

1 **Keywords:** *Anopheles stephensi*, Indoor residual spraying, Larval source management,
2 Insecticide treated nets, invasive mosquito, Ethiopia, Djibouti,

3 **The potential impact of *Anopheles stephensi* establishment on the**
4 **transmission of *Plasmodium falciparum* in Ethiopia and**
5 **prospective control measures**

6 Arran Hamlet^{1*}, Dereje Dengela², J. Eric Tongren^{3,4}, Fitsum G Tadesse^{5,6}, Teun Bousema^{6,7},
7 Marianne Sinka⁸, Aklilu Seyoum², Seth R. Irish⁹, Jennifer S. Armistead¹⁰ and Thomas
8 Churcher¹

9 ¹MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis; and the Abdul Latif Jameel Institute for
10 Disease and Emergency Analytics, School of Public Health, Imperial College London,
11 London, UK

12 ² PMI VectorLink Project, Abt Associates, 6130 Executive Blvd, Rockville, MD 20852,
13 USA.

14 ³US President's Malaria Initiative (PMI), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

15 ⁴Malaria Branch, Division of Parasitic Diseases and Malaria, Center for Global Health,
16 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA.

17 ⁵Armauer Hansen Research Institute, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

18 ⁶Radboud Institute for Health Sciences, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The
19 Netherlands.

20 ⁷Department of Immunology and Infection, London School of Hygiene and Tropical
21 Medicine, London, UK.

22 ⁸Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom, OX1 3SZ

23 ⁹US President's Malaria Initiative, Entomology Branch Division of Parasitic Diseases and
24 Malaria, Center for Global Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA,
25 USA.

26 ¹⁰ U.S. President's Malaria Initiative, U.S. Agency for International Development,
27 Washington, D.C., USA

28 *Corresponding author – arran.hamlet14@imperial.ac.uk

29 **Abstract (300 words of 300 words)**

30 **Background**

31 Sub-Saharan Africa has seen substantial reductions in cases and deaths due to malaria over
32 the past two decades. While this reduction is primarily due to an increasing expansion of
33 interventions, urbanisation has played its part as urban areas typically experience
34 substantially less malaria transmission than rural areas. However, this may be partially lost
35 with the invasion and establishment of *Anopheles stephensi*. *An. stephensi*, the primary urban
36 malaria vector in Asia, was first detected in Africa during 2012 in Djibouti and was
37 subsequently identified in Ethiopia in 2016, and later in Sudan and Somalia. In Djibouti,
38 malaria cases have increased 30-fold from 2012 to 2019 though the impact in the wider
39 region remains unclear.

40 **Methods**

41 Here we have adapted an existing model of mechanistic malaria transmission to estimate the
42 increase in vector density required to explain the trends in malaria cases seen in Djibouti. To
43 account for the observed plasticity in *An. stephensi* behaviour, and the unknowns of how it
44 will establish in a novel environment, we sample behavioural parameters in order to account
45 for a wide range of uncertainty. This quantification is then applied to Ethiopia, considering
46 temperature-dependent extrinsic incubation periods, pre-existing vector-control interventions
47 and *Plasmodium falciparum* prevalence in order to assess the potential impact of *An.*
48 *stephensi* establishment on *P. falciparum* transmission. Following this, we estimate the
49 potential impact of scaling up ITN (insecticide treated nets)/IRS (indoor residual spraying)
50 and implementing piperonyl butoxide (PBO) ITNs and larval source management,, as well as
51 their economic costs.

52 Results

53 We estimate that annual *P. falciparum* malaria cases could increase by 50% (95% CI 14-90)
54 if no additional interventions are implemented. The implementation of sufficient control
55 measures to reduce malaria transmission to pre-*stephensi* levels will cost hundreds of millions
56 of USD.

57 Conclusions

58 Substantial heterogeneity across the country is predicted and large increases in vector control
59 interventions could be needed to prevent a major public health emergency.

60

61 Keywords: Anopheles stephensi, malaria, mathematical modelling, Ethiopia, insecticide,
62 invasive, vector control

63

64 **Text word count (3482 of 3500 words)**

65 **Background**

66 Sub-Saharan Africa, where 94% of the global malaria burden occurs, has seen a substantial
67 reduction in cases and deaths due to malaria over the past two decades [1]. While this
68 reduction is primarily due to an increase in investment and expansion of interventions such as
69 insecticide treated nets (ITNs), indoor residual spraying (IRS), and diagnosis and treatment,
70 urbanisation also has played a part.

71 Africa has experienced rapid urbanization in recent years, rising from 31.5% of the
72 population living in urban areas in 1990, to 42.5% in 2018. By 2050, approximately 60% of
73 the population is expected to live in urban areas [2]. Planned urbanisation will likely have a
74 positive effect on reducing the malaria burden in Africa, as urban areas typically experience
75 substantially lower rates of malaria transmission than rural areas [3]. Primarily, this is
76 thought to be due to improved housing and the reduced availability of suitable larval habitats
77 for African *Anopheles* vector species [4].

78 The protective effect conferred by urbanization may be partially lost with the invasion and
79 establishment of *Anopheles stephensi*. *An. stephensi* is found throughout South Asia, where it
80 is capable of transmitting both *Plasmodium falciparum* and *P. vivax* parasites [5] in a diverse
81 set of habitats, from rural to highly urban settings [6]. The success of this vector in urban
82 locations is due to its ability to utilise water tanks, wells, and other artificial containers as
83 larval habitats [7, 8]. Furthermore, it has shown substantial resistance to water pollution [9].
84 In the last decade *Anopheles stephensi* has been discovered outside of its traditional endemic
85 region in Asia and was first detected in Djibouti in 2012 [10].

86 Prior to 2013, Djibouti had reported less than 3000 cases of malaria per year. Following the
87 year of initial detection of *An. stephensi*, cases have increased substantially, and in 2019 there
88 were 49,402 confirmed cases of malaria [11]. While causation has not been established
89 between increasing *An. stephensi* detection and malaria incidence in Djibouti, it has been
90 heavily implicated [12]. *Anopheles stephensi* has now been found in Sudan, Somalia, and
91 Ethiopia [13-17].

92 Here we quantify the potential impact of *An. stephensi* invasion in Djibouti on malaria
93 transmission in order to make projections about what could happen in Ethiopia, where the
94 species has been found at numerous sites and is spreading [18]. Translating the invasion of
95 *An. stephensi* to its public health impact is difficult due to uncertainty in its vectorial capacity
96 and how public health entities and governments will respond. Different illustrative scenarios
97 are investigated, exploring the public health impact of different vector control interventions.

