

1 **Title Page**

2

3 **Manuscript Title:** Short Report –Consumption of multiple sources of protein is associated with
4 gestational diabetes mellitus in the Chinese population

5

6 **Authors:** Wei-Qin Wang,¹ Vic Shao-Chih Chiang², Jing-Yuan Wen,³ Ji-Fen Hu,⁴ Rong-Xian Xu^{5*}

7

8 **Affiliation:**

9 ¹ Unit of Nutrition, Fujian Province Cancer Hospital, Fuzhou, Fujian, China

10 ² UCL Institute of Ophthalmology, University College London, 11-43 Bath Street, London
11 EC1V 9EL, UK

12 ³ School of Pharmacy, Faculty of Medical and Health Science, The University of Auckland,
13 Auckland, New Zealand

14 ⁴ First Affiliated Hospital, Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, Fujian, China

15 ⁵ School of Public Health, Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, Fujian, China

16

17 * **Corresponding author:** Professor Rong-Xian Xu

18 Tel: 86 13023831963, 64 2108516764

19 Fax: 86 0591 22682003

20 Address: No.1 Xue Yuan Road, Shang Jie Town, Min Hou, Fuzhou, China

21 E-mail: rong1963cn@aliyun.com

22

23 **Keywords**

24 Pregnancy; Diet; Dairy; Seafood; Excess protein;

25

26

27

28 **Abstract**

29 **Background:** Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is a hyperglycemic state during
30 pregnancy that results in disruptions of insulin sensitivity and secretion. It affects 7% of
31 all pregnancies and lead to adverse maternal and offspring outcomes. GDM has many
32 risk factors, such as ethnicity special, hereditary. However Nutritional factors offer key
33 strategies against GDM, but this is less characterized for the Chinese population.

34 **Methods:** A case control study of GDM pregnant women (n=49, 29.88±3.92 years of
35 age) and healthy pregnant women (n=77, 27.63±4.83 years of age) from 1st Affiliated
36 Hospital of Fujian Medical University were studied. Diagnosis was made using oral
37 glucose tolerance test. Dietary data were collected using food frequency questionnaires.
38 Data for use of dietary supplements, occupation, education, income, meal expenditure
39 and smoking history were also recorded.

40 **Results:** No differences were found between GDM and control subjects for their age,
41 education, occupation, monthly income, grocery expenditure and smoking (p>0.05).
42 GDM subjects were associated with higher quantities of dairy products (p<0.05) and
43 seafood (p<0.01) intake. There were also higher number of GDM subjects using protein
44 powder supplementation (p<0.05).

45 **Conclusions:** Dietary recommendation guidelines for pregnant Chinese women should
46 consider possible risks with excessive consumption of dairy products, seafood and
47 protein powder. They should also assess the quality of the nutrition factor, other dietary
48 interactions and their nutritional status further to minimize adverse outcomes caused by
49 GDM .

50

51 **Introduction**

52 Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is a state of hyperglycemia with onset or first
53 identification during pregnancy (Farrar, *et al.*, 2015). It affects over 7% of all
54 pregnancies and increases risks for maternal and perinatal mortality or health
55 consequences later in life (Farrar, *et al.*, 2015).

56 This state of hyperglycemia presents symptoms of polyuria, polydipsia, polyphagia,
57 weight loss and blurred vision (American Diabetes Association, 2014). Its diagnosis is
58 evaluated based on glucose tests and its criteria threshold has been reviewed many times
59 (Meek, *et al.*, 2015). GDM attributes to multifactorial pathophysiologies involving β -
60 cell function, insulin signaling, pregnancy hormones and growth factors that result in
61 disruptions of insulin sensitivity and secretion (Lappas, *et al.*, 2011). Several factors are
62 known to increase risks of GDM such as family history of type 2 diabetes mellitus,
63 maternal age and maternal body mass index (Teh, *et al.*, 2011). Well-established
64 strategies against GDM include physical exercise, insulin therapy and oral medicating
65 metformin (Artal, 2015,Zhao, *et al.*, 2015).

66 Nutritional factors offer key strategies to combat GDM including probiotics, *myo*-
67 inositol, fibre, reduced energy intake and reduced glycemic load (Rogozinska, *et al.*,
68 2015). However, there are limited amount of research surrounding this topic in the
69 Chinese population. This is important because different ethnicities present disparities in
70 GDM risks and outcomes (Chakkalakal, *et al.*, 2015). Dietary recommendations should
71 therefore be customized for different ethnicities in order to optimize improve in the
72 prevalence of GDM. This case-control study aimed to investigate food intake and
73 dietary supplementation in Chinese GDM subjects.

