It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Title page

Predictors of COVID-19 vaccine uptake in healthcare workers: a cross-sectional study in Greece

Running title: COVID-19 vaccine uptake in healthcare workers

Petros Galanis^a, Ioannis Moisoglou^b, Irene Vraka^c, Olga Siskou^d, Olympia Konstantakopoulou^d, Aglaia Katsiroumpa^a, Daphne Kaitelidou^d

^a Clinical Epidemiology Laboratory, Faculty of Nursing, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

^b Pulmonary Clinic, General Hospital of Lamia, Lamia, Greece

^c Department of Radiology, P & A Kyriakou Children's Hospital, Athens, Greece

^d Center for Health Services Management and Evaluation, Faculty of Nursing, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

Corresponding author: Petros Galanis, Assistant Professor, Clinical Epidemiology Laboratory, Faculty of Nursing, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 123 Papadiamantopoulou street, GR-11527, Athens, Greece, e-mail: pegalan@nurs.uoa.gr, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1308-5782

Word count: 2370

Conflicts of interest: none

Funding: None

Declarations of interest: None

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Petros Galanis, Irene Vraka, Daphne Kaitelidou

Study design: Petros Galanis, Ioannis Moisoglou, Irene Vraka

Data acquisition: Petros Galanis, Ioannis Moisoglou

Formal analysis: Petros Galanis, Olympia Konstantakopoulou, Aglaia Katsiroumpa

Supervision: Petros Galanis, Daphne Kaitelidou

Writing original draft: Petros Galanis, Irene Vraka, Olga Siskou, Aglaia Katsiroumpa

Writing, review and editing: Petros Galanis, Olga Siskou, Olympia Konstantakopoulou, Daphne Kaitelidou

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Background: The role of healthcare workers (HCWs) in the general public health is crucial and their decision to vaccinate against the COVID-19 can have a positive impact on the general population facilitating widespread COVID-19 vaccine uptake.

Objective: To estimate the uptake of a COVID-19 vaccine in HCWs and to expand our knowledge regarding the predictors of COVID-19 vaccine uptake.

Methods: An on-line cross-sectional study was conducted in Greece during August 2021. We collected socio-demographic data of HCWs and we measured attitudes towards vaccination and COVID-19, knowledge and trust. We used a convenience sample since we distributed the questionnaire through social media and e-mails.

Results: Study population included 855 HCWs. The majority of HCWs were vaccinated against the COVID-19 (91.5%). According to multivariate analysis, females, HCWs without a previous COVID-19 diagnosis, and HCWs with previous seasonal influenza vaccination history had a greater probability to take a COVID-19 vaccine. Also, increased self-perceived knowledge regarding COVID-19 and increased trust in COVID-19 vaccines and government regarding the information about the COVID-19 vaccines were associated with COVID-19 vaccine uptake. On the other hand, HCWs with more concerns about the side-effects of COVID-19 vaccines.

Conclusions: Our study provides a timely assessment of COVID-19 vaccination status among HCWs and identifies specific factors associated with COVID-19 vaccine uptake. By understanding these factors, policy makers and scientists will be able to develop novel strategies to improve COVID-19 vaccine uptake among HCWs.

Key words: COVID-19; healthcare workers; vaccine uptake; Greece; anxiety

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Several vaccines are effective in preventing the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and they are being used throughout the world (Baden et al., 2021; Logunov et al., 2021; Polack et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2021). The widespread use of COVID-19 vaccines is critical to control the COVID-19 pandemic, but several reasons could delay or decline COVID-19 vaccine uptake. According to a systematic review, the most important reasons for decline of a COVID-19 vaccine are concerns about the safety and effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines, medical conditions, religious and ethical reasons, pregnancy, fertility, limited knowledge, and previous COVID-19 diagnosis (Galanis et al., 2021). COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy limits general population protection from SARS-CoV-2. The situation is getting worse in case of healthcare workers (HCWs), since they are at higher risk of exposure and transmission of the SARS-CoV-2, and they could put themselves, co-workers and patients at risk.

To our knowledge, literature regarding COVID-19 vaccine uptake among HCWs is still poor since six studies have been conducted in this field and only one in Europe (Barry et al., 2021; Gharpure et al., 2021; Martin et al., 2021; Pacella-LaBarbara et al., 2021; Schrading et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021). The results showed that the uptake of a COVID-19 vaccine among HCWs is different ranging from 33.3% in Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (Barry et al., 2021) and 64.5% in United Kingdom (Martin et al., 2021), to 86.2% in China (Xu et al., 2021) and 94.5% in the USA (Schrading et al., 2021). Moreover, HCWs intention to accept COVID-19 vaccination is moderate (63.5%) according to a meta-analysis included 24 studies and 39,617 participants worldwide (Galanis et al., 2020). Several socio-demographic factors increase HCWs' uptake of a COVID-19 vaccine, e.g. male gender, older age, higher educational level, white race, etc. (Galanis et al., 2021).

