Evidence from imaging resilience genetics for a protective mechanism against schizophrenia in the ventral visual pathway

- Meike D. Hettwer^{1,2}, Thomas M. Lancaster^{3,4}, Eva Raspor¹, Peter K. Hahn¹, Nina Roth Mota^{5,6},
 Wolf Singer^{7,8,9}, Andreas Reif¹, David E.J. Linden^{4,10}, Robert A. Bittner^{1,7}*
- 6 7

- ¹ Department of Psychiatry, Psychosomatic Medicine, and Psychotherapy and Brain Imaging
 Center, University Hospital Frankfurt, Goethe University, Frankfurt am Main, Germany.
- ² Max Planck School of Cognition, Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences
 (MPI CBS), Leipzig, Germany.
- ¹² ³ School of Psychology, Bath University, Bath, UK.
- ⁴ MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Division of Psychological Medicine
- and Clinical Neuroscience, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK.
- ⁵ Department of Human Genetics, Radboud University Medical Center, Donders Institute for
 Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- ⁶ Department of Psychiatry, Radboud University Medical Center, Donders Institute for Brain,
 Cognition and Behaviour, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- ⁷ Ernst Strüngmann Institute for Neuroscience (ESI) in Cooperation with Max Planck Society,
 Frankfurt am Main, Germany.
- ⁸ Max Planck Institute for Brain Research (MPI BR), Frankfurt am Main, Germany.
- ⁹ Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies (FIAS), Frankfurt am Main, Germany.
- ²³ ¹⁰ School for Mental Health and Neuroscience, Faculty of Health, Medicine and Life Sciences,
- 24 Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands.
- 25
- ²⁶ * Corresponding author. Department of Psychiatry, Psychosomatic Medicine and Psychotherapy,
- University Hospital Frankfurt, Goethe University, Heinrich-Hoffmann-Str. 10, D-60528 Frankfurt
 am Main, Germany.
- 29 Phone: +49 69 6301 84713. Fax: +49 69 6301 81775.
- 30 Email: bittner@med.uni-frankfurt.de

Abstract

- 31 32 Recently, the first genetic variants conferring resilience to schizophrenia have been identified. However, the neurobiological mechanisms underlying their protective effect 33 remain unknown. Current models implicate adaptive neuroplastic changes in the visual 34 system and their pro-cognitive effects in schizophrenia resilience. Here, we test the 35 hypothesis that comparable changes can emerge from schizophrenia resilience genes. To 36 this end, we used structural magnetic resonance imaging to investigate the effects of a 37 schizophrenia polygenic resilience score (PRS_{Resilience}) on cortical morphology (discovery 38 sample: n=101; UK Biobank replication sample: n=33,224). We observed positive 39 correlations between PRS_{Resilience} and cortical volume in the fusiform gyrus, a central hub 40 within the ventral visual pathway. Our findings indicate that resilience to schizophrenia 41 arises partly from genetically mediated enhancements of visual processing capacities for 42 social and non-social object information. This implies an important role of visual 43 information processing for mitigating schizophrenia risk, which might also be exploitable 44 for early intervention studies. 45
- 46 47
- 48

49 Introduction

50 The effective prevention of schizophrenia depends on a clear understanding of both risk 51 mechanisms and mechanisms of resilience, which confer protection from this debilitating 52 disorder. The emerging field of resilience research promises to provide novel pathways 53 toward improved treatment and prevention strategies for psychiatric disorders (*1*).

- Resilience describes the phenomenon that many people with considerable exposure to risk factors for mental disorders retain good mental health (2-4). Importantly, current concepts regard resilience as a dynamic process facilitating adjustments to potentially disabling stressors, rather than as a trait or stable personality profile (3, 4). Nevertheless, there is clear evidence that resilience factors which contribute to resilience capacity (2, 3) may well be genetically determined (2, 5, 6).
- Resilience research in schizophrenia needs to acknowledge its complex, decade-spanning 60 pathophysiological trajectory prominently involving neurodevelopmental disturbances (7) 61 and psychosocial stressors (8). Moreover, schizophrenia is a disorder of information 62 processing (9) with pervasive deficits in a broad range of cognitive domains including 63 perceptual impairments. Schizophrenia resilience mechanisms might thus act over 64 prolonged periods at multiple premorbid stages and should likely affect cognition. The 65 high heritability of schizophrenia (10) puts a particular emphasis on genetic mediators of 66 resilience. 67
- First successful attempts at addressing the genetic architecture of resilience (11) have 68 recently identified single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) moderating the penetrance of 69 established common genetic risk factors (12). These SNPs are therefore not simply 70 protective variants, i.e. the alternate alleles at risk loci, but rather variants independent 71 from and orthogonal to risk variants, addressing the concern that resilience must not 72 simply be defined as the flip side of vulnerability (2, 3). The resulting first polygenic 73 resilience score (PRS_{Resilience}) for schizophrenia (11) opens the opportunity to investigate 74 how genes conferring resilience to schizophrenia influence neural systems to exert their 75 76 protective effect.
- Evidence for other schizophrenia resilience factors that could inform empirical research
 remains scarce. However, a valuable clue comes from epidemiological studies of patients

with congenital or early (C/E) blindness. People affected by this condition appear to have 79 80 a reduced risk for developing schizophrenia (13, 14), a finding which was recently extended by the first whole-population-based study (15). This postulated protective effect 81 appears to be specific to schizophrenia and does not extend to other forms of sensory loss 82 83 including C/E deafblindness and blindness acquired later in life (13, 14). While this evidence should be regarded with caution due to the low base rates of both disorders (16), 84 it has led several researchers to propose that C/E blindness might be a resilience factor for 85 schizophrenia (13-15, 17, 18). Rather than to blindness per se, this has been attributed to 86 adaptive reorganization of the visual system triggered by C/E blindness and concurrent 87 improvements in cognitive functions that are impaired in schizophrenia (13, 14). C/E blind 88 individuals show increased gray matter in visual areas within the inferior occipital. 89 fusiform and lingual gyrus (19, 20). Yet, these profound changes only manifest in C/E 90 blind but not in late blind individuals (19, 20), supporting the notion that only early 91 reorganization of the visual system is protective for schizophrenia. The predictive coding 92 theory of brain function (21, 22) provides a valuable framework for the interpretation of 93 these findings. Converging evidence indicates that schizophrenia is characterized by a 94 decreased precision and stability of internal high-level priors relative to sensory 95 information. This provides a parsimonious account for important clinical and cognitive 96 aspects of the disorder (18, 23). It has been argued that adaptive reorganization occurring 97 in C/E blindness enhances the precision and stability of high-level and supramodal priors 98 (18). The resulting greater primacy of priors relative to sensory data should facilitate 99 resilience to schizophrenia. Together, these findings and models raise the question, 100 whether similar but more subtle changes of the visual system exerting a weaker protective 101 effect could also occur as a form of genetically mediated natural variation in sighted 102 individuals. 103

The involvement of the visual system in schizophrenia resilience mechanisms is also 104 implied by the prominent visual perceptual impairments featured in the disorder. They 105 encompass basic deficits in visual acuity and contrast sensitivity and subsequent 106 107 disturbances of perceptual organization (24). Visual impairments also perturb higher-order cognitive functions (25, 26) and constitute a strong predictor of psychosis transition in 108 high-risk individuals (27) and poor functional outcome in patients (28, 29). Notably, 109 abnormalities in both the dorsal and ventral visual pathway have been implicated (24, 30). 110 The visual brain and its two major subsystems thus emerge as promising targets in the 111 search for resilience-promoting mechanisms. This approach partly builds upon a 112 successful strategy for risk research in neuropsychiatric disorders. Genetic studies indicate 113 that rare but highly penetrant risk factors can inform us about the neurobiological 114 consequences of common genetic risk factors, which despite their lower penetrance tend 115 to affect the same pathophysiological pathways (31). C/E blindness as a potential, rare 116 resilience factor with a profound impact on the brain could thus point to similar but less 117 penetrant mechanisms of common resilience factors. Accordingly, SNPs conferring 118 resilience to schizophrenia might exert their protective influence partly by affecting 119 neuroplasticity in the dorsal and ventral visual pathways. To test this hypothesis and to 120 investigate the involvement of the visual system in schizophrenia resilience mechanisms, 121

122	we conducted an imaging genetics study using structural magnetic resonance imaging
123	(MRI) in healthy participants.

