#### 1 **Title**

- 2 High resolution linear epitope mapping of the receptor binding domain of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein
- 3 in COVID-19 mRNA vaccine recipients.

#### 4 Short title

5 RBD epitopes in COVID-19 mRNA vaccine recipients

## 6 Authors

- 7 Yuko Nitahara<sup>1</sup>, Yu Nakagama<sup>1</sup>, Natsuko Kaku<sup>1</sup>, Katherine Candray<sup>1</sup>, Yu Michimuko<sup>1</sup>, Evariste
- 8 Tshibangu-Kabamba<sup>1</sup>, Akira Kaneko<sup>1</sup>, Hiromasa Yamamoto<sup>2</sup>, Yasumitsu Mizobata<sup>2</sup>, Hiroshi Kakeya
- 9<sup>3</sup>, Mayo Yasugi<sup>4,5,6</sup>, Yasutoshi Kido<sup>1</sup>\*
- <sup>1</sup>Department of Parasitology & Research Center for Infectious Disease Sciences, Graduate School of
- 11 Medicine, Osaka City University, 1-4-3 Asahi-machi, Abeno-ku, Osaka 545-8585, Japan
- <sup>12</sup> <sup>2</sup>Department of Traumatology and Critical Care Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka City
- 13 University, 1-4-3 Asahi-machi, Abeno-ku, Osaka 545-8585, Japan
- <sup>14</sup> <sup>3</sup>Department of Infection Control Science, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka City University, 1-4-
- 15 3 Asahi-machi, Abeno-ku, Osaka 545-8585, Japan
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Veterinary Science, Graduate School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Osaka
- 17 Prefecture University, 1-58 Rinku-Ourai Kita, Izumisano, Osaka 598-8531, Japan
- <sup>18</sup> <sup>5</sup>Osaka International Research Center for Infectious Diseases, Osaka Prefecture University, 1-58 Rinku
- 19 Ourai Kita, Izumisano, Osaka 598-8531, Japan
- 20 <sup>6</sup>Asian Health Science Research Institute, Osaka Prefecture University, 1-58 Rinku Ourai Kita,
- 21 Izumisano, Osaka 598-8531, Japan

22 \* Corresponding Author: Yasutoshi Kido, M.D., Ph.D.

- 23 Department of Parasitology, Graduate School of Medicine & Research Center for Infectious Disease
- 24 Sciences, Osaka City University, 1-4-3 Asahi-machi, Abeno-ku, Osaka 545-8585, Japan. Phone: +81-
- 25 6-6645-3761. Fax: +81-6-6645-3762. E-mail: kido.yasutoshi@med.osaka-cu.ac.jp
- 26 Keywords
- 27 SARS-CoV-2; spike; RBD; COVID-19; neutralizing antibodies; serology

#### 28 Abstract (172 words)

29 The prompt rollout of the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) messenger RNA (mRNA) vaccine is 30 facilitating population immunity, which shall become more dominant than natural infection-induced 31 immunity. At the beginning of the vaccine era, understanding the epitope profiles of vaccine-elicited 32 antibodies will be the first step in assessing functionality of vaccine-induced immunity. In this study, 33 the high-resolution linear epitope profiles of Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 mRNA vaccine recipients 34 and COVID-19 patients were delineated by using microarrays mapped with overlapping peptides of 35 the receptor binding domain (RBD) of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-36 2) spike protein. The vaccine-induced antibodies targeting RBD had broader distribution across the 37 RBD than that induced by the natural infection. Thus, relatively lower neutralizability was observed 38 when a half-maximal neutralization titer measured in vitro by live virus neutralization assays was 39 normalized to a total anti-RBD IgG titer. However, mutation panel assays targeting the SARS-CoV-2 40 variants of concern have shown that the vaccine-induced epitope variety, rich in breadth, may grant 41 resistance against future viral evolutionary escapes, serving as an advantage of vaccine-induced 42 immunity.

#### 43 **Importance (144 words)**

44 Establishing vaccine-based population immunity has been the key factor in attaining herd protection.

45 Thanks to expedited worldwide research efforts, the potency of messenger RNA vaccines against the 46 coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is now incontestable. The next debate is regarding the coverage 47 of SARS-CoV-2 variants. At the beginning of this vaccine era, it is of importance to describe the 48 similarities and differences between the immune responses of COVID-19 vaccine recipients and 49 naturally infected individuals. In this study, we demonstrated that the antibody profiles of vaccine 50 recipients are richer in variety, targeting a key protein of the invading virus, than those of naturally 51 infected individuals. Yet vaccine-elicited antibodies included more non-neutralizing antibodies than 52 infection-elicited, their breadth in antibody variations suggested possible resilience against future 53 SARS-CoV-2 variants. The antibody profile achieved by vaccinations in naive individuals pose 54 important insight into the first step towards vaccine-based population immunity.

#### 55 Introduction

Messenger RNA (mRNA) vaccines have prevailed globally to mitigate the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Given the prompt progress in the development of vaccines and their fast rollout at global scale, population immunity against the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) will largely depend on vaccine-induced rather than the infection-induced immunity. In this start of the COVID-19 vaccine era, the *de novo* repertoire of vaccine-elicited antibodies in SARS-CoV-2 infection-naive individuals will be the first step to build an optimal host defense system towards vaccine-based population immunity.

63 Currently, the efficacy of vaccine-induced immunity against SARS-CoV-2 in an individual is 64 evaluated by potential surrogate markers such as half-maximal neutralization titers (NT50) using 65 live/pseudo viruses and total antibodies titers against the receptor binding domain (RBD) of the spike 66 protein of the virus (1–4). Understanding the epitope profile of both vaccine recipients and naturally 67 infected individuals can readily help elucidating further molecular basis of these markers as surrogate. 68 Moreover, the coevolution of vaccine-induced host immunity and the virus escape will be one of the

69 most important elements to consider in the way of achieving herd immunity against COVID-19.