74

75 **Methods**

76 *Overview*

77 The case control study included pregnant women that received prenatal physical
78 examinations and awaits delivery at the 1st Affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical
79 University. The exclusive criteria were listed as follows: recent respiratory and urinary
80 tract infections, external injuries, hemorrhage, other stress conditions, presence of
81 tumors, transmissible diseases (e.g. tuberculosis, hepatitis B) and chronic diseases (e.g.
82 connective tissue diseases). The subjects were divided into GDM group (n=49) and the
83 control group (n=77) in a 1 to 1.5 ratio mismatched design. The study was approved by
84 the Biomedical research Ethics Committee at Fujian Medical University ([2011] Fu Zi
85 Medical Ethics Review (52)).

86

87 *Gestational Diabetes Mellitus Diagnosis*

88 The diagnosis of GDM was determined using 75g oral glucose intolerance test (OGTT)
89 at fasting and post-challenge (one and two hours). GDM was identified if any of the
90 OGTT measurements exceeded the specified threshold. These were: 5.1mmol/L
91 (fasted), 10.0 mmol/L (one hour post-challenge) and 8.5 mmol/L (two hour post-
92 challenge). These tests were conducted at gestational stage of approximately 24 weeks.

93

94 *Collection of Dietary Information*

95 The dietary habits of the subjects were investigated using food frequency
96 questionnaires. The questionnaire was originated with guidance from the 2010 Chinese
97 citizen nutrition and health status investigations for pregnant women. The questionnaire
98 was composed of 11 major food types: staple foods, beans, vegetables, algae, fruits,
99 dairy, meat, seafood, egg, snacks and beverages. Records were made for the frequency
100 and quantity of consumption. The uses of dietary supplements were also recorded.
101 Further records were taken for possible confounders: age, occupation, education,
102 income, meal expenditure and smoking history.

103

104 *Statistical Analysis*

105 The data were statistically analyzed using SPSS statistics 17 software. Using t-tests, the
106 differences in the consumption of various food types and dietary supplements were
107 compared. Chi square tests were performed for comparisons of the potential
108 confounding variables.

109

110

111 **Results**

112 There were no differences between GDM and control subjects in potential confounding
113 variables ($p>0.05$): age, location, education, occupation, monthly income, grocery
114 expenditure and smoking (Table 1). In general, the recruited subjects were 29 years of
115 age, living in city area and -received secondary or undergraduate education. In terms of
116 occupation, most were company clerks or stays at home, with income generally over
117 6000 CNY per month. In terms of meal expenditure, 10 – 30% of -income for most of
118 them goes towards this expense. The recruited subjects were overall non-smokers.

119 The average daily intake of different food types between GDM and control subjects
120 were recorded (Table 2). In terms of dairy consumption, GDM subjects ($301.37\pm$
121 235.46) had higher average daily consumption than control subjects (188.72 ± 168.25)
122 ($p<0.05$). Higher consumption were also observed for seafood -in GDM subjects
123 (158.03 ± 168.36) compared to control subjects (100.25 ± 60.51) ($p<0.01$). No differences
124 were found for other food types that were recorded ($p>0.05$).

125 The use of different dietary supplements in both GDM and control subjects were
126 recorded (Table 3). Supplementation with protein powder was associated with GDM
127 ($p<0.05$). Other dietary supplements that were utilized were not different between GDM
128 and control subjects ($p>0.05$).

129

130 **Discussion**

131 Recommendations for GDM need to be ethnicity-specific due to distinct risks
132 (Chakkalakal, *et al.*, 2015). Nutrition is an imperative strategy to combat GDM
133 (Rogozinska, *et al.*, 2015) and this case-control study aimed to investigate food intake
134 and dietary supplementation in Chinese GDM subjects.

135 The association of dairy with GDM found in the present study is novel. However, it
136 contradicts current recommendations to increase dairy intake for GDM prevention
137 (Rono, *et al.*, 2014). Furthermore, a systematic review found dairy to benefit type 2
138 diabetes (T2M), which has similar pathophysiology to GDM (Turner, *et al.*, 2015). It is
139 important to note that the dairy in these studies were low-fat. Since high fat diet
140 increases risks of GDM (Park, *et al.*, 2013), the incorporation of all types of dairy in the
141 present study may have resulted in this contradiction.