124

125 **Results**

126 Impact of polygenic resilience on cortical volume

Our imaging genetics approach investigating the effects of PRS_{Resilience} on brain structural 127 parameters in 101 healthy participants revealed a positive whole-brain correlation between 128 PRS_{Resilience} and cortical volume in the right fusiform gyrus (FFG) after correction for 129 multiple comparisons (MNI: X = 33.7; Y = -51.4, Z = -16.4; cluster size: 338.1 mm²; r =130 0.35; cluster-wise p = .0004; Fig. 1A). This cluster mapped directly on the mid-fusiform 131 sulcus (MFS; See Fig. 1 and Fig. S1) and showed a partial overlap with the fusiform face 132 area (FFA) (32). After extracting the corresponding values from the cluster in the fusiform 133 gyrus we found that $PRS_{Resilience}$ was significantly correlated with surface area (r = 0.35, 134 p < .001; Fig. 1B) but not with cortical thickness (r = 0.14, p = .17; Fig. 1C). We further 135 observed an impact of PRS_{Resilience} on left FFG volume at the initial CFT (p < .001), which, 136 however, did not survive multiple comparisons correction (cluster-wise p > .05) (see Fig. 137 S2). There was no significant correlation between PRS_{Resilience} and either surface area or 138 cortical thickness at the whole-brain level. 139

140

141 Findings from replication analysis in a UK Biobank sample

Because the findings in our discovery sample suggested a bilateral effect in the FFG which we could not fully confirm due to a lack of statistical power, our replication analysis focused on left and right FFG parcels (*33*) (Fig. 2A). In the UK Biobank sample, we observed a significant effect of PRS_{*Resilience*} on cortical volume (p = .006) and surface area (p = .026) in the left FFG, which was not present in the right FFG ($p_{volume} = .208$; $p_{surface area} = .343$). Mirroring the discovery sample, PRS_{*Resilience*} did not impact cortical thickness (see Fig. 2B).

149

150 Discussion

We investigated the neuroanatomical correlates of common genetic variants associated 151 with increased resilience to schizophrenia using structural MRI. We observed a positive 152 correlation between PRS_{Resilience} and cortical volume in the right FFG, a key component of 153 the ventral visual pathway. In the replication sample, we observed a correlation between 154 global left FFG volume and PRS_{Resilience}, which mirrors the cluster in the left FFG in the 155 discovery sample that did not survive multiple comparisons correction. Involvement of the 156 ventral visual pathway therefore appears not to be specific to one hemisphere. This is in 157 line with evidence that both hemispheres – while independently analyzing half of the 158 visual scene – combine their resources to jointly process objects at the fovea (34). 159 Resilience to schizophrenia thus appears to result from a general increase in ventral visual 160pathway processing resources. These findings provide the first direct evidence for a 161 schizophrenia resilience mechanism involving the visual system in line with existing 162 models derived from C/E blindness research. Understanding the mechanisms underlying 163 its protective effect requires a detailed assessment of the role of the FFG within the ventral 164 visual pathway. 165

166The ventral visual pathway is primarily involved in object recognition and categorization167(35, 36). Along this pathway, the FFG is an important relay between low level visual areas

in the occipital lobe and areas in the infero-temporal cortex and para-hippocampal gyrus 168 169 (35), which are considered the top levels in the visual processing hierarchy. Importantly, all areas of the ventral stream from the primary visual cortex all the way up to the infero-170 temporal cortex are reciprocally connected via feed-forward and feed-back connections, 171 communicating in parallel within various functional networks (35, 37). The cluster 172 observed in our discovery sample mapped directly onto the right MFS. This sulcus divides 173 the FFG into a lateral and medial part, the two moieties differing in cyto- and receptor-174 architectonics as well as their connectivity profiles within the VTC (38). The MFS further 175 forms the central axis of multiple lateral-to-medial functional gradients across the VTC. 176 These gradients relate to the eccentricity of the represented visual field, the size of objects, 177 their animacy and domain specificity (36, 39). Described characteristics are indicative for 178 the coexistence of functional networks devoted to the preferential processing of object 179 categories (36). The increased volume of both moieties of the FFG as observed in our data 180might thus be indicative of a global enhancement of functions facilitating the parallel 181 processing of complementary aspects of visual information (34). In the context of 182 predictive coding (21), this could imply greater resources for the disambiguation of 183 sensory evidence by capitalizing on the priors stored in the functional architecture of the 184 different processing streams. Notably, patients with schizophrenia are impaired in their 185 ability to use stored knowledge for the interpretation of sensory evidence (23). 186 Consequently, the embedding of sensory evidence in the context of a priori knowledge is 187 disturbed. A larger FFG could compensate for disturbances that impede prior based 188 evaluation of sensory information. This interpretation is well in line with the notion that 189 greater reliability of high-level priors might be protective against schizophrenia (18). 190

Considering potential pro-cognitive effects of these adaptations, increased FFG volume 191 may further contribute positively to resilience capacities through its involvement in 192 interpersonal communication and social cognition (39, 40). Our cluster showed 193 considerable overlap with the right FFA, the two subdivisions of which are located 194 approximately at anterior and posterior ends of the MFS (36, 38). The FFA is essential for 195 196 the processing of face-related information including the decoding of facial components for affect discrimination (39) and an important perceptual node of social cognitive networks 197 (40). In schizophrenia, reduced FFG volume acts as a structural neural substrate of various 198 perceptual and affective processing deficits associated with impaired social cognition and 199 reduced extraversion (41-44). Importantly, perceptual deficits such as difficulties to 200 decode complex facial configurations accompany and likely precede aberrant cognitive 201 and affective processing in impaired social cognition (40, 41, 43). FFG volume further 202 contributes reliably to discriminative predictive patterns of social functioning and 203 psychosis transition in high-risk individuals (45, 46). Our data indicate that genetically 204 mediated resilience mechanisms involving the FFA might increase social perceptual 205 processing capacities. This hypothesis is supported by reports of increased FFG volume in 206 healthy subjects after social cognitive training (47). Furthermore, larger FFG volume in 207 patients with schizophrenia appears to mediate the beneficial effects of cognitive training 208 on general neurocognition and social cognition in particular (48). Together, these findings 209 underscore that FFG volume increase is indeed associated with enhanced cognitive 210 capabilities in multiple domains. They are also compatible with the role of the FFG as a 211 central relay between low level visual and higher cognitive areas in support of a wide 212 array of non-social and social cognitive processes. 213

A crucial role of social cognition for resilience to schizophrenia is conceivable, as it constitutes a major predictor of functional outcome (40) and can compensate for the deleterious effects of neurocognitive deficits on daily life (49). A positive link between FFG volume and extraversion (50) further suggests an increased likelihood of beneficial

social interactions and quality of life (51). Together, these observations indicate that FFG
 related resilience mechanisms enhance cognitive functions which facilitate the embedding
 of individuals in their social environment, thus buffering against some of the effects of
 psychosocial stressors.

