniques from the 331 332 clinical sector have also been used to detect SARS-CoV-2 mutations (Agrawal et al., 2021b; Crits-Christoph et al., 2021; Izquierdo-Lara et al., 2021). However, these techniques require deep 333 sequencing and extensive knowledge on bioinformatics-supported interpretation of the results to 334 determine the presence of mutations associated with VoC (Heijnen et al., 2021). Furthermore, 335 NGS results are less quantitative than RT-ddPCR results and usually cannot be generated in a 336 337 timely manner. This temporal aspect is of particular relevance with regard to an early warning function of wastewater monitoring. The advantage of NGS is the generation of comprehensive 338 information on the existing spectrum of mutations in a sample. Overall, RT-ddPCR and NGS are 339 340 complementary methods that provide important information on the distribution of N501Y and other relevant mutations at the community level through the analysis of RNA extracts from 341 wastewater samples. 342

### 343 3.5 Oxygen-dependent decay of SARS-CoV-2 RNA

Depending on flow velocity, temperature, dissolved organic carbon, and other boundary conditions, the DO concentration in the wastewater of the sewer system can vary. For this reason, the decay of SARS-CoV-2 RNA was investigated under aerobic and anaerobic conditions.



348

349 Fig. 6. Decay of SARS-CoV-2 biomarkers (as means of ORF, E, RdRP2 and NSP3 copy numbers) and oxygen concentration

350 over time in wastewater samples purged with air (left) or nitrogen (right). Error bars represent standard deviations for the three

351 independent test bottles (n = 3).

At the beginning of the experiment, DO contents of 2.8 mg/L and SARS-CoV-2 RNA 352 concentrations between 95 and 112 gene copies per mL were determined (Fig. 6). In the bottles 353 354 aerated with atmospheric oxygen, the DO increased up to 8.5 mg/L while the concentration of SARS-CoV-2 RNA decreased by 1  $\log_{10}$  level within 24 h. After 4 days the copy numbers were 355 below the detection limit. In the nitrogen treated bottle, the DO concentration decreased below 356 0.1 mg/L and viral RNA decreased by about 0.3 log<sub>10</sub> levels (52%) within one day. 357 Subsequently, the concentrations of SARS-CoV-2 biomarkers remained stable. The different 358 359 target sequences (ORF, E and RdRP2, NSP3) provided comparable gene copy numbers (maximum standard deviation: 26.9 gene copies per mL; Fig. S4). Overall, the results indicate 360 that the DO concentration can affect the fate of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater. In addition, 361 362 the batch experiments demonstrated similar degradation rates of four genes localised at different positions throughout the SARS-CoV-2 genome, and thus also did not indicate any directional 363 degradation of the genome (Section 3.3). 364

The virus stability studies published so far have mostly used other human or animal pathogenic 365 coronaviruses, showing an influence of temperature, pH and matrix on the decay of 366 367 coronaviruses in several water types (Foladori et al., 2020). At room temperature, coronaviruses suspended in tap water, primary WWTP effluent or untreated and autoclaved wastewater showed 368 > 99.9% reduction within 2–5 days (Ahmed et al., 2020; Gundy et al., 2009). In contrast, animal 369 370 coronaviruses such as transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV) and mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) showed a higher persistence in pasteurised settled sewage at room temperature 371 (Casanova et al., 2009); times for a 99% reduction were 7 days for MHV and 9 days for TGEV 372 (Casanova et al., 2009). However, oxygen concentration has not been measured in these studies. 373

It has been known for a long time that the oxygen concentration can be an important factor influencing virus survival. Scheuerman et al. (1991) have studied the effects of temperature and DO on the persistence of enteric viruses in sludge (polio 1, coxsackie B3 and echo 1, and rotavirus SA-11) under laboratory conditions. The inactivation rates under aerobic conditions were significantly higher than those under anaerobic conditions (-0.77 log<sub>10</sub>/day vss -0.33 log<sub>10</sub>/day) (Scheuerman et al., 1991). Also in groundwater studies, the presence of oxygen accelerated enteric viruses decay (Gordon and Toze, 2003; Sidhu and Toze, 2012).