142 Mediterranean diet is known to improve outcomes of GDM and it works in part through
143 increasing seafood intake (Perez-Ferre, *et al.*, 2014). Data on seafood association with
144 GDM is non-existent but the present study failed to concord with this rationale. This is
145 may be due to the major issue of seafood contamination by toxic metals in China (Du,
146 *et al.*, 2012). Some of these toxic metals have been previously associated with GDM
147 (Romano, *et al.*, 2015), but these were not accounted for in the present study.

148 Low protein intake increases risk of GDM (Ignacio-Souza, *et al.*, 2013). Protein powder
149 strategizes to solve this problem and has been found to improve T2M (Jakubowicz, *et*
150 *al.*, 2014). In contrast, the present study found supplementation of protein powder to
151 associate positively with GDM. This divergence may stem from the non-quantitative
152 assessment of dietary supplement use. The dose is critically important as excessive
153 supplementation in addition to whole food proteins may actually be counterproductive
154 (Rehfeldt, *et al.*, 2014).

155 It is interesting to note that the nutritional associations found in the current study were
156 all considered as major sources of protein (Bao, *et al.*, 2013). High protein diets have
157 been systematically reviewed to benefit T2M (Dong, *et al.*, 2013). In saying that, this
158 could not be further probed in this study since nutrient-based approaches were not
159 adopted. This notion is complicated by its dependency on the type of protein because
160 animal protein demonstrates greater GDM risk to vegetable proteins (Bao, *et al.*, 2013).

161 Caveats should likewise be taken that substantial ancillary protein intake in protein-
162 sufficient subjects would *de facto* be detrimental.

163 Limitations of this study include its sample size of GDM cases and the above mentioned
164 characterization of nutritional items. Nonetheless, it addresses important nutritional
165 considerations to oppose GDM in the limitedly investigated Chinese population, to offer
166 grounding for future research. The nutritional items investigated should be more defined
167 in future research includes: low fat products, metal contamination, dietary
168 supplementation dose and overall macronutrient intake. The nutritional status of the
169 subjects should be similarly considered.

170

171 **Conclusion**

172 Since risks of GDM are ethnicity-dependent (Chakkalakal, *et al.*, 2015), dietary
173 recommendations need to be more tailored to different ethnicities. The consumption of
174 dairy, seafood and protein powder needs attention for pregnant Chinese women.
175 Considerations need to be made for the nutritional status, fat content, metal
176 contamination, quantity and balance with other dietary intakes. GDM affects the 1
177 pregnancies (Farrar, *et al.*, 2015) and diet offers crucial GDM strategies to reduce the
178 subsequent GDM health complications and mortalities (Rogozinska, *et al.*, 2015).

179

180

181

182 **Acknowledgements**

183 The authors would like to thank the participation of pregnant women and the assistance
184 of the nurses at the obstetric ward.

