Benefit-finding and self-compassion promote psychological well-being in caregivers of individuals with mental illness: a cross-sectional study

Edina Yi-Qin Tan1*, Vania T. Yip1, Kim Lian Rolles-Abraham2

¹Division of Social Sciences, Yale-NUS College, 16 College Avenue West, Singapore 138527

²Better Life Psychological Medicine Clinic, 10 Sinaran Dr, #08-17/30, Singapore 307506

*Address correspondence to:

Edina Yi-Qin Tan

Blk 450 Upper Changi Road #04-18 Palmwoods

Singapore 487040

Email: edina.tan@u.yale-nus.edu.sg

Phone: (+65) 9152 6694

-
-

Abstract

2	Background: Resilience factors that promote psychological well-being amongst caregivers of
3	individuals with a mental illness are understudied. Coping strategies (problem-focused coping,
4	emotion-focused coping and benefit-finding) have been found to influence the relation between
5	stress and psychological outcomes, but their <i>relative</i> contribution to psychological well-being
6	has not been tested. Self-compassion reduces caregiver burden in caregivers, yet no research has
7	examined its contribution to psychological well-being nor the mechanisms via which it could do
8	so. The aim of this study was to examine benefit-finding and self-compassion as resilience
9	factors that could promote psychological well-being amongst caregivers of individuals with
10	mental illnesses.
11	Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted between June 2019 to October 2019 in
12	Singapore. 107 informal caregivers of individuals with various diagnosed mental illnesses
13	completed an online questionnaire. COPE, General Benefit Finding Scale (GBFS), Self-
14	Compassion Scale - Short (SCS-SF), Psychological Well-Being Scale - Brief (PWBS-B), and
15	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) were used. Hierarchical multiple regression and
16	mediation analyses were conducted.
17	Results: Benefit-finding was a more important predictor of psychological well-being compared
18	to problem-focused and emotion-focused coping. Self-compassion was positively associated with
19	psychological well-being amongst caregivers, and this is partially due to an increased use of
20	problem-focused coping strategies.
21	Conclusions: Future interventions should cultivate caregivers' benefit-finding and self-

22 compassion and consider using psychological well-being as an additional outcome measure.

EXAMINING RESILIENCE FACTORS AMONGST CAREGIVERS

23 **Keywords:** Psychological well-being, Caregiving, Coping strategies, Benefit finding, Self-

- 24 compassion
- 25

Background

26 Approximately 1 in 5 individuals suffer from a mental illness in their lifetime [1]. The 27 debilitating course of mental illness, coupled with increasing deinstitutionalization, means that informal caregivers such as family members typically take on the responsibility of caring for 28 29 such individuals [2]. Due to the stressful nature of caregiving, prior studies on informal 30 caregivers have predominantly focused on examining the risk factors associated with higher levels of caregiver psychopathology [2–4]. However, the field of psychology is increasingly 31 32 interested in positive mental health, which involves having psychological well-being in addition 33 to lacking symptoms of psychopathology [5]. Longitudinal studies have shown that 34 psychological well-being may be able to buffer individuals against physical and mental illness 35 over time [6,7]. Such studies, alongside studies demonstrating that caregiving involves positive 36 aspects (e.g., improved family cohesion, receipt of gratitude and appreciation from care 37 recipients) that might contribute to the psychological well-being of the caregiver [8–10], suggest that there is value in enhancing caregivers' levels of psychological well-being. Hence, it is 38 crucial to investigate the resilience factors that could sustain and boost psychological well-being 39 40 amongst informal caregivers of individuals with mental illnesses.

41 **Coping Strategies**

The most common theoretical model used for studying how caregivers cope with the stress of caregiving is Lazarus and Folkman's stress-coping model [11,12]. According to the stress-coping model, how the caregiver appraises their stressors, as well as the coping strategies that they use to manage these stressors, plays a crucial role in determining the caregiver's

EXAMINING RESILIENCE FACTORS AMONGST CAREGIVERS

46 psychological well-being [13]. The two most well-studied coping strategies are emotion-focused 47 and problem-focused coping strategies, both of which have been conceptualised as part of the 48 stress-coping model [11] (Fig. 1). Emotion-focused coping refer to processes that individuals 49 engage in to try to reduce the emotional distress generated by the stressor, while problemfocused coping refer to processes that individuals engage in to try to directly alter the situation 50 positively [14]. Whether or not emotion-focused coping and problem-focused coping are 51 52 adaptive ways of coping with the stressor tends to be highly dependent on the characteristics and 53 specific context of the stressor. Problem-focused coping tends to be more adaptive in situations 54 where something can be done, while emotion-focused coping tends to be more adaptive in 55 situations that have to be accepted [15]. In cases when the stressor is favorably resolved using either of these two coping strategies, positive emotions such as happiness and pride are generated 56 57 [11] (Fig. 1), with positive emotions in turn associated with psychological well-being [16]. 58 Considering mental illnesses are usually long-lasting with an unpredictable course [2],

59 caring for an individual with a mental illness is typically a chronic stressor with no clear 60 resolution [15]. This means that in the context of caregiving, traditionally well-studied adaptive coping strategies such as problem-focused coping and emotion-focused coping strategies may 61 62 not be as effective in sustaining psychological well-being. This possibility is corroborated by 63 inconsistent findings across studies which have examined the relations between problem-focused 64 coping, emotion-focused coping, and caregiver psychological outcomes. Reliance on problemfocused coping has been associated with higher life satisfaction in prior caregiver studies [17], 65 66 but may also lead caregivers to ignore the importance of taking time off from caregiving to focus on their own mental health [18]. Similarly, while some longitudinal studies have found that 67 68 emotion-focused coping protects caregivers of individuals with mental illness from anxiety over

EXAMINING RESILIENCE FACTORS AMONGST CAREGIVERS

time [19,20], others have found emotion-focused coping to be related to higher levels of

70 depression in caregivers [21] — especially if the caregiver already had poor mental health and

- 71 therefore decreased emotional regulation abilities [22].
- 72 In sum, problem-focused coping and emotion-focused coping may not adequately sustain
- 73 psychological well-being in the context of caring for an individual with mental illness.

74 Benefit-Finding

To explain the coping processes underlying irresolvable stressors, the stress-coping model was later revised to introduce a new category of coping, known as meaning-focused coping [23]. Meaning-focused coping is a form of appraisal-based coping whereby a person draws on his or her beliefs, values, and existential goals to motivate and sustain coping [23,24]. Coping by modifying one's core beliefs, values, and goals allows an individual to generate positive emotions [15]. These positive emotions in turn influence the stress process by restoring psychological and social resources [16], thereby allowing individuals to sustain other types of

- 82 adaptive coping, such as problem-focused coping and emotion-focused coping [23] (Fig.1).
- 83

[Figure 1 about here.]