Expanded computational resources in the ventral visual pathway and resulting broad 222 cognitive benefits should also facilitate coping with stressors arising from sensory deficits. 223 Typically, resilience models emphasize the ability to cope with stressors such as 224 challenging life circumstances and physical illness (1, 3). However, perturbed visual 225 information processing in schizophrenia might in itself constitute a stressor. Characteristic 226 disturbances comprise a lower threshold for sensory overload (52), visual distortions (52, 227 53), reduced predictability of changes in the environment and resulting uncertainty 228 regarding adequate behavior (18) as well as psychotic experiences (54). These in turn have 229 detrimental effects on adaptive behavior and interpersonal interactions, together 230 constituting a fundamental stressor. Given the widespread deleterious impact of stress on 231 cognition (55), this might lead to a vicious cycle of increasing psychosocial stress, 232 aberrant information processing and psychosis proneness (56). Through increased 233 perceptual and cognitive capabilities, the resilience mechanisms implied by our data 234 should reduce stress induced by subtle cognitive impairments and increase the chances of 235 interrupting this vicious cycle. It remains to be clarified in future studies whether 236 increased FFG resources promote a resilience mechanism that directly antagonizes 237 potential cognitive disturbances or rather mitigates cognitive deficits by compensating for 238 disease related abnormalities. The latter would be consistent with the idea that, on the 239 neurobiological level, resilience does not simply reverse pathophysiological processes but 240 rather ameliorates the harmful consequences of stressors (1). 241

- Overall, our findings point towards a central role of visual cognition in schizophrenia 242 resilience as both a stressor and a coping mechanism. Furthermore, our results imply a 243 crucial link between the information processing disorder concept and the vulnerability-244 stress model (8, 9, 56) in the context of schizophrenia resilience genetics. Interestingly, 245 there is preliminary evidence for an involvement of the FFG and neighboring occipito-246 temporal areas in resilience against stress and adverse life events (57), trauma (58), and 247 bipolar disorder (59), emphasizing the potential transdiagnostic relevance of visual 248 cognition in coping mechanisms. 249
- Lastly, our data creates an interesting link to resilience models derived from C/E blindness 250 research. They suggest that SNPs associated with resilience to schizophrenia can drive 251 some of the neuroplastic changes in visual areas that are also observed in early 252 reorganization following C/E blindness (19, 20). The apparent convergence of such widely 253 different biological mechanisms on a similar intermediate phenotype underscores the 254 significance of the visual brain for schizophrenia resilience research. Importantly, this also 255 raises the possibility that similar protective adaptations might be inducible through 256 targeted interventions (54). However, such interventions would have to occur at early 257 stages of post-natal development when the visual system is susceptible to environmental 258 influences (60). This is suggested by the lack of a protective effect in late blindness (14), 259 the early start and prolonged trajectory of abnormal neurodevelopment in schizophrenia 260 (7) as well as the early manifestation of visual dysfunction in at-risk populations (61). 261
- 262Our findings provide a novel direction for schizophrenia resilience research by263demonstrating that resilience to the disorder might arise from genetic influences that act264on neural systems subserving elementary cognitive processes. However, the indirect265nature of the current evidence is an important limitation of our study. The cognitive266implications of our findings can so far only be inferred from existing evidence for an

267association of FFG volume and cognition and the crucial functional role of the FFG within268the ventral visual pathway. Conversely, we did not directly investigate the cognitive269effects of $PRS_{Resilience}$. Furthermore, the current imaging genetics approach cannot provide270evidence that individuals with higher $PRS_{Resilience}$ would indeed be more adaptable to the271specific stressors implicated by our findings. These questions need to be addressed in272future studies.

273 In conclusion, our study contributes to models of schizophrenia resilience by demonstrating an impact of genetic variants conferring protection from the disorder on 274 local brain structure in the visual system. Improved cognitive and functional capacities 275 that may result from these effects suggest a resilience mechanism linked to coping with 276 both the cognitive and psychosocial sequelae of stressors. Future studies should 277 investigate directly the individual contributions of the cognitive processes implicated by 278 our findings to schizophrenia resilience in healthy subjects and at-risk populations. 279 Moreover, it will be imperative to investigate the interaction between genetic and 280 environmental risk factors with resilience factors in order to fully realize the potential of 281 the presented resilience paradigm for the discovery of novel course-modifying and 282 preventive interventions for schizophrenia. 283

284

285 Methods and Materials

286 Participants

All subjects gave their written consent to participate in the study. The ethical review board 287 of the Faculty of Medicine at Goethe University Frankfurt granted ethics approval. We 288 obtained structural MRI and genetic data from 105 right-handed subjects with normal or 289 corrected to normal vision and no family or personal history of psychiatric disorders, 290 according to the German Version of the SCID-I questionnaire for DSM-IV (62). 291 Handedness was measured with the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory (63) and IQ was 292 determined using the MWT-B (64). We excluded four subjects due to excessive head 293 motion during scanning (n=1) or substantial reconstruction errors during MRI processing 294 (n=3), resulting in 101 subjects for the analysis (55 female; Mean age: 26.3 ± 4.71 years). 295

296

297 <u>Genotyping</u>

We collected venous blood samples for DNA extraction. Genotyping was performed using 298 custom Illumina HumanCoreExome-24 BeadChip genotyping arrays, which contain 299 570,038 genetic variants (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA). The Rapid Imputation and 300 Computational Pipeline (RICOPILI; (65)) was used for quality control, principal 301 component analysis and imputation. Individuals were excluded for ambiguous sex, cryptic 302 relatedness up to third degree relatives by identity of descent, genotyping completeness < 303 99 %, and non-European ethnicity admixture. SNPs were excluded where the minor allele 304 frequency was < 1 %, if the call rate was < 99 % or if the χ^2 -test for Hardy-Weinberg 305 Equilibrium had a p-value < 1 e-06. Before imputation, we assessed genetic homogeneity 306 in each sample using multi-dimensional scaling (MDS) analysis. We excluded ancestry 307 308 outliers through visual inspection of the first two components.