70 The RBD of the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 is widely considered the key protein target for 71 designing vaccines and developing neutralizing antibodies as therapeutic agents (5,6). Epitope profiles 72 of sera from individuals naturally infected with COVID-19 have enabled to identify several 73 immunodominant regions in the spike protein (7–9). While most immunodominant epitopes locate 74 outside the RBD, the minor proportion targeting specifically the neutralizing RBD epitopes explain 75 the majority of viral neutralizability and protection against re-exposures (10,11). In fact, neutralizing 76 monoclonal antibodies (NAbs) developed as potential therapeutics also mainly target the epitopes 77 located in the RBD (6,12-16). While a growing number of individuals acquire vaccine immunity, the 78 detailed epitope profile of the humoral immune response to the mRNA vaccine is not fully understood 79 (1, 17, 18).

In this study, high resolution linear epitope profiling targeting the RBD was performed using sera of both mRNA vaccine recipients and COVID-19 patients. By comparing the epitope profiles, we sought to describe the similarities and differences between the humoral immune responses induced by BNT162b2 mRNA (Pfizer/BioNTech) vaccination and natural infection. Information provided by this study will be crucial in this post-vaccine era of the COVID-19 pandemic.

85 Materials and Methods

# 86 Serum collection sufficient

Two groups were analyzed in this study: (i) vaccine recipients, all received two doses of BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine (Pfizer/BioNTech) with a three-week interval (N=21, age 20s–80s years old). Blood was obtained 17–28 days after the second dose. (ii) COVID-19 patients confirmed by nucleic amplification testing (N=20, age 20s–80s years old). The blood collection of the patients was performed between 10 and 63 days (median 39 days) after the onset. Detailed information of the

<sup>92</sup> subjects and severity of the disease of the patients can be found in Supplemental Table 1 (19).

Blood samples were obtained by venipuncture in serum separator tubes and the serum fraction
was stored at - 80°C. All subjects provided written consent before participating in this study. This
study was approved by the institutional review board.

96 Anti-RBD IgG quantification by chemiluminescent immunoassay

Anti-RBD IgG titers of both groups were quantitated by measuring the chemiluminescence generated in the reaction mix containing serum IgG-bound, RBD-coated microparticles and acridinium-labeled anti-human IgG (Abbott SARS-CoV-2 IgG II Quant assay, USA)(20). Antibodies targeting the viral nucleocapsid protein (Anti-N IgG) were also measured for the sera of vaccine recipients to screen unrecognized exposure to SARS-CoV-2 (Abbott SARS-CoV-2 IgG assay, USA) (21).

103 Neutralization assay using live SARS-CoV-2

104 The neutralization assay was carried out as described previously (22), but with modifications. 105 Heat-inactivated (at 56°C for 45 minutes) vaccine-recipients and patients' sera and a SARS-CoV-2 106 negative control serum were serially four-fold diluted with Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium with 107 2% fecal bovine serum (2% FBS DMEM) and incubated with a pre-titrated 150 focus-forming units 108 of SARS-CoV-2 JPN/TY/WK521 strain live virus particles (National Institute of Infectious Diseases, 109 Japan) at 37°C for 1 hour. The monolayer of VeroE6 cells (National Institutes of Biomedical 110 Innovation, Health and Nutrition, Japan) were then absorbed with the mixtures at 37°C. After a 1-hour 111 incubation, the mixtures were replaced with fresh 2% FBS DMEM. After an 8-hour culture at 37°C, 112 infection rates of the cells were determined by immunofluorescent staining, as follows. After fixation 113 (4% paraformaldehyde, 15 minutes), cells were permeabilized (0.1% TritonX100, 15 minutes) and 114 incubated with rabbit anti-spike monoclonal antibodies (Sino biological, China) (1:1000, 1 hour at

115 37°C). Cells were then washed and incubated with Alexa488-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG 116 (Thermofisher scientific, USA) (1:500, 45 minutes at 37°C). Antigen positive cells were counted under 117 a fluorescent microscope and the percentage of neutralization was estimated as the viral infectivity 118 under serum-treated conditions compared with that without serum.

#### 119 **Epitope mapping of the RBD**

For precise linear epitope screening, overlapping 15-mer peptides (shift by 3 amino acids) were sequentially synthesized according to the sequence of the RBD on cellulose membrane by MultiPep synthesizer (Intavis Bioanalytical Instruments, Germany) using SPOT technology (23,24). The sequence of the RBD was obtained by GenBank (accession: MN908947.3, S319–S541) Additional 15mer peptides containing single mutations of variants of concerns found within the RBD were designed. Single mutations included K417N, K417T, E484K and N501Y (25). Detailed peptide sequences used in this study can be found in Supplement Table 2.

127 Synthesized arrays were probed with sera at a 1:400 dilution followed by incubation with 128 horseradish peroxidase conjugated goat anti-human IgA, IgG, and IgM polyclonal antibody at a 129 1:30,000 dilution. The bound of the secondary antibody on each peptide was detected and quantified 130 by enhanced chemiluminescence. The peptide synthesis, probing and quantification were outsourced 131 to Kinexus Bioinformatics Corporation. The epitopes were detected by subjective visual inspection. 132 Our cutoff for signal detection was set at visually detectable peaks in a graph depicting a mostly 133 minimum of 0.5 z-score of the mean peptide signals and/or regions previously reported as neutralizing 134antibodies in the RBD (27,28).

#### 135 Statistical analysis

Chemiluminescence signal intensities of the peptide arrays were standardized in two ways:
relative values to the maximum signal level of each array as 100, and z-scores considering peptide

signals of individual subjects as population. These calculations were done by Microsoft Excel for
Microsoft 365 MSO (16.0.14026.20202).