98 **Methods**

99 **Mechanistic malaria model**

100 A deterministic version of a well-established compartmental model of *P. falciparum* malaria
101 transmission [19-24] was utilised. The human population was split into susceptible or
102 infected individuals with those infected being either asymptomatic, having clinical disease,
103 sub-microscopic infections, having been treated, or being in a period of prophylaxis
104 following treatment. The numbers of mosquito larvae, pupae and adults were simulated with
105 adult mosquitoes being either susceptible, exposed, or infectious (after the extrinsic
106 incubation period, EIP). The model accounts for heterogeneity in transmission as well as age-
107 dependent mosquito biting rates and the acquisition of natural immunity. The model is
108 summarised in Additional File Section 1 [19, 20, 22, 23, 25-28].

109 **Vector bionomics and Latin hypercube sampling**

110 The epidemiological impact of *An. stephensi* invasion will depend on the characteristics of
111 the vector in the new environment. Vector behaviours such as crepuscular biting, and resting
112 outside of houses could translate, compared to other African *Anopheles* species, to a reduced
113 efficacy of interventions such as ITN and IRS, and therefore accurate parameterisation is
114 important. A literature search was undertaken to find *An. stephensi*-specific bionomic data to
115 parameterise the mechanistic model. These included the daily mortality, proportion of blood
116 meals taken on humans, endophily (the proportion of time spent in human dwellings), bites
117 taken indoors and in sleeping spaces. Data on *An. stephensi* behaviour within Africa was
118 sparse, and so estimates were primarily acquired from studies in Iran, India and Pakistan,
119 with limited data from Ethiopia. A full list of studies and parameter range estimates are in the
120 Additional File Section 2 [5, 29-55]

121 Due to the relatively low number of studies from which the data were collected, and large
122 uncertainty around how the vector would behave, we incorporated parameter sampling in the
123 model fitting and extrapolation stage. This was done through taking the median value from
124 the data, and sampling from values 25% smaller and 25% larger unless otherwise stated
125 (Additional File Section 2). From this we undertook Latin hypercube sampling (LHS) which
126 is a statistical method for generating near-random samples of parameter values from a
127 multidimensional distribution. This allowed us to efficiently sample different parameter
128 combinations in order to generate broad uncertainty in predictions [56].

129 The efficacy of current and future ITNs will also depend on the level of pyrethroid resistance.
130 Substantial pyrethroid resistance has been found in Ethiopia, with a mean across years and
131 sites of 57% mosquito survival upon exposure to pyrethroids in a discriminating dose
132 bioassay [18]. This value was assumed throughout the country. There is no clear picture of
133 how *An. stephensi* abundance will change seasonally in Ethiopia, and so mosquito density
134 was assumed to remain consistent throughout the year, similar to recent patterns reported in
135 Djibouti (Seyfarth et al 2019). Ethiopia is geographically diverse, with many regions at high
136 altitudes, reducing malaria transmission. The relationship of the extrinsic incubation period
137 and temperature were provided from Stopard et al. (2021) [33]. How this influences
138 transmission is outlined in the Additional File Section 2 and Section 3.

139 **Fitting to Djibouti data**

140 The severity of the public health impact of *An. stephensi* invasion will depend on the number
141 of mosquitoes per person (vector density) and how it changes over time. In the absence of
142 information on the speed and magnitude of *An. stephensi* establishment in Ethiopia, we made
143 the simplest assumption that density increases in a sigmoidal manner that mirrors what has
144 happened in Djibouti. Here, given the low levels of malaria reported prior to *An. stephensi*
145 detection we assumed that the increase is singly due to *An. stephensi*, though native
146 *Anopheles* species such as *An. arabiensis* are present. This process is conducted in
147 conjunction with 200 LHS parameterisations of vector bionomics. From this, we take the 100
148 best LHS combinations as defined by their likelihood, and calculate the 2.5, 50 and 97.5%
149 quantiles. This provides us with estimates of the vector density required to explain malaria
150 incidence in Djibouti (Additional File Section 3). We then take the vector density required to
151 explain the final year where it appears to have plateaued, 2019, and apply this to Ethiopia.
152 Here the increase in vector density does not have an effect on pre-existing population
153 dynamics, in the absence of existing information on the interaction of *An. stephensi* and local
154 *Anopheles* vectors we do not model interaction or replacement, and so the existing vector
155 density is increased by the value estimated above.

156

157 **Current level of disease**

158 Estimates of the geographical distribution of malaria within Ethiopia were generated from
159 malaria slide prevalence in 2–10-year-olds estimates extracted using the Malaria Atlas
160 Project (MAP) R package, malariaAtlas [57]. These were aggregated to the 3rd
161 administrative division (woreda) using a population weighted mean. Estimates were adjusted
162 so that the number of cases predicted by the model matched those reported in the World
163 Malaria Report (WMR). In 2019 (the last year data were available) a total of 738,155 cases of
164 *P. falciparum* malaria were reported in Ethiopia. Here we concentrate on Falciparum malaria
165 as this is the dominant malaria species with the biggest public health impact. Current
166 estimates of the level of malaria control in Ethiopia are assumed to be maintained throughout
167 the period of *An. stephensi* invasion. ITN usage was provided at the 1st administrative
168 division (region) assuming pyrethroid-only ITNs, and IRS coverage at the woreda level [58].
169 Human population sizes were taken from UN World Population Prospects [29]. Historical use
170 of antimalarial drug treatment was also extracted from malariaAtlas (Additional File Section
171 2) and is assumed to remain constant over time. In order to reduce computational demands,
172 prevalence was rounded to 0, 1, 5, 10, 15, 25%, historical ITN/IRS/treatment to the nearest
173 20%, and EIP the nearest 1 day. This reduced the number of administrative locations
174 considered from 690 3rd administrative (woreda) units to 64 administrative groupings (see
175 Additional File Section 2).

176 **Projections of future malaria burden**

177 Models were used to project the change in malaria prevalence over time following the
178 invasion of *An. stephensi*. Here, we assumed an equal and simultaneous introduction in all
179 groupings, which while unrealistic is the most parsimonious response (Additional File,
180 Section 4 [28, 59-61]). Simulations were run for each administrative grouping. It is likely that
181 *An. stephensi* will not invade all regions of the country [31], and that invasion in areas above

182 an altitude of 2000m is unlikely to result in an increased malaria burden due to the low
183 temperatures. We therefore estimated the increase in the number of malaria cases in the
184 population within areas found suitable by previous research [31], and beneath 2000 meters
185 [32].

