On the mathematical modelling and analysis of Listeriosis from contaminated food products

V. M. Mbalilo[1] *, C. W. Chukwu[2] and F. Nyabadza[2]

[1] *Mathematics and Applied Mathematics Department, University of Dar Es Salaam.*

[2] *Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Johannesburg, Auckland Park, 2006, South Africa.*

Abstract

Listeriosis is a food-borne disease caused by a bacterium known as *Listeria monocytogens*. Humans can be infected by consuming contaminated food products. A transmission can also occur through contact with infected animals or people, however to a less extent. In this paper, a mathematical model for Listeriosis dynamics was developed. The steady states and their stability of the model system were determined and analyzed. The result shows that the disease-free equilibrium is asymptotically stable if the bacteria's growth rate is less than its removal rate, and also the growth rate of food contamination is less than its removal rate. It was further observed that we can still have Listeriosis driven by the contaminated food products even if the Listeria bacteria population in the environment is very small.The results indicate that Listeriosis can be effectively controlled by removing contaminated food products, which was the policy adopted by the South African government during the recent Listeriosis outbreak.

Keywords: Food contamination threshold. Stability analysis. Contaminated food. Listeriosis. Simulations.

1 Introduction

Listeria monocytogens are pathogens that are found in both raw and processed food products. The consumption of such products causes infections among humans and animals. This pathogen can be characterized as a Gram-positive bacteria [3]. Listeria strives very well in low-temperature areas and unclean environments. Other favourable conditions for their survival include high salt concentration and acidic conditions. Specifically, they are mostly found in soil, unclean water bodies (lakes, rivers, etc.), vegetation, refrigerators, faeces of some animals as well as in foods such as smoked fish, cold meats and soft cheeses. Animals such as cattle and poultry can also carry this bacteria. Due to their presence in soil and vegetation, they easily affect raw foods. Moreover, processed food can be contaminated by the presence of infected raw food and the use of unclean processing materials [15]. Humans can be infected by consuming such contaminated

*Communicated by: Email: *vere@aims.ac.za*

food products. However a transmission can also occur through contact with infected animals or people although to a less extent. Infected pregnant women and female animals can also transfer these infections to their unborn babies [22], a process often referred to as vertical transmission.

The group of people who are at high risk to acquire this disease are elderly, pregnant women and infants, as well as people with weak immune systems caused by illnesses with illnesses such as cancer, diabetes, kidney disease and HIV AIDS patients [7]. Fever, flu-like symptoms, vomiting, nausea and diarrhoea are the main symptoms of Listeriosis. Like most bacterial infections, Listeriosis can be treated and prevented by storing food safely, avoiding storing products in the fridge beyond the use-by date, cooking the meat and poultry properly and keeping raw food from touching other foods and utensils [19]. Although the disease is relatively rare, it is often severe with high hospitalisation and mortality rates. For instance, there were 10 cases of Listeriosis reported from a small area of Switzerland which was due to the distribution of local soft cheese. The same problem was experienced by the Czech Republic in 2006, with 78 patients of whom 13 died. Also, in 2006 and 2007, Germany reported having an outbreak of 16 cases caused by pre-sliced ready-to-eat meat products [16]. Furthermore, the National Institute of Communicable Disease (NICD) in South Africa reported that by 28 February 2018 there had been 943 laboratory-confirmed cases of Listeriosis with 176 deaths from the disease [4].

Mathematical models have been used to analyse the transmission dynamics of infectious diseases in the past. Several mathematical models and statistical methods have been used to study the spread of Listeriosis, see for instance [9–13]. The work by Buchanan *et al.* [8] shows that the growth of *Listeria monocytogens* depends on the interaction of five variables namely pH, temperature, sodium nitrate, atmosphere, and sodium chloride. According to their data, they found out that sodium nitrate can have high significant bacteriostatic activity against *Listeria monocytogens* and hence can be used to provide cured meats with a degree of protection against this bacteria. This is achieved when there is a combination of high salt concentration, acidic pH, vacuum packaging and adequate refrigeration. Ivanek *et al.* [5] conducted a study on how ready-to-eat food (smoked fish) is contaminated with *Listeria monocytogens* in relation to some associated factors during food processing. In their study, they developed a model where they considered food contact surfaces and employees gloves as key factors for food contamination. It was discovered that, the best way to prevent food contamination during food processing is to make sure that the raw food and processing materials coming in are free from contamination. According to the inference from the outbreak of the disease reported in Japan, Europe and North America, the foods which are at high risk for susceptible humans are ready-to-eat meats and soft cheese [20]. Furthermore, Luber *et al.* [14] pointed out that for successful employment of the food safety methods against Listeriosis there is a need for food workers to be educated and trained on how to prevent (protect) the ready-to-eat foods from Listeria monocytogens contamination and also to advise the consumer to be aware and responsible for their food safety. In [17], conducted a study with the aim of developing a predictive model that simulates the growth of *Listeria monocytogene* in soft blue-white cheese. In their study, they come up with a tertiary predictive model of the Listeria growth as a function of lactic acid, temperature, pH and sodium chloride. According to their analysis, they found out that the growth rate of *Listeria monocytogenes* is very high when present in cheese. Mateus *et al.* [1] pointed out that pregnant women affected with Listeriosis are at a high risk of miscarriages and fetal death or neonatal morbidity in the form of meningitis and septicemia. They also found out that improving education about Listeriosis

transmission, control and prevention for pregnant and individuals with weak immune systems will help in minimizing the mortality rate of this disease. Moreover, [13] developed a mathematical model to study the effect of the vaccination of animals on the spread of Listeriosis among humans and animals (as vectors of Listeria). In their analysis, they found out that, secondary infections can increase due to the decrease of human and animal death rate as well as animal recovery rate.