Nonlinear regression curve fitting was performed to calculate half-maximal neutralization titers
(NT50) of the neutralization assay. Statistical significance was calculated using un-paired two-tailed
t-test. GraphPad Prism 9.1.0.221 was used for these statistical analyses.

The sequence and conformational information of the RBD was obtained under the accession
number 6M0J (5) and 7A94 (26) at Protein Data Bank (PDB). The images to depict the recognized
epitopes are shown using The PyMOL (Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.2r3pre, Schrödinger,
LLC).

147 **Results** 

#### 148 Total IgG titers targeting the RBD and neutralization assay using live SARS-CoV-2

149 All vaccine recipients (N=21) and COVID-19 patients (N=20) revealed seropositivity to anti-150 RBD IgG according to the manufacturer's threshold (>50 AU/mL) and the two groups did not show 151 significant difference in their levels of anti-RBD IgG titers (Figure 1a). However, the neutralization 152 assay using live SARS-CoV-2 showed remarkably lower NT50 in vaccine recipients compared to 153 COVID-19 patients (p=0.0035) (Figure 1b). The ratio between the anti-RBD IgG antibody titer and 154 the NT50 value was calculated in individual as shown in Figure 1c. It appeared that the anti-RBD 155 IgG/NT50 ratios were significantly higher in vaccine recipients compared to COVID19 patients 156 (p<0.001) (Figure 3c). The result indicated that the sera of vaccine recipients were more abundant in 157 non-neutralizing, mere binding IgG antibodies, suggesting a discrepancy in the epitope profiles 158 between vaccine recipients and COVID-19 patients. None of the vaccine recipients were seropositive 159 to anti-N IgG, ensuring that they were naive to SARS-CoV-2 infection (Supplement Table 1).

# 160 **Comparison of linear epitope profiles targeting the RBD of vaccine-elicited and infection-elicited**

#### 161 **sera**

162 To delineate the discrepancy in the epitope profiles between vaccine recipients and COVID-19 163 patients with high resolution, we next mapped and compared the immunodominant epitopes of both 164 sera by using an overlapping 15-mer linear-peptide array (Figure 2a). Sera of vaccine recipients and COVID-19 patients were incubated with the microarray. Sera of five subjects from the vaccine 165 166 recipients and ten from the patients were selected based on their anti-RBD antibody titers and NT50 167 (denoted as red dots in Figure 1). The sera were incubated with the designed microarrays arranged 168 with 15-mer overlapping peptides of the RBD on the surface (Figure 2a). The designated array did not 169 show any considerable unspecific binding of the secondary antibody.

170 We generated a heatmap according to the relative signals of the overlapping peptides (Figure 171 2b). Also, z-scores of each peptide were compared individually (Supplement Figure 1) and per group 172 (Figure 3). Comparing the epitope profiles of the two groups, two types of epitopes were identified: 173 (1) epitopes recognized by both groups and (2) epitopes recognized only by vaccine-elicited sera. 174Overall, seven linear epitopes were recognized within the RBD, four within (1): T415–F42, peptide 175 No.33; R457-S477, peptide No.47-49; V433-N450, peptide No.39-40; V395-A411, peptide No.26-176 27 and three within (2): N334-A348, peptide No.6; S373-L390, peptide No.19,20; S514-F541, 177peptide No.66–71, respectively (Figure 2b, Figure 3).

#### 178 (1) Epitopes recognized by both groups

A total of four linear epitopes were recognized in both groups (Figure 2b, Figure 3c). Three (peptide No.33, No.39–40 and No.47–49) of them shared the epitope regions of the RBD with neutralizing monoclonal antibodies previously reported as class1 and class 3 (27).

182 Linear epitopes were identified at peptide No.33 and peptides No.47–49 (Figure 3, Figure 4a,b),
183 sharing the epitopes with reported class 1 neutralizing antibodies (27). Also, peptide No.39–40 (Figure

3, Figure 4c) shared epitope residues very similar to human monoclonal antibody REGN10987,
categorized as class 3 neutralizing antibody which sterically hinders the interaction between
angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) and the RBD (27,29).

187 The linear epitope, peptide No.26–27, was reactive at the highest level in most serum samples, 188 as sera of 2/5 vaccine recipients and 7/10 patients had maximum reactivity to this peptide (Figure 3c, 189 Supplement Figure 1). However, antibodies binding to this epitope seemed not to contribute to 190 neutralizing the live virus, based on our observations detailed below. This peptide was found to be 191 equally reactive, at a high extent, to a serum with negligible neutralizability, obtained from a COVID-192 19 patient who had undergone Rituximab treatment (Details found in Supplement Figure 2) (30). In 193 the RBD structure, the No.27 peptide is located inside the core  $\beta$  sheets, which is not exposed to the 194 surface of the RBD in either an "up" or "down" position. Judging from the structural composition, this 195 linear epitope would not affect ACE2 binding (Supplement Figure 2).

#### 196 (2) Epitopes recognized only in vaccine recipients' sera

Three linear epitopes of the RBD were uniquely found in vaccine recipients' sera (Figure 2b,
Figure 3b,c), two of them (peptide No.6 and No.19,20) were sharing the epitope regions of the RBD
with neutralizing monoclonal antibodies known as class 3 and class 4 (27).

At N-terminus of the RBD, namely the peptide No.1–6, we identified an epitope region detected only in the post-vaccination sera (Figure 2b, Figure 3c). Especially, peptide No. 6 was an epitope of note, which shall be recognized by the class3 NAb S309 by P337–A344 helix residues (Figure 5a) (31). The epitope is distinct from the receptor-binding motif and has a good accessibility both in the up and down compositions of the RBD (PDB, 7A49, Figure 5b).