The most common conceptualization of meaning-focused coping is benefit-finding [15], 84 a construct typically assessed across several dimensions — growth in wisdom, patience and 85 86 competence; greater appreciation for life, greater clarity about what matters, strengthened faith or spirituality, and improved quality of social relationships [15]. Benefit-finding has consistently 87 been found to be positively associated with psychological well-being. A meta-analysis found a 88 89 moderately strong positive relationship between benefit-finding and psychological well-being 90 amongst the general population [25]. In the general caregiving literature, there is evidence that 91 child caregivers (ages 12 to 16) of individuals with a range of mental, physical and

EXAMINING RESILIENCE FACTORS AMONGST CAREGIVERS

92	developmental disabilities do experience benefit-finding, with benefit-finding being directly
93	predictive of their psychological well-being [26]. Moreover, caregivers of individuals with
94	Alzheimer's disease who were assigned to a benefit-finding intervention not only reported
95	lowered depressive symptoms relative to participants in control groups (i.e., no benefit-finding
96	intervention), but also had increased levels of psychological well-being [27].
97	Hence, benefit-finding may be more important for sustaining psychological well-being in
98	caregivers of individuals with mental illnesses compared to the more traditionally studied
99	adaptive coping strategies; problem-focused coping and emotion-focused coping. As such, we
100	hypothesize that benefit-finding will be positively associated with psychological well-being
101	(H1), and benefit-finding will predict psychological well-being over and above emotion-focused
102	coping and problem-focused coping strategies (H2).

103 Self-Compassion

104 Compared to coping strategies, which are typically situation-dependent, a relatively more 105 situation-independent resilience factor that could sustain psychological well-being in caregivers 106 of individuals with mental illness is self-compassion. Self-compassion involves three 107 components: (a) self-kindness, which involves being understanding towards oneself in the face of 108 stress and failure, (b) common humanity, which involves viewing one's suffering as part of a 109 shared human experience wherein failures and imperfections are expected, and (c) *mindfulness*, 110 which involves taking an open, accepting and nonjudgmental stance towards oneself and 111 suffering [28].

Whether measured as a trait or a state, self-compassion has been found to relate
positively to indicators of psychological well-being such as life satisfaction and subjective wellbeing, and relate negatively to anxiety and depression [29–31]. Importantly, self-compassion has

EXAMINING RESILIENCE FACTORS AMONGST CAREGIVERS

115 been examined in the context of caregiving. Professional caregivers (i.e., nurses) who have high 116 levels of self-compassion tend to provide more compassionate care and are more resistant to 117 burnout compared to their less self-compassionate counterparts [32]. Informal caregivers follow 118 a similar trend. Parents of children with Autism Spectrum Disorder who had higher levels of 119 self-compassion experienced more life satisfaction and hope, and less stress and depressive 120 symptoms [33]. Recently, [14] found that self-compassionate caregivers of individuals with 121 dementia tended to experience lower levels of caregiver burden. Importantly, preliminary 122 evidence suggests that self-compassion can be cultivated in caregivers. Caregivers of individuals 123 with Alzheimer's disease who participated in a yoga and compassion meditation program as part 124 of an intervention study exhibited significant improvements in their levels of self-compassion 125 post-intervention [34].

126 Self-Compassion and Coping

A key mechanism via which self-compassion has been proposed to sustain psychological well-being during stressful times is by influencing persons' use of coping strategies [14,31]. For instance, when faced with stressful circumstances, individuals higher in self-compassion have been found to experience less anxiety compared to those lower in self-compassion even after accounting for self-esteem [35]. Hence, self-compassion can be considered part of the stress coping process. The associations between self-compassion and emotion-focused coping, problem-focused coping, as well as benefit finding are outlined below.

134 Self-Compassion and Emotion-Focused Coping

A study that investigated this relationship found that self-compassionate students had a
greater tendency to engage in emotion-focused coping strategies such as acceptance when
encountering perceived academic failure [36], which led to greater positivity and resilience.

EXAMINING RESILIENCE FACTORS AMONGST CAREGIVERS

138 Self-Compassion and Problem-Focused Coping

Self-compassion is positively associated with variables that predict problem-focused coping, such as optimism and personal initiative [35]. Hence, caregivers who are high in selfcompassion may be more likely to engage directly with the source of their stress rather than be a passive observer; enabling them to take on greater responsibility in attaining their personal goals [31]. Meaningful goal pursuit, in turn, has been found to relate positively to positive life outcomes, including psychological well-being [37,38].

145 Self-Compassion and Benefit-Finding

146 Self-compassion has been found to relate strongly to positive cognitive restructuring, 147 which involves altering one's view of a stressful situation to see it in a more positive manner 148 [31]. Intervention studies have reported that positive cognitive restructuring helped participants 149 view their stressors with greater self-directed compassion. Importantly, this increased self-150 compassion led to significant decreases in depression, anxiety and self-criticism amongst 151 participants [35,39,40]. Given that benefit-finding involves a certain degree of positive cognitive 152 restructuring [41], a possible pathway via which self-compassion may influence psychological 153 well-being is by enhancing caregivers' use of benefit-finding to cope with the stressors of 154 caregiving.

Despite encouraging evidence, no research has examined whether self-compassion could enhance psychological well-being amongst caregivers of individuals with mental illness, nor the specific mechanisms via which self-compassion could influence psychological well-being. Hence, we propose two further hypotheses: Self-compassion will be positively associated with psychological well-being (H3) and the type of coping strategy used (problem-focused coping,

EXAMINING RESILIENCE FACTORS AMONGST CAREGIVERS

- 160 emotion-focused coping, and benefit-finding) will mediate the relationship between self-
- 161 compassion and psychological well-being (H4).
- 162

Methods

- 163 **Ethics statement**
- 164 Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board at the
- 165 National University of Singapore.

166 Study design and sample

167 The study sample consists of 107 caregivers who filled out an online survey between

168 June 2019 and October 2019. Caregivers were recruited from two sites: a private clinic

specialising in the treatment of eating disorders and a non-governmental organisation (NGO)

170 dedicated to caregivers of individuals with mental illnesses¹. For the private clinic, the

171 recruitment process involved the distribution of flyers containing the link to the online survey to

172 caregivers of persons attending the clinic. For the NGO, the recruitment process consisted of

173 emails sent out to caregivers who had previously attended workshops held by the organisation.