185

186 **Conflict of interests, sources of funding and authorship**

187 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

188 This study was supported by Fujian Province Natural Science Fund 2012-2014.

189 Rong-xian Xu was in charge of the study design and funding applications. Wei-Qin

190 Wang conducted the study, facilitated data collection, data analyses and report-writing.

191 Vic Shao-Chih Chiang and Jing-Yuan Wen wrote and reviewed the manuscripts. Ji-Fen

192 Hu managed the nurses for subject recruitments.

193

194 **References**

- 195 American Diabetes Association, (2014) Diagnosis and classification of diabetes
196 mellitus. *Diabetes Care* **37**, 81 - 90.
- 197 Artal, R., (2015) The role of exercise in reducing the risks of gestational diabetes
198 mellitus in obese women. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol* **29**, 123 - 132.
- 199 Bao, W., Bowers, K., Tobias, D.K., Hu, F.B. & Zhang, C., (2013) Prepregnancy dietary
200 protein intake, major dietary protein sources, and the risk of gestational diabetes
201 mellitus. *Diabetes Care* **36**, 2001 - 2008.
- 202 Chakkalakal, R.J., Gebretsadik, T., Jagasia, S., Shintani, A. & Elasy, T.A., (2015)
203 Variation in the relationship between gestational diabetes diagnosis and total gestational
204 weight gain by race/ethnicity. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.*
- 205 Dong, J.Y., Zhang, Z.L., Wang, P.Y. & Win, L.Q., (2013) Effects of high-protein diets
206 on body weight, glycaemic control, blood lipids and blood pressure in type 2 diabetes:
207 meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Br J Nutr* **110**, 781 - 789.
- 208 Du, Z.Y., Zhang, J., Wang, C., Li, L., Man, Q., Lundebye, A.K. & Froyland, L., (2012)
209 Risk–benefit evaluation of fish from Chinese markets: Nutrients and contaminants in 24
210 fish species from five big cities and related assessment for human health. *Sci Total*
211 *Environ* **416**, 187 - 199.
- 212 Farrar, D., Duley, L., Medley, N. & Lawlor, D.A., (2015) Different strategies for
213 diagnosing gestational diabetes to improve maternal and infant health. *Cochrane Libr.*
- 214 Ignacio-Souza, L.M., Reis, S.R., Arantes, V.C., Botosso, B.L., Veloso, R.V., Ferreira,
215 F., Boschero, A.C., Carneiro, E.M., de Barros Reis, M.A. & Latorraca, M.Q., (2013)
216 Protein restriction in early life is associated with changes in insulin sensitivity and
217 pancreatic beta-cell function during pregnancy. *Br J Nutr* **109**, 236 - 247.
- 218 Jakubowicz, D., Froy, O., Ahren, B., Boaz, M., Landau, Z., Bar-Dayana, Y., Ganz, T.,
219 Barnea, M. & Wainstein, J., (2014) Incretin, insulinotropic and glucose-lowering effects
220 of whey protein pre-load in type 2 diabetes: a randomised clinical trial. *Diabetologia* **57**,
221 1807 - 1811.
- 222 Lappas, M., Hiden, U., Desoye, G., Froehlich, J., Mouzon, S.H. & Jawerbaum, A.,
223 (2011) The role of oxidative stress in pathophysiology of gestational diabetes mellitus.
224 *Antioxid Redox Signal* **15**.
- 225 Meek, C.L., Lewis, H.B., Patient, C., Murphy, H.R. & Simmons, D., (2015) Diagnosis
226 of gestational diabetes mellitus: falling through the net. *Diabetologia*.

- 227 Park, S., Kim, M.Y., Baik, S.H., Woo, J.T., Kwon, Y.J., Daily, J.W., Park, Y.M., Yang,
228 J.H. & Kim, S.H., (2013) Gestational diabetes is associated with high energy and
229 saturated fat intakes and with low plasma visfatin and adiponectin levels independent of
230 prepregnancy BMI. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **67**, 196 - 201.
- 231 Perez-Ferre, N., Valle, L.D., Torrejon, M.J., Barca, I., Calvo, M.I., Matia, P., Rubio,
232 M.A. & Calle-Pascual, A.L., (2014) Diabetes mellitus and abnormal glucose tolerance
233 development after gestational diabetes: A three-year, prospective, randomized, clinical-
234 based, Mediterranean lifestyle interventional study with parallel groups. *Clin Nutr*.
- 235 Rehfeldt, C., Lang, I.S., Gors, S., Hennig, U., Kalbe, C., Stabenow, B., Brussow, K.P.,
236 Pfuhl, R., Bellmann, O., Nurnberg, G., Ottenll, W. & Metges, C.C., (2014) Limited and
237 excess dietary protein during gestation affects growth and compositional traits in gilts
238 and impairs offspring fetal growth. *J Anim Sci* **89**, 329 - 341.
- 239 Rogozinska, E., Chamillard, M., Hitman, G.A., Khan, K.S. & Thangaratinam, S., (2015)
240 Nutritional manipulation for the primary prevention of gestational diabetes mellitus: a
241 meta-analysis of randomised studies. *PLoS ONE* **10**, e0115526.
- 242 Romano, M.E., Enquobahrie, D.A., Simpson, C.D., Checkoway, H. & Williams, M.A.,
243 (2015) A case-control study of cadmium body burden and gestational diabetes mellitus
244 in American women. *Environ Health Perspect*.
- 245 Rono, K., Stach-Lempinen, B., Klemetti, M.M., Kaaja, R.J., Poyhonen-Alho, M.,
246 Eriksson, J.G. & Koivusalo, S.B., (2014) Prevention of gestational diabetes through
247 lifestyle intervention: study design and methods of a Finnish randomized controlled
248 multicenter trial (RADIEL). *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* **14**.
- 249 Teh, W.T., Teede, H.J., Paul, E., Harrison, C.L., Wallace, E.M. & Allan, C., (2011)
250 Risk factors for gestational diabetes mellitus: Implications for the application of
251 screening guidelines. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol* **51**, 26 - 30.
- 252 Turner, K.M., Keogh, J.B. & Clifton, P.M., (2015) Dairy consumption and insulin
253 sensitivity: A systematic review of short- and long-term intervention studies. *Nutr*
254 *Metab Cardiovasc Dis* **25**, 3 - 8.
- 255 Zhao, L., Sheng, X., Zhou, S., Yang, T., Ma, L., Zhou, Y. & Cui, Y., (2015) Metformin
256 versus insulin for gestational diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*.
- 257