Very few mathematical models the role of the environment and contaminated food products have been developed to date. Simple model that compute the role of bacteria and food products in transmission of dynamics of Listeriosis in the human population is formulated with the aim of investigating the role of the few control measures that are available in the event of the out break. The control measure include reduction of the rate of infection, removal of contaminated food product and hygiene in the food manufacturing process. While the model presented in this page represent the simplest caricature of the infection dynamics of Listeriosis, the results have significant influence on the management and qualification of control strategies over the long period of time. We ague that despite its simplest, the model offers significant insights in the modelling of Listeriosis driven by contaminated food products and bacteria from the environment.

The outline of this paper is as follows; Section 1 introduces the research paper followed by a model formulation in Section 2. The model basic properties and analyses are presented in Section 3. Numerical simulations are presented in Section 4 and Section 5 concludes the paper.

2 Model Formulation

In this section, we develop a mathematical model for Listeriosis dynamics which is divided into three components namely; the human population, Listeria and factory products. The human population comprises of three compartments which are susceptible humans, $S(t)$, infected humans, $I(t)$, and the recovered humans, $R(t)$. Individuals are recruited into susceptible class at a rate proportional to the total human population $N(t)$ so that the recruitment is modelled by of μN, where μ is the natural birth/mortality rate. The susceptible humans can move into infected class either by acquiring Listeriosis through eating contaminated products or through contact with contaminated material from the environment with a force of infection $\lambda_h(t)$, defined after system (2). Furthermore, the infected humans can either die naturally, die of the disease at a rate of δ_1 or recover at a rate of γ and join the recovered class. We are assuming that there is no human to human transmission. Considering the factory dynamics, we are assuming that the amount of food products, $F(t)$ comprises of non-contaminated, $F_n(t)$, and contaminated, $F_c(t)$ food products. We assume that factory products are manufactured or produced at a rate of $\delta_2 F$. By contact with contaminated surfaces and contaminated products, the non-contaminated products can become contaminated with Listeria bacteria. Non-contaminated factory products become contaminated with a force of infection $\lambda_f(t)$ defined after system (2). The Listeria bacteria in the environment is assumed to grow at a rate of r_b, and die at a rate μ_b. The growth of the bacteria is assumed to be logistic with a carrying capacity of K. We assume that, at any time, t, the human population and factory food products are constant and respectively given by;

$$\begin{aligned} N(t) &= S(t) + I(t) + R(t), \\ F(t) &= F_n(t) + F_c(t). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The model structure is represented schematically in Figure 1. From the above model description and Figure 1 we obtain the following system of non-linear ordinary differential equations:



Figure 1: Model flow chart for Listeriosis disease transmission dynamics. The dotted and solid line shows the contributing factors for the human and food products to become contaminated as well as infection links respectively.

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{dS}{dt} = \mu N + \sigma R - \lambda_h S - \mu S, \\ \dfrac{dI}{dt} = \lambda_h S - (\mu + \gamma + \delta_1) I, \\ \dfrac{dR}{dt} = \gamma I - (\mu + \sigma) R, \\ \dfrac{dB}{dt} = r_b B \left(1 - \dfrac{B}{K}\right) - \mu_b B, \\ \dfrac{dF_n}{dt} = \delta_2 F - (\lambda_f + \delta_2) F_n, \\ \dfrac{dF_c}{dt} = \lambda_f F_n - \delta_2 F_c, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where

$$\lambda_f(t) = \frac{\beta_1 B}{\kappa + B} + \beta_2 F_c,$$
$$\lambda_h(t) = \frac{\beta_3 B}{\kappa + B} + \beta_4 F_c.$$

We rescale system (2) by setting

$$f_n = \frac{F_n}{F}, \quad f_c = \frac{F_c}{F}, \quad b = \frac{B}{K}, \quad s = \frac{S}{N}, \quad i = \frac{I}{N}, \quad r = \frac{R}{N},$$
$$\hat{\kappa} = \frac{\kappa}{K}, \quad \hat{\beta}_2 = \beta_2 F, \qquad \hat{\beta}_4 = \beta_4 F,$$

and substituting $r = n - s - i$, to obtain the following system of differential equations:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{ds}{dt} = \mu + \sigma(1 - s - i) - (\mu + \hat{\lambda}_h)s, \\ \dfrac{di}{dt} = \hat{\lambda}_h s - (\mu + \gamma + \delta_1)i, \\ \dfrac{df_c}{dt} = \hat{\lambda}_f - (\hat{\lambda}_f + \delta_2)f_c, \\ \dfrac{db}{dt} = r_b b(1 - b) - \mu_b b, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where re-scaled forces of infections are

$$\hat{\lambda}_f = \frac{\beta_1 b}{\hat{\kappa} + b} + \hat{\beta}_2 f_c, \quad \hat{\lambda}_h = \frac{\beta_3 b}{\hat{\kappa} + b} + \hat{\beta}_4 f_c,$$

subject to the following initial conditions

$$s(0) > 0, \ i(0) \geq 0, \ f_c(0) \geq 0, \ b(0) \geq 0.$$

All parameters of model system (3) are assumed to be positive at all time $t > 0$.

2.1 Basic Properties

We show that all the solutions of model system (3) has non-negative solutions, and bounded for $t > 0$, that is remain biologically meaningful into feasible region (Ω).