174 After providing informed consent, participants were told about the objectives of the study and

subsequently completed an online survey. Upon study completion, participants were debriefed

and received SGD \$5 as reimbursement.

177 Participant characteristics

All study participants were at least 21 years of age, and were informal caregivers of individuals with mental illnesses such as psychotic disorder, anxiety disorder, mood disorder, eating disorders, obsessive compulsive disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, and unknown mental illnesses (wherein the caregiver did not know the specific name of the illness). Care

¹ These two samples did not differ significantly on the constructs of interest (i.e., coping strategies, self-compassion, psychological well-being, psychopathology), and were therefore combined. All analyses that follow are based on this combined set of caregivers.

182	recipient diagnoses were self-reported by the caregivers. To be eligible for the study, participants
183	had to understand the English language, be the informal caretaker and/or relative of the person
184	with mental illness and provide care and support to the individual with a mental illness. The
185	informal caregiver was defined as an individual belonging to the care recipient's informal
186	support system who provides care for, is at least partly responsible for the individual, and spends
187	a substantial amount of time on caregiving without financial reimbursement [2]. Most caregivers
188	were aged between 51-60 (37.4%), with a preponderance of females (69.2%), Chinese (72.0%),
189	university level education (65.4%), and employed (66.4%). A majority of the caregivers were
190	mothers to the CR (37.4%), resided in the same household as their CRs (73.8%), and had other
191	caregivers present in the same household (78.5%). The caregivers' mean (SD) interaction hours
192	(per week) with their CR was 33.1 (39.7). Most CRs were at least 20 years of age (82.7%), with
193	a mean (SD) length of illness of 93.6 (135.1) months. The distribution of primary diagnoses
194	amongst the CRs are as follows: mood disorder (35.5%), anxiety disorder (9.3%), psychotic
195	disorder (23.4%), personality disorder (0.9%), eating disorder (22.4%), and other (8.4%).
196	Participant characteristics are reported in Table 1.
197	[Table 1 about here.]
198	Measures
199	The questionnaire included the following instruments:
200	Socio-demographic Variables. Participants were asked for socio-demographic data such
201	as age, gender, ethnicity, education level, employment status, income, living circumstances, age
202	of care recipient (CR), CR diagnosis, length of CR illness, relationship to CR, and interaction
203	hours with CR.

EXAMINING RESILIENCE FACTORS AMONGST CAREGIVERS

204	Problem-Focused and Emotion-Focused Coping Strategies. The 60-item Coping
205	Orientations to Problems Experienced Inventory (COPE; [42]) were used to assess caregivers'
206	emotional-focused coping and problem-focused coping strategies. The COPE assesses 14
207	different coping strategies. These strategies can be subsumed under 3 categories: emotion-
208	focused coping (acceptance, emotional support, humor, positive reframing, and religion),
209	problem-focused coping (active coping, instrumental support, and planning), and dysfunctional
210	coping (behavioral disengagement, denial, self-distraction, self-blame, substance use and
211	emotional venting) [14,43]. The present study focuses on only emotion-focused and problem-
212	focused coping, therefore only items belonging to these two categories were included.
213	Participants were asked: 'To what extent do the following statements describe how you cope
214	with your present situation of caring for the individual with a mental illness?' Example items
215	include 'I talk to someone to find out more about the situation' (problem-focused coping) and 'I
216	accept that this has happened and that it can't be changed' (emotion-focused coping). Responses
217	were recorded on a 4-point Likert-type scale where $1 = $ 'I haven't been doing this at all' and $4 =$
218	'I've been doing this a lot.' 'Positive reframing' items were not included in this study as positive
219	reframing has been found to overlap statistically and conceptually with meaning-focused coping
220	[17]. The rest of the items were summed to create an overall problem-focused coping and
221	emotion-focused coping score. The COPE scale has been found to have good internal reliability,
222	with an average Cronbach's Alpha of $\alpha = .79$ across all subscales [42]. In the present study, the
223	internal reliability was $\alpha = .78$ for emotion-focused coping and $\alpha = .89$ for problem-focused
224	coping.

Benefit-Finding. The 28-item General Benefit Finding Scale (GBFS; [44]) was used to
assess benefit-finding. The scale incorporates six different factors and has been previously used

EXAMINING RESILIENCE FACTORS AMONGST CAREGIVERS

227	to ascertain levels of benefit-finding in relation to general life stress (i.e., stress that is not
228	personal trauma or illness-related). Participants were asked: 'To what extent do the following
229	statements describe your experience of caring for the individual with a mental illness?' Example
230	items include 'Led me to be more accepting of things' and 'Helped me become a stronger
231	person'. Responses were recorded on a 5-point Likert-type scale where 1 = 'Strongly Disagree'
232	and $5 =$ 'Strongly Agree'. All items were summed to create an overall benefit-finding score. The
233	scale has been found to have good internal reliability, with Cronbach's Alpha ranging from α
234	=.76 to α = .86 across the six factors [45]. In the present study, the internal reliability was as
235	follows: acceptance ($\alpha = .88$), family bonds ($\alpha = .87$), personal growth ($\alpha = .80$), relationships (α
236	= .87), empathy (α = .88) and reprioritisation (α = .79).
227	Salf Compassion The 12 item Salf Compassion Scale Short (SCS SE: [46]) was used

Self-Compassion. The 12-item Self-Compassion Scale - Short (SCS-SF; [46]) was used 237 238 to assess self-compassion. Example items include 'I try to see my failings as part of the human 239 condition' and 'I'm disapproving and judgmental about my own flaws and inadequacies'. 240 Responses were recorded on a 5-point Likert-type scale where 1 = 'Almost Always' and 5 =241 'Almost Never'. Negative items were reverse scored, and all items were summed to create an 242 overall self-compassion score. The index has shown good internal consistency ($\alpha = .86$) and has 243 an almost perfect correlation with the full scale (r = .98) [14,46]. In the present study, the internal 244 reliability was $\alpha = .75$.

Psychological Well-Being. The 8-item Psychological Well-Being Scale - Brief (PWBSB) was used to assess psychological well-being [47]. Example items include 'I lead a purposeful
and meaningful life' and 'I am engaged and interested in my daily activities.' Responses were
recorded on a 7-point Likert-type scale where 1 = 'Strongly Disagree' and 7 = 'Strongly Agree'.
All items were summed to create an overall psychological well-being score. The index has

EXAMINING RESILIENCE FACTORS AMONGST CAREGIVERS

250	shown good internal consistency ($\alpha = .86$) and showed strong correlations with the full scale (r =
251	.80). In the present study, the internal reliability was $\alpha = .90$.