To date, only one study on the actual acceptance of a COVID-19 vaccine in HCWs in Europe is reported (Martin et al., 2021). Moreover, research until now focus only on socio-demographic determinants of COVID-19 vaccine in HCWs. Thus, we aimed to estimate the uptake of a COVID-19 vaccine in a sample of HCWs in Greece and to expand our knowledge regarding the predictors of COVID-19 vaccine uptake.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Methods

Study design and participants

An on-line cross-sectional study was conducted in Greece during August 2021. From January 2021 until the time of study, a free COVID-19 vaccine was offered from Greek government to all HCWs throughout the country. The vaccine was taken on a voluntary basis and was offered irrespective of past history of COVID-19. We used google forms to create an anonymous version of the study questionnaire. We used a convenience sample since we distributed the questionnaire through social media and e-mails. The on-line questionnaire was accompanied by a detailed explanation of the study aim and design, and HCWs provided informed consent to participate anonymously in the study. All HCWs over 18 years old were allowed to participate in the study. Given the wide range of COVID-19 vaccine uptake among the HCWs through the studies, we considered a prevalence of 50% to estimate the largest sample size for our study. Thus, considering prevalence of COVID-19 vaccine uptake as 50%, precision level as 5%, and confidence level as 95%, we calculated a minimum sample size of 385 HCWs. We decided to increase substantially the sample size to minimize random error. The Ethics Committee of Department of Nursing, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens approved the study protocol (reference number; 370, 02-09-2021).

Questionnaire

We collected the following socio-demographic data of HCWs: gender, age, marital status, under-age children, educational level, profession, years of experience, self-perceived financial status, self-perceived health status, chronic disease, previous COVID-19 diagnosis, family/friends with previous COVID-19 diagnosis, living with elderly people or vulnerable groups during the COVID-19 pandemic, and providing care to COVID-19 patients. Financial status and self-perceived health status were measured in a five-point Likert scale from 0 to 4 (0="very poor", 1="poor", 2="moderate", 3="good", and 4="very good").

Regarding vaccination, we measured seasonal influenza vaccination in 2020 and COVID-19 vaccination with "yes/no" answers. Moreover, we recorded possible reasons for decline of COVID-19 vaccination, e.g. concerns about the safety and

effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines, fear for side-effects, religious reasons, pregnancy, previous COVID-19 diagnosis, etc.

Also, we measured self-perceived severity of COVID-19, self-perceived knowledge regarding COVID-19 and COVID-19 vaccines, concerns about the side-effects of COVID-19 vaccination, trust in COVID-19 vaccines, and trust in the government, scientists and family doctors regarding the information about the COVID-19 vaccines on a scale from 0 to 10 with higher values indicate higher levels of self-perceived severity of COVID-19, knowledge, concerns, and trust.

Statistical analysis

We used numbers (percentages) to present categorical variables and mean (standard deviation) to present continuous variables. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and normal Q-Q plots were applied to test the normality of the distribution of the continuous variables. COVID-19 vaccination was the dependent variable and we defined the outcome as 1 if a HCW took a COVID-19 vaccine. First we performed univariate logistic regression analysis and independent variables with p-values <0.20 were included in a multivariate logistic regression model to eliminate confounding. We applied a backward stepwise model and we calculated adjusted odds ratios (OR), 95% confidence intervals (CI) and p-values. In multivariate logistic regression model, p-values<0.05 were considered significant. All tests of statistical significance were two-tailed. Statistical analysis was performed with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences software (IBM Corp. Released 2012. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.).

Results

Study population included 855 HCWs. Detailed socio-demographic characteristics of HCWs are shown in Table 1. Mean age of HCWs was 40.9 years and mean years of clinical experience were 14.4. Among our HCWs, 80.7% were females, 48.9% had a MSc/PhD degree, 45.3% were nurses, and 20.1% have suffered from a chronic disease. Regarding the COVID-19, 10.8% of HCWs were diagnosed with COVID-19, 58.8% had family/friends with a previous COVID-19 diagnosis, and 49.8% provided care to COVID-19 patients. Most of the HCWs considered their financial status as moderate/good (83.6%) and their health status as good/very good (81.9%).