2.1.1 Positivity of Solutions

The positivity of solutions to our model is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Suppose* $s(0) > 0, i(0) \geq 0, f_c(0) \geq 0, b(0) \geq 0$ *then the solutions of* $s(t), i(t), f_c(t)$ *and* $b(t)$ *of system (3) are non-negative for all time* $t \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $(s(t), i(t), f_c(t), b(t))$ be a solution of the system (3) with the given initial conditions. From the first equation of system (3), we have that

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \mu + \sigma(1 - s - i) - \mu s - \hat{\lambda}_h s \geq -(\sigma + \mu + \hat{\lambda}_h)s, \tag{4}$$

is the differential inequality from (4). Integration yields

$$s(t) > s(0)e^{-\int_0^t \hat{\lambda}_h(\tau)d\tau - (\sigma+\mu)t} > 0,$$

where $s(0)$ is the given initial condition for $s(t)$. From the second equation of model equation (3) we have the differential inequality

$$\frac{di}{dt} \geq -(\mu + \gamma + \delta_2)i, \tag{5}$$

so that

$$i(t) \geq i(0)e^{-(\mu+\gamma+\delta_1)t} > 0$$

where $i(0)$ is the initial condition for $i(t)$. The remaining equations yields

$$f_c(t) \geq f_c(0)e^{-\int_0^t \hat{\lambda}_f(\tau)d\tau - \delta_2 t} \text{ and } b(t) \geq b(0)e^{-(\mu_b - r_b)t}.$$

Thus, the solutions $(s(t), i(t), f_c(t), b(t))$ will remain positive in Ω at all time $t \geq 0$. □

2.1.2 Feasible Region

The feasible region of our model is captured by the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Consider the biologically feasible region given by*

$\Omega = \{(s(t), i(t), f_c(t), b(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^4_+ : s + i \leq 1, f_c \leq 1, b \leq 1\}$. *The solution of the system (3) with the non-negative initial condition are bounded for all $t \geq 0$ in the biologically feasible region,* (Ω).

Proof. The total change in the human population is given by

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = \mu + \sigma - (\mu + \sigma)n - (\gamma + \delta_1)i, \tag{6}$$

where $n = (s + i) \leq 1$. However in the absence of mortality due to human Listeriosis infections, equation (6) reduces to

$$\frac{dn}{dt} \leq (\mu + \sigma)(1 - n), \tag{7}$$

whose solutions yields

$$n(t) \leq 1 + C_1 e^{-(\sigma+\mu)t},$$

where C_1 is a constant. We note that as $t \to \infty$, then $n(t) \to 1$.

Considering the contaminated food product given by last equation of system (3), we have that

$$\frac{df_c}{dt} = \hat{\lambda}_f - (\hat{\lambda}_f + \delta_2)f_c \leq \hat{\lambda}_f(1 - f_c), ,$$

whose solution yields

$$f_c(t) \leq 1 + \phi_2 e^{-\int \hat{\lambda}_f(t)dt},$$

where ϕ_2 is a constant. Note that as $t \to \infty$ we have $f_c(t) \to 1$.
Furthermore, for the bacteria population, considering the third equation of system (3), we have the Bernoulli's equation

$$b^{-2}\frac{db}{dt} = b^{-1}(r_b - \mu_b) - r_b. \tag{8}$$

The solution of equation (8) we obtain

$$b(t) = \frac{1}{\frac{r_b}{r_b-\mu_b} + \phi_3 e^{-(r_b-\mu_b)t}}. \tag{9}$$

Thus, as $t \to \infty$, $b(t) \to 1 - \frac{\mu_b}{r_b} \leq 1$. So, we note that for the Listeria to exist its death rate (μ_b) must be less than the growth rate (r_b), which implies that, $0 \leq b \leq 1$.
Hence (s, i, f_c, b) are all bounded in region Ω, and are biologically feasible, which complete the proof. □

2.2 Model Steady States

We determine the steady states of system (3) by setting the right hand side to zero as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mu + \sigma(1 - s^* - i^*) - (\mu s^* + \hat{\lambda}_h)s^* &= 0, \\ \hat{\lambda}_h s^* - (\mu + \gamma + \delta_1)i^* &= 0, \\ r_b b^*(1 - b^*) - \mu_b b^* &= 0, \\ \hat{\lambda}_f - (\hat{\lambda}_f + \delta_2)f_c^* &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

From the third equation of the system (10), we have that

$$b^*\left(r_b(1 - b^*) - \mu_b\right) = 0,$$

which gives

$$b_1^* = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad b_2^* = 1 - \frac{\mu_b}{r_b} = b_2^* = 1 - R_b.$$

where

$$R_b = \frac{\mu_b}{r_b}$$

Remark 1. *Note that, b_2^* exists if and only if $R_b < 1$.*

We consider two cases.
CASE 1: When $b_1^* = 0$, we have that

$$\hat{\lambda}_f = \hat{\beta}_2 f_c^* \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\lambda}_h = \hat{\beta}_4 f_c^*.$$

Now from the last equation of the system (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_2 f_c^*(1 - f_c^*) - \delta_2 f_c^* &= 0, \\ f_c^*\left(\hat{\beta}_2(1 - f_c^*) - \delta_2\right) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and this yields

$$f_{c_1}^* = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad f_{c_2}^* = 1 - R_f,$$

where

$$R_f = \frac{\delta_2}{\hat{\beta}_2}.$$

Lemma 1. *The steady state $f_{c_2}^*$ exist if and only if $R_f < 1$.*

Now if $b^* = 0$, then $f_{c_1}^* = 0$, which implies that from the second equation of (10). Now substituting into first equation of the system (10) we have

$$s^* = 1.$$

Therefore, we have a disease free state (DFS) denoted by

$$E_0^* = (s^*, i^*, f_{c_1}^*, b_1^*) = (1, 0, 0, 0).$$

From the second equation of the system (10) we have

$$\hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_2}^* s_1^* - (\mu + \gamma + \delta_1) i_1^* = 0,$$

which yields

$$i_1^* = \frac{\hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_2}^* s_1^*}{(\mu + \gamma + \delta_1)}. \tag{11}$$