Another identified epitope, peptide No.19 and 20, shared epitope residues with a neutralizing monoclonal antibody CR3022, categorized as class 4, isolated from a SARS-CoV convalescent (32,33).

This class 4 neutralizing antibody attaches to the RBD but distal to the ACE2 binding site and is highly conserved among different CoV species (34).

The third epitope, located at the peptide No.66–71, did not match with any known monoclonal antibodies. Yi et al. detected the same region of the peptides (V524–F541) reactive from COVID-19 convalescent serum in their linear epitope analysis (11). They also demonstrated that these peptides interacted with control sera as well (11). Thus, we considered our results on the corresponding peptides to be non-specific.

#### 214 Linear epitopes mapping with single mutations found in SARS-CoV-2 variants

Our analysis included single amino acid mutations of the RBD that are reported in the SARS-CoV-2 variants of concerns including B.1.1.7, B.1.351 and P.1 (PANGO lineage (35)). Additional 15mer peptides with the substituting amino acid, K417N, K417T, E484K, and N501Y, were incubated with both vaccine recipients' and patients' sera. Interestingly, vaccine-induced sera showed consistent signals to the mutated peptides, whereas patients' sera had almost no reaction (Figure 6).

In our original peptide array (Figure 2b, Figure 3), the overlapping peptides encoding the mentioned mutations sites (K417, E484, and N501 of the RBD) corresponded to peptides No.29–33, No.52–56, and No.57–61, respectively. The peptides No.52–61, containing E484 and N501, did not show any significant reaction in both vaccine recipients and COVID-19 patients (Figure 2b, Figure 3). The peptides No.29–33 containing K417, considered as escape mutation, was reactive to antibodies in both patients and vaccine recipients' sera as mentioned above (Figure 2b, Figure 3).

#### 226 Discussion

This study revealed the linear epitope profiles targeting RBD elicited by BNT162b2 mRNA vaccination and natural infection of SARS-CoV-2. Our principal finding was that the variation of linear epitopes was broader in vaccine-elicited antibodies compared with infection-elicited antibodies, which

may contribute to potent neutralization and thus resistance of the vaccine-elicited antibodies against
 the SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern.

232 Now, four categories of NAbs classes are proposed to characterize the mode of recognition and 233 epitope specificity (27). Class 1 NAbs block several proximal sites in the receptor binding motif 234 (RBM) of the RBD and directly block ACE2 binding (27); class 2 NAbs recognize both up and down 235 formations of the RBDs and epitope overlapping or close to ACE2-binding site (27); class 3 NAbs 236 recognize both up and down RBD and bind outside ACE2-binding site (27,31); class 4 NAbs bind only 237 to up RBDs and do not directly block ACE2 binding, but destabilizes the virus' prefusion spike 238 conformation (33,34). Many of the human-isolated NAbs target RBD, while some target N-terminal 239 domain of subunit 1 spike protein (14,36). In our study, two classes of NAbs exclusively relevant in 240 vaccine-elicited sera were found to be of specific note; peptide No.6 (Figure 5a,b) targeted by the class 241 3 NAb (31) and peptide No.19–20 (Figure 5c) targeted by the class 4 NAb (33,34). These epitopes 242 locate outside the ACE2-binding RBM (Figure 5), while epitopes commonly detected in both vaccine 243 and infection-elicited repertoires clustered adjacent to the ACE2-binding site (Figure 4).

244 The majority of NAbs targeting the RBM, which correspond to class 1 and 2, have been shown 245 to exhibit decreased neutralization against the virus variants (15,18,37). For example, antibodies 246 recognizing the linear epitope, here included in peptide No.33, would possibly fail in neutralizing 247 variants with K417N mutation as previously described (15,18,37,38). To the contrary, the linear 248 peptides No.6 and No.19–20 (Figure 5a,b,c), corresponding to epitopes found exclusively in vaccine-249 elicited sera (Figure 2b, Figure 3c), revealed corresponding epitopes targeted by human NAbs isolated 250 from SAR-CoV convalescent (S309 and CR3022, respectively) (31,33,34). These cross-neutralizing 251 antibodies, belonging to class 3 and 4, recognize linear epitopes highly conserved among different 252 CoV species. The epitopes recognized by these class 3 and class 4 NAbs are major contributors 253 broadening the repertoire of vaccine-induced immunity. Located remotely from the RBM, such 254 neutralizing epitopes stay rather free from variants (15,18), and explain the resistance of vaccine-255 elicited sera towards viral mutational escapes (39,40). The vaccine recipients' broader epitope profile 256 spanning across the RBD may give immunological flexibility and resilience against this evolving virus. 257 Our mutation peptide panels have also presented a rather optimistic view on discussing the efficacy of 258 vaccine-induced immunity to efficiently recognize the SARS-CoV-2 variants (Figure 6). However, 259 considering that the linear epitope profiles harboring the mutation loci were not dominant in either 260 vaccine sera or patients' sera (Figure 2b, Figure 3b,c) and abundancy of conformational epitopes found 261 adjacent to the RBM, the extent to which these specific linear epitopes contribute in net neutralizability 262 remains yet to be determined (10,11,14,17).

263 We observed discrepancy between the neutralizability of sera obtained from vaccine recipients 264 and patients, which could be partially explained by the difference in the time course of epitope 265 selection and immune maturation. When comparing convalescent sera and vaccine-elicited immunity, 266 the distribution of neutralizing epitopes was less generalized and focalized at specific peptides (Figure 267 3, Individual epitope distribution can be found in Supplement Figure 1). Among the two modes of 268 acquired immunity, our results indicate that infection-induced humoral immunity had established a 269 more mature, finely selected antibody repertoire. Our snapshot observations are in line with the ideas 270 that maturation of infection-provoked repertoires occurs as early as 10-20 days after onset, or even 271 earlier in the case of COVID-19 beginning at 4–7 days after onset (41,42). Positive selection of relevant 272 epitopes and maturation of antibody repertoire thus may lag behind regarding the vaccine-induced 273 immunity. Nevertheless, in this study, sera were sampled during the peak period of immune reaction 274 in the host for both groups. Longitudinal evaluation of the epitope profiles and serological markers are 275 needed to assess the further host immune evolution and draw conclusions to the above speculations.