186 Different vector control interventions are considered to mitigate the impact of *An. stephensi*
187 invasion. As possible scenarios, we investigated the potential impact of increasing ITN usage,
188 deploying IRS and larval source management (LSM). ITN usage was increased from its
189 current level (Additional File Section 2) up to 80% usage assuming mass distribution every 3-
190 years (assuming pyrethroid-only ITNs). For the implementation of synergist piperonyl
191 butoxide (PBO) via PBO-pyrethroid ITNs, usage of 0% was assumed and scale-up involved
192 replacing all existing standard pyrethroid-only ITNs. Pyrethroid-only and PBO-pyrethroid
193 ITNs efficacy and its decay over their lifespan is estimated from a meta-analysis of
194 experimental hut trial data as detailed in Churcher et al., (2016) [27]. Models parameterised
195 with these data have been able to recreate the epidemiological impact of the mass distribution
196 of pyrethroid-only ITNs, PBO-pyrethroid ITNs and IRS measured in cluster randomised
197 control trials in Tanzania and Uganda [24]. In costing future interventions, we subtracted the
198 cost of existing ITN usage, as we were calculating the additional cost. IRS covering 80% of
199 structures of human habitation is conducted annually with a long-lasting product which the
200 local mosquito population is fully susceptible to; this decays throughout the year as
201 previously described [62]. The impact of LSM against *An. stephensi* is highly unclear but in
202 the absence of this information it was assumed to be constant and at a level to reduce adult
203 emergence across the year by 40%. These values were chosen based on pre-existing
204 usage/coverage in Ethiopia (Additional File Section 2) and from discussions with
205 implementation partners at the President's Malaria Initiative (PMI) centred around desired
206 values and feasibility. Note that some of the intervention combinations are not currently
207 recommended by the World Health Organisation (WHO) but are considered for
208 completeness. To illustrate the broad economic cost of this additional vector control, simple
209 estimates of total costs are provided. Approximate estimates of the cost of intervention
210 (purchasing, delivering, and applying) were provided from literature and from the U.S.
211 President's Malaria Initiative (Additional File Section 2).

212

213 **Results**

214 **Projected changes in malaria epidemiology**

215 The establishment of *An. stephensi* is predicted to increase the malaria burden across Ethiopia
216 according to pre-existing transmission levels, interventions and vector bionomics. Here we
217 show the increases in prevalence and incidence following introduction and establishment of
218 *An. stephensi* under their current levels of vector-control.

219 As an example, three locations at very low (~0.1%), low (~2.5%) and moderate (~12%)
220 current *P. falciparum* prevalence are displayed (Figure 2). In all example locations, the
221 introduction of *An. stephensi* leads to increased prevalence, with the most substantial
222 increases in areas with the lowest existing transmission (~0.1%), though estimates are highly
223 uncertain (Figure 1A). The rate at which prevalence increases depends on the current levels
224 of transmission, with areas at current negligible levels of transmission taking substantially
225 longer than those areas with current moderate-high transmission to reach the new level of
226 endemicity after mosquito establishment. Sub-nationally, there is considerable variation in
227 the projected increases in prevalence, with some administrative groupings experiencing on
228 average minimal (~0%) increases to absolute prevalence following *An. stephensi*
229 establishment, and others increasing by ~5.5% (Figure 1B). Overall, the median absolute
230 parasite prevalence increase is ~2.5% in administrative regions, from ~1.6% pre-*An.*
231 *stephensi*. At a national level, we predict a median increase of ~368,000 (95% CI 103,000 –
232 664,000) clinical *P. falciparum* malaria cases annually, from a report of ~740,000 in 2019
233 [1]. This represents a ~49.7% (95% CI 13.9 - 89.7%) increase if *An. stephensi* only
234 establishes itself in areas previously found suitable and located under 2000m (Figure 1C).
235 Substantially greater increases are seen if *An. stephensi* invades the whole country and can
236 establish malaria transmission at higher altitudes (Additional File Section 3). Temporal
237 changes in clinical incidence over time for the different settings are shown in Figure 2. There
238 is considerable uncertainty in the number of clinical cases following invasion, especially in
239 areas with very low history of malaria where populations have no pre-existing immunity to
240 the disease. Here some model runs projected a substantial increase in malaria cases (greater
241 than in areas with higher malaria prevalence) following a subsequent decline over time that is
242 driven by model assumptions on the acquisition of immunity to clinical disease.

243

244 **Impact of increasing vector control**

245 The impact of additional vector control interventions following *An. stephensi* establishment is
246 dependent on the level of transmission, pre-existing use of interventions and vector
247 bionomics. Mosquito characteristics such as the proportion of bites taken indoors are
248 unknown and can substantially influence the effectiveness of ITNs and IRS (Additional File
249 Section 4). Here we have selected three locations with the same pre-existing intervention
250 usage/coverage and EIP values, but differing levels of pre-existing transmission, in order to
251 illustrate how disease endemicity can influence temporal dynamics (Figure 2). In some
252 circumstances the model predicts that scaling up of standard ITNs, IRS or LSM in

253 combination is sufficient to suppress malaria to pre-*An. stephensi* levels, and sometimes
254 beyond (Figure 2A).

255 In areas with low levels of transmission (~0.1%), increases in incidence are substantial, and
256 in order to reduce transmission to pre-*An. stephensi* levels of transmission, a suite of
257 interventions must be considered (Figure 2B). At higher levels of transmission (~12%), the
258 implementation of PBO-ITNs alone may be suitable to initiate a reduction, however if only
259 pyrethroid-ITNs are available, they should be used in conjunction with IRS and LSM to
260 reduce transmission to pre-*An. stephensi* levels (Figure 2B). The efficacy of LSM in the area
261 is highly unclear, but a 40% reduction in mosquito emergence is predicted to have a larger
262 impact in areas of lower endemicity; conversely, ITNs are predicted to be more effective at
263 higher endemicities. The extent of IRS impact is unclear. Overall, we find that if using
264 standard pyrethroid-only ITNs, a combination of ITN/IRS/LSM is needed to reduce national
265 *P. falciparum* incidence to pre-*An. stephensi* levels. However, given the unknowns in *An.*
266 *stephensi* responses to these interventions, even this may be insufficient (Table 1, Figure 2B).

267 The mass distribution of PBO-pyrethroid nets may offer a more cost-effective tool given the
268 high levels of pyrethroid resistance and relatively low levels of endophily in Ethiopian *An.*
269 *stephensi* populations (Figure 2 and Table 1). PBO-pyrethroid nets are predicted to have the
270 most substantial impact on transmission of any single intervention examined, and almost
271 achieves the reduction provided by a combination of standard ITNs, IRS and LSM (139,000
272 (60,000-157,000) vs 194,000 (44,000-410,000) cases averted). Furthermore, PBO-pyrethroid
273 nets only account for a fraction of the cost of this more comprehensive intervention packet,
274 \$3.5 (2.9-3.7) vs \$70.3 million USD (38.4-100.9).