Substituting equation (11) into the first equation of the system (10) we have

$$\mu + \sigma - \sigma s_1^* - \sigma \left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_2}^*}{\mu + \gamma + \delta_1} \right) s_1^* - \mu s_1^* - \hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_2}^* s_1^* = 0,$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} s_1^* &= \frac{(\mu + \sigma)(\mu + \gamma + \delta_1)}{(\sigma + \mu)(\mu + \gamma + \delta_1) + \hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_2}^* (\sigma + \mu + \gamma + \delta_1)}, \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + Q_1^*}, \end{aligned}$$

after some algebraic manipulation where $Q_1^* = \frac{(\sigma+\mu+\gamma+\delta_1)\hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_2}^*}{(\mu+\sigma)(\mu+\gamma+\delta_1)}$.
Substituting s_1^* into (11) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} i_1^* &= \frac{\hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_2}^*}{(\mu + \gamma + \delta_1)} \left(\frac{1}{1 + Q_1^*} \right), \\ &= \frac{\hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_2}^*}{(\mu + \gamma + \delta_1)(1 + Q_1^*)}. \end{aligned}$$

This results in the bacteria free state (BFS)

$$E_1^* = (s_1^*, i_1^*, 0, f_{c_2}^*),$$

Case 2: If $b_2^* = 1 - R_b$; then,

$$\hat{\lambda}_f = \frac{\beta_1 b_2^*}{\hat{\kappa} + b_2^*} + \hat{\beta}_2 f_{c_3}^*; \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\lambda}_h = \frac{\beta_3 b_2^*}{\hat{\kappa} + b_2^*} + \hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_3}^*.$$

Similarly from the last equation of the system (10), we have that

$$\Upsilon_2 f_{c_3}^{*2} + \Upsilon_1 f_{c_3}^* + \Upsilon_0 = 0, \tag{12}$$

after some algebraic simplification, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Upsilon_0 &= -\frac{\beta_1 b_2^*}{\hat{\kappa} + b_2^*} \\
\Upsilon_1 &= \left(\delta_2 + \frac{\beta_1 b_2^*}{\hat{\kappa} + b_2^*} - \hat{\beta}_2\right) \\
\Upsilon_2 &= \hat{\beta}_2
\end{aligned}$$

Solving equation (12) we have,

$$f_{c_3}^* = \frac{-\Upsilon_1 \pm \sqrt{\Upsilon_1^2 - 4\,\Upsilon_2\,\Upsilon_0}}{2\Upsilon_2}.$$

Since $\Upsilon_0 < 0$ and $\Upsilon_2 > 0$ then $\Upsilon_2 \Upsilon_0 < 0$, and this implies that $f_{c_3}^*$ has one positive solution, say $f_{c_3}^{*+}$ irrespective of the conditions imposed on Υ_1.
Now from the second equation of the system (10), we have

$$\left(\frac{\beta_3 b_2^*}{\hat{\kappa} + b_2^*} + \hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_3}^{*+}\right) s_2^* - (\mu + \gamma + \delta_1)\, i_2^* = 0,$$

which yields

$$i_2^* = \frac{\beta_3 b_2^* + (\hat{\kappa} + b_2^*)\hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_3}^{*+}}{(\hat{\kappa} + b_2^*)(\mu + \gamma + \delta_1)} s_2^*. \tag{13}$$

Further, from the first equation of the system (10), we have

$$\mu + \sigma(1 - s_2^* - i_2^*) - \mu s_2^* - \sigma \frac{\beta_3 b_2^* + (\hat{\kappa} + b_2^*)\hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_3}^{*+}}{(\hat{\kappa} + b_2^*)(\mu + \gamma + \delta_1)} s_2^* - \mu s_2^* - \frac{\beta_3 b_2^* + (\hat{\kappa} + b_2^*)\hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_3}^{*+}}{(\hat{\kappa} + b_2^*)} s_2^* = 0.$$

This give

$$\begin{aligned}
s_2^* &= \frac{(\mu + \sigma)(\hat{\kappa} + b_2^*)(\mu + \gamma + \delta_1)}{(\hat{\kappa} + b_2^*)(\mu + \gamma + \delta_1)(\sigma + \mu) + (\hat{\kappa} + b_2^*)(\sigma + \mu + \gamma + \delta_1)\hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_3}^{*+} + \beta_3 b_2^*(\sigma + \mu + \delta_1)}, \\
&= \frac{1}{1 + Q_2^*}.
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q_2^* = \frac{(\hat{\kappa} + b_2^*)(\sigma + \mu + \gamma + \delta_1)\hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_3}^{*+} + \beta_3 b_2^*(\sigma + \mu + \delta_1)}{(\mu + \sigma)(\hat{\kappa} + b_2^*)(\mu + \gamma + \delta_1)}.$$

Next, we substitute s_2^* into equation (13) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} i_2^* &= \frac{\beta_3 b_2^* + (\hat{\kappa} + b_2^*)\hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_3}^{*+}}{(\hat{\kappa} + b_2^*)(\mu + \gamma + \delta_1)} \left(\frac{1}{1 + Q_2^*}\right), \\ &= \frac{\beta_3 b_2^* + (\hat{\kappa} + b_2^*)\hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_3}^{*+}}{(\hat{\kappa} + b_2^*)(\mu + \gamma + \delta_1)(1 + Q_2^*)}, \end{aligned}$$

This results to endemic state (ES) given by

$$E_2^* = (s_2^*, i_2^*, b_2^*, f_{c_3}^{*+}).$$

3 Stability Analyses

In this section we prove the local stability of the steady states by using the Jaccobian matrix linearized at each steady state.