252	Psychopathology. The 14-item Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale (HADS) was used to
253	assess symptoms of psychopathology in the form of anxiety (7 items) and depressive states (7
254	items) [48]. Example items included 'I still enjoy the things I used to enjoy' (depression) and 'I
255	feel tense or wound up' (anxiety). Participants rate the frequency of feelings over the past week
256	on a scale from $0 =$ 'Not at All' to $3 =$ 'Very Often'. In this study, the total HADS score was
257	used, as there is evidence for a single dimension of general psychopathology in the HADS
258	[6,49]. Higher scores indicate more symptoms of psychopathology (range 0-42). In the present
259	study, the internal reliability was $\alpha = .90$.
260	Statistical Analysis
261	All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS Version 20 (IBM SPSS Statistics).
262	Missing values and outlier analysis were performed ² . Residual and scatter plots indicate the
263	assumptions of normality, linearity and homoscedasticity were all satisfied. Linear regression
264	was performed to investigate if benefit-finding and self-compassion were significant predictors

265 of psychological well-being. Hierarchical multiple regression analysis was performed to

266 investigate if benefit finding predicted psychological well-being over and above problem-

267 focused coping and emotion-focused coping. Mediation analyses were performed to explore

268 potential mediators of the relationship between self-compassion and psychological well-being.

For all analyses, statistical significance was set at p < 0.05 (two-tailed).

² On average, 1.87% of data was missing due to inconsistent reporting by participants. In total, 26% of participants (n = 28) had one or more missing data points. Outlier analysis revealed potential outliers in PWBS-B (n = 2), GBFS (n = 7) and SCS-SF (n = 7). As removing these outliers did not change the results of any analyses performed, only results with outliers included will be shown and discussed in the remainder of this article.

EXAMINING RESILIENCE FACTORS AMONGST CAREGIVERS

271 Power Analysis

272	Apriori power analysis was conducted using $G^*Power 3.1$ [50]. The power analysis
273	indicated that a total sample of 103 participants will be needed to test medium effects (Cohen's f ²
274	= .15) with 80% power, using F-tests with alpha set at 0.05. Hence, the sample size of 107 in this
275	study was deemed to have sufficient power to detect the effects of the resilience factors on
276	psychological well-being.
277	Results
278	This sample of caregivers exhibited low levels of psychopathology (i.e., depression and
279	anxiety) overall, with a mean (SD) HADS score of 14.06 (7.83). Only one caregiver met the
280	HADS criteria for clinical anxiety (HADS cut-off score of 21 or higher).
281	Benefit Finding
282	A simple linear regression was carried out to investigate if benefit finding is a significant
283	predictor of psychological well-being. Benefit finding was found to be a significant predictor of
284	psychological well-being (F (8, 92) = 2.50, $p = 0.017$, $\beta = .37$, 95% CI [.10, .33]), with an R ² of
285	.18 (Cohen's $f^2 = .22$) controlling for age, gender, ethnicity, education, income, CR diagnosis and

relationship to CR. Previous caregiver studies have found these variables to covary with

psychological well-being, and hence they were controlled for in the analyses (Trompetter et al.,

288 2017; Lloyd et al, 2019). For this and all subsequent analyses conducted in this study, the same

289 variables were controlled for.

A three-stage hierarchical multiple regression conducted with psychological well-being as the dependent variable revealed that problem-focused coping and emotion-focused coping (entered in step 2) accounted for 10.5% of the variation in psychological well-being, controlling for covariates (entered in step 1), ΔF (2, 94) = 4.00, p = 0.021. Benefit-finding accounted for an

EXAMINING RESILIENCE FACTORS AMONGST CAREGIVERS

294	additional 8.9% of variance in psychological well-being when it was added to the model (step 3),
295	with a significant change in R ² (ΔF (1, 93) = 10.25, $p = 0.002$, $\beta = .34$, 95% CI [.08, .32]). When
296	all the independent variables were included in the regression model, only benefit-finding
297	emerged as a significant predictor of psychological well-being. Hence, the most important
298	predictor of psychological well-being was benefit-finding, which uniquely explained 8.9% of the
299	variation in psychological well-being. Table 2 shows the results of the hierarchical multiple
300	regression.

Table 2 301 302 Summary of hierarchical regression analysis β R² ΔR^2 Variable R t Step 1 .17 .03 -1.49 Age 1.1 Gender -.05 -.10 Income -.08 -.16 CR diagnosis .30 .59 .11 .08 Step 2 .32 Age .89 1.24 Gender -.56 -.29 Income -.01 -.02 CR diagnosis .27 .54 Problem-focused 2.59* .36 coping Emotion-focused -.01 -.06 coping Step 3 .44 .19 .08 Age .57 .85 Gender -.57 -.31 Income .14 .29 .79 CR diagnosis 1.56 Problem-focused .24 1.93 coping Emotion-focused -.05 -.42 coping **Benefit-finding** .20 3.20** *Note*. *N* = 107, **p* < .05, ***p* < .01 303

EXAMINING RESILIENCE FACTORS AMONGST CAREGIVERS

305 Self-Compassion

306 A simple linear regression was carried out to investigate if self-compassion is a 307 significant predictor of psychological well-being. Self-compassion was found to be a significant 308 predictor of psychological well-being (F (8, 94) = 2.31, p = 0.026, $\beta = .33$, 95% CI [.23, .95]), 309 with an R² of .16 (Cohen's f² = .20).

310 Mediation Analyses

311 The fourth hypothesis predicted that coping strategies (emotion-focused coping, problem-312 focused coping, and benefit-finding) would be mediators of the relationship between self-313 compassion and psychological well-being. Problem-focused coping, emotion-focused coping, 314 and benefit-finding were individually tested as the mediator across three separate models 315 according to statistical and conceptual propositions for testing the presence of mediation [51]. 316 Out of the three tested coping strategies, only problem-focused coping was significantly 317 linked to self-compassion (B = .51, β = .36, p < 0.001, 95% CI [.25, .76]). Therefore, further 318 analyses were conducted only for problem-focused coping. Problem-focused coping was also 319 significantly associated with psychological well-being, controlling for self-compassion (B = .27, 320 $\beta = .22$, p = 0.028, 95% CI [.03, .51]). For the above model including problem-focused coping 321 as the mediator, the z-score was calculated to be 2.46 (95% CI [.08, .76]). Hence, problem-322 focused coping was a statistically significant partial mediator of the relationship between self-323 compassion and psychological well-being (Fig. 2). The analyses used to test the hypothesized 324 models are presented in Table 3. Only problem-focused coping is presented in Table 3 as only 325 problem-focused coping met the criteria for further mediation analyses. 326 [Figure 2 about here.]