### 381 4. Conclusions

Within this study, a robust method for the detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater was established. This detection methodology is based on PEG precipitation followed by automated nucleic acid extraction and the detection of different target genes by RT-ddPCR. The following findings were obtained:

Comparison of ddPCR results for seven different primer and probe assays showed that E, ORF, RdRP2 and NSP9 were suitable for wastewater monitoring and yielded similar results. The N1, RdRP1 and NSP3 assays clearly deviated from the average of the other primer and probe combinations. Based on these results and the high mutation potential of coronaviruses, we recommend the parallel detection of at least two, preferably three or more, target sequences to increase the robustness of the method.

Comparison of the wastewater monitoring results for the city of Karlsruhe with reported
 COVID-19-infection numbers confirmed the potential of WBE for the early detection of
 trends in the incidence of infection within a catchment area.

With PCR-based wastewater monitoring also the spread of VoCs in a catchment area can
be monitored, making it a fast and cost-effective alternative to NGS-based approaches.

SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater showed low decay under anaerobic conditions, and
 fast decay under aerobic conditions. These findings are relevant for a better
 understanding of biomarker stability in sewer systems, and storage of wastewater
 samples.

401 The data obtained from the wastewater monitoring were made available to the Covid-19 Task402 Force of the city of Karlsruhe and used as an additional tool for pandemic management.

### 403 Conflicts of Interest

404 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal 405 relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### 406 Acknowledgements

This study was financially supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research as part of the funding program Sustainable Water Management (NaWaM-RiSKWa) (Biomarker, grant number 02WRS1557). We thank the City Civil Engineering Office of the city of Karlsruhe (Tiefbauamt der Stadt Karlsruhe), especially Martin Kissel, Albrecht Dörr, Dr. Gaby Morlock und Stephen Kemper. We also thank Dr. Marion Woermann und Carmen Kraffert for their assistance with wastewater monitoring and experimental work.