3.1 Local Stability of the DFS

Theorem 3. *The disease free state E_0^*, is locally asymptotically stable if $R_f > 1$ and $r_b < \mu_b$.*

Proof. In order to determine the local stability of the disease free steady state we evaluate the Jaccobian matrix at E_0^* which gives

$$J(E_0^*) = \begin{pmatrix} -(\sigma + \mu) & -\sigma & -\hat{\beta}_4 & -\frac{\beta_3}{\hat{\kappa}} \\ 0 & -(\delta_1 + \gamma + \mu) & \hat{\beta}_4 & \frac{\beta_3}{\hat{\kappa}} \\ 0 & 0 & \hat{\beta}_2 - \delta_2 & \frac{\beta_1}{\hat{\kappa}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r_b - \mu_b \end{pmatrix}. \tag{14}$$

Therefore, the eigenvalues are;

$$\lambda_1 = -(\sigma + \mu), \lambda_2 = -\left(\mu + \delta_1 + \gamma\right), \lambda_3 = \hat{\beta}_2 \left(1 - \frac{\delta_2}{\hat{\beta}_2}\right) = \hat{\beta}_2 \left(1 - R_f\right), \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lambda_4 = r_b - \mu_b.$$

Since all parameters are positive, it is clear that λ_1 and λ_2 are negative. However, λ_3 and λ_4 are negative if and only if $R_f > 1$ and $r_b < \mu_b$ respectively. Therefore, E_0^*, is locally asymptotically stable if $R_f > 1$ and $r_b < \mu_b$.

Remark 2. *The growth rate of food contamination must be less than its removal and the growth rate of bacteria must be less than its removal (natural death rate of bacteria).*

□

3.2 Local Stability of the BFS

Theorem 4. *The bacteria free state E_1^* is locally asymptotically stable if $r_b < \mu_b$ and $R_f < 1$.*

Proof. We evaluate the Jacobian matrix at E_1^* and obtain

$$J(E_1) = \begin{pmatrix} J_1(E_1) & J_2(E_1) \\ 0_{2\times 2} & J_3(E_1) \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$J_1(E_1) = \begin{pmatrix} -(\sigma+\mu) - \hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_2}^* & -\sigma \\ \hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_2}^* & -(\mu+\gamma+\delta_1) \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_2(E_1) = \begin{pmatrix} -\hat{\beta}_4 s_2^* & -\frac{\hat{\kappa}\beta_3 s_2^*}{\hat{\kappa}^2} \\ \hat{\beta}_4 s_2^* & \frac{\hat{\kappa}\beta_3 s_2^*}{\hat{\kappa}^2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J_3(E_1) = \begin{pmatrix} -(2\hat{\beta}_2 f_{c_2}^* + \delta_2 - \hat{\beta}_2) & -\frac{\hat{\kappa}\beta_1(f_{c_2}^*-1)}{\hat{\kappa}^2} \\ 0 & r_b - \mu_b \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues from $J_3(E_1)$ are;

$$\lambda_1 = r_b - \mu_b \implies \lambda_1 < 0 \quad \text{if} \quad r_b < \mu_b,$$
$$\text{and} \quad \lambda_2 = -\hat{\beta}_2 (1 - R_f) \implies \lambda_2 \begin{cases} < 0 & \text{if} \quad R_f < 1 \\ > 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Further, the eigenvalues from $J_1(E_1)$ is given by the characteristic polynomial

$$\lambda^2 + \rho_1 \lambda + \rho_0 = 0, \tag{15}$$

where

$$\rho_1 = (\mu+\gamma+\delta_1) + (\mu+\sigma) + \hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_2}^* > 0,$$
$$\rho_0 = (\mu+\sigma)(\mu+\gamma+\delta_1) + (\mu+\sigma+\gamma+\delta_1)\hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_2}^* > 0.$$

Since $\rho_1 > 0$ and $\rho_0 > 0$, the eigenvalues of equation (15) have negative real parts by the Routh–Hurwitz stability criterion.
Therefore, the bacteria free state is locally asymptotically stable if and only if $\mu_b > r_b$ and $R_f < 1$. □

3.3 Local Stability of the ES

Theorem 5. *The endemic state E_2^* is locally asymptotically stable if $r_b < (2r_b b_2^* + \mu_b)$ and $\frac{\hat{\beta}_2}{J^*} < 1$, where*

$$J^* = \frac{\beta_1 b_2^*}{\hat{\kappa} + b_2^*} + 2\hat{\beta}_2 f_{c_3}^{*+} + \delta_2.$$

Proof. We evaluate the Jacobian matrix at E_2^* to obtain

$$J(E_2) = \begin{pmatrix} J_1(E_2) & J_2(E_2) \\ 0_{2\times 2} & J_3(E_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$J_1(E_2) = \begin{pmatrix} -(\sigma+\mu) - \left(\frac{\beta_3 b_2^*}{\hat{\kappa}+b_2^*} + \hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_3}^{*+}\right) & -\sigma \\ \frac{\beta_3 b_2^*}{\hat{\kappa}+b_2^*} + \hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_3}^{*+} & -(\mu+\gamma+\delta_1) \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_2(E_2) = \begin{pmatrix} -\hat{\beta}_4 s_2^* & -\frac{\hat{\kappa}\beta_3 s_2^*}{(\hat{\kappa}+b_2^*)^2} \\ \hat{\beta}_4 s_2^* & \frac{\hat{\kappa}\beta_3 s_2^*}{(\hat{\kappa}+b_2^*)^2} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and}$$