275 Layering multiple vector control interventions are predicted to have the largest impact.
276 However, because of the number of sites with minimal malaria transmission before *An.*
277 *stephensi* invasion, this may be insufficient to push the national malaria burden beneath
278 current levels unless PBO-pyrethroid nets, higher usage/coverage (ITNs, IRS) or improved
279 effectiveness (LSM) can be achieved (Table 1). Increases in IRS coverage to 80% alone
280 offers substantial improvements due to the relatively limited use of IRS currently nationwide
281 (Additional File Section 2). However, due to low rates of endophily and crepuscular biting,
282 the impact is substantially less than would be expected against African *Anopheles* species.
283 The cost of implementing interventions is expected to be substantial, with the most
284 comprehensive set of interventions (80% use of PBO-pyrethroid ITN and IRS, 40% reduction
285 in adult emergence) estimated to cost an additional \$72.0 million USD (\$39.9 - \$102.5
286 million) annually or \$238 USD (\$117-\$505) per case averted (over existing malaria
287 prevention budgets).

288

289 **Discussion**

290 The invasion and establishment of *Anopheles stephensi* represents an imminent and
291 substantial threat to the Horn of Africa and wider region which could jeopardise progress
292 achieved in malaria control. The modeled increase in malaria is highly uncertain, but without
293 additional interventions, the impact could be considerable, with an estimated ~50% increase

294 from the reported ~740,000 *P. falciparum* malaria cases in Ethiopia, 2019, to an estimated
295 1,130,000 (95% CI 843,000-1,404,000) after establishment and disease equilibrium has been
296 reached. This assumes that malaria cases only increase in areas previously predicted as
297 suitable for *An. stephensi* establishment located under 2000m. Numbers could be
298 substantially higher if invasion is more widespread.

299 Sub-nationally, significant heterogeneity in public health impact is expected. Analysis
300 suggests that low altitude urban areas at pre-existing low levels of malaria transmission may
301 experience the largest increases in disease burden. In these areas, the absence of existing
302 vector control and low human population immunity indicates the possibility for substantial
303 increases in transmission. These areas are also the most uncertain, with the model predicting
304 the increase that clinical cases could be negligible to more than that observed in areas where
305 malaria has historically been widespread. Our finding that areas with low pre-existing levels
306 of transmission (much of Ethiopia) take substantially longer to see increases in malaria after
307 *An. stephensi* introduction is particularly worrying. In these locations, in the absence of
308 widespread and routine surveillance for *An. stephensi*, the first signal detected could be an
309 increase in malaria, which would occur a considerable amount of time after mosquito
310 establishment. While this would not be of significant concern if the vector was easily
311 removed, or only led to a relatively minor and easily combatable increase in malaria, our
312 model findings and what has been observed in Djibouti [11, 12] suggest that this is not the
313 case. Once *An. stephensi* becomes established, it could lead to significant increases in malaria
314 transmission that are not easily reversed. This unnoticed proliferation and subsequent
315 increase in transmission was previously seen in *Anopheles arabiensis* in North-Eastern
316 Brazil, where its “silent spread” led to a large malaria epidemic [31, 63]. Nevertheless, this
317 work shows that the absence of an increase in reported malaria cases following the
318 identification of the presence of *An. stephensi* should not be overly interpreted.

319 To combat the possible increase of malaria transmission following *An. stephensi*
320 establishment, the deployment of a wide array of vector control interventions should be
321 considered. High levels of pyrethroid resistance observed in *An. stephensi* captured in
322 Ethiopia [17, 18] suggest that pyrethroid-only ITNs (already in use across the country) will
323 have a limited efficacy for control of malaria transmitted by *An. stephensi*. Adoption of PBO-
324 ITNs is predicted to be both highly impactful and cost-effective. The impact of different
325 control interventions is unclear given the receptiveness of *An. stephensi* in this new
326 environment is unquantified. For example, if the mosquito feeds at night and rests inside
327 structures sprayed with IRS then the widespread use of this intervention alone could be
328 sufficient to mitigate the public health impact (Additional File Section 4). However, if the
329 mosquito was less amenable to indoor vector control, then layering of ITNs and IRS may be
330 insufficient. Within its endemic range, *An. stephensi* shows a propensity to both crepuscular
331 biting and resting outside of houses compared to African *Anopheles* species, suggesting a
332 reduced efficacy if it behaves as it does in its endemic range [31] (Additional File Section 2).
333 Compounding this, high-density urban locations, where large scale vector-control campaigns
334 have been historically absent, will present a challenge for establishing ITN access and use, as
335 well as achieving sufficient IRS coverage. While *An. stephensi* is primarily known as an
336 urban vector of malaria, it is found in both urban and rural settings across its endemic range

337 [31], and in Ethiopia [18], and so there is the potential for its impact on malaria transmission
338 to be found across the country. Differences in environment, housing, culture, human and
339 vector behaviour in urban and rural settings are likely to result in very different public health
340 outcomes, even before considering the logistics of intervention deployment. Though these
341 factors are likely to have a substantial effect, it is presently unknown how these combined
342 factors will translate into malaria transmission and control. In the absence of quantified and
343 validated input data we have not stratified models by urbanicity at this present time.
344 Nevertheless, additional data on *An. stephensi* bionomics and the impact of vector control
345 interventions, stratified across urban/rural areas and housing types, should therefore be
346 collected as a matter of urgency to enable model estimates to be refined and contribute to the
347 decision-making process, (Additional File Section 4). These compound uncertainties
348 combined with the crude method of economic evaluation adopted here make cost projections
349 highly variable. Though these are substantial (\$74.6 million USD (\$42.6 - \$105.4)), the
350 economic burden of an additional ~368,000 (95% CI 103,000 – 664,000) malaria cases
351 should not be underestimated.