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Please, insert Table 1 about here

Table 2 presents HCWs' attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccination and pandemic. The majority of HCWs were vaccinated against the COVID-19 (91.5%), while the respective percentage for the seasonal influenza in 2020 was 64.6%. The most important reasons for decline of COVID-19 vaccination were concerns about the safety and effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines (50%), concerns about the side-effects of COVID-19 vaccines (17.6%), previous COVID-19 diagnosis (12.2%), and females' effort to get pregnant (9.5%). HCWs reported high levels of knowledge regarding COVID-19 and COVID-19 vaccines and moderate concerns about the side-effects of COVID-19 vaccination. Regarding the information about the COVID-19 vaccines, HCWs showed more trust in family doctors and scientists than in the government.

Please, insert Table 2 about here

Logistic regression analysis is shown in Table 3. According to multivariate logistic regression analysis, eight variables were related with COVID-19 vaccine uptake in healthcare workers. In particular, females, HCWs without a previous COVID-19 diagnosis, and HCWs with previous seasonal influenza vaccination history had a greater probability to take a COVID-19 vaccine. Increased self-perceived knowledge regarding COVID-19 and increased trust in COVID-19 vaccines and government regarding the information about the COVID-19 vaccines were associated with COVID-19 vaccine uptake. On the other hand, HCWs with more concerns about the side-effects of COVID-19 vaccination were more reluctant to take a COVID-19 vaccine. Moreover, increased self-perceived knowledge regarding COVID-19 vaccines was associated with COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy.

Please, insert Table 3 about here

Discussion

We conducted a study to estimate COVID-19 vaccine uptake in a sample of HCWs in Greece and investigate the predictors of this uptake. A great percentage of our HCWs

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

(91.5%) have been vaccinated against the COVID-19. This percentage appears similar to that found in studies in the USA (94.5%) and China (86.2%) (Schrading et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021). On the other hand, four studies in the USA, United Kingdom, and Kingdom of Saudi Arabia found lower COVID-19 vaccine uptake from to 33.3% to 79% (Barry et al., 2021; Gharpure et al., 2021; Martin et al., 2021; Pacella-LaBarbara et al., 2021). Data collection time could explain this variability in COVID-19 vaccine uptake since the closer each study was performed to now, the more likely HCWs were to take a COVID-19 vaccine. At the time of our study, COVID-19 vaccination for HCWs was voluntary in Greece but the government was planning a mandatory vaccination program for HCWs and other occupational groups from September 2021. This intention of the Greek government could explain in part the high percentage of COVID-19 vaccine uptake in HWCs in our study.

Our multivariate regression model revealed varied factors were associated with COVID-19 vaccine uptake in HCWs. Specifically, trust in COVID-19 vaccines and less concerns about the side-effects of COVID-19 vaccination were associated with vaccine acceptance. This finding is confirmed by the literature since the main reasons for the decline of COVID-19 vaccination include concerns about the COVID-19 vaccine safety and effectiveness (Schrading et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021). Thus, policy makers and scientists should provide unvaccinated HCWs with more safety and surveillance data of the COVID-19 vaccines.

Moreover, we found that increased self-perceived knowledge regarding COVID-19 vaccines is associated with COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy. High levels of self-perceived knowledge among HCWs do not mean necessarily adequate knowledge regarding COVID-19 vaccines since many sources of information (e.g. social media, religious leaders, etc.) are spurious and misleading during the COVID-19 pandemic. Detection of fake news is associated with intention to take a COVID-19 vaccine (Montagni et al., 2021). Also, COVID-19 vaccine uptake is higher among individuals that do not use social media as a source of information during the COVID-19 pandemic (Barry et al., 2021). Research indicates that on-line COVID-19 information from most websites is of poor quality and inadequate (Cuan-Baltazar et al., 2020; Fan et al., 2020). During the COVID-19 pandemic, a major health crisis misinformation is produced by the media, and the misinformation is obtained by HCWs from the websites. Additionally, information regarding COVID-19 vaccines is

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

of particular interest, since these vaccines are an innovation and new data are constantly emerging. Governments should develop strategies to regulate COVID-19 information on the internet ensuring that websites will provide evidence-based information related to COVID-19 vaccines.

Our findings demonstrate higher COVID-19 vaccine uptake among HCWs with previous seasonal influenza vaccination history. The role of influenza vaccination in the uptake of COVID-19 vaccine in HCWs has not been investigated in other studies but has already been shown to be critical in the intention of HCWs to accept a COVID-19 vaccine (Galanis et al., 2020). Unfortunately, influenza vaccination rate among HCWs is low although is higher than general population and high-risk groups (Blank et al., 2008; La Torre et al., 2011; Sheldenkar et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2018). Refusal of influenza vaccination is evidence of vaccine hesitancy, one of the top ten threats to global health in 2019 according to the World Health Organization (World Health Organization, 2020). The negative attitude of HCWs towards vaccination is already known (Di Martino et al., 2020; Lau et al., 2020; Wilson et al., 2020) and COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in HCWs is crucial as it can undermine public confidence (MacDonald & Dubé, 2015; Opel et al., 2013). Educational programs and workplace strategies are proven effective to improve influenza vaccination coverage among HCWs and may also serve as a guide to improve COVID-19 vaccine uptake (Black et al., 2018).