- 309
- 310 <u>Calculation of polygenic resilience scores</u>

We calculated schizophrenia $PRS_{Resilience}$ based on resilience loci identified by Hess et al. (11), who included 3786 high-risk resilient individuals and 18,619 patients at equal

- polygenic risk in their initial discovery sample. Calculations of polygenic scores were performed according to previously described protocols with PRSice software (v. 2.2.8;
- 315 (66)). Following the established PGC protocol (67), polygenic scores were clumped using 316 linkage disequilibrium (LD) and distance thresholds of $r^2 = 0.2$, within a 500 kb window.
- linkage disequilibrium (LD) and distance thresholds of $r^2 = 0.2$, within a 500 kb window. The major histocompatibility complex (MHC) region was excluded due to high LD (67).
- 317 The major insocompatibility complex (which region was excluded due to high ED (07). 318 We calculated PRS_{Resilience} based on SNPs thresholded at p < 0.05 (number of SNPs =
- 7818) because, among nominally significant PRS_{*Resilience*} reported, SNPs included at this
- 320 threshold were shown to explain the most variance (11).
- 321

322 MRI data acquisition and processing

- We acquired structural MRI data on a 3T Trio MR-scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) using a high-resolution T1-weighted Modified Driven Equilibrium Fourier Transform (MDEFT) sequence (68) (voxel size: $1 \times 1 \times 1 \text{ mm}^3$; TR = 7.92; TE = 2.4; TI = 910 ms; FOV = 256 x 256 mm²; number of slices per volume = 176; flip angle = 15°, slice thickness = 1 mm). Head motion was minimized by pillows.
- We used FreeSurfer (version 6.0.1; http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu) for semi-automated 328 preprocessing and surface reconstruction, using bias-corrected T1-weighted anatomical 329 scans as the input (See Supplementary Materials). Surface reconstructions were manually 330 checked and corrected following the editing guidelines provided on the FreeSurferWiki 331 (http://freesurfer.net/fswiki/Edits). Measures of cortical thickness, cortical volume and 332 surface area were computed using the algorithms readily available in FreeSurfer software 333 (69). Surface maps were smoothed using a surface-based 10-mm full-width-half-334 maximum smoothing kernel. 335
- 336

337 <u>Statistical Group Analysis</u>

Three general linear models (GLMs) were applied at each vertex to estimate the 338 relationship between PRS_{Resilience} and three anatomical parameters: Cortical thickness, 339 cortical volume, and surface area. Total intracranial volume (ICV) and age were included 340 as covariates in partial correlation analyses. PRS_{Resilience}, age and ICV were demeaned to 341 allow for better model fit. To correct for multiple comparisons, we used surface-based 342 cluster-size exclusion as implemented in FreeSurfer (70). We applied the recommended 343 initial cluster-forming threshold (CFT) (p < .001) and performed Monte Carlo simulations 344 (10 000 iterations; cluster-wise p < .05, adjusted for testing the two hemispheres 345 346 separately, i.e. p < .025). These statistical parameters have been shown to protect sufficiently from type 1 errors (71). 347

348

349 <u>UK Biobank Replication Analysis</u>

To test the replicability of our findings, we performed additional analyses in a UK 350 Biobank general population sample (72). Here, we used only the summary statistics for the 351 traits. This polygenic score approach utilizes the well-established 'gtx' method (73, 74), 352 which is equivalent to the "inverse variance weighted" approach in MRI studies. 353 However, in a polygenic score analysis there are no stringent inclusion criteria for genetic 354 variants: we do not require the variants to be strongly associated with the disease and 355 pleiotropic effects are allowed. We tested the association between PRS_{Resilience} and 356 fusiform gyrus metrics (surface area, thickness, volume). The analysis was based on 357 genome wide association studies (GWAS) summary statistics 358

(https://open.win.ox.ac.uk/ukbiobank/big40/pheweb33k/phenotypes/) in a sample 359 comprising 33,224 individuals available for Desikan-Killiany parcels (33). All GWAS 360 summary data was corrected for demographic and genetic confounds (75). PRS_{Resilience} 361 were created using PRSice v1.25 risk profile software (66) with a stringent clumping 362 procedure [clump.kb = 1000 kb, clump.r2 = 0.01] to remove correlated alleles. SNPs in 363 the 1000 Genomes Project (phase 3) were used as reference data. We included SNPs 364 thresholded at p < 0.05 from the schizophrenia resilience GWAS (11). Variants within the 365 366 MHC were removed from data (chr 6: 26,000–34,000 kb).

References

3	68	68

369 370 371	1.	A. Feder, S. Fred-Torres, S. M. Southwick, D. S. Charney, The Biology of Human Resilience: Opportunities for Enhancing Resilience Across the Life Span. Biol. Psychiatry. 86, 443–453 (2019).
372 373	2.	K. W. Choi, M. B. Stein, E. C. Dunn, K. C. Koenen, J. W. Smoller, Genomics and psychological resilience: a research agenda. Mol. Psychiatry. 24, 1770–1778 (2019).
 374 375 376 377 378 379 380 381 	3.	 R. Kalisch, D. G. Baker, U. Basten, M. P. Boks, G. A. Bonanno, E. Brummelman, A. Chmitorz, G. Fernàndez, C. J. Fiebach, I. Galatzer-Levy, E. Geuze, S. Groppa, I. Helmreich, T. Hendler, E. J. Hermans, T. Jovanovic, T. Kubiak, K. Lieb, B. Lutz, M. B. Müller, R. J. Murray, C. M. Nievergelt, A. Reif, K. Roelofs, B. P. F. Rutten, D. Sander, A. Schick, O. Tüscher, I. Van Diest, A. L. Van Harmelen, I. M. Veer, E. Vermetten, C. H. Vinkers, T. D. Wager, H. Walter, M. Wessa, M. Wibral, B. Kleim, The resilience framework as a strategy to combat stress-related disorders. Nat. Hum. Behav. 1, 784–790 (2017).
382 383 384	4.	G. S. Malhi, P. Das, E. Bell, G. Mattingly, Z. Mannie, Modelling resilience in adolescence and adversity: a novel framework to inform research and practice. Transl. Psychiatry. 9, 316 (2019).
385 386	5.	A. B. Amstadter, J. M. Myers, K. S. Kendler, Psychiatric resilience: Longitudinal twin study. Br. J. Psychiatry. 205, 275–280 (2014).
387 388 389 390	6.	A. P. Wingo, L. M. Almli, J. S. Stevens, T. Jovanovic, T. S. Wingo, G. Tharp, Y. Li, A. Lori, M. Briscione, P. Jin, E. B. Binder, B. Bradley, G. Gibson, K. J. Ressler, Genome-wide association study of positive emotion identifies a genetic variant and a role for microRNAs. Mol. Psychiatry. 22, 774–783 (2017).
391 392 393	7.	S. Marenco, D. R. Weinberger, The neurodevelopmental hypothesis of schizophrenia: Following a trail of evidence from cradle to grave. Dev. Psychopathol. 12, 501–527 (2000).
394 395	8.	K. H. Nuechterlein, Vulnerability / Stress Model of Schizophrenic Episodes. Schizophr. Bull. 10, 300–312 (1982).
396 397 398	9.	K. H. Nuechterlein, M. E. Dawson, M. F. Green, Information-processing abnormalities as neuropsychological vulnerability indicators for schizophrenia. Acta Psychiatr. Scand. 90, 71–79 (1994).
399 400 401	10.	R. Hilker, D. Helenius, B. Fagerlund, A. Skytthe, K. Christensen, T. M. Werge, M. Nordentoft, B. Glenthøj, Heritability of Schizophrenia and Schizophrenia Spectrum Based on the Nationwide Danish Twin Register. Biol. Psychiatry. 83, 492–498 (2018).
402 403 404 405 406 407	11.	 J. L. Hess, D. S. Tylee, M. Mattheisen, A. D. Børglum, T. D. Als, J. Grove, T. Werge, P. B. Mortensen, O. Mors, M. Nordentoft, D. M. Hougaard, J. Byberg-Grauholm, M. Bækvad-Hansen, T. A. Greenwood, M. T. Tsuang, D. Curtis, S. Steinberg, E. Sigurdsson, H. Stefánsson, K. Stefánsson, H. J. Edenberg, P. Holmans, S. V. Faraone, S. J. Glatt, A polygenic resilience score moderates the genetic risk for schizophrenia. Mol. Psychiatry. 26, 800–815 (2021).
408 409 410 411	12.	A. F. Pardiñas, P. Holmans, A. J. Pocklington, V. Escott-Price, S. Ripke, N. Carrera, S. E. Legge, S. Bishop, D. Cameron, M. L. Hamshere, J. Han, L. Hubbard, A. Lynham, K. Mantripragada, E. Rees, J. H. MacCabe, S. A. McCarroll, B. T. Baune, G. Breen, E. M. Byrne, U. Dannlowski, T. C. Eley, C. Hayward, N. G. Martin, A. M. McIntosh, R. Plomin,
		Page 10 of 19