352 This work was intended to provide initial estimates of the possible scale and impact of
353 *An. stephensi* invasion rather than detailed predictions of what will happen. As such, there are
354 many limitations to this approach (further detailed in the Additional File Section 4) and results
355 should not be overly interpreted. The public health impact is likely to be underestimated as
356 we only consider *P. falciparum* malaria, despite *An. stephensi* having been shown to be
357 capable of efficiently transmitting *P. vivax* in Ethiopia [59]. Due to the presence of additional
358 *Anopheles* species in Djibouti, it is unlikely the increases we have seen are purely due to *An.*
359 *stephensi*, which we have assumed, and while highly correlated [11], and increasingly
360 implicated [12], the causative role in *An. stephensi* in the increases seen in Djibouti has not
361 been established. However, the trends observed along with evidence of underreporting in
362 Djibouti are cause for significant concern [12]. Malaria burden is related to the extent to
363 which *An. stephensi* may invade a region (i.e., the number of mosquitoes per person and not
364 just its presence), and the seasonality of the vector. Malaria transmission is often highly
365 seasonal, and an important factor when evaluating local epidemiology and vector-control.
366 However, the case of *An. stephensi* seasonality is a complicated and currently unquantified
367 unknown. Across its endemic range, *An. stephensi* shows a variety of patterns from unimodal
368 to bimodal to peaking in the dry season to the wet [64], and within Ethiopia there is a dearth
369 of this data. As we are not modelling seasonally timed interventions, and are concerned with
370 long term rather than intra-annual dynamics, the omission of seasonality in our model does
371 not change model predictions or recommendations, though the quantification of *An. stephensi*
372 seasonality in the Horn of Africa should be a matter of urgent study.

373 **Conclusions**

374 While we have made use of available published and unpublished sources on *An. stephensi*
375 bionomics, there is either insufficient data or substantial intra-species variability to simply
376 ascribe a set of characteristics to how *An. stephensi* will interact with humans and control
377 interventions in Ethiopia. In order to improve predictions, we have identified priority aspects
378 of *An. stephensi* bionomics (such as anthropophagy, endophily, indoor biting), local

379 transmission (existing distribution of malaria cases between rural and urban areas) and
380 intervention parameters (efficacy of ITNs, IRS and LSM give a certain effort) that should be
381 explored as a priority in order to inform additional mathematical modelling of the impact of
382 *An. stephensi* on malaria transmission in Africa (see Additional Section 4).

383 Though there is substantial uncertainty in what will happen if *An. stephensi* becomes
384 established in Ethiopia and other locations across Africa, the estimates provided here, and the
385 situation seen in Djibouti, should be a stark warning against complacency and highlight the
386 need to rapidly improve surveillance and evaluate effective control interventions in response
387 to this developing threat.

388

389

390

391 **Figure 1. The impact of *An. stephensi* introduction on malaria prevalence and incidence**
392 **in areas of Ethiopia in areas under 2000m and identified to be suitable.** A) Changes in
393 prevalence following introduction and establishment of *An. stephensi* in 3 areas of malaria
394 transmission in Ethiopia. Vertical dashed lines span the period in which *An. stephensi* is
395 introduced and coloured shapes the 95% CI's. Green represents a transmission scenario of
396 ~12% prevalence, orange, 2.5%, and purple <0.1%. The time scale on the x-axis is
397 deliberately omitted as the rate of invasion is highly uncertain. Prevalence fluctuating due to
398 pre-existing and ongoing mass distribution of ITNs. B) The median difference of
399 *P. falciparum* prevalence following establishment in the different administrative groupings
400 which have been predicted to be suitable based on Sinka et al., (2020) and under 2000m. C)
401 The annual increase in clinical incidence of malaria caused by *P. falciparum* nationally.
402 Individual dots show uncertainty in predictions given differences in mosquito bionomics (for
403 100 samples of the Latin hyper cube). Periodicity is caused by ongoing distribution of ITNs
404 and IRS which are assumed to continue at pre-invasion levels. For figure 1B and C there is no
405 x-axis, and points are jittered for visualisation purposes and to aid ease of interpretation
406 rather than to connote a certain value.

407

Figure 2. The effect of control measures on mitigating the impact of *An. stephensi* introduction for regions with different pre-existing malaria endemicity. A) The change in clinical incidence over time (per 100,000 people per year) following *An. stephensi* introduction in three locations, with low (~0.1%), medium (~2.5%) and high slide prevalence (~12%). Each location had an EIP of 8 and 40% ITN usage, 0% IRS and 0% LSM pre-*An. stephensi* introduction and establishment. To aid clarity and interpretation of the figure, we only display the temporal trends of the PBO-ITN and PBO-ITN/IRS/LSM package of interventions, though the impacts of all modelled interventions are displayed in Figure 2B. Different coloured lines indicate intervention scenarios be it no additional interventions (black), or scale up of PBO-ITNs (blue), or the use of PBO-ITN/IRS/LSM. Coloured shapes show the 95% CIs for model predictions given uncertainty in parameters. The left vertical dashed line indicates the start to the introduction of *An. stephensi*, which occurs between the dashed lines and is fully established by year 3. As above, the time scale on the x-axis is deliberately omitted due to uncertainty in the timing of events, with clinical incidence fluctuating with 3-year ITN mass campaigns and annual IRS. Additionally, uncertainty continues up to 400 cases per 100,000, but this has been curtailed in order to improve interpretation of median trends. B) The median annual increase in malaria incidence per 100,000 per year comparing before and after scenarios for each of the locations across a range of intervention combinations. Red arrows and numbers refer to the percentage increase in the median incidence following the establishment of *An. stephensi* compared to the 3 years prior to the introduction. Black lines and numbers show the percentage reduction in cases relative to no additional interventions over three years caused by the introduction of the different control interventions.

Table 1. Different combinations of ITN/ITN-PBO/IRS/LSM and the associated annual cases averted and costs, total and per person. ITN/ITN-PBO/IRS values refer to the usage/coverage among the population exposed to *An. stephensi* introduction and LSM the reduction in adult emergence of the established *An. stephensi*. Values in brackets for the cases averted refer to the difference in minimum and maximum 95% CI's and as such the cases averted median value does not always fall within this, or in numerical order. Total costs and costs per case refer to the median cases averted, and the range in the brackets as the minimum and maximum costs defined in the Additional File.