- 327
- 328

EXAMINING RESILIENCE FACTORS AMONGST CAREGIVERS

329 Table 3

Steps in testing for mediation	В	SE B	95% CI	β	R²
Problem-focused coping					
Testing step 1 (path c)	.55	.16	.23, .88	.31**	.10
Outcome: Psychological well-being					
Predictor: Self-compassion					
Testing step 2 (path a)	.51	.13	.25,.76	.36**	.13
Outcome: Problem-focused coping					
Predictor: Self-compassion					
Testing step 3 (paths b and c')	.27	.12	.03, .51	.22*	.12
Outcome: Psychological well-being	.42	.17	.08, .76	.24*	.14
Mediator: Problem-focused coping					
Predictor: Self-compassion					

330 Testing for problem-focused coping as a mediator using multiple regression

331

332

Discussion

333 The present study aimed to examine benefit-finding and self-compassion as resilience 334 factors that could promote psychological well-being in caregivers of individuals with mental 335 illnesses. As predicted by the first hypothesis, benefit-finding was significantly associated with 336 caregiver psychological well-being. Caregivers who reported high levels of benefit-finding 337 experienced higher levels of psychological well-being than those lower in benefit-338 finding. Moreover, in line with the second hypothesis, benefit-finding predicted psychological 339 well-being over and above the traditionally studied adaptive coping strategies, problem-focused 340 coping and emotion-focused coping. These results demonstrate that for caregivers of individuals 341 with mental illness, benefit-finding is a more important contributor to psychological well-being 342 than emotion-focused coping or problem-focused coping. The results of the present study are 343 consistent with, and add to, [23] revised stress coping model. Specifically, these results provide 344 the first empirical evidence for the previously untested theory that meaning-focused coping 345 strategies, such as benefit-finding, may play a relatively more crucial role in sustaining

EXAMINING RESILIENCE FACTORS AMONGST CAREGIVERS

346 psychological well-being under circumstances where the stressor is chronic and unresolvable—
347 such as caring for individuals with long-term mental illnesses.

The third hypothesis was also upheld, with self-compassion being positively associated with caregiver psychological well-being. The results are consistent with previous research, which found that self-compassion was negatively associated with *caregiver burden* among caregivers of individuals with dementia [14]. However, this study is the first to show that self-compassion and psychological well-being are positively associated in caregivers of individuals with a mental illness.

354 The fourth hypothesis, which predicted that the relationship between self-compassion and 355 psychological well-being would be mediated by the use of adaptive coping strategies (emotion-356 focused coping, problem-focused coping and benefit-finding), was partly upheld. This study 357 found that problem-focused coping partially mediates the relationship between self-compassion 358 and psychological well-being. These results show that caregivers high in self-compassion have a 359 greater tendency to utilise problem-focused coping strategies, which in turn at least partly leads 360 to increased psychological well-being. This is consistent with previous studies, which found that 361 self-compassionate caregivers are more likely to use adaptive coping strategies [14,52,53].

In this study, emotion-focused coping was not a significant mediator of the relationship between self-compassion and psychological well-being. This finding is consistent with and extends a previous study which found that emotion-focused coping does not appear to mediate the relationship between self-compassion and caregiver burden [14]. Emotion-focused coping strategies have been found to function differently compared to problem-focused coping and meaning-focused coping in the stress-coping model (Fig. 1). Specifically, emotion-focused coping tends to have complex, unpredictable interactions with caregiver outcomes and other

EXAMINING RESILIENCE FACTORS AMONGST CAREGIVERS

369 caregiving factors. For instance, while some research has found that adopting emotion-focused
370 coping strategies protect caregivers against anxiety [19], others have found no such relationship
371 [14,54]. Hence, further research is warranted to elucidate the role of emotion-focused coping in
372 relation to self-compassion and psychological well-being.

373 Surprisingly, benefit-finding was also not a significant mediator of the relationship 374 between self-compassion and psychological well-being. This finding is unexpected, considering 375 that (a) meaning-focused coping has been indirectly linked to self-compassion [31,41] and (b) 376 greater reliance on meaning-focused coping has been associated with lower distress in prior 377 caregiver studies [17]. However, it should be noted that the measures used in this study differ 378 from measures used in previous caregivers studies. Prior studies tended to focus on caregiver 379 distress, rather than psychological well-being as the outcome. However, the absence of caregiver 380 distress is conceptually and functionally distinct from the presence of psychological well-being 381 [55]. Hence, different mechanisms may underlie the relationship between benefit-finding, self-382 compassion and the outcome of psychological well-being, as compared to the outcome of 383 caregiver distress. For instance, adopting benefit-finding coping strategies may lower caregivers' 384 levels of psychological distress without necessarily increasing their levels of well-being [55]. 385 Future research should use consistent measures to better understand the mechanisms relating 386 self-compassion, benefit-finding, and psychological well-being.

387 Clinical Implications

388 The finding that benefit-finding and self-compassion are positively associated with the 389 psychological well-being of caregivers with mental illnesses highlights an important gap in 390 current clinical care for caregivers. Beyond assessing symptoms of psychopathology amongst 391 caregivers, this study shows that psychological well-being is also an effective measure of

EXAMINING RESILIENCE FACTORS AMONGST CAREGIVERS

caregivers' quality of life and should be incorporated into current clinical assessments of 392 393 caregivers to increase their ability to identify gaps in support. The significant relationships 394 between the resilience factors, benefit-finding and self-compassion, to psychological well-being 395 also highlight the importance of assessing these resilience factors. Specifically, low levels of 396 benefit-finding and/or self-compassion could serve as reliable indicators of caregivers who are 397 currently experiencing, or at risk of experiencing, low levels of psychological well-being. 398 Future intervention designs for caregivers could also benefit from the findings of this 399 study. Although interventions promoting self-compassion and benefit-finding are gaining 400 popularity and increasingly recognized as effective and accessible ways of supporting at-risk 401 individuals [40,56], they tend to be designed with the goal of alleviating psychological distress 402 rather than the goal of boosting psychological well-being. While alleviating psychological 403 distress is an undoubtedly crucial goal, incorporating the goal of enhancing psychological well-404 being could go a long way in changing the mindsets of not only the caregivers, but also the 405 clinicians and researchers involved. Specifically, interventions could incorporate content that 406 encompass the multifaceted components of psychological well-being (e.g., positive social 407 relationships, environmental mastery) and be held over a longer period of time, even after 408 psychological distress has been measurably reduced. Moreover, scales that measure 409 psychological well-being can be utilized alongside scales that measure psychological distress in 410 order to add a further impact measure for the efficacy of current interventions. 411 The findings of this study should be viewed in light of its limitations. Firstly, analyses by

subgroups of caregivers (e.g. by CR diagnoses) could not be conducted due to an insufficient
sample size across sub-groups. Future studies can focus on at-risk subgroups of caregivers that
have been identified as experiencing lower benefit-finding, self-compassion and/or psychological