$$J_3(E_2) = \begin{pmatrix} -(\frac{\beta_1 b_2^*}{\hat{\kappa}+b_2^*} + 2\hat{\beta}_2 f_{c_3}^{*+} + \delta_2 - \hat{\beta}_2) & -\frac{\hat{\kappa}\beta_1(f_{c_3}^{*+}-1)}{(\hat{\kappa}+b_2^*)^2} \\ 0 & r_b - 2r_b b_2^* - \mu_b \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues from $J_3(E_2)$ are;

$$\lambda_1^* = r_b - (2r_b b_2^* + \mu_b) \leq 0 \quad \text{if} \quad r_b < (2r_b b_2^* + \mu_b),$$
$$\lambda_2^* = J^*\left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_2}{J^*} - 1\right) < 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{\hat{\beta}_2}{J^*} < 1,$$

where

$$J^* = \frac{\beta_1 b_2^*}{\hat{\kappa}+b_2^*} + 2\hat{\beta}_2 f_{c_3}^{*+} + \delta_2.$$

Further, the eigenvalues of matrix $J_1(E_2)$ is given by the characteristic polynomial

$$\eta_2 \lambda^{*2} + \eta_1 \lambda^* + \eta_0 = 0, \tag{16}$$

where

$$\eta_2 = \hat{\kappa} + b_2^* > 0,$$
$$\eta_1 = \beta_3 b_2^* + (\hat{\kappa}+b_2^*)\left[(\mu+\sigma) + (\mu+\gamma+\delta_1) + \hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_3}^{*+}\right] > 0,$$
$$\eta_0 = (\mu+\gamma+\sigma+\delta_1)\left[\beta_3 b_2^* + (\hat{\kappa}+b_2^*)\hat{\beta}_4 f_{c_3}^{*+} + (\hat{\kappa}+b_2^*)(\mu+\sigma)(\mu+\gamma+\delta_1)\right] > 0.$$

So, equation (16) can be rewritten as

$$\lambda^{*2} + \phi_1 \lambda^* + \phi_0 = 0 \tag{17}$$

where $\phi_1 = \frac{\eta_1}{\eta_2} > 0$ and $\phi_0 = \frac{\eta_0}{\eta_2} > 0$. Since $\phi_1 > 0$ and $\phi_0 > 0$, The eigenvalues of equation (17) have negative real parts by the Routh-Hurwitz stability criterion. Therefore, the endemic state is locally asymptotically stable if $r_b < (2r_b b_2^* + \mu_b)$ and $\frac{\hat{\beta}_2}{J^*} < 1$. □

4 Numerical Simulations

4.1 Parameter Values

In this section, we simulate our model for Listeriosis in order to determine the time series trajectories of the disease in human population. The system of equations were solved by using

Julia and Matlab software over a period of time using the estimated parameter values in Table 2. We hypothetically chose the parameter values in such a way that they yield the results of the analyses of the steady states. The parameter values in Table 2 are all assumed since there are very few mathematical models on human Listeriosis disease infection and in literature. A summary descriptions of the parameters used in the model are shown in Table 2 at the endemic state with initial conditions $s(0) = 0.4,\ i(0) = 0.1, f_c(0) = 0.2,\ b(0) = 0.0005$.

Table 1: Parameter values for simulations.

Parameter	Symbols	Values(day^{-1})	Ref.
Human natural death rate	μ	0.02	Estimated
Human recovery rate	γ	0.07	Estimated
Rate loss of immunity	σ	0.99	Estimated
Growth rate of Listeria	r_b	0.32	[12]
Natural death rate of bacteria	μ_b	0.2-0.3[0.2]	[25]
Disease induced death rate	δ_1	0.004	Estimated
Rate of removal of food products	δ_2	0.017	
Half saturation constant	$\hat{\kappa}$	0.0002	Estimated
Rate at which non-contaminated food are contaminated by bacteria	β_1	0.004	Estimated
Rate of contamination of food products	$\hat{\beta}_2$	0.006	Estimated
Infection rate of humans by bacteria from the environment	β_3	0.03	Estimated
Infection rate of susceptible humans by contaminated food products	$\hat{\beta}_4$	0.05	Estimated

4.2 Convergence of Steady State

Figure 2(a) depicts the graph of the equilibrium point E_0^* in which all the infected compartments tends to zero. Therefore this shows the stability of the disease free equilibrium point. We can infer that this is due to the high death rate, $\mu_b = 0.4$, of the bacteria as compared to their growth rate $r_b = 0.18$. So there are less infections caused by the bacteria. Moreover, the rate of removal of contaminated food, $\delta_2 = 0.5$, is higher as compared to the growth rate of food contamination, $\hat{\beta}_2 = 0.006$. Figure 2(b) depicts the graph of the equilibrium point E_1^*. We can observe from this graph that the bacteria population goes to extinct since their growth rate is less that their death rate. However, Listeriosis infections exist in the population due to presence of contaminated food. This can be attributed to the fact that the rate of removal of contaminated food, $\delta_2 = 0.1$, is less as compared to the growth rate of food contamination, $\hat{\beta}_2 = 0.16$. Figure 2(c) shows the graph of the endemic equilibrium point E_2^* in which the contaminated products, the bacteria in the environment and the human population are all non-zero. Hence we can observe that the disease will persist.