258 **Tables**

259 **Table 1 Social background of recruited subjects**

	GDM (n=49)	Control (n=77)	P-value
Age	29.88 ± 3.92	27.63 ± 4.83	0.264
Location			0.825
Sea Coast	2(1.6%)	3(2.4%)	
Rural	9(7.1%)	11(8.7%)	
Urban	38(30.2%)	63(50.0%)	
Education			0.989
Elementary	1(0.8%)	2(1.6%)	
Intermediate	9(7.1%)	12(9.5%)	
Secondary	11(8.7%)	18(14.3%)	
Undergraduate	25(19.8%)	39(31.0%)	
Postgraduate	3(2.4%)	6(4.8%)	
Occupation			0.888
Civil Service	1(0.8%)	2(1.6%)	
Company Clerk	14(11.1%)	22(17.5%)	
Professionals	9(7.1%)	16(12.7%)	
Worker/ Service	1(0.8%)	0(0%)	
At-Home	18(14.3%)	28(22.2%)	
Other	6(4.8%)	9(7.1%)	
Monthly Income			0.816
≤ 3000 CNY	1(0.8%)	2(1.6%)	
3000 - 6000	11(8.7%)	15(11.9%)	
≥6000 CNY	36(29.4%)	60(47.6%)	
Meal Expenditure (Ratio to Income)			0.191
< 10%	7(5.6%)	4(2.4%)	
10 - 30%	35(28.6%)	61(48.4%)	
30 - 50%	5(4.0%)	11(8.7%)	
> 50%	1(0.8%)	2(1.6%)	
Smoking History			0.126
Yes	1(0.8%)	4(3.2%)	
No	48(38.1%)	73(57.9%)	
Secondhand Smoke			0.124
Yes	4(3.2%)	15(11.9%)	
No	45(35.7%)	62(49.2%)	

261 Table 2 Average daily intake of different food types of recruited subjects

Food Type	GDM (n=49)	Control (n=77)	P-value
Staple food (g)	356.95±110.62	367.40±94.66	0.450
Beans (g)	25.10±19.42	29.78±38.37	0.253
Vegetables (g)	254.65±140.44	275.14±148.34	0.513
Algae (g)	35.22±24.67	28.29±23.18	0.254
Fruit (g)	493.31±260.01	628.40±292.20	0.581
Dairy (g)	301.37±235.46	188.72±168.25	0.032 *
Meat (g)	132.47±96.19	102.70±74.40	0.167
Seafood (g)	158.03±168.36	100.25±60.51	0.007 **
Egg (g)	52.90±29.74	45.97±26.26	0.566
Snacks (g)	88.63±70.18	97.72±80.06	0.684
Beverages (g)	8.70±19.07	20.01±79.91	0.135

262 Statistical significance of difference between GDM and control * p<0.05; ** p<0.01

263

264 Table 3 Use of different dietary supplements by recruited subjects

	GDM (n=49)	Control (n=77)	P-value
Folic acid			0.483
Yes	32(27.1%)	61(51.7%)	
No	11(9.3%)	14(11.9%)	
Iron			0.094
Yes	8(6.8%)	25(21.2%)	
No	35(29.7%)	50(42.4%)	
Calcium			0.287
Yes	34(28.8%)	66(55.9%)	
No	9(7.6%)	9(7.6%)	
Multivitamin			1.000
Yes	24(20.3%)	43(36.4%)	
No	19(16.1%)	32(27.1%)	
Formula milk			0.413
Yes	8(6.7%)	12(10.2%)	
No	35(29.7%)	63(53.4%)	
Protein powder			0.011*
Yes	7(5.9%)	2(1.7%)	
No	36(30.5%)	73(61.9%)	
Bird's nest			0.157
Yes	0(0%)	5(4.2%)	
No	43(36.4%)	70(59.3%)	
Honey			0.364
Yes	1(0.8%)	0(0%)	
No	42(35.6%)	75(63.6%)	

265 Statistical significance of difference between GDM and control * p<0.05

266