415	well-being. Secondly, the cross-sectional design of this study limits any ascertainment of
416	causality. Future longitudinal studies could be run to provide stronger evidence for the causal
417	relationship between benefit-finding, self-compassion and psychological well-being.
418	Conclusions
419	The aim of the present study was to explore the role of benefit-finding and self-
420	compassion in promoting psychological well-being amongst caregivers of individuals with
421	mental illnesses. The results of the study provide first empirical evidence that benefit-finding and
422	self-compassion are crucial coping strategies that can promote caregivers' psychological well-
423	being. However, more research is needed to explore whether and how the findings from this
424	general study could apply to specific sub-groups of caregivers, as well as to explore the causal
425	relations between benefit-finding, self-compassion, psychological well-being and
426	psychopathology. Regardless, the present findings provide promising empirical evidence which
427	can be used to develop interventions for caregivers that centre not only on reducing
428	psychological distress, but also promoting psychological well-being. Such interventions could
429	equip caregivers with the mental resilience necessary to shoulder their hefty caregiving
430	responsibilities, while still living a flourishing life.
431	
432	
433	
434	
435	

Participant characteristics.			
Socio-demographic variables	N (%)	Mean (SD)	Range
			-
Caregiver demographics			
Age			
21-30	13 (12.1)		
31-40	17 (15.9)		
41-50	26 (24.3)		
51-60	40 (37.4)		
61-70	9 (8.4)		
71-80	2(1.9)		
Gender			
Male	31 (29.5)		
Female	74 (69.2)		
Missing	2 (1.9)		
Ethnicity			
Chinese	77 (72.0)		
Malay	3 (2.8)		
Indian	7 (6.5)		
Caucasian	9 (8.4)		
Others	10 (9.3)		
Missing	1 (0.9)		
Educational level			
Secondary and below	12 (11.2)		
Postsecondary	25 (23.4)		
University and above	70 (65.4)		
Employment status			
Employed	71 (66.4)		
Unemployed	36 (33.6)		
Income (per annum)			
<30k	47 (43.9)		
30k – 50k	1 (0.9)		
> 50k - 75k	8 (7.5)		
>75k – 100k	13 (12.1)		
>100k	36 (33.6)		
Missing	2 (1.9)		
Relationship to CR			
Mother	40 (37.4)		
Father	11 (10.3)		

EXAMINING RESILIENCE FACTORS AMONGST CAREGIVERS

436	Spouse Child	12 (11.2) 20 (18.7)		
437	Other (family or friend)	24 (22.4)		
	Living Circumstances			
438	Co-resides with CR?	70(73.8)		
	Tes No	79 (75.8) 28 (26.2)		
	Co-caregivers present?	20 (20.2)		
439	Yes	84 (78.5)		
	No	23 (21.5)		
440	Interaction hours with CR/week		33.1 (39.7)	1-200
	CR characteristics			
111	Age			
441	11-15	7 (6.5)		
	16-20	17 (15.9)		
442	>20	82 (82.7)		
	Missing	1 (0.9)		
	Length of illness (months)		93.6 (135.1)	0-854
443	Primary diagnoses	20 (25 5)		
	Mood disorder	38 (35.5)		
	Anxiety disorder	10(9.3)		
444	Psycholic disorder	25(23.4)		
	Fating disorder	1(0.9) 24(22.4)		
445	Other	9(84)		
	other) (0.7)		
446				
447				
448				
449				
450				
451				

453	Declarations
454	Ethics approval and consent to participate:
455	Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board at the National University of
456	Singapore. All study participants provided informed consent prior to taking part in the study.
457	Consent for publication:
458	Not applicable
459	Availability of data and materials:
460	The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding
461	author upon reasonable request.
462	Competing interests:
463	The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
464	Funding:
465	The research was funded by the Yale-NUS Capstone Fund.
466	Authors' contributions:
467	EYQT, VTY and KLRA conceptualized the study. EYQT analyzed the data and wrote the
468	manuscript. VTY was a major contributor in writing the manuscript. All authors read and
469	approved the final manuscript.
470	Acknowledgements:
471	Not applicable
472	
473	
474	
475	

EXAMINING RESILIENCE FACTORS AMONGST CAREGIVERS

476

References

1. Charlson F, van Ommeren M, Flaxman A, Cornett J, Whiteford H, Saxena S. New WHO

478 prevalence estimates of mental disorders in conflict settings: a systematic review and meta-479 analysis Langet Internet] 2010;204;240 & Available from: http://dv.doi.org/10.1016/S0140

- analysis. Lancet [Internet]. 2019;394:240–8. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S01406736(19)30934-1
- 481 2. Jeyagurunathan A, Sagayadevan V, Abdin E, Zhang Y, Chang S, Shafie S, et al. Psychological
- 482 status and quality of life among primary caregivers of individuals with mental illness: a hospital
- 483 based study. Health Qual Life Outcomes [Internet]. 2017;15:106. Available from:
- 484 http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12955-017-0676-y
- 485 3. Oldridge ML, Hughes ICT. Psychological well-being in families with a member suffering
- from schizophrenia: An investigation into long-standing problems. Br J Psychiatry [Internet].
- 487 Royal College of Psychiatrists; 1992;161:249–51. Available from:
- $\label{eq:https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/S0007125000139042/type/journal_article$
- 489 4. Dura JR, Stukenberg KW, Kiecolt-Glaser JK. Anxiety and depressive disorders in adult
- 490 children caring for demented parents. Psychol Aging [Internet]. 1991;6:467–73. Available from:
- 491 http://dx.doi.org/10.1037//0882-7974.6.3.467
- 492 5. Keyes CLM. Mental illness and/or mental health? Investigating axioms of the complete state
- 493 model of health. J Consult Clin Psychol [Internet]. 2005;73:539–48. Available from:
 494 http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.73.3.539
- 6. Trompetter HR, de Kleine E, Bohlmeijer ET. Why Does Positive Mental Health Buffer
 Against Psychopathology? An Exploratory Study on Self-Compassion as a Resilience
- 497 Mechanism and Adaptive Emotion Regulation Strategy [Internet]. Cognitive Therapy and
- 498 Research. 2017. p. 459–68. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10608-016-9774-0
- 499 7. Keyes CLM, Dhingra SS, Simoes EJ. Change in level of positive mental health as a predictor
- of future risk of mental illness. Am J Public Health [Internet]. 2010;100:2366–71. Available
 from: http://dx.doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2010.192245
- 8. Cohen CA, Colantonio A, Vernich L. Positive aspects of caregiving: rounding out the
 caregiver experience. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry [Internet]. 2002;17:184–8. Available from:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/gps.561
- 505 9. Lawton MP, Moss M, Kleban MH, Glicksman A, Rovine M. A two-factor model of
- 506 caregiving appraisal and psychological well-being. J Gerontol [Internet]. 1991;46:P181–9.
- 507 Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/geronj/46.4.p181
- 508 10. Pinquart M, Sörensen S. Associations of caregiver stressors and uplifts with subjective well-
- being and depressive mood: a meta-analytic comparison. Aging Ment Health [Internet].
- 510 2004;8:438–49. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13607860410001725036
- 511 11. Lazarus RS, Folkman S. Stress, Appraisal, and Coping [Internet]. Springer Publishing
- 512 Company; 1984. Available from: https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=i-ySQQuUpr8C