#### All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

### 413 **References**

- Agrawal, S., Orschler, L., Lackner, S., 2021a. Long-term monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in
  wastewater of the Frankfurt metropolitan area in Southern Germany. Sci. Rep. 11 (1), 5372,
  https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-84914-2.
- Agrawal, S., Orschler, L., Lackner, S., 2021b. Metatranscriptomic analysis reveals SARS-CoV-2
  mutations in wastewater of the Frankfurt metropolitan area in southern Germany. Microbiol.
  Resour. Announc. 10 (15), e00280-21, https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00280-21.
- 420 Ahmed, W., Bertsch, P.M., Bibby, K., Haramoto, E., Hewitt, J., Huygens, F., Gyawali, P.,
- Korajkic, A., Riddell, S., Sherchan, S.P., Simpson, S.L., Sirikanchana, K., Symonds, E.M.,
  Verhagen, R., Vasan, S.S., Kitajima, M., Bivins, A., 2020. Decay of SARS-CoV-2 and
  surrogate murine hepatitis virus RNA in untreated wastewater to inform application in
  wastewater-based epidemiology. Environ. Res. 191, 110092,
- 425 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.110092</u>.
- Alteri, C., Cento, V., Antonello, M., Colagrossi, L., Merli, M., Ughi, N., Renica, S., Matarazzo,
  E., Di Ruscio, F., Tartaglione, L., Colombo, J., Grimaldi, C., Carta, S., Nava, A., Costabile,
- 428 V., Baiguera, C., Campisi, D., Fanti, D., Vismara, C., Fumagalli, R., Scaglione, F., Epis,
- O.M., Puoti, M., Perno, C.F., 2020. Detection and quantification of SARS-CoV-2 by droplet
  digital PCR in real-time PCR negative nasopharyngeal swabs from suspected COVID-19
  patients. PLoS One 15 (9), e0236311, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236311.
- Bertrand, I., Challant, J., Jeulin, H., Hartard, C., Mathieu, L., Lopez, S., Schvoerer, E., Courtois,
- S., Gantzer, C., 2021. Epidemiological surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 by genome
  quantification in wastewater applied to a city in the northeast of France: Comparison of
  ultrafiltration- and protein precipitation-based methods. Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health 233,
  113692, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2021.113692.
- 437 Bisseux, M., Colombet, J., Mirand, A., Roque-Afonso, A.-M., Abravanel, F., Izopet, J.,
- 438 Archimbaud, C., Peigue-Lafeuille, H., Debroas, D., Bailly, J.-L., Henquell, C., 2018.
- 439 Monitoring human enteric viruses in wastewater and relevance to infections encountered in
- the clinical setting: a one-year experiment in central France, 2014 to 2015: A one-year
- 441 experiment in central France, 2014 to 2015. Euro Surveill. 23 (7), 17–237,
  442 https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2018.23.7.17-00237.
- Bogler, A., Packman, A., Furman, A., Gross, A., Kushmaro, A., Ronen, A., Dagot, C., Hill, C.,
  Vaizel-Ohayon, D., Morgenroth, E., Bertuzzo, E., Wells, G., Kiperwas, H.R., Horn, H.,
- 445 Negev, I., Zucker, I., Bar-Or, I., Moran-Gilad, J., Balcazar, J.L., Bibby, K., Elimelech, M.,
- 446 Weisbrod, N., Nir, O., Sued, O., Gillor, O., Alvarez, P.J., Crameri, S., Arnon, S., Walker, S.,
- 447 Yaron, S., Nguyen, T.H., Berchenko, Y., Hu, Y., Ronen, Z., Bar-Zeev, E., 2020. Rethinking
- 448 wastewater risks and monitoring in light of the COVID-19 pandemic. Nat. Sustain. 3 (12),
  449 981–990, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-020-00605-2.
- 450 Casanova, L., Rutala, W.A., Weber, D.J., Sobsey, M.D., 2009. Survival of surrogate
  451 coronaviruses in water. Water Res. 43 (7), 1893–1898,
  452 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2009.02.002.
- 453 Cervantes-Avilés, P., Moreno-Andrade, I., Carrillo-Reyes, J., 2021. Approaches applied to detect 454 SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater and perspectives post-COVID-19. J. Water Process. Eng. 40 (7),
- 455 101947, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2021.101947.