(a) (b)

(c)

Figure 2: (a) The steady state E_0^* with parameter values $\mu = 0.028, \sigma = 0.1, \gamma = 0.08,$ $\delta_1 = 0.1, \delta_2 = 0.5, r_b = 0.18, \mu_b = 0.4, \beta_1 = 0.004, \hat{\beta}_2 = 0.006, \beta_3 = 0.03, \hat{\beta}_4 = 0.05, \hat{\kappa} = 0.0002$ and initial conditions $s(0) = 0.4, i(0) = 0.1, f_c(0) = 0.2, b(0) = 0.4$. (b) The steady state E_1^* with parameter values, $\mu = 0.028, \sigma = 0.6, \gamma = 0.004, \delta_1 = 0.0035, \delta_2 = 0.1, r_b = 0.05,$ $\mu_b = 0.089, \beta_1 = 0.004, \hat{\beta}_2 = 0.16, \beta_3 = 0.1, \hat{\beta}_4 = 0.07, \hat{\kappa} = 0.0002$ and initial conditions $s(0) = 0.4, i(0) = 0.1, f_c(0) = 0.2, b(0) = 0.1$. (c) The steady state E_2^* with parameter values in Table 2

4.3 Sensitivity Analysis

Global sensitivity analysis is a statistical tool used to determine the sensitivity and uncertainly of epidemiological parameters. Here, we employ the method of Latin-hyper cube sampling as in [24] to determine model parameters driving Listeriosis subject to the model under investigation. According to [24], for any modelling exercise, we can carry out sensitivity at a time point of interest or over a range of time frames to determine the sensitivity of the model parameters. Since humans can devolve fully Listeriosis infections between 1 to 90 days after consuming contaminated food products contaminated by Listeria. We carry out the simulation implemented in Matlab with a time step of 1 and between 1 to 90 days, [25], with a time average of 45 days as it is assumed to be an average time an infected person with Listeria should have to develop the symptom of the disease. The simulation result gives the partial Rank Correlation Coefficient's (PRCCC) and P-values shown in Table 2 with the Tornado plot showing different parameters sensitivity values as shown in Figure 3. We observe in Figure 3, that, the parameters $\hat{\beta}_3$ and r_b are strongly positively correlated while μ_b is strongly negatively correlated.. Hence, the increase

in the food contamination rate $\hat{\beta}_3$ and the bacteria growth r_b will impact the disease variability leading to more infected humans in the community affected by Listeriosis. An increase in the removal rate of bacteria, μ_b, will certainly result in fewer human infections.



Figure 3: Tonardo plot showing different parameter PRCC values. Note that $\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2, \hat{\beta}_3$ and $\hat{\beta}_4$ are represented by $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ and β_4 respectively as Matlab do not compile the $\hat{}$ command.

Table 2: PRCC and P-values.

Parameter	P-values	PRCC values
μ	0.0230	-0.1969
γ	0.0788	-0.2984
σ	0.9536	0.0927
r_b	0.1848	0.7602
μ_b	0.2157	-0.6504
δ_1	0.0040	-0.0504
δ_2	0.0183	-0.0706
$\hat{\kappa}$	0.0001	-0.0798
β_1	0.0042	0.1708
$\hat{\beta}_2$	0.0067	0.0663
β_3	0.0177	0.5641
$\hat{\beta}_4$	0.0445	0.2388

4.4 Effects of δ_2 and β_2 on Contamination Threshold R_f

Figure 4(a) depicts the behaviour of contamination threshold R_f against the rate of contamination of food products, $\hat{\beta}_2$, the graph shows that as the rate of contamination of food products, $\hat{\beta}_2$, increase then the contamination threshold R_f decrease. Figure 4(b) depicts the behaviour of contamination threshold, R_f, against the disposal rate δ_2, the graph shows that as disposing rate δ_2 increase then the contamination threshold, R_f, also increase.



(a) (b)

Figure 4: (a) The contamination threshold R_f with parameter values, $\delta_2 = 0.015$, $\hat{\beta}_2 = 1.0, 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5$. (b) The contamination threshold R_f with parameter values, $\hat{\beta}_2 = 0.06, \delta_2 = 0.0, 1.0, 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5$.

4.5 Effects of Varying Parameters $\delta_2, \beta_2, \beta_3$ and β_4 on Infected Humans

Figure 5(a) shows how infectious human decrease as disposing (removal) rate increase (δ_2). Figure 5(b) shows how infectious human decrease as the bacterial natural death (removal) rate μ_b increases.



(a) (b)

Figure 5(c) shows how infectious humans decrease as Listeria bacteria infection rate from the environment decrease. Figure 5(d) shows how infectious human decrease as the rate of food contamination β_4, decreases.



(c)



(d)

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we formulate a mathematical model to eludidate the contribution of contaminated food products and bacteria in the environment on the spread of Listeriosis. The model steady states were determined and their stabilities determined. The model has three steady states, the disease free steady statesE_0 in which their in only the susceptible population while the remaining state variables are zeros. While this is mathematically plausible this steady state may not be realistic as the bacteria will always be present in the environment. The second steady state is bacteria free steady state E_1 in which the is driven by only contaminated food products. This steady state is driven by contamination within the food manufacturing environment. The third steady is the endemic state in which all the state variable are no-zero. This steady state depicts a scenario in which the environment, the human population and food products are active in spread of Listeriosis.

The model simulation are carried out to investigate the role of interventions in the spread of Listeriosis. The most important interventions in the removal of contaminated food product, modelled by the parameters δ_2. Sensitivity analysis is also carried to determine the most significant parameters that influence the transmission dynamics. It is through these parameters that interventions can be designed and quantified.

Data Availability

No data used for this research work

Conflicts of Interest

Authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding Statement

No funding or grants utilised for this research work.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Faculty of Science in the University of Johannesburg and AIMS South Africa for their support in completing this project.

References

[1] Mateus, Teresa and Silva, Joana and Maia, Rui L and Teixeira, Paula, Listeriosis during pregnancy: a public health concern, ISRN Obstetrics and Gynecology, Vol. 2013 Hindawi Publishing Corporation, 2013.