In conclusion, we evaluated the similarity and difference in humoral immunity elicited by both
 BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine and natural infection of SARS-CoV-2. High resolution linear epitope

profiles revealed the characteristic distribution of polyclonal antibodies spanning across the RBD in vaccine recipients' sera, which possibly accounted for the discrepancy observed in serological markers. Based on the multiplicity of neutralizing epitopes supporting the protectivity of vaccine-elicited antibodies, mRNA vaccine-elicited humoral immunity may harbor advantages in resisting against the rapidly evolving pathogen.

#### 283 Limitations

There are several limitations in our study. The severity of the COVID-19 patients evaluated in this study was high (seven out of ten were critical) with comorbidities, whereas the vaccine recipients were relatively healthy without major comorbidities. The age was distributed in both groups. This analysis was focused exclusively on the linear epitope profile targeting RBD. Experimental observations on compositional epitopes nor epitopes outside the RBD region was not made in this study. Nonetheless, our results reporting the mRNA vaccine's broader RBD epitope variety are in concordance with preceding reports (39,43).

# 291 Author Approval

All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

# 293 **Competing Interest Statement**

294 The authors declare no competing interests to disclose.

## 295 Data Availability Statement

The data that supports the findings of this study are available in the supplementary material of this article.

# 298 Acknowledgements

299 This work was funded by Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED) under Grant

300	number JP20wm0125003 (Yasutoshi Kido), JP20he1122001 (Yasutoshi Kido), JP20nk0101627
301	(Yasutoshi Kido), and JP20jk0110021 (Yu Nakagama). This work was also supported by JSPS
302	KAKENHI Grant Number JP21441824 (Natsuko Kaku). We received support from Osaka City
303	University's "Special Reserves" fund for COVID-19. We also receive the COVID-19 Private Fund (to
304	the Shinya Yamanaka laboratory, CiRA, Kyoto University). Yuko Nitahara receives BIKEN
305	Taniguchi Scholarship.
306	We are grateful for the virus provided by National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo, Japan.
307	We appreciate James A. Rankin for his contribution in checking the manuscript.
308	Author contribution
309	Nitahara Y, Nakagama Y, Kaku N and Kido Y designed the study.
310	Nitahara Y, Nakagama Y, Kaku N, Yamamoto H, Mizobata Y, Kakeya H, and Kido Y selected patients
311	and acquired clinical data.
312	Nitahara Y, Nakagama Y, Kaku N, Candray K, Michimuko Y, Tshibangu-Kabamba E and Yasugi M
313	performed immunological assays.
314	Nitahara Y, Nakagama Y, Kaku N and Kido Y performed epitope mapping analysis.
315	Nakagama Y and Yasugi M performed neutralization assays.
316	Nitahara Y, Nakagama Y, Kaku N and Kido Y wrote the manuscript and contributed to analysis and
317	interpretation of the data.
318	Yamamoto H, Mizobata Y, Kakeya H, Kaneko A and Yasugi M contributed to critical discussion of
319	the manuscript.
320	

#### 321 Figure 1. Total antibody titers targeting the RBD and neutralization of live SARS-CoV-2.

(a) Anti-RBD IgG titers of vaccine (BNT162b2) recipients (N=21) and COVID-19 patients (N=19)
were depicted. No significant difference in level of total anti-RBD IgG titers was observed. (b) The
half-maximal neutralization titers (NT50) were remarkably lower in the vaccinated group than in
patients. (c) Anti-RBD IgG/NT50 ratio was plotted in both groups. Black horizontal bars indicate
geometric mean with geometric standard deviation. For detailed information on subjects, see
Supplement Table1.

# Figure 2. High resolution linear epitope mapping of the receptor binding domain (RBD) of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. (a) Overlapping 15-mer peptides (shift by 3 amino acids) of the RBD was sequentially synthesized on cellulose membrane. Sera of vaccine recipients and COVID-19 patients were incubated with the microarray, followed by the procedure mentioned in the methodology section to detect the reactive peptides.

(b) Heat map identifying peptides recognized by IgG, IgA, and IgM in sera of vaccine recipients
(Sample A–E) and COVID-19 patients (sample F–O). Signal of each peptide was calculated to relative
value to the maximum signal of each subject as 100. Legend shows the darker the blue gets; the more
signal was observed at the designated peptide.

# **Figure 3.** Comparison of epitope profiles between two groups: BNT162b2 vaccine recipients (N=5) and COVID-19 patients (N=10). (a) Thin red lines denote peptide signals of individuals. Bold red lines depict the mean values of the peptide signals of the COVID-19 patients' sera (N=10). (b) Thin grey lines denote peptide signals of individuals. Bold black lines denote the mean values of the peptide signals of the vaccine recipients' sera (N=5). (c) Red arrows denote epitopes recognized in the sera of both groups. Black arrows denote epitopes identified only in the vaccine recipients' sera. Designated peptide numbers are shown above the arrows.

Figure 4. Linear epitopes in the receptor binding domain (RBD) identified in both groups of
vaccine recipients and COVID-19 patients. Angiotensin converting enzyme2 (ACE2); green, the
RBD; tint blue, (a) linear epitope T415-F429 (peptide No.33); cyan (b) linear epitope R457-S477
(peptide No.47-49); yellow (c) linear epitope A433-S450 (peptide No.39-40); blue.