- All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.
- Chavarria-Miró, G., Anfruns-Estrada, E., Guix, S., Paraira, M., Galofré, B., Sánchez, G., Pintó, 456 R.M., Bosch, A., 2021. Sentinel surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater anticipates the 457 occurrence of COVID-19 cases. medRxiv, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.13.20129627. 458 Chu, D.K.W., Pan, Y., Cheng, S.M.S., Hui, K.P.Y., Krishnan, P., Liu, Y., Ng, D.Y.M., Wan, 459 C.K.C., Yang, P., Wang, O., Peiris, M., Poon, L.L.M., 2020. Molecular diagnosis of a novel 460 coronavirus (2019-nCoV) causing an outbreak of pneumonia. Clin. Chem. 66 (4), 549-555, 461 https://doi.org/10.1093/clinchem/hvaa029. 462 Corman, V.M., Landt, O., Kaiser, M., Molenkamp, R., Meijer, A., Chu, D.K., Bleicker, T., 463 Brünink, S., Schneider, J., Schmidt, M.L., Mulders, D.G., Haagmans, B.L., van der Veer, B., 464 van den Brink, S., Wijsman, L., Goderski, G., Romette, J.-L., Ellis, J., Zambon, M., Peiris, 465 M., Goossens, H., Reusken, C., Koopmans, M.P., Drosten, C., 2020. Detection of 2019 novel 466 coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR. Euro Surveill. 25 (3), 2000045, 467 https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.3.2000045. 468 Crits-Christoph, A., Kantor, R.S., Olm, M.R., Whitney, O.N., Al-Shayeb, B., Lou, Y.C., 469 Flamholz, A., Kennedy, L.C., Greenwald, H., Hinkle, A., Hetzel, J., Spitzer, S., Koble, J., 470 Tan, A., Hyde, F., Schroth, G., Kuersten, S., Banfield, J.F., Nelson, K.L., 2021. Genome 471 sequencing of sewage detects regionally prevalent SARS-CoV-2 variants. mBio 12 (1), 472 e02703-20, https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02703-20. 473 D'Aoust, P.M., Mercier, E., Montpetit, D., Jia, J.-J., Alexandrov, I., Neault, N., Baig, A.T., 474 475 Mayne, J., Zhang, X., Alain, T., Langlois, M.-A., Servos, M.R., MacKenzie, M., Figeys, D., MacKenzie, A.E., Graber, T.E., Delatolla, R., 2021. Quantitative analysis of SARS-CoV-2 476 477 RNA from wastewater solids in communities with low COVID-19 incidence and prevalence. 478 Water Res. 188, 116560, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116560. Dickson, A.M., Wilusz, J., 2011. Strategies for viral RNA stability: live long and prosper. Trends 479 480 Genet. 27 (7), 286–293, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2011.04.003. 481 European Commission, 2021. Commission Recommendation of 17.3.2021 on a common approach to establish a systematic surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 and its variants in 482 wastewaters in the EU. 483 https://ec.europa.eu/environment/pdf/water/recommendation\_covid19\_monitoring\_wastewat 484 485 ers.pdf. Fernandez-Cassi, X., Scheidegger, A., Bänziger, C., Cariti, F., Tuñas Corzon, A., 486 Ganesanandamoorthy, P., Lemaitre, J.C., Ort, C., Julian, T.R., Kohn, T., 2021. Wastewater 487 monitoring outperforms case numbers as a tool to track COVID-19 incidence dynamics when 488 test positivity rates are high. Water Res. 200, 117252, 489 490 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117252. Foladori, P., Cutrupi, F., Segata, N., Manara, S., Pinto, F., Malpei, F., Bruni, L., La Rosa, G., 491 2020. SARS-CoV-2 from faeces to wastewater treatment: what do we know?: A review. Sci. 492 Total. Environ. 743, 140444, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140444. 493 Gerrity, D., Papp, K., Stoker, M., Sims, A., Frehner, W., 2021. Early-pandemic wastewater 494 surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 in Southern Nevada: Methodology, occurrence, and 495 incidence/prevalence considerations. Water Res. X 10, 100086, 496 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wroa.2020.100086. 497 Gonzalez, R., Curtis, K., Bivins, A., Bibby, K., Weir, M.H., Yetka, K., Thompson, H., Keeling, 498 D., Mitchell, J., Gonzalez, D., 2020. COVID-19 surveillance in Southeastern Virginia using 499 wastewater-based epidemiology. Water Res. 186, 116296, 500
- 501 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116296</u>.