Consistent with prior literature (Martin et al., 2021; Pacella-LaBarbara et al., 2021), HCWs with a history of COVID-19 diagnosis were more likely to be unvaccinated. Individuals with a history of COVID-19 diagnosis are likely to feel less risk of being re-infected by the virus and/or have severe consequences. Risk perception is critical to vaccination intention, since as risk perception increases, so does the intention to accept a COVID-19 vaccine (Caserotti et al., 2021). Also, when the risk of contracting COVID-19 is low, intention on taking a COVID-19 vaccine is low (Karlsson et al., 2021).

We found that females had greater COVID-19 vaccine uptake than males. This finding is interesting since it is in contrary to the previous studies (Barry et al., 2021; Martin et al., 2021; Pacella-LaBarbara et al., 2021; Schrading et al., 2021). In general, COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy is more common among females (Gagneux-

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Brunon et al., 2020; Nzaji MK et al., 2020; Shaw et al., 2021; Unroe et al., 2021; Verger et al., 2021). Our finding may be due to the fact that we now have more knowledge about the safety and effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines. For instance, the results of recent studies show the effectiveness of vaccines in both pregnant and lactating women (Ciapponi et al., 2021; Garg et al., 2021).

Our study suffers from several limitations. Although our study population was large, we used a convenience sample which is not representative of HCWs in Greece. Additionally, response rate cannot be calculated since we conducted an on-line study. Moreover, vaccine uptake and other information were self-reported and social desirability to bias responses may exist. For instance, some HCWs may have falsely stated that they had received a COVID-19 vaccine. We used an anonymous on-line questionnaire to reduce this bias. Further, we investigated a variety of determinants of COVID-19 vaccine uptake and some of them had not been studied before. However, it is possible that there are other factors affecting COVID-19 vaccination. Future research may consider including other factors which may influence COVID-19 vaccine uptake, e.g. personality traits, social media variables, fake news, conspiracy theories, etc. Finally, as is always the case in cross-sectional studies, no causal relationships between independent variables and COVID-19 vaccine uptake can be established.

Conclusions

Our study provides a timely assessment of COVID-19 vaccination status among HCWs in Greece and identifies specific factors associated with COVID-19 vaccine uptake. Future work is needed to understand the factors influencing the decision of HCWs to vaccinate against the COVID-19. By understanding these factors, policy makers and scientists will be able to develop novel strategies to improve COVID-19 vaccine uptake among HCWs. The role of HCWs in the general public health is crucial and their decision to vaccinate can have a positive impact on the general population facilitating widespread COVID-19 vaccine uptake.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References

Baden, L. R., El Sahly, H. M., Essink, B., Kotloff, K., Frey, S., Novak, R., Diemert, D., Spector, S. A., Rouphael, N., Creech, C. B., McGettigan, J., Khetan, S., Segall, N., Solis, J., Brosz, A., Fierro, C., Schwartz, H., Neuzil, K., Corey, L., ... Zaks, T. (2021). Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *384*(5), 403–416. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2035389
Barry, M., Temsah, M.-H., Aljamaan, F., Saddik, B., Al-Eyadhy, A., Alenezi, S., Alamro, N., Alhuzaimi, A. N., Alhaboob, A., Alhasan, K., Alsohime, F., Alaraj, A., Halwani, R., Jamal, A., Temsah, O., Alzamil, F., Somily, A., & Al-Tawfiq, J. A. (2021). *COVID-19 vaccine uptake among healthcare workers in the fourth country to*

authorize BNT162b2 during the first month of rollout [Preprint]. Public and Global Health. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.29.21250749

Black, C. L., Yue, X., Ball, S. W., Fink, R. V., de Perio, M. A., Laney, A. S., Williams, W. W., Graitcer, S. B., Fiebelkorn, A. P., Lu, P.-J., & Devlin, R. (2018). Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Health Care Personnel—United States, 2017-18 Influenza Season. *MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 67(38), 1050–1054. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6738a2

Blank, P. R., Schwenkglenks, M., & Szucs, T. D. (2008). Influenza vaccination coverage rates in five European countries during season 2006/07 and trends over six consecutive seasons. *BMC Public Health*, 8, 272. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-8-272

Caserotti, M., Girardi, P., Rubaltelli, E., Tasso, A., Lotto, L., & Gavaruzzi, T. (2021). Associations of COVID-19 risk perception with vaccine hesitancy over time for Italian residents. *Social Science & Medicine (1982)*, 272, 113688. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2021.113688