Figure 5. Linear epitopes in the receptor binding domain (RBD) identified only in vaccine recipients' sera. Angiotensin converting enzyme2 (ACE2) is shown in green. (a) The RBD is shown in tint blue. Linear epitope R334-S348 (peptide No.6); magenta. (b) SARS-CoV-2 spike trimer in one open, two closed (one RBD up, two RBD up) composition. Spike subunit 2 and N-terminal domain are in the same color, light blue, yellow, and tint blue. Up RBD is in dark blue, Down RBDs are in yellow. Linear epitope R334-S348 has good accessibility in both up and down composition of the RBD. (c) The RBD; green. Linear epitope S373-L390 (peptide No.19-20); orange.

Figure 6. Mutation peptide panels showed more reactivity to vaccine recipients' sera than to patients' sera. Heat maps identifying peptides encoding the single mutations, recognized by IgG, IgA, and IgM in sera of vaccine recipients (Sample A–E) and COVID-19 patients (sample F–O). Signal of each peptide was calculated to relative value to the maximum signal of each subject as 100.

Supplement Figure 1. Epitope profiles of individual subjects are shown in graphs depicting z-scores
of each peptide signal, calculated individually, on y-axis, overlapping peptide sequence on x-axis.
Vaccine-induced sera (V01–V05) had more variety in recognizing epitopes than infection-induced sera
(P01–P10).

Supplement Figure 2. Epitope profile of a COVID-19 patient who had received Rituximab treatment. The antibodies targeting the peptide No. 27, corresponding to A397–A411 of RBD, were dominant in the epitope profile of this patient (depicted in the upper right graph), which showed limited neutralization compared to a COVID-19 positive serum sample from another COVID-19 patient. Graphic on the left shows the ACE2-RBD complex (ACE2 in green, RBD in tint blue). The position

- 368 of peptide No.27 is depicted in dark gray.
- 369 **Supplement Table 1.** Detailed information of the subjects included in this study.
- 370 **Supplement Table 2.** Sequence of the peptides on microarrays used in this study.
- 371 **Supplement Table 3.** Raw signal of the microarrays.
- 372 **References**
- 1. Ebinger JE, Fert-Bober J, Printsev I, Wu M, Sun N, Prostko JC, et al. Antibody responses to the
- 374 BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine in individuals previously infected with SARS-CoV-2. Nat Med
- 375 [Internet]. 2021 Apr 1 [cited 2021 Jun 15];1–4. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-
- 376 021-01325-6
- 377 2. Walsh EE, Frenck RW, Falsey AR, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, et al. Safety and 378 Immunogenicity of Two RNA-Based Covid-19 Vaccine Candidates. N Engl J Med [Internet]. 379 2020 Dec 17 [cited] 2021 Jun 25];383(25):2439–50. Available from: 380 http://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMoa2027906
- Mulligan MJ, Lyke KE, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, Lockhart S, et al. Phase I/II study of
   COVID-19 RNA vaccine BNT162b1 in adults. Nature [Internet]. 2020 Oct 22 [cited 2021 Jun
   25];586(7830):589–93. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2639-4
- Müller L, Andrée M, Moskorz W, Drexler I, Walotka L, Grothmann R, et al. Age-dependent
   immune response to the Biontech/Pfizer BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccination. medRxiv
   [Internet]. 2021 Jan 1;2021.03.03.21251066. Available from:
   http://medrxiv.org/content/early/2021/03/05/2021.03.03.21251066.abstract
- Lan J, Ge J, Yu J, Shan S, Zhou H, Fan S, et al. Structure of the SARS-CoV-2 spike receptorbinding domain bound to the ACE2 receptor. Nature [Internet]. 2020;581(7807):215–20.

390 Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2180-5

- Shang J, Ye G, Shi K, Wan Y, Luo C, Aihara H, et al. Structural basis of receptor recognition
  by SARS-CoV-2. Nature [Internet]. 2020 May 14 [cited 2021 Jun 14];581(7807):221–4.
  Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2179-y
- Li Y, Ma M liang, Lei Q, Wang F, Hong W, Lai D yun, et al. Linear epitope landscape of the
  SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein constructed from 1,051 COVID-19 patients. Cell Rep. 2021 Mar
  30;34(13):108915.
- 397 8. Haynes WA, Kamath K, Bozekowski J, Baum-Jones E, Casanovas-Massana A, Daugherty PS,
- 398 et al. High-resolution mapping and characterization of epitopes in COVID-19 patients. [cited

399 2021 Jun 10]; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.23.20235002

- 400 9. Voss WN, Hou YJ, Johnson N V., Delidakis G, Kim JE, Javanmardi K, et al. Prevalent,
  401 protective, and convergent IgG recognition of SARS-CoV-2 non-RBD spike epitopes. Science
  402 (80-) [Internet]. 2021 Jun 4 [cited 2021 Jun 11];372(6546):1108–12. Available from:
  403 http://science.sciencemag.org/
- 10. Li Y, Lai D yun, Zhang H nan, Jiang H wei, Tian X, Ma M liang, et al. Linear epitopes of SARS-
- 405 CoV-2 spike protein elicit neutralizing antibodies in COVID-19 patients [Internet]. Vol. 17,
  406 Cellular and Molecular Immunology. Springer Nature; 2020 [cited 2021 Jun 11]. p. 1095–7.
  407 Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41423-020-00523-5
- 408 11. Yi Z, Ling Y, Zhang X, Chen J, Hu K, Wang Y, et al. Functional mapping of B-cell linear 409 epitopes of SARS-CoV-2 in COVID-19 convalescent population. Emerg Microbes Infect 410 [Internet]. 2020 Jan 1 [cited 2021 Jun 14];9(1):1988-96. Available from: 411 https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2020.1815591
- 412 12. Yi C, Sun X, Ye J, Ding L, Liu M, Yang Z, et al. Key residues of the receptor binding motif in