ITN	ITN-PBO	IRS	LSM	Cases averted (thousands)	Total costs (million USD)	Cost per case averted (USD)
0%		0%	40%	68 (9-143)	9.9 (5.0-14.9)	146 (556-104)
80%		0%	0%	71 (29-31)	1.8 (1.7-1.9)	25 (59-61)
0%		80%	0%	75 (16-99)	58.6 (31.7 -84.2)	781 (1981-851)
80%		80%	40%	172 (39-301)	70.3 (38.4-100.9)	409 (985-335)
	80%	0%	0%	139 (60-157)	3.5 (2.9-3.7)	25 (48-24)
	80%	80%		207 (70-33)	64.6 (37.2-90.8)	312 (531-2752)
	80%		80%	210 (49-361)	16.0 (10.6-21.5)	76 (216-60)
	80%	80%	40%	302 (79-879)	72.0 (39.9-102.5)	238 (512-117)

Abbreviations

ITN: Insecticide treated nets

IRS: Indoor residual spraying

PBO: piperonyl butoxide

EIP: Extrinsic Incubation Period

LHS: Latin Hypercube Sampling

MAP: Malaria Atlas Project

WMR: World Malaria Report

LSM: Larval Source Management

PMI: Presidents Malaria Initiative

WHO: World Health Organization

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable, as all data used was publicly available and modelled rather than individual data.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

All data and code required to run the analysis is provided at https://github.com/arranhamlet/stephensi_ETH_publication [65]

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements

NA

Funding

We acknowledge funding support from the United States President's Malaria Initiative, Wellcome Trust (National Institute for Health Research–Wellcome Partnership for Global Health Research Collaborative Award, 'Controlling emergent *Anopheles stephensi* in Ethiopia and Sudan (CEASE), Ref: 220870_Z_20_Z) and the MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis (reference MR/R015600/1). The MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis is jointly funded by the UK Medical Research Council (MRC) and the UK Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office (FCDO), under the MRC/FCDO Concordat agreement and is also part of the EDCTP2 programme supported by the European Union; and acknowledges funding by Community Jameel. The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the U.S. President's Malaria Initiative, Wellcome, the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Authors contributions

Conceptualization: A.H, T.C, A.S, S.R.I, J.S.A

Methodology; A.H, T.C

Software: A.H.

Supervision: T.C, A.S, S.R.I, J.S.A

Project administration: T.C, A.S, S.R.I, J.S.A

Data curation: A.H, J.E.T, A.S, S.R.I

Writing: A.H, D.D, J.E.T, F.G.T, T.B, M.S, A.S, S.R.I, J.S.A, T.C

Reviewing: A.H, D.D, J.E.T, F.G.T, T.B, M.S, A.S, S.R.I, J.S.A, T.C

Visualisation: A.H

All authors read and approved the final manuscript

References

1. World Health Organization: **World malaria report 2020: 20 years of global progress and challenges**. In. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020.
2. United Nations. DoEaSA, Population Division.: **World Urbanization Prospects: The 2018 Revision (ST/ESA/SER.A/420)**. In. New York: United Nations; 2019.
3. Hay SI, Guerra CA, Tatem AJ, Atkinson PM, Snow RW: **Urbanization, malaria transmission and disease burden in Africa**. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2005, **3**(1):81-90.
4. Trape JF, Zoulani A: **Malaria and urbanization in central Africa: the example of Brazzaville. Part III: Relationships between urbanization and the intensity of malaria transmission**. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 1987, **81** Suppl 2:19-25.
5. Thomas S, Ravishankaran S, Justin NA, Asokan A, Mathai MT, Valecha N, Montgomery J, Thomas MB, Eapen A: **Resting and feeding preferences of Anopheles stephensi in an urban setting, perennial for malaria**. *Malar J* 2017, **16**(1):111.
6. Subbarao SK, Nanda N, Rahi M, Raghavendra K: **Biology and bionomics of malaria vectors in India: existing information and what more needs to be known for strategizing elimination of malaria**. *Malar J* 2019, **18**(1):396.
7. Kumar A, Thavaselvam D: **Breeding habitats and their contribution to Anopheles stephensi in Panaji**. *Indian J Malariol* 1992, **29**(1):35-40.
8. Gayan Dharmasiri AG, Perera AY, Harishchandra J, Herath H, Aravindan K, Jayasooriya HTR, Ranawaka GR, Hewavitharane M: **First record of Anopheles stephensi in Sri Lanka: a potential challenge for prevention of malaria reintroduction**. *Malaria J* 2017, **16**(1):326.
9. Batra CP, Adak T, Sharma VP, Mittal PK: **Impact of urbanization on bionomics of An. culicifacies and An. stephensi in Delhi**. *Indian J Malariol* 2001, **38**(3-4):61-75.
10. Faulde MK, Rueda LM, Khaireh BA: **First record of the Asian malaria vector Anopheles stephensi and its possible role in the resurgence of malaria in Djibouti, Horn of Africa**. *Acta tropica* 2014, **139**:39-43.
11. Seyfarth M, Khaireh BA, Abdi AA, Bouh SM, Faulde MK: **Five years following first detection of Anopheles stephensi (Diptera: Culicidae) in Djibouti, Horn of Africa: populations established-malaria emerging**. *Parasitol Res* 2019, **118**(3):725-732.
12. de Santi VP, Khaireh BA, Chiniard T, Pradines B, Taudon N, Larreche S, Mohamed AB, de Laval F, Berger F, Gala F *et al*: **Role of Anopheles stephensi Mosquitoes in Malaria Outbreak, Djibouti, 2019**. *Emerging infectious diseases* 2021, **27**(6):1697-1700.
13. **Malaria Threat Map**
14. Ahmed A, Khogali R, Elnour MB, Nakao R, Salim B: **Emergence of the invasive malaria vector Anopheles stephensi in Khartoum State, Central Sudan**. *Parasites & vectors* 2021, **14**(1):511.
15. Ahmed A, Pignatelli P, Elaagip A, Abdel Hamid MM, Alrahman OF, Weetman D: **Invasive Malaria Vector Anopheles stephensi Mosquitoes in Sudan, 2016-2018**. *Emerging infectious diseases* 2021, **27**(11):2952-2954.
16. Carter TE, Yared S, Gebresilassie A, Bonnell V, Damodaran L, Lopez K, Ibrahim M, Mohammed S, Janies D: **First detection of Anopheles stephensi Liston, 1901 (Diptera: culicidae) in Ethiopia using molecular and morphological approaches**. *Acta tropica* 2018, **188**:180-186.
17. Yared S, Gebressielasie A, Damodaran L, Bonnell V, Lopez K, Janies D, Carter TE: **Insecticide resistance in Anopheles stephensi in Somali Region, eastern Ethiopia**. *Malar J* 2020, **19**(1):180.
18. Balkew M, Mumba P, Yohannes G, Abiy E, Getachew D, Yared S, Worku A, Gebresilassie A, Tadesse FG, Gadisa E *et al*: **An update on the distribution, bionomics, and insecticide susceptibility of Anopheles stephensi in Ethiopia, 2018-2020**. *Malar J* 2021, **20**(1):263.
19. Griffin JT, Ferguson NM, Ghani AC: **Estimates of the changing age-burden of Plasmodium falciparum malaria disease in sub-Saharan Africa**. *Nature communications* 2014, **5**:3136.