 412 413 414 415 416 417 418 419 420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 		 D. J. Porteous, N. R. Wray, A. Caballero, D. H. Geschwind, L. M. Huckins, D. M. Ruderfer, E. Santiago, P. Sklar, E. A. Stahl, H. Won, E. Agerbo, T. D. Als, O. A. Andreassen, M. Bækvad-Hansen, P. B. Mortensen, C. B. Pedersen, A. D. Børglum, J. Bybjerg-Grauholm, S. Djurovic, N. Durmishi, M. G. Pedersen, V. Golimbet, J. Grove, D. M. Hougaard, M. Mattheisen, E. Molden, O. Mors, M. Nordentoft, M. Pejovic- Milovancevic, E. Sigurdsson, T. Silagadze, C. S. Hansen, K. Stefansson, H. Stefansson, S. Steinberg, S. Tosato, T. Werge, D. Harold, R. Sims, A. Gerrish, J. Chapman, R. Abraham, P. Hollingworth, J. Pahwa, N. Denning, C. Thomas, S. Taylor, J. Powell, P. Proitsi, M. Lupton, S. Lovestone, P. Passmore, D. Craig, B. McGuinness, J. Johnston, S. Todd, W. Maier, F. Jessen, R. Heun, B. Schurmann, A. Ramirez, T. Becker, C. Herold, A. Lacour, D. Drichel, M. Nothen, A. Goate, C. Cruchaga, P. Nowotny, J. C. Morris, K. Mayo, M. O'Donovan, M. Owen, J. Williams, E. Achilla, C. L. Barr, T. W. Böttger, D. Cohen, S. Curran, E. Dempster, D. Dima, R. Sabes-Figuera, R. J. Flanagan, S. Frangou, J. Frank, C. Gasse, F. Gaughran, I. Giegling, E. Hannon, A. M. Hartmann, B. Heißerer, M. Helthuis, H. T. Horsdal, O. Ingimarsson, K. Jollie, J. L. Kennedy, O. Köhler, B. Konte, M. Lang, C. Lewis, J. MacCaba, A. K. Malhotra, P. McCrone, S. M. Meier, J. Mill, M. M. Nöthen, C. B. Pedersen, M. Rietschel, D. Rujescu, A. Schwalber, H. J. Sørensen, B. Spencer, H. Støvring, J. Strohmaier, P. Sullivan, E. Vassos, M. Verbelen, D. A. Collier, G. Kirov, M. J. Owen, M. C. O'Donovan, J. T. R. Walters, Common schizophrenia alleles are enriched in mutation-intolerant genes and in regions under strong background selection. Nat. Genet. 50, 381–389 (2018).
433 434	13.	E. Leivada, C. Boeckx, Schizophrenia and cortical blindness: Protective effects and implications for language. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 8, 1–12 (2014).
435 436 437	14.	S. M. Silverstein, Y. Wang, B. P. Keane, Cognitive and Neuroplasticity Mechanisms by Which Congenital or Early Blindness May Confer a Protective Effect Against Schizophrenia. Front. Psychol. 3, 1327–1328 (2013).
438 439 440	15.	V. A. Morgan, M. Clark, J. Crewe, G. Valuri, D. A. Mackey, J. C. Badcock, A. Jablensky, Congenital blindness is protective for schizophrenia and other psychotic illness. A whole-population study. Schizophr. Res. 202, 414–416 (2018).
441 442 443	16.	O. H. Jefsen, L. V. Petersen, T. Bek, S. D. Østergaard, Is Early Blindness Protective of Psychosis or Are We Turning a Blind Eye to the Lack of Statistical Power? Schizophr. Bull. 46, 1335–1336 (2020).
444 445 446	17.	S. Landgraf, M. Osterheider, "To see or not to see: that is the question." The "Protection-Against-Schizophrenia" (PaSZ) model: evidence from congenital blindness and visuo-cognitive aberrations. Front. Psychol. 4, 1–23 (2013).
447 448	18.	T. A. Pollak, P. R. Corlett, Blindness, Psychosis, and the Visual Construction of the World. Schizophr. Bull. 46, 1418–1425 (2019).
449 450	19.	P. Voss, B. G. Pike, R. J. Zatorre, Evidence for both compensatory plastic and disuse atrophy-related neuroanatomical changes in the blind. Brain. 137, 1224–1240 (2014).
451 452 453	20.	H. J. Park, J. D. Lee, E. Y. Kim, B. Park, M. K. Oh, S. C. Lee, J. J. Kim, Morphological alterations in the congenital blind based on the analysis of cortical thickness and surface area. Neuroimage. 47, 98–106 (2009).
454 455	21.	K. Friston, A theory of cortical responses. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 360, 815–836 (2005).