- All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.
- Gordon, C., Toze, S., 2003. Influence of groundwater characteristics on the survival of enteric
   viruses. J. Appl. Microbiol. 95 (3), 536–544, <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-</u>
   <u>2672.2003.02010.x.</u>
- Gundy, P.M., Gerba, C.P., Pepper, I.L., 2009. Survival of coronaviruses in water and
  wastewater. Food Environ. Virol. 1 (1), 10, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12560-008-9001-6</u>.
- Hamouda, M., Mustafa, F., Maraqa, M., Rizvi, T., Aly Hassan, A., 2021. Wastewater
  surveillance for SARS-CoV-2: Lessons learnt from recent studies to define future
  applications. Sci. Total. Environ. 759, 143493,
- 510 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143493</u>.
- Hart, O.E., Halden, R.U., 2020. Computational analysis of SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19
  surveillance by wastewater-based epidemiology locally and globally: Feasibility, economy,
  opportunities and challenges. Sci. Total. Environ. 730, 138875,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138875.
- Heijnen, L., Elsinga, G., Graaf, M. de, Molenkamp, R., Koopmns, M.P.G., Medema, G., 2021.
  Droplet digital RT-PCR to detect SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern in wastewater. medRxiv, https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.25.21254324.
- 518 Hill, K., Zamyadi, A., Deere, D., Vanrolleghem, P.A., Crosbie, N.D., 2021. SARS-CoV-2
- known and unknowns, implications for the water sector and wastewater-based epidemiology
  to support national responses worldwide: Early review of global experiences with the
- 521 COVID-19 pandemic. Water Qual. Res. J. 56 (2), 57–67,
   522 https://doi.org/10.2166/wqrj.2020.100.
- Hillary, L.S., Farkas, K., Maher, K.H., Lucaci, A., Thorpe, J., Distaso, M.A., Gaze, W.H.,
  Paterson, S., Burke, T., Connor, T.R., McDonald, J.E., Malham, S.K., Jones, D.L., 2021.
  Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 in municipal wastewater to evaluate the success of lockdown
  measures for controlling COVID-19 in the UK. Water Res. 200, 117214,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117214.
- Houseley, J., Tollervey, D., 2009. The many pathways of RNA degradation. Cell 136 (4), 763–
   776, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.01.019</u>.
- 530 Izquierdo-Lara, R., Elsinga, G., Heijnen, L., Munnink, B.B.O., Schapendonk, C.M.E.,
- Nieuwenhuijse, D., Kon, M., Lu, L., Aarestrup, F.M., Lycett, S., Medema, G., Koopmans,
  M.P.G., Graaf, M. de, 2021. Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 circulation and diversity through
  community wastewater Sequencing, the Netherlands and Belgium. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 27 (5),
- 534 1405–1415, https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2705.204410.
- Kim, D., Lee, J.-Y., Yang, J.-S., Kim, J.W., Kim, V.N., Chang, H., 2020. The architecture of
   SARS-CoV-2 transcriptome. Cell 181 (4), 914-921.e10,
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.04.011.
- Kitajima, M., Ahmed, W., Bibby, K., Carducci, A., Gerba, C.P., Hamilton, K.A., Haramoto, E.,
  Rose, J.B., 2020. SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater: State of the knowledge and research needs.
- 540 Sci. Total. Environ. 739, 139076, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139076</u>.
- Korukluoglu, G., Kolukirik, M., Bayrakdar, F., Ozgumus, G.G., Altas, A.B., Cosgun, Y., Ketre
  Kolukirik, C.Z., 2021. 40 minutes RT-qPCR assay for screening spike N501Y and HV6970del mutations. bioRxiv, https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.26.428302.
- Lefever, S., Pattyn, F., Hellemans, J., Vandesompele, J., 2013. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms
  and other mismatches reduce performance of quantitative PCR assays. Clin. Chem. 59 (10),
- 546 1470–1480, https://doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2013.203653.