20. Challenger JD, Olivera Mesa D, Da DF, Yerbanga RS, Lefèvre T, Cohuet A, Churcher TS: **Predicting the public health impact of a malaria transmission-blocking vaccine.** *Nature communications* 2021, **12**(1):1494.
21. Griffin JT, Hollingsworth TD, Okell LC, Churcher TS, White M, Hinsley W, Bousema T, Drakeley CJ, Ferguson NM, Basanez MG *et al*: **Reducing Plasmodium falciparum malaria transmission in Africa: a model-based evaluation of intervention strategies.** *PLoS medicine* 2010, **7**(8).
22. White MT, Griffin JT, Churcher TS, Ferguson NM, Basanez MG, Ghani AC: **Modelling the impact of vector control interventions on Anopheles gambiae population dynamics.** *Parasites & vectors* 2011, **4**:153.
23. Griffin JT, Bhatt S, Sinka ME, Gething PW, Lynch M, Patouillard E, Shutes E, Newman RD, Alonso P, Cibulskis RE *et al*: **Potential for reduction of burden and local elimination of malaria by reducing Plasmodium falciparum malaria transmission: a mathematical modelling study.** *Lancet Infect Dis* 2016, **16**(4):465-472.
24. Sherrard-Smith E, Winskill P, Hamlet A, Ngufor C, N'Guessan R, Guelbeogo MW, Sanou A, Nash RK, Hill A, Russell EL *et al*: **Optimising the deployment of vector control tools against malaria: a data-informed modelling study.** *Lancet Planet Health* 2022.
25. Carneiro I, Roca-Feltrer A, Griffin JT, Smith L, Tanner M, Schellenberg JA, Greenwood B, Schellenberg D: **Age-patterns of malaria vary with severity, transmission intensity and seasonality in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and pooled analysis.** *PloS one* 2010, **5**(2):e8988.
26. Le Menach A, Takala S, McKenzie FE, Perisse A, Harris A, Flahault A, Smith DL: **An elaborated feeding cycle model for reductions in vectorial capacity of night-biting mosquitoes by insecticide-treated nets.** *Malar J* 2007, **6**:10.
27. Churcher TS, Lissenden N, Griffin JT, Worrall E, Ranson H: **The impact of pyrethroid resistance on the efficacy and effectiveness of bednets for malaria control in Africa.** *Elife* 2016, **5**.
28. Walker PG, Griffin JT, Ferguson NM, Ghani AC: **Estimating the most efficient allocation of interventions to achieve reductions in Plasmodium falciparum malaria burden and transmission in Africa: a modelling study.** *Lancet Glob Health* 2016, **4**(7):e474-484.
29. <https://www.worldpop.org/>
30. **World Population Prospects: The 2020 Revision** [<http://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/>]
31. Sinka ME, Pironon S, Massey NC, Longbottom J, Hemingway J, Moyes CL, Willis KJ: **A new malaria vector in Africa: Predicting the expansion range of Anopheles stephensi and identifying the urban populations at risk.** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2020, **117**(40):24900-24908.
32. Fick SE, Hijmans RJ: **WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas.** *Int J Climatol* 2017, **37**(12):4302-4315.
33. Stopard IJ, Churcher TS, Lambert B: **Estimating the extrinsic incubation period of malaria using a mechanistic model of sporogony.** *Plos Comput Biol* 2021, **17**(2):e1008658.
34. Shapiro LLM, Whitehead SA, Thomas MB: **Quantifying the effects of temperature on mosquito and parasite traits that determine the transmission potential of human malaria.** *PLoS Biol* 2017, **15**(10):e2003489.
35. Shapiro LL, Murdock CC, Jacobs GR, Thomas RJ, Thomas MB: **Larval food quantity affects the capacity of adult mosquitoes to transmit human malaria.** *Proc Biol Sci* 2016, **283**(1834).
36. Murdock CC, Sternberg ED, Thomas MB: **Malaria transmission potential could be reduced with current and future climate change.** *Sci Rep* 2016, **6**:27771.
37. Bompard A, Da DF, Yerbanga SR, Morlais I, Awono-Ambene PH, Dabire RK, Ouedraogo JB, Lefevre T, Churcher TS, Cohuet A: **High Plasmodium infection intensity in naturally infected malaria vectors in Africa.** *Int J Parasitol* 2020, **50**(12):985-996.