456 457 458	22.	R. P. N. Rao, D. H. Ballard, Predictive coding in the visual cortex: a functional interpretation of some extra-classical receptive-field effects. Nat. Neurosci. 2, 79–87 (1999).
459 460 461	23.	P. Sterzer, R. A. Adams, P. Fletcher, C. Frith, S. M. Lawrie, L. Muckli, P. Petrovic, P. Uhlhaas, M. Voss, P. R. Corlett, The Predictive Coding Account of Psychosis. Biol. Psychiatry. 84, 634–643 (2018).
462 463	24.	P. D. Butler, S. M. Silverstein, S. C. Dakin, Visual Perception and Its Impairment in Schizophrenia. Biol. Psychiatry. 64, 40–47 (2008).
464 465 466	25.	C. Haenschel, R. A. Bittner, F. Haertling, A. Rotarska-Jagiela, K. Maurer, W. Singer, D. E. J. Linden, Contribution of Impaired Early-Stage Visual Processing to Working Memory Dysfunction in Adolescents With Schizophrenia. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry. 64, 1229 (2007).
467 468 469 470	26.	 R. A. Bittner, D. E. J. Linden, A. Roebroeck, F. Härtling, A. Rotarska-Jagiela, K. Maurer, R. Goebel, W. Singer, C. Haenschel, The When and Where of Working Memory Dysfunction in Early-Onset Schizophrenia—A Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Study. Cereb. Cortex. 25, 2494–2506 (2015).
471 472 473	27.	E. W. Schubert, K. M. Henriksson, T. F. McNeil, A prospective study of offspring of women with psychosis: visual dysfunction in early childhood predicts schizophrenia-spectrum disorders in adulthood. Acta Psychiatr. Scand. 112, 385–393 (2005).
474 475 476	28.	M. F. Green, G. Hellemann, W. P. Horan, J. Lee, J. K. Wynn, From perception to functional outcome in schizophrenia: modeling the role of ability and motivation. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry. 69, 1216–24 (2012).
477 478 479	29.	Y. Rassovsky, W. P. Horan, J. Lee, M. J. Sergi, M. F. Green, Pathways between early visual processing and functional outcome in schizophrenia. Psychol. Med. 41, 487–97 (2011).
480 481 482 483	30.	Y. Deng, K. Liu, D. Cheng, J. Zhang, H. Chen, B. Chen, Y. Li, W. Wang, Y. Kong, G. Wen, Ventral and dorsal visual pathways exhibit abnormalities of static and dynamic connectivities, respectively, in patients with schizophrenia. Schizophr. Res. 206, 103–110 (2019).
484 485 486 487	31.	K. E. Tansey, E. Rees, D. E. Linden, S. Ripke, K. D. Chambert, J. L. Moran, S. A. McCarroll, P. Holmans, G. Kirov, J. Walters, M. J. Owen, M. C. O'Donovan, Common alleles contribute to schizophrenia in CNV carriers. Mol. Psychiatry. 21, 1085–1089 (2016).
488 489	32.	N. Kanwisher, J. McDermott, M. M. Chun, The Fusiform Face Area: A Module in Human Extrastriate Cortex Specialized for Face Perception. J. Neurosci. 17, 4302–4311 (1997).
490 491 492 493	33.	R. S. Desikan, F. Ségonne, B. Fischl, B. T. Quinn, B. C. Dickerson, D. Blacker, R. L. Buckner, A. M. Dale, R. P. Maguire, B. T. Hyman, M. S. Albert, R. J. Killiany, An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. Neuroimage. 31, 968–980 (2006).
494 495	34.	G. A. Rousselet, S. J. Thorpe, M. Fabre-Thorpe, How parallel is visual processing in the ventral pathway? Trends Cogn. Sci. 8, 363–370 (2004).
496 497 498	35.	D. J. Kravitz, K. S. Saleem, C. I. Baker, L. G. Ungerleider, M. Mishkin, The ventral visual pathway: an expanded neural framework for the processing of object quality. Trends Cogn. Sci. 17, 26–49 (2013).

36. K. Grill-Spector, K. S. Weiner, The functional architecture of the ventral temporal cortex 499 500 and its role in categorization. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 15, 536–548 (2014). 37. N. T. Markov, H. Kennedy, The importance of being hierarchical. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 501 23, 187–194 (2013). 502 38. K. S. Weiner, The Mid-Fusiform Sulcus (sulcus sagittalis gyri fusiformis). Anat. Rec. 503 302, 1491–1503 (2019). 504 39. N. Kanwisher, G. Yovel, The fusiform face area: a cortical region specialized for the 505 perception of faces. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 361, 2109-2128 (2006). 506 40. M. F. Green, W. P. Horan, J. Lee, Social cognition in schizophrenia. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 507 16, 620–631 (2015). 508 509 41. Y. Chen, T. Ekstrom, Visual and Associated Affective Processing of Face Information in Schizophrenia: A Selective Review. Curr. Psychiatry Rev. 11, 266–272 (2015). 510 42. A. E. Pinkham, J. B. Hopfinger, K. A. Pelphrey, J. Piven, D. L. Penn, Neural bases for 511 impaired social cognition in schizophrenia and autism spectrum disorders. Schizophr. Res. 512 99, 164–175 (2008). 513 43. D. C. Javitt, When doors of perception close: Bottom-up models of disrupted cognition in 514 schizophrenia. Annu. Rev. Clin. Psychol. 5, 249–275 (2009). 515 T. Onitsuka, M. A. Niznikiewicz, K. M. Spencer, M. Frumin, N. Kuroki, L. C. Lucia, M. 516 44. E. Shenton, R. W. McCarley, Functional and structural deficits in brain regions subserving 517 518 face perception in schizophrenia. Am. J. Psychiatry. 163, 455–62 (2006). 45. N. Koutsouleris, L. Kambeitz-Ilankovic, S. Ruhrmann, M. Rosen, A. Ruef, D. B. Dwyer, 519 M. Paolini, K. Chisholm, J. Kambeitz, T. Haidl, A. Schmidt, J. Gillam, F. Schultze-Lutter, 520 P. Falkai, M. Reiser, A. Riecher-Rössler, R. Upthegrove, J. Hietala, R. K. R. Salokangas, 521 C. Pantelis, E. Meisenzahl, S. J. Wood, D. Beque, P. Brambilla, S. Borgwardt, Prediction 522 Models of Functional Outcomes for Individuals in the Clinical High-Risk State for 523 Psychosis or with Recent-Onset Depression: A Multimodal, Multisite Machine Learning 524 Analysis. JAMA Psychiatry. 75, 1156–1172 (2018). 525 S. de Wit, T. B. Ziermans, M. Nieuwenhuis, P. F. Schothorst, H. van Engeland, R. S. 46. 526 Kahn, S. Durston, H. G. Schnack, Individual prediction of long-term outcome in 527 adolescents at ultra-high risk for psychosis: Applying machine learning techniques to 528 brain imaging data. Hum. Brain Mapp. 38, 704–714 (2017). 529 47. B. Kreifelts, H. Jacob, C. Brück, M. Erb, T. Ethofer, D. Wildgruber, Non-verbal emotion 530 communication training induces specific changes in brain function and structure. Front. 531 Hum. Neurosci. 7, 648 (2013). 532 48. S. M. Eack, G. E. Hogarty, R. Y. Cho, K. M. R. Prasad, D. P. Greenwald, S. S. Hogarty, 533 M. S. Keshavan, Neuroprotective Effects of Cognitive Enhancement Therapy Against 534 535 Gray Matter Loss in Early Schizophrenia. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry. 67, 674 (2010). 49. A.-K. J. Fett, W. Viechtbauer, M.-G. Dominguez, D. L. Penn, J. van Os, L. Krabbendam, 536 The relationship between neurocognition and social cognition with functional outcomes in 537 schizophrenia: A meta-analysis. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 35, 573-588 (2011). 538 T. Onitsuka, P. G. Nestor, R. J. Gurrera, M. E. Shenton, K. Kasai, M. Frumin, M. A. 50. 539 Niznikiewicz, R. W. McCarley, Association between reduced extraversion and right 540 posterior fusiform gyrus gray matter reduction in chronic schizophrenia. Am. J. 541 Psychiatry. 162, 599-601 (2005). 542