- 513 12. Folkman S. Dynamics of a stressful encounter: Cognitive appraisal, coping, and encounter
- outcomes [Internet]. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology. 1986. p. 992–1003. Available
 from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.50.5.992
- 516 13. Szmukler GI, Burgess P, Herrman H, Benson A, Colusa S, Bloch S. Caring for relatives with
- 517 serious mental illness: the development of the Experience of Caregiving Inventory. Soc
- 518 Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol [Internet]. 1996;31:137–48. Available from:
- 519 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00785760
- 520 14. Lloyd J, Muers J, Patterson TG, Marczak M. Self-Compassion, Coping Strategies, and
- 521 Caregiver Burden in Caregivers of People with Dementia. Clin Gerontol [Internet]. 2019;42:47–
 522 59. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07317115.2018.1461162
- 523 15. Folkman S. The case for positive emotions in the stress process. Anxiety Stress Coping
- 524 [Internet]. 2008;21:3–14. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10615800701740457
- 525 16. Fredrickson BL. The role of positive emotions in positive psychology. The broaden-and-
- build theory of positive emotions. Am Psychol [Internet]. 2001;56:218–26. Available from:
- 527 http://dx.doi.org/10.1037//0003-066x.56.3.218
- 528 17. Mackay C, Pakenham KI. Identification of stress and coping risk and protective factors
- associated with changes in adjustment to caring for an adult with mental illness. J Clin Psychol
 [Internet]. 2011;67:1064–79. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jclp.20829
- 18. Treasure J, Whitaker W, Whitney J, Schmidt U. Working with families of adults with
 anorexia nervosa [Internet]. Journal of Family Therapy. 2005. p. 158–70. Available from:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6427.2005.00308.x
- 534 19. Cooper C, Katona C, Orrell M, Livingston G. Coping strategies, anxiety and depression in
 535 caregivers of people with Alzheimer's disease. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry [Internet]. 2008;23:929–
 536 Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/gps.2007
- 537 20. Vitaliano PP, Russo J, Young HM, Teri L, Maiuro RD. Predictors of burden in spouse
- 538 caregivers of individuals with Alzheimer's disease. Psychol Aging [Internet]. American
- 539 Psychological Association (APA); 1991;6:392–402. Available from:
- 540 http://doi.apa.org/getdoi.cfm?doi=10.1037/0882-7974.6.3.392
- 541 21. Kim HW, Greenberg JS, Seltzer MM, Krauss MW. The role of coping in maintaining the
- 542 psychological well-being of mothers of adults with intellectual disability and mental illness. J
- 543 Intellect Disabil Res [Internet]. 2003;47:313–27. Available from:
- 544 http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2788.2003.00493.x
- 545 22. Aldwin CM, Revenson TA. Does coping help? A reexamination of the relation between
- 546 coping and mental health. J Pers Soc Psychol [Internet]. American Psychological Association
- 547 (APA); 1987;53:337–48. Available from: http://doi.apa.org/getdoi.cfm?doi=10.1037/0022-
- 548 3514.53.2.337
- 549 23. Park CL, Folkman S. Meaning in the context of stress and coping [Internet]. Review of

EXAMINING RESILIENCE FACTORS AMONGST CAREGIVERS

- General Psychology. 1997. p. 115–44. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1037//10892680.1.2.115
- 552 24. Aldwin CM. Stress, Coping, and Development: An Integrative Perspective [Internet].
- 553 Guilford Press; 2007. Available from: https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=u-554 _wag7hW3oC

555 25. Helgeson VS, Reynolds KA, Tomich PL. A meta-analytic review of benefit finding and
556 growth [Internet]. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology. 2006. p. 797–816. Available
557 from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-006x.74.5.797

- 558 26. Cassidy T, Giles M, McLaughlin M. Benefit finding and resilience in child caregivers
- 559 [Internet]. British Journal of Health Psychology. 2014. p. 606–18. Available from:
- 560 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bjhp.12059
- 561 27. Cheng S-T, Mak EPM, Fung HH, Kwok T, Lee DTF, Lam LCW. Benefit-finding and effect
- on caregiver depression: A double-blind randomized controlled trial. J Consult Clin Psychol
- 563 [Internet]. 2017;85:521–9. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/ccp0000176
- 564 28. Neff KD. The Development and Validation of a Scale to Measure Self-Compassion
- 565 [Internet]. Self and Identity. 2003. p. 223–50. Available from:
- 566 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15298860309027

567 29. Neely ME, Schallert DL, Mohammed SS, Roberts RM, Chen Y-J. Self-kindness when facing

- 568 stress: The role of self-compassion, goal regulation, and support in college students' well-being
- 569 [Internet]. Motivation and Emotion. 2009. p. 88–97. Available from:
- 570 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11031-008-9119-8

30. Neff KD. Self-compassion and psychological well-being [Internet]. PsycEXTRA Dataset.
2005. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/e633942013-240

- 31. Allen AB, Leary MR. Self-Compassion, Stress, and Coping [Internet]. Social and Personality
 Psychology Compass. 2010. p. 107–18. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-
- 575 9004.2009.00246.x
- 576 32. Beaumont E, Durkin M, Hollins Martin CJ, Carson J. Compassion for others, self-
- 577 compassion, quality of life and mental well-being measures and their association with
- 578 compassion fatigue and burnout in student midwives: A quantitative survey [Internet].
- 579 Midwifery. 2016. p. 239–44. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2015.11.002
- 33. Neff KD, Faso DJ. Self-Compassion and Well-Being in Parents of Children with Autism
 [Internet]. Mindfulness. 2015. p. 938–47. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12671-014-
- **582** 0359-2
- 583 34. Danucalov MAD, Kozasa EH, Afonso RF, Galduroz JCF, Leite JR. Yoga and compassion
- meditation program improve quality of life and self-compassion in family caregivers of
- Alzheimer's disease patients: A randomized controlled trial [Internet]. Geriatrics & Gerontology
 International. 2017. p. 85–91. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ggi.12675