Ciapponi, A., Bardach, A., Mazzoni, A., Alconada, T., Anderson, S. A., Argento, F. J., Ballivian, J., Bok, K., Comandé, D., Erbelding, E., Goucher, E., Kampmann, B., Karron, R., Munoz, F. M., Palermo, M. C., Parker, E. P. K., Rodriguez Cairoli, F., Santa María, V., Stergachis, A. S., ... Buekens, P. M. (2021). Safety of components and platforms of COVID-19 vaccines considered for use in pregnancy: A rapid review. *Vaccine*, S0264-410X(21)01067-7.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.08.034

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Cuan-Baltazar, J. Y., Muñoz-Perez, M. J., Robledo-Vega, C., Pérez-Zepeda, M. F., & Soto-Vega, E. (2020). Misinformation of COVID-19 on the Internet: Infodemiology Study. *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance*, *6*(2), e18444. https://doi.org/10.2196/18444

Di Martino, G., Di Giovanni, P., Di Girolamo, A., Scampoli, P., Cedrone, F., D'Addezio, M., Meo, F., Romano, F., Di Sciascio, M. B., & Staniscia, T. (2020). Knowledge and Attitude towards Vaccination among Healthcare Workers: A Multicenter Cross-Sectional Study in a Southern Italian Region. *Vaccines*, *8*(2), 248. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines8020248

Fan, K. S., Ghani, S. A., Machairas, N., Lenti, L., Fan, K. H., Richardson, D., Scott, A., & Raptis, D. A. (2020). COVID-19 prevention and treatment information on the internet: A systematic analysis and quality assessment. *BMJ Open*, *10*(9), e040487. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-040487

Gagneux-Brunon, A., Detoc, M., Bruel, S., Tardy, B., Rozaire, O., Frappe, P., & Botelho-Nevers, E. (2020). Intention to get vaccinations against COVID-19 in French healthcare workers during the first pandemic wave: A cross sectional survey. *The Journal of Hospital Infection*. MEDLINE. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.11.020

Galanis, P., Vraka, I., Fragkou, D., Bilali, A., & Kaitelidou, D. (2020). *Intention of health care workers to accept COVID-19 vaccination and related factors: A systematic review and meta-analysis* [Preprint]. Public and Global Health. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.08.20246041

Galanis, P., Vraka, I., Siskou, O., Konstantakopoulou, O., Katsiroumpa, A., & Kaitelidou, D. (2021). *Predictors of COVID-19 vaccination uptake and reasons for decline of vaccination: A systematic review* [Preprint]. Public and Global Health. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.28.21261261

Garg, I., Shekhar, R., Sheikh, A. B., & Pal, S. (2021). COVID-19 Vaccine in Pregnant and Lactating Women: A Review of Existing Evidence and Practice Guidelines. *Infectious Disease Reports*, 13(3), 685–699. https://doi.org/10.3390/idr13030064

Gharpure, R., Guo, A., Bishnoi, C. K., Patel, U., Gifford, D., Tippins, A., Jaffe, A., Shulman, E., Stone, N., Mungai, E., Bagchi, S., Bell, J., Srinivasan, A., Patel, A., & Link-Gelles, R. (2021). Early COVID-19 First-Dose Vaccination Coverage Among Residents and Staff Members of Skilled Nursing Facilities Participating in the Pharmacy Partnership for Long-Term Care Program—United States, December 2020-

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

January 2021. *MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 70(5), 178–182. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7005e2

Joshi, A., Kajal, F., Bhuyan, S. S., Sharma, P., Bhatt, A., Kumar, K., Kaur, M., & Arora, A. (2020). Quality of Novel Coronavirus Related Health Information over the Internet: An Evaluation Study. *TheScientificWorldJournal*, 2020, 1562028. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/1562028

Karlsson, L. C., Soveri, A., Lewandowsky, S., Karlsson, L., Karlsson, H., Nolvi, S., Karukivi, M., Lindfelt, M., & Antfolk, J. (2021). Fearing the disease or the vaccine: The case of COVID-19. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *172*, 110590. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2020.110590

La Torre, G., Mannocci, A., Ursillo, P., Bontempi, C., Firenze, A., Panico, M. G., Sferrazza, A., Ronga, C., D'Anna, A., Amodio, E., Romano, N., & Boccia, A. (2011). Prevalence of influenza vaccination among nurses and ancillary workers in Italy: Systematic review and meta analysis. *Human Vaccines*, *7*(7), 728–733. https://doi.org/10.4161/hv.7.7.15413

Lau, L. H. W., Lee, S. S., & Wong, N. S. (2020). The continuum of influenza vaccine hesitancy among nursing professionals in Hong Kong. *Vaccine*, *38*(43), 6785–6793. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.08.038