[2] Heffernan, Jane M. and Robert J. Smith, and Lindi M. Wahl, Perspectives on the basic reproductive ratio, Journal of the Royal Society Interface, Vol.2, number 4, pages 281 - 293, 2005.

[3] Portnoy, Daniel A and Chakraborty, Trinad and Goebel, Werner and Cossart, Pascale, Molecular determinants of Listeria monocytogenes pathogenesis,Infection and Immunity, Vol.60, number 4, page1263, American Society for Microbiology (ASM), 1992.

[4] Manganye, Peter and Desai, B and Daka, M and Bismilla, R, Listeriosis in the city of Johannesburg, South Africa, Southern African Journal of Public Health, Vol. 2, number 3, pages 55 - 58, Health and Medical Publishing Group (HMPG), 2018.

[5] Ivanek, Renata and Groehn, Yrjoe T and Wiedmann, Martin and Wells, Martin T, Mathematical model of Listeria monocytogenes cross-contamination in a fish processing plant, Journal of Food Protection, Vol.67, pages 2688-2697, International Association for Food Protection, 2004.

[6] Schlech III, Walter F and Acheson, David, Foodborne Listeriosis, Clinical Infectious Diseases, Vol.31, pages 770-775, The University of Chicago Press, 2000.

[7] Cartwright, Emily J and Jackson, Kelly A and Johnson, Shacara D and Graves, Lewis M and Silk, Benjamin J and Mahon, Barbara E, Listeriosis outbreaks and associated food vehicles, United States, 1998–2008, Emerging Infectious Diseases, Vol. 19, number 1, page 1, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2013.

[8] Buchanan, Robert L and Stahl, Heidi G and Whiting, Richard C, Effects and interactions of temperature, pH, atmosphere, sodium chloride, and sodium nitrite on the growth of Listeria monocytogenes, Journal of Food Protection, Vol.52, number 12, pages 844 - 851, International Association for Food Protection,1989.

[9] C.W. Chukwu and F. Nyabadza, A mathematical model and optimal control for listeriosis disease from ready-to-eat food products. medRxiv,(2020), https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.10.11.20210856v1.

[10] C.W. Chukwu, J. Mushanyu, M.L. Juga,and Fatmawati, A mathematical model for co-dynamics of listeriosis and bacterial meningitis diseases, Commun. Math. Biol. Neurosci., 2020, pp.Article-ID (2020).

[11] Chukwu, C.W. and Nyabadza, F., 2021. Mathematical Modeling of Listeriosis Incorporating Effects of Awareness Programs. medRxiv, pp.2020-04.

[12] C. W. Chukwu, and F. Nyabadza, A theoretical model of listeriosis driven by cross contamination of ready-to-eat food products, Int. J. Math. & Math. Sci., 09 March 2020, pp.14 , (2020).

[13] Osman, Shaibu and Makinde, Oluwole Daniel and Theuri, David Mwangi, Stability analysis and modelling of Listeriosis dynamics in human and animal populations, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics,Vol.14, number 1, pages 115-137, 2018.

[14] Luber, Petra and Crerar, Scott and Dufour, Christophe and Farber, Jeff and Datta, Atin and Todd, Ewen CD, Controlling Listeria monocytogenes in ready-to-eat foods: working towards global scientific consensus and harmonization–recommendations for improved prevention and control, Food Control, Vol.22, number 9, pages 1535-1549, Elsevier, 2011.

[15] Buchanan, Robert L and Gorris, Leon GM and Hayman, Melinda M and Jackson, Timothy C and Whiting, Richard C, A review of Listeria monocytogenes: an update on outbreaks, virulence, dose-response, ecology, and risk assessments, Food Control, Vol.75, pages 1 - 13, Elsevier, 2017.

[16] Allerberger, F and Wagner, M, Listeriosis: a resurgent foodborne infection, Clinical Microbiology and Infection, Vol.16, number 1, pages 16 - 23, Wiley Online Library,2010.

[17] Rosshaug, Per Sand and Detmer, Ann and Ingmer, Hanne and Larsen, Marianne Halberg, Modeling the growth of Listeria monocytogenes in soft blue-white cheese, Applied and Environmental Microbiology, Vol.78, number 24, pages 8508 - 8514, Am Soc Microbiol, 2012.

[18] WHO, Working Group and others, Foodborne Listeriosis, Bulletin of the World Health Organization, Vol.66, number 4, page 421, World Health Organization, 1988.

[19] Janakiraman, Vanitha, Listeriosis in pregnancy: diagnosis, treatment, and prevention, Reviews in Obstetrics and Gynecology,Vol.1, number 4, page 179, MedReviews, LLC, 2008.

[20] Swaminathan, Bala and Gerner-Smidt, Peter, The epidemiology of human Listeriosis, Microbes and Infection, Vol.9, number 10, Elsevier, 2007.

[21] WHO, Listeriosis, https://www.who.int/csr/don/28-march-2018-listeriosis-south-africa/en/, 2018, Accessed April 2019.

[22] WHO, Listeriosis, https://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/listeriosis/en/, 2019, Accessed April 2019.

[23] Banasiak, Jacek and Lachowicz, Mirosław, Methods of small parameter in mathematical biology, Springer, 2014.

[24] Mckay MD, Beckman RJ, and Conover WJ, Comparison of three methods for selecting values of input variables in the analysis of output from a computer code, Technometrics 21, no. 2, pp.239–245, 1979.

[25] WHO, https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/listeriosis#:~:text=The%20symptoms%20include%20fever%2C%20myalgia,and%20up%20to%2090%20days. Accessed 15/05//2021.