- Lodder, W., de Roda Husman, A.M., 2020. SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater: Potential health risk,
  but also data source. Lancet Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 5 (6), 533–534,
- 549 https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253(20)30087-X.
- Lu, R., Zhao, X., Li, J., Niu, P., Yang, B., Wu, H., Wang, W., Song, H., Huang, B., Zhu, N., Bi,
  Y., Ma, X., Zhan, F., Wang, L., Hu, T., Zhou, H., Hu, Z., Zhou, W., Zhao, L., Chen, J.,
- 552 Meng, Y., Wang, J., Lin, Y., Yuan, J., Xie, Z., Ma, J., Liu, W.J., Wang, D., Xu, W., Holmes,
- E.C., Gao, G.F., Wu, G., Chen, W., Shi, W., Tan, W., 2020. Genomic characterisation and
- epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: Implications for virus origins and receptor binding.
  Lancet 395 (10224), 565–574, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30251-8</u>.
- Makowski, L., Olson-Sidford, W., W-Weisel, J., 2021. Biological and clinical consequences of
  integrin binding via a rogue RGD motif in the SARS CoV-2 spike protein. Viruses 13 (2),
  146, https://doi.org/10.3390/v13020146.
- Mandala, V.S., McKay, M.J., Shcherbakov, A.A., Dregni, A.J., Kolocouris, A., Hong, M., 2020.
  Structure and drug binding of the SARS-CoV-2 envelope protein transmembrane domain in
  lipid bilayers. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 27 (12), 1202–1208, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-</u>
  020-00536-8.
- Markiewicz, L., Drazkowska, K., Sikorski, P.J., 2021. Tricks and threats of RNA viruses towards understanding the fate of viral RNA. RNA Biol 18 (5), 669–687,
  https://doi.org/10.1080/15476286.2021.1875680.
- Medema, G., Heijnen, L., Elsinga, G., Italiaander, R., Brouwer, A., 2020. Presence of SARS coronavirus-2 RNA in sewage and correlation with reported COVID-19 prevalence in the
   early stage of the epidemic in the Netherlands. Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 7 (7), 511–516,
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.0c00357</u>.
- Mercatelli, D., Giorgi, F.M., 2020. Geographic and genomic distribution of SARS-CoV-2
   mutations. Front Microbiol. 11, 1800, <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01800</u>.
- Mohan, S.V., Hemalatha, M., Kopperi, H., Ranjith, I., Kumar, A.K., 2021. SARS-CoV-2 in
  environmental perspective: Occurrence, persistence, surveillance, inactivation and challenges
- 574 Occurrence, persistence, surveillance, inactivation and challenges. Chem. Eng. J. 405,
  575 126893, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.126893</u>.
- Muenchhoff, M., Mairhofer, H., Nitschko, H., Grzimek-Koschewa, N., Hoffmann, D., Berger,
  A., Rabenau, H., Widera, M., Ackermann, N., Konrad, R., Zange, S., Graf, A., Krebs, S.,
  Blum, H., Sing, A., Liebl, B., Wölfel, R., Ciesek, S., Drosten, C., Protzer, U., Boehm, S.,
- 579 Keppler, O.T., 2020. Multicentre comparison of quantitative PCR-based assays to detect
- 580 SARS-CoV-2, Germany, March 2020. Euro Surveill. 25 (24), 2001057,
- 581 https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.24.2001057.
- Nemudryi, A., Nemudraia, A., Wiegand, T., Surya, K., Buyukyoruk, M., Cicha, C.,
  Vanderwood, K.K., Wilkinson, R., Wiedenheft, B., 2020. Temporal detection and
  phylogenetic assessment of SARS-CoV-2 in municipal wastewater. Cell. Rep. Med. 1 (6),
  100098, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2020.100098.
- Peccia, J., Zulli, A., Brackney, D.E., Grubaugh, N.D., Kaplan, E.H., Casanovas-Massana, A.,
  Ko, A.I., Malik, A.A., Wang, D., Wang, M., Warren, J.L., Weinberger, D.M., Arnold, W.,
  Omer, S.B., 2020. Measurement of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater tracks community
  infection dynamics. Nat. Biotechnol. 38 (10), 1164–1167, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-</u>
  020-0684-z.
- 591 Pérez-Cataluña, A., Cuevas-Ferrando, E., Randazzo, W., Falcó, I., Allende, A., Sánchez, G.,
- 2021. Comparing analytical methods to detect SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater. Sci. Total.
  Environ. 758, 143870, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143870.