Logunov, D. Y., Dolzhikova, I. V., Shcheblyakov, D. V., Tukhvatulin, A. I., Zubkova, O. V., Dzharullaeva, A. S., Kovyrshina, A. V., Lubenets, N. L., Grousova, D. M., Erokhova, A. S., Botikov, A. G., Izhaeva, F. M., Popova, O., Ozharovskaya, T. A., Esmagambetov, I. B., Favorskaya, I. A., Zrelkin, D. I., Voronina, D. V., Shcherbinin, D. N., ... Gintsburg, A. L. (2021). Safety and efficacy of an rAd26 and rAd5 vector-based heterologous prime-boost COVID-19 vaccine: An interim analysis of a randomised controlled phase 3 trial in Russia. *The Lancet*, *397*(10275), 671–681. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00234-8

MacDonald, N. E., & Dubé, E. (2015). Unpacking Vaccine Hesitancy Among Healthcare Providers. *EBioMedicine*, 2(8), 792–793. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2015.06.028

Martin, C. A., Marshall, C., Patel, P., Goss, C., Jenkins, D. R., Ellwood, C., Barton, L., Price, A., Brunskill, N. J., Khunti, K., & Pareek, M. (2021). Association of demographic and occupational factors with SARS-CoV-2 vaccine uptake in a multiethnic UK healthcare workforce: A rapid real-world analysis [Preprint]. Public and Global Health. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.11.21251548

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Montagni, I., Ouazzani-Touhami, K., Mebarki, A., Texier, N., Schück, S., Tzourio, C., & CONFINS group. (2021). Acceptance of a Covid-19 vaccine is associated with ability to detect fake news and health literacy. *Journal of Public Health (Oxford, England)*, fdab028. https://doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fdab028

Nzaji MK, Ngombe LK, Mwamba GN, Ndala DB, Miema, J. M., Lungoyo, C. L., Mwimba BL, Bene AC, & Musenga, E. M. (2020). Acceptability of Vaccination Against COVID-19 Among Healthcare Workers in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Pragmatic and Observational Research*, *11*, 103–109. Coronavirus Research Database. https://doi.org/10.2147/POR.S271096

Opel, D. J., Heritage, J., Taylor, J. A., Mangione-Smith, R., Salas, H. S., Devere, V., Zhou, C., & Robinson, J. D. (2013). The architecture of provider-parent vaccine discussions at health supervision visits. *Pediatrics*, *132*(6), 1037–1046. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2013-2037

Pacella-LaBarbara, M. L., Park, Y., Patterson, D., Doshi, A., Guyette, M., Wong, A.
H., Chang, B. P., & Suffoletto, B. P. (2021). COVID-19 Vaccine Uptake and Intent
Among Emergency Healthcare Workers: A Cross-Sectional Survey. *Journal of Occupational* and *Environmental* Medicine.
https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0000000002298

Polack, F. P., Thomas, S. J., Kitchin, N., Absalon, J., Gurtman, A., Lockhart, S., Perez, J. L., Pérez Marc, G., Moreira, E. D., Zerbini, C., Bailey, R., Swanson, K. A., Roychoudhury, S., Koury, K., Li, P., Kalina, W. V., Cooper, D., Frenck, R. W., Hammitt, L. L., ... C4591001 Clinical Trial Group. (2020). Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, *383*(27), 2603–2615. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2034577

Schrading, W. A., Trent, S. A., Paxton, J. H., Rodriguez, R. M., Swanson, M. B., Mohr, N. M., Talan, D. A., & Project COVERED Emergency Department Network. (2021). Vaccination rates and acceptance of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination among U.S. emergency department health care personnel. *Academic Emergency Medicine: Official Journal of the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine*, 28(4), 455–458. https://doi.org/10.1111/acem.14236

Shaw, J., Stewart, T., Anderson, K. B., Hanley, S., Thomas, S. J., Salmon, D. A., & Morley, C. (2021). Assessment of U.S. health care personnel (HCP) attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccination in a large university health care system. *Clinical Infectious*

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Diseases: An Official Publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America, ciab054. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab054

Sheldenkar, A., Lim, F., Yung, C. F., & Lwin, M. O. (2019). Acceptance and uptake of influenza vaccines in Asia: A systematic review. *Vaccine*, *37*(35), 4896–4905. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.07.011

Unroe, K. T., Evans, R., Weaver, L., Rusyniak, D., & Blackburn, J. (2021). Willingness of Long-Term Care Staff to Receive a COVID-19 Vaccine: A Single State Survey. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, *69*(3), 593–599. https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.17022