413	the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 that interact with ACE2 and neutralizing antibodies. Cell Mol
414	Immunol [Internet]. 2020 Jun 1 [cited 2021 Jun 4];17(6):621-30. Available from:
415	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41423-020-0458-z

- 416 13. Piccoli L, Park YJ, Tortorici MA, Czudnochowski N, Walls AC, Beltramello M, et al. Mapping
  417 Neutralizing and Immunodominant Sites on the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Receptor-Binding Domain
- 418 by Structure-Guided High-Resolution Serology. Cell. 2020 Nov 12;183(4):1024-1042.e21.
- 419 14. Cerutti G, Guo Y, Zhou T, Gorman J, Lee M, Rapp M, et al. Potent SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing
  420 antibodies directed against spike N-terminal domain target a single supersite. Cell Host Microbe.
  421 2021 May 12;29(5):819-833.e7.
- Wang R, Zhang Q, Ge J, Ren W, Zhang R, Lan J, et al. Analysis of SARS-CoV-2 variant
  mutations reveals neutralization escape mechanisms and the ability to use ACE2 receptors from
  additional species. Immunity [Internet]. 2021 Jun 8 [cited 2021 Jun 25]; Available from:
  https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1074761321002478
- 426 Li Y, Lai D-Y, Zhang H-N, Jiang H-W, Tian X, Ma M-L, et al. Linear epitopes of SARS-CoV-16. 427 2 spike protein elicit neutralizing antibodies in COVID-19 patients. Cell Mol Immunol 428 [Internet]. 2020 [cited] 2021 Jun 14];17:1095–7. Available from: 429 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41423-020-00523-5
- 430 17. Wisnewski A V, Redlich CA, Kamath K, Abad Q-A, Smith RF, Fazen L, et al. Immunogenic 431 Amino Acid Motifs and Linear Epitopes of COVID-19 mRNA Vaccines. medRxiv [Internet]. 432 2021 Mav 25 [cited 2021 Jun 10];2021.05.25.21257427. Available from: 433 https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.25.21257427
- 434 18. Wang Z, Schmidt F, Weisblum Y, Muecksch F, Barnes CO, Finkin S, et al. mRNA vaccine435 elicited antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 and circulating variants. Nature [Internet]. 2021 Apr 22

- 436 [cited 2021 Jun 15];592(7855):616–22. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021437 03324-6
- 438 19. Diaz, Janet; Appiah, John; Askie, Lisa; Baller, April; Banerjee, Anshu; Barkley, Shannon;
  439 Bertagnolio, Silvia; Hemmingsen, Bianca; Bonet, Mercedes; Cunningham J. Clinical
  440 management Clinical management Living guidance COVID-19. World Heal Organ.
  441 2021;(January).
- Tang MS, Case JB, Franks CE, Chen RE, Anderson NW, Henderson JP, et al. Association
  between SARS-CoV-2 Neutralizing Antibodies and Commercial Serological Assays. Clin
  Chem [Internet]. 2020 Dec 1 [cited 2021 Jun 30];66(12):1538–47. Available from:
  https://academic.oup.com/clinchem/article/66/12/1538/5902446
- Chew KL, Tan SS, Saw S, Pajarillaga A, Zaine S, Khoo C, et al. Clinical evaluation of
  serological IgG antibody response on the Abbott Architect for established SARS-CoV-2
  infection. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2020 Sep 1;26(9):1256.e9-1256.e11.
- 449 22. Yasugi M, Kubota-Koketsu R, Yamashita A, Kawashita N, Du A, Sasaki T, et al. Human 450 Monoclonal Antibodies Broadly Neutralizing against Influenza B Virus. PLoS Pathog [Internet]. 451 2013 Feb 7 [cited] 2021 Jun 20];9(2):1003150. Available from: 452 http://www.jst.go.jp/global/kadai/h2011\_thailand.html
- 453 23. Ruppel E, Aÿ B, Boisguerin P, Dölle S, Worm M, Volkmer R. Identification of IgE Binding to
  454 Apig1-Derived Peptides. ChemBioChem [Internet]. 2010 Nov 2 [cited 2021 Jun
  455 11];11(16):2283–93. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cbic.201000322.
- 456 24. Volkmer R. Synthesis and application of peptide arrays: Quo vadis SPOT technology [Internet].
- 457 Vol. 10, ChemBioChem. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2009 [cited 2021 Jun 11]. p. 1431–42.
- 458 Available from: http://www.jpt.com

459	25.	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. SARS-CoV-2 Variant Classifications and
460		Definitions [Internet]. [cited 2021 Jun 30]. Available from:
461		https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/variants/variant-info.html#Concern
462	26.	Benton DJ, Wrobel AG, Xu P, Roustan C, Martin SR, Rosenthal PB, et al. Receptor binding
463		and priming of the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 for membrane fusion. Nature [Internet]. 2020
464		Dec 10 [cited 2021 Jun 24];588(7837):327–30. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-
465		020-2772-0
466	27.	Barnes CO, Jette CA, Abernathy ME, Dam KMA, Esswein SR, Gristick HB, et al. SARS-CoV-
467		2 neutralizing antibody structures inform therapeutic strategies. Nature [Internet]. 2020 Dec 24
468		[cited 2021 Jun 25];588(7839):682-7. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-
469		2852-1
470	28.	Yu F, Xiang R, Deng X, Wang L, Yu Z, Tian S, et al. Receptor-binding domain-specific human
471		neutralizing monoclonal antibodies against SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 [Internet]. Vol. 5,
472		Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy. Springer Nature; 2020 [cited 2021 Jul 2]. p. 1–12.
473		Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-020-00318-0
474	29.	Hansen J, Baum A, Pascal KE, Russo V, Giordano S, Wloga E, et al. Studies in humanized mice
475		and convalescent humans yield a SARS-CoV-2 antibody cocktail. Science (80-) [Internet].
476		2020 Aug 21 [cited 2021 Jul 17];369(6506):1010–4. Available from:
477		https://science.sciencemag.org/content/369/6506/1010
478	30.	Takakuwa T, Nakagama Y, Yasugi M, Maeda T, Matsuo K, Kiritoshi A, et al. Discrepant
479		antigen-specific antibody responses causing SARS-CoV-2 persistence in a B-cell depleted
480		patient on rituximab. Intern Med.
481	31.	Pinto D, Park YJ, Beltramello M, Walls AC, Tortorici MA, Bianchi S, et al. Cross-neutralization