543 544 545	51.	C. Ridgewell, J. U. Blackford, M. McHugo, S. Heckers, Personality traits predicting quality of life and overall functioning in schizophrenia. Schizophr. Res. 182, 19–23 (2017).
546 547 548	52.	I. Kiss, Á. Fábián, G. Benedek, S. Kéri, When doors of perception open: Visual contrast sensitivity in never-medicated, first-episode schizophrenia. J. Abnorm. Psychol. 119, 586–593 (2010).
549 550 551	53.	S. Kéri, I. Kiss, O. Kelemen, G. Benedek, Z. Janka, Anomalous visual experiences, negative symptoms, perceptual organization and the magnocellular pathway in schizophrenia: a shared construct? Psychol. Med. 35, 1445–1455 (2005).
552 553 554 555 556	54.	S. M. Silverstein, A. R. Seitz, A. O. Ahmed, J. L. Thompson, V. Zemon, M. Gara, P. D. Butler, C. Boulevard, L. West, H. L. West, W. Plains, Y. P. Hospital, W. Plains, C. Professions, R. W. Johnson, O. Road, Development and Evaluation of a Visual Remediation Intervention for People with Schizophrenia. J. Psychiatry Brain Sci. 4, e200017 (2020).
557 558	55.	A. F. T. Arnsten, Stress weakens prefrontal networks: molecular insults to higher cognition. Nat. Neurosci. 18, 1376–1385 (2015).
559 560	56.	O. D. Howes, R. M. Murray, Schizophrenia: an integrated sociodevelopmental-cognitive model. Lancet. 383, 1677–1687 (2014).
561 562	57.	M. Kahl, G. Wagner, F. de la Cruz, S. Köhler, C. C. Schultz, Resilience and cortical thickness: a MRI study. Eur. Arch. Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci. 270, 533–539 (2020).
563 564 565 566 567	58.	S. J. A. Van der Werff, J. N. Pannekoek, I. M. Veer, M. J. van Tol, A. Aleman, D. J. Veltman, F. G. Zitman, S. A. R. B. Rombouts, B. M. Elzinga, N. J. A. van der Wee, Resilience to childhood maltreatment is associated with increased resting-state functional connectivity of the salience network with the lingual gyrus. Child Abus. Negl. 37, 1021–1029 (2013).
568 569	59.	S. Frangou, Neuroimaging Markers of Risk, Disease Expression, and Resilience to Bipolar Disorder. Curr. Psychiatry Rep. 21, 52 (2019).
570 571	60.	W. Singer, Development and Plasticity of Cortical Processing Architectures. Science (80). 270, 758–764 (1995).
572 573	61.	J. Klosterkötter, M. Hellmich, E. M. Steinmeyer, F. Schultze-Lutter, Diagnosing Schizophrenia in the Initial Prodromal Phase. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry. 58, 158–164 (2001).
574 575	62.	H. Sass, H. Wittchen, Diagnostisches und Statistisches Manual Psychischer Störungen (Hogrefe, Bern, Switzerland, 2003).
576 577	63.	R. C. Oldfield, The Assessment and Analysis of Handedness: The Edinburgh Inventory. Neuropsychologia. 9, 97–113 (1971).
578 579	64.	S. Lehrl, G. Triebig, B. Fischer, Multiple choice vocabulary test MWT as a valid and short test to estimate premorbid intelligence. Acta Neurol. Scand. 91, 335–345 (1995).
580 581 582 583 584	65.	M. Lam, S. Awasthi, H. J. Watson, J. Goldstein, G. Panagiotaropoulou, V. Trubetskoy, R. Karlsson, O. Frei, CC. Fan, W. De Witte, N. R. Mota, N. Mullins, K. Brügger, S. H. Lee, N. R. Wray, N. Skarabis, H. Huang, B. Neale, M. J. Daly, M. Mattheisen, R. Walters, S. Ripke, RICOPILI: Rapid Imputation for COnsortias PIpeLIne. Bioinformatics. 36, 930–933 (2019).
585 586	66.	J. Euesden, C. M. Lewis, P. F. O'Reilly, PRSice: Polygenic Risk Score software. Bioinformatics. 31, 1466–1468 (2015).

67. S. Ripke, B. M. Neale, A. Corvin, J. T. R. Walters, K. H. Farh, P. A. Holmans, P. Lee, B. 587 588 Bulik-Sullivan, D. A. Collier, H. Huang, T. H. Pers, I. Agartz, E. Agerbo, M. Albus, M. Alexander, F. Amin, S. A. Bacanu, M. Begemann, R. A. Belliveau, J. Bene, S. E. Bergen, 589 E. Bevilacqua, T. B. Bigdeli, D. W. Black, R. Bruggeman, N. G. Buccola, R. L. Buckner, 590 W. Byerley, W. Cahn, G. Cai, D. Campion, R. M. Cantor, V. J. Carr, N. Carrera, S. V. 591 Catts, K. D. Chambert, R. C. K. Chan, R. Y. L. Chen, E. Y. H. Chen, W. Cheng, E. F. C. 592 Cheung, S. A. Chong, C. R. Cloninger, D. Cohen, N. Cohen, P. Cormican, N. Craddock, J. 593 594 J. Crowley, D. Curtis, M. Davidson, K. L. Davis, F. Degenhardt, J. Del Favero, D. Demontis, D. Dikeos, T. Dinan, S. Djurovic, G. Donohoe, E. Drapeau, J. Duan, F. 595 Dudbridge, N. Durmishi, P. Eichhammer, J. Eriksson, V. Escott-Price, L. Essioux, A. H. 596 Fanous, M. S. Farrell, J. Frank, L. Franke, R. Freedman, N. B. Freimer, M. Friedl, J. I. 597 Friedman, M. Fromer, G. Genovese, L. Georgieva, I. Giegling, P. Giusti-Rodríguez, S. 598 Godard, J. I. Goldstein, V. Golimbet, S. Gopal, J. Gratten, L. De Haan, C. Hammer, M. L. 599 Hamshere, M. Hansen, T. Hansen, V. Haroutunian, A. M. Hartmann, F. A. Henskens, S. 600 Herms, J. N. Hirschhorn, P. Hoffmann, A. Hofman, M. V. Hollegaard, D. M. Hougaard, 601 M. Ikeda, I. Joa, A. Julià, R. S. Kahn, L. Kalaydjieva, S. Karachanak-Yankova, J. 602 Karjalainen, D. Kavanagh, M. C. Keller, J. L. Kennedy, A. Khrunin, Y. Kim, J. Klovins, J. 603 A. Knowles, B. Konte, V. Kucinskas, Z. A. Kucinskiene, H. Kuzelova-Ptackova, A. K. 604 Kähler, C. Laurent, J. L. C. Keong, S. H. Lee, S. E. Legge, B. Lerer, M. Li, T. Li, K. Y. 605 Liang, J. Lieberman, S. Limborska, C. M. Loughland, J. Lubinski, J. Lönnqvist, M. 606 Macek, P. K. E. Magnusson, B. S. Maher, W. Maier, J. Mallet, S. Marsal, M. Mattheisen, 607 M. Mattingsdal, R. W. McCarley, C. McDonald, A. M. McIntosh, S. Meier, C. J. Meijer, 608 B. Melegh, I. Melle, R. I. Mesholam-Gately, A. Metspalu, P. T. Michie, L. Milani, V. 609 Milanova, Y. Mokrab, D. W. Morris, O. Mors, K. C. Murphy, R. M. Murray, I. Myin-610 Germeys, B. Müller-Myhsok, M. Nelis, I. Nenadic, D. A. Nertney, G. Nestadt, K. K. 611 Nicodemus, L. Nikitina-Zake, L. Nisenbaum, A. Nordin, E. O'Callaghan, C. O'Dushlaine, 612 F. A. O'Neill, S. Y. Oh, A. Olincy, L. Olsen, J. Van Os, C. Pantelis, G. N. Papadimitriou, 613 S. Papiol, E. Parkhomenko, M. T. Pato, T. Paunio, M. Pejovic-Milovancevic, D. O. 614 Perkins, O. Pietiläinen, J. Pimm, A. J. Pocklington, J. Powell, A. Price, A. E. Pulver, S. M. 615 Purcell, D. Quested, H. B. Rasmussen, A. Reichenberg, M. A. Reimers, A. L. Richards, J. 616 L. Roffman, P. Roussos, D. M. Ruderfer, V. Salomaa, A. R. Sanders, U. Schall, C. R. 617 Schubert, T. G. Schulze, S. G. Schwab, E. M. Scolnick, R. J. Scott, L. J. Seidman, J. Shi, 618 E. Sigurdsson, T. Silagadze, J. M. Silverman, K. Sim, P. Slominsky, J. W. Smoller, H. C. 619 So, C. C. A. Spencer, E. A. Stahl, H. Stefansson, S. Steinberg, E. Stogmann, R. E. Straub, 620 E. Strengman, J. Strohmaier, T. S. Stroup, M. Subramaniam, J. Suvisaari, D. M. Svrakic, 621 J. P. Szatkiewicz, E. Söderman, S. Thirumalai, D. Toncheva, S. Tosato, J. Veijola, J. 622 Waddington, D. Walsh, D. Wang, O. Wang, B. T. Webb, M. Weiser, D. B. Wildenauer, N. 623 M. Williams, S. Williams, S. H. Witt, A. R. Wolen, E. H. M. Wong, B. K. Wormley, H. S. 624 Xi, C. C. Zai, X. Zheng, F. Zimprich, N. R. Wray, K. Stefansson, P. M. Visscher, R. 625 Adolfsson, O. A. Andreassen, D. H. R. Blackwood, E. Bramon, J. D. Buxbaum, A. D. 626 Børglum, S. Cichon, A. Darvasi, E. Domenici, H. Ehrenreich, T. Esko, P. V. Gejman, M. 627 Gill, H. Gurling, C. M. Hultman, N. Iwata, A. V. Jablensky, E. G. Jönsson, K. S. Kendler, 628 629 G. Kirov, J. Knight, T. Lencz, D. F. Levinson, Q. S. Li, J. Liu, A. K. Malhotra, S. A. McCarroll, A. McQuillin, J. L. Moran, P. B. Mortensen, B. J. Mowry, M. M. Nöthen, R. 630 A. Ophoff, M. J. Owen, A. Palotie, C. N. Pato, T. L. Petryshen, D. Posthuma, M. 631 Rietschel, B. P. Riley, D. Rujescu, P. C. Sham, P. Sklar, D. St Clair, D. R. Weinberger, J. 632 R. Wendland, T. Werge, M. J. Daly, P. F. Sullivan, M. C. O'Donovan, Biological insights 633 from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. Nature. 511, 421–427 (2014). 634