- 587 35. Neff KD, Kirkpatrick KL, Rude SS. Self-compassion and adaptive psychological functioning
- 588 [Internet]. Journal of Research in Personality. 2007. p. 139–54. Available from:
- 589 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2006.03.004
- 590 36. Neff KD, Hsieh Y-P, Dejitterat K. Self-compassion, Achievement Goals, and Coping with
- Academic Failure. Self Identity [Internet]. Routledge; 2005;4:263–87. Available from:
 https://doi.org/10.1080/13576500444000317
- 593 37. Diener E, Suh EM, Lucas RE, Smith HL. Subjective well-being: Three decades of progress.
- 594 Psychol Bull [Internet]. 1999;125:276–302. Available from:
- 595 https://psycnet.apa.org/fulltext/1999-10106-007.pdf
- 38. Koestner R, Lekes N, Powers TA, Chicoine E. Attaining personal goals: self-concordance
 plus implementation intentions equals success. J Pers Soc Psychol [Internet]. 2002;83:231–44.
 Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12088128
- 39. Leary MR, Tate EB, Adams CE, Allen AB, Hancock J. Self-compassion and reactions to
- 600 unpleasant self-relevant events: the implications of treating oneself kindly. J Pers Soc Psychol
- 601 [Internet]. 2007;92:887–904. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.92.5.887
- 602 40. Gilbert P, Procter S. Compassionate mind training for people with high shame and self-
- 603 criticism: overview and pilot study of a group therapy approach. Clin Psychol Psychother
- 604 [Internet]. Wiley; 2006;13:353–79. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/cpp.507
- 41. Pascoe EC, Edvardsson D. Which coping strategies can predict beneficial feelings associated
 with prostate cancer? J Clin Nurs [Internet]. 2016;25:2569–78. Available from:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jocn.13300
- 608 42. Carver CS, Scheier MF, Weintraub JK. Assessing coping strategies: a theoretically based
- approach. J Pers Soc Psychol [Internet]. 1989;56:267–83. Available from:
- 610 http://dx.doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.56.2.267
- 611 43. Coolidge FL, Segal DL, Hook JN, Stewart S. Personality disorders and coping among
- 612 anxious older adults. J Anxiety Disord [Internet]. 2000;14:157–72. Available from:
- 613 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0887-6185(99)00046-8
- 614 44. Cassidy T, McLaughlin M, Giles M. Benefit finding in response to general life stress:
- measurement and correlates. Health Psychol Behav Med [Internet]. 2014;2:268–82. Available
 from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/21642850.2014.889570
- 617 45. Cassidy T, Doyle I. Benefit finding and well-being in older adults: The utility of the General
- 618 Benefit Finding Scale. Int J Psychol Res (Medellin) [Internet]. 2018;11:8–18. Available from:
- 619 http://dx.doi.org/10.21500/20112084.3382
- 620 46. Raes F, Pommier E, Neff KD, Van Gucht D. Construction and factorial validation of a short
- form of the Self-Compassion Scale. Clin Psychol Psychother [Internet]. 2011;18:250–5.
- 622 Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cpp.702

- 623 47. Diener E. Assessing Well-Being: The Collected Works of Ed Diener [Internet]. Springer
- 624 Netherlands; 2009. Available from:
- 625 https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=Nb39uAEACAAJ
- 48. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand
- 627 [Internet]. 1983;67:361–70. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-
- 628 0447.1983.tb09716.x
- 629 49. Spinhoven P, Ormel J, Sloekers PP, Kempen GI, Speckens AE, Van Hemert AM. A
- validation study of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) in different groups of
- 631 Dutch subjects. Psychol Med [Internet]. 1997;27:363–70. Available from:
- 632 http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/s0033291796004382
- 50. Faul F, Erdfelder E, Buchner A, Lang A-G. Statistical power analyses using G*Power 3.1:
- Tests for correlation and regression analyses [Internet]. Behavior Research Methods. 2009. p.
- 635 1149–60. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3758/brm.41.4.1149
- 51. Baron RM, Kenny DA. The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social psychological
- research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. J Pers Soc Psychol [Internet].
 1986;51:1173–82. Available from: https://psycnet.apa.org/fulltext/1987-13085-001.pdf
- 639 52. Murfield J, Moyle W, O'Donovan A, Ware RS. The Role of Self-Compassion, Dispositional
- 640 Mindfulness, and Emotion Regulation in the Psychological Health of Family Carers of Older
- 641 Adults. Clin Gerontol [Internet]. 2020;1–13. Available from:
- 642 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07317115.2020.1846650
- 643 53. Wong CCY, Mak WWS, Liao KY-H. Self-Compassion: a Potential Buffer Against Affiliate
- 644 Stigma Experienced by Parents of Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders. Mindfulness
- 645 [Internet]. 2016;7:1385–95. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-016-0580-2
- 646 54. Mak WWS, Ho GSM. Caregiving perceptions of Chinese mothers of children with
- 647 intellectual disability in Hong Kong. J Appl Res Intellect Disabil [Internet]. Wiley; 2007;20:145–
- 648 56. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1468-3148.2006.00309.x
- 649 55. Huppert FA, Whittington JE. Evidence for the independence of positive and negative well-
- being: implications for quality of life assessment. Br J Health Psychol [Internet]. 2003;8:107–22.
 Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1348/135010703762870246
- 651 Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1348/135910703762879246
- 652 56. Cheng S-T, Lau RWL, Mak EPM, Ng NSS, Lam LCW, Fung HH, et al. A benefit-finding
- 653 intervention for family caregivers of persons with Alzheimer disease: study protocol of a
- randomized controlled trial. Trials [Internet]. 2012;13:98. Available from:
- 655 http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1745-6215-13-98

Figure 1

Simplified stress-coping model (Park & Folkman, 1997)



Note. Meaning-focused coping has been hypothesized to generate positive emotions in situations where the stressor remains unresolved.

Figure 2

Testing for problem-focused coping as a mediator



Note. Standardized regression coefficients for the relationship between self-compassion and psychological well-being as mediated by problem-focused coping. *p < .05, **p < .01