Verger, P., Scronias, D., Dauby, N., Adedzi, K. A., Gobert, C., Bergeat, M., Gagneur, A., & Dubé, E. (2021). Attitudes of healthcare workers towards COVID-19 vaccination: A survey in France and French-speaking parts of Belgium and Canada, 2020. *Euro Surveillance: Bulletin Europeen Sur Les Maladies Transmissibles = European Communicable Disease Bulletin*, 26(3). https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2021.26.3.2002047

Wang, Q., Yue, N., Zheng, M., Wang, D., Duan, C., Yu, X., Zhang, X., Bao, C., & Jin, H. (2018). Influenza vaccination coverage of population and the factors influencing influenza vaccination in mainland China: A meta-analysis. *Vaccine*, *36*(48), 7262–7269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2018.10.045

Wilson, R., Zaytseva, A., Bocquier, A., Nokri, A., Fressard, L., Chamboredon, P., Carbonaro, C., Bernardi, S., Dubé, E., & Verger, P. (2020). Vaccine hesitancy and self-vaccination behaviors among nurses in southeastern France. *Vaccine*, *38*(5), 1144–1151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.11.018

World Health Organization. (2020). *Ten threats to global health in 2019*. https://www.who.int/news-room/spotlight/ten-threats-to-global-health-in-2019

Wu, Z., Hu, Y., Xu, M., Chen, Z., Yang, W., Jiang, Z., Li, M., Jin, H., Cui, G., Chen, P., Wang, L., Zhao, G., Ding, Y., Zhao, Y., & Yin, W. (2021). Safety, tolerability, and immunogenicity of an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine (CoronaVac) in healthy adults aged 60 years and older: A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 1/2 clinical trial. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, *21*(6), 803–812. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30987-7

Xu, B., Gao, X., Zhang, X., Hu, Y., Yang, H., & Zhou, Y.-H. (2021). Real-World Acceptance of COVID-19 Vaccines among Healthcare Workers in Perinatal Medicine in China. *Vaccines*, *9*(7), 704. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9070704

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

T٤	ab	le	1. 5	Soc	io-	dem	ogra	phie	c cl	narac	teris	tics	of	hea	lthc	care	wo	rkers.	
							ω												

Characteristics	Ν	%
Gender		
Females	714	80.7
Males	171	19.3
Age (years) ^a	40.9	9.9
Marital status		
Singles	254	28.7
Married	565	63.8
Widowed	61	6.9
Divorced	5	0.6
Children <18 years old		
No	398	45.0
Yes	487	55.0
MSc/PhD degree		
No	452	51.1
Yes	433	48.9
Profession		
Physicians	220	25.2
Nurses	396	45.3
Nurses assistants	47	5.4
Midwives	16	1.8
Paramedics	73	8.4
Administrative staff	72	8.2
Pharmacists	28	3.2
Biochemists	7	0.8
Dentists	5	0.6
Ambulatory staff	10	1.1
Clinical experience (years) ^a	14.4	9.5
Self-perceived financial status		
Very poor	10	1.1
Poor	80	9.0

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Moderate	483	54.6
Good	257	29.0
Very good	55	6.2
Self-perceived health status		
Very poor	3	0.3
Poor	17	1.9
Moderate	140	15.8
Good	446	50.4
Very good	279	31.5
Chronic disease		
No	707	79.9
Yes	178	20.1
Previous COVID-19 diagnosis		
No	789	89.2
Yes	96	10.8
Family/friends with previous COVID-19 diagnosis		
No	365	41.2
Yes	520	58.8
Living with elderly people or vulnerable groups during the COVID-19		
pandemic		
No	626	70.7
Yes	259	29.3
Providing care to COVID-19 patients		
No	441	50.2
Yes	438	49.8

^amean, standard deviation

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

18

Table 2. Healthcare workers' attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccination and pandemic.

Characteristics	Ν	%
COVID-19 vaccination		
No	75	8.5
Yes	810	91.5
Seasonal influenza vaccination in 2020		
No	313	35.4
Yes	572	64.6
Reasons for decline of COVID-19 vaccination		
I have doubts about the safety and effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines	37	50.0
I am afraid of side-effects of COVID-19 vaccines	13	17.6
I believe that I will not be infected by COVID-19	0	0
I believe that even if I get infected with COVID-19, nothing bad will happen to me	2	2.7
I have already been diagnosed with COVID-19 and the vaccine will not be beneficial for me	9	12.2
I am afraid because I suffer from a chronic disease	3	4.1
Family physician does not allow me to take a COVID-19 vaccine due to my medical condition	0	0
My religion does not allow me to take a COVID-19 vaccine	0	0
I am trying to get pregnant	7	9.5
I am afraid because I am pregnant	3	4.1
Self-perceived severity of COVID-19 ^a	8.3	2.1
Self-perceived knowledge regarding COVID-19 ^a	9.1	1.3
Self-perceived knowledge regarding COVID-19 vaccines ^a	8.7	1.7
Concerns about the side-effects of COVID-19 vaccination ^a	5.6	3.1
Trust in COVID-19 vaccines ^a	7.5	2.6
Trust in the government regarding the information about the COVID-19 vaccines ^a	5.5	3.2
Trust in scientists regarding the information about the COVID-19 vaccines ^a	7.6	2.8
Trust in family doctors regarding the information about the COVID-19 vaccines ^a	8.2	2.1
^a mean, standard deviation	I	L