38. Manouchehri AV, Javadian E, Eshighy N, Motabar M: **Ecology of Anopheles stephensi Liston in southern Iran.** *Trop Geogr Med* 1976, **28**(3):228-232.
39. Mehravaran A, Vatandoost H, Oshaghi MA, Abai MR, Edalat H, Javadian E, Mashayekhi M, Piazak N, Hanafi-Bojd AA: **Ecology of Anopheles stephensi in a malarious area, southeast of Iran.** *Acta Med Iran* 2012, **50**(1):61-65.
40. Vatandoost H, Oshaghi MA, Abaie MR, Shahi M, Yaaghoobi F, Baghahi M, Hanafi-Bojd AA, Zamani G, Townson H: **Bionomics of Anopheles stephensi Liston in the malarious area of Hormozgan province, southern Iran, 2002.** *Acta tropica* 2006, **97**(2):196-203.
41. Mojahedi AR, Safari R, Yarian M, Pakari A, Raeisi A, Edalat H, Beniardelan M, Poudat A, Zaim M, Basseri HR: **Biting and resting behaviour of malaria vectors in Bandar-Abbas County, Islamic Republic of Iran.** *East Mediterr Health J* 2020, **26**(10):1218-1226.
42. Basseri HR, Abai MR, Raeisi A, Shahandeh K: **Community sleeping pattern and anopheline biting in southeastern Iran: a country earmarked for malaria elimination.** *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene* 2012, **87**(3):499-503.
43. Basseri H, Raeisi A, Ranjbar Khakha M, Pakarai A, Abdolghafar H: **Seasonal abundance and host-feeding patterns of anopheline vectors in malaria endemic area of iran.** *J Parasitol Res* 2010, **2010**:671291.
44. Dash AP, Adak T, Raghavendra K, Singh OP: **The biology and control of malaria vectors in India.** *Curr Sci* 2007, **92**(11):1571-1578.
45. Conn JE, Norris DE, Donnelly MJ, Beebe NW, Burkot TR, Coulbaly MB, Chery L, Eapen A, Keven JB, Kilama M *et al*: **Entomological Monitoring and Evaluation: Diverse Transmission Settings of ICEMR Projects Will Require Local and Regional Malaria Elimination Strategies.** *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene* 2015, **93**(3 Suppl):28-41.
46. Maghsoodi N, Ladonni H, Basseri HR: **Species Composition and Seasonal Activities of Malaria Vectors in an Area at Reintroduction Prevention Stage, Khuzestan, South-Western Iran.** *J Arthropod Borne Dis* 2015, **9**(1):60-70.
47. Herrel N, Amerasinghe FP, Ensink J, Mukhtar M, van der Hoek W, Konradsen F: **Adult anopheline ecology and malaria transmission in irrigated areas of South Punjab, Pakistan.** *Medical and veterinary entomology* 2004, **18**(2):141-152.
48. O'Donnell AJ, Rund SSC, Reece SE: **Time-of-day of blood-feeding: effects on mosquito life history and malaria transmission.** *Parasites & vectors* 2019, **12**(1):301.
49. Reisen WK, Boreham PF: **Estimates of malaria vectorial capacity for Anopheles culicifacies and Anopheles stephensi in rural Punjab province Pakistan.** *J Med Entomol* 1982, **19**(1):98-103.
50. Ghosh A, Mandal S, Chandra G: **Seasonal distribution, parity, resting, host-seeking behavior and association of malarial parasites of Anopheles stephensi Liston in Kolkata, West Bengal.** *Entomological Research* 2010, **40**(1):46-54.
51. Reisen WK, Mahmood F: **Horizontal Life Table Characteristics of the Malaria Vectors Anopheles Culicifacies and Anopheles Stephensi (Diptera: Culicidae)1.** *J Med Entomol* 1980, **17**(3):211-217.
52. Sinka ME, Bangs MJ, Manguin S, Chareonviriyaphap T, Patil AP, Temperley WH, Gething PW, Elyazar IR, Kabaria CW, Harbach RE *et al*: **The dominant Anopheles vectors of human malaria in the Asia-Pacific region: occurrence data, distribution maps and bionomic precis.** *Parasites & vectors* 2011, **4**:89.
53. Pramanik MK, Aditya G, Raut SK: **A survey of anopheline mosquitoes and malarial parasite in commuters in a rural and an urban area in West Bengal, India.** *J Vector Borne Dis* 2006, **43**(4):198-202.
54. Soleimani-Ahmadi M, Vatandoost H, Shaeghi M, Raeisi A, Abedi F, Eshraghian MR, Madani A, Safari R, Oshaghi MA, Abtahi M *et al*: **Field evaluation of permethrin long-lasting insecticide treated nets (Olyset((R))) for malaria control in an endemic area, southeast of Iran.** *Acta tropica* 2012, **123**(3):146-153.

55. Ashine T, Teka H, Esayas E, Messenger LA, Chali W, Meerstein-Kessel L, Walker T, Behaksra SW, Lanke K, Heutink R *et al*: **Anopheles stephensi as an emerging malaria vector in the Horn of Africa with high susceptibility to Ethiopian Plasmodium vivax and Plasmodium falciparum isolates.** *bioRxiv* 2020:2020.2002.2022.961284.
56. Iman RL: **Latin Hypercube Sampling**. In: *Wiley StatsRef: Statistics Reference Online*. edn.; 2014.
57. Pfeiffer DA, Lucas TCD, May D, Harris J, Rozier J, Twohig KA, Dalrymple U, Guerra CA, Moyes CL, Thorn M *et al*: **malariaAtlas: an R interface to global malariometric data hosted by the Malaria Atlas Project**. *Malar J* 2018, **17**(1):352.
58. National Malaria Control and Elimination Programme: **A survey on ownership and use of long-lasting insecticidal nets and malaria treatment seeking behavior in Ethiopia (2020)**. In: Edited by Health Mo. Addis Ababa: Ethiopian Public Health Institute; 2020.
59. Tadesse FG, Ashine T, Teka H, Esayas E, Messenger LA, Chali W, Meerstein-Kessel L, Walker T, Wolde Behaksra S, Lanke K *et al*: **Anopheles stephensi Mosquitoes as Vectors of Plasmodium vivax and falciparum, Horn of Africa, 2019**. *Emerging infectious diseases* 2021, **27**(2):603-607.
60. Griffin JT: **The Interaction between Seasonality and Pulsed Interventions against Malaria in Their Effects on the Reproduction Number**. *Plos Comput Biol* 2015, **11**(1).
61. Cairns ME, Walker PG, Okell LC, Griffin JT, Garske T, Asante KP, Owusu-Agyei S, Diallo D, Dicko A, Cisse B *et al*: **Seasonality in malaria transmission: implications for case-management with long-acting artemisinin combination therapy in sub-Saharan Africa**. *Malar J* 2015, **14**:321.
62. Sherrard-Smith E, Griffin JT, Winskill P, Corbel V, Pennetier C, Djenontin A, Moore S, Richardson JH, Muller P, Edi C *et al*: **Systematic review of indoor residual spray efficacy and effectiveness against Plasmodium falciparum in Africa**. *Nature communications* 2018, **9**(1):4982.
63. Killeen GF, Fillinger U, Kiche I, Gouagna LC, Knols BG: **Eradication of Anopheles gambiae from Brazil: lessons for malaria control in Africa?** *Lancet Infect Dis* 2002, **2**(10):618-627.
64. Whittaker C, Winskill P, Sinka M, Pironon S, Massey C, Weiss DJ, Nguyen M, Gething PW, Kumar A, Ghani A *et al*: **The Ecological Structure of Mosquito Population Dynamics: Insights from India**. *medRxiv* 2021:2021.2001.2009.21249456.
65. Hamlet A: **The potential impact of Anopheles stephensi establishment on the transmission of Plasmodium falciparum in Ethiopia and prospective control measures**. In: https://github.com/arranhamlet/stephensi_ETH_publication/releases/tag/public_release_BMC: github.com; 2022.

Additional file description

Further details of the mechanistic model and underlying data, further analyses and additional limitations and highlighting useful data for collection to further mathematical modelling. Figure S1 - Mechanistic model schematic. Figure S2 - Parameters included for each administrative grouping. Figure S3 – Relationship of EIP and temperature. Table S1 – Mosquito bionomics. Table S2 – Grouping of administrative units and values. Table S3 – Cost per intervention per person per year. Figure S4 – Vector bionomics and fitted incidence to data. Figure S5 – Sensitivity analysis on impact across assumptions of population exposed. Table S4 – Populations and increases in clinical incidence across assumptions of population exposed. Figure S6 – Relationship of prevalence and EIP. Table S5 – Key parameters to

inform modelling and impacts. Figure S7 – Univariate analysis of entomological parameters and incidence. Table S6 – Vector bionomics from literature search.