635	68.	R. Deichmann, C. Schwarzbauer, R. Turner, Optimisation of the 3D MDEFT sequence for
636		anatomical brain imaging: technical implications at 1.5 and 3 T. Neuroimage. 21, 757-767
637		(2004).

- 63869.B. Fischl, A. M. Dale, Measuring the thickness of the human cerebral cortex from639magnetic resonance images. PNAS. 97, 11050–11055 (2000).
- D. J. Hagler, A. P. Saygin, M. I. Sereno, Smoothing and cluster thresholding for cortical
 surface-based group analysis of fMRI data. Neuroimage. 33, 1093–1103 (2006).
- D. N. Greve, B. Fischl, False positive rates in surface-based anatomical analysis.
 Neuroimage. 171, 6–14 (2018).
- K. L. Miller, F. Alfaro-Almagro, N. K. Bangerter, D. L. Thomas, E. Yacoub, J. Xu, A. J.
 Bartsch, S. Jbabdi, S. N. Sotiropoulos, J. L. R. Andersson, L. Griffanti, G. Douaud, T. W.
 Okell, P. Weale, I. Dragonu, S. Garratt, S. Hudson, R. Collins, M. Jenkinson, P. M.
 Matthews, S. M. Smith, Multimodal population brain imaging in the UK Biobank
 prospective epidemiological study. Nat. Neurosci. 19, 1523–1536 (2016).
- 649 73. Johnson T, Package 'gtx' (2013).
- J. T. International Consortium for Blood Pressure Genome-Wide Association Studies,
 Ehret GB, Munroe PB, Rice KM, Bochud M, Johnson AD, Chasman DI, Smith AV, Tobin
 MD, Verwoert GC, Hwang SJ, Pihur V, Vollenweider P, O'Reilly PF, Amin N, BraggGresham JL, Teumer, Genetic variants in novel pathways influence blood pressure and
 cardiovascular disease risk. Nature. 478, 103–109 (2011).
- S. Smith, G. Douaud, W. Chen, T. Hanayik, F. Alfaro-Almagro, K. Sharp, L. T. Elliott,
 Enhanced Brain Imaging Genetics in UK Biobank. bioRxiv (2020).
- 659 Acknowledgments

657 658

660

664

- 661 **General**: The authors are grateful to Christina Novak and Astrid Rehner for help with 662 recruiting and data acquisition. We also thank Caroline Mann for advice regarding data 663 processing.
 - Funding:
- 666 German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) and the Max Planck Society 667 (MDH)
- 668 Research Grant for Doctoral Programmes in Germany from The German Academic 669 Exchange Service (DAAD) (ER)
- 670 German Research Foundation (DFG) grant CRC 1193 Z03 (AR)
- 671 MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics (MR/L010305/1) (AR)
- 672673 Author contributions:
- 674 Conceptualization: MDH, RAB
- 675 Methodology: MDH, ER, NRM, RAB
- 676 Investigation: PKH, MDH, RAB
- 677 Visualization: MDH
- 678 Supervision: RAB
- 679 Writing original draft: MDH, RAB
- 680 Writing review and editing: MDH, RAB, WS, DEJL, TL, AR
- 681

682 Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
683
684 Data and materials availability: All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper
685 are present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials. The data can be provided by
686 Robert A. Bittner pending scientific review and a completed material transfer agreement.
687 Requests for the data should be submitted to: Robert A. Bittner.

688 Figures

689



691	Figure 1. Statistical map showing a significant whole-brain correlation between
692	schizophrenia polygenic resilience scores (PRS _{Resilience}) and cortical volume in the
693	right fusiform gyrus (cluster-forming threshold: p < .001, corrected cluster-wise p < .05).
694	Results include corrections for effects of age and intracranial volume and are shown from
695	medial, ventral and lateral perspectives (A). Average vertex-wise surface area (B) and
696	cortical thickness (C) values were extracted from the depicted cluster and plotted against
697	$PRS_{Resilience}$.



Figure 2. Effects of schizophrenia polygenic resilience scores (PRS_{Resilience}) on fusiform gyrus
 (FFG) anatomical metrics in the UK Biobank sample. A) Shows Desikan-Killiany (33)
 FFG parcels, B) depicts PRS_{Resilience} effects for anatomical metrics, which were significant
 for cortical volume and surface area in the left FFG. Error bars indicate 95% confidence
 intervals.