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

19

Table 3. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis with COVID-19vaccine uptake in healthcare workers as the dependent variable (reference: COVID-19vaccine denial).

Variable	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	P-value	Adjusted OR (95% CI) ^a	P-value
Gender (females vs. males)	1.47 (0.85 – 2.54)	0.17	3.13 (1.27 – 7.70)	0.01
Age (years)	1.01 (0.98 – 1.03)	0.59	NS	
Marital status (married vs. singles/widowed/divorced)	1.12 (0.69 – 1.83)	0.64	NS	
Children <18 years old (no vs. yes)	1.71 (1.04 – 2.81)	0.04	NS	
MSc/PhD degree (yes vs. no)	1.32 (0.82 – 2.13)	0.26	NS	
Profession			NS	
Physicians	4.31 (1.76 - 10.54)	0.001		
Nurses	3.18 (1.45 – 7.00)	0.004		
Administrative staff	2.16 (0.78 - 5.96)	0.14		
Paramedics	2.55 (0.89 – 7.26)	0.08		
Others	4.19 (1.23 - 14.32)	0.02		
Nurses assistants	1 (reference)			
Clinical experience	1.01 (0.98 – 1.04)	0.54	NS	
Self-perceived financial status			NS	
Good/very good	2.59 (1.31 – 5.13)	0.006		
Moderate	2.77 (1.46 – 5.25)	0.002		
Very poor/poor	1 (reference)			
Self-perceived health status			NS	
Good/very good	2.82 (0.91 - 8.72)	0.07		
Moderate	2.67 (0.77 – 9.26)	0.12		
Very poor/poor	1 (reference)			
Chronic disease (yes vs. no)	1.01 (0.56 – 1.92)	0.98	NS	
COVID-19 disease (no vs. yes)	2.96 (1.66 - 5.29)	< 0.001	3.23 (1.33 – 7.86)	0.01
Family/friends with COVID-19 disease (no vs. yes)	1.77 (1.06 – 2.97)	0.03	NS	
Living with elderly people or vulnerable groups during	1.40 (0.85 – 2.30)	0.18	NS	
the COVID-19 pandemic (no vs. yes)				
Providing care to COVID-19 patients (no vs. yes)	1.01 (0.63 – 1.62)	0.98	NS	
Seasonal influenza vaccination in 2020 (yes vs. no)	7.43 (4.24 - 13.01)	< 0.001	4.57 (2.17 – 9.61)	< 0.001
Self-perceived severity of COVID-19	1.56 (1.42 – 1.72)	< 0.001	NS	
Self-perceived knowledge regarding COVID-19	1.17 (1.01 – 1.35)	0.04	1.42 (1.08 – 1.88)	0.01
Self-perceived knowledge regarding COVID-19	1.12 (0.99 – 1.26)	0.09	0.67 (0.53 – 0.86)	0.001
vaccines				
Concerns about the side-effects of COVID-19	0.56 (0.48 - 0.64)	< 0.001	0.74 (0.62 – 0.88)	0.001
vaccination				

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

20

Trust in COVID-19 vaccines	1.77 (1.61 – 1.94)	< 0.001	1.55 (1.31 – 1.82)	< 0.001
Trust in the government regarding the information	1.55 (1.41 – 1.72)	< 0.001	1.18 (1.02 – 1.37)	0.03
about the COVID-19 vaccines				
Trust in scientists regarding the information about the	1.52 (1.40 – 1.65)	< 0.001	NS	
COVID-19 vaccines				
Trust in family doctors regarding the information	1.38 (1.26 – 1.51)	< 0.001	NS	
about the COVID-19 vaccines				

An odds ratio <1 indicates a negative association, while an odds ratio >1 indicates a positive association.

CI: confidence interval; NS: not selected by the backward elimination procedure in the multivariable logistic regression analysis with a significance level set at 0.05; OR: odds ratio

 $^{\rm a}\,R^2$ for the final multivariate model was 57%

^b Due to low number of healthcare workers, we merged the following categories: "very poor" and "poor"; "good" and "very good"