- All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.
- Qi, R., Huang, Y.-T., Liu, J.-W., Sun, Y., Sun, X.-F., Han, H.-J., Qin, X.-R., Zhao, M., Wang, 594 L.-J., Li, W., Li, J.-H., Chen, C., Yu, X.-J., 2018. Global prevalence of asymptomatic 595 norovirus infection: A meta-analysis. EClinicalMedicine 2-3, 50-58, 596 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2018.09.001. 597 Rački, N., Morisset, D., Gutierrez-Aguirre, I., Ravnikar, M., 2014. One-step RT-droplet digital 598 PCR: A breakthrough in the quantification of waterborne RNA viruses. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 599 406 (3), 661–667, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-013-7476-y. 600 Randazzo, W., Truchado, P., Cuevas-Ferrando, E., Simón, P., Allende, A., Sánchez, G., 2020. 601 SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater anticipated COVID-19 occurrence in a low prevalence 602 area. Water Res. 181, 115942, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.115942. 603 Róka, E., Khaver, B., Kis, Z., Kovács, L.B., Schuler, E., Magyar, N., Málnási, T., Oravecz, O., 604 Pályi, B., Pándics, T., Vargha, M., 2021. Ahead of the second wave: Early warning for 605 606 COVID-19 by wastewater surveillance in Hungary. Sci. Total. Environ. 786, 147398, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147398. 607 Rossmann, K., Clasen, R., Münch, M., Wurzbacher, C., Tiehm, A., Drewes, J.E., 2021a. SARS-608 CoV-2 Krisenmanagement mit Hilfe eines Abwasserfrühwarnsystems am Beispiel 609 Berchtesgadener Land SARS-CoV-2. Crises Management using Wastewater Surveillance in 610 the County Berchtesgadener Land, Germany. Deutsches Ärzteblatt 118, 479–480, 611 https://doi.org/10.3238/arztebl.m2021.0261. 612 Rossmann, K., Großmann, G., Frangoulidis, D., Clasen, R., Münch, M., Hasenknopf, M., 613 Wurzbacher, C., Tiehm, A., Stange, C., Ho, J., Woermann, M., Drewes, J.E., 2021b. 614 615 Innovatives SARS-CoV-2-Krisenmanagement im öffentlichen Gesundheitswesen: Corona-Dashboard und Abwasserfrühwarnsystem am Beispiel Berchtesgadener Land. Innovative 616 617 SARS-CoV-2-2 crises management in the pubic health sector corona dashboard and wastewater surveillance in the county Berchtesgadener Land, Germany. 618 619 Bundesgesundheitsblatt (in press). Sandoval Torrientes, M., Castelló Abietar, C., Boga Riveiro, J., Álvarez-Argüelles, M.E., Rojo-620 Alba, S., Abreu Salinas, F., Costales González, I., Pérez Martínez, Z., Martín Rodríguez, G., 621 622 Gómez de Oña, J., Coto García, E., Melón García, S., 2021. A novel single nucleotide polymorphism assay for the detection of N501Y SARS-CoV-2 variants. J. Virol. Methods 623 294, 114143, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2021.114143. 624 Scheller, C., Krebs, F., Minkner, R., Astner, I., Gil-Moles, M., Wätzig, H., 2020. 625 Physicochemical properties of SARS-CoV-2 for drug targeting, virus inactivation and 626 attenuation, vaccine formulation and quality control. Electrophoresis 41 (13-14), 1137–1151, 627 628 https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.202000121. Scheuerman, P., Farrah, S., Bitton, G., 1991. Laboratory studies of virus survival during aerobic 629 and anaerobic digestion of sewage sludge. Water Res. 25 (3), 241-245, 630 https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(91)90002-8. 631 Sidhu, J.P.S., Toze, S., 2012. Assessment of pathogen survival potential during managed aquifer 632 recharge with diffusion chambers. J. Appl. Microbiol. 113 (3), 693-700, 633 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2012.05360.x. 634 US Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020. 2019-novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) real-635 time rRT-PCR panel primers and probes (accessed 20.05.2021). 636 https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/downloads/rt-pcr-panel-primer-probes.pdf. 637 Virtanen, P., Gommers, R., Oliphant, T.E., Haberland, M., Reddy, T., Cournapeau, D., Burovski, 638 639 E., Peterson, P., Weckesser, W., Bright, J., van der Walt, Stéfan J, Brett, M., Wilson, J., Millman, K.J., Mayorov, N., Nelson, A.R.J., Jones, E., Kern, R., Larson, E., Carey, C.J., 640