482		of SARS-CoV-2 by a human monoclonal SARS-CoV antibody. Nature [Internet]. 2020 Jul 9
483		[cited 2021 Jul 2];583(7815):290-5. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2349-
484		У
485	32.	Ter Meulen J, Van Den Brink EN, Poon LLM, Marissen WE, Leung CSW, Cox F, et al. Human
486		monoclonal antibody combination against SARS coronavirus: Synergy and coverage of escape
487		mutants. PLoS Med [Internet]. 2006 Jul 4 [cited 2021 Jul 1];3(7):1071-9. Available from:
488		www.plosmedicine.org
489	33.	Huo J, Zhao Y, Ren J, Zhou D, Duyvesteyn HME, Ginn HM, et al. Neutralization of SARS-
490		CoV-2 by Destruction of the Prefusion Spike. Cell Host Microbe. 2020 Sep 9;28(3):445-454.e6.
491	34.	Yuan M, Wu NC, Zhu X, Lee CCD, So RTY, Lv H, et al. A highly conserved cryptic epitope
492		in the receptor binding domains of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. Science (80- ) [Internet].
493		2020 May 8 [cited 2021 Jun 26];368(6491):630–3. Available from:
494		http://science.sciencemag.org/
495	35.	O'Toole Á, Kraemer MUG, Hill V, Pybus OG, Watts A, Bogoch II, et al. Tracking the
496		international spread of SARS-CoV-2 lineages B.1.1.7 and B.1.351/501Y-V2. Wellcome Open
497		Res [Internet]. 2021 May 19 [cited 2021 Jun 30];6:121. Available from:
498		https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16661.1
499	36.	Suryadevara N, Shrihari S, Gilchuk P, VanBlargan LA, Binshtein E, Zost SJ, et al. Neutralizing
500		and protective human monoclonal antibodies recognizing the N-terminal domain of the SARS-
501		CoV-2 spike protein. bioRxiv [Internet]. 2021 Jan 1;2021.01.19.427324. Available from:
502		http://biorxiv.org/content/early/2021/01/20/2021.01.19.427324.abstract
503	37.	Wang R, Zhang Q, Ge J, Ren W, Zhang R, Lan J, et al. SARS-CoV-2 variants resist antibody
504		neutralization and broaden host ACE2 usage. bioRxiv [Internet]. 2021 Jan 1;2021.03.09.434497.

505 Available from: http://biorxiv.org/content/early/2021/03/15/2021.03.09.434497.abstract

- 506 38. Chen RE, Zhang X, Case JB, Winkler ES, Liu Y, VanBlargan LA, et al. Resistance of SARS-
- 507 CoV-2 variants to neutralization by monoclonal and serum-derived polyclonal antibodies. Nat
- 508
   Med [Internet]. 2021 Apr 1 [cited 2021 Jun 28];27(4):717–26. Available from:

   509
   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01294-w
- 510 39. Greaney AJ, Loes AN, Gentles LE, Crawford KHD, Starr TN, Malone KD, et al. Antibodies
- 511 elicited by mRNA-1273 vaccination bind more broadly to the receptor binding domain than do
- those from SARS-CoV-2 infection. Sci Transl Med [Internet]. 2021 Jun 8 [cited 2021 Jun 24];
- 513 Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34103407
- Jalkanen P, Kolehmainen P, Häkkinen HK, Huttunen M, Tähtinen PA, Lundberg R, et al.
  COVID-19 mRNA vaccine induced antibody responses against three SARS-CoV-2 variants.
  Nat Commun [Internet]. 2021 Dec 28 [cited 2021 Jul 1];12(1):3991. Available from:
  http://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-021-24285-4
- 518 41. Niu X, Li S, Li P, Pan W, Wang Q, Feng Y, et al. Longitudinal Analysis of T and B Cell
  519 Receptor Repertoire Transcripts Reveal Dynamic Immune Response in COVID-19 Patients.
  520 Front Immunol [Internet]. 2020 Sep 30 [cited 2021 Jul 2];11:2590. Available from:
  521 www.frontiersin.org
- Kreer C, Zehner M, Weber T, Ercanoglu MS, Gieselmann L, Rohde C, et al. Longitudinal
  Isolation of Potent Near-Germline SARS-CoV-2-Neutralizing Antibodies from COVID-19
  Patients. Cell. 2020 Aug 20;182(4):843-854.e12.
- 43. Amanat F, Thapa M, Lei T, Ahmed SMS, Adelsberg DC, Carreño JM, et al. SARS-CoV-2
  mRNA vaccination induces functionally diverse antibodies to NTD, RBD, and S2. Cell
  [Internet]. 2021 Jun [cited 2021 Jul 17];0(0):1–13. Available from:

# 528 http://www.cell.com/article/S0092867421007066/fulltext

529

а

b





С





# Peptide array





















K417N











N501Y