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

- Polat, İ., Feng, Y., Moore, E.W., VanderPlas, J., Laxalde, D., Perktold, J., Cimrman, R.,
- Henriksen, I., Quintero, E.A., Harris, C.R., Archibald, A.M., Ribeiro, A.H., Pedregosa, F.,
- van Mulbregt, P., 2020. SciPy 1.0: Fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in
- 644 Python. Nat. Methods 17 (3), 261–272, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0686-2</u>.
- Vogels, C.B.F., Brito, A.F., Wyllie, A.L., Fauver, J.R., Ott, I.M., Kalinich, C.C., Petrone, M.E.,
- 646 Casanovas-Massana, A., Catherine Muenker, M., Moore, A.J., Klein, J., Lu, P., Lu-Culligan,
- 647 A., Jiang, X., Kim, D.J., Kudo, E., Mao, T., Moriyama, M., Oh, J.E., Park, A., Silva, J., Song,
- E., Takahashi, T., Taura, M., Tokuyama, M., Venkataraman, A., Weizman, O.-E., Wong, P.,
- 649 Yang, Y., Cheemarla, N.R., White, E.B., Lapidus, S., Earnest, R., Geng, B., Vijayakumar, P.,
- Odio, C., Fournier, J., Bermejo, S., Farhadian, S., Dela Cruz, C.S., Iwasaki, A., Ko, A.I.,
  Landry, M.L., Foxman, E.F., Grubaugh, N.D., 2020. Analytical sensitivity and efficiency
- 652 comparisons of SARS-CoV-2 RT-qPCR primer-probe sets. Nat. Microbiol. 5 (10), 1299–
   653 1305, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-0761-6</u>.
- Westhaus, S., Weber, F.-A., Schiwy, S., Linnemann, V., Brinkmann, M., Widera, M., Greve, C.,
  Janke, A., Hollert, H., Wintgens, T., Ciesek, S., 2021. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in raw and
  treated wastewater in Germany: Suitability for COVID-19 surveillance and potential
  transmission risks. Sci. Total. Environ. 751, 141750,
- 658 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141750.
- 659 Wu, F., Zhao, S., Yu, B., Chen, Y.-M., Wang, W., Song, Z.-G., Hu, Y., Tao, Z.-W., Tian, J.-H.,
- Pei, Y.-Y., Yuan, M.-L., Zhang, Y.-L., Dai, F.-H., Liu, Y., Wang, Q.-M., Zheng, J.-J., Xu, L.,
  Holmes, E.C., Zhang, Y.-Z., 2020. A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory
  disease in China. Nature 579 (7798), 265–269, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2008-3.
- Wurtzer, S., Marechal, V., Mouchel, J.M., Maday, Y., Teyssou, R., Richard, E., Almayrac, J.L.,
  Moulin, L., 2020. Evaluation of lockdown impact on SARS-CoV-2 dynamics through viral
  genome quantification in Paris wastewaters. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory,
  https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.12.20062679.
- Ye, Y., Ellenberg, R.M., Graham, K.E., Wigginton, K.R., 2016. Survivability, partitioning, and
  recovery of enveloped viruses in untreated municipal wastewater. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50
  (10), 5077–5085, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b00876.

